



A Touchstone Energy[®] Cooperative 

RECEIVED

JUL 31 2020

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

July 31, 2020

Mr. Kent Chandler
Acting Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

RE: In the Matter of the Application of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. To Transfer Functional Control Of Certain Transmission Facilities To PJM Interconnection, Inc., PSC Case No. 2012-00169

Dear Mr. Chandler:

Pursuant to Ordering Paragraphs No. 2 and No.5 of the April 24, 2019 Order of the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") in Case No. 2012-00169, where redacted materials filed on July 31, 2015 were granted confidential protection and ordered not to be placed in the public record or made available for public inspection for five years from the date the original materials were filed, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKPC") wishes to file revised pages reflecting as unredacted the designated materials subject to said confidential protection.

Please contact me if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Patrick Woods".

Patrick Woods
Director of Regulatory and Compliance Services

Enclosures



July 31, 2015

Kentucky Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 615
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, KY 40602

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Attn: Mr. Jeff Derouen, Executive Director

RE: *In the Matter of the Application of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. to Transfer Functional Control of Certain Transmission Facilities to PJM Interconnection, LLC, P.S.C. Case No. 2012-00169 - Annual Report of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.*

Dear Mr. Derouen,

In accordance with the December 20, 2012 Order of the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") in the above-styled case, and as modified by the May 14, 2015 Order in Case No. 2015-00116, please accept this as the annual report of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKPC") regarding its participation in the PJM Interconnection, LLC ("PJM") for the operating year June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015. In accordance with the Orders, I would request that you place this annual report in EKPC's post-case correspondence file. With regard to the four specific topics of interest in the Commission's December 20, 2012 Order, I can report as follows.

Transmission Rights Awarded and Purchased

EKPC received Auction Revenue Rights ("ARRs"), based on its load requirements, during the annual allocation in April 2014. Those ARRs are used to obtain Financial Transmission Rights ("FTRs") to hedge the transmission congestion costs to serve EKPC's load throughout the delivery year. The ARRs can either be self-scheduled into FTRs or can be financially settled in the daily market and that revenue is used to purchase additional FTRs or used to off-set congestion costs. Attached is an Excel file (EKPC Auction Results 14_15.xls) on the enclosed CD, with the amount of Financial Transmission Rights ("FTRs") that EKPC had in total during the delivery year June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015. The spreadsheet also shows the costs for the FTRs purchased and the value of the FTRs "self-scheduled". The values are listed for the 5x16 portion, which includes values applicable Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. through 10:00 p.m. The "wrap" is the off peak hours of 11:00 p.m. through 6:00 a.m. from Monday through Friday, plus the entire 24 hours on Saturday and Sunday. EKPC estimates the value of the ARRs and FTRs to its members from June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015 to be roughly **\$2.6 million**. This value has been included in the Trade Benefits described later in this report.

4775 Lexington Rd. 40391
P.O. Box 707, Winchester,
Kentucky 40392-0707

Tel. (859) 744-4812
Fax: (859) 744-6008
www.ekpc.coop

Description of Hedging Plans and Strategies

EKPC utilizes services from ACES to assist with the hedging plans and strategies for transmission congestion. Each month, EKPC, with assistance from ACES, analyzes its expected transmission positions and needs through the remainder of the delivery year (June 1 through May 31). Based on this analysis, bids are developed for the monthly FTR auctions. EKPC plans to hedge up to 25% of its FTR needs in the Long Term Auctions (3 year auctions), an additional 25% (up to 50%) in the annual auctions (covering the delivery year from June 1 through May 31), an additional 25% (up to 75%) in the quarterly auctions and the final 25% (up to 100%) in the monthly auctions. This strategy allows EKPC to layer its exposure to different price points in the market and to take advantage of potential low-cost opportunity markets. It also allows EKPC to adjust its expected needs based on actual loads realized in the near term and adjust its expectations as needed, so that its FTR position is not over-hedged in the market. EKPC's strategy is to match its FTR position as closely to its load serving requirements as possible to minimize its exposure to congestion costs. Transmission congestion within the EKPC system has not been a significant issue since joining PJM.

Regarding Hedging Plans and Strategy for Market Prices for Capacity and Energy, EKPC's strategy is to fully hedge its price exposure in the capacity auction based on its load requirements and to sell all excess capacity for additional revenues. EKPC must purchase capacity based on its Net System Peak Load ("NSPL"). NSPL is based on EKPC's native load requirements coincident with the PJM summer peak load. EKPC will pay the same amount for its NSPL requirements on a \$/MW-Day basis as it sells its capacity. Thus, EKPC's price exposure is hedged in the capacity market as long as its generation available to sell is equal to or greater than its NSPL. EKPC realizes additional value from the capacity auction by having excess capacity to sell. EKPC is a price taker on the excess capacity it sells.

