	FOR <u>Leitchfield, Kentucky</u> Name of Municipality		
	P.S.C. KY. NO1		
	2nd Revised SHEET NO. 1		
Leitchfield Water & Sewer Commission (Name of Municipal Utility)	CANCELLING P.S.C. KY. NO. 1		
(Ivanie of Municipal Othity)	1st Revised SHEET NO. 1		

### RATES AND CHARGES

MONTHLY WHOLESALE WATER RATE:

Grayson County Water District

All Usage

1.439 Per 1,000 Gallons

NOTE: This page revises the prior rate of \$1.274 per 1,000 gallons that became effective on July 24, 1997.

DATE OF ISSUE DATE EFFECTIVE	Mor	nth / Date / Year	 NOT AN AUTHORIZED TARIFF PAGE
ISSUED BY			 INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
BY AUTHORITY OF O			 PREPARED
			MARCH 20, 2009 PSC STAFF



101 North Main Street Leitchfield, Kentucky 42754

July 12, 2004

Beth O'Donnell, Exec. Director Public Service Commission State of Kentucky 211 Sower Blvd. P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602



Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Please be advised that I represent the City of Leitchfield/City of Leitchfield Utilities Commission. Leitchfield provides a portion of the water used by the Grayson County Water District for its customers. The rate charged the Grayson County Water District by the City has been predetermined by a series of agreements and by a Court decision.

The Grayson County Water District has now constructed its own water treatment plant and is providing the majority of the water it needs from its own facility. This has resulted in the Grayson County Water District purchasing less water than originally anticipated from the City of Leitchfield. Pursuant to the aforementioned agreements and the Court decision which affects these bodies, the Grayson County Water District is required to bear its fair share of the debt service relating to the providing of additional capacity to provide water as requested by the Grayson County Water District. Our engineers have recently calculated the new amount to be charged the Grayson County Water District by the City of Leitchfield. The new rate computes to \$1.439 per thousand gallons of water purchased. The old rate was \$1.274 per thousand gallons of water purchased. As I previously explained this increase was made necessary in order for the Grayson County Water District to bear its fair share of the debt service needed for facility construction.

For your reference I enclose a copy of the engineering report from both the City of Leitchfield and the Grayson County Water District demonstrating exactly how this rate was computed.

Also for your reference I have enclosed a copy of the applicable agreements between the parties.

I called and spoke with Dale Wright of the Public Service Commission. Mr. Wright explained to me that since the City of Leitchfield was just adjusting its rate to accurately reflect the agreement between the parties relating to debt service and less water purchased there was no need for the City of Leitchfield to file anything other than this letter of explanation.

In accordance with Mr. Wright's instruction I am providing to you this explanation.

It is my understanding that the Grayson County Water District will be filing with your Commission the appropriate request necessitated by our increase in wholesale rate.

If there is any further information or additional filings that are needed from the City of Leitchfield please do not hesitate to call or write.

Thank you for your kind help and attention herein.

Sincerel

DAVID B. VICKERY Attorney at Law

DBV/dm Enclosures cc: Kevin Shaw Bob Crawford Kerry White Eddie Miller

Can	n-Tech, L.L	
Engineers	Planners	Managers
March 11, 2004 Mr. Sam McIllwain Water Management Services, LLC 111 Bush Road PO Box 17650 Nashville, TN 37217 '	,	CITY CLERK MAR 18 2004
RE: Grayson County Water Distri Wholesale Water Rate Joint Engineering Report	et 🔶	the second s

We have reviewed your draft report on wholesale rate calculations for water purchased by the Grayson County Water District. We are in agreement on the final calculated rate of \$1.439 per thousand gallons of water purchased. There seems to be some subtle inconsistencies in some of the numbers. But, we are still in agreement on the resultant rate and with the joint engineering report, so we see no need to pursue that further.

We expect that this new rate will remain unchanged for two years from the date the new rate goes into effect, per the March 1988 Joint Report.

Sincerely, CANN-TECH, LLC

Jany W. Cam

Larry W. Cann

CC: Kevin Shaw, Grayson County Water District David R. Coe, Cann-Tech, LLC

ť .

MAR 1 7 7004

201 South Main Street Lawrenceburg, KY 40342 Phone: (502) 859-0907 ~ Fax: (502) 859-0468 Email: waterboy@kih.net

### I INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Report is to determine the wholesale rate to be charged by the Leitchfield Utilities Commission (Commission) for water purchased by the Grayson County Water District (District). Computations made in preparation of this Report were in accordance with the procedures outlined in an engineering document entitled <u>WHOLESALE WATER RATE STUDY</u> <u>FOR WATER PURCHASED BY GRAYSON COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FROM CITY OF</u> <u>LEITCHFIELD - MARCH, 1988 hereinafter referred to as the March 1988 Joint Report.</u> The March 1988 Joint Report was prepared in a joint effort between Mr. Larry Cann, representing the Grayson County Water District, and Mr. Sam McIllwain, representing the City of Leitchfield.

