

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Comments on Kentucky Power PSC Rate Case Number 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:02:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

**From:** Chris Dolan [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 2, 2020 11:13 AM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** Comments on Kentucky Power PSC Rate Case Number 2020-00174

Dear PSC members and staff,

I am writing about Case No. 2020-00174, in which the PSC is to rule on a rate case by Kentucky Power that includes proposing changing solar energy credits from the current 1 to 1 net metering to a much lower and more complicated reimbursement rate.

I am the owner of a roof-top solar array. Rate case 2020-00174 is the first rate case that is proposing new net metering rates. This case will set a precedent for future cases so it is important that it be done with the needed information to make a good and fair choice. I think that the current 1:1 net metering should not be changed. 1 to 1 net metering is simple and fair and allows more people to afford solar arrays. The current 1:1 net metering is fair since excess power is sent to the grid during times of summertime peak demand when electricity is more expensive to produce. 1:1 net metering helps foster more solar that is important to reduce the health and severe climate change impacts of fossil fuel generated electricity.

**Now is not the time to change the net metering compensation rate.**

- We need an accurate understanding of the value that rooftop solar provides. In order to determine the true value of solar net metering on the grid we need:

- An outside expert to help design the process for evaluating the value of solar, which the PSC does not currently have the funding to secure
  - Adequate proof from the utility company that cost-shifting is happening, which the utility companies cannot currently prove. Kentucky Power lists only 44 net metering customers, which accounts for much less than the 1% cap on solar net metering penetration in the grid (~0.04%). The efforts to change net metering rates do not reflect a desire by the utility to protect customers against cost shifting but instead represent the desire of the utility company to control our ability to own our own solar.
- 1:1 Net Metering is simple and fair.  
If there is a change to how distributed solar is to be credited it must also be easy to understand and administer. Although some states have changed their net metering policies, many still have their 1:1 net metering intact; in fact recently the Arkansas Public Service Commission decided to keep their net metering policy in place for now.
- The proposed Time of Use provisions are unfair and complicated.  
Kentucky Power is asking for a time of use valuation of solar with strict rules on when net metering credits can be used based on arbitrary timeframes (8:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. and 6:00 P.M. to 8:00 A.M.) The use of two energy blocks and the ability to only redeem credits based on the block it was produced is a clear attempt to punish solar users by not allowing them to use the energy they produce during daylight hours during the evenings. The time frames suggested for net metering do not match current “time of use” timeframes (7:00 A.M. to 9:00 P.M.) and KY Power proposes to credit solar users for excess generation at the minimum avoided cost rate, regardless of when electricity is produced. Furthermore, if solar created during the “day” time block has a higher avoided cost (because it corresponds with the peak production time) then it should be able to be “redeemed” during the night block when the avoided cost is lower. At the very least the solar time blocks should line up with peak and off-peak demand times and the crediting system should value electricity produced during peak higher, based on a higher avoided cost.
- Using an “avoided cost” valuation only severely undervalues net metered solar and excludes the many benefits that solar provides to the utility, the grid and our communities.  
Kentucky Power is asking for an avoided-cost valuation of net metering at their lowest avoided costs. This method does not account for benefits or time of production avoided costs. Of the many benefits that distributed solar provides to both the utilities and ratepayers, we want to especially highlight the overlap between solar peak production and peak energy demand, reduced line loss and wear and tear on the grid, avoided expenditures on pollution controls, health and environmental benefits. Simply using the avoided-cost rate does not account for these obvious benefits. We urge the PSC to pay special attention to the quantifiable health benefits from the EPA’s “2019 Public Health Benefits per kWh of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy in the United States”

technical report and point to the processes developed by other states which have successfully included solar benefits in their value of solar analysis. We support the creation of a process that considers both costs and benefits of net metered solar as per the PSC's order following the administrative hearing in the Fall of 2019.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Chris Dolan

