GERALD WUETCHER DIRECT DIAL: (859) 231-3017 DIRECT FAX: (859) 259-3517 gerald.wuetcher@skofirm.com

> 300 WEST VINE STREET SUITE 2100 LEXINGTON, KY 40507-1801 MAIN: (859) 231-3000 FAX: (859) 253-1093

June 24, 2021

Ms. Linda C. Bridwell, P.E. Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602-0615

Re: McCreary County Water District – Revisions to Sewer Tariff

Dear Ms. Bridwell:

McCreary County Water District ("McCreary District") gives notice of a proposed revision to its Rules and Regulations pertaining to sewer service.

McCreary District's regulations currently limit the maximum daily concentration of antimony in the wastewater discharge of a significant industrial user to 0.09 milligrams per liter (mg/l). Pursuant to the General Pretreatment Regulations, 40 CFR Part 403, McCreary District must periodically review its effluent discharge limitations for their technical basis and revise its Rules and Regulations if necessary to continue in compliance with federal and state regulations. In November 2020 McCreary District conducted a re-evaluation of its limits on antimony in significant industrial users' discharges and determined that the limit could be increased to 9.61 mg/l. A copy of this re-evaluation is enclosed as Exhibit A. McCreary District submitted this re-evaluation to the Kentucky Division of Water ("KDOW"), which on November 24, 2020 gave conditional approval to the proposed revision to the maximum daily concentration limit. On January 6, 2021, the KDOW gave its final approval to the revision after completion of the 30-day public comment period on the proposal. A copy of the letter granting final approval is attached as Exhibit B.

McCreary District proposes to revise Sheet 24 of its "Rates, Charges, Rules and Regulations for Furnishing Sewer Service" to reflect the increased limit for antimony. No other revisions are proposed. A revised Sheet 24 is enclosed.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:011, Section 11, notice of the proposed tariff revisions was first published in *McCreary County Voice* on June 17, 2021 and will be published in the next two consecutive editions of that publication. A copy of the notice has also been posted to McCreary



Ms. Linda C. Bridwell, P.E. June 24, 2021 Page 2

District's website. A copy of the notice is enclosed as Exhibit C. Evidence of the publication will be provided upon completion of the required notice.

McCreary District's Board of Commissioners has authorized the proposed revision. A copy of the resolution authorizing the proposed revising and directing the filing of the revised tariff sheet is enclosed as Exhibit D.

McCreary District proposes that the proposed versions become effective on July 14, 2021, or twenty days from the date of their submission. KRS 278.180 requires that a utility provide the Public Service Commission with notice of any change in its rate schedules at least thirty (30) days prior to its proposed effective date, but permits the Commission to shorten the notice period to twenty (20) days upon a showing of good cause.

McCreary District respectfully submits that good cause exists for the shortened period. The state agency with primary jurisdiction over water quality matters has approved the proposed revision. Residents of McCreary County were previously provided with published notice of the proposed revision and given the opportunity to comment on the proposal. No written comments were made. The shortened period will permit a major manufacturer in McCreary County to more quickly expand its operations, thus benefitting the local economy.

Please contact me if Commission Staff has any questions regarding the proposed revision or if additional information is required.

Sincerely,

Stoll Keenon Ogden PLLC

1) hoteler

Gerald E. Wuetcher

GEW Enclosures

- 1. Local Limits Evaluation
- 2. KDOW Letter of 01/06/2021
- 3. Revised Tariff Sheet
- 4. Published Notice
- 5. Board of Commissioners Resolution

McCREARY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT PRETREATMENT PROGRAM

LOCAL LIMITS EVALUATION

NOVEMBER 2020

PREPARED BY CYNTHIA LEASOR, PRINCIPAL ENGINEER

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS LLC 1376 DANVILLE LOOP 1 ROAD NICHOLASVILLE, KENTUCKY 40356 859-885-3331 cleasor@hallenvironmental.net

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	LOCAL LIMITS EVALUATION	1
II.	ATTACHMENT A - KDOW LOCAL LIMITS EVALUATION FORM	8
III.	ATTACHMENT B - KENTUCKY WATER QUALITY CRITERIA	22
IV.	ATTACHMENT C - KPDES PERMIT NO. KY0097837	25
V.	ATTACHMENT D - 2019 DOMESTIC SAMPLE RESULTS	29
VI.	ATTACHMENT E - SUMMARY OF 2020 TOXIC SCANS	37
VII.	ATTACHMENT F - WWTP PROCESS SCHEMATIC	39

McCREARY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT PRETREATMENT PROGRAM

LOCAL LIMITS EVALUATION FOR ANTIMONY

I. INTRODUCTION

The McCreary County Water District (MCWD) owns and operates a municipal wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) treating domestic wastewater. An industrial user constructed a facility in the District and began discharging process wastewater to the MCWD treatment facility in 2019. In accordance with 401 KAR 5:055 and 40 CFR 403, MCWD is implementing an approved Pretreatment Program.

As part of this program, the MCWD Rules and Regulations contain wastewater discharge limitations, i.e., Local Limits, calculated to control the quantity and quality of the industrial process wastewater that is discharged to the municipal sanitary sewer system. This evaluation was conducted following the Kentucky Division of Water's amendment of the water quality standard for antimony.

MCWD will routinely conduct an evaluation of the Local Limits in order to ensure that the technical basis for each industrial discharge limit is adequate and the limits are protective of the receiving stream and WWTP treatment processes and biosolids. An evaluation is required at least once every five (5) years or with reapplication for the WWTP's KPDES permit. This evaluation has been conducted for the WWTP in accordance with state and federal regulations and is being submitted to the KDOW for review and approval.

1

II. EVALUATION PROCEDURE

The calculations used in this evaluation were performed using an EXCEL computer model developed to meet all requirements of 40 CFR 403, as well as being compatible with KDOW requirements. This computer model is designed to calculate the local limits in accordance with the KDOW's and the U.S. EPA's requirements, guidance and recommendations. The forms recommended by the KDOW and a copy of the results of this model are included in Attachment A of this report. The procedures that were followed and assumptions made during this reevaluation are described briefly in the following paragraphs.

A. Technical Criteria

This evaluation is technically based using four (4) different criteria to achieve and maintain the three (3) primary goals of the Federal Pretreatment Program. These criteria are as follows:

- Kentucky Water Quality Standards to protect the receiving stream;
- <u>KPDES Permit Limitations</u> to maintain compliance with the WWTP's KPDES permit limits and protection of the receiving stream;
- Federal Biosolids Ceiling Criteria to protect biosolids quality; and,
- Federal Inhibition Criteria to protect the WWTP and its operations.

A brief description of each of these criteria and its basis is as follows:

(1) <u>Kentucky Water Quality Standards</u> - Kentucky's water quality standards for antimony are used in this evaluation to ensure that the receiving water body, an unnamed tributary of Bridge Fork, is protected and warm water aquatic criteria are consistently achieved. These chronic and acute criteria were obtained from the DOW's Steady-State Wasteload Allocation Model (SSTWAM) for the unnamed tributary of Bridge Fork. A copy of the SSTWAM model for the receiving water body is included in Attachment B.

- (2) <u>KPDES Permit Limitations</u> Where applicable, the effluent permit limitations as given in the WWTP's KPDES Permit No. KY0097837 are used in this evaluation to ensure that compliance with these limitations will be maintained consistently. A copy of the permit is included in Attachment C.
- (3) <u>40 CFR 503 Federal Biosolids Regulations</u> The biosolids generated by the WWTP are currently being beneficial reused through land application at the MCWD's permitted landfarm. Therefore, in order to protect the quality of the biosolids for final disposal using beneficial reuse, the federal biosolids ceiling criteria as contained in 40 CFR 503, given as "Ceiling Limits", were used in this reevaluation.
- (3) Inhibition criteria For this reevaluation, inhibition criteria were used to ensure the protection of the WWTP and its operations from upset and interference. The inhibition criterion for antimony was determined from site-specific data collected at the MCWD WWTP. Based upon Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing as well as influent and effluent monitoring conducted at the WWTP throughout 2020, it was determined that a concentration greater than 6 mg/l caused toxicity to the microorganisms within the treatment systems of the WWTP and in addition, also caused WET in the effluent.

Since U.S. EPA guidance and recommendations do not offer inhibition criteria for antimony and site-specific data was available, the concentrations where toxicity was observed were used for this evaluation.

B. <u>Domestic Concentrations</u>

Domestic wastewater sampling was conducted on January 29, 2019 at the manhole located at the MCWD office. The analytical results from this sample event were used as background data for these calculations. A copy of the results is included in Attachment D. For the pollutant in question, there was no detection above the lab detection level. In accordance with EPA guidance as discussed in the *Local Limits Guidance Manual Appendices, July 2004,* since the concentration of antimony was less than detection, a value of one-half the detection level was used.

C. <u>Removal Rates</u>

For this reevaluation, the average removal efficiency for antimony for the WWTP was calculated from influent and effluent monitoring scans performed from May through August of 2020. Copies of the analytical results of these scans are available upon request. A summary of these scans is included in Attachment E.

WWTP personnel routinely conduct a toxic scan of the influent, effluent and biosolids semi-annually each year. For this evaluation, the removal efficiencies were calculated from the weekly sample events conducted

4

over the summer and the results are provided in the DOW form as required.

D. Safety Factor

A safety factor of fifty percent (50%) was used in determining the maximum allowable headworks loadings for the pollutant. This safety factor was used to provide protection against Whole Effluent Toxicity and to allow for additional protection for treatment systems and biosolids disposal. This is necessary due to the limited amount of site-specific data available for this evaluation. The minimum safety factor that would allow the necessary protection was selected.

The use of a safety factor also compensates for the inherent variations in average removal rates and flow rates that can occur over time. In addition, the safety factor protects a certain portion of the WWTP's capacity for both the addition of new industrial dischargers and future expansion.

E. <u>Allocation Method</u>

The Uniform Concentration Method was used to determine the final discharge limitations to be issued to the industrial user. This method is taken from U.S. EPA guidance and is recommended by the EPA for headworks loading allocations where no allocation concerns exist. In this method, the local limit for the pollutant is calculated using the total process industrial flow from the industrial user. The maximum allowable

5

headworks loading for the parameter is then allocated equally between all SIUs.

F. Industrial Information

All industrial data and information used in the evaluation is site-specific and was obtained from the sampling and analyses conducted at the Fibrotex facility located in McCreary County. There is only one (1) Significant Industrial User (SIU) regulated by the MCWD Pretreatment Program. This SIU is regulated as a significant industrial user, meaning that the facility is subject to the local limits as calculated by this evaluation.

Information regarding the SIU is as follows:

 <u>Fibrotex USA</u> – This facility manufactures camouflage and concealment textile products for the military and is regulated as an SIU. Pollutants of concern include antimony and TSS.

III. TECHNICAL JUSTIFICATION OF LIMITS

Currently, the MCWD Rules and Regulations contain a local limit for antimony that is based on a water quality standard required by the DOW. However, this water quality standard was amended by DOW after a determination was made that a more accurate 7Q10 flow was available for the downstream drinking water intake. The local limit for antimony calculated here will be included in the MCWD Rules and Regulations after review and approval by DOW. The discharge limit calculated is a daily maximum limit based on the more stringent of the acute and chronic water quality criteria, biosolids disposal criteria and activated sludge inhibition. The technical basis for the proposed local limit and the adequacy to protect the receiving water and WWTP systems is as follows:

Antimony – This pollutant has been determined to be a pollutant of concern in the discharge from the SIU. The current local limit of 0.09 mg/l has been determined to be overly stringent based upon the revision to the Kentucky Water Quality Standards. Therefore, MCWD is proposing to adopt the calculated limit of 9.61 mg/l as the daily maximum discharge limit for this pollutant. This limit will provide protection against pass-through, inhibition and upset as well as biosolids contamination. The technical basis for the proposed daily maximum limit is the Kentucky Chronic Water Quality Standard for antimony.

The local limit for antimony recommended for the MCWD WWTP is shown in Table 6 and Figure I of the attached DOW Local Limits Form. The proposed Sewer Use Rules and Regulations included in Section II of the Pretreatment Program shows the recommended discharge limit that will be implemented by the District following DOW approval. MCWD reserves the right to modify this local limit in the future should such a modification prove necessary as a result of changes in SIU status, state or federal regulations, WWTP performance or other conditions affecting the MCWD WWTP. MCWD will continue the Pretreatment Program's policy of strict enforcement of all local limits to ensure protection of the receiving stream, the WWTP and its personnel and the sanitary sewer treatment systems and biosolids.

ATTACHMENT A

MCWD WWTP LOCAL LIMITS EVALUATION - ANTIMONY

KDOW LOCAL LIMITS EVALUATION FORM - 2020

MCCREARY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT PRETREATMENT PROGRAM LOCAL LIMITS EVALUATION 2019

~	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	KENTUCKY POLLUTANT DISCHARGE
monor and	ELIMINATION SYSTEM
	Pretreatment Local Limits Re-evaluation
	For Publicly Owned Treatment Works

Publicly owned treatment works (POTW) with approved pretreatment programs must develop, implement and enforce technically based local limits in order to enforce specific and general prohibitions listed in 40 CFR 403.5 and to ensure that its discharges comply with state and federal requirements. In order to protect the operation of the POTW under review, to comply with regulatory requirements for the receiving waters of the Commonwealth, to satisfy local biosolids disposal requirements and to address any other operational concerns, local limits are based on site-specific conditions.

Federal and state regulations require a periodic review of local limits, and the local limits must continue to be developed as necessary. Site-specific conditions may change that trigger a re-evaluation. A change in water quality criteria, an upgraded or new wastewater treatment plant, a significant change in conditions at the POTW or with industrial users, POTW operational or performance problems, a change in biosolids disposal method, violations of KPDES permits or water quality effluent limits or other factors may also warrant the need to re-evaluate local limits. Also, federal regulations require a written technical evaluation of the need to revise local limits following permit issuance or reissuance. Local limit re-evaluations are a required condition of your Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (KPDES) permit(s). Due to the five year duration of a KPDES permit, local limits should be re-evaluated every five years or earlier if warranted.

Please review this document carefully. Complete each of the tabs, as applicable, and please note all comments and instructions. Many of the fields in the calculation tables will pre-populate based on data from other sections of the spreadsheet.

Name of Control Authority: Wastewater Treatment Plant Name(s): KPDES Number(s):	McCreary County Water District MCWD WWTP KY0097837	
LOCAL LIMITS RE-EVALUATION	CONTACT INFORMATION	
Name:	Cynthia Leasor	
Title:	Principal Engineer/Pretreatment Coordinator	
Phone:	859-885-3331	
Email Address:	cleasor@hallenvironmental.net	
Mailing Address:	Hall Environmental Consultants LLC	
Street: City: State: Zip Code:	1376 Danville Road, Loop 1 Nicholasville KY 40356	

## Note: This certification statement must be signed by a duly authorized representative of the POTW.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name:	Stephan Whitaker	Telephone number:	606-310-9604
Title:	Manager/Superintendent	Date:	
Signature:			

Reference Document: 2004 EPA Local Limits Development Guidance Revision Date: 5/16/2017

## WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

 WWTP DESCRIPTION

 Describe the treatment plant operations in this section (not in an optional narrative portion of the re-evaluation). Include all unit operations as well as systems for phosphorus removal and denitrification, if applicable. An additional WWTP schematic may be included.