The introduction section of the March 1988 Joint Report states that "computations made during this study are in <u>strict conformance</u> with the governing document which is the Agreed Judgment in Civil Action No. 82-CI-123 dated August 15, 1983". The Agreed Judgment incorporates a Resolution adopted by the District on July 7, 1985, and the City on August 4, 1983. The Resolution states that the determination of the next rate will be based on the revised contract dated April 11, 1978, and shall be made by one engineer appointed by the District and one engineer appointed by the Commission and the rate computations shall use actual expense figures shown in the audit report."

The findings and recommendations of the March 1988 Joint Report were ratified by the District and the Commission in a Supplement Agreement. Among several provisions included in the Supplement Agreement, a provision that states, *"Future rate calculations shall be made in accordance with the Joint Resolution using the methods, assumption, and procedures described and used in the Joint Report"* was included.

For reference purposes, the following documents are included in the appendix of this Report:

- Copy of Leitchfield Utilities Commission's Audit for year ending June 30, 2002;
- Copy of the Agreed Judgment in Civil Action #82-CI-123 dated August 15, 1983 including adopting resolution by the District on July 7, 1985 and by the Commission date August 15. 1983;
- A copy of the March 1988 Joint Report;
- An unsigned copy of the Supplement Agreement that was agreed to by parties subsequent to the acceptance of the March 1988 Joint Report;
- A copy of the December 1993 Joint Report;
- A signed copy of the Second Supplement Agreement that was agreed to by the parties subsequent to the acceptance of the December 1993 Joint Report; and

A copy of the June 4, 1997 Wholesale Water Rate Calculation based on the Joint Resolution as well as provisions of the Second Supplement Agreement.

The procedures used to calculate the wholesale water rate in this Engineering Report are in conformance with the procedures and methods contained in the March 1988 Joint Report and as modified by the Second Supplement Agreement. In accordance therewith, the District's rate is based on its proportional share of expenses incurred by the Commission related to treatment, pumping, storage, and transmission to the District including:

COST COMPONENT	ALLOCATION AS PER
1. OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	JOINT RESOLUTION / JOINT REPORT
2. GENERAL & ADMINISTRATIVE	JOINT RESOLUTION / JOINT REPORT
3. DEBT SERVICE FOR:	
<ul> <li>A. Facilities existing prior to the execution of the Second Supplement Agreement</li> </ul>	JOINT RESOLUTION / JOINT REPORT
<ul> <li>B. Facilities constructed after the execution of the Second Supplement Agreement</li> </ul>	PARAPGRAPH 4 OF THE SECOND SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT
4. INTERN REPLACEMENT	JOINT RESOLUTION / JOINT REPORT

This Report presents details on the various computations for each of these broad expense categories. The test period for this Report is from July 1, 2002 to June 30, 2003. As indicated above, a copy of Leitchfield Utilities Commission's audit for this period is included in the Appendix of this Report. That audit was prepared by Drane & Company, PLLC CPA of Hardinsburg, Kentucky.

### II GALLONS OF WATER PUMPED

As stated in the March 1988 Joint Report, the determination of the total gallons of water to be used as the divisor in a rate structure is a key element in determining an equitable rate. During the course of preparing the March 1988 Joint Report, the respective engineers agreed to a specific definition of the term *"total pumped at the City's WTP"* as used in the 1978 contract. As set forth in that report, *"Total pumped at the City's WTP"* is taken to mean the total quantity pumped from the WTP and available for sale to the District. The March 1988 Joint Report contained certain recommendations relative to the installation of a finished water meter. Subsequent to that recommendation, a finished water meter was installed downstream of all inplant water usages. Therefore, the flow measurements recorded by this finished water meter is the *"Total Pumped at the City's WTP"*.

Listed below is the total water sold by the City, total water pumped by the City, total water purchased by the District for the year ending June 30, 2003.

(Year Ending June 30, 2003)						
Month	Total Sales	Water Pumped	District Purchases			
Jul '02	59,305,960	61,914,564	29,918,000			
Aug '02	54,825,070	57,133,419	29,414,200			
Sep '02	45,163,060	55,886,864	20,412,700			
Oct '02	43,769,670	52,088,936	18,698,700			
Nov '02	36,935,150	50,874,987	15,429,600			
Dec '02	37,631,370	52,073,122	17,150,600			
Jan '03	35,846,700	56,863,802	16,471,800			
Feb '03	38,806,420	52,994,111	18,130,200			
Mar '03	37,695,910	58,938,617	18,706,700			
Apr '03	31,966,750	49,751,111	13,456,300			
May '03	32,116,020	51,436,518	11,457,200			
Jun '03	<u>33,746,900</u>	<u>51,037,040</u>	14,038,400			
Total	487,808,980	650,993,091	223,283,800			
Unaccounted	163,184,111					
Unaccounted	25.1%					
Water sold plu	us 16 % for "Unaccou	nted for Water"	92,915,996			
Adjusted Water Pumped (16 percent Unaccounted Amount) 580,724,976						

#### Water System Sales and Pumped Flow Amounts (Year Ending June 30, 2003)

It was further agreed in the March 1988 Joint Report that if the Commission's "Unaccounted for Water" exceeds 16 percent of "Water Produced for Sale", the "Total Pumped" shall be calculated as if "Unaccounted for Water" equals 16 percent of "Water Produced for Sale". Therefore, since the Commission's "unaccounted for water" amount is greater than 16 percent, the divisor for calculating the wholesale rate shall equal 580,724,976 gallons for the year ending

June 30, 2003. This amount equates to an *"unaccounted for water"* amount of 16 percent. Implement

### III OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

**Table No. 1** below presents the general ledger's operation and maintenance accounts for the years ending June 30, 2002. The costs are grouped in the categories listed in the audit reports prepared by Drane & Company, PLLC and are arranged in columns according to whether they apply to facilities and operations which benefit both the City and the District (Joint Use) or those primarily benefiting only the City's retail customers (City Only). As set forth in the March 1988 Joint Report, the determination of "Joint Use" was based on whether the expenses were related to treatment, pumping, storage, and transmission to the District.

Table 1

Water System Operation & Maintenance Expenses Leitchfield Utilities Commission Year Ending June 30, 2003					
Account Name		Joint Use	City Only	Total	Audit Total
Labor					
Labor - Water			\$146,612		
Water Plant Labor		<u>\$178,279</u>			
S	UBTOTALS	\$178,279	\$146,612	\$324,891	\$353,080
Power & Pumping					
Electric at Water Plant		\$103,175			
Electric for Booster			<u>\$1,076</u>		
S	UBTOTALS	\$103,175	\$1,076	\$104,251	\$131,562
Purification Supplies					
Chemicals for Water Pla	ant	<u>\$100,057</u>	<u>\$0</u>		
S	UBTOTALS	\$100,057	<del>\$0</del>	\$100,057	\$122,522
Supplies & Repairs					
Supplies & Exp. Booster	<sup>r</sup> Sta.	\$0			
Water Tower Expense &	×				
Maintenance		\$3,908			
Repairs to Water Plant		\$12,122			
Supplies for Water Plan		\$21,210			
Materials for Repairs & I Lines	viaintaining	\$3,733	\$25,657		
Materials & Supplies for	Water	ψ0,100	ψ20,007		
Installation	Trater .		\$21,607		
Lagoon Expense		<b>\$0</b>	+= 1,001		
Surveying & Engineering	7	\$3,942			
Landfarming	•				
Water Plant House Expe	ense		<u>\$115</u>		
S	UBTOTALS	<u>\$44,915</u>	\$47,379	<u>\$92,294</u>	<u>\$101,692</u>
	TOTALS	\$426,426	\$195,067	\$621,493	\$708,856

### IV ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

In contrast to the accounts listed in **Table 1**, which apply only to the Water System of Leitchfield Utilities Commission, **Table 2 - General and Administration Expenses** apply to all operations of the Commission. Therefore, the March 1988 Joint Report allocated a portion of General and Administrative Costs to the Water System and then allocated the Water System's portion between "Joint Use" and "City Only".

As stated in the March 1988 Joint Report, 35 percent was used in several instances to allocate General and Administrative Expenses to the Water System. This percentage was from a subjective estimate by personnel of the Leitchfield Utilities Commission whereby various general expense items should be prorated 35 percent to the Water System, 35 percent to the Sewer System, and 30 percent to the Gas System.

