

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: 2020-00349
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:38:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00349, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

-----Original Message-----

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:51 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: FW: 2020-00349

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Williams [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 19, 2021 4:19 PM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Subject: 2020-00349

I oppose any rate increases. As a senior and on a fixed income, these rate increases are getting way out of hand.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: 2020-00349
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:39:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00349, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:52 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: 2020-00349

From: Ray Brundige [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 19, 2021 6:57 PM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Cc: File [REDACTED]
Subject: LG&E KU Proposed Rate Hikes

The proposed rate hikes are another case of an outside corporation trying to suck wealth out of the pockets of Kentucky residents and companies.

A monopoly's view of what it needs is entirely different from what the Commonwealth needs, and if these damyankees in Pittsburgh have their way, retired people like me will end up being supported by the public dole.

- Ray Brundige

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: 2020--00349
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:40:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00349, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 3:00 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: 2020--00349

From: Linda Froehlich [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, March 21, 2021 11:10 AM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Subject: Base rate increase--again?

Several years ago I went to a PSC meeting to speak out against a planned base rate increase. Looking at the composition in the room it was a foregone conclusion that the base rate increase would happen, however negative for rate payers. The Utility representatives, all white men clad in suits were lining the back of the room. In the front of the room was the table at which sat the PSC representatives--all white men, with one white woman who was charged, in front of the assembled, with taking the notes. So even though she had the literal "seat at the table" she was immediately relegated to a subservient role. The rate payers, a mixed race, age and gender group were seated in the middle. It was clear that neither the utility nor PSC represented in any way the people whose money they would decide to dispose of.

That Kentucky Utilities is not interested in rewarding energy savings by customers is a no-brainer--they are after all in the business of making money from the sale of energy. PSC's role should be to **balance** those interests against the interests and needs of the **rate payers**. In that they fail again and again. The PSC, instead of "providing for the financial **stability** of those utilities by setting **fair** and **just** rates" seems more interested in helping the parent

company PPL maintain and even increase their **profitability** by setting ever higher base rates. The beneficiaries are stockholders of PPL being paid out dividends and reaping the rewards of a rising stock. It is neither just nor fair, that anyone trying to save money by lowering their utility bills nevertheless have to foot ever higher prices—regardless of how high or limited the income simply to support the profitability of the company. Another mission of the PSC is to “support their operational competence by overseeing regulated activities.” Does “operational competence” not also mean being able to reliably provide for peak demand, particularly in the summer? Would that not include making sure that deficiencies are covered by additional sources, such as the solar energy many customers can provide? And yet, the PSC is ready to endanger “operational competence” by pricing this alternative source out of existence—in order to ensure profit margins and prop up an energy monopoly.

Perhaps this time around the Public Service Commission can muster the fortitude to service the needs of the public rather than just those of the utilities? One can dream.

[Here’s my prediction: KU asked for the stars, the PSC will give them the moon.]

Sincerely,

Linda S. Froehlich

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: case number 2020-00349
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:37:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00349, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:47 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: FW: case number 2020-00349

From: Chuck Caudill [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 19, 2021 9:40 AM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Subject: case number 2020-00349

Public Service Commission,

The Lee County Government has worked hard to limit tax increases on our local citizens. In 2020 we worked with the Mountain Association to negotiate our commercial agreement with KU and significantly cut our annual bill by approximately \$12,000 between 2020 and 2021 for the Lee County Courthouse.

The proposed change will increase the Lee County Courthouse bill by about \$3,000 if approved.

I do not support the increase on behalf of the Lee County Government and the citizens of Lee County.

Chuck Caudill Jr

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: 2020-00174, 2020-00349, 2020-00350 NEM
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:11:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case numbers in this matter, 2020-00349 and/or 2020-00350, in any further correspondence. The documents in these cases are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#) and [View Case Filings for: 2020-00350 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:24 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: 2020-00174, 2020-00349, 2020-00350 NEM

From: Jaclynn Williams [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 17, 2021 10:01 AM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Subject: Re: Solar panels on residential property

I don't mind my comments being posted, thanks for asking - Jaci Williams

On Tue, Mar 16, 2021 at 9:40 PM PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov> wrote:

Hello Ms. Williams,

I am writing to determine whether you would like your comments posted in case files regarding solar net metering policies. If so, your comment will be posted online and visible to the public. Please let me know your wishes.

Thank you,

Karen

Karen L. Wilson, MPA
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

Office: 502.782.7136

From: Jaclynn Williams [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, March 13, 2021 3:20 PM

To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Solar panels on residential property

I understand that EVERY property can't do solar panels or a wind turbine but it's very important to provide that option without penalties for those interested. Limiting greenhouse gas emissions is essential in addressing climate change. Please don't hinder those few who wish to contribute to the earth's wellbeing, allow the solar panel program without the negatives. The state of New Mexico actually gives a 10% state tax credit, why is everything KY does negative or punitive, and favoring the "MACHINE"? If you'd like further discussion this is my email and my phone number is [REDACTED].