1626 Ruth Avenue  
Louisville, KY 40205

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Case Number: 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:01:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** PSC Public Information Officer <[PSC.Info@ky.gov](mailto:PSC.Info@ky.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 2, 2020 5:08 PM  
**To:** PSC Executive Director <[PSCED@ky.gov](mailto:PSCED@ky.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: Case Number: 2020-00174

**From:** Donald Kleier <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 1, 2020 5:35 PM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <[PSC.Info@ky.gov](mailto:PSC.Info@ky.gov)>  
**Subject:** Case Number: 2020-00174

I am writing about the case to change "net metering" regarding rooftop solar energy production in Kentucky. I am a single family resident in Frankfort, KY and have plans to install rooftop solar on my house. I am doing this to foster growth of the rooftop solar industry in Kentucky and to do my part in providing renewable energy and decreasing carbon dioxide emissions.

Now is not the time to change net metering. It takes away the incentive for Kentucky citizens to help this small industry and to do something to offset emissions.

Every country in the free world and even China are becoming leaders in renewable energy initiatives. What does Kentucky Power want to do? Remove a major incentive to provide growth in this area. Rooftop solar is just getting started. It is way too early to turn back the clock on this industry.

Please keep New Metering in place for Rooftop Solar.

Now is not the time!

Thank you,  
Donald Kleier

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Case Number: 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 3:59:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

**From:** Lee Look [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 31, 2020 5:04 PM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** Case Number: 2020-00174

hello...

i am writing in support of NOT changing the net metering compensation rate.

there does not seem to be a reason to change this, other than to benefit the utility companies. the consumer seems to be the one making the sacrifice in this situation.

i am grateful to supplement my power needs with solar options, and i look to continue that in the future; but as a small consumer, my options and resources are limited already.

thank you for listening.

Lee

--

Lee Look, PhD  
Licensed Psychological Practitioner  
Licensed Long-Term Care Administrator  
Captain, St. Matthews Fire Department, Engine Company  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Case No. 2020-00174 public comment  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:00:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 2, 2020 4:58 PM  
**To:** PSC Executive Director <PSCED@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Case No. 2020-00174 public comment

---

**From:** Lisa S <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 1, 2020 4:00 PM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <[PSC.Info@ky.gov](mailto:PSC.Info@ky.gov)>  
**Subject:** Case No. 2020-00174 public comment

Sir and/or Madam,  
Regarding Case No. 2020-00174, please position Kentucky to be a leader in energy efficiency and NOT change the net metering compensation rate.

Now is Not the Time,  
Lisa Santos  
1318 Hull St.  
Louisville, KY 40204

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Case 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:00:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** Malcolm Bryant [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 31, 2020 9:55 AM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** Case 2020-00174

Our company is a user of solar energy as we install panels on our commercial buildings and homes. For us as a company and Kentucky as a state to compete in this world wide economy now is not the time for the utility companies to make changes to the Net Metering Compensation rate. We will be put at a disadvantage to get the most from renewable energy options and not compete as well as a company or state. If we cannot use the renewable energies to their ultimate return we must pass along the costs to our customers, which raises all costs to Kentuckians.

Please allow the citizens of this Commonwealth to have the freedom to use renewable energies to their best advantage as we try to increase employment and reduce long-term costs for our businesses and neighbors.

Stay safe.

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Case Number: 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:01:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Blum [REDACTED]  
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2020 11:00 AM  
To: PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
Subject: Case Number: 2020-00174

As a rooftop solar panel owner I urge you not to change anything with net metering until your own study is finished. Do you want to be the ones who kill the home solar business?

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Protect Affordable Solar Case Number: 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 3:58:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** Roger Ohlman [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 30, 2020 6:09 PM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <[PSC.Info@ky.gov](mailto:PSC.Info@ky.gov)>  
**Subject:** Protect Affordable Solar Case Number: 2020-00174

Dear Commissioners,

I write to you on behalf of future generations. I will be grand-fathered into the SB100 legislation since putting my system in 2013. But as a grandfather, I am concerned for the world we will be leaving behind. We need to bring all available resources to bear in efforts to reduce our carbon foot-print. As members of the PSC, you surely understand this.