Therefore, using the procedures established in previous "Joint Report", allocations of general and administrative expenses are as follows:

		g June 30, 20 Percent	Water		
Account Name	Audited Amount	Water System	System Amount	Percent Joint Use	Joint Use Amount
Rents	\$15,750	35.0%	\$5,513	0.9%	\$50
Salaries - Commissioners	\$24,000	35.0%	\$8,400	20.0%	\$1,680
Salaries - Others	\$201,209	35.0%	\$70,423	20.0%	\$14,085
Insurance	\$105,659	51.3%	\$54,203	59.9%	\$32,468
Payroll Taxes *	\$73,495	40.2%	\$29,574	54.1%	\$16,012
Office Supplies	\$35,402	35.0%	\$12,391	0.9%	\$112
Group Insurance *	\$156,096	40.2%	\$62,813	54.1%	\$34,007
Legal & Accounting	\$9,311	35.0%	\$3,259	0.9%	\$29
Telephone	\$19,656	35.0%	\$6,880	20.0%	\$1,376
Water Bill Adjustment	\$17,211	100.0%	\$17,211	0.0%	\$0
Retirement *	\$63,841	40.2%	\$25,690	54.1%	\$13,908
Office Equipment	\$34,091	35.0%	\$11,932	20.0%	\$2,386
Medical	\$1,631	35.0%	\$571	20.0%	\$114
Miscellaneous *	<u>\$41,162</u>	40.2%	<u>\$16,564</u>	54.1%	<u>\$8,968</u>
Tota	als \$798,514		\$325,422		\$125,194

# Table 2 General and Administrative Expense Allocations Leitchfield Utilities Commission (Year Ending, lune 30, 2003)

\* See Table No. A-2 for calculation of labor related percentages

### V DEBT SERVICE

#### Debt Service for Facilities existing before the Second Supplement Agreement Α.

The Supplement Agreement dated August 4, 1988 included provisions for sharing of debt service costs. As stated in the March 1988 Joint Report, a summary of outstanding bond issues related to the water system is as follows:

### 1965 Bond Issue

Original Issue \$860,000 Water System Portion - 14.2 percent

1976 Bond Issue Original Issue \$240,000 Water System Portion – 100 percent

### 1984 Bond Issue

Original Issue \$614,000 Water System Portion - 69.9 percent

### 1967 Bond Issue

Original Issue \$520,000 Water System Portion - 82.7 percent

### 1979 Bond Issue Original Issue \$2,510,000 Water System Portion - 4.4 percent

In March of 1989, the City of Leitchfield authorized the issuance of Utilities Revenue Refunding Bonds to refund the FmHA bonds of 1979 and 1984. In consideration of this refund, the FmHA reduced the unpaid principal (existing at that time) for the 1979 and 1984 issues from \$2,954,570 to \$2,080,000. It was the determination of the December 1993 Joint Report that 19.8 percent of the 1989 Combined Utilities Refunding Revenue Bond was applicable to the Water System and the remaining portion of the Bond Issue is applicable to the Sewer System.

In addition to the above, the City of Leitchfield, in 1993, issued the 1993 Combined Utilities Refunding Revenues Bonds, which consolidated the 1989 Combined Utilities Refunding Revenue Bonds as well as the 1965, 1967, 1968, 1972, and 1976 bond issues. As part of the June 1997 Joint Report, a determination of the 1993 Combined Utilities Refunding Bonds was made. Listed below is a summary of that determination.

		Table 3	
	Allocation of 1993	<b>Combined Utilities Refunding Bonds</b>	
Original Bond Issue Date	Percent Joint Use Water	Principal, Interest & Call Premium Included in Refunding	Joint Use Water Amount Refunded
1965	14.2%	\$185,490	\$26,340
1967	82.7%	\$544,772	\$450,526
1968	0.0%	\$535,044	\$0
1975	0.0%	\$346,131	\$0
1976	100.0%	\$88,563	\$88,563
1978	0.0%	\$368,288	\$0
1989	19.8%	\$2,904,938	\$575,178
TOTAL		\$4,973,226	\$1,140,607
Percent of 1993 Ref	unding Bonds Related	to Joint Use Water System Facilities	22.9%

Percent of 1993 Refunding Bonds Related to Joint Use Water System Facilities

As indicated above, it was determined that 22.9 percent of the allocated 1993 Combined Utilities Bonds was applicable to joint use water facilities.

Before the 1993 Combined Utilities Bonds, the Commission undertook in 1989 the expansion of the Water System with the addition of a 500,000 gallons elevated storage tank and associated water transmission mains. This work was funded by a CDBG grant, a loan from the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority (KIA) and a \$50,000 payment from the City of Leitchfield. Portions of the transmission lines were determined to be "joint use" facilities. In preparation of the December 1993 Joint Report, it was determined that 57.17 percent of the annual principal and interest expensed on the KIA loan is applicable to joint use facilities.

Listed below is a summary of the 1989 KIA loan and the 1993 Combined Utilities Bonds. As noted therein, the total shared water system debt service for year ending June 30, 2002 is \$116,491.93. With a reduction in District's water purchases, the Commission will have a larger percentage payment and the District will have a reduced percentage.

	1989 KIA	Loan	1993 Refunding Issue		Total Shared Water	
Year	Total	Joint Use	Total	Water	System Debt Service	
2002	\$48,320.31_	\$27,624.72	\$387,475.00	\$88,867.21	\$116,491.93	
2003	\$48,246.41	\$27,582.47	\$379,595.00	\$87,059.93	\$114,642.40	
2004	\$48,170.12	\$27,538.86	\$375,876.25	\$86,207.04	\$113,745.89	
2005	\$48,091.36	\$27,493.83	\$376,157.50	\$86,271.54	\$113,765.37	
2006	\$48,010.07	\$27,447.36	\$370,480.00	\$84,969.41	\$112,416.77	
2007	\$47,926.16	\$27,399.38	\$368,816.25	\$84,587.83	\$111,987.21	
2008	\$47,839.54	\$27,349.86	\$351,431.25	\$80,600.59	\$107,950.45	
2009	\$47,750.13	\$27,298.75	\$348,462.50	\$79,919.71	\$107,218.45	
2010	\$23,840.64	\$13,629.69	\$179,518.75	\$41,172.54	\$54,802.23	
2011			\$174,887.50	\$40,110.36	\$40,110.36	
Total	\$456,586.64	\$261,030.57	\$3,702,305.00	\$849,121.88	\$1,110,152.44	
Allocated	l Percent	57.17%		22.9%		

### Table 3(a) Shared Debt Service Cost Requirement Leitchfield Utilities Commission

### B. Debt Service for Facilities after the Second Supplement Agreement

In the Second Supplement Agreement, the method for payment of the 1995 Bond Issue was changed to the procedures outlined in paragraph 4 of that agreement. As opposed to the variable debt service percentage for debt on facilities existing before the Second Supplement Agreement, the debt percentage for the 1995 Revenue Bonds are fixed.

Listed below in **Table 4** is a determination of the debt percentage applicable to the District as set forth in paragraph 4 of the Second Supplement Agreement and as contained in the Joint

Report dated June 4, 1997. **Table A-2** contains an allocation of water treatment costs and is included in the Appendix of this Report.

.

Leitchfield Util	ities Commission			
	Allocation to			
	Final Cost	District	District Amount	
Contract A - Transmission Main	\$947,899	26.00%	\$246,454	
Contract B - Transmission Main	\$587,315	26.00%	\$152,702	
Contract C - Water Treatment Plant (See Table A-			_	
2)	\$685,892	31.46%	\$215,782	
Raw Water Intake Facility	\$351,500	26.00%	\$91,390	
Easement Costs for Water Transmission Mains	\$4,925	26.00%	\$1,281	
SUBTOTAL - Construction Related Items	\$2,577,531		\$707,608	
District's Average Percentage of Construction		27.45%		
Other Project Costs				
Engineering Fees	\$248,592			
Net Interim Financing (KLC)	\$109,650			
Raw Water Intake Interim Financing	\$9,257			
Legal Fees	\$20,155			
SUBTOTAL - Other Project Costs	\$387,654			
Percent of Other Project Costs Allocated to District		27.45%_		
Amount of Other Project Costs Allocated to District		_	\$106,422.38	
- Total Project Costs	\$2,965,185	=	\$814,030	
Original Loan Amount	\$2,880,000			
Percentage of Loan Allocated to District	•••	28.26%		

## Table 4Allocation of 1995 Combined Utilities Revenues BondsLeitchfield Utilities Commission

Listed below is a partial listing of the annual debt service costs for the 1995 Revenue Bonds and the 28.26 percent allocation to the District. The allocation of these debt service costs are fixed and do not change with changes in flows.

Table 5 Debt Service Allocation for 1995 Revenue Bonds Leitchfield Utilities Commission					
Year	Total	<b>District's Allocation</b>			
2003	\$158,605.00	\$44,821.77			
2004	\$159,030.00	\$44,941.88			
2005	\$158,387.50	\$44,760.31			
2006	\$158,677.50	\$44,842.26			
2007	\$158,877.50	\$44,898.78			
2008	\$158,010.00	\$44,653.63			

### VI INTERIM REPLACEMENT COSTS

Included in the March 1988 Joint Report was a tabulation of items taken from the depreciation schedule of the Commission. These types of items were selected in the March 1988 Joint Report to be included for joint participation based on *"a review of individual transactions to verify benefits both to the District and City"*. Previous Joint Reports have updated these items to reflect additional items and remove those items completely depreciated."

A summary of the depreciation schedule for joint use facilities not covered by bonded debt is contained in Table A-3, which is included in the Appendix of this Report. As presented therein, the joint participation costs is \$52,936.18 for the year ending June 30, 2003.

### VII SUMMARY AND RATE COMPUTATIONS

Table 6 presents a summary of the expenses considered in this study and the actual computation of the wholesale water rate applicable to the District for the year ending June 30, 2002. The divisor (546,834,196 gallons) in the rate computation is the total quantity of water as discussed in the previous section entitled Gallons of Water Pumped and 223,293,800 gallons as the annual amount purchased by the District during year ending June 30, 2003.

Year Ending June 30, 2003				
	Joint Use		Rate Per	
Expense Category	Allocation	Divisor	1,000 Gals	
<b>Operation &amp; Maintenance</b>	\$426,426	580,724.976	\$0.734	
General & Administrative	\$125,194	580,724.976	\$0.216	
Replacement Cost	\$52,936	580,724.976	\$0.091	
Debt Service prior to 6/9/94	\$114,642	580,724.976	\$0.197	
Debt Service after 6/9/94	<u>\$44,822</u>	223,283.800	<u>\$0.201</u>	
Totals	\$764,020		\$1.439	

# Table 6 Summary of Expense Allocations and Rate Computation Year Ending June 30, 2003

APPENDIX

L

.

### **APPENDIX 1**

٠

,

TABLES A-1, A-2, & A-3

### Table No. A-1 Summary of Direct labor Expenses Leitchfield Utilities Commission

•

•

### Year Ending June 30, 2003

Utility	Amount	Percent
Water	\$353,080	40.24%
Sewer	\$314,607	35.85%
Gas	<u>\$209,784</u>	<u>23.91%</u>
	\$877,471	100.00%
Water System	Amount	Percent
Water System	\$161,919	45.86%
Water Plant	\$ <u>191,161</u>	<u>54.14%</u>
	\$353,080	100.00%

Table No. A-2					
Contract C - Water Treatment Plant Additions and Modifications					

	Γ	Distribution of Cor		kdown to (	Contract's	Capacity Allo	cations
		Common	Raw Water	Settling		Bashwash	High Service
Items and Amounts of Contractor's Breakdown		Const. Items	Main	Basin	Filter	Retention	Facilities
Bonds and Insurance	\$38,000	\$38,000					
Supervision	\$36,000	\$36,000					
Mobilization	\$4,000	\$4,000					
Lagoon Expansion	\$22,000					\$22,000	
Structure Cut Filter Bldg.	\$8,000				\$8,000		
Final Grade	\$2,000	\$2,000			• - ,		
Bituminous Paving	\$4,000	\$4,000					
Seeding	\$3,000	\$3,000					
Concrete: Filter Bldg.	\$65,000	\$0,000			\$65,000		
Precast Roof Filter Bldg	\$2,800				\$2,800		
Masonry: Filter Bldg.					\$15,000		
	\$15,000	<b>*</b> 0.000			\$15,000		
Misc. Metals	\$6,000	\$6,000			<b>#F</b> 000		
Membrane Roofing Filter Bldg.	\$5,000	~	1		\$5,000		
Hollow Metal Doors\Frames	\$1,200				\$1,200		
Windows	\$1,200				\$1,200		
Painting	\$10,000	\$10,000					
Elevator	\$25,445	\$25,445					
Electric	\$59,655	\$59,655					
Exterior Ductile Iron Piping	\$52,622				\$52,622		
Interior Ductile Iron Piping	\$12,000						\$12,000
Raw Water Line	\$63,000		\$63,000				
Valves	\$10,000			-			\$10,000
Filter Equipment	\$53,000				\$53,000		
Instrumentation & Filter Valves	\$160,000				\$160,000		
Tube Settlers	\$23,550		-	\$23,550			
		1	-	<b>\$20,000</b>			
Sub-total Amount of Unit Price Items 2 thru 5	\$682,472				ļ		
	\$58,050						1
Amount of Unit Price Items 6 thru 9	\$10,450						
Amount of Original Bid	\$750,972						
Items and amount added by Change Order 2							
Emergency Overflow Pipe for Lagoon	\$1,413					\$1,413	
Reroute Chlorine thru Building (Safety Prob.)	\$507	\$507					
Insulation of Filter Influent Pipe	\$1,500				\$1,500		
Subtotal	\$3,420				1		
Amount deleted by change orders 1 & 2	\$68,500						ļ
Final Contract Amount	\$685,892	\$188,607					
Total of Allocated Cost	\$497,285		\$63,000	\$23,550	\$365,322	\$23,413	\$22,000
Percent of Allocated Cost to \$497,285			12.67%	4.74%	73.46%	4.71%	4.42%
Cost to be Prorated	\$188,607						
Summary of Prorated Cost			\$23,897	\$8,940	\$138,551	\$8,883	\$8,336
Totals of Allocated Costs	\$685,892		\$86,897		\$503,873		
Percent to be Allocated to District	\$000,00Z		26.00%		31.70%		
Construction Cost Allocated to District	\$015 00A						
Construction Cost Anocated to District	\$215,804		\$22,593	φ11,43C	\$159,728	\$11,368	\$10,678