--

Jaci Williams

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: About KU:2020-00349;LG&E:2020-00350;KPC:2020-00174
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:12:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case numbers in this matter, 2020-00349 and/or 2020-00350, in any further correspondence. The documents in these cases are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#) and [View Case Filings for: 2020-00350 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:57 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: About KU:2020-00349;LG&E:2020-00350;KPC:2020-00174

From: Rita Swan [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, March 21, 2021 11:21 PM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Subject: Allow net metering

About KU:2020-00349;LG&E:2020-00350;KPC:2020-0074

Please do not grant these utility companies' requests for 75 and 80% reductions in the credit paid for rooftop solar power. Such reductions will make rooftop solar very uneconomical for customers. These utility companies are asking for steep increases in daily service and energy use charges and simultaneously asking you to kill off solar power.

73% of Kentucky's electricity comes from coal-fired plants, the fourth highest percentage in the country. 60 coal-fired plants around the country are slated for retirement. Letting Kentucky's small solar energy industry develop benefits the environment and Kentucky's economy. It could attract industries that want to install rooftop solar on their buildings.

Currently only one-fifth of one percent of Kentucky's electricity comes from net metering of solar power. It will not hurt these utility companies to pay retail price for this tiny amount of power.

Alan Church, Ph.D.
1600 Elkchester Road
Lexington KY 40510

From: [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: LG&E?KU Proposed Rate Hike & Plan to Hurt Rooftop Solar
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 12:10:00 PM

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case numbers in this matter, 2020-00349 and/or 2020-00350, in any further correspondence. The documents in these cases are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#) and [View Case Filings for: 2020-00350 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 22, 2021 2:29 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: FW: LG&E?KU Proposed Rate Hike & Plan to Hurt Rooftop Solar

From: William Braunstein [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, March 17, 2021 2:17 PM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Subject: LG&E?KU Proposed Rate Hike & Plan to Hurt Rooftop Solar

Please deny this or hold open hearings to challenge it, especially @ these difficult economic times.
bb

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: Bruner, Brandon S (PSC) on behalf of PSC Executive Director
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Comments on Case number 2020-00349
Date: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:03:00 PM
Attachments: [Comments on Case number 2020-00349.pdf](#)

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00349, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [View Case Filings for: 2020-00349 \(ky.gov\)](#).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner
Administrative Branch Manager
Filings Branch
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601

From: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 4:09 PM
To: PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>
Subject: FW: Comments on Case number 2020-00349

From: Catherine Clement [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 23, 2021 4:15 PM
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>
Subject: Comments on Case number 2020-00349

Please find attached, and pasted below, comments on PSC Case number 2020-00349.

Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, Post Office Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602
RE: Written Comments for PSC Case Number 2020-00349

March 23, 2021

Two things keep me up at night: the climate crisis and money. A few years ago I was fortunate to be able to address my worries by purchasing solar panels under Kentucky's original net metering policy (I am a KU customer). I did this because rooftop solar panels are one of the most rational tools to address fossil fuel pollution and climate change – we are generating clean energy from “free” real estate. It makes so much sense. And with net metering, I could do my duty for the planet without losing more sleep about money. My husband and I are both retirees living on pensions from KTRS. Thus, we are on a fixed income. With net metering our substantial investment in solar panels will pay for itself in about ten years, and for many more years I can feel secure that we can cope with energy-use costs.

There are many other Kentuckians worried about the climate emergency (1) (2) and of course worried about money. But with KU/LG&E's current proposal, rooftop solar would be unaffordable for most Kentuckians. The companies' plan to drastically reduce credit for energy fed to the grid, would drastically increase the time to pay off the investment in solar panels. For those who could still afford solar panels, this would essentially be a charitable contribution. Further, in the companies' current proposal, not only is the credit being slashed for solar fed to the grid, but the size of the credit is not guaranteed. As I understand the proposal, the credit could continually change over the life of the panels. Thus, the small proposed credit for energy fed to the grid, along with the uncertainty of this credit, will ruin rooftop solar businesses in the region. This cannot be the way to go.

I am lucky enough to be “grandfathered” into the original net metering plan. But that does not affect my opposition to the KU/LG&E proposals. I care that my fellow Kentuckians have the same access to energy security that I have, and I care about the good jobs the rooftop solar industry provides. And I care about the larger societal benefits of rooftop solar. Rooftop solar is not generating a clean air bubble around the panel-owner's house. It is serving the greater good. People who invest in solar are reducing fossil fuel pollution in their region at large, and mitigating the climate change impacts of greenhouse gasses, which are global. Our world needs as many people as possible to put solar on the roof.