Kentucky regulation must adapt to this new energy future that promises many new jobs per US Bureau of Labor Statistics. Brown-Forman has already committed to 90% renewable energy for all their US operations to a wind farm in Kansas. Kentucky could have had those jobs if the solar energy climate was more friendly. How many more jobs must we lose to other states?

**Now is not the time for a net metering change!** The PSC must not change net metering policies until they have completed a fair, independent, assessment of costs and benefits of net metered, rooftop solar.

Roger Ohlman

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Comment on Case Number: 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 3:59:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

**From:** Scott Thile [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 30, 2020 11:09 AM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** Comment on Case Number: 2020-00174

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to comment on Case Number: 2020-00174.

Kentucky Power's plan would adversely affect our family. While we are not in Kentucky Power's service area, their plan and the precedence it would set would serve as the death nail for Kentucky's thriving rooftop solar industry at a time when we desperately need these good paying jobs.

Now is not the time to cripple a thriving industry!

This plan would also affect us financially. I currently have a solar installation on my small sailboat--its success has encouraged me to add a rooftop solar installation to our home in the future. I can only afford to do this by offsetting the cost of installation with the 1:1 net metering we have in place now.

Changes to the net metering rates in this case will set a precedent for the rest of the state and we are not prepared to make an informed decision that impacts all future net metering rates. In 2019, the state legislature gave utilities the option of exploring new net metering rates with the PSC. It did not demand that the PSC change the existing rate. We know that in order to determine the true value of solar net metering on the grid we need:

- An outside expert to help design the process for evaluating the value of solar, which the PSC does not currently have the funding to secure
- Adequate proof from the utility company that cost-shifting is happening, which the utility companies can not currently prove.

We also know that cost shifting is negligible until distributed solar reaches 5-10% of the grid penetration. Currently, there are so few users that making changes to the net metering rate is unjustified and a waste of the Public Service Commission's valuable time. With so many important issues in front the PSC utility companies should not be pushing for net metering changes to try and mitigate a nonexistent problem that may or may not impact rates sometime in the future.

This rate case will set the precedent for all of the other Kentucky utilities and should not be made before making sure that the process is right and that we can have all the needed information. Therefore, the PSC should rule to not make changes at this time, as was ruled in the recent Arkansas net metering case. The best course of action for them was to take up this issue in the future when cost shifting can actually be established by the utilities rather than making an important decision too soon without the necessary data.

Thank you for your work, and for your careful consideration of this case.

Sincerely,

Scott E. Thile  
1205 Doran Rd.  
Murray, KY 42071

**From:** Bruner, Brandon S (PSC) on behalf of PSC Executive Director  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Net metering  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:00:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** Seamus Allman [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 31, 2020 10:21 AM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** Net metering

To whom it may concern:]

Now is not the time to make changes to the Net Metering Compensation rate. Utility companies have failed to prove there is a negative impact from net metered customers and the amount of rooftop solar is still low. This rate case will set the precedent for all of the other Kentucky utilities and should not be made before making sure that the process is right and that we can have all the needed information. Therefore, the PSC should rule to not make changes at this time, as was ruled in the recent Arkansas net metering case.

The PSC listened to us last fall. We are grateful to the PSC for holding an administrative hearing last fall to take input on the implementation of SB100. They got what they asked for: an outpouring of public comments described the societal benefits of net metering and rooftop solar, and its value for helping residential customers, non-profits, and small businesses control energy bills. In response, the PSC issued an order for an expert assessment of the costs and benefits of net-metered solar. We applaud that order and hope that as a result the PSC will recognize the severe problems with KPC's proposals.

