

From: [PSC Public Comment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413
Date: Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:37:00 AM

Case No. 2023-00413

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2023-00413 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Todd Blevins [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2024 3:30 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

Dear PSC Commissioners,

Public Comment: Duke Energy Case #2023-00413

I have yet to understand why utility companies like Duke continue to do everything in their power to deny solar homeowners a fair rate for the energy that they put back onto the grid. I have had solar on my home since 2021, and while I am delighted that this proposal does not appear to affect my net metering rate (at least for another 22 years or so), I am extremely frustrated that Duke - even after the Commission has reined in similar attempts before it - continues to ask the Commission for a handout.

Thankfully, you have the power to deny such a handout, or at the very least severely limit it. I hope you will continue to side with consumers who, like me, just want to be as free from Big Utility as possible while being compensated for the energy we create.

Sincerely,
Todd Blevins

[REDACTED]

|

From: [PSC Public Comment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413
Date: Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:36:00 AM

Case No. 2023-00413

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2023-00413 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Danica Novgorodoff [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2024 11:56 AM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear PSC Commissioners,

Public Comment: Duke Energy Case #2023-00413

We can meet our electricity needs, both now and in the future, through renewable energy, and rooftop solar can help us get to those goals. Rooftop solar will also put us on track to meeting our climate commitments, and stop destroying our chances for a sustainable future. Utilities should encourage and support rooftop solar through net metering projects rather than blocking them. This is so important for our building a sustainable economy and society.

Sincerely,
Danica Novgorodoff
[REDACTED]

|

From: [PSC Public Comment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Comment on Case 2023-00413
Date: Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:35:00 AM

Case No. 2023-00413

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2023-00413 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 4:11 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Comment on Case 2023-00413

Dear PSC. Comment on **Case 2023-00413**

Duke Energy is proposing to alter their Net Metering policies in such a way that can be described as "instantaneous netting" or "two channel billing". Whatever name is given to their plan, the result is that the value customers get from their solar panels depends on the time of day that they use electricity in their home. As a result, different customers who install the same size solar system and use the same number of kwh of energy each month, could receive different value from their panels. Specifically, a customer who is typically away from their home in the day and uses most of their energy at night (e.g. does their laundry, runs their AC at night), will benefit *less* from their panels than customers who are home during the day, and use most of their energy during the day, even if both customers use the same total amount of energy during the month, and their panels generate the same amount during the month. This makes no sense and nowhere in the Kentucky Net Metering statute does it state that compensation depends on customers timing their energy use with the moment of their solar generation. When customers purchase solar panels they should be doing so in such a way that the size of their system matches the amount of energy they use per month. They should not have consider timing their usage. The new method requires perfect timing for optimal compensation, which is unfair and unrealistic.

This new method makes it virtually impossible for customers and installers to calculate the number of years required to recover an investment in solar panels. This violates accepted standards for rate setting.

The PSC must understand that the key difference in NM1 and the proposed NM2, that impacts customer compensation, is not whether the netting is based on kwh directly or based on dollar value of the netted kwh. Rather the key difference is that NM2 makes compensation depend on timing of usage.

Ronald Mawby

[REDACTED]

Lexington, KY 40502

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [PSC Public Comment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413
Date: Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:35:00 AM

Case No. 2023-00413

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2023-00413 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Elizabeth Butler [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 2:14 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear PSC Commissioners,

Big Rivers IRP Public Comment #2023-00310

Didn't Big Rivers learn their lesson about overbuilding their generation capacity the last time? What a risky idea to do it again. Dismissing advancing wind and battery technology and pursuing chancy carbon capture schemes may in the long run lead to another bankruptcy situation and is certainly not in the best interest of the end user customers, who paid extra to rescue them last time.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Butler

[REDACTED]

Henderson city utility

|