 Water - Bar Screen, Oxidation Ditch, Clarifiers, Chlorination for disinfection, Dechlorination

 Solids - Aerobic digestor, Belt press for dewatering, Disposal through land application

 See Attachment E for WWTP process schematic.

 Is the WWTP designed specifically to treat phosphorus removal?

 No

 Is the WWTP designed specifically for nitrification/denitrification?

FLOW INFORMATION			
WWTP design flow rate (mgd):		0.9	
Annual average daily flow rate (mgd):		0.329	
Local limits should be based on average flo	w rates to reflect current conditions.	. <u> </u>	
Numerical values must be entered for autor the spreadsheet.	natic calculations to calculate correctly in other ta	bs of	

HAULED WASTE		
Does the WWTP accept hauled industrial waste?	NO	
Describe the designated discharge location:	N/A	
If discharged into the collection system or at the headworks, indicate the average annual industrial hauled waste volume (mgd).	N/A	
Does the WWTP accept any wastes identified as hazardous waste under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)?	NO	
Note: In certain cases, hauled waste flows should be included in total POTW flow rates.		

## COLLECTION SYSTEM & WORKER SAFETY CONCERNS

Describe any collection system or worker safety concerns:

N/A

## COMMENTS

## SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS

Complete the table with information for each Significant Industrial User that discharges to the WWTP. Numerical entries are required for the total wastewater flow to calculate correctly and that will be used in other calculations.

Name	Principal Product	Average total wastewater flow for past 2 years (mgd)	SIC or NAICS Code	Pollutants of concern	Applicable categorical regulations (ex. 40 CFR 433.17)	Comments
Fibrotex USA	Camouflage and concealment products	0.035	2311	TSS, Antimony	N/A	
				·····		

## Significant Industrial User Information

Total Average Wastewater Flow (mgd): 0.035

**Comments:** 

.

## SLUDGE FLOW AND BIOSOLIDS

SLUDGE DISPOSAL						
Indicate the sludge flow to a digester (mgd)	0.05					
Indicate the sludge flow to disposal (mgd)	0.05					
Percent solids to disposal (%)	13					
Method of sludge disposal	Land application					
If other, describe the removal system:						

## SLUDGE TOXICITY

If sludge is being disposed of at a landfill, indicate date of last toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) and attach a copy of the results.	N/A
Indicate the date of the last annual pretreatment scan and attach a copy of sludge sampling results even if they have been submitted with previous pretreatment annual reports.	N/A
If neither a TCLP nor a toxic scan has been conducted, please describe what method was used to demonstrate that the sludge is not considered to be hazardous waste.	
The MANTER biaseliste counties with all requirements anti-blacked by the Division of Manter Management (DMM) and is give in counties so with all land continuation and	ulations actablished

The WWTP biosolids complies with all requirements established by the Division of Waste Management (DWM) and is also in compliance with all land appli ion regulations established in 40 CFR 503.

BIOSOLIDS Is the POTW required to submit an Sewage Sludge Annual Report required by 40 CFR Part 503, to EPA Region VII?

Yes

If yes, include a copy of the of the most recent report with this re-evaluation, and the tab for 40 CFR Part 503 in this spreadsheet must be completed.

ł

COMMENTS

### **REMOVAL EFFICIENCIES**

Representative removal efficiencies must be determined for each pollutant of concern. Site-specific data is always preferred however literature data is also available for removal efficiencies. The EPA median removal efficiency has already been entered into the table below using data from the 2004 EPA Local Limits Guidance Manual

Prior to the submission of a re-evaluation, a sampling plan to determine WWTP removal efficiencies should be submitted to the Division for approval. See the Sampling Plan tab for the requirements.

In the table below, enter the site-specific removal efficiencies for each parameter for the past 5 years (the KPDES permit cycle). This information should be available from the Annual Pretreatment Scans performed for each WWTP or from KPDES permit application data. A minimum of five sets of sampling results should be included. Add additional parameters as needed.

If the influent concentration of a parameter is at or below detection, enter "ND" in the cell since a true removal efficiency cannot be determined. If the effluent concentration is greater than the influent concentration, enter "N/A," and if no data is available, also enter "N/A". The removal efficiency for hexavalent chromium can be assumed to be the same as for total chromium if actual data is not available, and a removal efficiency of 50% can be used for molybdenum if actual data is not available.

The 5-year average column will calculate automatically from the data entered in the other columns.

Select the removal efficiency that you have decided to use in the calculations and complete the "selected removal efficiency" column. Make sure this is in a numerical format as the values will be used in other calculations.

Add comments at the bottom of the page if needed.

### **Removal Efficiencies**

Parameter	5/12/20	6/5/20	6/11/20	6/19/20	7/2/20	7/9/20	8/14/20	5-year average	EPA median removal efficiency	Selected removal efficiency
Antimony	92	23	87	90	44	54	91	69		69
	-									

## **RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL POLLUTANT LOADINGS**

Sampling should be performed to determine pollutants contributed by domestic and other uncontrollable sources (also referred to as domestic/commercial or background contributions.) Sitespecific sampling results should be obtained for background pollutant concentrations for all parameters that are being evaluated, and a sampling plan should be submitted and approved prior to submission of the draft re-evaluation. See the Sampling Plan tab for a copy of the sampling plan form.

To determine the commercial and domestic pollutant loadings, complete the table below. Enter the actual lab results in the "average sampling results" column and the method detection limit (or the method reporting limit or the PDQ based on the information supplied by the laboratory performing the analysis) in the next column. Indicate the source of the result to be used for the calculations from the drop down menu and enter the result to be used for the calculations (actual result, 1/2 MDL or MDL) in the last column.

Parameter	Average of Actual Sampling Results (mg/l)	MDL (or MRL/PDQ) (mg/l)	Indicate source of result to be used for calculations	Sampling results to be used for calculations (mg/l)
Antimony	<0.001	0.001	1/2 MDL	0.0005
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	

## **Domestic and Commercial Pollutant Loadings**

## WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

SSTWAM (steady-state toxics wasteload allocation model) is a uniform, steady-state mass-balance model that models water quality limits. It determines water quality effluent limits taking into account the flow, hardness, pH, and other characteristics of the WWTP effluent, the receiving water and drinking water intake. SSTWAM compares the results for the human health (domestic water supply and fish consumption) as well as warm water aquatic habitat (acute and chronic) based on 401 KAR 10:031 Water Quality Criteria, and selects the most protective.

Enter data from the SSTWAM results provided by the Division of Water and include a copy with the re-evaluation.

Parameter	Daily Max (mg/l)	Monthly Ave (mg/l)		
Antimony		0.64		

## SSTWAM Results

## SAMPLING PLAN

WASTEWATER INFLUENT & EFFLUENT SAMPLING	
Identify the source of WWTP influent and effluent sampling results. The plan should include obtaining five (5) sets of results that are representation of the WWTP operations. The most current results that are available are to be used. ¹	Other
Was the hydraulic retention time considered?	Yes
If the sampling has not already been conducted, please describe the plan to obtain the inflormation:	

## DOMESTIC & COMMERCIAL SAMPLING

The sampling locations and number of samples taken should ensure that the data is representative of domestic and uncontrollable sources in the POTWs system. See the EPA Local Limits Development Guidance for suggested sampling frequencies.

Identify the source of the domestic/commercial sampling results. At a minimum, one sampling result should be used however additional results are suggested and the number will vary based on the size of the WWTP.

Sampling dates:

Sampling location:

1/30/2019

Other

Manhole at MCWD lift station

## SLUDGE SAMPLING

Identify the source of the sludge sampling results.²

If the sampling has not been conducted, please describe the plan to obtain the samples.