EKPC's strategy for hedging its energy prices is to actively manage its expected cost to serve and minimize its risk exposure to price spikes. EKPC models and reviews its energy price exposure on a monthly basis, looking forward three years. EKPC utilizes a production cost model to estimate its energy price exposure within the PJM market. The model considers the expected fuel and operations costs for the EKPC generation fleet and compares those to expected market prices. This comparison determines if EKPC's generation is economic to operate, provides an estimation of how much the EKPC generation fleet will run and defines how much EKPC can expect to pay for its load requirements. Based on the model results, EKPC identifies potential forward purchases or sales that could lower its expected risk profile of its energy costs. This data also provides a view for EKPC's fuel procurement process, which then determines how much fuel should be purchased to ensure adequate and cost effective supplies.

Additionally, EKPC's Market Operations Center follows load and energy market trends daily and identifies opportunities to lower its net operating costs during the Day Ahead and Real Time markets.

Prior Year’s Benefits and Costs of PJM Membership

In the following table, EKPC identifies its costs and benefits from June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015. The Administrative Costs and Transmission Costs are based on accounting entries in EKPC’s General Ledger and reflect actual out of pocket costs. Trade Benefits are based on a detailed modeling effort. EKPC utilized its production cost model (RTSim – the same model used for its Integrated Resource Plan analysis) and simulated what its operations as a stand-alone Balancing Authority would have cost and compared that to the actual costs from operating within PJM. EKPC modeled actual loads, actual prices, actual generating unit availability statistics, and estimated transmission availability from outside resources. This methodology is similar to the methodology utilized in the study completed and entered into EKPC’s request to the Commission to join PJM. The difference being that the PJM costs are now actually a known quantity instead of an estimated price. Capacity Benefits are based on the actual cleared Reliability Pricing Model (“RPM”) results and are shown on the monthly PJM invoice. The Avoided Point to Point Transmission Charges are based on the contract that EKPC had with PJM to purchase 400 MW of firm transmission and the published tariff associated with that purchase, it does not include any additional charges for actual energy transactions on the transmission. The original estimate of these costs and benefits were provided on a ten year Net Present Value basis and the following table is only for the twelve month operational period from June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2015.

<u>Category</u>	<u>Costs</u>	<u>Benefits</u>
Administrative Costs	\$4.5 million	
Transmission Costs	\$7.7 million	
Trade Benefits		\$18.3 million
Capacity Benefits		\$0.7 million
Avoided PTP Transmission Charges		\$7.6 million
Subtotal	\$12.2 million	\$26.6 million
Net Benefits		\$14.4 million

Projection of Future Benefits and Costs of PJM Membership

Finally, the December 20, 2012 Order directs EKPC to provide “a projection of future benefits and costs reflecting the most recent PJM capacity auction results.” EKPC substituted known cost and benefit data into the worksheet used in the original analysis to project future benefits and costs. The original study was time and resource intensive and EKPC has no reason to believe the underlying basis of the analysis has changed significantly except for the actual costs and benefits that have been realized. The following table reflects inclusion of actual data along with original projections for the remainder of the study. The net benefits have diminished some due to the lower-than-anticipated value of the capacity market in 2016/17. The clearing

Mr. Jeff Derouen
July 31, 2015
Page 4

price for the 2017/18 Base Residual Auction was \$120/MW-Day, which is closer to the original assumptions than the previous year's price.

Additionally, the first two years of Trade Benefits have been significantly greater than the projected value and the market indicates that the likelihood of this trend continuing makes sense.

June 1, 2013 through December 31, 2022

Category	Costs (Original/Updated)	Benefits (Original/Updated)
Administrative Costs	\$48.3MM/\$43.5MM	
Transmission Costs	\$53MM/\$55.1MM	
Trade Benefits		\$40MM/\$67.1MM
Capacity Benefits		\$137MM/\$108.4MM
Avoided PTP Transmission Charges		\$56.1MM/\$56.1MM
Subtotal	\$101.3MM/\$98.6MM	\$233.1MM/\$231.6MM
Net Benefits		\$131.9MM/\$133MM

On behalf of EKPC, I would be delighted to address any further questions that the Commission might have with regard to the company's ongoing participation in PJM. We continue to believe that participation in PJM will allow EKPC to realize long-term value for its Members. Please feel free to contact me if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,



Patrick Woods
Director, Regulatory & Compliance Services

Enc.