### Table A-3 Depreciation Schedule Leitchfield Utilities Commission Capitalized Items not Covered by Bonded Debt Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2003

١

.

		FIS	ical rear Endir	ng June 30, 200 Joint Use	3		Joint Use		
Description	Total Cost	Method	Rate/Yrs	Percent	Prior	Current	Cost	Accumulated	Remaining
Grayson Co. Water District - 1975	36,321.65	SL	0.020	100.00%	19,674.17	726.43	726.43	20,400.60	15,921.05
Extended Filter - 1977	3,725.00	SL	0.030	100.00%	2,858.94	111.75	111.75	2,970.69	754.31
Booster Station & Add 1980	139,906.02	SL	0.030	100.00%	90,592.14	4,197.18	4,197.18	94,789.32	45,116.70
1981-82	137,863.31	SL	0.030	37.68%	86,827.65	4,135.90	1,558.41	90,963.55	46,899.76
Emergency Pump - 1982	13,642.00	SL	0.030	100.00%	8,185.20	409.26	409.26	8,594.46	5,047.54
Pump Install - 1982	5,746.00	SL	0.030	100.00%	3,433.24	172.38	172.38	3,605.62	2,140.38
Power Moniters & Relays - 1982	582.36	SL	0.030	100.000	347.94	17.47	1,747.00	365.41	216.95
Blast & Paint Tower	3,450.00	SL	0.030	100.00%	2,052.75	103.50	103.50	2,156.25	1,293.75
Electric Check Valves, 7-82	3,593.01	SL	0.030	100.00%	2,146.82	107.79	107.79	2,254.61	1,338.40
Pumps Installed, 5-82	8,911.80	SL	0.030	100.00%	5,124.22	267.35	267.35	5,391.57	3,520.23
Lightning Damage	7,570.61	SL	0.030	100.00%	4,334.21	227.12	227.12	4,561.33	3,009.28
Motor Repair, 5-84	1,836.30	SL	0.025	100.00%	832.11	45.91	45.91	878.02	958.28
Plumbing at Station, 6-84	970.00	SL	0.030	100.00%	525.01	29.10	29.10	554.11	415.89
1985-86 Expenditures Capitalized	148,816.41	SL	0.030	12.60%	61,474.29	19,126.45	2,409.93	80,600.74	68,215.67
1986-87 Capitalization	58,854.83	SL	0.020	18.95%	18,833.60	1,177.10	223.06	20,010.70	38,844.13
1987-88 Capitalized Expenses	17,233.52	SL	0.020	20.00%	5,170.05	344.67	68.93	5,514.72	11,718.80
1988-89 Additions	109,370.34	SL	0.020	20.00%	30,623.74	2,187.41	437.48	32,811.15	76,559.19
Sludge truck (Contrib Capital)	56,899.00	SL	0.020	100.00%	2,275.96	1,137.98	1,137.98	3,413.94	53,485.06
1990-91 Additions	104,664.20	SL	0.040	20.00%	50,238.84	4,186.57	837.31	54,425.41	50,238.79
1990-91 Water Tower	455,299.84	SL	0.020	100.00%	109,275.68	9,106.00	9,106.00	118,381.68	336,918.16
1995-96 Tube settler	15,748.00	SL	0.040	100.00%	4,094.48	629.92	629.92	4,724.40	11,023.60
96-97 Water intake completion	63,000.00	SL	0.040	100.00%	13,860.00	2,520.00	2,520.00	16,380.00	46,620.00
96-97 bronze gear	3,169.92	SL	0.100	100.00%	1,743.45	316.99	316.99	2,060.44	1,109.48
96-97 clarifier rebuilt	8,676.17	SL	0.100	100.00%	4,771.91	867.62	867.62	5,639.53	3,036.64
96-97 repaint water plant	5,200.00	SL	0.100	100.00%	2,860.00	520.00	520.00	3,380.00	1,820.00
97-98 raw water pump repairs	3,500.89	SL	0.100	100.00%	1,750.45	350.09	350.09	2,100.54	1,400.35
97-98 high svc vertical motor repairs	6,413.72		0.100	100.00%	3,206.85	641.37	641.37	3,848.22	2,565.50
98-99 ROF valve repair at plant	4,435.28	SL	0.100	100.00%	1,330.58	443.53	443.53	1,774.11	2,661.17
98-99 repair to to high service pump	5,512.21	SL	0.100	100.00%	1,653.66	551.22	551.22	2,204.88	3,307.33
99-00 raw water pump repair	18,757.00	SL	0.100	100.00%	4,689.25	1,875.70	1,875.70	6,564.95	12,192.05
99-00 pump repairs	4,261.44	SL	0.100	100.00%	1,065.35	426.14	426.14	1,491.49	2,769.95
00-01 Rebuild pump	10,696.00	SL	0.100	100.00%	1,604.40	1,069.60	1,069.60	2,674.00	8,022.00
01-02 Roof-water plant	15,740.00		0.040	100.00%	314.80	629.60	629.60	944.40	14,795.60
02-03 Flocculator shafts/seals	9,447.00	SL	0.100	100.00%		472.35	472.35	472.35	8,974.65
02-03 Pump - seal packing	3,228.00	SL	0.100	100.00%		161.40	161.40	161.40	3,066.60
02-03 Painting water towers	<u>526,103.17</u>	SL	0.067	100.00%		<u>17,536.77</u>	17,536.77	<u>17,536.77</u>	508,566.40
TOTAL	2,019,145.00				547,771.74	76,829.62	52,936.18	624,601.36	1,394,543.64

### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

PURCHASED WATER ADJUSTMENT OF GRAYSON COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

CASE NO. 2004-00291

### ORDER

On July 22, 2004, Grayson County Water District ("Grayson County") applied for approval to adjust its rates pursuant to the purchased water adjustment procedure. KRS 278.015 and 807 KAR 5:068.

The Commission, having reviewed the record and being sufficiently advised, finds that:

1. Grayson County purchases water from the city of Leitchfield ("Leitchfield"). Leitchfield, a municipal water system, notified Grayson County that its wholesale water rate would increase pursuant to previous agreements with Grayson County. On July 30, 2004, Leitchfield increased its rate from \$1.274 per 1,000 gallons to \$1.439 per 1,000 gallons.

2. During the 12 months ending June 30, 2004, Grayson County purchased 144,478,500 gallons of water from Leitchfield, produced 206,482,178 gallons, and sold 311,424,103 gallons. The increase in the cost of purchased water is \$23,839, resulting in a purchased water adjustment of \$0.08 per 1,000 gallons. Based on an average monthly usage of 5,000 gallons, a customer's monthly bill will increase \$0.40 from \$32.49 to \$32.89 or 1.23%.

The purchased water adjustment of \$0.08 per 1,000 gallons is fair, just, 3. and reasonable and should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The purchased water adjustment of \$0.08 per 1,000 gallons is approved. 1.

The retail rates for Grayson County in Appendix A, attached hereto and 2. incorporated herein, are fair, just, and reasonable and are approved for services rendered on and after August 11, 2004.

The proposed tariff sheets filed by Grayson County are accepted as filed. 3.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2004.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

Case No. 2004-00291

### APPENDIX A

### APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2004-00291 DATED AUGUST 23, 2004

The following rates and charges are prescribed for the customers in the area served by Grayson County Water District. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein shall remain the same as those in effect under authority of the Commission prior to the effective date of this Order.

### Monthly Water Rates

5/8 Inch x 3/4 Inch Meter

First	1,500	gallons
Next	8,500	gallons
Next	40,000	gallons
Next	100,000	gallons
Over	150,000	gallons

Minimum Bills for other sized meters:

000 gallons
000 gallons
,000 gallons
,000 gallons
,000 gallons
,000 gallons
,000 gallons
,000 gallons
,000 gallons

\$12.87 Minimum Bill 5.72 per 1,000 gallons 4.73 per 1,000 gallons 3.96 per 1,000 gallons 3.19 per 1,000 gallons

\$21.90 Minimum Bill 33.81 Minimum Bill 67.98 Minimum Bill 97.74 Minimum Bill 189.09 Minimum Bill 289.20 Minimum Bill 537.41 Minimum Bill 813.75 Minimum Bill 2,084.79 Minimum Bill