## COMMENTS

Monitoring of the WWTP influent and effluent was conducted weekly during June and July 2020 following start-up of the industry and discharge of process wastewater to the WWTP.

¹ Analyses must be performed in accordance with methods specified in 40 CFR Part 136. Samples should be 24-hour composites except for parameters that require grab samples.

²Analyses must be performed in accordance with methods specified in 40 CFR Part 503.

## Table 1

## Local Limits Determination Based on SSTWAM Daily Max Limits

ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA AND PROCESS DATA BASE								DADING		INDUSTRIA	-
Pollutant	IU Pollut. Flow (MGD) (Qind)	POTW Flow (MGD) (Qpotw)	Removal Efficiency (%) (Rpotw)	NPDES Daily Limit (mg/l) (Ccrit)	Domestic Conc. (mg/l) (Cdom)	Commercial Flow (MGD) (Qdom)	Allowable Headworks (Ibs/day) (Lhw)	Domestic/ Commercial (Ibs/day) (Ldom)	Allowable Loading (Ibs/day) (Lind)	Local Limit (mg/l) (Cind)	Safety * Factor (%) (SF)
Antimony	0.035	0.329	69	0	0.0005	0.294		0.0012			50

(Qind) Industrial User total plant discharge flow in Million Gallons per Day (MGD) that contains a particular pollutant.

(Qpotw) POTW's average influent flow in MGD.

(Rpotw) Removal efficiency across POTW as percent.

(Ccrit) NPDES daily maximum permit limit for a particular pollutant in mg/l.

(Qdom) Domestic/commercial background flow in MGD.

(Cdom) Domestic/commercial background concentration for a particular pollutant in mg/l.

(Lhw) Maximum allowable headworks pollutant loading to the POTW in pounds per day (lbs/day).

(Ldom) Domestic/commercial background loading to the POTW for a particular pollutant in pounds per day (lbs/day).

(Lind) Maximum allowable industrial loading to the POTW in pounds per day.

(Cind) Industrial allowable local limit for a given pollutant in mg/l.

(SF) Safety factor as a percent.

8.34 Unit conversion factor

Lhw = 8.34 * Ccrit * Qpotw

1 - Rpotw

## Table 2

## Local Limits Determination Based on SSTWAM Monthly Average Limits

ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA AND PROCESS DATA BASE								DADING		INDUSTRIA	
Pollutant	IU Pollut. Flow (MGD) (Qind)	POTW Flow (MGD) (Qpotw)	Removal Efficiency (%) (Rpotw)	NPDES Monthly (mg/l) (Ccrit)	Domestic Conc. (mg/l) (Cdom)	Commercial Flow (MGD) (Qdom)	Allowable Headworks (Ibs/day) (Lhw)	Domestic/ Commercial (Ibs/day) (Ldom)	Allowable Loading (Ibs/day) (Lind)	Local Limit (mg/l) (Cind)	Safety Factor (%) (SF)
Antimony	0.035	0.329	69	0.64	0.0005	0.294	5.6130	0.0012	2.8053	9.610	50

(Qind) Industrial User total plant discharge flow in Million Gallons per Day (MGD) that contains a particular pollutant.

(Qpotw) POTW's average influent flow in MGD.

(Rpotw) Removal efficiency across POTW as percent.

(Ccrit) NPDES monthly maximum permit limit for a particular pollutant in mg/l.

(Qdom) Domestic/commercial background flow in MGD.

(Cdom) Domestic/commercial background concentration for a particular pollutant in mg/l.

(Lhw) Maximum allowable headworks pollutant loading to the POTW in pounds per day (lbs/day).

(Ldom) Domestic/commercial background loading to the POTW for a particular pollutant in pounds per day (lbs/day).

(Lind) Maximum allowable industrial loading to the POTW in pounds per day.

(Cind) Industrial allowable local limit for a given pollutant in mg/l.

(SF) Safety factor as a percent.

8.34 Unit conversion factor

Lhw = 8.34 * Ccrit * Qpotw

1 - Rpotw

### Table 3

## Local Limits Determination Based on Activated Sludge Inhibition Level

ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA AND PROCESS DATA BASE							MAXIMUM LO	DADING		INDUSTRIAL	-
Pollutant	IU Pollut. Flow (MGD) (Qind)	POTW Flow (MGD) (Qpotw)	Removal Efficiency (%) (Rprim)	Activated Sludge Inhibition Level (mg/l) (Ccrit)	Domestic Conc. (mg/l) (Cdom)	Commercial Flow (MGD) (Qdom)	Allowable Headworks (Ibs/day) (Lhw)	Domestic/ Commercial (Ibs/day) (Ldom)	Allowable Loading (Ibs/day) (Lind)	Local Limit (mg/l) (Cind)	Safety Factor (%) (SF)
Antimony	0.035	0.329	0	6	0.0005	0.294	16.4632	0.0012	8.2304	28.196	50

- (Qind) Industrial User total plant discharge flow in Million Gallons per Day (MGD) that contains a particular pollutant.
- (Qpotw) POTW's average influent flow in MGD.
- (Rprim) Removal efficiency across across primary treatment as percent.
- (Ccrit) Activated sludge threshold inhibition level, mg/l.
- (Qdom) Domestic/commercial background flow in MGD.
- (Cdom) Domestic/commercial background concentration for a particular pollutant in mg/l.
- (Lhw) Maximum allowable headworks pollutant loading to the POTW in pounds per day (lbs/day).
- (Ldom) Domestic/commercial background loading to the POTW for a particular pollutant in pounds per day (lbs/day).
- (Lind) Maximum allowable industrial loading to the POTW in pounds per day.
- (Cind) Industrial allowable local limit for a given pollutant in mg/l.
- (SF) Safety factor as a percent.
- 8.34 Unit conversion factor
- Lhw = 8.34 * Ccrit * Qpotw
  - 1 Rprim

Note: Rprim values are from the EPA Local Limits Guidance Document

# Table 4 Local Limits Determination Based on US EPA 503 Sludge Regulations

ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA AND PROCESS DATA BASE								MAXIMUM L	OADING		INDUSTRIA	L	
Pollutant	IU Pollut. Flow (MGD) (Qind)	POTW Flow (MGD) (Qpotw)	Sludge Flow (MGD) (Qsldg)	Percent Solids (%) (PS)	Removal Efficiency (%) (Rpotw)	503 Sludge Criteria (mg/kg) (Cslcrit)	Domestic and Conc. (mg/l) (Cdom)	Commercial Flow (MGD) (Qdom)	Allowable Headworks (Ibs/day) (Lhw)	Domestic/ Commercial (Ibs/day) (Ldom)	Allowable Loading (lbs/day) (Lind)	Local Limit (mg/l) (Cind)	Safety Factor (%) (SF)
Antimony	0.035	0.329	0.05	13	68.7142857		0.0005	0.294	-	0.0012	-	-	50
							a an tao an						

(Qind) Industrial User total plant discharge flow in Million Gallons per Day (MGD) that contains a particular pollutant.

(Qpotw) POTW's average influent flow in MGD.

(Qsldg) Sludge flow to disposal in MGD.

(PS) Percent solids of sludge to disposal.

(Rpotw) Removal efficiency across POTW as a percent.

(Cslcrit) 503 sludge criteria in mg/kg dry sludge.

(Qdom) Domestic/commercial background flow in MGD.

(Cdom) Domestic/commercial background concentration for a particular pollutant in mg/l.

(Lhw) Maximum allowable headworks pollutant loading to the POTW in pounds per day (lbs/day).

(Ldom) Domestic/commercial background loading to the POTW for a particular pollutant in pounds per day (lbs/day).

(Lind) Maximum allowable industrial loading to the POTW in pounds per day.

(Cind) Industrial allowable local limit for a given pollutant in mg/l.

(SF) Safety factor as a percent.