Kentucky Power's plan greatly undervalues excess energy fed to the grid. This plan ignores rooftop solar's role in helping with costly peak demand and other documented benefits of solar to the grid, climate, and health.

Rooftop solar will be far less affordable: the plan severely limits the ability for solar users to pay off their installations through savings on their electricity bills.

Spelling the end of a valued industry. The KPC plan will likely kill the local rooftop industry just when Kentucky needs these good-paying jobs.

Utility-scale solar is not enough. We need affordable solar on the roof. The new large-scale solar farms coming to Kentucky are good news for reducing fossil fuel usage. But both large scale and rooftop solar are essential to decarbonize at necessary levels, and to return to healthy air. Distributed, rooftop, solar is also essential for Kentucky's plans for grid security, grid resilience, and community resilience (unlike large-scale solar, rooftop creates no conflict with local farmland). Finally, net-metered, rooftop solar is an essential component of energy affordability for home-owners, small businesses and non-profits.

Utility claims of cost shifting from solar owners to non-solar customers in Kentucky are unsupported. KPC has been unwilling to provide data to support their claims of cost shifting. Data from other states show cost shifting is only possible with levels of solar penetration far beyond levels found in Kentucky (including KPC's region). So why are KPC and other utilities opposed to rooftop solar? Monopoly utility profits depend on increased energy sales, and infrastructure investments. Thus customer-owned solar, as well as energy efficiency, stand in conflict with utility interests. We need new models that align our electric utility system with public interests.

We must protect the rights of individual Kentuckians to own solar. Rates should not be used as an anti-competitive tool. Kentuckians should have affordable energy choice and the right to control bills by producing their own energy.

Now is not the time for a net metering change! The PSC must not change net metering policies until they have completed a fair, independent, assessment of costs and benefits of net-metered, rooftop solar.

Thank you,  
Seamus Allman

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Case Number: 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 4:02:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

**From:** Steven Burch [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, November 2, 2020 2:44 PM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** Case Number: 2020-00174

Now is Not theTime.

I have had solar in the State of New Jersey before moving to Kentucky and installing 24 panels on my home in Lexington. I do it to help the environment and to make a smart choice for my future.

Without net metering investing in solar would be out of reach for most people. Instead of changing our 1:1 net metering rate, we need policies which help make solar even more accessible. For example, third-party ownership, virtual net metering, net metering credit transfer, expansion of energy efficiency programs, stable fixed cost rates and on-bill financing.

### **Utilities claim cost-shifting, they need to prove it**

The utility companies must prove that solar net metering is actually having a negative impact on customers before making long-lasting changes to this policy. They must prove it with utility-specific data, not false claims referencing other states with higher solar penetration.

- Net Metering is simple and fair. It is easy to administer and to

understand which makes it an ideal solution for utilities, solar installers and solar customers.

- Using an “avoided cost” valuation severely undervalues net metered solar and excludes the many benefits that solar provides to the utility, the grid and our communities.
- Solar is helping low- and moderate income people, businesses and organizations by helping to lower their high energy burden. If the PSC and KY Power are so concerned with solar being accessible for low-income and poor folks, don’t take away net metering.
- Beyond the impacts to solar users, Kentucky Power’s rate case is another attempt to raise rates for Kentuckians already struggling to pay their bills and discourage energy efficiency.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

With kind regards,

Steve

**Steven Burch**

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Facebook: [REDACTED]

Pronouns: *he/him/his*

*Be kind. Everyone you meet is fighting a battle you know nothing about. Think about what really matters; honesty, integrity and a deep sense of responsibility. When we enjoy the people we love and do meaningful work, we put ourselves on a path toward living a truly satisfying life.*

**From:** [Bruner, Brandon S \(PSC\)](#) on behalf of [PSC Executive Director](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Please reject Case Number: 2020-00174  
**Date:** Wednesday, November 4, 2020 3:59:00 PM

---

Thank you for your comments on the application of Kentucky Power Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2020-00174, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at [http://psc.ky.gov/PSC\\_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174](http://psc.ky.gov/PSC_WebNet/ViewCaseFilings.aspx?Case=2020-00174).