KU/LG&E continue their unsupported claim that non-solar customers are subsidizing solar customers. First, even granting the legitimacy of their claim about the size of the alleged subsidy (the difference between the retail credit rate and the SQF rate), with the tiny number of rooftop solar customers in the KU/LG&E region, the amount individual non-solar customers are allegedly paying is too small to be worth considering (far less than the cost of the rate case itself). I want to say to the companies “how dare you” waste PSC and customer time and money by bringing this proposal before the PSC (it is analogous to a frivolous lawsuit with its high costs passed on to the customer). Further, as many costs-benefit studies show, we should not grant the legitimacy of their subsidy claim (3) (4) (5). The companies' proposal ignores the benefits of rooftop solar to the grid, other rate payers, and society.

The anti-rooftop solar plan is not the only component of KU/LG&E's rate proposals that is counter to the public interest. The increase in the basic service charge, which has already increased dramatically in the past ten years, is unfair, is poor rate design, and is counter to the public interest. Increasing this fixed portion of the bill unfairly penalizes lower usage customers. Low usage customers tend to be lower-income customers: energy use and income are positively correlated (6) (7). This is hardly the time to penalize low-income customers: even before the pandemic 21% percent of Kentucky children were living in poverty (8), and 14-15% of Kentucky households were food insecure (9) (10). And household energy burdens for low income people in KU and LG&E territory are well over the 6% "affordable" level (11). The economic fallout of the pandemic, which is still being realized, makes all of this worse. People should not be forced to choose between paying for utilities, food, medical care, and housing, and should not be placed in the dangerous position of risking shut-off and eviction. Increasing the basic service charge reduces the ability to control bills by decreasing usage.

Low usage customers are also any customers who work hard to control their bills, and who invest in energy efficiency upgrades, energy efficient products, or rooftop solar. My husband and I have done all of these things. Continuing increases in the basic service charge increases the payback period for these investments (6), and defeats efforts not only to reduce expenses, but to reduce contributions to fossil fuel pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. The continual increases in the proportion of bills that are unrelated to usage, discourages reduction in energy waste, and discourages investment in efficiency upgrades and renewables. This is not in the public interest, and is not necessary (6) (7). ACEEE ranks Kentucky 33rd in its state energy efficiency "scorecard", and Kentucky is near the bottom in the specific category of "utility and public benefits programs and policies" (12). To further discourage energy efficiency in the state is shameful.

The companies' claim that non-solar customers are subsidizing solar customers, and they claim that people who use less energy are not covering the companies' fixed costs. Aside from the questionable accuracy of these claims, we must recognize that we are all subsidizing the utilities by allowing them to freely damage our health and climate with fossil-fuel based energy. "Cheap" energy from fossil fuels is in fact not cheap at all. Externalities are expensive. We pay for the damage to our health, climate, and environment in our taxes, medical bills, and insurance payments, in our higher food costs from agricultural impacts, and in rebuilding and coping costs related to extreme weather events. This list goes on (13) (14) (15) (16) (17). Finally, we pay for externalities in our rates: the utilities will have increasing climate-related coping costs (18).

Utilities like KU and LGE are not the same as other businesses. They are not selling wrinkle creams and spa treatments; they are selling an essential public service that no one can live without. They are not operating in the free market, with the usual constraints of competition and customer choice. The PSC is here for us because of these distinctions. The PSC must protect the public interest which includes affordable access to energy, and a safe and healthy energy system. And now that the utilities are faced with a modicum of competition from rooftop solar, the PSC must ensure that the utilities do not use rates as an anti-competitive tool.

It is too bad that we must continually do battle with our provider of an essential public service. KU/ LG&E are granted a monopoly. In return they should be our partner in coping with our current economic crisis, and the climate crisis that is threatening our health, safety, prosperity, and future, and that lays at the feet of an energy system based on fossil fuels.

I urge the commission to keep intact the 1 to 1 credit for energy fed to the grid at least until the 1% cap is reached. In the meantime, a full cost-benefit study should be completed. And I urge the commission to maintain, or even lower, the basic service charge that has already been raised repeatedly in the recent years.

Thank you for your attention and service.

Catherine Clement
[REDACTED]
212 Preston Ave.
Lexington, KY. 40502
[REDACTED]

1. <https://climatecommunication.yale.edu/visualizations-data/ycom-us/> (select Kentucky)
2. <https://climatecommunication.yale.edu/visualizations-data/climatenews2020/> (select Kentucky)
3. Weissman & Fanshaw (2016) *Shining Rewards The value of rooftop solar for consumers and society*; <https://environmentamerica.org/sites/environment/files/reports/AME%20ShiningRewards%20Rpt%20Oct16%201.1.pdf>
4. ICF (2018) *Review of Recent Cost-Benefit Studies Related to Net Metering and Distributed Solar*; https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2020/06/f75/ICF%20NEM%20Meta%20Analysis_Formatted%20FINAL_Revised%208-27-18.pdf
5. Hayibo & Pearce (2021) *A review of the value of solar methodology with a case study of the U.S. VOS.* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/347840155_A_review_of_the_value_of_solar_methodology_with_a_case_study_of_the_US_VOS
6. Whited and Wolf, 2016 Caught in a fix. The problem with fixed charges for electricity. <https://www.synapse-energy.com/sites/default/files/Caught-in-a-Fix-Webinar-Slides.pdf>
7. Lazar and Gonzalez (2015) Smart Rate Design for a Smart Future. <https://www.raonline.org/knowledge-center/smart-rate-design-for-a-smart-future/>
8. <https://data.ers.usda.gov/reports.aspx?ID=17826>
9. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-us/key-statistics-graphics.aspx#map>

10. <https://map.feedingamerica.org>
11. <https://www.energy.gov/eere/slsc/maps/lead-tool>
12. American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy, 2020 State Energy Efficiency Scorecard <https://www.aceee.org/state-policy/scorecard>
13. <https://energyandcleanair.org/publications/costs-of-air-pollution-from-fossil-fuels/>
14. <https://www.eesi.org/papers/view/fact-sheet-fossil-fuel-subsidies-a-closer-look-at-tax-breaks-and-societal-costs>
15. <https://www.ucsusa.org/resources/hidden-costs-fossil-fuels>
16. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412012000542>
17. <https://www.pewtrusts.org/-/media/assets/2018/08/kef-coal-and-clean-energy-hia.pdf>
18. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/electric-power-and-natural-gas/our-insights/why-and-how-utilities-should-start-to-manage-climate-change-risk#>

--
Cathy Clement
212 Preston Ave.,
Lexington, KY. 40502.



*Honorable Allyson K Sturgeon
Managing Senior Counsel - Regulatory &
LG&E and KU Energy LLC
220 West Main Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Honorable David Edward Spenard
Strobo Barkley PLLC
239 South 5th Street
Ste 917
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*John Horne
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Angela M Goad
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Emily W Medlyn
General Attorney
U.S. Army Legal Services Agency Regul
9275 Gunston Road
Fort Belvoir, VIRGINIA 22060

*Honorable Kurt J Boehm
Attorney at Law
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

*Barry Alan Naum
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1100 Brent Creek Blvd., Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PENNSYLVANIA 17050

*Thomas J FitzGerald
Counsel & Director
Kentucky Resources Council, Inc.
Post Office Box 1070
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602

*Honorable Kendrick R Riggs
Attorney at Law
Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC
2000 PNC Plaza
500 W Jefferson Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202-2828

*Clay A. Barkley
Strobo Barkley PLLC
239 South 5th Street
Ste 917
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*G. Houston Parrish
Labor Law Attorney
Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, B
50 3rd Avenue
Fort Knox, KENTUCKY 40121

*Larry Cook
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Carrie H Grundmann
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500
Winston-Salem, NORTH CAROLINA 27103

*James W Gardner
Sturgill, Turner, Barker & Moloney, PLLC
333 West Vine Street
Suite 1400
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

*Matt Partymiller
President
Kentucky Solar Industries Association
1038 Brentwood Court
Suite B
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40511

*Honorable David J. Barberie
Managing Attorney
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government
Department Of Law
200 East Main Street
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

*Jody M Kyler Cohn
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

*Matthew Miller
Sierra Club
50 F Street, NW, Eighth Floor
Washington, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20001

*Don C Parker
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
300 Kanawha Blvd, East
Charleston, WEST VIRGINIA 25301

*Joe F. Childers
Childers & Baxter PLLC
300 Lexington Building, 201 West Sho
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

*J. Michael West
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate
700 Capitol Avenue
Suite 20
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Honorable Michael L Kurtz
Attorney at Law
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

*Kentucky Utilities Company
220 W. Main Street
P. O. Box 32010
Louisville, KY 40232-2010

*Rick LoveKamp
Kentucky Utilities Company
220 W. Main Street
P. O. Box 32010
Louisville, KY 40202

*M. Todd Osterloh
Sturgill, Turner, Barker & Moloney, PLLC
333 West Vine Street
Suite 1400
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

*Honorable Robert C Moore
Attorney At Law
Stites & Harbison
421 West Main Street
P. O. Box 634
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

*Robert Conroy
Vice President, State Regulation and Rates
LG&E and KU Energy LLC
220 West Main Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Randal A. Strobo
Strobo Barkley PLLC
239 South 5th Street
Ste 917
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Sara Judd
Senior Corporate Attorney
LG&E and KU Energy LLC
220 West Main Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Susan Speckert
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government
Department Of Law
200 East Main Street
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507