8.34 Unit conversion factor

Lhw = 8.34 * Cslcrit * (PS/100) * Qsldg

Rpotw

::

Note: 503 Sludge Criteria from 40 CFR 503.13, Ceiling Concentrations, should be used.

Table 5 Local Limits Determination Summary

		Limit Basis	Activated			Limiting Basis		Proposed Pretreatment Limits		Current Local Limit
Parameter	SSTWAM Daily Max (mg/l)	SSTWAM Monthly Avg (mg/l)	Sludge Inhibition (mg/l)	Nitrification (mg/l)	40 CFR 503 Biosolids (mg/l)	Most Stringent Limit (mg/l)	Proposed Daily Max Limit (mg/l)	Technical Justification	Comments	Daily Max (mg/l)
Antimony	Υ.	9.61	28.20			9.61	9.61	SSTWAM Monthly Average		0.09

### PROPOSED LIMIT SELECTION

When selecting proposed limits, the local limits should pass the "common sense test." Some of the questions to consider are: Can the POTW and dischargers comply with the limits? Are limits sensible in light of actual conditions at the treatment plant and past compliance experience? Are the limits above the method detection limits? Are the limits within the normal range for that pollutant? Is the ratio of the limit for hexavalent chromium to total chromium reasonable? Will the limits allow the industrial user to relax their pretreatment processes? Will the limits protect sludge quality? Will the limit cause the WWTP to fail bio-monitoring or toxicity testing? Are the limits so low as to detract potential new industrial user? Is public or industrial user response expected?

Note: In many cases, the proposed limits will NOT be the current local limits. Local limits must be based on current conditions and be technically justifiable and defensible. It is possible for limits to become less stringent as a result of the re-evaluation; however, if the calculated limits seem to be excessively high, there are ways to establish a reasonable limit. Please contact the Division if you have any questions.

*Limits for selenium and hexavalent chromium must be established. If 40 CFR Part 503 applies, a limit for molybdenum must be developed. ** Indicate if a limit for amenable/free cyanide or total cyanide is being proposed in the comment section.

### NOTES

Please see the Technical Narrative section of this evaluation for justification of the proposed local limits.

# **FIGURE I**

# **PROPOSED LOCAL LIMITS**

Parameter	Limit (mg/l)
Antimony	9.61
Antimony	9.61
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

# **ATTACHMENT B**

## **MCWD WWTP**

RECEIVING STREAM WATER QUALITY CRITERIA (SSTWAM) FOR ANTIMONY FOR UNNAMED TRIBUTARY TO BRIDGE FORK

## ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Barry Elmore
10/13/2020
McCreary County WWTP
KY 0097837
1

### **Effluent Characteristics**

Average Flow: Hardness Acute/Chronic Ratio 0.376 MGD 97.46 mg/l 0.1

0.582043344 cfs

.

<b>Receiving Water Characteristics</b>	
Name	UT to Bridge Fork
Flow: 7Q10	0 cfs
нм	0 cfs
Hardness	100 mg/l
pН	7.4 std units
Temperature	<u>20</u> °C

### Intake Water Characteristics

Name	Cumberland Lake
Flow: 7Q10	115 cfs
нм	1,160 cfs

### Additional Factors

Is the receiving water impaired for iron?	<u> </u>
Is WET testing Required?	y Y Or N
Does facility discharge to the Ohio River Main Stem?	n Y Or N
Does facility have thermal discharge?	<u> </u>

Intake flows interpolated by WLA coordinator between USGS gaging stations. USGS03404000 Williamsburg USGS03414000 Rowena

Effluent Characteristic	Units	Average Limitaion	Maximum Limitation	AvgJustification
Antimony	μg/L	640	N/A	Fish

Barry Elmore 10/13/2020 McCreary County WWTP KY 0097837

# **ATTACHMENT C**

**MCWD WWTP** 

PERMIT LIMITS PAGE KPDES PERMIT NO. KY0097837



## AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE KENTUCKY POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

PERMIT NO.: KY0097837

## AGENCY INTEREST NO.: 3089

Pursuant to Authority in KRS 224,

McCreary County Water District P.O. Box 488 Whitley City, Kentucky 42653

## is authorized to discharge from a facility located at

McCreary county Water District WWTP #1 and #2 770 Sanitation Drive Sterns, McCreary County, Kentucky

## to receiving waters named

UT to Bridge Fork

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in this permit.

This permit shall become effective on August 1, 2019.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, July 31, 2024.

~ M:

June 25, 2019

**Date Signed** 

Peter T. Goodmann, Director Division of Water

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Division of Water, 300 Sower Blvd, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Printed on Recycled Paper

## KPDES Permit KY00907837

## 1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

## 1.1. Compliance Monitoring Locations (Outfalls)

The following table lists the outfalls authorized by this permit, the location and description of each, and the DOW assigned KPDES outfall number:

	TABLE 1.										
Outfall No.	Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall						
001	External	37.7914°	84.4713°	UT to Bridge Fork	Domestic Wastewater from a Publicly Owned Treatment Works which includes an Approved Pretreatment Program.						

## 1.2. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

Beginning on the effective date and lasting through the term of this permit, discharges from Outfall 001 shall comply with the following effluent limitations:

	TABLE 2.											
		E	FFLUENT LIMIT	ATIONS				MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		Loading	s (lbs/day)		Conce	EN STATISTICS	and the second second					
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Maximum Weekly Average	Minimum	Monthly Average	Maximum Weekly Average	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type			
Flow, Effluent	MGD	Report	Report ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Continuous	Recorder			
Flow, Influent	MGD	Report	Report ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Continuous	Recorder			
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	1/Week	Grab			
CBOD ₅ ² , Effluent	mg/l	112.6	168.8	N/A	15	22.5	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³			
CBOD ₅ ² , Influent	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³			
CBOD ₅ ² Percent Removal ⁴	%	N/A	N/A	N/A	85	N/A	N/A	1/Month	Calculated ⁴			
TSS⁵, Effluent	mg/l	225.1	337.7	N/A	30	45	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³			
TSS ⁵ , Influent	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³			
TSS ⁵ , Percent Removal ⁴	%	N/A	N/A	N/A	85	N/A	N/A	1/Month	Calculated ⁴			
Ammonia (as mg/l NH ₃ N)		N										
May 1 – October 31	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.0	3.0 ¹	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³			
November 1 – April 30	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	15 ¹	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³			
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/l	N/A	N/A	7.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Week	Grab			
E. Coli ⁶	#/100 ml	N/A	N/A	N/A	130 ⁷	240 ⁸	N/A	1/Week	Grab			

TABLE 2.									
		EI	FLUENT LIMIT	ATIONS				MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
	1-5-54	Loadings	(lbs/day)		Conce	entrations	Station Providence	and the second	Sample Type
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Maximum Weekly Average	Minimum	Monthly Average	Maximum Weekly Average	Maximum	Frequency	
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.011	0.019 ¹	N/A	1/Week	Grab
Total Nitrogen ⁹ , Effluent	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report ¹	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³
Total Nitrogen ⁹ , Influent	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report ¹	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³
Total Phosphorus, Effluent									
May 1 – October 31	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.5 ¹	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³
November 1 – April 30	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.0	3.0 ¹	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³
Total Phosphorus, Influent	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report ¹	N/A	1/Week	24-Hr Composite ³
Chronic WET ¹⁰	TUc	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0	1/Quarter	(11)
¹ Daily Maximum									
² CBOD ₅ – Carbonaceous Bioche	emical Oxyge	en Demand, 5-o	day						
³ A 24-hour composite is a samp The sample must be maintaine	ole collected d at betwee	using an autor n 0° C and 6° C	nated sampler at all times.	set to collect ec	ual volume aliq	uots of at least 1	00 ml each every	15 minutes ove	r a 24 hour period.
⁴ Percent Removal is calculated	using the fo	llowing equation	on: Percent Rem	noval = $\left[\frac{(Month}{}\right]$	ly Average Influ Monthly	ent - Monthly Ave Average Influent	erage Effluent )	×100	
⁵ TSS – Total Suspended Solids					_				
⁶ E. Coli – Escherichia Coli Bacte	ria								
⁷ Thirty (30) day Geometric Mea	an								
⁸ Seven (7) day Geometric Mean	n								
⁹ Total Nitrogen is the summation	on of the ana	alytical results	for Total Nitrate	es, Total Nitrite	s, and Total Kjel	dahl Nitrogen			
¹⁰ WET – Whole Effluent Toxicit	y								
¹¹ Three (3) 24-hour composite	samples with	n one each coll	ected every oth	ner day for a pe	riod of five (5) d	lays, i.e. days 1, 3	, & 5		

## 1.3. Standard Effluent Requirements

The discharges to waters of the Commonwealth shall not produce floating solids, visible foam or a visible sheen on the surface of the receiving waters.

# **ATTACHMENT D**

MCWD WWTP

**2019 DOMESTIC SAMPLE RESULTS** 



## Hall Environmental Consultants, LLC

Sample Delivery Group: Samples Received: Project Number: Description: L1065132 01/30/2019 McCreary residential

Report To:

Cyndy Leasor 1376 Danville Road Loop 1 Nicholasville, KY 40356

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Uenned

Stacy Kennedy Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures: 060302, 060303, and 060304.

# SAMPLE SUMMARY

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received date/time
COMPOSITE L1065132-01 WW			Eric Lee	01/29/19 10:40	01/30/19 08:45
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst
			date/time	date/time	
Wet Chemistry by Method 300.0	WG1230304	1	01/30/19 21:25	01/30/19 21:25	ST
Wet Chemistry by Method 365.4	WG1233517	1	02/02/19 11:02	02/06/19 19:10	JER
Metals (ICP) by Method 200.7	WG1230428	1	01/30/19 21:49	01/31/19 09:53	TRB
Metals (ICPMS) by Method 200.8	WG1231153	1	02/03/19 14:07	02/04/19 02:02	LAT
Metals (ICPMS) by Method 200.8	WG1231153	1	02/03/19 14:07	02/04/19 14:50	LAT
			Collected by	Collected date/time	Received date/time
GRAB L1065132-02 WW			Eric Lee	01/29/19 10:40	01/30/19 08:45
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst
			date/time	date/time	
Wet Chemistry by Method 420.4	WG1232411	1	02/05/19 08:00	02/08/19 14:22	JER
Wet Chemistry by Method 4500CN E-2011	WG1234147	1	02/08/19 08:47	02/08/19 13:50	JER
Wet Chemistry by Method Calc.	WG1234147	1	02/08/19 13:50	02/08/19 13:50	JER

2

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Tenned

Stacy Kennedy Project Manager

## **Project Narrative**

L1065132 -03 contains subout data that is included after the chain of custody.

# COMPOSITE Collected date/time: 01/29/19 10:40

# SAMPLE RESULTS - 01

### ... **C-**

Wet Chemistry by M	lethod 300.0					
	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l		date / time	
Chloride	34.4		1.00	1	01/30/2019 21:25	WG1230304
Wet Chemistry by M	1ethod 365.4					
	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	ma/i		mq/l		date / time	
Phosphorus, Total	4.83	·	0.100	1	02/06/2019 19:10	WG1233517
Metals (ICP) by Meti	nod 200.7					
	Result	Qualifier	RDI	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mo/l	d d d i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	mo/i		date / time	
	0.621		0 100	1	01/31/2019 09:53	WG1230428
ii on	0.021		0.100	•	01012010 00.00	101250-20
Metals (ICPMS) by N	lethod 200.8					
	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l		date / time	
Arsenic	ND		0.00100	1	02/04/2019 02:02	WG1231153
Cadmium	ND		0.00100	1	02/04/2019 02:02	WG1231153
Chromium	0.00144		0.00100	1	02/04/2019 02:02	WG1231153
Copper	0.0179		0.00100	1	02/04/2019 14:50	WG1231153
Lead	0.00130		0.00100	1	02/04/2019 02:02	WG1231153
Molvbdenum	ND		0.00500	1	02/04/2019 02:02	WG1231153
Nickel	0.00195		0.00100	1	02/04/2019 14:50	WG1231153
Selenium	ND		0.00200	1	02/04/2019 02:02	WG1231153
Silver	ND		0.00100	1	02/04/2019 02:02	WG1231153
Zinc	0.143		0.0100	1	02/04/2019 14:50	WG1231153
		_				
GRAB		SAM	IPLE RI	ESULT	S - 02	
Collected date/time: 01/2	9/19 10:40		L10	65132		
Additional Informati	on					
	Result	Units				
Analyte						
pH (On Site)	6.96	Su				
Wet Chemistry by M	lethod 420.4					
	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l		date / time	
Total Phenol by 4AAP	0.0865		0.0400	1	02/08/2019 14:22	WG1232411
Wet Chemistry by M	lethod 45000	CN E-2011				
	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l		date / time	
-	•		-			

## Wet Chemistry by Method Calc.

ND

Cyanide

	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l	_	date / time	
Cyanide, amenable	ND		0.00500	1	02/08/2019 13:50	WG1234147

1

02/08/2019 13:50

WG1234147

0.00500

ACCOUNT:	PROJECT:	SDG:	DATE/TIME:	PAGE:
Hall Environmental Consultants, LLC	McCreary	L1065132	02/11/19 14:22	4 of 8

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

## Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

## Abbreviations and Definitions

ND	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.
Qualifier	Description

The remainder of this page intentionally left blank, there are no qualifiers applied to this SDG.

		-	Billing Information:		1	T	Analysis / Container					reservative	and the second	Chain of Custody	Chain of Custody Page of		
Hall Environmental C 1376 Danville Road Loop 1 Nicholasville, KY 40356	Consultant	ts, LLC	Ms. Cyn 1376 Da Nichola	athia M. Lea anville Road sville, KY 40	sor Loop 1 356	Pre Chk	5	212			22	22	22		Pace	Analytical*	
Report to:			Email To: (	@hallenvironn	nental.net			COADO							12065 Lebarron Rd Mount Juliet, TN 3		
Project Description: residential				City/State Collected:		1		но	res						Phone: 615-758-58 Phone: 800-767-58 Fax: 615-758-5859		
Phone: 859-885-3331 Fax: 859-885-4613	Client Project McCreary	Ħ		Lab Project # HALLKY-MCCREARY RES		1	VoPres	mb-Na	ngerPr		03				L# (1	9 1065 132	
Collected by (print): EEIC LOP	Site/Facility ID	H		P.O. #		P.O. #		HDPE-1	HDPEA	ibe/plu	IDH-1	PE-HNC	12504	2S04		Acctnum: HALLKY	
Collected by (signature):	Rush? (L Same Da	ush? (Lab MUST Be Notified) Same Day Five Day		Notified) Day UBAD Day			125ml	250ml	OmlTu	Omici	IdHim	Amb-H	DPE-H	ALC: N	Template: T14 Prelogin: P69	5193 0406	
Immediately Packed on Ice N Y	Next Day Two Day Three Da	5 Day 10 Day	y (Rad Only) ay (Rad Only)	Date	Results Needed	ND. of	RIDE	NAM	FEP 5	31 25	ls 250	250ml	OmiHi		TSR: 650 - Line PB: - 7	TSR: 650 - Linda Cashman PB: -17-19 MIG	
Sample ID	Sample ID Comp/Grab Matrix *		Depth	Date	Time	Cntr	CHLO	CN/C	CR6IC	HG16	Meta	DHT 2	PHT 25		Shipped Via: F Bemarks	edEX Ground	
COMPOSITE	Goolo	ww	3.61.5	1/29/4	7 1040	4	X		X	-	X		X	1 3516 2	1.2.2.8	-01	
GRAB	Grab	ww		1/29/1	1040	2		X	12 A			X	138		1 - 14-53	-01	
GRAB	Grab	ww		1/29/19	1040	1				x						- 01	
5993						-				12.25		-					
											iler a				-		
				-	-	1						2.3		122			
						-	1		1000			-				A State	
1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 - 1998 -	1					1.1	1999		100	1		17.00					
* Matrix: SS - Soil AIR - Air F - Filter GW - Groundwater B - Bioassay WW - Waste Water	Remarks:							рн. <u>Сл96</u> тетр				COC Sea COC Sea COC Sign Bottles	Sample Receipt Checklist COC Seal Present/Intact:NP _ YI COC Signed/Accurate:YI Bottles arrive intact:YI				
DW - Drinking Water OT - Other	Samples rejurn	ned via: dExCou	rier		Tracking # 47	57	5	082		140	8	_ 00		Sufficie	nt volume senti If Applicat		
Relinquished-by: (Signature)		Date:	9	Time: 1600	Received by: (Sign	ature)		1.0		Trip Bla	nk Race	ived:	Yes / 100 HCL / MeoH	Preserva	Preservation Correct/Checked: _Y _N GAD SCREEN: <0.5 mR/mr		
Relinquished by : (Signature)		Date:	1	Time:	Received by: (Sign	ature)	di.			Témp: () ( -	10.1-	C Bot	ttles Received:	If preserv	If preservation required by Login: Date/Time		
Relinquished by : (Signature) Da		Date:	-	Time:	Received for lab b	y: (Sign	ature)	-		Date:	19	Tin	ne: 145	Hold:		Condition: NCF / 68	



## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: Pace Project No.:	McCreary/WG12 50215987	230242							
Sample: GRAB		Lab ID: 502	15987001	Collected: 01/29/1	9 10:40	Received:	02/01/19 10:55	Matrix: Water	
Param	neters	Results	Units	Report Limit	DF	Prepared	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
1631E Mercury, Lo	w Level	Analytical Met Initial Volume/	hod: EPA 16 Weight: 50	631E Preparation Me mL Final Volume/We	ethod: EF ight: 51 i	PA 1631E mL			
Mercury		117	ng/L	5.1	10	02/02/19 12:3	7 02/04/19 23:0	3 7439-97-6	

## **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



Login #: L1065132	<b>Client: HALLKY</b>	Date: 1/30/19	Evaluated by: Troy Duniap

## Non-Conformance (check applicable items)

Sample Integrity		Chain of Custody Clarification	
Parameter(s) past holding time	x	Login Clarification Needed	If Broken Container:
Temperature not in range		Chain of custody is incomplete	Insufficient packing material around container
Improper container type		Please specify Metals requested.	Insufficient packing material inside cooler
pH not in range.		Please specify TCLP requested.	Improper handling by carrier (FedEx / UPS / Cour
Insufficient sample volume.		Received additional samples not listed on coc.	Sample was frozen
Sample is biphasic.		Sample ids on containers do not match ids on coc	Container lid not intact
Vials received with headspace.		Trip Blank not received.	If no Chain of Custody:
Broken container		Client did not "X" analysis.	Received by:
Broken container:		Chain of Custody is missing	Date/Time:
Sufficient sample remains			Temp./Cont. Rec./pH:
			Carrier:
			Tracking#

## Login Comments: Did not receive the CR6ICFFP container.

Client informed by:	x	Call	Email	Voice Mail		Date: 1-31-19	Time: 11:30
TSR Initials: LC	C	lient Conta	ct: Eric Lee		,		

## Login Instructions;

Eric is notifying Cyndy Leasor about this and they will decide if they need to resample.

# **ATTACHMENT E**

MCWD WWTP

SUMMARY OF TOXIC SCANS 2020

# MCWD WWTP Data from Toxic Scans

Parameter	Water Quality Std / Local	ANTIMONY Concentration (mg/L)							
	Limit	05/12/20	06/05/20	06/11/20	06/19/20	07/02/20	07/09/20	08/14/20	Avg
Influent		1.86	0.34	1.85	2.27	0.95	0.78	2.62	1.46
Effluent	0.64 mg/l	0.15	0.26	0.245	0.22	0.53	0.36	0.24	0.28
% Removal		92	23	87	90	44	54	91	69

# **ATTACHMENT F**

MCWD WWTP

MCWD WWTP PROCESS SCHEMATIC



.

. .

KPDES Permit No. KY0097837

Page No. 81



**ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET** 

**DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION** 

**300 SOWER BOULEVARD** FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 TELEPHONE: 502-564-2150 TELEFAX: 502-564-4245

January 6, 2021

Mr. Stephan Whitaker McCreary County Water District P. O. Box 488 Whitley City, KY 42653

> Re: 2021 Antimony Local Limit Revision **Final Approval** McCreary County Water District McCreary County WWTP KPDES No.: KY0097837

Dear Mr. Whitaker:

ANDY BESHEAR

GOVERNOR

The Division of Water received a local limits re-evaluation dated November 2020 from the McCreary County Water District (MCWD) to revise the local limit for antimony. A technical review of the re-evaluation was completed, and the proposed limit of 9.61 mg/l was conditionally approved on November 24, 2020. Since the proposed limit was less stringent than the previous limit, its adoption is considered a major modification under the general pretreatment regulations of 40 CFR 403.18. Public notice of the program modification was published in The McCreary County Voice on Thursday, December 3, 2020. No comments were received during the 30-day public comment period. The antimony limit of 9.61 mg/l is approved and must be adopted following MCWD's normal adoption procedure.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (502) 782-7055 or by email at Diana.Robertson@ky.gov.

Sincerely.

Diana Robertson Pretreatment Coordinator Kentucky Division of Water

Diana Robertson

Copy: Ms. Cynthia Leasor, Hall Environmental Consultants



REBECCA W. GOODMAN SECRETARY

ANTHONY R. HATTON COMMISSIONER

	AREA McCreary County, Kentucky		
	PSC KY NO2		
	2 nd Revised SHEET NO. 24		
McCreary County Water District	CANCELLING PSC KY NO. 2		
	1 st Revised SHEET NO. 24		
RULES AND REGULATIONS			

13. The following discharge limitations are established for characteristics of any wastewaters to be discharged into the District's sewer system subject to any compliance schedule established by the District. Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) must comply with these limitations if they are more stringent than applicable State or Federal regulations. In accordance with 40 CFR Part 403, these limitations are considered pretreatment standards equivalent to the Federal limitations established in 40 CFR Subchapter N.

	Maximum Daily Concentration	
Parameter	(mg/l)	
Arsenic	0.15	
Antimony	9.61	(T)
Cadmium	0.03	
Chromium, Total	1.83	
Chromium, Hexavalent	0.75	
Copper	0.53	
Cyanide, Amenable	0.12	
Lead	0.10	
Mercury	0.001	
Molybdenum	6.94	
Nickel	0.88	
Selenium	0.08	
Silver	0.13	
Zinc	0.67	

DATE OF ISSUE	June 24, 2021 MONTH / DATE / YEAR		
DATE EFFECTIVE	July 14, 2021 MONTH / DATE / YEAR		
ISSUED BY	/s/Randy Kidd		
TITLE Chairman			
BY AUTHORITY OF ORDE	R OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE		

COMMISSION IN CASE NO. ____ DATED ____

## **NOTICE**

On or about June 24, 2021, McCreary County Water District ("McCreary District") will file with the Kentucky Public Service Commission a proposed revision to its rules and regulations for the provision of sewer service. This revision will increase the limit for maximum permissible daily concentration of antimony in a significant industrial user's wastewater discharge from 0.09 mg/l to 9.61 mg/l. The Kentucky Division of Water has previously reviewed and approved the proposed revision.