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Best Regards,

Brandon Bruner  
Administrative Branch Manager  
Filings Branch  
General Administration

Kentucky Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
Frankfort, KY 40601

---

**From:** Will Rosenbaum [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 30, 2020 10:01 AM  
**To:** PSC Public Information Officer <PSC.Info@ky.gov>  
**Subject:** Please reject Case Number: 2020-00174

Good morning, I am writing to comment on Case 2020-00174, and encourage the PSC to decline this request to change net metering policy. Now is not the time to reduce the growth of residential solar in Kentucky. As the coal industry inevitably declines, solar provides a wealth of good-paying jobs for Kentuckians. The traditional power sources are also problematic in healthcare impacts, environmental impacts, and destruction or degradation of public lands.

Here at the Sisters of Charity of Nazareth, we have a multi-year initiative to implement an increasing level of renewable energy sources to meet our demand, with the bulk of that load coming from solar. We have two substantial arrays in place, and plan to add more in the future, to further our aim of becoming a carbon-neutral organization by 2037. If net metering rates are drastically disadvantaged, as they would be under the precedent of this proposal, we will be unable to meet our goals.

For their part, Kentucky Power has not demonstrated that the current level of rooftop solar has any appreciable "cost shifting" affect. Please reject this unnecessary and untimely proposal. Thank you.

Will Rosenbaum

Director of Campus Service  
Sisters of Charity of Nazareth  
134 Main Ave.  
Nazareth, KY 40048



\*Angela M Goad  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Thomas J FitzGerald  
Counsel & Director  
Kentucky Resources Council, Inc.  
Post Office Box 1070  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602

\*Lisa A. Lucas  
Administrative Assistant  
Jenkins Fenstermaker, PLLC  
325 Eighth Street  
Huntington, WEST VIRGINIA 25701

\*Barry Alan Naum  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
1100 Brent Creek Blvd., Suite 101  
Mechanicsburg, PENNSYLVANIA 17050

\*Jody Kyler Cohn  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Larry Cook  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Clay A. Barkley  
Strobo Barkley PLLC  
239 South 5th Street  
Ste 917  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

\*Joe F Childers  
Joe F. Childers & Associates  
300 Lexington Building  
201 West Short Street  
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

\*Michael A Frye  
Honorable  
Jenkins Fenstermaker, PLLC  
325 Eighth Street  
Huntington, WEST VIRGINIA 25701

\*Carrie H Grundmann  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500  
Winston-Salem, NORTH CAROLINA 27103

\*John Horne  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Matt Partymiller  
President  
Kentucky Solar Industries Association  
1038 Brentwood Court  
Suite B  
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40511

\*Christen M Blend  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Post Office Box 16631  
Columbus, OHIO 43216

\*Honorable Kurt J Boehm  
Attorney at Law  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*J. Michael West  
Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate  
700 Capitol Avenue  
Suite 20  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Don C Parker  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
300 Kanawha Blvd, East  
Charleston, WEST VIRGINIA 25301

\*Kentucky Power Company  
1645 Winchester Avenue  
Ashland, KY 41101

\*Honorable Michael L Kurtz  
Attorney at Law  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street  
Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Honorable David Edward Spenard  
Strobo Barkley PLLC  
239 South 5th Street  
Ste 917  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

\*Katie M Glass  
Stites & Harbison  
421 West Main Street  
P. O. Box 634  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

\*Honorable Mark R Overstreet  
Attorney at Law  
Stites & Harbison  
421 West Main Street  
P. O. Box 634  
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40602-0634

\*Robert D. Gladman  
American Electric Power Service Corporation  
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor  
Post Office Box 16631  
Columbus, OHIO 43216

\*Randal A. Strobo  
Strobo Barkley PLLC  
239 South 5th Street  
Ste 917  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202