ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Ronald M. Sullivan Jesse T. Mountjoy Frank Stainback James M. Miller Michael A. Fiorella R. Michael Sullivan Bryan R. Reynolds* Tyson A. Kamuf Mark W. Starnes C. Ellsworth Mountjoy John S. Wathen K. Timothy Kline**

*Also Licensed in Indiana **Also Licensed in Indiana and New York February 18, 2016

RECEIVED

FEB 1 9 2016

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Via Federal Express

Jeff Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

> Re: In the Matter of: An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers Electric Corporation from May 1, 2015 through October 31, 2015 Case No. 2016-00006

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation are an original and ten copies of (i) Big Rivers' responses to the information requests contained in the appendix to the Public Service Commission's February 5, 2016, order in the above-referenced matter; and (ii) a petition for confidential treatment. I certify that on this date, a copy of the responses, a copy of the petition, and a copy of this letter were served on all parties of record.

Sincerely,

10

Tyson Kamuf Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation

TAK/lm Enclosures

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

www.westkylaw.com

ORIGINAL



RECEIVED

FEB 19 2016 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION	
OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE	
OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION	
FROM	
MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015	

Case No. 2016-00006

))

)

Responses to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

FILED: February 19, 2016

ORIGINAL

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

VERIFICATION

I, Mark W. McAdams, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.,

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Mark W. McAdams on this the $\frac{18}{16}$ day of February, 2016.



Olrin

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

VERIFICATION

I, Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan on this the <u>18</u> day of February, 2016.



Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

VERIFICATION

I, Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronows

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky on this the $\frac{16}{2}$ day of February, 2016.



Notary Fublic, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires____

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

VERIFICATION

I, Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

oy P. Wright

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_



Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1 Item 1) For the period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015, list 2 each vendor from whom coal was purchased and the quantities and the 3 nature of each purchase (i.e., spot or contract). For the period under 4 review in total, provide the percentage of purchases that were spot versus 5 contract. For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been 6 filed with the Commission. If no, explain why it has not been filed. 7

8 Response) Please see the schedules below.

9

For the Period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015

Purchase Vendor	Fuel Type	Purchase Tonnage	Contract Type
Alliance Coal *	Coal	317,580.30	Contract
Armstrong Coal	Coal	464,678.45	Contract
Coeclerici-Coal Network	Coal	75,395.81	Contract
Coeclerici-Coal Network	Coal	75,998.40	Spot
Foresight Coal	Coal	123,807.75	Contract
KenAmerican Resources	Coal	155,058.77	Contract
Koch Carbon	Pet Coke	86,283.40	Spot
Oxbow Carbon & Minerals	Pet Coke	24,281.44	Spot
Rhino Energy, LLC	Coal	81,011.00	Contract
Sebree Mining, LLC	Coal	335,864,39	Contract
TCP Pet Coke	Pet Coke	220,770.20	Spot
Total Tonnage	-	1,960,729.91	

* Patriot Coal Contract BRE-11-021 assigned to Alliance Coal in January 2015.

10

11

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 1 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

	Percentage Spot Purchase Tons	20.77 %	
	Percentage Contract Purchase Tons	79.23 %	
	Percentage Total Purchase Tons	100.00 %	
2			
3	Big Rivers has filed its contract purchases wi	th the Commission.	
3 4	Big Rivers has filed its contract purchases wi	th the Commission.	
3 4 5	Big Rivers has filed its contract purchases wi	th the Commission.	
3 4 5 6	Big Rivers has filed its contract purchases wi Witness) Mark W. McAdams	th the Commission.	

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 1 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

Item 2) For the period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015, list
 each vendor from whom natural gas was purchased for generation and
 the quantities and the nature of each purchase (i.e., spot or contract).
 For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been filed with the
 Commission. If no, explain why it has not been filed.

6

7 **Response)** Please see the listing on the following page for vendors from whom 8 natural gas was purchased for generation and the quantity and nature of each 9 purchase from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015. Also on the following page 10 please see the percentage of purchases that were either spot versus contract for 11 the period under review in total.

12 Starting in July 2010, Big Rivers began purchasing natural gas under 13 a North American Energy Standard Board ("NAESB") base contract for sale and purchase of natural gas. Actual purchases of gas are made using transaction 14 confirmations that are governed by the NAESB contract. Big Rivers also entered 15 16 into a contract with Texas Gas Transmission, LLC for the transportation and 17 storage and borrowing of gas to Big Rivers' delivery point, the Reid Combustion 18 Turbine. All of these contracts are on file with the Kentucky Public Service 19 Commission.

- 20
- 21

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 2 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

.

1

Purchase Vendor	Fuel Type	MCF	Contract Type
Atmos Energy Marketing, LLO	C Natural Gas	2,535.00	Spot
CIMA Energy, LTD	Natural Gas	16,510.00	Spot
NJR Energy, LLC	Natural Gas	7,216.00	Spot
Percentage Contract Percentage Spot	0.00% 100.00%		
Percentage Contract	0.00%		
Percentage Total	100.00 %		
	· · · · · ·		
Witness) Wayne O'Bryan			

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 2 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 2 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

Item 3) State whether Big Rivers engages in hedging activities for its
 coal or natural gas purchases used for generation. If yes, describe the
 hedging activities in detail.

Response) Big Rivers does not engage in financial hedging activities regarding
its coal or natural gas purchases used for generation. Big Rivers does, however,
stagger its purchases of coal to create a natural hedge on price volatility pursuant
to Policy No. 111 - Hedge Policy.

10 Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and

11 Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)

12

9

4

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 3 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 1 of 1

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1 Item 4) For each generating station or unit for which a separate coal 2 pile is maintained, state, for the period from May 1, 2015, through October 3 31, 2015, the actual amount of coal burned in tons, the actual amount of 4 coal deliveries in tons, the total kWh generated, and the actual capacity 5 factor at which the plant operated.

6

7 **Response)** Please see the schedule below. The North American Electric 8 Reliability Corporation's Generating Availability Data System defines Capacity 9 Factor as the value equal to the net MWh produced divided by the product of the 10 hours in the period times the unit rating in Net MWs, which is the formula for 11 this response.

12

Plant	Coal & Pet Coke Burn (Tons)	Coal & Pet Coke Receipts (Tons)	Net kWh	Capacity Factor (Net MWh) / (Period Hrs x _MW rating)
Reid Station (Coal)	0.00	712.30	(8,149,000)	-2.84%
Station Two (Coal) *	192,535.92	319,068.00	438,424,220	54.90%
Green Station (Coal)	469,322.95	516,026.30	1,203,515,233	60.03%
Green Station (Pet Coke) **	86,209.00	164,009.63		
Coleman Station (Coal) ***	0.00	0.00	(4,385,000)	-0.22%
Wilson Station (Coal)	659,788.56	793,588.27	1,667,075,501	90.53%
Wilson Station (Pet Coke) **	74,823.90	167,325.41	· · ·	

* Net of City of Henderson. City of Henderson generation take was 318,035,000 kWhs.

** Net kWh and Capacity Factor includes energy from burning Coal and Pet Coke.

*** Net kWh for Coleman Station is for Station Power as it has been idled since May 2014.

13

14 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 4 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1 Item 5) List all firm power commitments for Big Rivers from May 1, 2 2015, through October 31, 2015, for (a) purchases and (b) sales. This list 3 shall identify the electric utility, the amount of commitment in MW, and 4 the purpose of the commitment (i.e., peaking, emergency).

5 6 **Response**)

(a) <u>Purchases:</u>

Southeastern Power Administration ("SEPA"), up to 178 MW, energy for system. A force majeure, previously declared by SEPA for this contract due to dam safety issues at Wolf Creek and Center Hill dams on the Cumberland System, remained in effect from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015. For the period under review, Big Rivers has operated under an annual contract in which purchases have been scheduled under the terms of weekly capacity declarations. The current limit is 154 MWs due to ongoing repairs on the Center Hill dam. The repairs currently are expected to last until late in 2018.

Additional purchases are shown on the table which follows. Please note, the purchases listed were made to support firm Off-System Sales and were not passed through Big Rivers' FAC.

> Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 5 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 4

- 6 Resp.
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
- 22 23

20

21

23 24

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1 2 (a) Purchases

	Big Rivers Electric Corporation Power Purchases May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015										
Date	Electric Utility	MW Commitment	Purpose								
May - Oct	MISO	200 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		412,800 Total	Off-System Sales								
May	MISO	50 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		16,000 Total	Off-System Sales								
May	MISO	50 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		16,000 Total	Off-System Sales								
May 23-24	MISO	100 MWs - Off Peak	Purchases for								
2015		3,200 Total	Off-System Sales								
May 27	MISO	100 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		1,600 Total	Off-System Sales								
May 28	MISO	100 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		1,600 Total	Off-System Sales								
Jun 2 2015	MISO	MISO 100 MWs - On Peak 1,600 Total									
Jun	MISO	100 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		35,200 Total	Off-System Sales								
Jul – Aug	MISO	100 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		70,400 Total	Off-System Sales								
Sep	MISO	100 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		33,600 Total	Off-System Sales								
Oct	MISO	100 MWs - On Peak	Purchases for								
2015		35,200 Total	Off-System Sales								
Oct	MISO	100 MWs - Off Peak	Purchases for								
2015		39,200 Total	Off-System Sales								

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 5 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 2 of 4

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

(b) <u>Sales</u>:

Firm Off-System Sales are shown on the table that follows.

	Big Rivers Electric Corporation Firm Off-System Sales May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015									
Date	Electric Utility	MW Commitment	Purpose							
May - Oct, 2015	NextEra	200 MWs - On Peak 412,800 Total	Off-System Sales							
May 2015	Hoosier	50 MWs - On Peak 16,000 Total	Off-System Sales							
May 2015	Hoosier	50 MWs - On Peak 16,000 Total	Off-System Sales							
May 23-24 2015	Hoosier	100 MWs - Off Peak 3,200 Total	Off-System Sales							
May 27 2015	Hoosier	100 MWs - On Peak 1,600 Total	Off-System Sales							
May 28 2015	Hoosier	100 MWs - On Peak 1,600 Total	Off-System Sales							
May 2015	ADM ¹	50 MWs - On Peak 16,000 Total	Off-System Sales							
Jun 2 2015	Hoosier	100 MWs - On Peak 1,600 Total	Off-System Sales							
Jun 2015	Cargill	100 MWs - On Peak 35,200 Total	Off-System Sales							
Jun 2015	ADM	100 MWs - On Peak 35,200 Total	Off-System Sales							
Jul – Aug 2015	BP Energy	100 MWs	Off-System Sales- 100 MWs Call Option							

4 5

¹ ADM = Archer Daniels Midland.

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 5 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 3 of 4

1 2

3

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1 2 Sales: (continued)

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Firm Off-System Sales (continued) May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015										
Date	Electric Utility	MW Commitment	Purpose							
Jul 2015	ADM	125 MWs - On Peak 46,000 Total	Off-System Sales							
Jul 21 2015	ADM	100 MWs - On Peak 1,600 Total	Off-System Sales							
Aug 2015	ADM	125 MWs - On Peak 42,000 Total	Off-System Sales							
Sep 2015	ADM	100 MWs - On Peak 33,600 Total	Off-System Sales							
Jul - Aug 2015	NextEra	100 MWs - On Peak 70,400 Total	Off-System Sales							
Sep 2015	EDF	100 MWs - On Peak 33,600 Total	Off-System Sales							
Oct 2015	ADM	50MWs – On Peak 17,600 Total	Off-System Sales							
Oct 2015	NextEra	100 MWs - On Peak 35,200 Total	Off-System Sales							
Oct 2015	NextEra	100 MWs - Off Peak 39,200 Total	Off-System Sales							

3

4

5 Witness) Wayne O'Bryan

6

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 5 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 4 of 4

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 6)	Provide a monthly billing summary of sales to all electric
2	utilities fo	r the period May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
3		
4	Response)	Please see the attached monthly billing summaries for sales to all
5	electric utili	ities for the period May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
6		
7		
8	Witness)	Nicholas R. Castlen
9		

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

.

,

1 MAY 2015 2	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	DI	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$] P	POWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$]	BILLING ADJ
3 JP RURALS	104,589	47.115.760	ŝ	1,443,851.15	 \$	2,120,209,20	 e	3,564,060.35				
4 KENERGY RURALS	(186,039	84,193,362	š	2,568,268.40	ŝ	3,788,701.29	ŝ	6,356,969.69				
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	63,917	31,439,820	\$	882,374.19	\$	1,414,791.90	\$	2,297,166.09				
6 7 TOTAL RURALS	354,545	100 840 040										
8	304,040	162,748,942	\$	4,894,493.74	\$	7,323,702.39	\$	12,218,196.13	\$	-	\$	-
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,428	2,350,320	\$	58,161.02	\$	89,429,68	\$	147,590.70	\$		\$	
10 KI-ALCOA	55	34,920	\$	589.33	\$	1,328.71	\$	1,918.04	Š	707.19	ŝ	
11 KI-ALERIS	26,372	13,637,350	\$	282,575.98	\$	518,901.17	Ś	801,477,15	ŝ	-	ŝ	
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,860	552,250	\$	19,929,90	s	21,013.11	ŝ	40,943.01	š	685.76	ě	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	10,263	4,648,930	\$	109,968.05	S	176,891,79	ŝ	286,859,84	ŝ	-	ě	13,445.96
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,200	1,496,780	\$	34,288.00	\$	56,952.48	ŝ	91,240.48	ŝ	-	ŝ	3.059.73
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	1,000	292,130	\$	10,715,00	ŝ	11,115.55	ŝ	21,830.55	ŝ	-	ě	(703.34)
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,593	1,614,340	\$	49 214.00	ŝ	61,425.64	ŝ	110,639,64	ŝ	2,293.01	ę	(103.34)
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	10,791,857	Ś	160,725,00	ŝ	410.630.16	ŝ	571,355.16	ŝ	2,200.01	é	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	14,190	Ś	642.90	Š	589.93	ŝ	1,182.83	ě	-	¢	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	756	554,300	\$	8,100,54	ŝ	21,091.12	ŝ	29,191.66	ŝ	375.03	ě	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	101	28,550	s	1,082,22	ŝ	1.086.33	ŝ	2,168.55	ŝ	10.72	ę	•
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,714	22,526,410	ŝ	404,105,51	ŝ	857,129.90	ŝ	1,261,235,41	ŝ	10.12	é	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	4,200	1,482,230	ŝ	45,003.00	\$	56,398.85	ŝ	101,401.85	ŝ	_	ę	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,447	1,316,880	Ś	36,934,61	ŝ	50.107.28	ŝ	87,041.89	ŝ	_	é	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	26,860	ŝ	1,071.50	ŝ	1,022.02	Š	2,093,52	ę	-	ę	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	4,800	1,392,320	ŝ	51,432.00	ŝ	52,977.78	ŝ	104,409,78	é	-	* *	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,303	4,496,850	ŝ	78.251.65	ŝ	171,105.14	ŝ	249,356.79	é	-	\$ \$	•
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	12,092	6,515,450	ŝ	129,565.78	ŝ	247,912.87	š	377,478.65	ę	-	ф ф	•
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	1,990	1,119,270	ŝ	21,322.85	ŝ	42,588.22	ŝ	63,911.07	ę	3,278.79	φ φ	•
29						-2,000,22			÷	0,210,10	φ	-
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	140,334	74,892,187	\$	1,503,678.84	\$	2,849,647.73	s	4,353,326.57	e	7,350.50	e	15 900 05
31	• • -		•	-,,	•	2,010,011.10	٠	4,000,020.01	φ	7,000.00	ą	15,802.35
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,771	626,160	\$	18,976.27	\$	23,825,39	\$	42,801.66	s			
33			· · · · ·	10,070.21	÷	20,020.05	Ŷ	42,001.00	ş	-	₽	-
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	142,105	75,618,347	\$	1,522,656.11	ę	2,873,473,12	e	4.396.128.23	e	# 950 FO		15 000 05
36				2,022,000.11	÷	2,010,410.12	ð	4,000,120.20	Ф	7,350.50	\$	15,802.35
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	496,650	238,267,289	\$	6,417,148.85	\$	10,197,175.51	\$	16,614,324.36	\$	7,350.50	s	15,802.35

-

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 30

1 MAY 2015 2	 DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$	REEN OWER \$	DEMAND \$ NERGY \$ PFP AND GREEN POWER \$	A	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$ 3,564,060.35	\$ 5.00	\$ 3,564,065.35	\$	194.682.32	4.13	\$	124,144.78	
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 6,356,969.69	\$ -	\$ 6,356,969.69	ŝ	347,886.97	4.13	ŝ	221,413.47	2.63 2.63
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 2,297,166.09	\$ -	\$ 2,297,166.09	\$	129,909.34	4.13	\$	79,912.51	2.53
7 TOTAL RURALS	 	 			***************************************				
8	\$ 12,218,196.13	\$ 5.00	\$ 12,218,201.13	\$	672,478.63	4.13	\$	425,470.76	2.61
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 147,590.70		\$ 147,590.70	\$	9.711.52	4.13	\$	5,383.56	2.29
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 2,625.23		\$ 2,625.23	\$	144.29	4.13	ŝ	69.38	1.99
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 801,477.15		\$ 801,477.15	\$	56,349.53	4.13	ŝ	29,118.47	2.14
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 41,628.77		\$ 41,628.77	\$	2,281.90	4.13	ŝ	1.506.74	2.73
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 300,305.80		\$ 300,305.80	\$	19,209,38	4.13	š	10.452.82	2.75
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 94,300.2 <u>1</u>		\$ 94,300.21	\$	6,184.69	4.13	ŝ	3.322.28	2.23
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 21,127.21		\$ 21,127.21	s	1,207.08	4.13	ŝ	803.69	2.75
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 112,932.65		\$ 112,932.65	Ŝ	6.670.45	4.13	ŝ	4.055.38	2.75
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 571,355.16		\$ 571,355.16	\$	44,591.95	4.13	ŝ	20,615.37	2.51 1.91
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 1,182.83		\$ 1,182.83	\$	58.63	4.13	ŝ	43.76	3.08
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 29,566.69		\$ 29,566.69	Ś	2,290,37	4.13	ŝ	1,052.89	1.90
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 2,179.27		\$ 2,179,27	\$	117.97	4.13	ŝ	79.90	. 2.80
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,261,235.41		\$ 1,261,235,41	\$	93,079,13	4.13	ŝ	45,679.95	2.03
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 101,401.85		\$ 101,401.85	\$	6.124.57	4.13	ŝ	3.716.42	2.03
28 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 87,041.89		\$ 87,041.89	\$	5,441.35	4.13	ŝ	3,184.19	2.31
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 2,093.52		\$ 2,093.52	\$	110.99	4.13	ŝ	77.23	2.42
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 104,409.78		\$ 104,409.78	\$	5,753,07	4.13	ŝ	3.844.49	2.88
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 249,356.79		\$ 249,356.79	ŝ	18,580,98	4.13	ŝ	9,025.55	2.03
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 377,478.65		\$ 377,478.65	\$	26,921.84	4.13	ŝ	13,701.85	2.01
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$ 67,189.86		\$ 67,189.86	\$	4,624.82	4.13	\$	2,317.72	2.10
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$ 4,376,479.42	\$ -	\$ 4,376,479.42	\$	309,454.51	4.13	\$	158,051.64	2.11
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$ 42,801.66	 	\$ 42,801.66	\$	2,587.29	4.13	\$	1,568.63	2.51
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$ 4,419,281.08	\$ •	\$ 4,419,281.08	\$	812,041.80	4.13	\$	159,620.27	2.11
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 16,637,477.21	\$ 5.00	\$ 16,637,482.21	\$	984,520.43	4.13	\$	585,091.03	2.46

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 30

--

1 MAY 2015	SUF	NWIND CREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SM	NON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
2	*****		****************				•••====				
3 JP RURALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	22,003.06	\$	-	0.47	\$	3,904,895.51	82.88
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	39,318.30	\$	-	0.47	\$	6,965,588.43	82.73
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	14,682.40	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,521,670.34	80.21
		*****				*********		****			***************************************
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	76,003.76	\$	-	0.47	\$	13,392,154.28	82.29
8	`	48444 PI						****			
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,097.60	\$	-	0.47	\$	163,783.38	69.69
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	-	0.00	\$	16.31	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,855.21	81.76
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	•	0.00	\$	6,368.64	\$	-	0.47	\$	893,313,79	65.50
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	-	0.00	\$	257.90	\$	-	0.47	\$	45,675.31	82.71
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	-	0.00	\$	2,171.05	\$	-	0.47	\$	332,139.05	71.44
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	-	0.00	\$	699.00	\$	-	0,47	\$	104,506,18	69.82
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	-	0.00	\$	136.42	\$	_ ·	0.47	Ś	23,274.40	79.67
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	-	0.00	\$	753.90	\$	-	0.47	ŝ	124,412.38	77.07
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	-	0.00	\$	5,039.80	\$	-	0.47	ŝ	641,602.28	59.45
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	-	0.00	S	6.63	ŝ	-	0.47	ŝ	1.291.85	91.04
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	258.86	ŝ	-	0.47	ŝ	33,168,81	59.84
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	13.33	ŝ	-	0.47	ŝ	2,390.47	83.73
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	s	-	0.00	ŝ	10,519.83	ŝ	_	0.47	ŝ	1,410,514.32	62.62
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	ŝ	-	0.00	ŝ	692.20	š	-	0.47	\$	111,935.04	75.52
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$		0.00	ŝ	614.98	\$	-	0.47	ę	96,282.41	.73.11
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	ŝ	-	0.00	ŝ	12.54	ŝ	-	0.47	\$	2.294.28	3.11 85.42
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	ŝ		0.00	ŝ	650.21	ŝ	-	0.47	\$	114,657.55	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	ŝ		0.00	ŝ	2,100.03	¢		0.47	ŝ	279,063.35	82.35
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	ŝ		0.00	ŝ	3,042.72	φ ¢	-	0.47	գ Տ		62.06
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	¢	_	0.00	ŝ	522.70	φ ¢	-	0.47	•	421,145.06	64.64
29	*		0.00	φ	022.10	φ	-	0.47	\$	74,655.10	66.70
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	_	0.00	e	34,974.65	e		0.45			
31	4	-	0.00	\$	34,914.00	\$	-	0.47	\$	4,878,960.22	65.15
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	e		0.00	\$	000.40	•					
33	Φ	-	0.00	Ф	292.42	\$	-	0.47	\$	47,250.00	75.46
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	e	****************	0.00	••••	95 007 07	æ					
35	φ	-	0.00	\$	35,267.07	\$	-	0,47	\$	4,926,210.22	65.23
36			****								
37 GRAND TOTAL	e		0.00		111,270.83	•		o	•	40.000.001.5-	
VI WARRAND AVAILLE	Ŷ	·	0.00	P 	111,270.83	\$	-	0.47	\$	18,918,364.50	76.88

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 3 of 30

.

.

1 MAY 2015 2		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	M.	N 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
JP RURALS	e	(375,931.39)	(7.98)		(564,346,39)	///			
4 KENERGY RURALS	ŝ	(671,342.78)	(7.97)		(1,007,694.36)	(11.98)	\$	2,964,617.73	62.92
5 MEADE CO, RURALS	ŝ	(247,926.90)	(7.89)		••••	(11.97)	\$	5,286,551.29	62.79
6	φ	(241,920,90)	(7.69)		(371,348.24)	(11.81)	\$	1,902,395.20	60.51
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(1,295,201.07)	(7.96)	\$	(1,943,388.99)	(11.94)	\$	10,153,564.22	62.39
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(17,943.67)	(7.63)		(18,920.08)	(8.05)	 e	190 010 00	
10 KI-ALCOA	ŝ	(255.99)	(7.33)		(18,520.08)	(8.05)	\$	126,919.63	54.00
11 KI-ALERIS	š	(101,996,47)	(7.48)		(109,780.67)	• •	\$	2,318.11	66.38
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	é	(4,457.97)	(8.07)			(8.05)	\$	681,536.65	49.98
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ \$	(35,296,70)	(7.59)		(4,445.61) (37,423.89)	(8.05)	\$	36,771.73	66.59
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	ŝ	(11,321.07)	(7.56)			(8.05)	\$	259,418.46	55.80
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ę	(2,364.83)			(12,049.08)	(8.05)	\$	81,136.03	54.21
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	ę	(12,682.41)	(8.10) (7.86)		(2,351.65)	(8.05)	\$	18,657.92	63.53
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	ې د	(78,287.05)			(12,995.44)	(8.05)	\$	98,734.53	61.16
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	e e	(119.59)	(7.25)		(86,874.45)	(8.05)	\$	476,440.78	44.15
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	ې د	(4,015.07)	(8.43)		(114.23)	(8.05)	\$	1,058.03	74.56
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	ې م	• • •	(7.24)		(4,462.12)	(8.05)	\$	24,691.62	44.55
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ \$	(232.47)	(8.14)		(229.83)	(8.05)	\$	1,928.17	67.54
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	د ۲	(166,061.09)	(7.37)		(181,337.60)	(8.05)	\$	1,063,115.63	47.19
23 KI-PRECOAT	- -	(11,637.45)	(7.85)		(11,931.95)	(8.05)	\$	88,365.64	59.62
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC		(10,221.60)	(7.76)		(10,600.88)	(8.05)	\$	75,459.93	57.30
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED		(220.77)	(8.22)		(216.22)	(8.05)	\$	1,857.29	69.15
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(11,285.05)	(8.11)		(11,208.18)	(8.05)	\$	92,164.32	66,19
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	ş	(33,056.71)	(7.35)		(36,199.64)	(8.05)	\$	209,807.00	46.66
	\$	(48,520.42)	(7.45)		(52,449.37)	(8.05)	\$	320,175.27	49.14
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	ş 	(8,299.10)	(7.41)		(9,010.12)	(8.05)	\$	57,345.88	51.24
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	(658,275.48)	(7.45)		(602,882.12)	(8.05)	\$	3,717,802.62	49.64
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	(4,914.83)	(7.85)		(5,040.59)	(8.05)	\$	37,294.58	59.56
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	(563,190.31)	(7.46)	\$	(607,922.71)	(8.05)	\$	3,755,097.20	49.72
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 	(1,858,391.38)	(7.80)	\$	(2,551,311.70)	(10.71)	\$	13,908,661.42	58.37

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 30

1 JUNE 2015 2	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$		EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	l P	POWER FACTOR 'ENALTY (PFP) \$]	BILLING ADJ
3 JP RURALS	139,347	61,188,890	 \$	1,923,685.34	 \$	2,753,500.05	 ¢	4,677,185.39				
4 KENERGY RURALS	236,657	106,308,502	ŝ	3,267,049.89	ŝ	4,783,882.59	ŝ	8,050,932.48			\$	30,251.76
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	81,706	38,239,430	\$	1,127,951.33	\$	1,720,774.35	\$	2,848,725.68			Φ	30,251.76
6 7 TOTAL RURALS	·····						••••					
8	457,710	205,736,822	\$ 	6,318,686.56	\$	9,258,156.99	\$	15,576,843.55	\$	-	\$	30,251.76
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,436	2,382,810	\$	58,246.74	\$	90,665.92	\$	148,912.66	\$		\$	
10 KI-ALCOA	55	33,93 0	\$	589.33	\$	1,291.04	ŝ	1,880.37	ŝ	610.76	ŝ	_
11 KI-ALERIS	27,768	14,772,050	\$	297,534.12	\$	562.076.50	Ś	859,610.62	ŝ	-	ŝ	_
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,620	550,880	\$	17.358.30	s	20,960,98	ŝ	38,319,28	ŝ	<u>.</u>	è	_
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	10,313	4,092,360	\$	110,503,80	\$	155,713.92	ŝ	266,217,72	š	_	ě	13,349.00
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,308	1,489,954	\$	35,445.22	\$	56,692,75	ŝ	92,137.97	ŝ		ę	3,397.47
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	1,000	305,540	\$	10,715,00	\$	11,625.80	ŝ	22,340,80	ŝ	_	é	9,489.26
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,602	1,916,300	\$	49,310.43	Ś	72.915.22	ŝ	122,225.65	ě	2,293.01	ę	3,403.20
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	10,800,000	\$	160,725.00	Ś	410,940,00	ŝ	571,665.00	ě	5,200.01	ě	13,698.00
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	15,020	S	642.90	ŝ	571.51	ŝ	1,214.41	ě	-	é	10,030.00
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	532,770	\$	8,036.25	Ŝ	20.271.90	ŝ	28,308,15	ě	375.03	ę	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	101	27,480	\$	1,082.22	ŝ	1.045.61	ŝ	2,127,83	ě	070.00	ę	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,714	25,203,440	ŝ	404,105.51	ŝ	958,990.89	ŝ	1,363,096.40	ę	-	e e	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	4,200	1,990,210	ŝ	45,003.00	ŝ	62,029.49	ŝ	107,032.49	ę	1,285.80	e e	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,470	1,512,750	ŝ	37,181.05	ŝ	57,560.14	ŝ	94,741.19	ę	1,200.00	\$ \$	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	26,570	ŝ	1,071.50	ŝ	1,010,99	ŝ	2,082.49	ę	-	e e	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3,219	1,048,020	ŝ	34,491.59	ŝ	39,877.16	ŝ	74.368.75	é	-	÷	- (17,411.87)
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,477	4,470,590	ŝ	80,116,06	ŝ	170,105.95	ŝ	250,222,01	ę	-	e e	(17,411.87)
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	12,144	6,638,000	ŝ	130,122.96	š	252,575.90	ŝ	382,698.86	e e	•	\$	-
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	1,932	1,152,700	ŝ	20,701.38	ŝ	43,860.24	ŝ	64,561,62	ę	- 3,814.54	\$ \$	-
29					÷	10,000.24	÷	0.1001.02	φ	0,014.04	æ	-
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	140,269	78,961,364	\$	1,502,982.36	\$	2,990,781.91	\$	4,493,764.27	\$	8.379.14	\$	22,521.86
31								. ,	•		•	
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,750	555,410	\$	18,751.25	\$	21,133.35	\$	39,884.60	\$	-	\$	-
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	142,019	79,516,774	\$	1,521,733.61	\$	3,011,915.26	\$	4,533,648.87	\$	8,379.14	\$	22,521.86

36 37 GRAND TOTAL	500 700	005 050 500	•	H 0 (0 (00	-		_					
or diame total	599,729	285,253,596	\$	7,840,420.17	\$	12,270,072.25	\$	20,110,492.42	\$	8,379.14	\$	62,773.62
			-				-					==

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 5 of 30

1 JUNE 2015 2		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN DWER \$		DEMAND \$ NERGY \$ PFP SAND GREEN POWER \$	Al	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$	4,677,185,39	\$	5.00	s	4.677.190.39	\$	23,435.34	0.38	•	340.139.53	
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	8,081,184.24	\$	-	š	8,081,184.24	ŝ	40,888.10	0.38	e S	587,137.81	5.56 5.52
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	2,848,725.68	\$	-	\$	2,848,725.68	\$	14,645.70	0.38	ŝ	206,651,54	5.40
6					••••							
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	15,607,095.31	\$	5.00	\$	15,607,100.31	\$	78,969.14	0.38	\$	1,133,928.88	5.51
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	148.912.66	******		\$	148.912.66	 و	912.62	0.38		11 000 10	
10 KI-ALCOA	ŝ	2,491.13			ę	2.491.13	ŝ	13.00	0.38	\$	11,223.12	4.71
11 KI-ALERIS	ŝ	859,610.62			ě	859,610.62	¢ ¢	5.657.70		\$	139.36	4.11
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	ŝ	38,319.28			ę	38,319,28	ф ¢	210.99	0.38	\$	64,162.58	4.34
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	ŝ	279,566.72			ę	279,566,72	4 6	1.567.37	0.38	\$	2,926.21	5.31
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	ŝ	95,536.44			ę	95,535,44	ф е		0.38	\$	20,166.79	4.93
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ŝ	31,830.06			ę	31.830.06	ф Ф	570.65	0.38	\$	6,934.59	4.65
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	ŝ	124.518.66			e e	124.518.66	ф Ф	117.02	0.38	\$	1,979.48	6.48
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	φ Q	585,363.00			ې د	585,363,00	ð e	733.94	0.38	ş	9,236.01	4.82
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	¢	1.214.41			¢ ¢	•	ð A	5,623.92	0.52	\$	42,551.97	3.94
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	φ \$	28,683.18			ę ę	1,214.41	ð	5.75	0.38	\$	94.23	6.27
20 KI-HOPKINS CO, COAL	φ ¢	2,127.83			ę	28,683.18	ş	204.05	0.38	\$	2,084.56	3.91
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ф ¢	2,127.83 1,363,096,40			ş	2,127.83	\$	10.52	0.38	\$	164.40	5.98
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ \$	1,353,096.40			ş	1,363,096.40	ş	9,652.92	0.38	\$	100,652.30	3.99
23 KI-PRECOAT	ক ক	,			\$	108,318.29	\$	624.37	0.31	\$	8,117.30	4.08
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	ቅ	94,741.19			\$	94,741.19	\$	579.38	0.88	\$	7,142.36	4.72
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	ě e	2,082.49			ş	2,082.49	\$	10.18	0.38	\$	161.09	6.06
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	ş	56,956.88			\$	56,956.88	\$	401.39	0.38	\$	5,019.99	4.79
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	250,222.01			\$	250,222.01	\$	1,712.24	0.38	\$	18,572.29	4.15
	\$	382,698.86			\$	382,698.86	\$	2,542.35	0.38	\$	28,527.49	4.30
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	68,376.16			\$	68,376.16	\$	441.48	0.38	\$	4,792.46	4.16
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	4,524,665.27	\$	-	\$	4,524,665.27	\$	81,591.84	0.40	\$	334,648.58	4.24
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	39,884.60			\$	39,884.60	\$	212.72	0.38	\$	3,056.77	5.50
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	4,564,549.87	\$	•	\$	4,564,549.87	\$	31,804.56	0.40	\$	337,705.35	4.25
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	20,171,645.18	\$	5.00	\$	20,171,650.18	\$	110,773.70	0.39	\$	1,471,634.23	5.16

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 6 of 30

1 JUNE 2015 2		NWIND CREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SM	NON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	 ج		0.00		28,575.21						
4 KENERGY RURALS	š	_	0.00	ŝ	•	ው \$	-	0.47	\$	5,069,340.47	82.85
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ŝ		0.00	ŝ		\$ ¢	-	0.47	\$	8,759,065.87	82.39
6	÷	-		φ	11,007.01	¢	-	0.47	\$	3,087,880.73	80.75
7 TOTAL RURALS	s	_	0.00	ŝ	96,288.74	\$					
8	Ψ	-	0.00	φ	50,200.14	φ	-	0.47	\$	16,916,287.07	82.22
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	_	0.00	ę	1,112.77	*					
10 KI-ALCOA	ę	-	0.00	چ \$		ф Ф	-	0.47	\$	162,161.17	68.05
11 KI-ALERIS	ę	-	0.00	ቅ ወ	15.85	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,659.34	78.38
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	é	-		ş S	6,898.55	ð	-	0.47	\$	936,329.45	63.39
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	e e	-	0.00 0.00	ş R		\$	-	0.47	\$	41,713.74	75.72
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	e e	-		•	1,911.13	\$	•	0.47	\$	303,212.01	74,09
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ş	-	0.00	\$		\$	-	0.47	\$	103,736.49	69.62
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	¢ ¢	-	0.00	\$	142.69	\$	-	0.47	\$	34,069.25	111.51
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	-	0.00	\$	894.91	\$	-	0.47	\$	135,383.52	70.65
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ •	•	0.00	\$	6,211.72	\$	-	0.48	\$	638,750.61	59.14
	\$	•	0.00	\$	7.01	\$	-	0.47	\$	1,321.40	87.98
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	248.80	\$	-	0.47	\$	31,220.59	58.60
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	12.83	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,315.58	84.26
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ş	-	0.00	\$	11,770.01	\$	•	0.47	\$	1,485,171.63	58.93
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	Ş	-	0.00	\$	761.31	\$	-	0.38	\$	117,821.27	59.20
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	-	0.00	\$	706.45	\$	-	0.47	\$	103,169.38	68.20
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	-	0.00	\$	12.41	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,266.17	85,29
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	•	0.00	\$	489.43	\$	-	0.47	\$	62,867.69	59,99
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	-	0.00	\$	2,087.77	\$	-	0.47	\$	272,594.31	60.98
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	-	0.00	\$	3,099.95	\$	-	0.47	\$	416,868.65	62.80
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	-	0.00	\$	538.31	\$	-	0.47	\$	74,148.41	64.33
29											
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	36,874.97	\$	-	0.47	\$	4,927,780.66	62.41
31											
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	-	0.00	\$	259.38	\$	-	0.47	\$	43.413.47	78.16
33		***********									
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	•	0.00	\$	37,134.35	\$	-	0.47	\$	4.971.194.13	62.52
35			******								
36											
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	133,423.09	\$	-	0.47	\$	21,887,481.20	76.73
				_		÷			Ě		

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 7 of 30

1

1 JUNE 2015 2		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	M	N 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ IET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
3 JP RURALS	 e	(437,735.79)	(7.15)	••	(7.9.0.0.47.07)				•
4 KENERGY RURALS	š	(757,415.90)	(7.12)		(736,047.07) (1,279,084.32)	(12.03)	\$	3,895,557.61	63.66
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ę	(267,643.42)	(7.00)		••••	(12.03)	\$	6,722,565.65	63.24
6	*	(201,030.42)	(1.00)		(455,194.34)	(11.90)	\$	2,365,042.97	61.85
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(1,462,795.11)	(7.11)	\$	(2,470,325.73)	(12.01)	\$	12,983,166.23	63.11
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(15,023,71)	(6.31)		(19,181.62)	(8.05)	\$	127,955.84	
10 KI-ALCOA	Ś	(193,48)	(5.70)		(273.14)	(8.05)	ŝ	2,192.72	53.70
11 KI-ALERIS	Ś	(87,724.00)	(5.94)		(118,915.00)	(8.05)	ŝ	729.690.45	64.62
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	Š	(3,804.87)	(6.91)		(4,434.58)	(8.05)	ŝ	33.474.29	49.40
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(26,694.09)	(6.52)		(32,943.42)	(8.05)	φ \$	243.674.50	60.77
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	S	(9,311.06)	(6.25)		(11,994,13)	(8.05)	ŝ	82,431.30	59.52
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(2,466.81)	(8.07)		(2,469,60)	(8.05)	ф \$	29,142.84	55.32
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	Ś	(12,292,51)	(6.41)		(15,426,22)	(8.05)	φ \$	29,142.84	95.38
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(61,701.81)	(5.71)		(89,838.00)	(8.32)	\$	487.210.80	56.18
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(118.18)	(7.87)		(120.91)	(8.05)	ŝ	1,082.31	45.11
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(2,934.33)	(5.51)		(4.288.80)	(8.05)	ŝ	23.997.46	72.06
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	ŝ	(208.23)	(7.58)		(221.21)	(8.05)	ŝ		45.04
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ŝ	(140,851.79)	(5.59)		(202,887.69)	(8.05)	* \$	1,886.14 1,141,432.15	68.64
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	ŝ	(10,717.48)	(5.39)		(13,123.19)	(6.59)	ф \$	1,141,432.15 93,980.60	45.29
23 KI-PRECOAT	ŝ	(9,555.19)	(6.32)		(12,177.64)	(8.05)	ş	93,980.60 81,436.65	47.22
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	Ś	(203.47)	(7.66)		(213.89)	(8.05)	ş	1,848.81	53.83
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	ŝ	(6,691.58)	(6.38)		(8,436.56)	(8.05)	\$ \$	47,739.55	69.58
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	ŝ	(25,702.89)	(5.75)		(35,988.25)	(8.05)	ş	47,739.55 210,903,17	45.55
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	Ŝ	(39,115.10)	(5.89)		(53,435.90)	(8.05)	ŝ	324,317,65	47.18
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(6,631.01)	(5.75)		(9,279.24)	(8.05)	\$	58,238.16	48.86 50.52
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	(461,941.59)	(5.85)		(635,638.99)	(8.05)	\$	3,830,200.08	48.51
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	(3,942.65)	(7.10)		(4,471.05)	(8.05)	\$	34,999.77	63.02
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	(465,884.24)	(5.86)	\$	(640,110.04)	(8.05)	\$	3,865,199.85	48.61
36									
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(1,928,679.35)	(6.76)	\$	(3,110,435.77)	(10.90)	\$	16,848,366.08	59.06

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 8 of 30

1 JULY 2015 2	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	D	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$		POWER FACTOR PENAL/TY (PFP) \$		BILLING ADJ
3 JP RURALS	152,181	70,179,670	 \$	2,100,858,71	 \$	3,158,085,15	 \$	5.258.943.86				
4 KENERGY RURALS	258,334	121,128,860	Ś	3,566,300.87	ŝ	5,450,798.70	ŝ	9,017,099.57				
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	94,454	43,529,170	\$	1,303,937.47	\$	1,958,812.65	\$	3,262,750.12				
7 TOTAL RURALS	504,969	234,837,700	\$	6,971,097.05	 \$	10,567,696.50	 \$	17,538,793.55	 S		 e	
8 9 KI-ACCURIDE	·											-
10 KI-ALCOA	5,587	2,576,720	Ş	59,864.71	\$	98,044.20	\$	157,908.91	\$	-	\$	-
11 KI-ALERIS	55	34,580	\$	589.33	Ş	1,315.77	\$	1,905.10	\$	889.35	\$	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	26,782	15,123,790	\$	286,969.13	\$	575,460.21	\$	862,429.34	\$	-	\$	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	1,704	591,660	\$	18,258.36	\$	22,512.66	\$	40,771.02	\$	-	\$	-
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	10,162	4,337,110	\$	108,885.83	\$	165,027.04	\$	273,912.87	\$	-	\$	15,465.32
	3,106	1,421,550	\$	33,280.79	\$	54,089.98	\$	87,370.77	\$	-	\$	4,698.86
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	1,000	301,650	\$	10,715.00	\$	11,477.78	\$	22,192.78	\$	-	Ś	937.82
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,599	1,547,770	\$	49,278.29	\$	58,892.65	\$	108,170,94	\$	2,293.01	ŝ	•
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	11,108,774	\$	160,725.00	\$	422,688.85	\$	583,413.85	\$	-	Š	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	16,400	\$	642.90	\$	624.02	\$	1,266.92	\$	-	ŝ	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	546,170	\$	8,036.25	\$	20,781.77	\$	28,818.02	ŝ	300.02	ŝ	_
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	25,360	\$	1,071.50	\$	964.95	\$	2,036.45	ŝ		ŝ	_
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,627	26,871,010	\$	403,173.31	\$	1,022,441.93	\$	1,425,615.24	ŝ	-	ŝ	
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	4,200	1,475,530	\$	45,003.00	\$	56,143.92	\$	101.146.92	ŝ	4.296.72	ŝ	
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,455	1,567,890	\$	37,020.33	\$	59,658,21	s	96,678.54	ŝ	846.49	è	•
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	35,080	\$	1,071.50	\$	1,334.79	ŝ	2,406,29	ŝ	010.45	ę	•
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3,236	1,224,430	\$	34,673.74	\$	46,589.56	Š	81,263,30	ŝ		ę	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,711	4,349,000	\$	82,623,37	ŝ	165,479.45	ŝ	248,102.82	ŝ	_	ę	•
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	12,306	6,595,580	\$	131,858,79	Ś	250,961.82	ŝ	382,820.61	ę	-	e e	-
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	2,053	1,180,030	\$	21,997.90	\$	44,900.14	\$	66,898.04	\$	3,064.49	\$	
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	139,593	80,930,084	\$	1,495,739.03	\$	3,079,389.70	 \$	4,575,128.73	 S	11,690.08	e	21,002.00
31					•	,,	*	-,010,220,70	Ψ	11,000.00	φ	£1,002.00
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	1,804	712,070	\$	19,329.86	\$	27,094.26	\$	46,424.12	\$	-	\$	-
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	141,397	81,642,154	\$	1,515,068.89	 \$	3,106,483.96	 \$	4,621,652.85	 S	11,690.08		21 000 00
35	······					_,,	¥	-,041,002.00	Ψ	- 4,030.00	Φ	21,002.00
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	646,366	316,479,854	\$	8,486,165.94	\$	13,674,180.46	\$	22,160,346.40	\$	11,690.08	\$	21,002.00

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 9 of 30

.

.

1 JULY 2015 2	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$	GREEN POWEI \$		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	A	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$ 5,258,943.8	5 \$ 5.	00	\$ 5,258,948.86	\$	41,686.72	0.59	\$	255,350,29	3.64
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 9,017,099.5	7\$-		\$ 9,017,099.57	\$	71,950,54	0.59	ŝ	437,555.91	3.61
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 3,262,750.1	2 \$ -		\$ 3,262,750.12	\$	25,856.33	0,59	\$	158,428.23	3.61
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 17,538,793.5	5 \$ 5.	00 :	\$ 17,538,798.55	 \$	139,493.59	0.59	 \$	851,334.43	
8 9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 157,908.9						·			
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 107,508.9			\$ 157,908.91	ş	1,530.57	0.59	\$	7,945.61	3.08
11 KI-ALERIS				2,794.45	\$	20.54	0.59	\$	94.47	2.73
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 862,429.3			862,429.34	\$	8,983.53	0.59	\$	42,978.29	2.84
12 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 40,771.02		5	40,771.02	\$	351.45	0.59	\$	2,080.73	3.52
	\$ 289,378.1		1	5 289,378.19	\$	2,576.24	0.59	\$	13,835.26	3.19
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 91,969.63		ş	\$91,969.63	\$	844.40	0.69	\$	4,397.94	3.09
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 23,130.60		5	\$ 23,130.60	\$	179.18	0.59	\$	1,140.70	3.78
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 110,463.9			5 110,463.95	\$	919.38	0.59	\$	5,529,18	3.57
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 583,413.8		\$	583,413.85	\$	6,598.61	0,69	\$	28,725.37	2.59
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 1,266.92		1	1,266.92	\$	9.74	0.59	\$	65.44	3.99
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 29,118.04	L	9	29,118.04	\$	324.42	0.59	ŝ	1.419.92	2.60
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 2,036.46	i	\$	2,036.45	\$	15.06	0.59	ŝ	105.59	4.16
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,425,615.24		\$	1,425,615.24	\$	15,961.38	0.59	ŝ	70,301.40	4.10
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 105,443.64		\$	105,443.64	\$	876.46	0.59	ŝ	5,158.92	3.50
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 97,525.03	I	9	97.525.03	ŝ	931.33	0.69	ě	4,868,48	
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 2,406.29	1	ŝ	2,406.29	ŝ	20.84	0.59	ě	122.74	3.11 3.60
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 81,263.30	1	9	81,263.30	ŝ	727.31	0.59	ŝ	4.129.31	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 248,102.82	1	ġ	248,102.82	ŝ	2,583.31	0.59	ę	4,129.31	3.37
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 382,820.61		g	382.820.61	ŝ	3,917.77	0.59	ę		2.84
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$ 69,962.53		g	69,962.53	ŝ	700.94	0.59	Ф Ф	19,124.18	2.90
29	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				*	100,5-2	0.09	ф	3,331.06	2.82
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,607,820.81	\$-	\$	4,607,820.81	\$	48,072.46	0.59	\$	227,719.26	2.81
31								•		2.01
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$ 46,424.12		\$	46,424.12	\$	422.97	0.59	\$	2,354.00	3.31
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,654,244.93	\$ -	 \$	4,654,244.93	 S	48,495.43	0.59	 \$	230.073.26	
35 36	B	• ••••••••••		,	+ 			•	200,010.20	2.82
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 22,193,038.48	\$ 5.0)0 \$	22,193,043.48	\$	187,989.02	0.69	5	1,081,407.69	3.42

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 10 of 30

4

1 JULY 2015 2	SUR	WIND CREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SM	NON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS		****							•		
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ \$	•	0.00 0.00	\$	32,773.91	\$	-	0.47	\$	5,588,759.78	79.64
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	é	-	0.00	\$ \$	56,567.18	\$	-	0.47	\$	_,,	79.12
6	÷		0.00	¢	20,328.12	ð	-	0.47	\$	3,467,362.80	79.66
7 TOTAL RURALS	e		0.00		100 000 01						
8	Ŷ	-	0.00	Þ	109,669.21	\$	-	0.47	\$	18,639,295.78	79.37
9 KI-ACCURIDE	R		0.00		1 000 22	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		······			
10 KI-ALCOA	ŝ	-	0.00	\$	1,203.33	\$	-	0.47	\$	168,588.42	65.43
11 KI-ALERIS	e e	-		\$	16.15	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,925.61	84.60
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	e e	-	0.00	\$	7,062.81	\$	-	0.47	\$	921,453.97	60,93
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	ې م	•	0.00	\$	276.31	\$	-	0.47	\$	43,479.51	73.49
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	ð	•	0.00	\$	2,025.43	\$	-	0.47	\$	307,815.12	70.97
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ð	-	0.00	\$	663.86	\$	-	0.47	\$	97,875.83	68.85
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	-	0.00	\$	140.87	\$	-	0.47	\$	24,591.35	81.52
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	ð.	-	0.00	\$	722.81	\$	•	0.47	\$	117,635.32	76.00
18 KI-DOMIAR PAPER CO. 18 KI-DOTIKI #3	ð	-	0.00	\$	5,187.80	\$	-	0.47	\$	623,925.63	56.17
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	ð	-	0.00	\$	7.66	\$	-	0.47	\$	1,349.76	82.30
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	ð	-	0.00	\$	265.06	\$	-	0.47	\$	31,117.44	56.97
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ş	-	0.00	\$	11.84	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,168.94	85.53
	\$	-	0.00	\$	12,548.76	\$	-	0.47	\$	1,524,426.78	56.73
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC 23 KI-PRECOAT	ş	-	0.00	\$	689.07	\$	-	0.47	\$	112,168.09	76.02
	\$	-	0.00	\$	732.20	\$	-	0.47	\$	104,057.04	66.37
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	-	0.00	\$	16.38	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,566.25	73.15
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	-	0.00	\$	571.81	\$	-	0.47	\$	86,691.73	70.80
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO. 27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	-	0.00	\$	2,030.98	\$	-	0.47	\$	265,081.78	60.95
	Ş	-	0.00	\$	3,080.14	\$	-	0.47	\$	408,942.70	62.00
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	-	0.00	\$	551.07	\$	-	0.47	\$	74,545.60	63.17
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	37,794.34	\$		0.47	\$	4,921,406.87	60.81
31					-				Ŷ	-10=1,100(01	00.01
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	-	0.00	\$, 332.54	\$	•	0.47	\$	49,533.63	69.56
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	-	0.00	\$	38,126.88	\$	-	0.47	\$	4,970,940.50	60.89
36											*
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	147,796.09	\$	-	0.47	\$	23,610,236.28	74.60

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 11 of 30

1 JULY 2015 2		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	M	N 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	Ň	REVENUE \$ IET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
3 JP RURALS		(382,094,76)			/005 000 am	·····			
4 KENERGY RURALS	φ S	(656,314,62)	(5.44) (5.42)		(837,389.97)	(11.93)	\$	4,369,275.05	62.26
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	φ S	(237,041.90)			(1,441,464.18)	(11.90)	\$	7,485,394.40	61.80
6	φ	(237,041,90)	(5.45)		(519,450.20)	- (11.93)	\$	2,710,870.70	62.28
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(1,275,451.28)	(5.43)	\$	(2,798,304.35)	(11.92)	\$	14,565,540.15	62.02
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(12,599.16)	(4.89)		(20,742.60)				
10 KI-ALCOA	ŝ	(12,055.10)	(4.54)			(8.05)	\$	135,246.66	52.49
11 KI-ALERIS	ę	(70,291.85)	(4.65)		(278.37)	(8.05)	\$	2,490.32	72.02
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	ę	(3,149.27)	• •		(121,746.51)	(8.05)	\$	729,415.61	48.23
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	4 4		(5.32)		(4,762.86)	(8.05)	\$	35,567.38	60.11
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ *	(21,668.08)	(5.00)		(34,913.74)	(8.05)	\$	251,233.30	57.93
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ \$	(6,965.26)	(4.90)		(11,443.48)	(8.05)	\$	79,467.09	55.90
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	¢ ¢	(1,685.48)	(5.59)		(2,428.28)	(8.05)	\$	20,477.59	67.89
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	. \$	(8,324.46)	(5.38)		(12,459.55)	(8.05)	\$	96,851.31	62.57
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	¢	(48,787.81)	(4.39)		(89,425.63)	(8.05)	\$	485,712.19	43.72
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	*	(95.06)	(5.80)		(132.02)	(8.05)	\$	1,122.68	68.46
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	ð	(2,406.30)	(4.41)		(4,396.67)	(8.05)	\$	24,314.47	44.52
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ð	(151.39)	(5.97)		(204.15)	(8.05)	\$	1,813.40	71.51
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(118,830.44)	(4.42)		(216,311.63)	(8.05)	\$	1,189,284.71	44.26
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(7,823.72)	(5.30)		(11,878.02)	(8.05)	\$	92,466.35	62.67
23 KI-FRECOAT 24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	ş	(7,700.09)	(4.91)		(12,621.51)	(8.05)	\$	83,735.44	53.41
	ş	(186.10)	(5.31)		(282.39)	(8.05)	\$	2,097.76	59.80
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(6,340.63)	(5.18)		(9,856.66)	(8.05)	\$	70,494.44	57.57
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(20,218.97)	(4.65)		(35,009.45)	(8.05)	\$	209,853.36	48.25
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(31,035.79)	(4.71)		(53,094.42)	(8.05)	\$	324,812.49	49.25
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(5,462.20)	(4.63)		(9,499.24)	(8.05)	\$	59,584.16	50.49
29 80 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(373,878.98)	(4.62)		(651,487.18)	(8.05)	 \$	3,896,040,71	48.14
31 32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(3,640.00)	(5.11)			· · · · ·			
33					(5,732.16)	(8.05)	\$	40,161.47	56.40
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35 36	\$ 	(377,518.98)	(4.62)	\$ 	(657,219.34)	(8.05)	\$	3,936,202.18	48.21
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(1,652,970.26)	(5.22)	\$	(3,455,523.69)	(10.92)	\$	18,501,742.33	58.46

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 12 of 30

1 AUGUST 2015 2	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	D	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$		POWER FACTOR PENALTY (PFP) \$:	BILLING ADJ
3 JP RURALS	143,270	61,027,790	\$	1,977.842.35	\$	2,746,250,55	 \$	4,724,092.90		**		
4 KENERGY RURALS	247,216	106,718,137	ŝ	3,412,816.88	ŝ	4,802,316.17	ŝ	8,215,133.05				
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	87,012	38,372,890	\$	1,201,200.66	\$	1,726,780.05	\$	2,927,980.71				
6 .	**************************************			**								
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	477,498	206,118,817	\$	6,591,859.89	\$	9,275,346.77	\$	15,867,206.66	\$	-	\$	-
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,496	2,464,920	S	58.889.64	\$	93,790.21	5	152,679.85	\$		~~~~	
10 KI-ALCOA	55	33,680	ŝ	589.33	ŝ	1,281.52	š	1,870.85	ŝ	- 803.63	З	-
11 KI-ALERIS	26,906	15,558,700	ŝ	288,297,79	ŝ	592,008.54	š	880,306.33	ŝ	000.00	÷.	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,752	541,430	ŝ	18,772.68	ŝ	20,601.41	ę	39,374.09	ŝ	014.00	\$ *	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	10.924	4,718,210	ŝ	117.050.66	ŝ	179.527.89	ŝ	296,578.55	\$ \$	214.30	چ م	-
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,093	1,463,080	ŝ	33,141.50	ŝ	55,670.19	ę	88.811.69	e e	-	ې م	15,341.00
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	1,000	327,110	ŝ	10,715.00	ŝ	12,446.54	ę	23.161.54	e e	-	ş	2,336.80
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,640	1,607,960	ŝ	49,717.60	ŝ	61,182.88	ŝ	110,900,48	ф e	1.714.40	ş	440.26
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	11,160,000	ŝ	160,725.00	ŝ	424,638.00	ę	585,363.00	ę ę	1, 14.40	ð r	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	14,900	ŝ	642.90	š	566.95	ę	1,209.85	÷	-	è	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	546,220	ŝ	8,036.25	č	20,783.67	é	28,819.92	÷	300.02	ş	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	23,210	ŝ	1,071.50	ŝ	883.14	ę	1,954.64	ş	300.0Z	ې م	•
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,973	25,928,620	ŝ	406,880,70	ę	986,583,99	ę	1,393,464.69	ş	-	ş	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	4,200	1,579,340	š	45,003.00	ŝ	60,093.89	ę	105,096.89	ş	- 	ş	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,515	1,654,660	ŝ	37,663.23	ŝ	62,959.81	9 \$	100,623,04	\$	5,743.24	ş	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	28,360	ŝ	1.071.50	ě	1,079,10	ę	2,150.60	چ م	-	ş	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3.194	1,107,610	š	34,223,71	ę	42.144.56	e e	76,368,27	چ م	-	\$	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,537	4,654,770	š	80,758.96	ę	177,114.00	ф c	257,872.96	ð	-	\$	-
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,930	6,384,320	ŝ	127,829.95	φ ¢	242,923.38	¢ ¢	370,753.38	ð r	-	\$	+
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,106	1,244,670	š	22,565.79	ę	47,359.69	ę	69,925.48	ې م	-	\$	-
29	_,			22,000.70	Ψ		Ŷ	05,525.45	ð	3,471.66	æ	-
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	140,331	81,041,770	\$	1,503,646.69	\$	3,083,639.36	\$	4,587,286.05	\$	12,247.25	\$	18,118.06
31 32 JPI-SHELL OIL										•	•	
33	1,825	509,840	\$	19,554.88	\$	19,399.41	\$	38,954.29	\$	-	\$.	-
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	142,156			1 500 001 50		~						
35	144,110	81,551,610	\$	1,523,201.57	\$	3,103,038.77	\$	4,626,240.34	\$	12,247.25	\$	18,118.06
36									•			,
37 GRAND TOTAL	619,654	287,670,427	\$	8,115,061.46	\$	12,378,385.54	\$	20,493,447.00	\$	12,247.25	\$	18,118.06

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 13 of 30

.

1 AUGUST 2015 2		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$	REEN DWER \$		DEMAND \$ NERGY \$ PFP AND GREEN POWER \$	AI	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$	4,724,092.90	\$ 5.00	\$	4,724,097.90	s	117,478.50	1.93	 5	281.154.81	4.61
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	8,215,133.05	\$ -	\$	8,215,133.05	ŝ	205,432.41	1.92	ŝ	488,735.02	4.58
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	2,927,980.71	\$ -	\$	2,927,980.71	\$	73,867.81	1.92	ŝ	174,083.64	4.54
6			 				********************				
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	15,867,206.66	\$ 5.00	\$	15,867,211.66	\$	396,778.72	1.92	\$	943,973.47	4.58
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	152,679,85	 	s	152.679.85	\$	4,744.97	1.92		9,439.55	
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	2.674.48		ŝ	2.674.48	\$	64.83	1.92	ŝ	5,435.05 114.33	3.83
11 KI-ALERIS	ŝ	880.306.33		ŝ	880,306.33	ŝ	29,950,50	1.92	ŝ	53,907.17	3.39
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	ŝ	39,588.39		ŝ	39,588.39	ě	1,042.25	1.93	ş	•	3.46
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	s	311,919,55		š	311,919.55	ě	9,082,55	1.92	÷ \$	2,470.61	4.56
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	ŝ	91.148.49		š	91,148.49	ě	2,816.43	1.92	¢ ¢	18,363.09	3.89
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ŝ	23.601.80		ŝ	23,601,80	ę	629.69	1.93	ş e	5,479.58	3.75
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	112,614.88		ě	112.614.88	ę	3.095.32		ð r	1,450.00	4.43
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	ŝ	585,363.00		ŝ	585,363.00	ę	21,483.00	1,92 1,93	ð e	6,926.75	4.31
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	ŝ	1,209.85		ę	1.209.85	ę	21,403.00	1.93	ş	35,532.34	3.18
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	ŝ	29,119.94		ě	29,119.94	¢	1.051.47	1.92	è	76.58	5.14
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	ŝ	1.954.64		ě	1,954.64	ę	44.68		ş	1,750.65	3.21
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ŝ	1,393,464.69		ę	1,393,464.69	ę	49,912.59	1.93 1.92	\$	124.06	5.35
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	ŝ	110.840.13		ŝ	110,840.13	ę ę	3.040.23	1.92	ş	84,830.80	3.27
23 KI-PRECOAT	ŝ	100,623.04		ŝ	100,623.04	ě	3,185.22	1.93	ş	6,542.89	4.14
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	ŝ	2,150.60		ę	2,150,60	ę ę	54.59	1.92	ې ۹	6,209.49	3.75
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	ŝ	76,368.27		ě	76.368.27	ę	2,132,15		ş	135.41	4.77
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	ŝ	257,872.96		ę	257,872.96	4 4	8,960,43	1.93	ş	4,769.77	4.31
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	ŝ	370,753.33		ŝ	370,753.33	ę	12,289,82	1.92	ð	15,753.95	3.38
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	ŝ	73,397.14		φ	73,397.14	ф ф	2,395.99	1.93	\$	22,768.61	3.57
29		10,001.14	 	φ	10,091.14	Ą	2,090.99	1.93	\$	4,278.63	3.44
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	4,617,651.36	\$ -	\$	4,617,651.36	\$	156,005.39	1.92	\$	280,924.26	 3.47
31	_										
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	38,954.29	 	\$	38,954.29	\$	981.44	1.92	\$	2,454.21	4.81
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	4,656,605.65	\$ -	\$	4,656,605.65	\$	156,986.83	1.92	\$	283,378.47	3.47
35 36		**************************************	 	••••		•••••	•••	*****			
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	20,523,812.31	\$ 5.00	\$	20,523,817.31	\$	553,765.55	1.92	\$	1,227,351.94	4.27

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 14 of 30

1 AUGUST 2015 2	SUR	NWIND SCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SM	NON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$	•	0.00	\$	28,499.98	 \$		0.47	\$	5,151,231,19	
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	-	0.00	Ś	49,837.37	ŝ	-	0.47	\$	8,959,137.85	84.4
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6	\$	-	0.00	\$	17,920.14	\$	-	0.47	\$	3,193,852.30	83.91 83.23
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$		0.00	\$	96,257,49						
8			0.00	φ 	50,207.49	۹ 	-	0,47	\$	17,304,221.34	83.98
9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA	\$	•	0.00	\$	1,151.12	\$	-	0.47	\$	168,015.49	68.16
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	-	0.00	\$	15.73	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,869,37	85.20
	\$	-	0.00	\$	7,265.91	\$	-	0.47	\$	971,429,91	62.44
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	-	0.00	\$	252.85	\$	-	0.47	ŝ	43.354.10	80.07
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	-	0.00	\$	2,203.40	\$	-	0.47	Ś	341,568.59	72.39
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	-	0.00	\$	683.26	\$	-	0.47	ŝ	100,127.76	68.44
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	-	0.00	\$	152.76	\$	-	0.47	ŝ	25,834.25	78.98
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	-	0.00	\$	750.92	ŝ	-	0.47	ŝ	123,387.87	76.74
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	-	0.00	\$	5,211.72	ŝ	-	0.47	ŝ	647.590.06	58.03
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	-	0.00	\$	6.96	\$	-	0.47	ŝ	1,322.07	88.73
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	255.08	\$	-	0.47	ŝ	32,177,14	58.91
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	10.84	ŝ	-	0.47	ě	2,134.22	91.95
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	-	0.00	\$	12,108.67	ŝ	_	0.47	ě	1,540,316.75	59.41
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	-	0.00	\$	737.55	ŝ	-	0.47	ę	121,160.80	76.72
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$		0.00	\$	772.73	ŝ	-	0.47	ŝ	110,790.48	
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$		0.00	s	13.24	ŝ	-	0.47	ę	2.353.84	66.96
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	-	0.00	ŝ	517.25	ŝ	-	0.47	\$	2,353.84 83.787.44	83.00
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	-	0.00	ŝ	2,173.78	ŝ		0.47	ф \$	284,761.12	75.65
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	-	0.00	š	2,981.48	ŝ	_	0.47	\$ \$	408,793,24	61.18
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	-	0.00	\$	581.26	\$	-	0.47	φ \$	408,793.24 80,653.02	64.03 64.80
29 30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	e		 0.00		07.040 F1						
31	Ψ	-	0.00	\$	37,846.51	\$	•	0.47	\$	5,092,427.52	62.84
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	-	0.00	\$	238.10	\$	-	0.47	\$	42,628.04	83.61
33 84 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$		 0.00	\$	38.084.61	 \$					
35	*			φ 		Ф 	-	0.47	\$ 	5,135,055.56	62.97
B6											
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$		0.00	\$	134,342.10	\$	-	0.47	\$	22,439,276.90	78.00

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 15 of 30

1 AUGUST 2015 2		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	M	EN 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ IET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$	(472,598,98)	(7.74)		(737,931.46)	(10.00)			
4 KENERGY RURALS	ŝ	(823,509,81)	(7.72)		(1,287,450,82)	(12.09) (12.06)	\$	3,940,700.75	64.57
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ž	(294,459.38)	(7.67)		(461,256.58)		\$	6,848,177.22	64.17
6	*	(201,200,00)	(1.01)		(401,200.00)	(12.02)	\$	2,438,136.34	63.54
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(1,690,568.17)	(7.72)	\$	(2,486,638.86)	(12.06)	\$	13,227,014.31	64.17
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(17 170 00)							
10 KI-ALCOA	ې ۲	(17,172.00)	(6.97)		(19,842.61)	(8.05)	\$	131,000.88	53.15
11 KI-ALERIS	÷	(219.98)	(6.53)		(271.12)	(8.05)	\$	2,378.27	70.61
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	Ş	(102,714.81)	(6.60)		(125,247.54)	(8.05)	\$	743,467.56	47.78
12 MAANG ALOMINOM NORTH AMERICA 13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	ş	(4,169.07)	(7.70)		(4,358.61)	(8.05)	\$	34,826.52	64.32
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	ې ک	(33,164.11)	(7.03)		(37,981.59)	(8.05)	\$	270,422.89	57.31
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(10,069.26)	(6.88)		(11,777.79)	(8.05)	\$	78,280.71	53,60
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS 16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(2,476.15)	(7.57)		(2,633.24)	(8.05)	\$	20,724.86	63.36
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(11,970.92)	(7.44)		(12,944.08)	(8.05)	\$	98,472.87	61.24
	\$	(70,541.26)	(6.32)		(89,838.00)	(8.05)	\$	487,210.80	43.66
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(123.32)	(8.28)		(119.95)	(8.05)	\$	1,078.80	72.40
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(3,464.14)	(6.34)		(4,397.07)	(8.05)	\$	24,315.93	44.52
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(196.87)	(8.48)		(186.84)	(8.05)	\$	1,750.51	75.42
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(166,168.88)	(6.41)		(208,725.39)	(8.05)	\$	1,165,422.48	44,95
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(11,497.28)	(7.28)		(12,713.69)	(8.05)	\$	96,949.83	61.39
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(11,400.16)	(6.89)		(13,320.01)	(8.05)	\$	86,070.31	52.02
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(224.37)	(7.91)		(228.30)	(8.05)	\$	1,901,17	67.04
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(8,244.34)	(7.44)		(8,916.26)	(8.05)	\$	66,626,84	60.15
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(30,355.96)	(6.52)		(37,470.90)	(8.05)	\$	216,934.26	46.60
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(42,796,23)	(6.70)		(51,393.78)	(8.05)	\$	314,603,23	49.28
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(8,183.16)	(6.57)		(10,019.59)	(8.05)	\$	62,450.27	50.17
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	(535,152.27)	(6.60)		(652,386.26)	(8.05)	\$	3,904,888.99	48.18
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	(4,053.58)	(7.95)		(4,104.21)	(8.05)	\$	34,470.25	67.61
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	(539,205.85)	(6.61)	\$	(656,490.47)	(8.05)	\$	3,939,359.24	48.31
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(2,129,774.02)	(7.40)	\$	(3,143,129.33)	(10.93)	\$	17,166,373.55	59.67

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 16 of 30

2 3 JP RURALS 4 KENERGY RURALS 5 MEADE CO. RURALS	135,305			\$		ENERGY \$	DI	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$		ENALTY (PFP) \$	1	BILLING ADJ
5 MEADE CO. RURALS		53,016,060	 \$	1.867.885.53	\$	2,385,722.70	 g	4,253,608.23				
	241,292	96,861,824	ŝ	3,331,036.06	ŝ	4,358,782.08	ŝ	7,689,818.14				
	86,172	34,009,150	ŝ	1,189,604.46	š	1,530,411.75	ŝ	2,720,016.21				
6												
7 TOTAL RURALS	462,769	183,887,034	\$	6,388,526.05	\$	8,274,916.53	\$	14.663.442.58	\$	_	s	-
8						-, 1,- 20100				-	φ	-
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,496	2,318,200	\$	58,889,64	\$	88,207.51	s	147.097.15				
10 KI-ALCOA	55	32,880	\$	589.33	Ś	1,251.08	ŝ	1.840.41	\$	803.63		
11 KI-ALERIS	27,313	14,812,420	\$	292,658.80	\$	563,612.58	ŝ	856,271.38	Ŧ			
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,905	491,270	\$	20,412.08	\$	18,692.82	ŝ	39,104.90	\$	707.19		
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	11,113	4,761,000	ŝ	119.075.80	ŝ	181,156.05	ŝ	300,231.85	Ŷ	101.20	¢	14,848.00
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,169	1,365,240	S	33,955.84	ŝ	51,947.38	ŝ	85,903,22			š	4.157.70
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	1,000	297,530	\$	10,715.00	\$	11,321.02	ŝ	22,036.02			ŝ	897.96
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,577	1,548,350	\$	49,042.56	\$	58,914.72	ŝ	107,957.28	\$	2,282.30	•	001.00
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	9,899,722	\$	160,725.00	s	376,684,42	ŝ	537,409,42	•	2,202.00		
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	13,580	\$	642.90	\$	514.82	ŝ	1,157,72				
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	530,680	\$	8,036,25	ŝ	20,192.37	ŝ	28,228,62	\$	375.03		
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	28,730	ŝ	1.071.50	\$	1.093.18	ŝ	2.164.68	•	010.00		
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	38,146	25,625,080	\$	408,734.39	\$	975.034.29	ŝ	1,383,768.68				
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	4,200	1,402,680	\$	45,003.00	\$	53,371.97	ŝ	98,374.97	s	3.482.38		
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,553	1,707,170	\$	38.070.40	ŝ	64,957,82	ŝ	103,028.22	ŝ	428.60		
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	22,350	\$	1,071.50	\$	850.42	ŝ	1,921.92	•	120.00		
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	2,972	913,700	\$	31,844.98	\$	34,766.29	\$	66,611.27				
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,122	4,358,690	\$	76,312.23	Ś	165,848.15	\$	242,160.38				
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	12,215	6,380,590	\$	130,883.73	ŝ	242,781.45	ŝ	373,665.18				
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,405	1,328,710	\$	25,769.58	Ś	50,557.42	ŝ	76,327.00	\$	3,589.53		
29												
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	141,251	77,838,522	\$	1,513,504.51	\$	2,961,755.76	\$	4,475,260.27	s	11,668.66	\$	19,903.66
31						••	•	-,,	•	11,000.00	•	10,000.00
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,728	364,800	\$	18,515.52	\$	13,880.64	\$	32,396.16	\$	-	s	-
33												
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	142,979	78,203,322	\$	1,532,020.03	\$	2,975,636.40	\$	4,507,656.43	\$	11,668.66	\$	19,903.66
35					•						÷	-5,500.00
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	605,748	262,090,356	\$	7,920,546.08	\$	11,250,552.93	\$	19,171,099.01	\$	11.668.66	\$	19,903.66
							<u> </u>		÷		-	

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 17 of 30

.

•

1 SEPTEMBER 2015 2	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$	GREEN POWER \$			DEMAND \$ NERGY \$ PFP AND GREEN POWER \$	L	FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$ 4,253,608.23	\$	5.00	\$	4,253,613.23	\$	85.514.90	1.61	ę	204.337.66	
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 7,689,818.14	\$	-	Ś	7,689,818.14	ŝ	156,238.12	1.61	\$	369,160.00	3.85
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 2,720,016.21	\$	-	\$	2,720,016.21	\$	54,856,76	1.61	ŝ	130,639,70	3.81 3.84
6					************						
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 14,663,442.58	\$	5.00	\$	14,663,447.58	\$	296,609.78	1.61	\$	704,137.36	3.83
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 147,097,15	*********	••	5	147.097.15	• @	3,739.26			=	
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 2,644.04			ŝ	2,644.04	ŝ	53.04	1.61	÷.	7,345.54	3.17
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 856,271.38			ę	856,271.38	φ ¢	23.892.43	1.61	\$	90.76	2.76
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 39.812.09			φ e	39,812.09	ф ¢	· · ·	1.61	\$	42,368.69	2.86
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 315,079.85			φ ¢	315,079.85	¢ ¢	792.42	1.61	\$	1,989.82	4.05
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 90.060.92			e e	90.060.92	¢ ¢	7,679.49	1.61	\$	14,983.84	3.15
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	. \$ 22,933.98			ዋ ድ	90,080.92 22.933.98	¢ ¢	2,202.13	1.61	\$	4,286.33	3.14
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 110.239.58			¢ ¢	• •	ð	479.92	1.61	\$	1,115.15	3.75
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 537,409,42			\$ •	110,239.58	ş	2,497.49	1.61	\$	5,436.38	3.51
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 1.157.72			ې م	537,409.42	ş	15,968.25	1.61	\$	26,412.46	2.67
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 28,603.65			\$	1,167.72	\$	21.82	1.61	\$	59.21	4.38
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL				ş	28,603.65	\$	855.99	1.61	\$	1,384.21	2.61
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 2,164.68			\$	2,164.68	\$	46.34	1.61	\$	109.69	3.82
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 1,383,768.68			\$	1,383,768.68	\$	41,333.25	1.61	\$	67,969.27	2.65
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 101,857.35			\$	101,857.35	\$	2,262.52	1.61	\$	4,956.29	3.53
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 103,456.82			\$	103,456.82	\$	2,753.67	1.61	\$	5,120.14	3.00
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 1,921.92			\$	1,921.92	\$	36.05	1.61	\$	98,33	4.40
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 66,611.27			\$	66,611.27	\$	1,473.80	1.61	\$	3,366.68	3.68
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	\$ 242,160.38			\$	242,160.38	\$	7,030.57	1.61	\$	11,931.93	2.74
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 373,665.18			\$	373,665.18	\$	10,291.89	1.61	\$	18,513,83	2.90
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$ 79,916.53			\$	79,916.53	\$	2,143.21	1.61	\$	8,774.22	2.84
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,506,832.59	\$	•	\$	4,506,832.59	\$	125,553.54	1.61	\$	221,312.77	2.84
31									Ŧ	241,012.11	2.04
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$ 32,396.16			\$	32,396.16	\$	588.42	1.61	\$	1,660.96	4.55
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,539,228.75	<u></u>	-	 S	4,539,228,75	 \$	126.141.96	1.61	 S	222,973,73	
35		•				Ψ 		1.01	ф 	222,973.73	2.85
36								•			
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 19,202,671.33	\$	5.00	\$	19,202,676.33	\$	422,751.74	1.61	\$	927,111.09	3.54

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 18 of 30

.

-

1 SEPTEMBER 2015	SUR	WIND CREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SM	NON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE Mills/ KWH
2 3 JP RURALS		*********									
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	53,493.20	\$	-	1.01	\$	4,596,958.99	86.71
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ş	•	0.00	\$		\$	-	1.01	\$	8,312,949.84	85.82
6	\$	-	0.00	\$	34,315.23	ş	-	1.01	\$	2,939,827.90	86.44
7 TOTAL RURALS	e					••••••					***********
8	\$	-	0.00	\$	185,542.01	\$	-	1.01	\$	15,849,736.73	86.19
9 KI-ACCURIDE	ę	*********	0.00		0.000.00	~	*******				
10 KI-ALCOA	*	-	0.00	\$	2,339.06	è	-	1.01	\$	160,521.01	69.24
11 KI-ALERIS	*	-	0.00	\$	33.18	ð,	•	1.01	\$	2,821.02	85.80
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	ې ۴	-		é é	14,945.73	\$	-	1.01	\$	937,478.23	63.29
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	ě	-	0.00	\$	495.69	ş	-	1.01	\$	43,090.02	87.71
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	¢ ¢	-	0.00	\$	4,803.85	ş	•	1.01	\$	342,547.03	71.95
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ð	-	0.00	\$	1,377.63	\$	-	1.01	\$	97,926.91	71.73
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	, Þ	•	0.00	\$	300.21	\$	-	1.01	\$	24,829.26	83.45
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	ð	-	0.00	\$	1,562.29	\$	-	1.01	\$	119,735.74	77.33
17 KI-DOMIAR PAPER CO. 18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	•	0.00	\$	9,988.82	\$		1.01	\$	589,778.95	69.68
18 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	13.65	\$	-	1.01	\$	1,252.40	92.56
	\$	-	0.00	\$	535.46	\$	-	1.01	\$	31,379.31	. 59.13
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	28.99	\$	· -	1.01	\$	2,349.70	81.79
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ş	-	0.00	\$	25,855.71	\$	-	1.01	\$	1,518,926.91	59.28
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,415.30	\$	-	1.01	\$	110,491.46	78.77
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,722.53	\$	•	1.01	\$	113,053.16	66.22
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	-	0.00	\$	22.55	\$	-	1.01	\$	2,078.85	93.01
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	-	0.00	\$	921.92	\$	-	1.01	\$	72,373.67	79.21
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	-	0.00	\$	4,397.92	\$	-	1.01	\$	265,520.80	60.92
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	-	0.00	\$	6,438.02	\$	-	1.01	\$	408,908.92	64.09
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,340.67	\$	-	1.01	\$	87,174.63	65.61
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	•	0.00	\$	78,539.08	\$	-	1.01	\$	4,932,237.98	63.37
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	ŝ		0.00		000 00	•					
33	Ş	-	0.00	\$	368.08	\$	•	1.01	\$	35,013.62	95.98
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$		0.00	\$	78,907.16	¢		1.01		4 007 0F1 co	
35	•	-	0.00	¥	10,201.10	φ	•	1.01	Ş	4,967,251.60	63.52
36			, 					***************			
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	264,449.17	\$	-	1.01	\$	20,816,988.33	79,43
	, 								φ	20,010,300.00	19.43

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 19 of 30
1 SEPTEMBER 2015 2		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	Μ	UN 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
3 JP RURALS	 2	(354,108.01)	(6.68)		(650,717.35)			0 Peo 400 40	
4 KENERGY RURALS	ŝ	(642,794,64)	(6.64)		(1,183,609,40)	(12.27) (12.22)	\$	3,592,133.63	67.76
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ŝ	(226,715.54)	(6.67)		(416,870.74)	• •	\$	6,486,545.80	66.97
6	¥	(220,710.04)	(0.07)		(410,870.74)	(12.26)	\$	2,296,241.62	67.52
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(1,223,618.19)	(6.65)	\$	(2,251,197.49)	(12.24)	\$	12,374,921.05	67.30
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(13,894.46)	(5.99)		(18,661.51)	(8.05)	\$	127,965.04	65.20
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(183.65)	(5.59)		(264.68)	(8.05)	ŝ	2,372.69	72.16
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(84,213,77)	(5.69)		(119,239,98)	(8.05)	ŝ	734,024.48	49.55
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(3,377.66)	(6.88)		(3,954.72)	(8.05)	ŝ	35,757.64	49.55
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(28,433.66)	(5.97)		(38,326.05)	(8.05)	ŝ	275,787.32	72.19 57.93
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(8,143.13)	(5.96)		(10,990,18)	(8.05)	Š	78,793,60	57.71
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(1,955,68)	(6.57)		(2.395.12)	(8.05)	ŝ	20,478.46	68.83
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(9,810,47)	(6.34)		(12,464.22)	(8.05)	ę	97.461.05	62.95
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(54,379.17)	(5.49)		(79,692.76)	(8.05)	ŝ	455,707.02	46.03
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	Ś	(97.43)	(7.20)		(108.92)	(8.05)	ŝ	1.046.05	46.03
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(2,883.38)	(5.43)		(4,271,97)	(8.05)	é	24,223.96	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	Ś	(190.85)	(6.64)		(231.28)	(8.05)	ŝ	1,927.57	45.65
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	Ś	(140,360.12)	(5.48)		(206,281.89)	(8.05)	ę	1,172,284.90	67.09
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	Ś	(8,918.86)	(6.36)		(11,291,57)	(8.05)	ŝ	90.281.03	45.75
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(9,942.90)	(5.82)		(13,742,72)	(8.05)	ŝ	89,367,54	64.36
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	ŝ	(161.47)	(7.22)		(179,92)	(8.05)	\$	1.737.46	52.35
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	Ś	(5,947.88)	(6.51)		(7,355.29)	(8.05)	\$	59,070,50	77.74
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	Ś	(24,245.23)	(5.56)		(35,087.45)	(8.05)	ş	206,188,12	64.65
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	Ŝ	(36,539.00)	(5.73)		(51,363.75)	(8.05)	\$	321,006,17	47.31
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(7,527.83)	(5.67)		(10,696.12)	(8.05)	\$	68,950.68	50.31 51.89
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	(441,206.60)	(5.67)		(626,600.10)	(8.05)	\$	3,864,431.28	49.65
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	(2,691.52)	(7.38)		(2,936.64)	(8.05)	\$	29,385.46	80.55
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	(443,898.12)	(5.68)	\$	(629,536.74)	(8.05)	\$	3,893,816.74	49.79
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(1,667,516.31)	. (6.36)	\$	(2,880,734.23)	(10.99)	\$	16,268,737.79	62.07

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 20 of 30

1 OCTOBER 2015 2	KW BILLED	KWH			EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	POWER FACTOR PENALTY (PFP) \$		BILLING ADJ				
3 JP RURALS	84,659	43,003,590	\$	1,168,717,50	\$	1,935,161.55	s	3,103,879,05				
4 KENERGY RURALS	166,207	78,808,791	Ś	2,294,487.64	ŝ	3.546.395.60	ŝ	5,840,883.24				
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	56,140	29,717,880	Ś	775,012.70	ŝ	1,337,304.60	ŝ	2,112,317.30				
6								-,				
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	307,006	151,530,261	\$	4,238,217.84	\$	6,818,861.75	\$	11,057,079.59	\$	-	\$	-
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,209	2,112,770	s	55,814.44	\$	80,390,90	¢	136,205.34				
10 KI-ALCOA	55	34,040	ŝ	589.33	ě	1,295.22	ŝ	1,884.55	s	771.48		
11 KI-ALERIS	26.677	15,439,618	ŝ	285,844.06	ŝ	587,477.46	ŝ	873,321.52	ې و	111.48	÷	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,793	604,180	ě	19,212.00	ę	22,989.05	ŝ	42.201.05	ş	•	ې م	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	11,025	4,889,120	ę	118,132.88	ę	186,031.02	* \$	42,201.05 304,163,90	ð	-	\$	-
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,182	1,442,915	ę	34.095.13	ŝ	54,902.92	Ф \$	88,998.05	è	-	\$	2,529.65
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	1,000	310,020	ę	10.715.00	ę	11,796.26	φ \$	•	¢ ¢	-	\$	153.62
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,684	1,704,670	¢	50,189.06	ę	64.862.69	e e	22,511.26 115,051.75))	-	.\$	(1,850.70)
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	11,160,000	ç	160,725.00	ę	424.638.00	₽ S	585,363.00	ې م	1,135.79	\$	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	11,230	ŝ	642.90	ę	427.30	ş	1,070.20	ş	-	\$	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	756	550,740	ŝ	8,100,54	é	20.955.66	ŝ	29.056.20	ş	-	ð	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	34,390	ŝ	1.071.50	ŝ	1,308.54	\$	29,036.20	ð e	375.03	ş	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	36,547	25,774,370	è	391,601.11	ę	980,714.78	ŝ	2,380.04	ş	-	ð	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	4,200	1,728,940	ŝ	45,003.00	ŝ	65,786.17	ŝ	110.789.17	ې و	-	ð	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,606	2,016,490	ŝ	38,638,29	ě	76,727.44	ŝ	115,365.73	e e	-	ð	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	22,070	ŝ	1.071.50	ę	839.76	ŝ	1.911.26	ş	-	÷	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3,135	1,035,980	ŝ	33,591,53	ę	39,419,04	ŝ	73.010.57	è è	-	ş	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,250	4,519,080	Š	77,683.75	ě	171.950.99	ŝ	249.634.74	¢ ¢	-	\$	-
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,029	5,787,486	ŝ	118,175.74	ę	220,213.84	ę	338,389.58	а е	-	\$	-
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,443	1,310,980	ŝ	26,176.75	ŝ	49,882.79	ŝ	76,059.54	ę	9 009 77	¢ ¢	-
29	-,				•		φ	10,003,04	φ	2,903.77	ð	-
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	137,851	80,489,089	\$	1,477,073.51	\$	3,062,609.83	\$	4,539,683.34	\$	5,186.07	\$	832.57
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	1,760	283,160	\$	18,858.40	\$	10,774.24	\$	29,632.64	\$	-	\$	
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	139.611	80,772,249	e	1,495,931.91	• • • •	9 079 094 07		4 500 015 65		E 400.05		
35		00,172,243		1,430,301.31	Φ	3,078,984.07	¢	4,569,315.98	ş	5,186.07	\$	832.57
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	446,617	232,302,510	\$	5,734,149.75	\$	9,892,245.82	\$	15,626,395.57	\$	5,186.07	\$	832.57

•

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 21 of 30

.

1 OCTOBER 2015 2		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN SWER \$		DEMAND \$ NERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	А	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$	3,103,879.05	\$	5,00	\$	3,103,884.05	\$	113.013.43	2.63	\$	154,649,41	3.60
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	5,840,883.24	\$	•	\$	5,840,883.24	Ś	207,109.50	2.63	ŝ	288,917.18	3.67
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6	\$	2,112,317.30	\$	•	\$	2,112,317.30	\$	78,098.59	2.63	\$	104,292.15	3.51
7 TOTAL RURALS	 e	11 057 070 50	******	Z 00		11 055 004 50						
8	ې 	11,057,079.59	ې 	5.00	\$	11,057,084.69	\$	398,221.52	2.63	\$	547,858.74	3.62
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	136,205.34			\$	136,205.34	\$	5,552.36	2.63	\$	7.602.52	3.60
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	2,656.03			\$	2,656.03	\$	89.46	2.63	\$	106.11	3.12
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	873,321.52			\$	873,321.52	\$	40,575.32	2.63	ŝ	49,109,63	3.18
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	42,201.05			\$	42,201.05	\$	1,587.79	2.63	ŝ	2,345.83	3.88
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	306,693.55			\$	306,693,55	\$	12,848.61	2.63	ŝ	17.010.27	3.48
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	89,151.67			\$	89,151.67	\$	3,791.98	2.63	ŝ	4.979.56	3.45
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	20,660.56			\$	20,660.56	\$	814.73	2.63	ŝ	1,248.98	4.03
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	116,187.54			\$	116,187.54	\$	4,479,87	2.63	ŝ	6.406.43	3.76
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	585,363.00			\$	585,363.00	Ś	29,328,48	2.68	ŝ	33,072.71	2.96
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	1,070.20			\$	1,070.20	\$	29.51	2.63	ŝ	58.70	5.23
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	29,431.23			\$	29,431.23	s	1,447.34	2.63	š	1.641.04	2.98
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	2,380.04			\$	2,380.04	s	90.38	2.63	ŝ	132.36	3.85
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	1,372,315.89			\$	1,372,315.89	Ś	67,735.04	2,63	ŝ	77,460,40	3.01
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	110,789.17			Ş	110,789.17	Ś.	4,543.65	2.63	ŝ	6,185.88	3.58
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	115,365.73			\$	115,365,73	ŝ	5,299.34	2.63	ŝ	6,482.94	3.35
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	1,911.26			\$	1.911.26	ŝ	58.00	2.63	ě	105.22	3.21 4.77
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	73,010.57			Ŝ	73,010.57	ŝ	2,722.56	2.63	ę	4,056,65	4.77
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	249,634.74			Ś	249,634,74	ŝ	11,876.14	2.63	ŝ	14.058.07	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	338,389.58			Ś	338,389.58	ŝ	15,209.51	2.63	ę	18,991.25	3.11
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	78,963.31			Ś	78,963.31	ŝ	3,445.26	2.63	ę	4,270,59	3.28
29									2.05	φ	4,270,09	3.26
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	4,545,701.98	\$		\$	4,545,701.98	\$	211,525.33	2.63	\$	255.325.14	3.17
31												
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	29,632.64			\$	29,632.64	\$	744.14	2.63	\$	1,620.08	5.72
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	4,575,334.62	\$	•	\$	4,575,334.62	\$	212,269.47	2.63	\$	256,945.22	3.18
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	¢	15 620 414 01		F 00		15 000 440						==++
of Galling TOTAL	÷	15,632,414.21	ې 	5.00	\$	15,632,419.21	\$	610,490.99	2.63	\$	804,803.96	3.46

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 22 of 30

1 OCTOBER 2015	UNWIND SURCREDIT (US) \$				SMELTER NON FAC	NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA		NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH	
2 3 JP RURALS	••••••								• • • •			
4 KENERGY RURALS	ş	-	0.00	\$	43,390.62	\$	-	1.01	\$	3,414,937.51	79.41	
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ě	-	0.00	\$	79,518.07	\$	-	1.01	\$	6,416,427,99	81.42	
6 MEADE CO. KORALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	29,985.34	\$	-	1.01	\$	2,324,693.38	78.23	
7 TOTAL RURALS		v.v.v.v.						****				
8	\$	-	0.00	\$	152,894.03	\$	-	. 1.01	\$	12,156,058.88	80.22	
8 9 KI-ACCURIDE	· · ·					*******						
	ş	-	0.00	\$	2,131.78	\$	•	1.01	\$	151,492.00	71.70	
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	-	0.00	\$	34.35	\$	-	1.01	\$	2,885.95	84.78	
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	-	0.00	\$	15,578.57	\$	-	1.01	\$	978,585.04	63.38	
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	-	0.00	\$	609.62	\$	-	1.01	\$	46,744.29	77.37	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	-	0.00	\$	4,933.12	\$	•	1.01	\$	341,485.55	69.85	
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,455.90	\$	-	1.01	\$	99,379.11	68.87	
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	-	0.00	\$	312.81	\$	-	1.01	\$	23,037.08	74.31	
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,720.01	\$	-	1.01	\$	128,793.85	75.55	
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	-	0.00	\$	11,260.44	\$	-	1.01	\$	659,024,63	59.05	
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	-	0.00	\$	11.33	\$	-	1.01	\$	1,169,74	104.16	
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	0.00	\$	555.70	\$	-	1.01	\$	33,075,31	60.06	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	· -	0.00	\$	34.70	\$	-	1.01	\$	2,637.48	76.69	
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	-	0.00	\$	26,006.34	\$	-	1.01	\$	1,543,517,67	59.89	
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,744.50	\$	-	1.01	ŝ	123,263.20	71.29	
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	-	0.00	\$	2,034.64	\$	-	1.01	ŝ	129,182.65	64.06	
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	-	0.00	\$	22.27	\$	-	1.01	ŝ	2,096,75	95.00	
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	-	0.00	\$	1,045.30	ŝ	-	1.01	ŝ	80,835,08	78.03	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	-	0.00	\$	4,559,75	ŝ	-	1.01	ŝ	280,128.70	61.99	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	-	0.00	ŝ	5,839.57	ŝ	-	1.01	ŝ	378,429.91	65,39	
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	-	0.00	ŝ	1,322.78	ŝ	-	1.01	ŝ	88,001.94	67.18	
29										00,001.34	01.10	
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	81,213.48	\$	-	1.01	\$	5,093,765.93	63.29	
31	•			•	01,210.10	•	-	1.01	Ψ	0,000,100.00	03.29	
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	-	0.00	\$	285.71	s		1.01	s	32,282.57	11/01	
33				÷		Ψ	_	1.01	φ	32,202.01	114.01	
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	-	0.00	\$	81,499.19	\$	_	1.01	ę	5,126,048.50		
36	*					Ψ	-	1.01	Ŷ	0,120,040.00	63.46	
36										*******************	***************	
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	-	0.00	s	234,393.22	\$	_	1.01	\$	17,282,107.38	74 00	
	• 					Ψ		1.01	Φ	11,404,101.38	74.89	

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 23 of 30

· . .

1 OCTOBER 2015 2		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH		CN 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$	(319,783.18)	(7.44)	\$	(333,304,99)	(7.75)	 e	2,761,849,34	
4 KENERGY RURALS	ŝ	(591,542.92)	(7.51)	ŝ	(659,308,28)	(8.37)	\$ \$	2,761,849.34 5,165,576,79	64.22
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ŝ	(218,408.80)	(7.35)	š	(246,511.67)	(8.30)	ŝ	1,859,772.91	65.65
6			(1.00)		(240,011.01)	(0.00)	÷	1,009,772.91	62.58
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(1,129,734.90)	(7.46)	\$	(1,239,124.94)	(8.18)	\$	9,787,199.04	64.59
9 KI-ACCURIDE	S	(15,715.66)	(7.44)	s		0.00	\$	135.776.44	64.26
10 KI-ALCOA	s	(236.83)	(6.96)	š	-	0.00	ŝ	2,649.12	64.26 77.82
11 KI-ALERIS	ŝ	(108,397.77)	(7.02)	š	-	0.00	ŝ	2,045.12 870,187.27	56.36
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	ŝ	(4,665.89)	(7.72)	ŝ	-	0.00	ŝ	42,078.40	69.65
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	ŝ	(35,784.49)	(7.32)	ŝ	-	0.00	ę	305,701.06	62.53
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	Ś	(10,520.35)	(7.29)	ŝ	-	0.00	ę	88.858.76	
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ŝ	(2,439.45)	(7.87)	ŝ	-	0.00	φ ¢	20.597.63	61.58
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	ŝ	(12,952.36)	(7.60)	ŝ	_	0.00	ŝ	115,841.49	66.44
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	Š	(75,927.11)	(6.80)	Š	-	0.00	ŝ	583.097.52	67.96 52.25
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(101.82)	(9.07)	Š	-	0.00	\$	1.067.92	95.10
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	ŝ	(3,755.88)	(6.82)	ŝ	_	0.00	ŝ	29,319.43	53.24
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	ŝ	(264.42)	(7.69)	ŝ	_	0.00	ŝ	2,373.06	69.00
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ŝ	(176,433.98)	(6.85)	ŝ	-	0.00	\$	1,367,083.69	53.04
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	s	(12,825.01)	(7.42)	s	_	0.00	ŝ	110.438.19	63.88
23 KI-PRECOAT	Ś	(14,226.27)	(7.05)	ŝ	-	0.00	ŝ	114.956.38	
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	ŝ	(189.97)	(8.61)	ŝ	_	0.00	ŝ	1,906,78	57.01
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	ŝ	(8,034.82)	(7.76)	ŝ	_	0.00	ŝ	72,800,26	86.40
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	ŝ	(31,411.33)	(6.95)	Š	_	0.00	ŝ	248.717.37	70.27
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	ŝ	(41,215.20)	(7.12)	ŝ	_	0.00	\$	337,214.71	55.04 58.27
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(9,304.76)	(7.10)	\$	-	0.00	\$	78,697.18	60.03
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	(564,403.27)	(7.01)	\$		0.00	\$	4,529,362.66	56.27
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	(2,707.41)	(9.56)	\$	-	0.00	\$	29,575.16	104.45
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	(567,110.68)	(7.02)	\$		0.00	\$	4,558,937.82	56.44
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(1,696,845.58)	(7.30)	\$	(1,239,124.94)	(5.33)	\$	14,346,136.86	61.76

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 24 of 30

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others May 2015

.

1 MAY 2015	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
2 3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	4,122,306	\$	155,302.62	37.67
6	-,,000	¥	100,000.02	01.01
7 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	4,122,306	\$	155,302.62	37.67
8	,,	•	,	
9				
10				
11 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
12				
13 CALPINE ENERGY SERVICES-CAPACITY SALES		\$	38,210.40	
14 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL POWER & LIGHT				
15 HOOSIER ENERGY REC	38,400,000	\$	1,334,400.00	34.75
16 HOOSIER ENERGY REC-CAPACITY SALES		\$	45,000.00	
17 MISO	465,192,494	\$	13,375,868.56	28.75
18 NEXTRA ENERGY POWER MARKETING	64,000,000	\$	2,848,000.00	44.50
19 PJM		\$	238.41	
20				
21 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	567,592,494	\$	17,641,717.37	31.08
22				
23	<u> </u>		<u>,</u> ,	
24 TOTAL	571,714,800	\$	17,797,019.99	31.13

-

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 25 of 30

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others June 2015

1 JUNE 2015	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	4,957,131	\$	164,313.81	33.15
6	4,501,101	φ	104,010.01	33.10
7 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	4,957,131	\$	164,313.81	33,15
8	1,001,101	Ŧ	103,010.01	00.10
9				
10				
11 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
12				
13 ADM INVESTOR SERVICES		\$	(18,515.00)	
14 CALPINE ENERGY SERVICES-CAPACITY SALES		\$	(35,026.20)	
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS	35,200,000	\$	1,349,820.00	38.35
16 EDF TRADING-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
17 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL POWER & LIGHT		-		
18 HOOSIER ENERGY REC	1,600,000	\$	49,200.00	30.75
19 HOOSIER ENERGY REC-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
20 INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	200,000.00	
21 INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,015.00	
22 MISO	410,395,672	\$	10,665,780.42	25.99
23 NEXTRA ENERGY POWER MARKETING	70,400,000	\$	3,132,800.00	44.50
24 PJM		\$	104.78	
25				
26 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	517,595,672	\$	15,794,179.00	30.51
27				
28				
29 TOTAL	522,552,803	\$	15,958,492.81	30.54

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 26 of 30

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others July 2015

.

1 JULY 2015	кwн		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
2 A CREATAL CALLES NO DUG BODD OUTDO				
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
		-		
5 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	4,933,751	\$	167,279.28	33.91
		•		
7 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	4,933,751	\$	167,279.28	33.91
8				
9 10				
10 11 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
12				
12 13 ADM INVESTOR SERVICES			1 454 005 50	
13 ADM INVESTOR SERVICES 14 BP ENERGY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	1,474,987.50	
14 DI ENERGI-CAFACITI SALES 15 CALPINE ENERGY SERVICES-CAPACITY SALES		\$	105,600.00	
16 CARGILL POWER MARKETS		\$	3,184.20	
17 DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE-CAPACITY SALES		¢	5,000.00	
18 EDF TRADING-CAPACITY SALES		\$ \$	150,000.00	
19 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL POWER & LIGHT		φ	150,000.00	
20 HOOSIER ENERGY REC				
21 HOOSIER ENERGY REC-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
22 INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	200,000.00	
23 INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT-CAPACITY SALES		ę	150,015.00	
24 MISO	344,636,415	\$	9,769,690.88	28.35
25 NEXTRA ENERGY POWER MARKETING	110,400,000	\$	4,883,360.00	44.23
26 PJM	110,400,000	Ψ	4,000,000.00	11.40
27				
28 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	455,036,415	\$	16,891,837.58	37.12
29		*	,001,001.00	01124
30				
BI TOTAL	459,970,166	\$	17,059,116.86	37.09

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 27 of 30

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others August 2015

1 AUGUST 2015	<u>KWH</u>		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
2 3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	12,298,712	\$	386,776.14	31.45
7 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	12,298,712	\$	386,776.14	31.45
8 9				
0				
1 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
2				
- 3 ADM INVESTOR SERVICES				
4 BP ENERGY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	105,600.00	
5 CALPINE ENERGY SERVICES-CAPACITY SALES		\$	3,184,20	
6 CARGILL POWER MARKETS		•	-,	
7 DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE-CAPACITY SALES				
8 EDF TRADING-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
9 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL POWER & LIGHT				
0 HOOSIER ENERGY REC				
1 HOOSIER ENERGY REC-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
2 INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	200,000.00	
3 INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,015.00	
4 MISO	293,653,460	\$	7,903,479.31	26.91
5 NEXTRA ENERGY POWER MARKETING 6 PJM	100,800,000	\$	4,458,720.00	44.23
7				
' 8 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	204 452 400	•	10 100 000 51	00.00
9	394,453,460	\$	13,120,998.51	33.26
0				
		-	,	
1 TOTAL	406,752,172	\$	13,507,774.65	33.21

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 28 of 30

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others September 2015

.

.

1 <u>SEPTEMBER 2015</u>	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	11,627,011	•	400 10 4 00	
6	11,027,011	\$	429,124.33	36.91
7 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	11,627,011	\$	429,124,33	84.64
8	11,027,011	φ	429,124.33	36.91
9				
LO				
11 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
12				
13 ADM INVESTOR SERVICES		\$	881,892.50	
14 BP ENERGY-CAPACITY SALES		Ψ	001,032.00	
15 CALPINE ENERGY SERVICES-CAPACITY SALES		\$	3,184.20	
6 CARGILL POWER MARKETS		\$	100.00	
7 DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE-CAPACITY SALES		¥	100.00	
18 EDF TRADING	33,600,000	\$	1,142,400.00	34.00
9 EDF TRADING-CAPACITY SALES	,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ŝ	150,000.00	04.00
20 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL POWER & LIGHT		Ŧ	200,000.00	
21 HOOSIER ENERGY REC				
22 HOOSIER ENERGY REC-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
23 INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	200,000.00	
24 INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,015.00	
5 MISO	247,308,672	\$	6,757,424.49	27.32
6 NEXTRA ENERGY POWER MARKETING	67,200,000	\$	2,990,400.00	44.50
7 PJM				
29 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	348,108,672	\$	12,425,416.19	35.69
10			·	
1				
2 TOTAL				
	359,735,683	<u>\$</u>	12,854,540.52	35.73

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 29 of 30

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others October 2015

1 OCTOBER 2015	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS: 4				
5 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN				
6	22,209,127	\$	661,852.58	29.80
7 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS		_		
8	22,209,127	\$	661,852.58	29.80
9				
11 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
2				
3 ADM INVESTOR SERVICES		•	100.040.00	
4 BP ENERGY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	132,842.00	
5 CALPINE ENERGY SERVICES-CAPACITY SALES		\$	3,184.20	
6 CARGILL POWER MARKETS		φ	3,104.20	
17 DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE-CAPACITY SALES		\$	1,170.00	
8 EDF TRADING		Ψ	1,170.00	
9 EDF TRADING-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
20 HENDERSON MUNICIPAL POWER & LIGHT		•	100,000.00	
1 HOOSIER ENERGY REC				
2 HOOSIER ENERGY REC-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,000.00	
3 INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY-CAPACITY SALES		\$	200,000.00	
4 INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT-CAPACITY SALES		\$	150,015.00	
5 MISO	206,166,119	\$	5,538,832.91	26.87
6 NEXTRA ENERGY POWER MARKETING	144,800,000	\$	5,403,600.00	37.32
7 PJM				
9 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	350,966,119	\$	11,729,644.11	33.42
0				
1			_	
2 TOTAL	373,175,246	æ	19 201 400 00	
	313,110,240	\$	12,391,496.69	33.21

.

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 30 of 30

-

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 7)	List Big Rivers' scheduled, actual, and forced outages from
2	May 1, 201	5, through October 31, 2015.
3		
4	Response)	Please see the attached summary for the requested information
5	regarding s	cheduled ("S"), actual ("A"), and forced ("F") outages.
6		
7		
8	Witness)	Lawrence V. Baronowsky
9		
10		

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 1

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #1 - Coal - 65MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED Scheduled Actual MONTH TYPE ТО Scheduled Forced Actual OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE TO FROM FROM May No Outages This unit was on reserve standby for the entire reporting period and was not dispatched by MISO for service. No Outages June No Outages July No Outages August September No Outages October No Outages

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 14

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #2 - Combustion Turbine - Oil/Gas - 65MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED MONTH TYPE FROM TO FROM TO OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE Scheduled Forced Actual May No Outages \mathbf{F} June 6/7/2015 16:34 6/7/2015 16:56 0:22 A starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted fifteen minute time limit. F June The unit was removed from reserve standby status to 6/7/2015 17:35 6/7/2015 22:00 4:25 repair one of the cooling tower fan motors. The unit was removed from reserve standby status to June F 6/10/2015 10:00 6/11/2015 21:00 35:00 repair one of the cooling water pump motors. F June 6/14/2015 15:00 6/14/2015 16:45 1:45 A starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted fifteen minute time limit. June S:A 6/19/2015 5:00 6/19/2015 17:00 6/19/2015 5:00 6/19/2015 17:30 12:00 12:30 The unit was removed from reserve standby status to repair a gas leak on one of the combustion can flanges. June \mathbf{F} 6/20/2015 15:49 6/22/2015 12:32 44:43 A starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted fifteen minute time limit. The unit was then removed from reserve standby status to repair the generator sync check relay.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 2 of 14

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #2 - Combustion Turbine - Oil/Gas - 65MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED TYPE MONTH FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced Actual OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE July S.A 7/2/2015 8:00 2:00 2:00 The unit was removed from reserve standby status to 7/2/2015 10:00 7/2/2015 8:00 7/2/2015 10:00 inspect the generator breaker due to recent starting failures. July F 7/11/2015 12:25 7/11/2015 13:26 1:01 A starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted fifteen minute time limit. 7/16/2015 14:01 July F 7/16/2015 14:49 0:48 A starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted fifteen minute time limit. July F 7/21/2015 12:53 0:32 7/21/2015 13:25 The unit was tripped by the operator due to voltage regulator issues. The voltage dropped to zero (0) and frequency increased to 65 Hz. S,A 8/11/2015 9:00 8/12/2015 0:00 8/11/2015 9:00 8/12/2015 0:00 15:00 15:00 The unit was removed from reserve standby status to August allow Texas Gas to work on the gas supply main.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 3 of 14

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #2 - Combustion Turbine - Oil/Gas - 65MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED MONTH TYPE TO TO OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE FROM FROM Scheduled Forced Actual 0:31 September F 9/4/2015 14:29 9/4/2015 15:00 A starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted fifteen minute time limit. September F 9/17/2015 14:57 9/22/2015 19:05 124:08 A starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted fifteen minute time limit. The unit was then removed from reserve standby status to repair the generator breaker due to recent starting failures. The breaker was sent to GE's service shop for reconditioning. September F 9/24/2015 7:45 160:15 The unit was removed from reserve standby status to ----> allow testing of the generator and voltage regulator. Testing revealed a shorted field winding and the generator was disassembled to send the field for repairs. October F 744:00 The unit is expected to return to service on April 5, 2016 ----> ----> at 16:00.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 4 of 14

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #1 - Coal - 153MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015 Schedule vs. Actual

		MAINTENANCE						MION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
		Scheduled		Actual		HOURS OF DURATION		MION	MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED	
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	ТО	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE	
Мау	S,A	5/20/2015 0:00	5/23/2 015 0:00	5/20/2015 0:53	5/23/2015 11:00	72:00		82:07	The unit was removed from service to wash the air heaters and clean the SCR catalyst. Due to difficultie cleaning the catalyst the unit was returned to reserve standby status 10 hours behind schedule. The unit was returned to service on 5/25/2015 at 12:50.	
June	F			6/9/2015 0:41	6/14/2015 15:33		134:52		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube lea in the boiler penthouse.	
July		No Outages								
August		No Outages								
September		No Outages								
October	F			10/27/2015 23:18	10/28/2015 4:16		4:58		The unit tripped offline due to wet fuel plugging the pulverizer inlets which allowed the burners to flame out.	

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 5 of 14

-

Schedule vs. Actual

returned to service on December 15, 2015 at 18:27.

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #2 - Coal - 159MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

May

June

July

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED MONTH TYPE Actual OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced S.A -----> 5/1/2015 0:00 5/2/2015 6:58 0:00 30:58 On 3/14/2015 at 00:34 the unit was removed from ----> service for a forty-eight day planned maintenance outage. The unit was returned to service on 2-May-2015 at 06:58, thirty hours and fifty-eight minutes behind schedule because the left side turbine reheat stop valve failed to open. \mathbf{F} 5/2/2015 7:31 5/2/2015 9:17 1:46 The unit tripped off line on reverse power relay while transferring from start up power to auxiliary power. The "B" side 480 V load center tripped shutting down "B" air heater and "B1" coal feeder. F 5/13/2015 8:57 5/15/2015 20:00 59:03 The unit tripped off line due to a water wall tube leak. No outages F 7/18/2015 1:03 334:57 ----> The unit tripped off line due to a short in the generator windings. The generator stator and field will both need to be rewound. August F 744:00 _____**`** F September 720:00 F October 744:00 After a series of starts and trips to correct high ----> vibration at number seven bearing the unit was

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 6 of 14

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #1 - Coal/Pet coke - 231MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED MONTH TYPE Scheduled Forced Actual OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE ΤÒ FROM FROM TO May F 5/17/2015 10:35 5/19/2015 1:41 39:06 The unit tripped off line due to a water wall tube leak on the east wall just above the seventh floor. S.A 6/24/2015 22:00 6/26/2015 22:00 6/24/2015 21:40 6/26/2015 19:22 48:00 June 45:42 The unit was removed from service to repair holes in the ID Fan inlet ductwork. On 6/26/2015 at 19:22 the repairs were complete and the unit was placed in reserve standby status. F 6/30/2015 16:00 8:00 On 6/30/2015 at 16:00 the unit was removed from> reserve standby status to repair a water wall tube leak that was discovered during a hydrostatic test of the boiler. F 28:00 July> 7/2/2015 4:00 The unit was returned to reserve standby status on 7/2/2015 at 04:00 following repairs to the water wall tube. The unit was returned to service on 7/5/2015 at 17:08. S,A 7/11/2015 9:30 The unit was removed from service and placed on 7/11/2015 17:30 7/11/2015 9:26 7/11/2015 17:29 8:00 8:03 reserve standby status on 7/10/2015 at 00:45. On 7/11/2015 at 09:26 the unit was removed from reserve standby status and placed in maintenance outage status to repair additional duct leaks. On 7/11/2015 at 17:29 the unit was returned to reserve standby status following repairs to the ductwork. The unit was returned to service on 7/12/2015 at 01:32.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 7 of 14

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #1 - Coal/Pet coke - 231MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED MONTH TYPE FROM TO FROM ΤŌ Scheduled Forced Actual OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE \mathbf{F} August 8/27/2015 16:05 8/30/2015 9:00 64:55 The unit tripped off line due to a water wall tube leak on the east wall just above the seventh floor. Repairs were completed on 8/30/2015 at 09:00 and the unit was placed in reserve standby status. The unit was returned to service on 8/30/2015 at 20:11. September F 9/1/2015 20:46 9/2/2015 1:01 The unit was removed from service to replace the servo 4:15 on the turbine #1 main steam stop valve. S.A 9/26/2015 0:00 9/25/2015 23:45 ----> -----> 120:00 120:15 The unit was removed from service for a 49 day planned maintenance outage. October S.A ----> 744:00 744:00 The unit was returned to service on 11/14/2015 at 12:09.> ---->

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 8 of 14

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #2 - Coal/Pet coke - 223MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

Schedule vs. Actual

was sent to Siemens shop for refurbishment.

			MAIN	TENANCE			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
	[Sched	luled	Ā	ctual	HOURS OF DURATION	MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED	
MONTH T	TYPE	FROM	TÔ	FROM	TÔ	Scheduled Forced Actual	OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE	
May	F			· 5/29/2015 0:50	5/30/2015 12:00	35:10	The unit was removed from service to repair a water wall tube leak on the east wall at elevation 535' 6". Following repairs to the boiler tube the unit was placed in reserve standby status on 5/30/2015 at 12:00. The unit was returned to service on 5/31/2015 at 10:59.	
June		No Outages						
July	F			7/16/2015 6:34	7/17/2015 7:52	25:18	The unit tripped off line due to a water wall tube leak on the east wall at elevation 506' 1".	
	F			7/28/2015 12:37	>	83:23	The unit tripped off line due to loss of field on the generator. Inspection revealed that the generator exciter had failed. Big Rivers rented a temporary exciter from Siemens and installed it on the generator and returned the unit to service. The original exciter was sent to Siemens shop for refurbishment.	
August	F			>	8/6/2015 15:41	135:41	The unit tripped off line due to loss of field on the generator. Inspection revealed that the generator exciter had failed. Big Rivers rented a temporary exciter from Siemens and installed it on the generator and returned the unit to service. The original exciter	

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 9 of 14

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #2 - Coal/Pet coke - 223MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED MONTH TYPE FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced Actual OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE September S.A 9/15/2015 0:00 9/24/2015 06:00 9/15/2015 0:22 9/24/2015 5:04 222:00 220:42 The unit was removed from service to remove the rented temporary exciter and install Big Rivers' refurbished exciter. F The unit tripped off line due to issues with the voltage 9/27/2015 14:07 9/27/2015 21:00 6:53 regulator caused by a loose wire on the voltage transducer. S.A 10/4/2015 9:00 91:34 The unit was removed from service to repair a steam October 10/7/2015 9:00 10/4/2015 8:49 10/8/2015 4:23 72:00 leak on the turbine crossover flange. At 09:25 on 10/7/2015 a starting failure was declared due to a leak in one of the crossover expansion joints that extended the outage for 18:58. S.A 10/15/2015 0:00 10/19/2015 0:00 10/15/2015 0:09 10/18/2015 22:42 96:00 94:33 The unit was removed from service to investigate a generator ground alarm.

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 10 of 14

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #1 - Coal - 150MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

Schedule vs. Actual

			MAINTE	NANCE			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED
		Schedu	uled	Actu	al	HOURS OF DURATION	MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	TO	FROM	TO	Scheduled Forced Actual	OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE
May		No Outages					Coleman Unit 1 was idled on May 1, 2014, and has not yet been returned to service.
June		No Outages					
July		No Outages					
August		No Outages	,				
September		No Outages					
October		No Outages					

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 11 of 14

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #2 - Coal - 138MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

_

Schedule vs. Actual

		MAINTENANCE						REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED
		Scheduled		Actual			OF DURATION	MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	TO	FROM	TÔ	Scheduled	Forced Actua	OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE
May		No Outages						Coleman Unit 2 was idled on May 1, 2014, and has not yet been returned to service.
June		No Outages						
July	•	No Outages						
August		No Outages						
September		No Outages						
October		No Outages						

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 12 of 14

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #3 - Coal - 155MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

-

Schedule vs. Actual

			ENANCE		HOURS OF DURATION		REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
		Scheduled		Actual		HOURSOF		MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED
MONTH	TYPE	FROM			OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE			
Мау		No Outages						Coleman Unit 3 was idled on May 8, 2014, and has not yet been returned to service.
June		No Outages						
July		No Outages						
August		No Outages						
September		No Outages						
October		No Outages						

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 13 of 14

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Wilson Station - Unit #1 - Coal/Pet Coke - 417MW Net May 1, 2015 thru October 31, 2015

-,

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED HOURS OF DURATION MONTH TYPE FROM TO FROM Scheduled Forced Actual OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE TO F May 5/8/2015 12:32 5/8/2015 15:25 2:53 The boiler tripped on low drum level after #1 BFP tripped due to a vibration spike on the outboard pump bearing. June No Outages \mathbf{F} July 7/29/2015 13:51 7/31/2015 12:28 46:37 The Unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak at the boiler knees that was caused by a slag fall. Two subsequent leaks were discovered and repaired during the outage inspection; one in the nose area and one in the reheater. No Outages August September F 9/19/2015 14:30 9/22/2015 9:36 67:06 The unit was removed from service to repair a water wall tube leak in the boiler nose area. No Outages October

Case No. 2016-00006 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 14 of 14

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 8)	List all existing fuel contracts categorized as long-term (i.e.,
2	one year of	more in length). Provide the following information for each
3	contract:	
4	i	
5		a. Supplier's name and address;
6		b. Name and location of production facility;
7		c. Date when contract was executed;
8		d. Duration of contract;
9		e. Date(s) of each contract revision, modification, or
10		amendment;
11		f. Annual tonnage requirements;
12		g. Actual annual tonnage received since the contract's
13		inception;
14		h. Percent of annual requirements received during the
15		contract's term;
16		i. Base price in dollars per ton;
17		j. Total amount of price escalations to date in dollars per
18		ton; and
19		k. Current price paid for coal under the contract in dollars
20		per ton $(i + j)$.
21		
22	Response)	Please see the attached schedule.
23		
24		
25	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 8 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Patriot Coal Sales 11021

A. NAME / ADDRESS:	Patriot Coal Sales, LLC 12312 Olive Boulevard, St. Louis, MO 63141 <u>Following January 30, 2015</u> Alliance Coal, LLC 1717 South Boulder, Su	Suite 400 <u>Assignment</u> :
B. PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Tulsa, OK 74119 Freedom and Grand Ea Henderson County, Ker Highland Mine Union County, Kentuck	itucky
	Following January 30, 2015 . Onton No. 9 Mine Webster County, Kentu	Assignment includes:
	River View Mine Union County, Kentuck	у
C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	October 24, 2011	
D. CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2012 through	December 31, 2015
E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	November 8, 2011 January 30, 2015	(Amendment One) (Assignment to Alliance)
F. ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	2012 - 964,000 2013 - 700,000 2014 - 700,000 2015 - 700,000	tons tons
G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2012 - 963,662 2013 - 671,818 2014 - 701,025 2015 - 550,603	
H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2012 - 99.96% 2013 - 95.97% 2014 - 100.15% 2015 - 78.66%	(through October)

•

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 1 of 11

Patriot Coal Sales 11021 (continued)

I. BASE PRICE:	Highland 2012 Patriot Blend 2012 Highland 2013 Highland 2014 Highland / Onton / River View 2015	_ _ _ _	 \$ 48.0992 \$ 47.0624 \$ 50.0251 \$ 52.0220 \$ 54.1013 	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton
J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None			
K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	Highland 2013 Highland 2014 Highland / Onton / River View 2015	- - -	\$ 50.0251 \$ 52.0220 \$ 54.1013	per ton per ton per ton

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 2 of 11

Sebree Mining 11002

	0		
A. NAME / ADDRESS:	Sebree Mining, LLC (% 15 New Steamport Roa Sebree, KY 42455		
B. PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Onton No. 9 Mine, Doti Webster County, Kentu		
C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	March 29, 2012		
D. CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2010 throug	h December 31, 2016	
E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	April 29, 2011 November 17, 2011 March 29, 2012 August 12, 2013 January 8, 2014 February 14, 2014	(Amendment One) (Amendment Two) (Contract Assigned from Allied resources to Sebree Mining, LLC) (Amendment Three) (Amendment Four) (Amendment Five)	
F. ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	tons tons tons tons tons tons tons	
G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	tons tons tons tons tons tons (through October)	
H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	(through October)	
I. BASE PRICE:	Quality A 2012 – 2 Quality B 2012 – 2 Quality C 2012 – 2	Complex\$ 52.5500per ton\$ 50.6259per ton\$ 48.9946per ton\$ 46.0108per ton	
۰. ۲		Case No. 2016-00006 'itness: Mark W. McAdams r Response to Staff Item 8 Page 3 of 11	

Sebree Mining 11002 (continued)

-

I.	BASE PRICE: (continued)	Se	bree Co	omplex (continued)	
		Quality A 20.		\$ 54.9153 per ton	
		Quality B 201		\$ 53.8668 per ton	
		Quality C 201		\$ 52.1824 per ton	
		Quality D 202		\$ 49.1854 per ton	
		Quality A 201	14 –	\$ 57.6621 per ton	
		Quality B 201	14 –	\$ 55.6405 per ton	
		Quality C 201	14 –	\$ 53.8706 per ton	
		Quality D 201	14 –	\$ 50.5978 per ton	
		Quality A 201	15 –	\$ 61.6911 per ton	
		Quality B 201	l5 —	\$ 59.5694 per ton	
		Quality C 201	15 –	\$ 57.7116 per ton	
		Quality D 201	l5 –	\$ 54.2146 per ton	
		Quality A 201	l 6 –	No Price	
		Quality B 201		\$ 62.4897 per ton	
		Quality C 201	6 –	\$ 60.7085 per ton	
		Quality D 201	6 –	\$ 57.8600 per ton	
			<i>~</i> .		
		O		<u>umport Dock</u>	
		Quality A 201		\$ 51.9551 per ton	
		Quality B 201		\$ 50.0269 per ton	
		Quality C 201		\$ 48.3943 per ton	
		Quality D 201	.2 –	\$ 45.4102 per ton	
		Quality A 201	.3 – 8	\$ 54.3204 per ton	
		Quality B 201	.3 —	\$ 53.1133 per ton	
		Quality C 201		\$ 51.4303 per ton	
		Quality D 201	3 –	\$ 48.4308 per ton	
		Quality A 201	4 –	\$ 57.0672 per ton	
		Quality B 201	4 –	\$ 55.0415 per ton	
		Quality C 201	4 –	\$ 53.2703 per ton	
		Quality D 201	4 –	\$ 49.9994 per ton	
		Quality A 201	5 –	\$ 61.0512 per ton	
		Quality B 201	5 –	\$ 58.9399 per ton	
		Quality C 201	5 —	\$ 57.0745 per ton	
		Quality D 201		\$ 53.5832 per ton	
		Quality A 201	6 -	No Price	
		Quality B 201	6 –	\$ 61.1910 per ton	
		Quality C 201		\$ 60.4422 per ton	
		Quality D 201		\$ 56.5598 per ton	
		·		•	

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 4 of 11

Sebree Mining 11002 (continued)

J.	ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None

E:

.

	Seb	ree	<u>Complex</u>	
Quality A 2013	_	\$		per ton
Quality B 2013	—	\$		per ton
Quality C 2013	_	\$	52.1824	per ton
Quality D 2013	-	\$	49.1854	per ton
Quality A 2014	_	\$	57.6621	per ton
Quality B 2014	_	\$	55.6405	per ton
Quality C 2014	_	\$	53.8706	per ton
Quality D 2014	-	\$	50.5978	per ton
Quality A 2015	_	\$	61.6911	per ton
Quality B 2015	_	\$	59.5694	per ton
Quality C 2015	_	\$	57.7116	per ton
Quality D 2015		\$	54.2146	per ton
	Stea	m	ort Dock	
Quality A 2013	_	\$	54.3204	per ton
Quality B 2013	_	\$	53.1133	per ton
Quality C 2013	_	\$	51.4303	per ton
Quality D 2013	-	\$	48.4308	per ton
0 11 1 2 2 2 4				
Quality A 2014	—	\$	57.0672	per ton
Quality A 2014 Quality B 2014	_	\$ \$	57.0672 55.0415	per ton per ton
Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014	- - -			-
Quality B 2014		\$	55.0415	per ton
Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014 Quality D 2014 Quality A 2015		\$ \$	55.0415 53.2703	per ton per ton
Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014 Quality D 2014 Quality A 2015 Quality B 2015		\$ \$ \$	55.0415 53.2703 49.9994	per ton per ton per ton
Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014 Quality D 2014 Quality A 2015		\$ \$ \$ \$	55.0415 53.2703 49.9994 61.0512	per ton per ton per ton per ton

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 5 of 11

Armstrong Coal 11023

A.	NAME / ADDRESS:	Armstrong Coal Company, Inc. 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1625 St. Louis, MO 63105		
B.	PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Parkway, Big Run, Ceralvo, East Fork, Kronos, Lewis Creek, and Equality Boot Mines Muhlenberg and Ohio Counties, Kentucky		
C.	CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	July 4, 2011		
D.	CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2015		
E.	CONTRACT AMENDMENTS	None		
	ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		
G.	ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2012 – 351,376 tons 2013 – 401,613 tons 2014 – 801,572 tons 2015 – 744,702 tons (through October)		
H.	PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2012 - 100.39 % 2013 - 100.40 % 2014 - 100.20 % 2015 - 85.11 % (through October)		
I.	BASE PRICE:	2012 - \$ 47.2512 per ton 2013 - \$ 48.4012 per ton 2014 - \$ 51.3682 per ton 2015 - \$ 54.5123 per ton		

•

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 6 of 11

Armstrong Coal 11023 (continued)

	com 11020 (continueu)	•	
J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	1 st Quarter 2012 –	\$ 0.8970 per ton	i
	2 nd Quarter 2012 -	\$ 0.9039 per ton	
	3 rd Quarter 2012 –	\$ 0.8119 per ton	
	4 th Quarter 2012 –	(\$ 0.1679) per ton	
	1 st Quarter 2013 –	\$ 0.1840 per ton	L
	2 nd Quarter 2013 –	\$ 0.1863 per ton	L
	. 3 rd Quarter 2013 –	\$ 0.1564 per ton	L
	4 th Quarter 2013 –	(\$ 0.3473) per ton	
	1^{st} Quarter 2014 –	(\$ 0.7245) per ton	
	2^{nd} Quarter 2014 –	\$ 0.4508 per ton	
	3^{rd} Quarter 2014 –	(\$ 0.0759) per ton	
	$4^{ m th}$ Quarter 2014 $-$	(\$ 0.3979) per ton	
	$1^{ m st}$ Quarter 2015 $-$	(\$ 0.9338) per ton	
	2 nd Quarter 2015 –	(\$ 2.7692) per ton	
	3rd Quarter 2015 -	(\$ 3.2591) per ton	
K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	2013 - \$ 48.5875	per ton	

.

-

2010		φ	40.0070	per ton
2014	-	\$	50.6437	per ton
2015	-	\$	51.1405	per ton

.

Κ. OF PRICE:

> Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 7 of 11

,

Coeclerici / Coal Network Inc. 15001

A. NAME / ADDRESS:	Coeclerici Coal Network, Inc. 7697 Innovation Way, Suite 100 Mason, Ohio 45040
B. PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Western Kentucky Minerals (<i>WKM</i>), North Knottsville and Pleasant Ridge Mines
C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	January 7, 2015
D. CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015
E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	None
F. ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	2015 – 144,000 tons
G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2015 – 114,025 tons (through October)
H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2015 – 79.18 % (through October)
I. BASE PRICE:	WKM 2015 – \$ 44.7507 per ton
J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None
K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE	WKM 2015 – \$ 44.7507 per ton

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 8 of 11

.

.

Foresight 15005

A.	NAME / ADDRESS:	Foresight Coal Sales, LLC 211 North Broadway, Suite 2600 St. Louis, Missouri 63102		
B.	PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Deer Run and/or Shay No. 1 in Montgomery and Macoupin, Illinois.		
C.	CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	March 6, 2015		
D.	CONTRACT DURATION:	February 6, 2015 through December 31, 2019		
E.	CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	None		
F.	ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		
G.	ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2015 – 163,494 tons (through October)		
H.	PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2015 – 81.75 % (through October)		
I.	BASE PRICE:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		
J.	ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None		
K.	CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	2015 – \$ 34.0006 per ton		

.

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 9 of 11

•
Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2016-00006 Long-Term Fuel Contract Information

.

KenAmerican Resources 15006

A.	NAME / ADDRESS:	KenAmerican Resources, Inc. 46226 National Road St. Clairsville, Ohio 43950	
B.	PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Paradise #9 Mine Muhlenberg County, Kentucky	
C.	CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	January 30, 2015	
D.	CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2019	
E.	CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	None	
F.	ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
G.	ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2015 – 259,482 tons (through October	ゥ
H.	PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2015 – 86.49 % (through October)	
I.	BASE PRICE:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
J.	ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None	
K.	CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	2015 – \$ 49.2505 per ton	

•

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 10 of 11

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2016-00006 Long-Term Fuel Contract Information

Rhino Energy LLC and Pennyrile Energy LLC Coal Sales 15009

A. NAME / ADDRESS:	Rhino Energy LLC/Pennyrile Energy, LLC. 424 Lewis Hargett Circle, Suite 250 Lexington, Kentucky 40503
B. PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Pennyrile Energy LLC Riveredge Mine McLean County, Kentucky
C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	March 16, 2015
D. CONTRACT DURATION:	March 16, 2015 through December 31, 2017
E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	None
F. ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	2015 – 105,000 tons (Start date for tonnage delivery June 1, 2015) 2016 – 400,000 tons 2017 – 550,000 tons
G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2015 – 81,011 tons (through October)
H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2015 – 77.15 % (through October)
I. BASE PRICE:	Riveredge 2015 – \$ 43.7490 per ton Riveredge 2016 – \$ 45.7498 per ton Riveredge 2017 – \$ 47.7500 per ton
J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None
K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	Riveredge 2015 – \$ 43.7490 per ton

. •

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 11 of 11

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 9)		
2		a.	State whether Big Rivers regularly compares the price of
3			its coal purchases to those paid by other electric utilities.
4		b .	If yes, state:
5			(1) How Big Rivers' prices compare with those of other
6			utilities. If the comparison includes months outside
7			of the review period, a comparison limited to the
8			review period should be provided separately.
9			Provide a copy of the source documents and
10			calculations used to support the amounts used in
11			the comparison and include all prices used in the
12			comparison in cents per MMbtu.
13			(2) The utilities that are included in this comparison
14			and their locations.
15			
16	Response)		
17		a.	Yes, Big Rivers has compared the price of its coal purchases
18			with those paid by other electric utilities.
19		b.	
20			(1) Big Rivers' coal pricing is competitive with that of its
21			comparison group for the review period. Attached hereto
22			are tables and charts on a ϕ per MMBTU <u>and</u> showing Big
23			Rivers with and without petcoke.

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 9 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1		(2)	Utilitie	es that are in	cluded i	n this con	npar	ison are Ke	ntu	cky-
2			based	companies.	These	utilities	are	identified	on	the
3			attach	ed tables and	charts.					
4										
5										
6	Witness)	Mark W.	McAda	ms						

7

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 9 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 2

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2016-00006

Cents per	r MMBTU (Bi	g Rivers w	/o Pet Col	ke)		
Company	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	225.59	235.70	230.02	226.64	230.95	226.96
Duke Energy - Kentucky	222.86	222.95	222.53	214.04	209.50	209.33
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	223.92	223.11	229.30	231.93	203.50 222.57	209.33
Kentucky Utilites Company	228.74	227.63	216.00	216.61	227.26	209.25
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	226.00	219.50	219.50	219.20	221.20	205.25
Kentucky Power	220.04	219.59	207.77	206.03	194.38	227.90

Cents pe	er MMBTU (B	ig Rivers v	w/ Pet Cok	e)		
Company	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	208.53	225.41	227.63	220.13	218.79	214.77
Duke Energy - Kentucky	222.86	222.95	222.53	214.04	209.50	214.77
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	223.92	223.11	229.30	231.93	203.50 222.57	209.33
Kentucky Utilites Company	228.74	227.63	216.00	216.61	222.57	209.25
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	226.00	219.50	219.50	210.01 219.20	221.20	
Kentucky Power	220.04	219.59	207.77	215.20 206.03	221.90 194.38	227.90 206.13

.

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings w/ Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 1 of 3

.

.

.

.



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings w/ Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 2 of 3

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2016-0006



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings w/ Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2016-00006 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 3 of 3

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 10)	State the percentage of Big Rivers' coal, as of the date of this
2	Order, tha	t is delivered by:
3		
4		a. Rail;
5		b. Truck; or
6		c. Barge.
7		
8	Response)	For the period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015, Big
9	Rivers' coal	delivery percentages are as follows:
10		
11		a. Rail – None;
12		b. Truck - 47.75% (Coal only);
13		c. Barge – 52.25% (Coal <i>plus</i> Pet Coke).
14		
15		
16	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
17		

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 10 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 11)		
2		а.	State Big Rivers' coal inventory level in tons and in
3		•	number of days' supply as of October 31, 2015. Provide
4			this information by generating station and in the
5			aggregate.
6		<i>b</i> .	Describe the criteria used to determine number of days'
7			supply.
8		c.	Compare Big Rivers' coal inventory as of October 31, 2015,
9			to its inventory target for that date for each plant and for
10			total inventory.
11		d.	If actual coal inventory exceeds inventory target by ten
12			days' supply, state the reasons for excessive inventory.
13		е.	(1) State whether Big Rivers expects any significant
14			changes in its current coal inventory target within
15			the next 12 months.
16			(2) If yes, state the expected change and the reasons for
17			this change.
18			
19	Response)		
20		a.	As of October 31, 2015, Big Rivers' generating stations
21			individually and in the aggregate had the following inventory
22			levels and days' supply.
23			
24			
25			
			Case No. 2016-0000

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 5 .

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

Total System/Station	Inventory Level (In Tons) ¹	Number of Days' Supply ²	Duration (In Days)	Preceding 6 Months Burn (In Tons)
Big Rivers	1 00 4 500 50		• • • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · · • · _ · · · _ / ~ _ · · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·	<u></u>
Electric System	1,304,538.56	103	117	1,482,680.33
Reid Station ³	10,114,70	16	0	0.00
Henderson Station Two ⁴	313,340.93	200	123	192,535.92
Green Station ⁵	394.980.85	99	139	555,531.95
Coleman Station ⁶	0.00	0	0	0.00
Wilson Station 7	586.102.08	143	179	734.612.46

February 19, 2016

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

- b. Days Burn = [{ Current Inventory (In Tons) / Preceding 6 Months Burn (In Tons) }] x Duration (In Days)
- c. Big Rivers Electric Corporation's Target Supply is the following range for each Station in days.

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coal Inventory Target Ranges System 30 - 60 Days

Total System	30 – 60 Days
Reid Station	16 – 20 Days
Henderson Station Two	30 – 60 Days
Green	30 – 60 Days
Coleman	0 Days
Wilson	30 – 60 Days

d. The actual inventory for Henderson Station Two exceeds the target range by 140 days. Reduced demand for electricity, and extended outage on Unit 1, followed by the unscheduled outage on Henderson Station Two Unit 2 caused Henderson Station

> Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 5

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

Two to exceed its target range. Henderson Station Two Unit 2 tripped off line due to generator going to ground. The outage repairs to the generator and rotor lasted from July 18, 2015, through December 5, 2015, for a total of 3,335 hours of which 2,543 hours were in the period under review. Big Rivers force majeured tonnage associated with the Sebree Mining, LLC contract as a result of the Unit 2 outage. The actual inventory for Green Station exceeds the target range by 39 days. During the period under review, the two Green units were placed on reserve for 410 hours due to reduced electricity demand and pricing. There was also a planned maintenance outage of 864 hours during this time period, and there were 388 hours of unscheduled outages and 477 hours of scheduled outages. Finally, the Wilson Station exceeds the target range by 83 days. Wilson Station exceeded its target range due to the impact of electricity market conditions and demand. During the review period, Big Rivers continued to receive coal previously procured for Coleman Station. e.

(1) Yes.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 (2) Big Rivers, because of a planned temporary idling of its Reid Station, intends to reduce the coal pile inventory there to zero (0) tons in April, 2016. Further, Big Rivers, as part of its solid fuel procurement plans, has intentionally reduced its solid fuel procurement in order to reclaim coal

> Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 3 of 5

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	and pet coke that is currently held in inventory during the
2	course of 2016. By intentionally reducing its market
3	purchases, the Company is focused on inventory reduction
4	at each generating station. Market conditions will
5	continue to play a significant role in the pace and overall
6	quantity of inventory reduction. In the event 2016 is
7	similar in market pricing and demand, inventory reduction
8	efforts will be hampered.
9	_
10	
11	

.

12

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 4 of 5

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1

Footnotes -

¹ Green Station had a pet coke inventory of 157,453.74 tons as of October 31, 2015. Wilson Station had a pet coke inventory of 206,958.61 tons as of October 31, 2015.

² Number of days' supply is rounded to reflect whole days.

³ Reid Station Unit 1 was in standby reserve 184 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 0 days.

⁴ Henderson Station Two Units 1 and 2 had unscheduled and scheduled maintenance hours of 313.8 hours. Unit 2 had an abnormal unscheduled outage of 2,543 hours that extended beyond the period under review due to grounding of the generator. These hours were equivalent to 59 days. There were also reserve outage hours of 90 hours which was equivalent to two days. The duration of generation was reduced to 123 days.

⁵Green Units 1 and 2 had unscheduled and scheduled maintenance hours of 864.3 hours. These hours were equivalent to 18 days. Green 1 and 2 were placed in standby reserve for 410.5 hours. These hours were equivalent to 9 days. Green 1 had a planned Maintenance Outage which lasted 864.3 hours which was equivalent to 18 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 139 days. ⁶ Coleman Station Units 1, 2 and 3 were placed on Inactive Reserve Status on May 8, 2014, and this totaled 13,248.0 hours which was equivalent to 184 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 0 days. Coleman Station will have no inventory going forward unless it is removed from the Inactive Reserve Status.

⁷Wilson Unit 1 had 116.6 unscheduled maintenance hours. These hours were equivalent to 5 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 179 days.

2

3

4 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

5

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 5 of 5

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 12)	
2		a. State whether Big Rivers has audited any of its coal
3		contracts during the period from May 1, 2015, through
4		October 31, 2015.
5		b. If yes, for each audited contract:
6		(1) Identify the contract;
7		(2) Identify the auditor;
8		(3) State the results of the audit; and
9		(4) Describe the actions that Big Rivers took as a result
10		of the audit.
11		
12	Response)	
13		a. Big Rivers has not audited any of its coal supply agreements
14		during the period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
15		b. $(1) - (4)$
16		Not Applicable.
17		
18		
19	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
20		

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 13)		
2		a.	State whether Big Rivers has received any customer
3			complaints regarding its FAC during the period from May
4			1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
5		b .	If yes, for each complaint, state:
6	•		1. The nature of the complaint; and
7			2. Big Rivers' response.
8			
9	Response)		
10		a.	Big Rivers has received no customer complaints regarding
11			its FAC during the period from May 1, 2015, through October
12			31, 2015.
13		b.	Not Applicable.
14			
15			
16	Witness)	Nicl	nolas R. Castlen
17			

7 ⁻ N

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 13 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

 (\Box)

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 14)		
2		а.	State whether Big Rivers is currently involved in any
3			litigation with its current or former coal suppliers.
4		b .	If yes, for each litigation:
5			(1) Identify the coal supplier;
6			(2) Identify the coal contract involved;
7			(3) State the potential liability or recovery to Big
8			Rivers;
9			(4) List the issues presented; and
10			(5) Provide a copy of the complaint or other legal
11			pleading that initiated the litigation and any
12			answers or counterclaims. If a copy has previously
13			been filed with the Commission, provide the date on
14			which it was filed and the case in which it was filed.
15		с.	State the current status of all litigation with coal
16			suppliers.
17			
18	Response)		
19		a.	No.
20		b.	(1) - (5)
21			Not applicable.
22		c.	Not Applicable.
23			
24	Witness)	Ma	rk W. McAdams
25			

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 15)	
2	a. During the period from May 1, 2015, through October 3	1,
3	2015, have there been any changes to Big Rivers' writte	n
4	policies and procedures regarding its fuel procurement?	
5	b. If yes,	
б	(1) Describe the changes;	
7	(2) Provide the written policies and procedures a	ទេ
8	changed;	
9	(3) State the date(s) the changes were made; and	
10	(4) Explain why the changes were made.	
11	c. If no, provide the date Big Rivers' current fu	el 🛛
12	procurement policies and procedures were last change	ł,
13	when they were last provided to the Commission, an	d
14	identify the proceeding in which they were provided.	
15		
16	Response)	
17	a. Yes. During the period May 1, 2015, to October 31, 2015, B	g
18	Rivers made changes to the following fuel-related policies an	d
19	procedures:	
20	i. Policy No. 111 – Hedge Policy;	
21	ii. Policy No. 120 – Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	;
22	iii. Policy No. 121 – Solid Fuel Inventory Policy.	
23	b. (1) through (4)	
24		
25	<u>Policy No. 111 – Hedge Policy</u>	
	Case No. 2016.	በበለ

•

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 15 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 1 of 4

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	On September 18, 2015, Big Rivers made the following
2	changes —
3	i. General clean-up and formatting changes;
4	ii. Updated various and sundry terminology including
5	changing 'coal' to 'solid fuel' and 'energy' to 'commodity';
6	iii. Collectively defining 'natural gas, solid fuel, fuel oil and
7	purchased power resources' as 'Commodity/Commodities';
8	iv. Adjusted certain percentage ranges and tonnage limits;
9	v. Updated emission allowance section;
10	vi. Clarified resource diversity language;
11	vii. Removed Appendix A which had included out-of-date
12	examples;
13	viii. Removed Appendix B which was no longer applicable.
14	
15	Policy No. 120 – Fuel Procurement Policy and Procedure
16	On August 21, 2015, Big Rivers made the following
17	changes -
18	i. General clean-up and formatting changes;
19	ii. Added references to Policy No. 105 – Energy-Related
20	Transaction Authority;
21	iii. Added references to Policy No. 121 – Solid Fuel Inventory
22	Policy.
23	
24	Policy No. 121 – Solid Fuel Inventory Policy

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 15 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 2 of 4

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	On August 21, 2015, Big Rivers made the following
2	change –
3	i. Added weather, logistics and market conditions as reasons
4	for deviating from solid fuel inventory level ranges.
5	
6	Black-line and red-line copies of Policy Nos. 111, 120, and 121,
7	mentioned in the response to sub-item a., are attached to this
8	response.
9	c. Big Rivers' other fuel procurement policies and procedures were
10	not changed during the period of May 1, 2015, through October
11	31, 2015. In the table below, Big Rivers lists those unchanged
12	policies and procedures, the dates of their last changes, and the
13	proceedings in which Big Rivers provided them to the
14	Commission.
15	
Γ	Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Policies and Procedures for Fuel Procurement							
Number	Name	Date Last Change	Provided In	Date Provided			
105	Energy-Related Transaction Authority Policy	2015-02-20	Case No. 2015-00237	2015-08-28			
122	Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy	2014-08-15	Case No. 2014-00455	2015-02-20			
Appendix A	Energy-Related Transaction Authority Policy	2014-07-21	Case No. 2014-00455	2015-02-20			

16

17

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 15 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 3 of 4

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

- Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and
 Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas).
- 4

1

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 15 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 4 of 4

Policy No. 111 – Hedge Policy

-

- -

·



Policy Number: 111

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	09/18/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	Date Last Revised	09/18/2015	BOARD

1. Objective

The Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") Hedge Policy will guide disciplined hedging of forward natural gas, solid fuel, fuel oil and purchased power resources ("Commodity/Commodities"). This Hedge Policy is designed to reduce Member-Owners' wholesale rate volatility and to maintain rates within desired tolerances. The primary purpose of this policy is to identify specific time and volume (as a percent of total projected generation) criteria for procuring projected Commodity-related needs.

This policy largely employs a price-averaging strategy of declining percentage of Commodity supply positions held over forward time periods. This strategy protects Big Rivers from potential adverse impacts that could result in either significant Commodity price increases or decreases. The strategy also maintains some elements of procurement flexibility. For example, during times of extremely attractive market conditions, this policy allows for increasing the amount of forward Commodities hedged above the stated ranges with the concurrence of the Board of Directors ("Board"). A key component of the policy is a monthly compliance report for the Board, which is outlined herein.

Although this document is primarily concerned with managing Commodity costs and risks, a limited amount of discussion on capacity adequacy, transmission congestion risk, emission allowances and fuel transportation based on similar principles is included.

2. Hedge Policy Criteria

The hedging criteria identified within this policy address the primary Commodity supply portfolio components that affect rates and reliability the most. Accordingly, the hedge criteria in the following sections represent the risk tolerance of Big Rivers and identify the processes Big Rivers will employ to manage these key Commodity supply risks.

a. Volumetric and Lead Time Criteria for Hedging

This policy employs a total Commodity hedging methodology whereby the MWh equivalent of all Commodity hedges are compared to the expected generation of Big Rivers. To apply as a Commodity hedge, fuel purchases must be combined with physical generation ownership or contracted capacity. The MWh equivalent hedge from fuel purchases will be the volume of mmBTUs of fuel procured for the unit or contract divided by the expected average heat rate of the generation unit or contract divided by 1,000. The total Commodity hedge is the MWh equivalent of the sum of fuel purchases and electricity purchases.

Rolling Monthly Hedge Ranges

One criteria of this policy is to have Commodities procured within defined volumetric ranges during the following rolling timeframes. These ranges identify the percentage of Big Rivers' projected total Commodity needs that will be procured with fixed price Commodities over a given time period. For purposes of this policy, Commodity needs are considered hedged or procured to the extent that the projected need is met by 1) authorized purchase transactions, as defined in the Big Rivers Energy Related Transaction Policy, or 2) authorized fuel transactions combined with physical generation unit ownership, heat rate transactions, or physical capacity transactions.

Page 1 of 4



Policy Number: 111

Hedge Policy					
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	09/18/2015	Approved by	
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	Date Last Revised	09/18/2015	BOARD	

Additionally, Commodity option transactions with out of the money strike prices may be used to hedge forward volumes, provided that they do not account for more than 15 percent of the projected Commodity needs in any given month, and they are not more than 50 percent out of the money at the time of the transaction.

Lead Time	Months 1 thru 3	Months 4 thru 12	Quarters 5 thru 8	Quarters 9 thru 12	Years 4 thru 5
Measurement Period	Rolling	Rolling	Rolling	Rolling	Calendar Year
Hedging Frequency	Monthly	Monthly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Annual
Range (%)	80-100	75-100	50-85	25-75	0-50

For the above table, Big Rivers will hedge projected Commodity needs based on the following criteria:

- Lead Time: The amount of time from the current period. Defined in months, quarters, or years.
- <u>Measurement Period</u>: This is the mechanism under which this volumetric range is measured. There are two measures - rolling, where the measurement period changes monthly and calendar year, where the measurement period is only changed at the change of a calendar year. In the case of conflict, the rolling criterion has precedence over calendar year.
- <u>Hedging Frequency</u>: This is primarily useful in conjunction with the rolling measurement period. This is the frequency that the rolling measurement period changes for policy compliance reporting purposes. The hedging frequency period prevents, for example, a situation where the transaction execution staff would have to hedge month 36 (the last month of quarter 12) at a time where monthly purchases are very illiquid (not readily available).
- <u>Range</u>: This is the range of overall Commodity needs that will be hedged with fixed price Commodities, of which up to 15 percent may be covered with options...

Commodity procurements that deviate from the stated range will require approval of the Board, and will be part of the regular reporting to the Board.

Commodity hedging will be a complementary hedging activity since Big Rivers has natural gas and coalfired generation. Big Rivers may also hedge with Commodities if it enters a transaction that uses a natural gas, fuel oil, or coal index price to derive its electricity cost. Such natural gas, fuel oil, and coal-related generation or purchase transactions, while considered capacity, would not be considered Commodity hedges until the projected Commodity volumes are procured. In order to allow flexibility in overall Commodity hedging decisions, specific sub-targets for natural gas hedging are not set within this policy.

Page 2 of 4



Policy Number: 111

		Hedge Policy		
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	09/18/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	Date Last Revised	09/18/2015	BOARD

b. MISO Resource Adequacy Requirements

Big Rivers will comply with all MISO capacity requirement rules.

c. Congestion Hedging with Financial Transmission Rights (FTR)

It will be Big Rivers' policy to actively participate in the MISO FTR allocation and auction process with a prudent and predetermined strategy for addressing the planning year congestion risk.

Big Rivers will target to mitigate a minimum of 50% of congestion risk (measured by annual native load and non-member sales requirements) prior to or by the end of the MISO annual FTR auction.

Big Rivers will target to mitigate a minimum of 70% of congestion risk prior to the month of delivery.

d. Emission Allowance Hedging

This hedging policy calls for the establishment of an emission allowance procurement process to meet expected future requirements. Fixed price hedges for emission allowances include 1) actual or expected emission allowances allocated to Big Rivers from the EPA, 2) fixed price allowance purchases and sales, and 3) projected, budgeted, and Board-approved emission control equipment additions. Big Rivers will price average into its emission allowance position when purchasing emission allowances. Emission allowance needs will be managed similar to its approach for Commodities. Big Rivers will maintain fixed price allowance hedges based on the following table.

Lead Time	Current Calendar Year	Calendar Year Two	Calendar Year Three
Minimum (%)	80	70	60

Per applicable regulatory requirements, Big Rivers will hold enough allowances in all compliance accounts to cover emissions for the current reporting period. Specifically, all compliance accounts shall be populated with sufficient allowances to cover emissions for the current reporting period prior to the accounts being closed by the EPA, which is currently two (2) consecutive calendar months after the end of the reporting period. Furthermore, the Designated Representative will ensure that all compliance accounts have an adequate number of allowances prior to running "Final Compliance True up" after the accounts have been closed by the EPA.

Big Rivers will not buy emission allowances from the market for any given year if it results in emission allowances that exceed 105 percent of its expected needs without prior CEO approval.

e. Resource Diversity Management

Big Rivers will manage its resource diversity concentration risks on a rolling 12-month basis by diversifying its Commodity supply resources as follows:

Page 3 of 4



Policy Number: 111

		Hedge Policy		
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	09/18/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	Date Last Revised	09/18/2015	BOARD

Capacity resources (generation and purchased power contracts) shall not exceed 30 percent concentration from a single resource or supplier. Fuel supply contracts will be diversified such that no more than 40 percent (but not more than 1.5 million tons) will be from a single supplier that has the ability to source coal from multiple coal mining operations to supply Big Rivers for any rolling 12-month period. For fuel suppliers that have the ability to source coal from a single mining operation, no more than 25% (but not more than 1.0 million tons) will be from such supplier to supply Big Rivers for any rolling 12-month period.

Exceptions to these limits shall require approval of Big Rivers' Board.

f. Risk Measurement and Compliance Reporting

Risk measurement and policy compliance within the volumetric and lead time criteria will be provided to Big Rivers' Board monthly. This report will generally cover five years of projections.

Responsibility

It shall be the responsibility of the Board, CEO and IRMC to ensure compliance with this policy. Implementation of this policy shall adhere to the authority granted in the Energy Related Transaction Policy.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	06/15/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board
Rev 1	03/16/2012	Update out-of-date language (joining MISO, old policy reference), general cleanup	Board
Rev 2	09/18/2015	Update terminology (I.E. energy to Commodity, coal to solid fuel, electricity to purchased power), removed Appendix A (out-of-date examples) and Appendix B (no longer applicable), updated emission allowance section to be more concise and clarified resource diversity language.	Board

Page 4 of 4

ELECTRIC CORPORATION			Policy N	lumber: 111	
	н	ledge Policy			RioRi
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	09/18/2015	Approved by	ELECTRIC
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	Date Last Revised	09/18/2015	BOARD	Your Touchstone Ener
1. Objective					Deleted: ¶
The Big Rivers Electric Co	orporation ("Big Riv	vers") Hedge Policy will	guide disciplined	hedging of	Deleted: outlines the
forward natural gas, solid f	fuel, fuel oil and pur	chased power resources	("Commodity/Co	mmodities").	Formatted: Left
This Hedge Policy is desig	ned to reduce Memb	ber-Owners' wholesale	rate volatility and	to maintain rates	Deleted: energy
within desired tolerances. percent of total projected g	The primary purpos	se of this policy is to ide	entify specific time	and volume (as a	Deleted:
percent of total projected g	cheration) criteria it	or procuring projected,	commodity-related	i needs.	Deleted: member
This policy largely employ	s a price-averaging	strategy of declining pe	rcentage of Comm	odity supply	Deleted: %
positions held over forward	d time periods. This	s strategy protects Big R	livers from potenti	al adverse	Deleted: native load
impacts that could result in	either significant,C	ommodity price increas	ses or decreases. T	he strategy also	Deleted: energy
maintains some elements o market conditions, this poli	f procurement flexit	bility. For example, du	ring times of extrem	mely attractive	Deleted: energy
the stated ranges with the c	concurrence of the B	loard of Directors ("Bo	ard") A key comp	nedged above	Deleted: energy
policy is a monthly compli-				onent of the	Deleted: energy
	nilar principles is inc	cluded.		vances and fuel	Deleted: Appendix A
2. <u>Hedge Policy Criteria</u>		cluded.			
2. <u>Hedge Policy Criteria</u> The hedging criteria identif	fied within this polic	cluded.	Commodity supply	portfolio •	Formatted: Left
2. <u>Hedge Policy Criteria</u> The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate	fied within this polic s and reliability the	cy address the primary (most. Accordingly, the	Commodity supply hedge criteria in t	portfolio	
2. <u>Hedge Policy Criteria</u> The hedging criteria identif	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive	cy address the primary (most. Accordingly, the	Commodity supply hedge criteria in t	portfolio	Formatted: Left
2. <u>Hedge Policy Criteria</u> The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk t	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks.	cy address the primary most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the proc	Commodity supply hedge criteria in t	portfolio	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy
2. <u>Hedge Policy Criteria</u> The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk t manage these key <u>Common</u> a. <u>Volumetric and Lead</u>	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J	cluded.	Commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v	portfolio • he following will employ to	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy
2. <u>Hedge Policy Criteria</u> The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk t manage these key <u>Common</u> a. <u>Volumetric and Lead</u> This policy employs a total	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin	cluded.	Commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva	portfolio the following will employ to lent of all	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(
2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commod a. Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are con	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for Commodity hedgin apared to the expect	cluded. cy address the primary most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ig methodology whereb ied generation of Big Ri	Commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all Commodity	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy
2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commod a. Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are con- nedge, fuel purchases must	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin pared to the expect be combined with p	cluded.	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a tership or contracted	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all Commodity cd capacity. The	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: The
2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identific components that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commod a. Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are con- nedge, fuel purchases must MWh equivalent hedge from or contract divided by the e	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for Commodity hedgin apared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases wi expected average hea	cluded. cy address the primary most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri physical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a tership or contracte BTUs of fuel procu unit or contract di	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000.	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left
2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identific components that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commod a. Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are con- nedge, fuel purchases must MWh equivalent hedge from or contract divided by the e The total Commodity hedges	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for Commodity hedgin apared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases wi expected average hea	cluded. cy address the primary most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri physical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a tership or contracte BTUs of fuel procu unit or contract di	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000.	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy
2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identific components that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commod a. Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are con- nedge, fuel purchases must MWh equivalent hedge from or contract divided by the e	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for Commodity hedgin apared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases wi expected average hea	cluded. cy address the primary most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri physical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a tership or contracte BTUs of fuel procu unit or contract di	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000.	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: native load
2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Common a. Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are con nedge, fuel purchases must WWh equivalent hedge from or contract divided by the e The total Commodity hedges purchases.	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin npared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases wi expected average hea e is the MWh equiva	cluded. cy address the primary most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri physical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a tership or contracte BTUs of fuel procu unit or contract di	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000.	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy
2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identific components that affect rate sections represent the risk t manage these key Commod a. Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are con- nedge, fuel purchases must WWh equivalent hedge from or contract divided by the e The total Commodity hedges burchases. <u>Rolling Monthly Hedges</u>	fied within this policies s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin appared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with expected average heat is the MWh equivation (Edge Ranges) policy is to have Co	cluded. cy address the primary (most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri shysical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation alent of the sum of fuel commodities procured wi	Commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a lership or contracte BTUs of fuel proct unit or contract di purchases and elec	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all a Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000. etricity metric ranges	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: native load Deleted: Total energ
 Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commode Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are connedge, fuel purchases must MWh equivalent hedge from or contract divided by the effort contract divided by the ef	fied within this polic s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin npared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with xpected average heat e is the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin npared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin policy is to have Control of the formation of the formation of the formation (Commodity hedgin (Commodity hedgin (Co	cluded. cy address the primary (most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri shysical generation own Il be the volume of mm at rate of the generation alent of the sum of fuel bommodities procured wi s. These ranges identify	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a lership or contracte BTUs of fuel proci- unit or contract di purchases and elec- thin defined volum y the percentage of	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all a Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000. tricity	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri Deleted: Fixed Pri Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: native load Deleted: Total energ Deleted: an energy
 Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commoder Volumetric and Lead This policy employs a total Commodity hedges are connedge, fuel purchases must MWh equivalent hedge from or contract divided by the effort contract divided by the e	fied within this policies s and reliability the colerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin npared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with expected average heat is the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin npared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with expected average heat is the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin modity needs that w	cluded. cy address the primary (most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri shysical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation alent of the sum of fuel commodities procured with s. These ranges identify will be procured with find	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a lership or contracte BTUs of fuel procu unit or contract di purchases and elec	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all a Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000. etricity metric ranges Big Rivers' lities over a given	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: native load Deleted: an energy Deleted: an energy
 Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commoderation in the section represent the risk to manage these key Commoderation is policy employs a total Commodity hedges are connedge, fuel purchases must MWh equivalent hedge from the total Commodity hedge from total Commodity hedg	fied within this policies s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin appared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with expected average heat is the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin appared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with expected average heat is the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin applicy is to have Co g rolling timeframes amodity needs that we apposes of this policy	cluded. cy address the primary (most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri shysical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation alent of the sum of fuel permodities procured with s. These ranges identify will be procured with fin- y, Commodity needs an	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a lership or contracte BTUs of fuel proct unit or contract di purchases and elect thin defined volum the percentage of wed price Commod e considered hedge	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all a Commodity ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000. etricity hetric ranges Big Rivers' lities over a given ed or procured to	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: native load Deleted: Total energ Deleted: an energy Deleted: Deleted: an energy Deleted: energy
 2. Hedge Policy Criteria The hedging criteria identificomponents that affect rate sections represent the risk to manage these key Commoderation in the risk to manage these key Commoderation is policy employs a total Commodity hedges are commodity hedges ar	fied within this policies s and reliability the olerance of Big Rive lity supply risks. Time Criteria for J Commodity hedgin hared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with expected average heat e is the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin hared to the expect be combined with p m fuel purchases with expected average heat is the MWh equivation (Commodity hedgin modity needs that y proses of this policy projected need is met	cluded. cy address the primary (most. Accordingly, the ers and identify the pro- Hedging ag methodology whereby red generation of Big Ri shysical generation own ll be the volume of mm at rate of the generation alent of the sum of fuel commodities procured with s. These ranges identify will be procured with find	commodity supply hedge criteria in t cesses Big Rivers v y the MWh equiva vers. To apply as a tership or contracte BTUs of fuel proc unit or contract di purchases and elect thin defined volum the percentage of ked price, Commod e considered hedge hase transactions, a	portfolio he following will employ to lent of all a <u>Commodity</u> ed capacity. The ured for the unit vided by 1,000. tricity hetric ranges Big Rivers' lities over a given ed or procured to as defined in the	Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: Fixed Pri(Deleted: Energy Deleted: Energy Deleted: The Formatted: Left Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: native load Deleted: Total energ Deleted: an energy Deleted: energy Deleted: energy Deleted: energy

I

1

Page 1 of 4

	t ed: <object><object> ct><object></object></object></object>
P ¶ Hedge	Big Rin ELECTRIC C Your Touchstone Energy' Coop Policy¶
Form	atted: Left
De	leted: power
-	leted: energy

Formatted Table

Policy Number: 111

Approved by

BOARD

09/18/2015

09/18/2015

Additionally, <u>Commodity</u> option transactions with out of the money strike prices may be used to + hedge forward volumes, provided that they do not account for more than 15 percent of the projected <u>Commodity</u> needs in any given month, and they are not more than 50 percent out of the money at the time of the transaction.

Date Last Reviewed

Date Last Revised

Lead Time	Months 1 thru 3	Months 4 thru 12	Quarters 5 thru 8	Quarters 9 thru 12	Years 4 thru 5
Measurement Period	Rolling	Rolling	Rolling	Rolling	Calendar Year
Hedging Frequency	Monthly	Monthly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Annual
Range (%)	80-100	75-100	50-85	25-75	0 -50
8.()	·			T	

Hedge Policy

07/16/2009

06/15/2007

Original Effective Date

Original Approval Date

For the above table, Big Rivers will hedge projected Commodity needs based on the following criteria:

- Lead Time: The amount of time from the current period. Defined in months, quarters, or years.
- <u>Measurement Period</u>: This is the mechanism under which this volumetric range is measured. There are two measures - rolling, where the measurement period changes monthly and calendar year, where the measurement period is only changed at the change of a calendar year. In the case of conflict, the rolling criterion has precedence over calendar year.
- <u>Hedging Frequency</u>: This is primarily useful in conjunction with the rolling measurement period. This is the frequency that the rolling measurement period changes for policy compliance reporting purposes. The hedging frequency period prevents, for example, a situation where the transaction execution staff would have to hedge month 36 (the last month of quarter 12) at a time where monthly purchases are very illiquid (not readily available).
- <u>Range</u>: This is the range of overall <u>Commodity</u> needs that will be hedged with fixed price <u>Commodities</u>, of which up to 15 percent may be covered with options...

Commodity procurements that deviate from the stated range will require approval of the Board, and will be part of the regular reporting to the Board.

Commodity hedging will be a complementary hedging activity since Big Rivers has natural gas and coalfired generation. Big Rivers may also hedge with Commodities if it enters a transaction that uses a natural gas, fuel oil, or coal index price to derive its electricity cost. Such natural gas, fuel oil, and coal-related generation or purchase transactions, while considered capacity, would not be considered Commodity hedges until the projected Commodity volumes are procured. In order to allow flexibility in overall Commodity hedging decisions, specific sub-targets for natural gas hedging are not set within this policy. Deleted: 85 Deleted: 80 Deleted: 60 Deleted: 50 Deleted: 10 Formatted: Left Deleted: energy

Deleted	: energy
Deleted	: energy
Deleted	:
Deleted	: Energy
Fuel Oil, an ¶	Natural Gas, d Coal Hedging¶ coal, fuel oil, and
procures repl	nd because it accement power tion units are
Deleted: n or coal	atural gas, fuel oil
Deleted: en	nergy
Deleted: na oil, and coal	atural gas, fuel
criteria are m total energy	he monthly hedge heasured based on exposure for total proje([6]
Deleted: en	
Deleted: (e.g., [[7]
Deleted: c.	

Page 2 of 4

ELECTRIC CORPORAT	`S ——					<object><object></object></object>
	IION	Hodeo	Dellau	Policy	Number: <u>111</u>	D: D:
Original Effection D		Hedge		1		DIGRI
Original Effective D			ast Reviewed	09/18/2015	Approved by	O ELECTRIC
Original Approval	Date 06/15/2	007 Date L	ast Revised	09/18/2015	BOARD	Your Touchstone Energy* C
b. MISO Resource	e Adequacy Requ	irements			+.	¶ Hedge Policy¶
Big Rivers will comp c. Congestion Hec		10.00		<u>R)</u>	•	Formatted: Left, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c + Start at: 1 + Alignmen Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"
It will be Big Rivers a prudent and predet	policy to actively	participate in	the MISO FTR	allocation and aud	tion process with	Deleted: d.
Big Rivers will targe and non-member sale Big Rivers will targe	es requirements) p	rior to or by the	e end of the MI	SO annual FTR au	action.	Numbering Style: a, b, c + Start at: 1 + Alignmen Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25", Tab stops: 0.25", Left Formatted: Font: Time:
d. Emission Allow	ance Hedging				•	New Roman
					• /	Formatted: Left
This hedging policy of	calls for the establi	shment of an e	mission allowa	nce procurement p	process to meet	Deleted: e.
expected future requi emission allowances and 3) projected, bud price average into its allowance needs will	allocated to Big R geted, and Board- emission allowand be managed simil	ivers from the approved emissi- ce position whe ar to its approa	EPA, 2) fixed p sion control equen purchasing en ch for Common	rice allowance pu ipment additions. mission allowance	rchases and sales, Big Rivers will s. Emission	Formatted: Left, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, + Start at: 1 + Alignmen Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"
fixed price allowance	e hedges based on t	the following ta	able.		1	Formatted: Left
Γ	Lead	Comment	Calvada	C 1	•, '	Deleted: Big Rivers must meet EPA environmen
	Time	Current Calendar	Calendar Year	Calendar Year	``	Deleted: Options with "o of the money" strike pr
	Minimum (%)	Year 80	70	Three 60		Deleted: energy
L	(70)	1 00	170	00		Formatted Table
Per applicable regulat	tory requirements.	Big Rivers wil	I hold enough a	llowances in all c	ompliance	Formatted: Left
accounts to cover emi						

of the reporting period. Furthermore, the Designated Representative will ensure that all compliance accounts have an adequate number of allowances prior to running "Final Compliance True up" after the accounts have been closed by the EPA.

Big Rivers will not buy emission allowances from the market for any given year if it results in emission allowances that exceed 105 percent of its expected needs without prior CEO approval.

e. Resource Diversity Management

Big Rivers will manage its resource diversity concentration risks on a rolling 12-month basis by diversifying its Commodity supply resources as follows:

expected needs without prior CEO approval.	Deleted: Board
	Deleted: f.
	Formatted [10]
ity concentration risks on a rolling 12-month basis by	Formatted: Left
ces as follows:	Deleted: energy

Page 3 of 4

J ELECTRIC CORPORATION			Policy N	umber: <u>111</u>	D' D'
		Hedge Policy			BigRiv
Driginal Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	09/18/2015	Approved by	ELECTRIC CO
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	Date Last Revised	09/18/2015	BOARD	Your Touchstone Energy" Coope
apacity resources (genera om a single resource or su	upplier. Fuel supp	d power contracts) shall r ly contracts will be divers will be from a single supp	sified such that no	more than 40	1 Hedge Policy¶ Deleted: 20

Exceptions to these limits shall require approval of Big Rivers' Board.

f. Risk Measurement and Compliance Reporting

Risk measurement and policy compliance within the volumetric and lead time criteria will be provided to Big Rivers' Board monthly. This report will generally cover five years of projections.

more than 1.0 million tons) will be from such supplier to supply Big Rivers for any rolling 12-month

Responsibility

period.

It shall be the responsibility of the Board, CEO and IRMC to ensure compliance with this policy. Implementation of this policy shall adhere to the authority granted in the Energy Related Transaction Policy.

Number	Data	Netwo			Formatted Table
	Date	Notes	Approved by	*	Deleted: .
Original	06/15/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board	1	Moved (insertion) [1]
Rev. 1	03/16/2012	Update out-of-date language (joining MISO, old policy reference), general cleanup	Board	1	Formatted: Font color: Custom
Rev 2	09/18/2015	Update terminology (I.E. energy to Commodity, coal to solid fuel, electricity to purchased power), removed Appendix A (out-of-date examples) and Appendix B (no longer applicable), updated emission allowance section to be more concise and clarified resource diversity language.	Board		Color(RGB(54,95,145)) Deleted: <u>Appendix A</u> <u>Comprehensive Policy</u> <u>Objectives and</u> <u>Implementation Controls</u> ¶ ¶ Insulate portfolio from near term shocks¶ ¶ <u>Comprehensive Policy</u> <u>Implementation Controls</u> <u>Implementation Controls <u>Implementation Controls <u>Implementation Controls <u>Implementation Cont</u></u></u></u>
a de contrata	and the second second			_ 1/2	Formatted [12
					Deleted: to exceed the ranges. ¶

Page 4 of 4

Deleted: g. . Formatted: Left,

Formatted: Left

Deleted: three

Bold

9

Deleted: ¶

Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"

Deleted: demonstrated on a

regular basis in the ACES portfolio model risk report.

Deleted: with five-year runs performed as needed **Formatted:** Font: Not

Approved by:	Original Effective Date 07/16/2009	Policy Number 111	BOARD POLICY
Board	Original Approval Date06/15/2007Date Last Reviewed08/08/2014Date Last Revised03/16/2012		
Big ELEC Your Touchstone En	TRIC CORPORATION		
Hedge Policy			
Page 1: [2] Delet	the second se		2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
outlines the ener	rgy-related hedging policy (natu	ral gas, coal, and electricity	y) that
Page 1: [3] Delete	a sector with the sector of th		2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
Appendix A inc	ludes a more comprehensive rev	view of the objectives of thi	s policy.
Page 1: [4] Delete	be		2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
Fixed Price En	ergy Policy -		
Page 1: [5] Delete	ed and the second second		2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
Total energy he	dged is the MWh equivalent of	the sum of fuel purchases a	and electricity purchases.
Page 2: [6] Delete	ed	and the provide solution	2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
	dge criteria are measured based and for native load minus energy a		or native load (total projected
age 2: [7] Delete	be		2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
(e.g., economic	ally hedging additional needs vi	a natural gas or coal contra	cts versus power purchases),
age 3: [8] Delete	d de la companya de l		2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
Big Rivers must	meet EPA environmental comp	liance standards.	
age 3: [9] Delete	d	and the second second second	2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
o not account f	ut of the money" strike prices m or more than 15 percent of the p) percent out of the money at the	rojected emission needs in	rd volumes, provided that they
Page 3: [10] Form	atted	Articles States	2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
eft, Numbered ndent at: 0.25"	+ Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a,	b, c, + Start at: 1 + Align	the state of the s

Appendix A—Comprehensive Policy Objectives and Implementation Controls

Insulate portfolio from near term shocks

Spot market wholesale power, coal, natural gas, capacity and emission allowance market volatility can create a financial burden to Big Rivers and, therefore, Big Rivers' objective is to minimize exposure to this short-term volatility. In order to mitigate the financial exposure to short-term price shocks, Big Rivers

should continually reduce its exposure to these markets as a certain time period nears. Upcoming months should be hedged close to 100 percent as the month nears. An upcoming calendar year should be more hedged than out years. This should provide more budget certainty and reduce the likelihood of unsettling cost changes.

Interaction with Rates

Although purchases above the specified hedge ranges are not generally pursued, the flexibility of this hedging strategy allows for rate impact assessments to play an important role. In general, if satisfied with the rate consequence, Big Rivers can buy towards the top of the hedge range or seek approval from the

Page 4: [12] Formatted 2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM

Font color: Custom Color(RGB(54,95,145))

Page 4: [13] Deleted	2/8/2016 2:06:00 PM
	The second s

to exceed the ranges.

During an upcoming 12-month period, a rate driven target to fill and/or a trailing stop can be used to drive residual purchases. Since this time interval allows hedges up to 100 percent (if achievable), a rate objective could be used in this timeframe while imposing little risk of becoming too out of balance with the market as is the case for the out years.

Another key component of the hedging strategy is to understand the impact of various market conditions on Big Rivers' all-in member rates. Ongoing analyses of the relationship between Big Rivers' rates and various market price levels allows recognition of market conditions where additional purchases are warranted to pursue an attractive absolute rate level.

Execution Strategy and Potential Departures

Execution strategies will be developed to implement this hedging policy. Hedges will be entered based on disciplined execution strategies developed to comply with this policy. Execution strategies will include considerations of hedge timing, market price levels, rates and the Big Rivers budget.

Departures above the monthly ranges or for additional years past the stated horizon should only occur when market prices indicate fundamental value. Fundamental value will be determined with a historical view of market prices combined with forward looking fundamental supply and demand dynamics given expected generation diversity. Fundamental value can also address Big Rivers' desire to stabilize a portion of its long-term rates through long-term transactions or asset ownership.

As an example, given current market price dynamics, Big Rivers could consider buying above the hedging ranges and/or for added years if forward power drops below \$28/MWh for an annual 7x24 block. The

execution strategy may specify the magnitude and duration of departure from the stated hedging ranges. It might indicate that at \$25/MWh prices add 15 percent to the range and extend hedging to four years.

Execution strategies are continually in the development stage, but will become an important aspect of the hedging policy. Approved execution strategies will be firmly followed, but may be modified through the IRMC.

--Page Break----

Appendix B – ACES Report on the Big Rivers Portfolio

Purpose – A consistent report to formally communicate risk, hedging activities, and other information to the IRMC and the Board, including:

Projected costs,

Changes in projections compared to the previous report and the budget,

Market changes,

Probability or "certainty" in the projections,

Potential changes in projected costs due to stress events such as drastic forward price changes, Actual hedges compared to hedge policy ranges.

Addendums – Periodically or as necessary the report may contain risk assessments or decision support information for issues such as:

Hedging opportunities outside of policy (e.g., long-term transaction/power plant investments), Longer term portfolio risk assessments.

Policy No. 120 – Fuel Procurement Policy and Procedure

.

- - ·

- .
- ·



Policy Number: 120

Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures Original Effective Date 07/16/2009 Date Last Reviewed 08/21/2015 Approved by Original Approval Date 12/20/2007 Date Last Revised 08/21/2015 BOARD

Policy Purpose

The purpose of the Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures guidelines is to present the principles that govern the procurement of fuel, reagent, and associated transportation. This document is not intended to provide a step-by-step procedural flow, but place an emphasis on procurement policies and a concise overview of appropriate procurement practices. The awarding of Contracts and Purchase Orders will comply with business controls including corporate governance, authority limit matrices, auditing recommendations, and other established practices and limitations.

FUEL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. <u>Definitions</u>:

- 1. "Agreement" means a legally binding document, in which one party agrees to sell and the other agrees to buy fuel, reagent, or transportation services for such, which is executed by both Buyer and Seller.
- 2. "Award Recommendation" means the Company's approval process for the review and approval by Senior Management of a recommended fuel, reagent, or transportation purchase that fall outside the limits established in the Company's granted authority limits.
- 3. "Company" means Big Rivers Electric Corporation.
- 4. "Contract" is an Agreement, Letter Agreement, Purchase Order, or Spot Contract for fuel supply, reagent, or such transportation with certain terms and conditions that describe the business transaction under which the Company procures fuel, reagent, and related transportation.
- 5. "Contract purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or transportation on behalf of the Company under a contract, typically more than one year's duration.
- 6. "Department" means the Company's Fuels Department.
- 7. "Director" means the Company's Director of Fuels.
- 8. "Emergency" means extraordinary conditions affecting fuel production, transportation, or usage, including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other labor problems, embargoes, mining impediments and other problems affecting the production or transportation of fuel, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that could be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel to Company facilities.
- 9. "Environmental standards" mean the legal requirements for compliance with emission levels or other environmental requirements applicable to one or more of the Company's generating units.
- 10. "Fuel" means combustibles purchased by the Company for one or more of its generating stations.

Page 1 of 9



Policy Number: 120

F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

- 11. "VP Production" means the Company's principal individual responsible for power generation and fuel procurement, among other duties.
- 12. "Solicitation" means the process of soliciting bids (written or oral) for the supply of fuel, reagent, and/or related transportation services.
- 13. "Spot Contract" is a type of agreement that may be issued by the Company for the supply of fuel, reagent, or related transportation of such with a term of typically one year or less.
- 14. "Spot Purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or related transportation on behalf of the Company where the terms and conditions are incorporated in the Letter Agreement, Purchase Order or Spot Contract and the term is typically of one year or less.
- 15. "Station" means one of the Company's generating facilities.
- 16. "Supplier" means the seller or counterparty to an agreement who is obligated to comply with and fulfill the agreement's terms and conditions.
- 17. "Unit" means a generating unit at a station.
- 18. "Unit bus bar cost" is the total variable production cost including the maintenance cost associated with burning the fuel.

B. <u>Fuel Procurement Policies</u>:

The Company's fuel procurement policy is to obtain an adequate supply of fuel and reagent of sufficient quality at the most competitive overall evaluated cost on a unit bus bar basis consistent with the Company's obligations to provide adequate and reliable service to its customers, to meet operational and environmental standards, and to meet any other applicable legal requirements. The Company will use its best efforts to secure its fuel and reagent supply at competitive prices through solicitation for such.

Implementation of this policy is of highest priority to the Company. The Fuels Department shall be organized and staffed, and fuel procurement procedures and administration shall be conducted, in an efficient and practical manner consistent with this policy. Fuel, reagent, and related transportation shall be purchased at competitive prices considering all material factors. The factors include but are not limited to: quantity needed to maintain an adequate supply, quality necessary to ensure generating unit operating and maintenance characteristics and environmental standards, reliability of the supplier, creditworthiness, and forward planning to meet projected system requirements, and meeting emergency or other unusual circumstances that might affect operating conditions. From time to time, the Director of Fuels will review the Company's Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures and recommend updates as appropriate.

Page 2 of 9



Policy Number: 120

Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures				
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

C. Organization:

- 1. <u>Department Structure</u>. The Department shall be organized and staffed to effectively administer the Company's fuel procurement function.
- 2. <u>Organizational Responsibility</u>. The VP Production to whom the Director reports, has the responsibility for fuel procurement. The Director is responsible for the Department. Other departments may be called upon by the Department to the extent the Director or VP Production considers advisable in the execution of the functions of the Department.
- 3. <u>Approval Authority (Award Recommendation)</u>. An Award Recommendation will be prepared for all fuel purchases that exceed the term, tenor, or notional amount of authority of the Director of Fuels which is specified in the single transaction authority limits by the Company. The Award Recommendation will be drafted by the Director. Contractual agreements and amendments for procurement shall be reviewed by Fuels legal counsel, and executed by the VP Production within the authority granted by the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy. Greater expenditures shall require the signature of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer when such expenditure is within his trading authority as established by the Board of Directors. These levels of authority may be amended, supplemented, or superseded as dictated by the Company.
- 4. <u>Reports.</u> The Director will instruct the Department to prepare, maintain and distribute reports to management and others as deemed necessary for business operations and regulatory requirements.
- 5. Records. The Department shall maintain the following records:
 - a. <u>Open Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain the following on open status for at least one-year or longer as the contract term or other conditions warrant:
 - 1. For each current contract supplier, the files will contain:
 - a) Contract documents, amendments, purchase orders and escalation documentation;
 - b) General correspondence;
 - c) Invoices and invoice verification data;
 - d) Delivery records and quality analyses data;
 - e) Inspection reports and other data.
 - 2. A record of transportation equipment owned or leased by the Company (as applicable).
 - 3. A list containing current suppliers and known potential Suppliers of fuel.
 - b. <u>Closed Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain its files according to the Company's record retention plan.

Page 3 of 9


BOARD POLICY

Policy Number: 120

F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

6. General Administrative Duties.

The Department shall subscribe to and have membership in appropriate trade and industry publications and/or associations, to include reports of governmental or consulting agencies concerning fuel, reagent, and related transportation market information, to include fuel prices and/or projections. Department personnel shall use their best efforts to keep current with fuel market conditions, prices and availability, and other developments relating to fuel procurement.

D. <u>Fuel Supply Procedures</u>:

- 1. <u>Projections.</u> In conjunction with other departments of the Company, the Department shall prepare annually a projection of fuel usage and cost at each Station for the number of years required for use in the Company's planning process.
- 2. <u>Contract/Spot Mix.</u> Subject to the approval of the VP Production, the Director shall determine whether a contract purchase is advisable, considering the following factors: (a) the availability of adequate supplies from qualified suppliers, (b) the advisability or need to have an adequate supply committed for an existing or planned unit (subject to inventory limits specified by the Company), (c) the desire to maintain practical flexibility as to market conditions and other factors affecting price and availability, (d) existing and anticipated environmental standards, (e) such other factors as may reasonably affect the implementation of the Company's Fuel Procurement Policy and (f) fuel impact on generation facilities' operation and maintenance.
- 3. <u>Current Requirements.</u> The Department shall review and analyze the data available to the Department for purposes of conducting fuel and reagent purchases in a timely manner to meet the requirements of the Company.
- 4. <u>Supplier Qualifications.</u> The Company shall select potential suppliers on the basis of evaluation, market intelligence, performance information (as available), industry research, and creditworthiness, as determined by the Director and his staff. No potential qualified supplier shall be preferred or discriminated against because of race, religion, color, sex, age or marital status of the supplier or any of its representatives.

A supplier evaluation (to include site visit and mine engineering and/or performance report) may be performed to determine if a supplier has the ability to deliver in the time frame requested the quantity and quality of coal or reagent bid at the offered price.

5. Solicitations. The Department shall maintain a current list of Suppliers and shall review that list from time to time to ensure that it remains current. Normally, the Company shall purchase its fuel and reagent through sealed bid solicitations; however, the Company reserves the right to utilize its market intelligence to seize opportunity purchases of fuels and reagent, request oral, written, or electronic offers, potentially followed by negotiations, when in its judgment market conditions provide an opportunity to obtain fuel or reagent more advantageously than through mailed bid solicitations and usual procedures. When the Company foregoes the solicitation process, documentation shall be appended to the resulting purchase order file describing the conditions.

Page 4 of 9

Big Rivers ELECTRIC CORPORATION			
	Fuel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	Policy Number: <u>120</u> ocedures
Original Effective Date	07/16/2000	Data Last Data 1	

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

A notice of a request for quotation ("RFQ") shall be provided to normal industry newsletters and information postings. The normal solicitation process shall require that potential suppliers be notified in writing as to the general quantities, terms and quality specifications required. An RFQ number will be assigned to for the quotation package. An RFQ will include: instructions to bidders (date and time due); scope of supply (quantity and quality); potential term; standard terms and conditions of typical agreements.

Offers from potential suppliers shall be returned by the requested date and time or they will be rejected. A bid log shall be kept for logging in receipt of bid offers. Attendees viewing the opening of the bid shall initial the bid document as opened and the log as at the completion of the opening. Offers shall be opened and logged in the presence of the VP Production and Director of Fuel or their representative in their absence, and another selected representative outside of the Fuels Department.

All appropriate bid data shall be documented and electronically categorized for the process of evaluation of the various offerings of fuel and reagent. The documents shall be maintained in a secured area and shall be kept pursuant to normal record keeping practices.

<u>Contract Awards.</u> The Department shall review and analyze each Contract offer. The Director, or his/her representative, may engage in preliminary negotiations to determine which offers warrant further consideration. The Director and/or representative shall investigate the potential supplier and proposed source of supply; and, as to any offer for fuel, the Department shall verify the adequacy of the proposed source of supply as to quantity, quality, and timely deliverability.

The evaluation shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the response to the RFQ (items required by the RFQ for satisfactory operational, environmental, and economic criteria); diversity of supply; supplier credit assessment; transportation mode and cost; and diversity of suppliers to provide the lowest evaluated cost of electrical energy to the Unit bus bar over the long term.

From this initial evaluation, a select group of potential suppliers (a "short-list") of suppliers shall be developed for more in-depth evaluation. The Department may then engage in preliminary discussions to ensure that the offer warrants further evaluation and consideration. The objective of the negotiating discussions is to ensure that the Company achieves balanced terms and conditions and the lowest evaluated electrical energy delivered to the Unit bus bar and reliable supply consistent with other qualifiers related to supplier reliability, environmental restraints, transportation options, etc.

The recommended Supplier(s) shall be selected by the negotiating team based upon the evaluation criteria and the results of the negotiating discussions. The Department shall prepare a detailed Award Recommendation for approval. The Award Recommendation shall document the selection criteria and pertinent factors, and in circumstances where more than one company is selected, the recommendation shall describe the tonnage requirements and other responsibilities of each of the other recommended Suppliers.

Page 5 of 9

6.



Fuel Due

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number: 120

F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

All contracts for which the term, tenor or notional amount exceed the limits specified for the Director of Fuels must be approved and signed by individual(s) authorized per the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy.

- 7. <u>Spot Purchases</u>. Spot purchases may be made by the Company whenever considered advisable by the Director in furtherance of the Company's fuel and reagent needs, subject to the limit of authority as outlined by the Company.
- 8. <u>Documentation</u>. Contracts shall be signed by a duly appointed officer of the Supplier and an Officer of the Company. A purchase order may be issued for a spot purchase. A purchase order shall contain all terms of that purchase. Further, the Department shall maintain documentation of the final list (log) of bidders, a copy of the entire bid package; bidder's responses; and the bid evaluation summary used for decision support.
- 9. <u>Fuel Oil</u>. Fuel Supply Procedures principally address procurement of solid fuel. Fuel oil is procured on an "as-needed" basis due to the infrequency of use of this fuel and the nature of the oil markets. When the need for oil arises, the Fuels Department shall act to solicit vendors for offers. Orders are assigned on the basis of lowest delivered cost per gallon and ability to fill the order. Solicitation results shall be documented and purchase orders issued in the Fuels Department for those purchases initiated and completed by the Department.

E. <u>Fuel Supply and Reagent Agreement Administration</u>:

- 1. <u>Compliance.</u> The Department shall review and analyze daily business and operational reports to properly administer all fuel and transportation agreements.
- 2. <u>Coal weights.</u> Coal weights shall be obtained by either the Company or by Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal weight is obtained by scale or draft method, depending upon Company site or methodology employed by Supplier to ascertain weights. In either event, coal weights are obtained by industry-accepted standards, and in cases where scales are utilized, are duly tested and maintained in proper order for such purpose. In cases where draft weights are utilized, the Company employs processes to verify actions to obtain draft weights and that such measures are by industry-accepted standards. Coal quantity is obtained by Station personnel and reported through the fuels information system or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 3. <u>Coal sampling.</u> Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed by either the Company or the Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed according to procedures adopted by the Company's laboratory in accordance with A.S.T.M. standards for coal sampling, coal sample preparation, coal sample identification, handling of sample, and coal analysis. Coal quality is assessed and reported through the fuels information system by the Company's laboratory personnel or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.

Page 6 of 9

Big Rivers	BOARD POLICY
ELECTRIC CORPORATION	Policy Number: 120
Fuel Procurement F	Policies and Procedures

and the second	activited	ent Foncies and Fit	ceuules	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

- 4. <u>Amendments.</u> A contract shall not be materially amended except after analysis by the Department and recommendation of the Director of Fuels or the VP Production. No material contract or purchase order addendum shall be made except upon legal review of such amendment, recommendation of the Director and the approval of the VP Production pursuant to the limits of the Company stated in Board Policy 105 Energy Related Transaction Authority. Amendments to contracts that were originally approved by the Board shall also be approved by the Board.
- 5. <u>Contract Administration.</u> The Director and the Department shall remain informed as to the terms and conditions of each current contract, and maintain the necessary data to administer the contracts. Every supplier request for a change in terms, conditions, or prices must be written and supported by adequate data in conformity with the contract. Each such request shall be analyzed by the Department against the contract provisions, and reported with recommendations to the Director. After review by the Director, the supplier request and Department's recommendations shall be approved as required by the Company. If any request is not approved in whole or in part, the Director shall advise the supplier, specifying the Company's objections with an adequate explanation. If the supplier's request is not approved, negotiation between the supplier and Company as dictated by contract terms shall be the primary method of resolving the issue.
- 6. <u>Force Majeure.</u> A supplier's claim for relief from compliance with fuel supply agreement terms due to force majeure conditions must be in writing with an adequate description of conditions warranting nonperformance. Each force majeure claim shall be reviewed by the Director and the company's legal counsel.
- 7. <u>Inspections.</u> The Director shall request inspections of mining and other facilities of a contract fuel and/or reagent supplier or other facilities as required or deemed necessary to manage the performance and contractual relationship (Contract Administration).

F. Fuel and Reagent Supply Agreement Enforcement:

- 1. <u>General Enforcement Policy.</u> Supplier obligations under Fuel or Reagent Supply Agreements shall be enforced by the Company in a reasonable, fair, and practical manner to achieve supplier compliance with the Company's overall procurement policy and the continuing supply of fuel to meet current and anticipated system requirements.
- 2. <u>Director Responsibility</u>. Whenever it is determined that a shipment does not meet Fuel Supply or Reagent Agreement terms, the Director, or his/her designee, shall inform the supplier and direct that subsequent shipments be in compliance. When necessary the VP Production and the Director may determine, or receive advice, as to further action needed to assure fuel or reagent supply agreement compliance.
- 3. <u>Legal Assistance</u>. The Department shall have access to, and shall receive advice from, legal counsel as provided by the Company on any matter relating to fuel, reagent, and related transportation procurement, contracts and amendments thereto, administration, and enforcement. Should a dispute as to a supplier's performance fail to be satisfactorily resolved by the Director, the matter shall be referred to legal counsel. Legal counsel may consider further negotiation,

Page 7 of 9



Policy Number: <u>120</u>

F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

arbitration (if provided by the contract), or litigation. No arbitration or litigation shall commence except on the advice of said counsel with approval by senior management.

G. Inventory Levels:

The Company has an obligation to ensure continuous low cost, reliable service to its Member-Owners. Decisions affecting fuel inventory shall consider these obligations.

The Company shall maintain an adequate inventory while allowing for enough flexibility to permit inventory levels to be responsive to known and anticipated changes in conditions in an attempt to avoid risks and stoppages due to unforeseen conditions. Inventory shall be recommended based upon, but not limited to, supplier performance, environmental conditions, labor matters, logistical issues and concerns, and generation requirements and dispatch. The general level of inventory shall be monitored for such matters and recommendations to adjust inventory to meet anticipated conditions shall be made from time to time. Such inventory recommendations shall be made by the Director for approval by the Senior Management of the Company and shall be in accordance with or modify concurrently Board Policy 121 – Solid Fuel Inventory.

Solid fuel inventories and reagent shall be monitored and reported regularly via the Company's fuel information system(s).

H. <u>Emergency Procurement</u>:

Any one or more of the procedures described herein may be waived by the VP Production, when, in the informed judgment of the Director, and on his recommendation, fuel must be purchased without complying with one or more of such provisions due to extraordinary conditions including strikes, lockouts or other labor problems affecting fuel production, embargoes, mining or other problems affecting production or transportation, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that can be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel and reagent to the Company from its existing suppliers. When such a purchase is made, documentation of circumstances will be appended to the purchase order and/or contract file.

I. <u>Transportation Services Contracts</u>:

Transportation services bids shall be requested and Contracts negotiated whenever appropriate. Consideration shall be given to plant requirements, supplier loading capabilities, relative location of supplier to Stations, transportation mix, unloading capabilities and capacities at Stations, logistic constraints, transportation provider economics, Station material handling economics, and any other factor which might affect the delivery of Fuel and reagent to the Company's Stations.

Unless otherwise dictated by Emergency situations, the Solicitation process will be utilized for transportation services. The selection of transportation provider will generally be based upon, but not necessarily limited to cost, reliability, insurance, past / current performance, container availability

Page 8 of 9



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures Original Effective Date 07/16/2009 Date Last Reviewed 08/21/2015 Approved by Original Approval Date 12/20/2007 Date Last Revised 08/21/2015 BOARD

and suitability for purpose, material handling capacities and constraints, transportation mix, and any other mitigating factors in terms of logistics.

All transportation service agreements shall be in written contractual form duly executed by an authorized supplier of service and the Company.

J. <u>Ethics and Conduct</u>:

The Company recognizes the importance of following appropriate business ethics to guide the conduct of the Fuels Department in the performance of its duties and responsibilities. Fuels staff shall adhere to the ethical standards and policies of the Company including, but not limited to, the Business Ethics Policy and the Conflicts of Interest Policy for Big Rivers' Employees. Also, each contractual document shall denote that the contract was prepared and executed in ethical dealing.

Fuels staff shall endeavor to serve the best interests of the Company, its Members, and stakeholders in the performance of their duties and responsibilities.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	12/21/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board
Rev 1	03/16/2012	Update out of date language and staffing changes	Board
Rev 2	03/14/2013	Update due to title change	
Rev 3	03/21/2014	Add date last reviewed line, section header and change "mmBTU" to "gallon"	Board
Rev 4	09/19/2014	Change Chief Operating Officer to VP Production	Board
Rev 5	08/21/2015	Add reference to Board Policy 105 – Energy Related Transaction Authority and Board Policy 120 – Solid Fuel Inventory; general clean up	Board

Page 9 of 9

PRivers			BOAR	D POLICY
DELECTRIC CORPORATION			Policy N	lumber: <u>120</u>
F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

Policy Purpose

The purpose of the Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures guidelines is to present the principles that govern the procurement of fuel, reagent, and associated transportation. This document is not intended to provide a step-by-step procedural flow, but place an emphasis on procurement policies and a concise overview of appropriate procurement practices. The awarding of Contracts and Purchase Orders will comply with business controls including corporate governance, authority limit matrices, auditing recommendations, and other established practices and limitations.

FUEL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. Definitions:

- 1. "Agreement" means a legally binding document, in which one party agrees to sell and the other agrees to buy fuel, reagent, or transportation services for such, which is executed by both Buyer and Seller.
- "Award Recommendation" means the Company's approval process for the review and approval by Senior Management of a recommended fuel, reagent, or transportation purchase that fall outside the limits established in the Company's granted authority limits.
- 3. "Company" means Big Rivers Electric Corporation.
- 4. "Contract" is an Agreement, Letter Agreement, Purchase Order, or Spot Contract for fuel supply, reagent, or such transportation with certain terms and conditions that describe the business transaction under which the Company procures fuel, reagent, and related transportation.
- 5. "Contract purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or transportation on behalf of the Company under a contract, typically more than one year's duration.
- 6. "Department" means the Company's Fuels Department.
- 7. "Director" means the Company's Director of Fuels.
- 8. "Emergency" means extraordinary conditions affecting fuel production, transportation, or usage, including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other labor problems, embargoes, mining impediments and other problems affecting the production or transportation of fuel, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that could be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel to Company facilities.
- "Environmental standards" mean the legal requirements for compliance with emission levels or other environmental requirements applicable to one or more of the Company's generating units.
- 10. "Fuel" means combustibles purchased by the Company for one or more of its generating stations.

Page 1 of 9

Deleted: <object><object>

Fuel Procurement Policies and

<object><object>

Procedures

Rivers -					Deleted: <object><object> <object><object></object></object></object></object>
	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro		lumber: <u>120</u>	Big River ELECTRIC CORPORATI
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by	Your Touchetone Energy" Cooperative
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD	1
1. "VP Production"	means the Compar	ny's principal individual r	esponsible for nov	ver generation	Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures¶ ¶

- 11. and fuel procurement, among other duties.
- "Solicitation" means the process of soliciting bids (written or oral) for the supply of fuel, reagent, 12. and/or related transportation services.
- "Spot Contract" is a type of agreement that may be issued by the Company for the supply of fuel, 13. reagent, or related transportation of such with a term of typically one year or less.
- 14. "Spot Purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or related transportation on behalf of the Company where the terms and conditions are incorporated in the Letter Agreement, Purchase Order or Spot Contract and the term is typically of one year or less.
- 15. "Station" means one of the Company's generating facilities.
- 16. "Supplier" means the seller or counterparty to an agreement who is obligated to comply with and fulfill the agreement's terms and conditions.
- 17. "Unit" means a generating unit at a station.
- 18. "Unit bus bar cost" is the total variable production cost including the maintenance cost associated with burning the fuel.

B. Fuel Procurement Policies:

The Company's fuel procurement policy is to obtain an adequate supply of fuel and reagent of sufficient quality at the most competitive overall evaluated cost on a unit bus bar basis consistent with the Company's obligations to provide adequate and reliable service to its customers, to meet operational and environmental standards, and to meet any other applicable legal requirements. The Company will use its best efforts to secure its fuel and reagent supply at competitive prices through solicitation for such.

Implementation of this policy is of highest priority to the Company. The Fuels Department shall be organized and staffed, and fuel procurement procedures and administration shall be conducted, in an efficient and practical manner consistent with this policy. Fuel, reagent, and related transportation shall be purchased at competitive prices considering all material factors. The factors include but are not limited to: quantity needed to maintain an adequate supply, quality necessary to ensure generating unit operating and maintenance characteristics and environmental standards, reliability of the supplier, creditworthiness, and forward planning to meet projected system requirements, and meeting emergency or other unusual circumstances that might affect operating conditions. From time to time, the Director of Fuels will review the Company's Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures and recommend updates as appropriate.

Page 2 of 9

J ELECTRIC	CORPORATION			Policy N	umber: <u>120</u>	D:D:
	F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures		BIGKIVE
Original Ef	fective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by	Your Touchetone Energy Cooperative
Original Ap	proval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD	¶ Fuel Procurement Policies an
the constant	Company's fuel anizational Res onsibility for fu artments may be siders advisable	procurement fun ponsibility. The V lel procurement. The called upon by t in the execution	7P Production to whom the Director is responsible to the Department to the extension of the functions of the Department to the Department	te Director reports, e for the Departme ent the Director or partment.	, has the ent. Other VP Production	
all f Fuel	uel purchases th s which is spec commendation w urement shall b	nat exceed the tern ified in the single will be drafted by to be reviewed by Fu	nendation). An Award Ro n, tenor, or notional amou transaction authority lim the Director, Contractual els legal counsel, and exe	ant of authority of its by the Company agreements and are cuted by the VP P	the Director of y. The Award mendments for roduction within	Deleted: ,
Reco proc the a shall expe	require the sig	nature of the Con in his trading auth	Related Transaction Authonpany's President and Ch ority as established by th mented, or superseded as	ief Executive Office e Board of Directo	cer when such ors. These levels	Deleted: and

- 5. Records. The Department shall maintain the following records:
 - a. <u>Open Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain the following on open status for at least one-year or longer as the contract term or other conditions warrant:
 1. For each current contract supplier, the files will contain:
 - a) Contract documents, amendments, purchase orders and escalation documentation;
 - b) General correspondence;

- c) Invoices and invoice verification data;
- d) Delivery records and quality analyses data;
- e) Inspection reports and other data.
- 2. A record of transportation equipment owned or leased by the Company (as applicable).
- 3. A list containing current suppliers and known potential Suppliers of fuel.
- b. <u>Closed Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain its files according to the Company's record retention plan.

Page 3 of 9

g Rivers				D POLICY
F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

6. General Administrative Duties.

The Department shall subscribe to and have membership in appropriate trade and industry publications and/or associations, to include reports of governmental or consulting agencies concerning fuel, reagent, and related transportation market information, to include fuel prices and/or projections. Department personnel shall use their best efforts to keep current with fuel market conditions, prices and availability, and other developments relating to fuel procurement.

D. Fuel Supply Procedures:

- <u>Projections.</u> In conjunction with other departments of the Company, the Department shall prepare annually a projection of fuel usage and cost at each Station for the number of years required for use in the Company's planning process.
- 2. <u>Contract/Spot Mix.</u> Subject to the approval of the VP Production, the Director shall determine whether a contract purchase is advisable, considering the following factors: (a) the availability of adequate supplies from qualified suppliers, (b) the advisability or need to have an adequate supply committed for an existing or planned unit (subject to inventory limits specified by the Company), (c) the desire to maintain practical flexibility as to market conditions and other factors affecting price and availability, (d) existing and anticipated environmental standards, (e) such other factors as may reasonably affect the implementation of the Company's Fuel Procurement Policy and (f) fuel impact on generation facilities' operation and maintenance.
- 3. <u>Current Requirements.</u> The Department shall review and analyze the data available to the Department for purposes of conducting fuel and reagent purchases in a timely manner to meet the requirements of the Company.
- 4. <u>Supplier Qualifications.</u> The Company shall select potential suppliers on the basis of evaluation, market intelligence, performance information (as available), industry research, and creditworthiness, as determined by the Director and his staff. No potential qualified supplier shall be preferred or discriminated against because of race, religion, color, sex, age or marital status of the supplier or any of its representatives.

A supplier evaluation (to include site visit and mine engineering and/or performance report) may be performed to determine if a supplier has the ability to deliver in the time frame requested the quantity and quality of coal or reagent bid at the offered price.

5. Solicitations. The Department shall maintain a current list of Suppliers and shall review that list from time to time to ensure that it remains current. Normally, the Company shall purchase its fuel and reagent through sealed bid solicitations; however, the Company reserves the right to utilize its market intelligence to seize opportunity purchases of fuels and reagent, request oral, written, or electronic offers, potentially followed by negotiations, when in its judgment market conditions provide an opportunity to obtain fuel or reagent more advantageously than through mailed bid solicitations and usual procedures. When the Company foregoes the solicitation process, documentation shall be appended to the resulting purchase order file describing the conditions.

Page 4 of 9

Deleted: <object><object>

Fuel Procurement Policie Procedures¶

1

Deleted: ¶

				D POLICY	Deleted: <object><object> <object><object></object></object></object></object>
F	uel Procurem	Big River			
	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by	Your Touchetone Energy Cooperative
	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD	1
					Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures¶

Deleted: 1

A notice of a request for quotation ("RFQ") shall be provided to normal industry newsletters and information postings. The normal solicitation process shall require that potential suppliers be notified in writing as to the general quantities, terms and quality specifications required. An RFQ number will be assigned to for the quotation package. An RFQ will include: instructions to bidders (date and time due); scope of supply (quantity and quality); potential term; standard terms and conditions of typical agreements.

Original Effective Date Original Approval Date

6.

Offers from potential suppliers shall be returned by the requested date and time or they will be rejected. A bid log shall be kept for logging in receipt of bid offers. Attendees viewing the opening of the bid shall initial the bid document as opened and the log as at the completion of the opening. Offers shall be opened and logged in the presence of the VP Production and Director of Fuel or their representative in their absence, and another selected representative outside of the Fuels Department.

All appropriate bid data shall be documented and electronically categorized for the process of evaluation of the various offerings of fuel and reagent. The documents shall be maintained in a secured area and shall be kept pursuant to normal record keeping practices.

<u>Contract Awards.</u> The Department shall review and analyze each Contract offer. The Director, or his/her representative, may engage in preliminary negotiations to determine which offers warrant further consideration. The Director and/or representative shall investigate the potential supplier and proposed source of supply; and, as to any offer for fuel, the Department shall verify the adequacy of the proposed source of supply as to quantity, quality, and timely deliverability.

The evaluation shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the response to the RFQ (items required by the RFQ for satisfactory operational, environmental, and economic criteria); diversity of supply; supplier credit assessment; transportation mode and cost; and diversity of suppliers to provide the lowest evaluated cost of electrical energy to the Unit bus bar over the long term.

From this initial evaluation, a select group of potential suppliers (a "short-list") of suppliers shall be developed for more in-depth evaluation. The Department may then engage in preliminary discussions to ensure that the offer warrants further evaluation and consideration. The objective of the negotiating discussions is to ensure that the Company achieves balanced terms and conditions and the lowest evaluated electrical energy delivered to the Unit bus bar and reliable supply consistent with other qualifiers related to supplier reliability, environmental restraints, transportation options, etc.

The recommended Supplier(s) shall be selected by the negotiating team based upon the evaluation criteria and the results of the negotiating discussions. The Department shall prepare a detailed Award Recommendation for approval. The Award Recommendation shall document the selection criteria and pertinent factors, and in circumstances where more than one company is selected, the recommendation shall describe the tonnage requirements and other responsibilities of each of the other recommended Suppliers.

Page 5 of 9

BELECTRIC CORPORATION			DUAR	DPULICY
DELECTRIC CORPORATION			Policy N	lumber: <u>120</u>
F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures	
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

All contracts for which the term, tenor or notional amount exceed the limits specified for the Director of Fuels must be approved and signed by individual(s) authorized per the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy.

- Spot Purchases. Spot purchases may be made by the Company whenever considered advisable by the Director in furtherance of the Company's fuel and reagent needs, subject to the limit of authority as outlined by the Company.
- 8. <u>Documentation</u>. Contracts shall be signed by a duly appointed officer of the Supplier and an Officer of the Company. A purchase order may be issued for a spot purchase. A purchase order shall contain all terms of that purchase. Further, the Department shall maintain documentation of the final list (log) of bidders, a copy of the entire bid package; bidder's responses; and the bid evaluation summary used for decision support.
- 9. <u>Fuel Oil</u>. Fuel Supply Procedures principally address procurement of solid fuel. Fuel oil is procured on an "as-needed" basis due to the infrequency of use of this fuel and the nature of the oil markets. When the need for oil arises, the Fuels Department shall act to solicit vendors for offers. Orders are assigned on the basis of lowest delivered cost per gallon and ability to fill the order. Solicitation results shall be documented and purchase orders issued in the Fuels Department for those purchases initiated and completed by the Department.

E. Fuel Supply and Reagent Agreement Administration:

- 1. <u>Compliance.</u> The Department shall review and analyze daily business and operational reports to properly administer all fuel and transportation agreements.
- 2. <u>Coal weights.</u> Coal weights shall be obtained by either the Company or by Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal weight is obtained by scale or draft method, depending upon Company site or methodology employed by Supplier to ascertain weights. In either event, coal weights are obtained by industry-accepted standards, and in cases where scales are utilized, are duly tested and maintained in proper order for such purpose. In cases where draft weights are utilized, the Company employs processes to verify actions to obtain draft weights and that such measures are by industry-accepted standards. Coal quantity is obtained by Station personnel and reported through the fuels information system or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 3. <u>Coal sampling.</u> Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed by either the Company or the Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed according to procedures adopted by the Company's laboratory in accordance with A.S.T.M. standards for coal sampling, coal sample preparation, coal sample identification, handling of sample, and coal analysis. Coal quality is assessed and reported through the fuels information system by the Company's laboratory personnel or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.

Page 6 of 9

Deleted: <object><object>

Fuel Procurement Policies and

cobject><object>

Procedures¶

POADD DOLLOV

gRivers -					Deleted: <object><object> <object><object></object></object></object></object>
ELECTRIC CORPORATION				lumber: <u>120</u>	DimDing
F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures		BigRiver
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by	Your Touchetone Energy" Cooperative
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007 Date	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD	¶ Fuel Procurement Policies and
purchase order add recommendation of the Company stated	endum shall be m f the Director and d in Board Policy	of Fuels or the VP Produ ade except upon legal rev the approval of the VP P 105 – Energy Related Tr	view of such amen roduction pursuan ansaction Authorit	dment, t to the limits of y. Amendments	Deleted: subject to
		roved by the Board shall a or and the Department sh			
	the second se	act and maintain the nece			

- and conditions of each current contract, and maintain the necessary data to administer the contracts. Every supplier request for a change in terms, conditions, or prices must be written and supported by adequate data in conformity with the contract. Each such request shall be analyzed by the Department against the contract provisions, and reported with recommendations to the Director. After review by the Director, the supplier request and Department's recommendations shall be approved as required by the Company. If any request is not approved in whole or in part, the Director shall advise the supplier, specifying the Company's objections with an adequate explanation. If the supplier's request is not approved, negotiation between the supplier and Company as dictated by contract terms shall be the primary method of resolving the issue.
- 6. <u>Force Majeure</u>. A supplier's claim for relief from compliance with fuel supply agreement terms due to force majeure conditions must be in writing with an adequate description of conditions warranting nonperformance. Each force majeure claim shall be reviewed by the Director and the company's legal counsel.
- <u>Inspections.</u> The Director shall request inspections of mining and other facilities of a contract fuel and/or reagent supplier or other facilities as required or deemed necessary to manage the performance and contractual relationship (Contract Administration).

F. Fuel and Reagent Supply Agreement Enforcement:

B

- 1. <u>General Enforcement Policy.</u> Supplier obligations under Fuel or Reagent Supply Agreements shall be enforced by the Company in a reasonable, fair, and practical manner to achieve supplier compliance with the Company's overall procurement policy and the continuing supply of fuel to meet current and anticipated system requirements.
- <u>Director Responsibility</u>. Whenever it is determined that a shipment does not meet Fuel Supply or Reagent Agreement terms, the Director, or his/her designee, shall inform the supplier and direct that subsequent shipments be in compliance. When necessary the VP Production and the Director may determine, or receive advice, as to further action needed to assure fuel or reagent supply agreement compliance.
- 3. <u>Legal Assistance.</u> The Department shall have access to, and shall receive advice from, legal counsel as provided by the Company on any matter relating to fuel, reagent, and related transportation procurement, contracts and amendments thereto, administration, and enforcement. Should a dispute as to a supplier's performance fail to be satisfactorily resolved by the Director, the matter shall be referred to legal counsel. Legal counsel may consider further negotiation,

Page 7 of 9

S.	LECTRIC CORPORATION				D POLICY	<pre> <object><object> D: co D: co dialog </object></object></pre>
	F	uel Procurem	ent Policies and Pro	ocedures		DIG RIVE
Orig	inal Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by	Your Touchstone Energy Coopensitive
Orig	inal Approval Date	12/20/2007 Date Last	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD	¶ Fuel Procurement Policies and
	except on the advic	ce of said counsel	ct), or litigation. No arbit with approval by senior r		shall commence	Procedures¶ ¶
G.		ce of said counsel			shall commence	1
G.	except on the advice Inventory Levels: The Company has	e of said counsel		management. t, reliable service t		1 Deleted: members.

recommendations shall be made by the Director for approval by the Senior Management of the Company and shall be in accordance with or modify concurrently Board Policy 121 - Solid Fuel

Deleted: Coal

Deleted: ¶

H. **Emergency Procurement:**

fuel information system(s).

Inventory.

Any one or more of the procedures described herein may be waived by the VP Production, when, in the informed judgment of the Director, and on his recommendation, fuel must be purchased without complying with one or more of such provisions due to extraordinary conditions including strikes, lockouts or other labor problems affecting fuel production, embargoes, mining or other problems affecting production or transportation, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that can be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel and reagent to the Company from its existing suppliers. When such a purchase is made, documentation of circumstances will be appended to the purchase order and/or contract file.

labor matters, logistical issues and concerns, and generation requirements and dispatch. The general level of inventory shall be monitored for such matters and recommendations to adjust

Solid fuel inventories and reagent shall be monitored and reported regularly via the Company's

inventory to meet anticipated conditions shall be made from time to time. Such inventory

I. **Transportation Services Contracts:**

Transportation services bids shall be requested and Contracts negotiated whenever appropriate. Consideration shall be given to plant requirements, supplier loading capabilities, relative location of supplier to Stations, transportation mix, unloading capabilities and capacities at Stations, logistic constraints, transportation provider economics, Station material handling economics, and any other factor which might affect the delivery of Fuel and reagent to the Company's Stations.

Unless otherwise dictated by Emergency situations, the Solicitation process will be utilized for transportation services. The selection of transportation provider will generally be based upon, but not necessarily limited to cost, reliability, insurance, past / current performance, container availability

Page 8 of 9

Rivers ELECTRIC CORPORATION			and the second second	D POLICY	<pre> <object><object></object></object></pre>
	Fuel Procurem	Big River			
Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by	Your Touchstone Energy" Coopensitive
Original Approval Date	12/20/2007	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD	1

All transportation service agreements shall be in written contractual form duly executed by an authorized supplier of service and the Company.

J. <u>Ethics and Conduct</u>:

1

The Company recognizes the importance of following appropriate business ethics to guide the conduct of the Fuels Department in the performance of its duties and responsibilities. Fuels staff shall adhere to the ethical standards and policies of the Company including, but not limited to, the Business Ethics Policy and the Conflicts of Interest Policy for Big Rivers' Employees. Also, each contractual document shall denote that the contract was prepared and executed in ethical dealing.

Fuels staff shall endeavor to serve the best interests of the Company, its Members, and stakeholders in the performance of their duties and responsibilities.



Number	Date	Notes	Approved by	+	Formatted Table
Original	12/21/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board		
Rev, 1	03/16/2012	Update out of date language and staffing changes	Board		Deleted: .
Rev 2	03/14/2013	Update due to title change			Deleted: .
Rev. 3	03/21/2014	Add date last reviewed line, section header and change "mmBTU" to "gallon"	Board		Deleted: .
Rev. 4	09/19/2014	Change Chief Operating Officer to VP Production	Board		Deleted: .
Rev 5	08/21/2015	Add reference to Board Policy 105 – Energy Related Transaction Authority and Board Policy 121 – Solid Fuel Inventory; general clean up	Board		

Page 9 of 9

Policy No. 121 – Solid Fuel Inventory Policy



BOARD POLICY

Policy Number: 121

	Solid F	uel Inventory Policy	/	
Original Effective Date	01/15/1994	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	0/15/1994	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

Scope

To provide guidelines for the Fuels Procurement section in planning fuel procurement and deliveries such that the rate of receipt of solid fuel, in regard to actual and projected solid fuel burn, provides a desired inventory level at each generating station.

Desired Solid Fuel Inventory Level

Under normal circumstances, the solid fuel inventories shall be maintained within the minimum and maximum ranges, optimizing around the midpoint, as follows:

Day's Inventory Station Minimum Midpoint Maximum Coleman 0 0 0 Green 30 45 60 HMPL Station II 30 45 60 Reid 16 18 20 Wilson 30 45 60 System 30 45 60

Solid fuel inventory, by Station or by System, may be decreased or increased from the levels shown in the above table as deemed necessary in preparation for planned maintenance (outage), expected interruptions (weather, logistics, etc.) to solid fuel supply or market conditions.

Calculation of Number of Days Supply

The number of "days" of solid fuel inventory at each generating station plant shall be calculated by dividing the total tons in inventory by the projected average daily burn rate.

Projection of Average Daily Burn Rate

The projected average daily burn rate for each generating station shall be calculated by dividing the total tons projected to be burned for the year at that plant by the number of days in the year. The total tons projected to be burned for a given year for each plant shall be that projected in the process of preparing the annual budget and this projection may be revised from time-to-time during the year as more current information affecting the projection becomes available.

Page 1 of 2



BOARD POLICY

Policy Number: 121

Page 2 of 2

		Solid F	uel Inventory Policy	/	
Original Effective Date Original Approval Date		01/15/1994 Date Last Reviewed 08/21/2015 0/15/1994 Date Last Revised 08/21/2015		Approved by	
Number	Date	Notes			Approved by
Original	01/15/1994	Originally	Coal Inventory Policy		President/CEO
Rev 1	03/18/2011	Revised a	nd renamed Solid Fuel In	ventory	Board
Rev 2	10/17/2014		Inventory level change for Coleman and HMPL; update HMPL name		
Rev 3	08/21/2015	as reasons	Added weather, logistics and market conditions as reasons for deviating from solid fuel inventory level ranges		

Rivers -			BOAR	D POLICY
ELECTRIC CORPORATION			Policy N	lumber: 121
4	Solid F	uel Inventory Policy	1	
Original Effective Date	01/15/1994	Date Last Reviewed	08/21/2015	Approved by
Original Approval Date	0/15/1994	Date Last Revised	08/21/2015	BOARD

Scope

To provide guidelines for the Fuels Procurement section in planning fuel procurement and deliveries such that the rate of receipt of solid fuel, in regard to actual and projected solid fuel burn, provides a desired inventory level at each generating station.

Desired Solid Fuel Inventory Level

Under normal circumstances, the solid fuel inventories shall be maintained within the minimum and maximum ranges, optimizing around the midpoint, as follows:

		Day's Inventory	/
Station	Minimum	Midpoint	Maximum
Coleman	0	Ō	0
Green	30	45	60
HMPL Station II	30	45	60
Reid	16	18	20
Wilson	30	45	60
System	30	45	60

Solid fuel inventory, by Station or by System, may be decreased or increased from the levels shown in the above table as deemed necessary in preparation for planned maintenance (outage), expected interruptions (weather, logistics, etc.) to solid fuel supply or market conditions.

Calculation of Number of Days Supply

The number of "days" of solid fuel inventory at each generating station plant shall be calculated by dividing the total tons in inventory by the projected average daily burn rate.

Projection of Average Daily Burn Rate

The projected average daily burn rate for each generating station shall be calculated by dividing the total tons projected to be burned for the year at that plant by the number of days in the year. The total tons projected to be burned for a given year for each plant shall be that projected in the process of preparing the annual budget and this projection may be revised from time-to-time during the year as more current information affecting the projection becomes available.

Formatted: Justified

Page 1 of 2

Deleted:) or

Deleted: <object><object>

Solid Fuel Inventory Policy

ect><object>

D: _D:	Number: <u>121</u>				DRPORATION	g Riv
BIGRI			uel Inventory Policy	Solid F		
ELECTRIC	Approved by	08/21/2015	Date Last Reviewed	01/15/1994	ctive Date	Original Effe
Your Touchstone Energy* Co	BOARD	08/21/2015	Date Last Revised	0/15/1994	roval Date	Original App
¶ Solid Fuel Inventory Policy¶	Approved by		A CONTRACT	Notes	Date	Number
Formatted Table	President/CEO	and the second	Coal Inventory Policy	Originally	01/15/1994	Original
	Board	ventory	nd renamed Solid Fuel In		03/18/2011	Rev 1
	Board	n and HMPL;	level change for Coleman	Inventory update HN	10/17/2014	Rev 2
	Board		ather, logistics and marke for deviating from solid		08/21/2015	Rev 3

Formatted: Normal, Left, Tab stops: 0.65", Left Formatted: Font: Calibri

Page 2 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 16)		
2		<i>a</i> .	State whether Big Rivers is aware of any violations of its
3			policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement that
4			occurred prior to or during the period from May 1, 2015,
5			through October 31, 2015.
6		b .	If yes, for each violation:
7			(1) Describe the violation;
8			(2) Describe the action(s) that Big Rivers took upon
9			discovering the violation; and
10			(3) Identify the person(s) who committed the violation.
11			
12	Response)		
13		a.	Big Rivers is aware of one violation of its policies and procedures
14			regarding fuel procurement that occurred during the period May
15			1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
16		b.	
17			(1) - (3)
18			On or about June 17, 2015, ACES, Big Rivers' agent,
19			informed Big Rivers that one of ACES's traders inadvertently
20			had made an intra-day natural gas trade with a counter-party
21			which, though on ACES's approved credit list for Big Rivers, was
22			labelled 'No Trading' on the date of the transaction. The natural
23			gas trade was for approximately \$7,000. This trade violated Big
24			Rivers' Policy No. 122 – Energy-Related Transaction Credit
25			Policy which applies to both Big Rivers and ACES. Big Rivers
			Case No. 2016-000

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 16 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 1 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	last provided the Commission a copy of Policy No. 122 on
2	February 20, 2015, in its response to Item 31 of Commission
3	Staff's Request for Information, dated February 5, 2015, in Case
4	No. 2014-00455.
5	Big Rivers subsequently approved this intra-day trade.
6	Since the trader was an ACES employee, Big Rivers took no
7	further action. ACES, however, did discipline the trader
8	according to ACES's guidelines by placing a statement in the
9	trader's file.
10	
11	
12	Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and
13	Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas).
14	

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 16 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 2 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

Identify and explain the reasons for all changes in the 1 Item 17) 2 organizational structure and personnel of the departments or divisions 3 that are responsible for Big Rivers' fuel procurement activities that occurred during the period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015. 4 5 6 Response) During the period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015, there 7 have been no changes in the organizational structure and personnel of the 8 departments or divisions that are responsible for Big Rivers' fuel procurement 9 activities. 10 11 12 Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and 13 Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) 14

> Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 17 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 1 of 1

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 18)	
2	а.	Identify all changes that Big Rivers has made during the
3		period under review to its maintenance and operation
4		practices that also affect fuel usage at Big Rivers'
5		generation facilities.
6	b.	Describe the impact of these changes on Big Rivers' fuel
7		usage.
8		
9	Response)	
10	a.	During the period under review Big Rivers changed some
11		operating practices at its Green Station generation facilities that
12		allowed the units to reduce the minimum load that could be
13		offered into the MISO market during off-peak or low-price
14		periods. Starting on August 8, 2015, Big Rivers altered its
15		practice of operating the Green units at three-mill minimum
16		generation to two-mill minimum generation. This change
17		allowed Big Rivers' Energy Services the flexibility to offer unit
18		one generation into the market at MWs instead of MWs,
19		and unit two at MWs instead of MWs. This change in
20	-	operating practice was made to reduce generation exposure to
21		low market price periods. This change also reduced fuel usage,
22		resulting in additional inventory.
23	b.	From August 8 through October 31 of the period under review,
24		the lower minimum generation market offers reduced the
25		amount of fuel consumed by the Green generating units by

.

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 18 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 2

,

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1		18,537	tons	that	would	have	been	burned	at	\mathbf{the}	higher
2		minimu	m gen	eratio	n.						
3											
4											
5	Witness)	Lawrence V.	Baror	nowsky	y						
6											

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 18 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 2 of 2

.

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 19)	List each written coal supply solicitation issued during the
2	period from	m May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4		a. For each solicitation, provide the date of the solicitation,
5		the type of solicitation (contract or spot), the quantities
6		solicited, a general description of the quality of coal
7		solicited, the time period over which deliveries were
8		requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal
9		was intended.
10		b. For each solicitation, state the number of vendors to
11		whom the solicitation was sent, the number of vendors
12		who responded, and the selected vendor. Provide the bid
13		tabulation sheet or corresponding document that ranked
14		the proposals. (This document should identify all vendors
15		who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection.
16	•	For each lowest-cost bid not selected, explain why the bid
17	•	was not selected.
18		
19	Response)	
20		a. and b.
21		Big Rivers issued no written coal supply solicitations during the
22		period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
23		
24		
25	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 20)	List each oral coal supply solicitation issued during the
2	period from	m May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
3		
4		a. For each solicitation, state why the solicitation was not
5		written, the date(s) of the solicitation, the quantities
6		solicited, a general description of the quality of coal
7		solicited, the time period over which deliveries were
8		requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal
9		was intended.
10		b. For each solicitation, identify all vendors solicited and
11		the vendor selected. Provide the tabulation sheet or other
12		document that ranks the proposals. (This document
13		should identify all vendors who made offers.) State the
14		reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not
15		selected, explain why the bid was not selected.
16		
17	Response)	
18		a. and b.
19		Big Rivers issued no oral coal supply solicitations during the
20		period from May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.
21		
22	_	
23	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
24		

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 20 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

.

1	Item 21)		
2		<i>a</i> .	List all intersystem sales during the period under review
3			in which Big Rivers used a third party's transmission
4			system.
5		b.	For each sale listed above:
6			(1) Describe the effect on the FAC calculation of line
7			losses related to intersystem sales when using a third
8			party's transmission system; and
9			(2) State the line-loss factor used for each transaction
10			and describe how that line-loss factor was
11			determined.
12			
13	Response)		
14		a.	Big Rivers had no intersystem sales during the period under
15			review in which Big Rivers used a third party's transmission
16			system.
17		b.	
18			(1) Not Applicable.
19			(2) Not Applicable.
20			
21	Witnesses)		
22		a.	Wayne O'Bryan
23		b.(1)) Nicholas R. Castlen
24		b.(2)) Wayne O'Bryan

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 21 Witnesses: Wayne O'Bryan [a. and b.(2)] and Nicholas R. Castlen [b.(1)] Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2016

1	Item 22)	
2		a. Describe the effect on the FAC calculation of line losses
3		related to intersystem sales when not using a third party's
4		transmission system.
5		b. Describe each change that Big Rivers made to its
6		methodology for calculating intersystem sales line losses
7	·	during the period under review
8		
9	Response)	
10		a. Line losses related to intersystem sales are included in Big Rivers'
11		total System Losses reported on page 3 of its monthly Form A
12		filing. Big Rivers calculates an overall system average fuel cost
13		per kWh each month by dividing (1) the total cost of fuel for
14		generation by (2) the net kWh generated minus total System
15		Losses. The overall system average fuel cost per kWh (which
16		accounts for total System Losses, including line losses related to
17		intersystem sales) is multiplied by the intersystem sales volume
18		to calculate the cost of fuel allocated to intersystem sales in Big
19		Rivers' monthly Form A filing.
20		b. Big Rivers made no changes to its methodology for calculating
21		intersystem sales line losses during the period under review
22		
23	Witnesses)	
24		a. Nicholas R. Castlen
25		b. Wayne O'Bryan
		Case No. 2016-000

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 22 Witnesses: Nicholas R. Castlen (a.) and Wayne O'Bryan (b.) Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2015

1 Item 23) State whether, during the period under review, Big Rivers has 2 solicited bids for coal with the restriction that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top removal. If yes, explain the reasons for the 3 restriction on the solicitation, the quantity in tons and price per ton of the 4 5 coal purchased as a result of this solicitation, and the difference between the price of this coal and the price it could have obtained for the coal if 6 7 the solicitation had not been restricted. 8 Response) Big Rivers has not solicited any bids for coal with the restrictions 9 10 that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top removal. 11 ١ 12

- 13 Witness) Mark W. McAdams
- 14

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 23 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2015

1 Provide a detailed discussion of any specific generation Item 24) efficiency improvements Big Rivers has undertaken during the period 2 3 under review. 4 Response) During this reporting period, Big Rivers' Wilson Station embarked 5 6 on a mission to improve the unit heat rate. Beginning in July, the Wilson unit 7 average net heat rate was Btu/kWh. Operations and maintenance employees identified some leaking steam traps, leaking drain valves, and other 8 9 areas for generation efficiency improvements. Afterward, these employees shifted their focus to combustion tuning and boiler efficiency. At the end of October the 10 average unit net heat rate had been reduced to Btu/kWh, giving Big Rivers 11 Btu/kWh net heat rate improvement on its Wilson unit. 12 a 13 14 15 Lawrence V. Baronowsky Witness) 16

> Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 24 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015 CASE NO. 2016-00006

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2016

February 19, 2015

1	Item 25) State whether all long-term fuel contracts related to
2	commodity and/or transportation have been filed with the Commission. If
3	any contracts have not been filed, explain why they have not filed and
4	provide a copy.
5	
6	Response) All of Big Rivers' long-term fuel and transportation contracts have
7	been filed with the Commission.
8	
9	
10	Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and
l 1 [.]	Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)
12	

Case No. 2016-00006 Response to Staff Item 25 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (*Coal*) and Wayne O'Bryan (*Natural Gas*) Page 1 of 1