McCreary District proposes to place the proposed revisions into effect on July 14, 2021.

Any person may examine the proposed tariff sheet at McCreary District's office at 456 North Hwy 27, Whitley City, Kentucky 42653, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., or at the Public Service Commission's offices located at 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky, Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., or through the Public Service Commission's Web site at https://psc.ky.gov/trf4/TRFListFilings.aspx?Mode=1.

Comments regarding the proposed revisions may be submitted to the Public Service Commission by mail to the Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 615, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602 or by e-mail to psc.tariffs@ky.gov.

The proposed revision is a condition of service proposed by McCreary District. However, the Public Service Commission may order conditions of service to be observed that differ from that proposed. Such action may result in conditions of service for consumers other than those set forth in this notice.

KRS 278.180 requires McCreary District to provide the Public Service Commission with notice of the proposed revisions at least thirty (30) days prior to their effective date but permits the Public Service Commission to shorten this notice period to twenty (20) days. In its filing, McCreary District has requested that the Public Service Commission shorten this notice period to 20 days.

A person may submit a timely written request for intervention to the Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 615, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602, establishing the grounds for the request including the person's status and interest. If the Public Service Commission does not receive a written request for intervention within twenty (20) days of the initial publication of notice, it may take final action on the proposed tariff revisions.

McCreary County Water District

First Publication Date: June 17, 2021

## **RESOLUTION NO. 2021-06-24**

## A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF MCCREARY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING A REVISION TO THE WATER DISTRICT'S RULES AND REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE MAXIMUM DAILY CONCENTRATION OF ANTIMONY IN THE WASTEWATER DISCHARGE OF A SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER

**WHEREAS,** McCreary County Water District is a water district organized pursuant to the provisions of KRS Chapter 74;

WHEREAS, pursuant to KRS 278.015, the Kentucky General Assembly has declared that all water districts are "public utilities" and are subject to the regulation of the Kentucky Public Service Commission and the provisions of KRS Chapter 278;

**WHEREAS** McCreary County Water District owns and operates sewage collection and treatment system that serves the residents of McCreary County, Kentucky;

WHEREAS, McCreary County Water District's sewer operations are subject to the jurisdiction and regulation of the Kentucky Public Service Commission;

WHEREAS, McCreary County Water District is required to comply with the Clean Water Act (33 USC 1251 *et seq.*), the General Pretreatment Regulations (40 CFR Part 403), and 401 KAR 5:055;

WHEREAS, consistent with its legal obligations under the Clean Water Act, the General Pretreatment Regulations and 401 KAR 5:055, McCreary County Water District adopted rules and regulations that set forth uniform requirements for the uses of its sewer collection and treatment system, including the maximum daily concentration of antimony in the wastewater discharge of significant industrial users;

**WHEREAS,** on October 3, 2019, the Kentucky Public Service Commission permitted these rules and regulations to take effect;

WHEREAS, General Pretreatment Regulations require that McCreary County Water District to periodically review the effluent discharge limitations for their technical basis and revise the Sewer Use Rules and Regulations if necessary in order to continue in compliance with federal and state regulations;

WHEREAS, in November 2020, McCreary County Water District undertook a local limits re-evaluation which determined that the existing limit on the maximum daily concentration of antimony in the wastewater discharge of a significant industrial user should be raised to 9.61 milligrams per liter;

**WHEREAS,** McCreary County Water District submitted a request to the Kentucky Division of Water for approval to revise the existing limit on antimony and on November 24, 2020, that request was conditionally approved;

**WHEREAS,** the Kentucky Division of Water on January 6, 2021, after public notice of the proposed revision had been published and the public had an opportunity to comment on the proposed revision, issued final approval of the proposed revision;

**WHEREAS,** KRS 278.030(2) requires a utility to "furnish adequate, efficient and reasonable service" and permits it to "establish reasonable rules governing the conduct of its business and the conditions;"

**WHEREAS,** KRS 278.160(1) requires a utility to file with the Kentucky Public Service Commission schedules showing all rates and conditions for service established by it and collected or enforced;"

**WHEREAS,** KRS 278.160(2) prohibits a utility from enforcing any condition of service unless such condition is set forth in its filed rate schedules; and

WHEREAS, raising the existing limit on the maximum daily concentration of antimony in the wastewater discharge of a significant industrial user to 9.61 milligrams per liter will not have an adverse effect on water quality while reducing the burden on McCreary County Water District's significant industrial users;

# NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF MCCREARY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The facts, recitals, and statements contained in the foregoing preamble of this Resolution are true and correct and are hereby affirmed and incorporated as a part of this Resolution.

**Section 2.** Effective 20 days after the filing of the attached tariff sheet with the Kentucky Public Service Commission, McCreary County Water District's rules and regulations are revised to increase the existing limit on the maximum daily concentration of antimony in the wastewater discharge of a significant industrial user to 9.61 milligrams per liter.

**Section 3.** The Chairman and his designated representatives are hereby further authorized and directed to make all filings with the Kentucky Public Service Commission necessary to place into effect the proposed revision approved herein.

Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect upon its adoption.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF MCCREARY COUNTY WATER DISTRICT at a meeting held on June 17, 2021 signed by the Chairman and attested by the Secretary.

ang

Randy Kidd, Chairman

ATTEST:

Maynard New, Secretary

## CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am the duly qualified and acting Secretary of the McCreary County Water District; that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Board of Commissioners of the McCreary County Water District at a meeting duly held on June 17, 2021; that said official action appears as a matter of public record in McCreary County Water District's official records or journal; that said meeting was held in accordance with all applicable requirements of Kentucky law, including KRS 61.810, 61.815, 61.820 and 61.823; that a quorum was present at said meeting; that said official action has not been modified, amended, revoked or repealed and is now in full force and effect.

WITNESS my hand this 15th day of June 2021.

Maynard New, Secretary

## REVISED TARIFF SHEET INCREASING THE EXISTING LIMIT ON THE MAXIMUM DAILY CONCENTRATION OF ANTIMONY IN THE WASTEWATER DISCHARGE OF A SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER

	AREA McCreary County, Kentucky		
	PSC KY NO2		
	2 nd Revised SHEET NO. 24		
McCreary County Water District	CANCELLING PSC KY NO. 2		
	1 st Revised SHEET NO. 24		
RULES AND REGULATIONS			

13. The following discharge limitations are established for characteristics of any wastewaters to be discharged into the District's sewer system subject to any compliance schedule established by the District. Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) must comply with these limitations if they are more stringent than applicable State or Federal regulations. In accordance with 40 CFR Part 403, these limitations are considered pretreatment standards equivalent to the Federal limitations established in 40 CFR Subchapter N.

	Maximum Daily Concentration	
<u>Parameter</u>	(mg/l)	
Arsenic	0.15	
Antimony	9.61	(T)
Cadmium	0.03	
Chromium, Total	1.83	
Chromium, Hexavalent	0.75	
Copper	0.53	
Cyanide, Amenable	0.12	
Lead	0.10	
Mercury	0.001	
Molybdenum	6.94	
Nickel	0.88	
Selenium	0.08	
Silver	0.13	
Zinc	0.67	

DATE OF ISSUE	June 24, 2021 MONTH / DATE / YEAR		
DATE EFFECTIVE	July 14, 2021 MONTH / DATE / YEAR		
ISSUED BY	/s/Randy Kidd		
TITLE Chairman			
BY AUTHORITY OF ORDE	R OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE		

COMMISSION IN CASE NO. ____ DATED _____