

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Comments - reference Case Number 2020-00174  
**Date:** Thursday, April 15, 2021 2:25:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Comments KP April 2021.pdf](#)

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** PSC Public Information Officer <[PSC.Info@ky.gov](mailto:PSC.Info@ky.gov)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 14, 2021 3:55 PM  
**To:** PSC Executive Director <[PSCED@ky.gov](mailto:PSCED@ky.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: Comments - reference Case Number 2020-00174

---

**From:** Kris ODaniel [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 12, 2021 1:29 PM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <[PSC.Info@ky.gov](mailto:PSC.Info@ky.gov)>  
**Subject:** Comments - reference Case Number 2020-00174

**Dear Public Service Commission**

**Case number 2020-00174**

The general Utility attitude against Net-metering has become politicized rather than fact-based.

Kentucky Power has no substantial arguments why Net-Metering should be eliminated.

Unfortunately, the facts are that KP needs more ratepayers, not less, to compensate for their lost sales revenue and power to other customers.

Net-metering interferes with this, as KP has plenty of fossil-fuel-based electric generation, and they need customers.

Over the past few years, they lost power sales and revenue to "other customers."

Therefore, they look at other renewable generation from NM as a disturbing competitor, although the power generation from NM is negligible.

The values of Net-Metering based on generation from solar PV are:

- This is renewable energy in a state and country that are behind the desired transition to renewables if investor demands are to be met
- This is distributed electric generation, saving a significant part of the transmission cost
- This is an electric generation that, to a large amount, takes place at the time of day with high demands

It's a fact that the entire corporate investor sector now requires all companies they own or invest in to elaborate on, include, and define their so-called ESG values, environmental, social, and governance goals.

Therefore, the renewable transition is inevitable, and NM is an asset to the Utilities; it's not a competitor, and NM should not be decimated at this point.

If the utilities in Kentucky were already actively transitioning and investing in renewable generation, had plans and goals, or were much further into this transition, then perhaps it would be more understandable that they wanted to streamline their generation.

But that's not the case.

Here's my own distributed generation for your evaluation:

- My 23 kW solar array produces an average of 1800 kWh per month, and my 3,500 square foot home uses on average 800 kWh per month. I build my house myself as a Greene building.
- The surplus of around 1000 kWh per month is delivered 350 feet across the road at my two neighbors' homes.

How can that not simply be what it is, renewable, distributed generation, at the doorstep where the utilities sell it at retail price.

I cannot help thinking I'm a contributor, not a competitor.

NM is part of what investors are looking for, fulfilling most ESG values that are so important.

Thank you and very best regards from

Kris O'Daniel

Kirsten P O'Daniel - The Elm House - 647 Beechland Road – Springfield - KY 40069 – USA -



Comments to be emailed to [info.psc@ky.gov](mailto:info.psc@ky.gov) and reference Case Number 2020-00174.

Dear Public Service Commission

Case number 2020-00174

The general Utility attitude against Net-metering has become politized rather than fact-based.

Kentucky Power has no substantial arguments why Net-Metering should be eliminated.

Unfortunately, the facts are that KP needs more ratepayers, not less, to compensate for their lost sales revenue and power to other customers.

Net-metering interferes with this, as KP has plenty of fossil-fuel-based electric generation, and they need customers. But over the past few years, they lost power sales and revenue to "other customers." Therefore, they look at other renewable generation from NM as a disturbing competitor, although the power generation from NM is negligible.

The values of Net-Metering based on generation from solar PV are:

- This is renewable energy in a state and country that are behind the desired transition to renewables if investor demands are to be met
- This is distributed electric generation, saving a significant part of the transmission cost
- This is an electric generation that to a large amount takes place at the time of day with high demands

It's a fact that the entire corporate investor sector now requires all companies they own or invest in to elaborate on, include, and define their so-called ESG values, environmental, social, and governance goals. Therefore, the renewable transition is inevitable, and NM is an asset to the Utilities; it's not a competitor, and NM should not be decimated at this point.

If the utilities in Kentucky were already actively transitioning and investing in renewable generation, had plans and goals, or were much further into this transition, then perhaps it would be more understandable that they wanted to streamline their generation.

But that's not the case.

Here's my own distributed generation for your evaluation:

My 23 kW solar array produces an average of 1800 kWh per month, and my 3,500 square foot home uses on average 800 kWh per month. I build my house myself as a Greene building.

The surplus of around 1000 kWh per month is delivered 350 feet across the road at my two neighbors' homes.

How can that not simply be what it is, renewable, distributed generation, at the doorstep where the utilities sell it at retail price. I can not help thinking I'm a contributor, not a competitor.

NM is part of what investors are looking for, fulfilling most ESG values that are so important.

Thank you and very best regards from

Kris O'Daniel

647 Beechland Road – Springfield KY 40069 – [REDACTED]

\*Angela M Goad  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Honorable David Edward Spenard  
Strobo Barkley PLLC  
239 South 5th Street  
Ste 917  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

\*Katie M Glass  
Stites & Harbison  
421 West Main Street  
P. O. Box 634  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

\*Barry Alan Naum  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
1100 Brent Creek Blvd., Suite 101  
Mechanicsburg, PENNSYLVANIA 17050

\*Thomas J FitzGerald  
Counsel & Director  
Kentucky Resources Council, Inc.  
Post Office Box 1070  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602

\*Lisa A. Lucas  
Administrative Assistant  
Jenkins Fenstermaker, PLLC  
325 Eighth Street  
Huntington, WEST VIRGINIA 25701

\*Clay A. Barkley  
Strobo Barkley PLLC  
239 South 5th Street  
Ste 917  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

\*Hector Garcia-Santana  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Post Office Box 16631  
Columbus, OHIO 43216

\*Larry Cook  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Carrie H Grundmann  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500  
Winston-Salem, NORTH CAROLINA 27103

\*Jody Kyler Cohn  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Michael A Frye  
Honorable  
Jenkins Fenstermaker, PLLC  
325 Eighth Street  
Huntington, WEST VIRGINIA 25701

\*Joe F Childers  
Joe F. Childers & Associates  
300 Lexington Building  
201 West Short Street  
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

\*John Horne  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Matt Partymiller  
President  
Kentucky Solar Industries Association  
1038 Brentwood Court  
Suite B  
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40511

\*Christen M Blend  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Post Office Box 16631  
Columbus, OHIO 43216

\*Honorable Kurt J Boehm  
Attorney at Law  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Matthew Miller  
Sierra Club  
50 F Street, NW, Eighth Floor  
Washington, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20001

\*Don C Parker  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
300 Kanawha Blvd, East  
Charleston, WEST VIRGINIA 25301

\*Kentucky Power Company  
1645 Winchester Avenue  
Ashland, KY 41101

\*J. Michael West  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Honorable Michael L Kurtz  
Attorney at Law  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Honorable Mark R Overstreet  
Attorney at Law  
Stites & Harbison  
421 West Main Street  
P. O. Box 634  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

\*Robert D. Gladman  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Post Office Box 16631  
Columbus, OHIO 43216

\*Randal A. Strobo  
Strobo Barkley PLLC  
239 South 5th Street  
Ste 917  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

\*Tanner Wolfram  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Post Office Box 16631  
Columbus, OHIO 43216