

From: [PSC Public Comment](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413
Date: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 10:26:00 AM

Case No. 2023-00413

Thank you for your comments on the application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00413, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2023-00413 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Case No. 2023-00404

Thank you for your comments on the application of KU-LGE. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2023-00404, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2023-00404 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Lisa Schoenbachler [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 25, 2024 9:36 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2023-00413

This Message Originated from Outside the Organization

[Report Suspicious](#)

This Message Is From an External Sender.

Dear PSC Commissioners,

LG&E-KU Net Metering Public Comment Case #2023-00404

OUR ELECTRIC BILL COMES ONCE A MONTH, SOLAR ACCOUNTING SHOULD USE THE SAME TIME

FRAME.

I have to ask why LG&E would propose a change here - it is certainly not because it will benefit their customers. It is fair, just and reasonable to use a monthly "netting" process to account for the amount of electricity we are charged and credited for on a monthly basis, since that is how LG&E bills us. This process is easy and straightforward for customers, solar installers and utility companies and their billing software. It recognizes the full benefit to the customer-generator of the amount of electricity generated throughout the billing period and it is in line with the state statute that empowers the PSC to set net metering compensation rates. Using anything besides a monthly netting accounting method will harm solar customers and only serves the utility company in undermining rooftop solar.

LG&E is hardly looking out for their customers - they are trying to push through a horrible high-pressure gas line through the irreplaceable Bernheim Forest in Bullitt County - that is a travesty. The very idea of proposing new fossil fuel infrastructure as we hurtle towards the point of no return in the climate crisis makes me suspect of ANY proposal from LG&E and if I had ANY other alternative utility provider to use, I would do so! Please reject this proposal. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Lisa Schoenbachler

[REDACTED]

LG&E

|