

Commonwealth of Kentucky
Public Service Commission
11 Sower Blvd
P O Box 615
Frankfort Kentucky 40602-0615

RECEIVED

JAN 13 2017

Public Service
Commission

letter of response regarding case files: 2016-00152 and 2016-00370

January 9th, 2017

Nancy A Stadlander

~~1000 Cobler Ford Rd~~
Lewisport Ky 42351

Dear Public Service Commission,

I am writing in response to your letter regarding the complaints I sent regarding case number 2016-00152 and 2016-00370. You claim that you are unable to accept them as complaints due to the fact my electric utility doesn't operate under those names. You did state they can be entered as comments but You also stated that I was not allowed to have my name and address redacted. You have my written permission to include my name and city of address but as you stated please remove my street address.

Thank you

Nancy A Stadlander

Nancy A Stadlander

~~1000 Cobler Ford Rd~~
Lewisport Ky 42351



Matthew G. Bevin
Governor

Charles G. Snavely
Secretary
Energy and Environment Cabinet

Commonwealth of Kentucky
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615
Telephone: (502) 564-3940
Fax: (502) 564-3460
psc.ky.gov

Michael J. Schmitt
Chairman

Robert Cicero
Vice Chairman

Daniel E. Logsdon Jr.
Commissioner

January 4, 2017

Ms. Nancy Stadtlander
1306 Goble Ford Road
Lewisport, KY 42351

Dear Ms. Stadtlander:

The Kentucky Public Service Commission is in receipt of documents you submitted regarding Duke Energy Kentucky and Kentucky Utilities Co., copies of which I have enclosed.

Because you are not a customer of either utility named and referenced by case number in the enclosed documents, the commission is unable to accept your filings as complaints.

They can be accepted as public comments in cases 2016-00152 and 2016-00370, which you reference in the document you submitted.

However, the Kentucky Public Service Commission has a policy of not accepting for filing into a case any comments in which the commenting party is not identified by at least name and city of residence. Since all of our case files are kept in both paper and electronic form, this policy extends to documents that appear in case files on the agency website.

Therefore, you can choose to either not submit these documents as public comments or submit them and withdraw your request to have personal information - name and city of residence - redacted. We will redact your street address as requested.

Your submission will not be filed until we receive a written response from you indicating how you wish to proceed.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrew Melnykovich
Director of Communications
Kentucky Public Service Commission

THE COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

JAN 4 2017

Public Service
Commission

Nancy Stadlander,

COMPLAINANT

VS.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT re Case 2016-00152

Duke Energy also known as Duke Energy Kentucky and many other names,

DEFENDANT

Notice to Kentucky PSC and all other parties: This Letter of complaint is in reference to the wireless utility meters that the utility companies and the Kentucky PSC are trying to force on our homes, schools and businesses.

The Complaint of Nancy Stadlander respectfully shows:

- (a) Nancy Stadlander, [REDACTED], Lewisport, Kentucky 42351
- (b) Duke Energy Kentucky 139 East Fourth Street P.O. Box 960 Cincinnati, Ohio 45201 also addressed as LG&E and KU Energy LLC 220 West Main Street P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232
- (c) Kentucky Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615, 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

- (d) That Duke energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all its Utility Companies, as well as the Kentucky Public Service Commission are aware of all the below mentioned as evidenced by the following Case Files:

Kentucky PSC: Case File 2012-000428, 2016-00370, 2016-00187, 2016-00152, 2016-00370

Ohio PSC : Case File 14-1160-EL-UNC

North Carolina PSC: Case File Docket No. E-7 Sub 1115 (Note: This was originally

Case File Docket No. E-100, SUB 141)

South Carolina PSC: Case File 2013-59-E

Florida PSC: Case File Docket No. 130223

- (e) That Kentucky Utilities, Kinergy, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky and all its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC **have in their personal possession letters of medical complaints from their customers and their doctors** making it very clear that these Smart Meters, AMS Meters, AMR Meters, AMI Meters, ERT Meters, ie...wireless meters **are labeled a Class 2b Carcinogen and have been making customers severely ill after they were installed** on their homes and businesses. These illnesses include but are not limited to watering eyes, blurred vision, headaches, dementia, heart palpitations, heart attacks, strokes, difficulty breathing, joint pain, involuntary sterilization, sudden graying and aging, thyroid deficiency, electricity poisoning, cancer, vomiting, dizziness, and just overall a lousy feeling with cloudy thinking and severe fatigue;
- (f) That Kentucky Utilities, Kinergy, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky and all its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC are aware and **have record of over 2000 Unbiased Research Studies showing the detrimental and effects of wireless frequencies** to humans, animals, plants, trees, and the environment.

- (g) That Kentucky Utilities, Kenergy, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all of its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC know and are aware that these wireless frequencies were tested on a plastic head and that the FCC and Safety standards are outdated and focus on thermal RF (i.e. heated tissue). Scientists have identified non-thermal biological effects well below these guidelines and state that these non-thermal biological effects have serious human health consequences. Also worth noting: while utilities state that smart meters are “not expected to cause harmful interference” with vital medical equipment, this has not been the experience of individuals living with wireless meters, particularly those with a pacemaker. **Wireless meters were designed based on outdated guidelines and biased research.**
- (h) That Kentucky Utilities, Kenergy, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all of its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC know and are aware that switching from analog meters to wireless meters consists of 2-way communications capabilities which violate our privacy and **does not address the critical issues of the core infrastructure of the electricity grid**. In other words, we still have the same above-ground wires that require the same infrastructure maintenance and upgrading requirements with the same vulnerabilities, plus some additional vulnerabilities that this 2-way communication system exposes us to, such as increased risk of fires; interference with vital medical equipment such as pacemakers; health risks; national security vulnerabilities; and privacy issues.
- (i) That Kentucky Utilities, Kenergy, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all of its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC are well aware of the privacy violations , increased costs, financial losses, and dangers of these wireless meters.
- (j) That Kentucky Utilities, Kenergy, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all of its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC are well aware that Wireless Meters have a life expectancy of 3-7 years whereas an analog meter has the life expectancy of 20-30 years.

- (k) That Kentucky Utilities, Kinerger, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all of its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC are well aware of the electrical shorts, explosions, and fires caused by these wireless meters. They are aware that these wireless frequencies are causing a problem to homes wiring due to harmonics on the lines.
- (l) That Kentucky Utilities, Kinerger, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all of its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC are well aware that according to research [the frequency from these meters enhances violence and homicides](#). See Case Files listed below and documentation here:
http://www.neilcherry.nz/documents/90_s8_EMR_and_Aging_and_violence.pdf
- (m) That Kentucky Utilities, Kinerger, Duke Energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all of its Utility Companies, as well as the Ky PSC, OH PSC, NC PSC, and SC PSC are well aware that the **cost of paying "meter readers" and providing jobs is much more efficient than all the detrimental consequences associated with the installation of these wireless meters.**
- (n) That doctors, scientists, and the public are reporting illnesses (and some died) after being exposed to various Wireless Meters that had been placed on their homes without their permission as well as on businesses they worked for. Their trees, shrubs, flowers and a variety of wildlife that inhabited their homes yards suddenly became diseased, and/ or died, and disappeared. Their homes also started experiencing a variety of electrical interruptions, shortages, and electrical equipment catching fire after the installation of these wireless meters.
- (o) That millions of people, animals, plants, and trees are already dying from long term and accumulation exposure to these wireless frequencies which can be easily verified by reading the public comments from utility customers as well as the case files mentioned above.
- (p) That lawsuits are being filed (and won) and the costs associated with utility bills, continual wireless meter replacement (no longevity like the safe analog meters), loss of privacy, quality of life, life itself, and personal

- (q) property has already exceeded the small cost associated with maintaining analog meters and paying meter readers.
- (r) That Kentucky Utilities, Kenergy, Duke energy, Duke Energy Kentucky, all its Utility Companies, as well as the Kentucky Public Service Commission have utilized deceptive practices regarding notifying the public of the detrimental effects of these wireless meters as well as untimely notification and vague references to applications made by Duke Energy and other utility companies in regards to the installation of these wireless meters.
- (s) That providing opt-outs is not a solution to stopping the exposure levels because many of the population are still uneducated as to the dangerous effects of these wireless meters.
- (t) That opt-outs are extortion fees because the precedence has always been set for analog meters to be read by meter readers and customers have only agreed to having analog meters. Therefore charging a fee to continue using an analog meter is extortion.
- (u) That the excuse "it is costing too much for having employees to read the analog meters" is not valid, because the utility companies have always used meter readers. If they do not wish to support the economy by retaining their employees, then **an easy solution is for the customer to take a picture of the analog meter on the required "Read Date" and the camera used would have the date stamp as well as the ID of the meter. These could be faxed or mailed in!** A precedence has also already been set for this as many customers costs were estimated and then customers mailed in cards with their actual meter read written on them.

WHEREFORE, COMPLAINANT asks that:

1. Case No: 2016-00152


Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, (INC) For (1) A Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing the Construction of an Advanced Metering Infrastructure; (2) Request for Accounting Treatment; And (3) All other Necessary Waivers, Approvals, and Relief

Be Denied

2. That no wireless devices of any kind be permitted to be installed by any utility companies due to their numerous violations, unaffordable costs, and the health damages they cause to people, animals, plants, trees, and the environment.

Dated: December 29, 2016, in Lewisport, Kentucky

Respectfully Submitted without permission to post personal information on the internet:


Nancy Stadlander, Complainant

PROOF OF SERVICE

I. BY United States Mail: XXXX

I am employed in the County of Davies, State of Kentucky. I am over the age of 18 and I am a party to the within action. My home address is [REDACTED], Lewisport, Kentucky 42351

On 12/29/16, I served the foregoing document described as Consumer Complaint on Interested Parties in this action by Mailing this document, to the addressees below:

P.O. Box 615, 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed 12/29/16 .


Nancy Stadlander , Complainant

Cc: