ORIGINAL



Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative 🔊

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014

Case No. 2014-00455

DIRECT TESTIMONY of Mark W. McAdams

DIRECT TESTIMONY of Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan

DIRECT TESTIMONY of Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen

Responses to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

FILED: February 20, 2015



SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER PSC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2015

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

February 19, 2015

Ronald M. Sullivan

Jesse T. Mountiov

Frank Stainback

James M. Miller Michael A. Fiorella R. Michael Sullivan Bryan R. Reynolds*

> Tyson A. Kamuf Mark W. Starnes

John S. Wathen

C. Ellsworth Mountjoy

Also Licensed in Indiana

Via Federal Express

Jeff Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

> Re: In the Matter of: An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers Electric Corporation from November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2014 Case No. 2014-00455

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation are an original and ten (10) copies of (i) Big Rivers' responses to the information requested in Appendix B of the Public Service Commission's February 5, 2015, order in the above referenced matter; (ii) the direct testimonies of Mark W. McAdams, Wayne O'Bryan and Nicholas R. Castlen; and (iii) a petition for confidential treatment. There are no other parties to this proceeding upon whom copies of these documents must be served.

Sincerely,

Tyson Kamuf Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation

TAK/Im Enclosures

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694 cc: DeAnna Speed



www.westkylaw.com

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

RECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2015

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VERIFICATION

I, Mark W. McAdams, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Mark W. McAdams

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Mark W. McAdams on this the <u>17</u> day of February, 2015.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan on this the <u>17</u> day of February, 2015.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

Notáry Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen on this the $\frac{17}{1000}$ day of August, 2014.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Christopher S. (Chris) Bradley, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Christopher S. (Chris) Bradley

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Christopher S. (Chris) Bradley on this the $\frac{17}{10}$ day of February, 2015.

Joy P. Wright Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Faunque V. Daronous Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky on this the <u>17</u> day of February, 2015.

Joy P. Mright Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Roger D. Hickman, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of my responses to data requests filed with this Verification, and that those responses are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry,

Roger D. Hickman

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Roger D. Hickman on this the 17 day of February, 2015.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires





DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MARK W. McADAMS DIRECTOR, FUELS PROCUREMENT

ON BEHALF OF

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

ORIGINAL

FILED: FEBRUARY 20, 2015

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MARK W. McADAMS

Q. Please state your name, business address and occupation.

A. My name is Mark W. McAdams, and my business address is Big Rivers
Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers"), 201 Third Street, Henderson, Kentucky,
42420. I am the Director, Fuels Procurement for Big Rivers. During the
review period, I have reported to Robert W. Berry (formerly Chief
Operating Officer, now President and Chief Executive Officer) and to
James R. Garrett, Interim Vice President, Production. As of February 10,
2015, I report to Michael T. Pullen, Vice President, Production.

13

12

3 4

5

14 Q. Please summarize your education and professional experience.

I have Bachelor's degrees in Biology, Psychology, and Business, as well as a 15 A. 16 Master of Business Administration with a Management emphasis. I am also certified in purchasing management (C.P.M.) and a member of the 17 I was a Domestic and Industrial 18 Institute of Supply Management. 19 Marketing Representative for Chevron U.S.A, prior to joining Louisville 20 Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") in 1990. During my tenure at LG&E, 21 I served as logistics coordinator and contract administrator. In July 1998, I was transferred to the Western Kentucky Energy Corp. ("WKE") subsidiary 22 and became the Manager, Fuels Strategy and Procurement. I left WKE on 23

> Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 7

1		December 31, 2007, to assume the responsibilities of the Director Fuels
2		Procurement for Big Rivers.
3		
4	Q.	Please summarize your duties at Big Rivers.
5	A.	I am responsible for Big Rivers' procurement of solid fuel, fuel oil, flue-gas
6		desulphurization bulk reagent (lime and limestone), and related
7		transportation for the delivery of the foregoing materials
8		
9	Q.	Have you previously testified before this Commission?
10	A.	Yes. During my tenure with LG&E, I testified in LG&E's fuel reviews.
11		During my time at Big Rivers, I have testified in Big Rivers' six-month and
12		two-year fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") reviews since 2009.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
15	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe Big Rivers' procurement
16		practices for fuel as they relate to the FAC for the period from November 1,
17		2012, through October 31, 2014 (the "Review Period").
18		
19	Q.	Have Big Rivers' coal suppliers adhered to their contract delivery
20		schedules during the Review Period?
21	A.	Yes, the majority of coal supply contracts are compliant with contract
22		delivery schedules that were established during the time frame of the

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 7 Review Period. During the Review Period, Big Rivers received a force majeure notice from Patriot Coal (Highland Mine) due to damages occurring to its coal preparation facilities. The force majeure volume was ascertained to be 62,000 tons. Big Rivers elected not to reschedule the force majeured tonnage with Patriot and entered the spot market for lower cost replacement coal. Shipments from Patriot (Highland Mine) resumed following the force majeure, and the contract is current in its deliveries.

8 From time-to-time, there are various impediments to delivery (mine 9 operations, river-related matters of freezing, flooding and/or drought, 10 equipment break-down, etc.) that cause delays. Most often, shipments are 11 rescheduled to deliver the product at a later date, via alternate mode of 12 transportation, or carried forward into the subsequent month or quarter to 13 complete delivery. Such make up of delayed tonnage is based upon the 14 contractual language of the coal supply agreement and the reason for delay 15 (i.e., force majeure).

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Q. What efforts has Big Rivers made in general to help ensure coal
 suppliers' adherence to contract delivery schedules during the
 Review Period?

20 A. Big River's fuel department personnel reviews its delivery schedules with 21 suppliers and its generating station contacts on a daily basis to ensure that 22 scheduled tonnage has been completed during the course of the term of the

> Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Mark W. McAdams Page 3 of 7

contractual agreement. In the event of delay (mining and/or logistics 1 2 issues), the parties confer and agree upon revised schedules to ensure 3 completion of contractual tonnage amounts. In the event of force majeure, the non-declaring party has a contractual option as to whether to 4 5 reschedule any shortfall tonnage. Contractual documents for coal supply 6 denote that time is of the essence in regard to coal delivery and that failure 7 to deliver is a material breach of the contractual agreement which could 8 result in termination of the coal supply agreement. Big Rivers has not had, 9 to date, a situation where it needed to invoke contract language regarding 10 delinquent or missed shipments. In such an event, the supplier would be provided notice of its material breach of non-delivery pursuant to the 11 contractual agreement and would be obligated to remedy such default or 12 13 face the potential termination of the agreement.

14

Q. What efforts has Big Rivers made to maintain the adequacy of its
 coal supplies in light of any coal supplier's inability or
 unwillingness to make coal contract deliveries?

A. Big Rivers makes reasonable efforts to work with its suppliers to set
delivery schedules and to reschedule shipments, in a timely fashion, in the
event of delays. In the event of an extended delay, such as a force majeure,
Big Rivers would and has sought alternative spot supply to cover any
shortfall due to such extended delay(s). Big Rivers also maintains a

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Mark W. McAdams Page 4 of 7 reasonable inventory supply at each generating station as a buffer to ensure that it has adequate fuel supply at all times, despite delayed or interrupted shipment deliveries. When contract conditions will allow, Big Rivers also seeks optional tonnage within its coal supply agreements to assist during shipment shortfalls.

6

1

2

3

4

5

7 Q. Please describe any changes in coal market conditions that
8 occurred during the Review Period or that Big Rivers expects to
9 occur within the next two years that have significantly affected or
10 will significantly affect Big Rivers' solid fuel procurement
11 practices.

12 A. The U.S. coal industry will continue to be challenged by competition from natural gas, depressed coal prices, lengthened recession, and more 13 14 stringent environmental regulation for at least the next two years. It is 15 likely that overall U.S. coal production will fall in years 2015 and 2016 and perhaps beyond. Despite some production cuts in certain basins, the 16 17 Illinois Basin continues to expand, which may cause coal pricing to remain 18 flat to decreasing over the next two years. This expansion might lead to some very aggressive price competition, as there could be overcapacity in a 19 shrinking coal-fired generation market. Some coal producers have taken 20 steps to reduce existing production and delay or forego intended expansion 21 22 of either existing or new mining reserves. Utilities are starting to utilize

> Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Mark W. McAdams Page 5 of 7

some of the incremental inventory built during the period of the past year to year and a half during the period of low natural gas prices and the recession; however, inventory remains healthy at most utilities.

Over the period in review, and in the near term, Big River's fuel procurement practices have not been adversely impacted. However, the potential change of these various market pressures could bring about challenges in the coal producing sector. Coal companies may elect to merge/consolidate or sell assets, creating a smaller marketplace for utilities to source coal supply. Big Rivers will continue to actively survey the marketplace for opportunities to secure its fuel supply for short and longterm business, weighing these market forces while making procurement decisions.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 Q. Have Big Rivers' fuel procurement purchases and practices for 15 solid fuel during the Review Period been reasonable?

A. Yes. Big Rivers' practices have included spot and mid-term fuel and logistics procurement, in a concerted and planned fashion, to attempt to limit its exposure to volatility in the marketplace and to ensure competitive and reliable fuel supply to its generating stations while also managing the exit of the aluminum smelters and the idling of one of its generating stations. Big Rivers' fuel procurement practices include interaction with various departments within the company (finance, generation planning,

> Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Mark W. McAdams Page 6 of 7

operations, energy marketing, and material handling) to ensure that the 1 2 fuel supply agreements being established meet the planned generation and 3 inventory goals of the company. Solid fuel is secured after evaluation of quality, reliability, and competitiveness of the provider. Big Rivers strives 4 5 for transparency within and outside of the cooperative, ensuring that its procurement practices are sound, ethical, and appropriate for the intended 6 7 purpose. The company engages in formal competitive bid processes and 8 engages internal controls (internal bid opening processes to include multiple persons and departments, bid tabulation and review by varying 9 10 constituencies of the company, and internal risk management evaluation) to ensure transparency, accountability, and appropriate officer and 11 12 management level approval for procurement action. The Company's fuel procurement costs are competitive as compared to the fuel benchmarking 13 14 performed amongst Kentucky utilities.

15

16 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

17 A. Yes.

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Mark W. McAdams Page 7 of 7

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Mark W. McAdams, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of the Direct Testimony filed with this Verification, and that Direct Testimony is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Mark W. Wistop

k W. McAdams

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Mark W. McAdams on this the // day of February, 2015.

Yoy P. Wright Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires





Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014

Case No. 2014-00455

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MURRAY W. (WAYNE) O'BRYAN DIRECTOR, POWER SUPPLY AND MARKET OPERATIONS

ON BEHALF OF

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

ORIGINAL

FILED: FEBRUARY 20, 2015

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WAYNE O'BRYAN

Q. Please state your name, business address, and position.

A. My name is Wayne O'Bryan. I am employed by Big Rivers Electric
Corporation ("Big Rivers"), 201 Third Street, Henderson, Kentucky 42420,
as Director Power Supply and Market Operations. I report to Matthew T.
Moore, Interim Vice President Energy Services.

10

12

3

4

5

11 Q. Please describe your job responsibilities.

12 A. As Director of Power Supply and Market Operations, I am responsible for 13 coordination of daily Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. 14 ("MISO") market activities that include unit offer strategy, interface with 15 ACES Power Marketing, and oversight of the market Awards Process. A 16 Senior Power Portfolio Optimization Analyst reports to me. We work 17 together to pursue short term energy, capacity, and transmission sales that support the best interests of Big Rivers. Other responsibilities include 18 19 scheduling SEPA energy and capacity, natural gas account management, contract management, interface with the MISO Independent Market 20 Monitor, and performing a variety of official roles within the MISO 21 22 structure.

23

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 5 1

Q. Briefly describe your education and work experience.

2 I began my employment with Big Rivers in 1978 in the Operations A. 3 Department. I worked my way through the various classifications including supervision while being stationed at all of the Big Rivers 4 5 locations. Beyond my time in Operations, I have performed a variety of 6 functions for the company including DCS controls installation, 7 Performance/Environmental, PI System Programming, Manager of Production Services, and Plant Manager at Coleman Station. I entered the 8 9 Energy Services department in my current role in September 2013. I have 10 an Associate of Science Degree in Business from Brescia University and post graduate work in Software Engineering. During my career, I have 11 12 attended an extensive list of technical/computer classes.

13

14 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

- A. Yes. I testified at the hearings in Big Rivers' last two six-month fuel
 adjustment review ("FAC") review proceedings.
- 17

18 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe changes in the wholesale electric
 power market and their effect on Big Rivers' electric power procurement
 practices. I also briefly discuss Big Rivers' natural gas procurement
 activities.

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Wayne O'Bryan Page 2 of 5 Q. Please describe any changes in the wholesale electric power
market that occurred during the Review Period or that Big Rivers
expects to occur within the next two years that have significantly
affected or will significantly affect Big Rivers' electric power
procurement practices.

1

7 A. Changing environmental regulations present the possibility of changing
8 market conditions in the future. Although some clarification of
9 environmental laws has occurred, the possibility of unknown effects from
10 increased regulations still exists and may impact market pricing by causing
11 unexpected unit retirements or environmental equipment additions to
12 existing units.

13The polar vortex period during the winter of 2014 had a profound14effect on market prices, but its impact was limited in duration.

15 Natural gas prices have typically had a major influence on market
16 pricing and will continue to do so in the future. Currently gas prices are in a
17 historically low range and are projected to continue in this manner for the
18 rest of 2015 and 2016.

19 There have been mixed opinions on market price effect from 20 upcoming unit retirements. There is the possibility that excessive 21 retirements will cause a power shortfall and threaten system reliability. 22 The inverse of this opinion is that most of the plants that will be retired are

> Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Wayne O'Bryan Page 3 of 5

older inefficient ones that will have minimum impact to the market 1 2 structure. I agree with the second opinion as older non-compliant units are 3 more likely to be held in reserve than utilized on a daily basis. This would 4 result in their retirement having less effect than otherwise. 5 6 Q. Have Big Rivers' electric power procurement practices during the 7 **Review Period** been reasonable? 8 9 Yes. Big Rivers always seeks to procure the lowest cost reliable power in the Α. 10 most cost effective manner while limiting financial and operational risks to 11 the company, complying with applicable state laws, federal laws, 12 transmission constraints, and reliability standards. 13 14 Q. Has Big Rivers experienced any problems during the Review Period with natural gas procurement? 15 16 A. Yes, during the polar vortex period in January and February, 2014. Big Rivers has a non-firm interruptible contract for gas supply. The contract is 17 18 structured in this manner to represent the best economic choice for our 19 customers. Big Rivers is a low volume natural gas consumer as the Reid Combustion Turbine is the only generator in the fleet that is currently 20 fueled by natural gas. During the polar vortex, gas pricing was 21 22 extraordinarily high at times or the gas was simply unavailable because of

> Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Wayne O'Bryan Page 4 of 5

1		our contract type and deliverability issues. This was the only time during
2		the review period that Big Rivers experienced difficulty procuring natural
3		gas.
4		
5	Q.	Have Big Rivers' fuel procurement purchases and practices for
6		natural gas during the Review Period been reasonable?
7	A.	Yes. Big Rivers makes spot purchases of natural gas, as needed, to ensure
8		an adequate supply of fuel to provide for Members load, system reliability,
9		and/or off-system sales.
10		
11	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
12	A.	Yes.

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Wayne O'Bryan Page 5 of 5

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of the Direct Testimony filed with this Verification, and that Direct Testimony is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan on this the <u>17</u> day of February, 2015.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

Notáry Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____



Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative 🔨

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION) OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE) OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION) FROM) NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014)

Case No. 2014-00455

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

NICHOLAS R. (NICK) CASTLEN MANAGER FINANCE

ON BEHALF OF

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

FILED: FEBRUARY 20, 2015

ORIGINAL

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF NICHOLAS R. CASTLEN

Q. Please state your name, business address, and position.

A. My name is Nicholas R. Castlen. I am employed by Big Rivers Electric
Corporation ("Big Rivers"), 201 Third Street, Henderson, Kentucky 42420.
I am the Manager of Finance at Big Rivers.

9

10

12

3

4

5

Q. Please describe your job responsibilities.

11 A. As the Manager of Finance my primary responsibilities include providing 12 direction and oversight to corporate accounting and finance activities 13 related to the Company's financial reporting requirements, debt administration, financial forecasting, cash management, corporate taxes 14 15 (income, property, sales, and use), and fixed assets. Additionally, I am 16 responsible for Big Rivers' following rate mechanisms and the related filings with the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission"): the 17 Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC"), Environmental Surcharge ("ES"), Unwind 18 19 Surcredit ("US"), and Non-FAC Purchased Power Adjustment ("NFPPA").

20

21 Q. Briefly describe your education and work experience.

A. I received a Bachelor of Science in Accounting from the University of
Kentucky in 2006 and a Master of Science in Accounting from the

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 5 University of Kentucky in 2007. I became a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") in the Commonwealth of Kentucky in 2007.

3 Before assuming my current position as the Manager of Finance in July 2013, I was the Debt & Regulatory Accountant at Big Rivers primarily 4 5 responsible for the accounting and administration of the Company's long-6 and short-term debt obligations and various rate mechanisms. Prior to 7 joining Big Rivers, I was a Revenue Accounting Analyst at LG&E and KU Energy LLC from December 2009 to April 2012 where I was responsible for 8 9 various financial accounting, reporting, and analysis roles for retail and 10 wholesale, electric and gas utility revenues. Before working at LG&E and 11 KU Energy, I worked for PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as an Audit and 12 Assurance Associate from January 2006 to December 2009.

13

1

2

14 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

- A. Yes. I have served as a witness and provided responses to data requests in
 Case Nos. 2012-00555, 2013-00266, 2013-00449, and 2014-00230 (reviews of
 Big Rivers' FAC mechanism). I have also provided testimony and responses
 to data requests in Case Nos. 2012-00534, 2013-00139, 2013-00347, 201400097, and 2014-00323 (reviews of Big Rivers' ES mechanism).
- 20
- 21 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 5

The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the regional transmission 1 A. 2 organization code charges and credits included in Big Rivers' FAC 3 calculation and explain why it is appropriate to include them. 4 5 Which regional transmission organization is Big Rivers a member Q. 6 of and when did Big Rivers first join? 7 A. Big Rivers is a member of the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO"), and it first joined MISO on December 1, 2010, in order to 8 9 meet its North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") 10 mandated contingency reserve requirements. 11 12 Q What MISO credits are included in Big Rivers' FAC calculation? Credits for "Day Ahead Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee (RSG) Make Whole 13 A.

Payments" and "Real Time RSG Make Whole Payments" are currently

included in Big Rivers' FAC calculation and subtracted from the amount to

be recovered through the FAC. These amounts primarily relate to MISO

payments/credits received by Big Rivers for costs associated with running

19

18

14

15

16

17

20 Q. What MISO charges are included in Big Rivers' FAC calculation?

the Reid Combustion Turbine unit when dedicated by MISO.

A. Only MISO charges associated with purchased power are currently included
in Big Rivers' FAC calculation. These charge types include "Day Ahead

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Nicholas R. Castlen Page 3 of 5

Asset Energy", "Real Time Asset Energy", "Real Time Excessive Energy", 1 2 and "Real Time Non-Excessive Energy". These charges are included on 3 page 2 ("Fuel Cost Schedule") of Big Rivers' monthly Form A Filing under 4 "Purchases", and the amounts recovered through the FAC are limited to the 5 cost of Big Rivers' highest cost generating unit available during the period. 6 Any MISO charges associated with power purchases for off-system sales, 7 supplemental and back-up energy to the smelters, or back-up energy to 8 Domtar are subtracted from the amount of recoverable expenses in the FAC 9 calculation.

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

11 Q. Why is it appropriate to include these MISO charges in the FAC
12 calculation?

A. It is appropriate to include the MISO charges discussed above in the FAC
calculation as they all represent costs of energy purchases, which meet the
criteria set forth in 807 KAR 5:056 (Fuel Adjustment Clause) for inclusion
in the calculation of recoverable fuel costs. 807 KAR 5:056 Section 1(3)

17 provides:

Fuel costs (F) shall be the most recent actual monthly cost of:

(a) Fossil fuel consumed in the utility's own plants, and the utility's share of fossil and nuclear fuel consumed in jointly owned or leased plants, plus the cost of fuel which would have been used in plants suffering forced generation or transmission outages, but less the cost of fuel related to substitute generation; plus

(b) The actual identifiable fossil and nuclear fuel costs associated with energy purchased for reasons other than

Case No. 2014-00455 Direct Testimony of Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 5

1 identified in paragraph (c) of this subsection, but 2 excluding the cost of fuel related to purchases to 3 substitute for the forced outages; plus 4 The net energy cost of energy purchases, (c) 5 exclusive of capacity or demand charges 6 (irrespective of the designation assigned to such 7 transaction) when such energy is purchased on an 8 economic dispatch basis. Included therein may be 9 such costs as the charges for economy energy 10 purchases and the charges as a result of 11 scheduled outage, all such kinds of energy being 12 purchased by the buyer to substitute for its own 13 higher cost energy; and less The cost of fossil fuel recovered through intersystem 14 (d) sales including the fuel costs related to economy energy 15 16 sales and other energy sold on an economic dispatch 17 basis. 18 (Emphasis added.) 19 20 Q. Does this conclude your testimony? 21 A.

Yes.

Case No. 2014-00455 **Direct Testimony of Nicholas R. Castlen** Page 5 of 5

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

VERIFICATION

I, Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of the Direct Testimony filed with this Verification, and that Direct Testimony is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen on this the $\underline{/7}$ day of August, 2014.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires_

ORIGINAL



Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative 🔨

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014

Case No. 2014-00455

Responses to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015



FILED: February 20, 2015

ORIGINAL

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 Item 1) If a change in the base fuel cost is proposed, state the month to 2 be used as the base period (b). If the base period results in a fuel cost 3 other than one representative of current costs as prescribed by 807 KAR 4 5:056, Section 1(2), explain why this base period was selected. If no change 5 is proposed, include an explanation of the reason(s) Big Rivers believes 6 the current base period fuel cost should remain unchanged.

7

8 **Response)** Since Big Rivers regained functional control of its generating units in 9 July 2009, there have been two Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") reviews in which 10 Big Rivers evaluated the possible need for a change in its base fuel costs.^{1,2} The 11 current review is the third such review since July 2009. For the current review, 12 Big Rivers performed an analysis of its monthly FAC factor similar to the ones it 13 did in Case Nos. 2010-00495 and 2012-00555.

In Case No. 2010-00495, Big Rivers determined that its actual monthly FAC factor during the period under review therein was averaging over \$0.010000 / kWh above its then-existing base fuel cost. Therefore, Big Rivers proposed to revise its base fuel cost to \$0.020932 / kWh and to transfer \$0.010212 / kWh of fuel costs to its then-current base rates. In its Order dated May 31, 2011, the Commission approved Big Rivers' proposal.

 See In the Matter of: An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers Electric Corporation from July 17, 2009 through October 31, 2010, Case No. 2010-00495.
 ² See In the Matter of: An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers Electric Corporation from November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2012. Case No. 2012-00555.

> Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 1 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams and Roger D. Hickman Page 1 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 In Case No. 2012-00555, Big Rivers determined that its actual monthly FAC factor during the period under review therein was about \$0.002000-2 \$0.005000 / kWh above its then-existing base fuel costs. In particular, the 3 4 difference during the final twelve months of the applicable review period was around \$0.003000 / kWh. At the time of the initial Commission Order in that 5 case, Big Rivers had filed a complete revised tariff in a general rate proceeding³ 6 7 and anticipated doing the same in another forthcoming general rate proceeding.⁴ 8 These factors collectively led Big Rivers to propose no change in its base fuel costs. In its Order dated May 17, 2013, the Commission accepted Big Rivers' proposal 9 and confirmed the continued use, by Big Rivers, of a base fuel cost of \$0.020932 / 10 11 kWh.

12 During the current review period, in addition to an analysis similar to that performed in Case Nos. 2010-00495 and 2012-00555. Big Rivers considered 13 14 that this FAC review period was impacted by the departure from Big Rivers' system of the Century-Hawesville Smelter in August 2013, and the departure of 15 the Century-Sebree Smelter in January 2014. For the twelve months ending 16 October 31, 2013, Big Rivers' average FAC factor was \$0.024045 / kWh, or about 17 \$0.003113 / KWh above its base fuel costs. For the twelve months ending October 18 31, 2014, Big Rivers' average FAC factor was \$0.024264 / kWh, or about \$0.003332 19 20 / KWh above its base fuel costs. Looking at these results six months ending

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 1 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams and Roger D. Hickman Page 2 of 3

³ See In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for a General Adjustment in Rates, Case No. 2012-00535.

⁴ See In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for a General Adjustment in Rates, Case No. 2013-00199.

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

October 31, 2014 - a period when the impact of the smelter departures had
 worked through the FAC, Big Rivers' average actual FAC factor was \$0.002935 /
 kWh higher than its currently tariffed base fuel cost of \$0.020932. This result is
 even smaller than the ones seen in Case No. 2012-00555 analysis.

5 Finally, Big Rivers has a number of older contracts which will soon 6 expire. Big Rivers anticipates newer contracts will be lower priced. This leads 7 Big Rivers to believe that the difference between its actual FAC factor and its base 8 fuel costs will narrow.

9 For all of these reasons, Big Rivers is not proposing a change in its
10 base fuel costs.

Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams and
 Roger D. Hickman

11

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 1 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams and Roger D. Hickman Page 3 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 Item 2) Provide a calculation of the fossil fuel costs F(b) that Big 2 Rivers proposes to use to calculate the base period fuel cost. This 3 calculation shall show each component of F(b) as defined by 807 KAR 4 5:056. Explain why the fuel cost in the selected base period is 5 representative of the level of fuel cost currently being experienced by Big Rivers. 6 7 8 **Response**) Not applicable. Please see Big Rivers' response to Item 1 above.

Response) Not applicable. Please see Big Rivers response to item .
 9

10

11 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

12

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 2 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1
AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 3) Provide a schedule showing each component of sales as 1 defined by 807 KAR 5:056 in the selected base period (b). Explain why Big 2 Rivers believes that the sales in the selected base period (b) are 3 representative of the level of kWh sales that Big Rivers will derive from the 4 level of fuel cost incurred during the selected base period (b). 5 6 7 **Response**) Not applicable. Please see Big Rivers' response to Item 1 above. 8 9

10 Witnesses) Wayne O'Bryan and
11 Nicholas R. Castlen
12

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 3 Witnesses: Wayne O'Bryan and Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 4)	Provide a schedule showing the calculation of Big Rivers'
2	proposed	increase or decrease in its base fuel cost per kWh to be
3	incorpora	ted into its base rate.
4		
5	Response)	Not applicable. Please see Big Rivers' response to Item 1 above.
6		
7		
8	Witness)	Nicholas R. Castlen
9		



Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 4 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

- Item 5) Provide Big Rivers' most recent projected fuel requirements for
 the years 2015 and 2016 in tons and dollars.
- 4 Response) Please see the schedule below.

3

5

2015⁵2016⁵Solid Fuel (tons)Image: Solid Gallons)Fuel Oil (Gallons)Image: Solid FuelSolid FuelImage: Solid FuelNatural GasImage: Solid Gallons)Fuel OilImage: Solid FuelNatural GasImage: Solid FuelFuel OilImage: Solid FuelTotalImage: Solid Fuel

6		
7	Witnesses)	Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and
8		Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)
9		

⁵ Assumes Coleman Plant idled and Wilson Plant operational.

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 5 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

- Item 6) Provide Big Rivers' most recent sales projections for the years
 2015 and 2016 in kWh and dollars.
 3
- 4 **Response**) Sales projections for the years 2015 and 2016 are provided in the 5 table below.
- 6

Year	Member Cooperative Energy (kWh)	Member Cooperative Sales (\$)	Off-System Sales (kWh)	Off-System Sales (\$)
2015	3,283,765,000	267,709,464		
2016	3,333,435,000	270,446,361		

7

9

Witness) Wayne O'Bryan

10

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 7)	Provide separately the amounts of power purchases used in
2	the calculo	ution of sales provided in response to Item 3.
3		
4	Response)	Not applicable. Please see the response to Item 1 above.
5		
6		
7	Witness)	Nicholas R. Castlen
8		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 8)	Provide separately the amounts of intersystem power sales
2	used in the	calculation of sales provided in response to Item 3.
3		
4	Response)	Not applicable. Please see the response to Item 3 above.
5		
6		
7	Witness)	Nicholas R. Castlen

8



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 9) Provide the planned maintenance schedule for each of Big
 Rivers' generating units for the years 2015 and 2016.

3

Response) Please see the redacted schedules below for the planned maintenance
schedule for Big Rivers' generating units for the years 2015 and 2016. The
unredacted schedule is being provided with a Petition for Confidential Treatment.

7

	2015 Outa	ge Schedule		
Start	End	Hours	Days	Unit/Outage
	Total			

8

	2016 Outa	ge Schedule	1.1	
Start	End	Hours	Days	Unit/Outage
	Total	weeks and the second		

- 10
- 11



13

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 9 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 10)	For the years ending October 31, 2013, and October 31, 2014,
2	provide:	
3		
4		a. Maximum annual system demand; and
5		b. Average annual demand.
6		
7	Response)	
8		a. November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2013 – 1557 MW;
9		November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014 – 1704 MW.
10		b. November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2013 – 1203 MW;
11		November 1, 2013 through October 31, 2014 – 1233 MW.
12		
13		
14		
15	Witness)	Wayne O'Bryan
16		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 10 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 Item 11) List all firm power commitments for Big Rivers for the years 2 2015 and 2016 for (a) purchases and (b) sales. This list shall identify the 3 other party (buyer or seller), the amount of commitment in MW, and the 4 purpose of the commitment (e.g., peaking, emergency).

6 Response)

5

78

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16 a. <u>Purchases</u>: Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA), up to 178 MW, energy for system. A force majeure, previously declared by SEPA for this contract due to dam safety issues at Wolf Creek and Center Hill dams on the Cumberland System, remains in effect. Big Rivers operated under a run-of-the-river schedule until July 1, 2014. At that time Big Rivers entered into an annual contract in which it has to schedule the purchases under the terms of weekly capacity declarations. These are limited to 154 MWs due to ongoing repairs on the Center Hill dam. The repairs are expected to last until 2017.

17

18

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

b. Sales:

Below are Big Rivers firm off system sales commitments for 2015. Big Rivers does not currently have any sales contracted for 2016.

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Firm Off-System Sales For the Year 2015									
Date	Electric Utility	MW Commitment	Purpose						

6 7 8 Witness) Wayne O'Bryan 9

1 2

3

4

5

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 12) Provide a monthly billing summary for all sales to all electric
 utilities for the period May 1, 2014 through October 31, 2014.

- **Response**) Please see the attachments to this response for monthly billing
 summaries for all sales to all electric utilities for the period May 1, 2014, through
 October 31, 2014.
- 7

3

8

Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen

10

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

1 MAY 2014	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$		EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	F	POWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	T	BILLING ADJ
2 3 JP RURALS	119,136	50,549,979	***** Ø	1 0 4 4 0 70 40		0.051.540.00						
4 KENERGY RURALS	195,761	88,354,590	\$	1,644,672.48 2,702,480.61	\$	2,274,749.06	\$	3,919,421.54	\$	-	\$	
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	68,431		Ф \$		¢	3,975,956.55	\$	6,678,437.16	\$	-	\$	
6	00,401	32,120,260		944,689.96	\$	1,445,411.70	\$	2,390,101.66	\$	-	\$	•
7 TOTAL RURALS	383,328	171.024.829	\$	5,291,843.05	\$	7,696,117.31	\$	12,987,960.36	\$		¢	
8							40		Ŷ		ф	*
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,526	2,320,510	s	59,211.09	\$	88,295.41	\$	147,506,50	s		¢	
10 KI-ALCOA	78	41,780	\$	835.77	\$	1,589.73	s	2,425.50	\$	664.33	¢	-
11 KI-ALERIS	27,773	14,046,400	\$	297,587.70	\$	534,465.52	ŝ	832,053.22	s	004.00	¢	
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	2,001	558,230	s	21.440.72	\$	21,240.65	s	42,681.37	¢.		¢	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	9,973	4,480,680	s	106,860.70	\$	170,489.87	\$	277,350.57	\$		ę	11,784.50
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,207	1,409,870	s	34,363.01	s	53,645.55	ŝ	88.008.56	¢		¢	553.42
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	3.043	1,225,230	s	32,605.75	ŝ	46,620.00	ŝ	79.225.75	¢ ¢	739.34	¢ \$	414.85
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,640	2,253,310	s	49,717.60	ŝ	85,738.45	\$	135,456.05	\$	557.18	¢	414.00
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	11,131,384	s	160,725.00	s	423,549.16	s	584,274.16	¢ ¢	557.10	¢ ¢	
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	81	28,940	s	867.92	s	1,101.17	\$	1,969.09	\$	75.01	¢	
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	769	564,900	ŝ	8,239,84	s	21,494.45	ŝ	29.734.29	¢ \$	289.31	ę	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	25,450	s	1.071.50	ŝ	968.37	s	2,039.87	÷	203.51	¢	•
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,757	26,620,920	S	404,566.26	\$	1,012,926.01	s	1,417,492.27	\$		¢	
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	6,000	348,530	\$	64,290.00	s	13.261.57	ŝ	77,551.57	\$		¢	
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,515	1,612,840	\$	37,663.23	\$	61,368.56	ŝ	99.031.79	s		\$	
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	38.890	\$	1.071.50	s	1,479.76	s	2,551.26	\$		ŝ	
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	6,769	2,575,420	\$	72,529.84	\$	97,994.73	S	170.524.57	\$		s	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,341	3,942,340	S	78,658.82	\$	150,006.04	\$	228,664.86	s		\$	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,483	6,234,780	\$	123,040.35	\$	237,233.38	\$	360,273.73	s		ŝ	
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,042	1,112,890	\$	21,880.03	\$	42,345.46	\$	64,225.49	\$	3,364.51	\$	
29	4847											
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	147,198	80,573,294	s	1.577,226.63	\$	3,065,813.84	\$	4,643,040,47	\$	5,689.68	\$	12,752.77
31							*	.,,.	*	0,000.00	÷	
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	3,078	622,120	\$	32,980.77	\$	23.671.67	\$	56,652,44	\$		s	
33												
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	150,276	81, 195, 414	\$	1,610,207.40	\$	3,089,485.51	\$	4,699,692.91	s	5,689.68	s	12,752,77
35	******************************								******			
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	533,604	252,220,243	\$	6,902,050.45	\$	10,785,602.82	\$	17,687,653.27	\$	5,689.68	\$	12,752.77
1									-			

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 30

1 MAY 2014	DEMAND \$ GREEN ENERGY \$ POWER AND PFP \$ \$		OWER	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	A	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
2	9	******		**********************	*******	*******************			********************	****
3 JP RURALS	\$ 3,919,421.54	\$	5.00	\$ 3,919,426.54	\$	137,900.34	2.73	\$	149,717.82	2.96
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 6,678,437.16	\$	*	\$ 6,678,437.16	\$	241,031.32	2.73	\$	254,647.72	2.88
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 2,390,101.66	\$	-	\$ 2,390,101.66	\$	87,624.07	2.73	\$	91,030.33	2.83
6	***********************	******	*********	****************						********************************
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 12,987,960.36	\$	5.00	\$ 12,987,965.36	\$	466,555.73	2.73	\$	495,395.87	2.90
8		******					*****************			
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 147,506.50			\$ 147,506.50	\$	6,330.35	2.78	\$	5,866.95	2.53
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 3,089.83			\$ 3,089.83	\$	113.98	2.73	\$	95.57	2.29
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 832,053.22			\$ 832,053.22	\$	38,318.58	2.73	\$	32,855.43	2.34
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 42,681.37			\$ 42,681.37	\$	1,522.85	2.73	\$	1,725.87	3.09
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 289,135.07			\$ 289,135.07	\$	12,223,30	2.73	S	11,002.07	2.46
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 88,561.98			\$ 88,561.98	\$	3.846.13	2.73	S	3,494.14	2.48
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 80,379.94			\$ 80,379.94	\$	3.342.43	2.73	s	3,156.41	2.58
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 136,013,23			\$ 136,013.23	\$	6,147.03	2.73	s	5.357.11	2.38
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 584,274.16			\$ 584,274.16	\$	30,366.42	2.73	8	22,754.91	2.04
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 2.044.10			\$ 2,044.10	s	78.95	2.73	s	78.83	2.72
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 30,023.60			\$ 30,023,60	s	1,541.05	2.78	s	1,158.42	2.05
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 2,039.87			\$ 2.039.87	\$	69.43	2.73	s	82.79	3.25
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,417,492.27			\$ 1,417,492.27	\$	72,621.87	2.73	s	55,301.08	2.08
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 77.551.57			\$ 77,551.57	\$	950.79	2.73	ę	8,302.05	9.47
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 99.031.79			\$ 99.031.79	\$	4,399.83	2.73	¢	3,302.05	2.43
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 2.551.26			\$ 2,551.26	s	106.09	2.73	ę	101.79	2.43
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 170.524.57			\$ 170,524.57	\$	7,025.75	2.73	\$	6,809.23	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 228,664.86			\$ 228,664.86	\$	10,754.70	2.73	ŝ	9,008.84	2.64
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 360,273.73			\$ 360,273.73	\$	17,008.48	2.73	s S		2.29
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$ 67,590.00			\$ 67,590.00	\$	3,035.96	2.73	s S	14,188.06	2.28
29	ψ 01,000.00			\$ 01,030.00	φ		2.13	Ð	2,528.93	2.27
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,661,482.92	e		\$ 4,661,482.92	\$	219,803.97	0.70		200 800 00	
31	\$ \$1001,402.32	¢		\$ 4,001,402.52	Ф	219,003.97	2.73	\$	182,793.69	2.27
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$ 56,652,44			\$ 56,652,44	ø	1,697.14	0.50	¢	0.000 (5	
33	φ 00,002.44			\$ 00,002.44	\$	1,697.14	2.73	\$	2,320.47	3.73
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4.718.135.36	\$			\$		0.79		105 114 10	
35	φ 4,110,100.00	P		\$ 4,718,135.36		221,501.11	2.73	\$	185,114.16	2.28
36				*****************				******		****
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 17,706,095.72	\$	5.00	\$ 17,706,100.72	\$	688,056.84	2.73	\$	680,510.03	2.70

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 30

1 MAY 2014		UNWIND JRCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA		NON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH	REVENUE \$		REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
2	********	*************				*********		*****************		*****************	
3 JP RURALS	\$	(6,419.85)	(0.13)	\$	(19,663.94)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	4,180,960.91	82.71
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(11,221.03)	(0.13)	\$	(34,369.94)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	7,128,525.23	80.68
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(4,079.27)	(0.13)	\$	(12,494.78)	\$		(0.39)	\$	2,552,182.01	79.46
7 TOTAL RURALS	s	(21,720.15)	(0.13)	\$	(66,528.66)	s	****************	(0.90)	****	10 001 000 15	
8	*	(21,720.10)	(0.13)	φ	(00,020.00)	49	-	(0.39)		13,861,668.15	81.05
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(294.70)	(0.13)	\$	(902.68)	\$		(0.39)	\$	158,506,42	68.31
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(5.31)	(0.13)	\$	(16.25)	\$		(0.39)	\$	3,277.82	78.45
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(1.783.89)	(0.13)	\$	(5,464.05)	\$		(0.39)	\$	895,979.29	63.79
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(70.90)	(0.13)	\$	(217.15)	s		(0.39)	\$	45,642.04	81.76
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	s	(569.05)	(0.13)	\$	(1,742.98)	\$		(0.39)	ŝ	310,048.41	69.20
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(179.05)	(0.13)	\$	(548.44)	\$	-	(0.39)	s	95,174.76	67.51
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(155.60)	(0.13)	\$	(476.61)	\$		(0.39)	\$	86,246.57	70.39
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(286.17)	(0.13)	\$	(876.54)	\$		(0.39)	\$	146,354,66	64.95
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	S	(1,413.69)	(0.13)	\$	(4,330.11)	s		(0.39)	\$	631,651.69	56.75
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(3.68)	(0.13)	\$	(11.26)	\$		(0.39)	\$	2,186.94	75.57
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(71.74)	(0.13)	\$	(219.75)	s		(0.39)	\$	32,431.58	57.41
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(3.23)	(0.13)	\$	(9.90)	\$		(0.39)	\$	2,178.96	85.62
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(3,380.86)	(0,13)	\$	(10,355.54)	\$		(0.39)	ŝ	1,531,678.82	57.54
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(44.26)	(0.13)	\$	(135.58)	\$		(0.39)	s	81,624.57	234.20
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(204.83)	(0.13)	\$	(627.39)	\$		(0.39)	\$	106.524.61	66.05
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(4.94)	(0.13)	\$	(15.13)	\$		(0.39)	\$	2,739.07	70.43
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(327.08)	(0.13)	\$	(1,001.84)	\$		(0.39)	\$	183.030.63	71.07
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(500.68)	(0,13)	\$	(1,533.57)	\$		(0.39)	\$	246.394.15	62.50
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(791.82)	(0.13)	\$	(2,425.33)	\$		(0.39)	\$	388,253,12	62.27
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(141.34)	(0.13)	\$	(432.91)	\$		(0.39)	s	72,580.64	65.22
29	*****										
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,232.82)	(0.13)	\$	(31,343.01)	\$		(0.39)	\$	5,022,504.75	62.33
31											
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(79.01)	(0.13)	\$	(242.00)	\$		(0.39)	\$	60,349.04	97.01
33		***************			************	*********	********			**********	
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,311.83)	(0.13)	\$	(31,585.01)	\$		(0.39)	\$	5,082,853.79	62.60
35	*		**************				***************	**********		*****	
36											
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(32,031.98)	(0.13)	\$	(98,113.67)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	18,944,521.94	75.11
				-		1			-	the second s	

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 3 of 30

2 3 JP RURALS 4 KENERGY RURALS 5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6 7 TOTAL RURALS 8 9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA 13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ \$ \$	(443,564.85) (768,252.95) (277,745.41) (1,489,563.21)	(8.77) (8.70) (8.65)		(611,649.97)	(12.10)	\$	*******************	
4 KENERGY RURALS 5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6 7 TOTAL RURALS 8 9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ \$	(768,252.95) (277,745.41)	(8.70) (8.65)			(12.10)	~		
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6 7 TOTAL RURALS 8 9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ \$	(277,745.41)	(8.65)					3,125,746.09	61.83
6 7 TOTAL RURALS 8 9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	****************	********************		(1,057,968.96)	(11.97)	\$	5,302,303.32	60.01
7 TOTAL RURALS 8 9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(1,489,563.21)			(382,174.63)	(11.90)	\$	1,892,261.97	58.91
8 9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(1,489,563.21)	40		***************	*****************		**********************	
9 KI-ACCURIDE 10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ \$		(8.71)	\$	(2,051,793.56)	(12.00)	\$	10,320,311.38	60.34
10 KI-ALCOA 11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	and and and		****		*****************		**********	************************************
11 KI-ALERIS 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	s	(19,356.08)	(8.34)		(18,680.11)	(8.05)	\$	120,470.23	51.92
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	Y	(338.44)	(8.10)		(336.33)	(8.05)	\$	2,603.05	62.30
	\$	(114,507.16)	(8.15)		(113,073.52)	(8.05)	\$	668,398.61	47.59
	\$	(4,970.85)	(8.90)		(4,493.75)	(8.05)	\$	36,177.44	64.81
	\$	(37,048.26)	(8.27)		(36,069.47)	(8.05)	\$	236,930.68	52.88
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(11,689.72)	(8.29)		(11,349.45)	(8.05)	\$	72,135.59	51.16
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(10, 278.68)	(8.39)		(9,863.10)	(8.05)	\$	66,104.79	53.95
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(18,455.60)	(8.19)		(18,139.15)	(8.05)	\$	109,759.91	48.71
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(87,461.64)	(7.86)		(89,607.64)	(8.05)	\$	454,582.41	40.84
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(247.06)	(8.54)		(232.97)	(8.05)	\$	1,706.91	58.98
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(4,442.19)	(7.86)		(4,547.45)	(8.05)	\$	23,441.94	41.50
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(230.74)	(9.07)		(204.87)	(8.05)	\$	1,743.35	68.50
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(210,048.49)	(7.89)		(214,298.41)	(8.05)	\$	1,107,331.92	41.60
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(5,328.06)	(15.29)		(2,805.67)	(8.05)	\$	73,490.84	210.86
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(13,300.65)	(8.25)		(12, 983.36)	(8.05)	\$	80,240.60	49.75
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(327.85)	(8.43)		(313.06)	(8.05)	\$	2,098.16	53.95
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(21,780.15)	(8.46)		(20, 732.13)	(8.05)	\$	140,518.35	54.56
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(31,925.66)	(8.10)		(31, 735.84)	(8.05)	\$	182,732.65	46.35
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(50,430.83)	(8.09)		(50, 189.98)	(8.05)	\$	287,632,31	46.13
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(8,998.15)	(8.09)		(8,958.76)	(8.05)	\$	54,623.73	49.08
29								******************	
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	(651,166.26)	(8.08)		(651,166.26)	(8.08)	\$	3,722,723.47	46.20
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(5,936.85)	(9.54)		(5,008.07)	(8.05)	\$	49,404.12	79.41
33				****			****	****************	***********************
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(657,103.11)	(8.09)	\$	(656,174.33)	(8.08)	\$	3,772,127.59	46.46
35		***************	*****		*********************	******************		******	
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	•	10 1 10 000 00	(0					15.00	
of GRAND IVIAL	\$	(2,146,666.32)	(8.51)	\$	(2,707,967.89)	(10.74)	\$	14,092,438.97	55.87

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 30

1 JUNE 2014	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$		MAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	P	POWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	1	BILLING ADJ
2 3 JP RURALS	100.000	FO 140 00F	*****	1.005 55 1.00								**************
4 KENERGY RURALS	132,963 239,261	59,149,935	\$	1,835,554.22	\$	2,661,747.08	\$	4,497,301.30	\$	•	\$	-
5 MEADE CO. RURALS		104,322,938	\$	3,302,998.11	\$	4,694,532.21	\$	7,997,530.32	\$		\$	•
S MEADE CO. RORALS	85,975	37,610,050	\$	1,186,884.88	\$	1,692,452.25	\$	2,879,337.13	\$	•	\$	
7 TOTAL RURALS		001 000 000		and an and the second land	 m	0.040 701 84				*************	*****	
P TOTAL KURALS	458,199	201,082,923	\$	6,325,437.21	\$	9,048,731.54	\$	15,374,168.75	\$		\$	
9 KI-ACCURIDE	K 010	0 407 500	~		*****		*****					*******
10 KI-ALCOA	5,617	2,497,730	\$	60,186.16	\$	95,038.63	\$	155,224.79	\$		\$	-
11 KI-ALERIS	55	33,840	\$	589.33	\$	1,287.61	\$	1,876.94	\$	975.07	\$	
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	27,144	14,214,920	\$	290,847.96	\$	540,877.71	\$	831,725.67	\$	-	\$	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	1,964	613,440	\$	21,044.26	\$	23,341.39	\$	44,385.65	\$	235.73	\$	(921.84)
	9,652	3,982,510	\$	103,421.18	\$	151,534.51	\$	254,955.69	\$	-	\$	12,320.42
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,068	1,438,800	\$	32,873.62	\$	54,746.84	\$	87,619.96	\$	-	\$	2,908.53
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	2,923	1,086,830	\$	31,319.95	\$	41,353.88	\$	72,673.83	\$	•	\$	4,519.16
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,649	2,260,870	\$	49,814.04	\$	86,026.10	\$	135,840.14	\$		\$	•
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	18,000	12,926,045	\$	192,870.00	\$	491,836.01	\$	684,706.01	\$	-	\$	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	21,750	\$	642.90	\$	827.59	\$	1,470.49	\$	107.15	\$	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	769	543,620	\$	8,239.84	\$	20,684.74	\$	28,924.58	\$	289.31	\$	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	22,700	\$	1,071.50	\$	863.74	\$	1,935.24	\$		\$	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	38,750	26,444,340	\$	415,206.25	\$	1,006,207.14	\$	1,421,413.39	\$		\$	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	5,900	510,030	\$	63,218.50	\$	19,406.64	\$	82,625.14	\$		\$	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,462	1,503,470	\$	37,095.33	\$	57,207.03	\$	94,302.36	\$		\$	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	27,210	\$	1,071.50	\$	1,035.34	\$	2,106.84	\$	-	\$	
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	6,453	1,900,410	\$	69,143.90	\$	72,310.60	\$	141,454.50	\$		\$	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,076	4,056,210	\$	75,819.34	\$	154,338.79	\$	230,158.13	\$		\$	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,606	6,178,840	\$	124,358.29	\$	235,104.86	\$	359,463.15	\$		\$	-
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,017	1,121,930	\$	21,612.16	\$	42,689.44	\$	64,301.60	\$	3,653.82	\$	-
29		******				*****	****		*****			
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	149,365	81,385,495	\$	1,600,446.01	\$	3,096,718.09	\$	4,697,164.10	\$	5,261.08	\$	18,826.27
31												
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,922	634,510	\$	20,594.23	\$	24,143.11	\$	44,737.34	\$	-	\$	-
33		******	*****	**********								
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	151,287	82,020,005	\$	1,621,040.24	\$	3,120,861.20	\$	4,741,901.44	\$	5,261.08	\$	18,826.27
35	44499999999999999999999999999999999999											
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	609,486	283,102,928	\$	7,946,477.45	\$	12,169,592.74	S	20,116,070.19	\$	5,261.08	\$	18.826.27

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 5 of 30





1 JUNE 2014	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$	PO	EEN WER \$	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	AI	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
2 3 JP RURALS	6 4 407 001 00		2 00				*****		**********************	
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 4,497,301.30	\$	5.00	\$ 4,497,306.30	\$	105,168.58	1.78	\$	263,769.79	4.46
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 7,997,530.32	\$	-	\$ 7,997,530.32	\$	185,486.18	1.78	\$	469,396.36	4.50
5 MEADE CO. RORALS	\$ 2,879,337.13	\$	-	\$ 2,879,337.13	\$	66,870.67	1.78	\$	168,976.19	4.49
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 15,374,168.75	\$	5.00	\$ 15,374,173.75	\$	357,525,43	1.78	s	902,142.34	4.49
8	*****					*******		*		2, 20
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 155,224.79			\$ 155,224.79	\$	4,440.96	1.78	\$	9,447.02	3.78
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 2,852.01			\$ 2,852.01	\$	60.17	1.78	\$	112.58	3.33
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 831,725.67			\$ 831,725.67	\$	25,274.13	1.78	\$	50.241.47	3.53
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 43,699.54			\$ 43,699.54	\$	1.090.70	1.78	\$	2,684.24	4.38
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 267,276.11			\$ 267,276.11	\$	7,080.90	1.78	s	15,571.16	3.91
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 90,528.49			\$ 90,528,49	\$	2,558.19	1.78	\$	5.319.45	3,70
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 77,192.99			\$ 77,192.99	\$	1,932.38	1.78	s	4,460.44	4.10
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 135,840.14			\$ 135,840.14	\$	4,019.83	1.78	\$	8.233.19	3.64
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 684,706.01			\$ 684,706.01	S	22,982.51	1.78	\$	40,804.87	3.16
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 1,577.64			\$ 1,577,64	\$	38.67	1.78	ŝ	90.36	4.15
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 29,213.89			\$ 29,213.89	\$	966.56	1.78	\$	1.724.85	3.17
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 1,935.24			\$ 1,935.24	\$	40.36	1.78	\$	121.61	5.36
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,421,413.39			\$ 1,421,413.39	\$	47,018.04	1.78	s	84,885.57	3.21
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 82,625.14			\$ 82,625.14	\$	906.83	1.78	s	5,400.69	10.59
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 94,302.36			\$ 94,302.36	\$	2,673.17	1.78	\$	5,745.60	3.82
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 2,106.84			\$ 2,106.84	\$	48.38	1.78	\$	131.26	4.82
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 141,454.50			\$ 141,454.50	\$	3,378.93	1.78	\$	8.779.57	4.62
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 230,158.13			\$ 230,158.13	\$	7,211.94	1.78	\$	13,847.33	3.41
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 359,463.15			\$ 359,463.15	\$	10,985.98	1.78	\$	21,697.81	3.51
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$ 67,955.42			\$ 67,955.42	\$	1,994.79	1.78	\$	3,873.80	3.45
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,721,251.45	\$		\$ 4,721,251.45	\$	144,703.42	1.78	\$	283.172.87	3.48
31										
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$ 44,737.34			\$ 44,737.34	\$	1,128.16	1.78	\$	2,761.49	4.35
33 34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,765,988.79	¢		\$ 4,765,988.79	s		1.70			
35	\$ 4,100,300,13	Φ		ə 4,700,388.79	*	145,831.58	1.78	\$	285,934.36	3.49
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 20,140,157.54	\$	5.00	\$ 20,140,162.54	\$	503,357.01	1.78	\$	1,188,076.70	4.20

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 6 of 30

1 JUNE 2014		UNWIND JRCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SMI	JON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
2			*******					***************		****************	
3 JP RURALS	\$	(7,512.04)	(0.13)	\$	(23,009.32)	\$		(0.39)	\$	4,835,723.31	81.75
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(13,249.01)	(0.13)	\$	(40, 581.62)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	8,598,582.23	82.42
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(4,776.48)	(0.13)	\$	(14,630.31)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	3,095,777.20	82.31
6			******************		***************************************	*******			****	****************	
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(25,537.53)	(0.13)	\$	(78,221.25)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	16,530,082.74	82.21
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(317.21)	(0.13)	\$	(971.62)	\$	******	(0.90)		167,823.94	07.10
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(4.30)	(0.13)	\$	(13.16)	ŝ	-	(0.39)	\$		67.19
11 KI-ALERIS	8	(1,805.29)	(0.13)	Ф \$	(5,529.60)	₽ S		(0.39)	\$	3,007.30	88.87
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	ę	(1,803.29)	(0.13)				-	(0.39)	\$	899,906.38	63.31
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(505.78)		\$	(238.63)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	47,157.94	76.87
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$		(0.13)	\$	(1,549.20)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	287,873.19	72.28
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ę e	(182.73)	(0.13)	\$	(559.69)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	97,663.71	67.88
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(138.03)	(0.13)	\$	(422.78)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	83,025.00	76.39
	\$	(287.13)	(0.13)	\$	(879.48)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	146,926.55	64.99
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(1,641.61)	(0.13)	\$	(5,028.23)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	741,823.55	57.89
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(2.76)	(0.13)	\$	(8.46)	\$	*	(0.39)	\$	1,695.45	77.95
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(69.04)	(0.13)	\$	(211.47)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	31,624.79	58.17
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(2.88)	(0.13)	\$	(8.83)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	2,085.50	91.87
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(3,358.43)	(0.13)	\$	(10,286.85)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	1,539,671.72	58.22
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(64.77)	(0,13)	\$	(198.40)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	88,669.49	173.85
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(190.94)	(0.13)	\$	(584.85)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	101,945.34	67.81
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(3.46)	(0.13)	\$	(10.58)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	2,272.44	83.51
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(241.35)	(0.13)	\$	(739.26)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	152,632.39	80.32
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(515.14)	(0.13)	\$	(1,577.87)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	249, 124.39	61.42
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(784.71)	(0.13)	\$	(2,403.57)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	388,958.66	62.95
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(142.49)	(0.13)	\$	(436.43)	\$		(0.39)	\$	73,245.09	65.28
29 30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10 300 00)	(0.1.0)		(01 0F0 00)						*************
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,335.96)	(0.13)	\$	(31,658.96)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	5,107,132.82	62.75
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(80,58)	(0.13)	\$	(246.82)	\$		(0.39)	\$	48,299.59	76.12
33		(00000)	(0.10)			*		(0.00)	Ψ	40,233.03	10.12
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,416.54)	(0.13)	\$	(31,905.78)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	5,155,432.41	62.86
35			***************			********	*****		****		****************
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	e	/95 054 070	(0.10)	¢	/110 107 00	o		(0.00)	æ		
of GRADE IVIAL	\$	(35,954.07)	(0.13)	\$	(110,127.03)	\$		(0.39)	\$	21,685,515.15	76.60
											100.00

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 7 of 30

1 JUNE 2014		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	M	N 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
2 3 JP RURALS		(FF1 41F 00)			(700 000 00)				
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(551,415.92)	(9.32)		(709,969.39)	(12.00)	\$	3,574,338.00	60.43
	\$	(976,718.80)	(9.36)		(1,256,410.94)	(12.04)	\$	6,365,452.49	61.02
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(351,873.86)	(9.36)		(452,704.23)	(12.04)	\$	2,291,199.11	60.92
b B BORAL DIDALO		(1 000 000 mm					*****		
7 TOTAL RURALS		(1,880,008.58)	(9.35)	\$	(2,419,084.56)	(12.03)	\$	12,230,989.60	60.83
8			*******	****	***************			************	***********************
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(21,593.48)	(8.65)		(20,106.73)	(8.05)	\$	126, 123.73	50.50
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(277.14)	(8.19)		(272.41)	(8.05)	\$	2,457.75	72.63
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(119,368.63)	(8.40)		(114,430.11)	(8.05)	\$	666,107.64	46.86
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(5,667.40)	(9.24)		(4,938.19)	(8.05)	\$	36,552.35	59.59
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(34,938.10)	(8.77)		(32,059.21)	(8.05)	\$	220,875.88	55.46
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(12, 316.34)	(8.56)		(11,582.34)	(8.05)	\$	73,765.03	51.27
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(9,745.69)	(8.97)		(8,748.98)	(8.05)	\$	64,530.33	59.37
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(19, 227.80)	(8.50)		(18, 200.00)	(8.05)	\$	109,498.75	48.43
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(103, 664. 22)	(8.02)		(104,054.66)	(8.05)	\$	534,104.67	41.32
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(196.13)	(9.02)		(175.09)	(8.05)	\$	1,324.23	60.88
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(4,368.48)	(8.04)		(4,376.14)	(8.05)	\$	22,880.17	42.09
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(232.00)	(10.22)		(182.74)	(8.05)	\$	1,670.76	73.60
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(213, 484, 40)	(8.07)		(212, 876.94)	(8.05)	\$	1,113,310.38	42.10
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(7,880.97)	(15.45)		(4,105.74)	(8.05)	\$	76,682.78	150.35
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(13,056.98)	(8.68)		(12,102.93)	(8.05)	\$	76,785.43	51.07
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(263.58)	(9.69)		(219.04)	(8.05)	\$	1,789.82	65.78
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(18,021.27)	(9.48)		(15,298.30)	(8.05)	\$	119,312.82	62.78
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(33,572.68)	(8.28)		(32, 652.49)	(8.05)	\$	182,899.22	45.09
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(51,745.51)	(8.37)		(49,739.66)	(8.05)	\$	287,473.49	46.53
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(9,329.74)	(8.32)		(9,031.54)	(8.05)	\$	54,883.81	48.92
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(678,950.54)	(8.34)	\$	(655,153.24)	(8.05)	\$	3,773,029.04	46.36
31 32 JPI-SHELL OIL	¢	(E 9.47 19)	(0.99)		(5 107 91)	(0.05)	ø	00 044 00	50.00
33	\$	(5,847.12)	(9.22)		(5,107.81)	(8.05)	\$	37,344.66	58.86
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(684,797.66)	(8.35)	\$	(660,261.05)	(8.05)	\$	3,810,373.70	46.46
35 36	****	******	*****************	****		*********		******	****
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(2,564,806.24)	(9.06)	\$	(3,079,345.61)	(10.88)	\$	16,041,363.30	56.66

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 8 of 30

POWER

1 JULY 2014	KW BILLED	KWH	DEMAND \$	BASE ENERGY \$	DI	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	F P	ACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	F	BILLING ADJ
2	***********	**********************	 	 		*****				
3 JP RURALS	132,334	60,133,523	\$ 1,826,870.87	\$ 2,706,008.54	\$	4,532,879.41	\$		\$	-
4 KENERGY RURALS	244,739	105,959,330	\$ 3,378,621.90	\$ 4,768,169.85	\$	8,146,791.75	\$	-	\$	-
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	87,938	38,364,640	\$ 1,213,984.09	\$ 1,726,408.80	\$	2,940,392.89	\$	-	\$	
6		***********************************	 ***************************************	 ********		*****************	******	*************		***************
7 TOTAL RURALS	465,011	204,457,493	\$ 6,419,476.86	\$ 9,200,587.19	\$	15,620,064.05	\$		\$	
8			 	 		*****				
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,511	2,339,870	\$ 59,050.37	\$ 89,032.05	\$	148,082.42	\$		\$	
10 KI-ALCOA	55	32,540	\$ 589.33	\$ 1,238.15	\$	1,827.48	\$	1,017.93	\$	
11 KI-ALERIS	26,582	15,149,710	\$ 284,826.13	\$ 576,446.47	\$	861,272.60	\$		\$	
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,771	593,440	\$ 18,976.27	\$ 22,580.39	\$	41,556.66	\$		\$	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	10,445	4,317,700	\$ 111,918.18	\$ 164,288.49	\$	276,206.67	\$	-	\$	8,223.08
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,125	1,467,642	\$ 33,484.38	\$ 55,843.78	\$	89,328.16	\$		\$	3,259.25
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	2,100	802,980	\$ 22,501.50	\$ 30,553.39	\$	53,054.89	\$		\$	4,860.77
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,738	2,002,520	\$ 50,767.67	\$ 76,195.89	\$	126,963.56	\$	567.90	\$	-
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	18,000	13,391,539	\$ 192,870.00	\$ 509,548.06	\$	702,418.06	\$	-	\$	
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	22,240	\$ 642.90	\$ 846.23	\$	1,489.13	\$	75.01	\$	
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	775	563,600	\$ 8,304.13	\$ 21,444.98	\$	29,749.11	\$	289.31	\$	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	23,460	\$ 1,071.50	\$ 892.65	\$	1,964.15	\$	-	\$	
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	38,707	26,619,850	\$ 414,745.51	\$ 1,012,885.29	\$	1,427,630.80	\$	-	\$	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	3,000	653,300	\$ 32,145.00	\$ 24,858.07	\$	57,003.07	\$		\$	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,485	1,561,000	\$ 37,341.78	\$ 59,396.05	\$	96,737.83	\$	-	\$	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	28,490	\$ 1,071.50	\$ 1,084.04	\$	2,155.54	\$	-	\$	
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3,155	1,165,500	\$ 33,805.83	\$ 44,347.28	\$	78,153.11	\$		\$	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,159	4,282,440	\$ 76,708.69	\$ 162,946.84	\$	239,655,53	\$		S	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,923	6,419,370	\$ 127,754.95	\$ 244,257.03	\$	372,011.98	S		\$	
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,039	1,190,600	\$ 21,847.89	\$ 45,302.33	\$	67,150.22	\$	3,364.51	S	
29			 ********************	 						***************
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	142,830	82,627,791	\$ 1,530,423.51	\$ 3,143,987.46	\$	4,674,410.97	\$	5,314.66	\$	16,343.10
31										
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,922	553,870	\$ 20,594.23	\$ 21,074.75	\$	41,668,98	\$		\$	
33	-+55455445864	******	 **********	 						
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	144,752	83,181,661	\$ 1,551,017.74	\$ 3.165.062.21	\$	4,716.079.95	\$	5.314.66	s	16,343,10
35	***************************************		 	 				0,0 × 1.00	*****	
36										
37 GRAND TOTAL	609,763	287,639,154	\$ 7,970,494.60	\$ 12,365,649.40	\$	20,336,144.00	\$	5,314.66	\$	16,343.10

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 9 of 30

1 JULY 2014	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$	REEN WER \$	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	AI	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
2		 *******		*******					
3 JP RURALS	\$ 4,532,879.41	\$ 5.00	\$ 4,532,884.41	\$	299,164.28	4.98	\$	262,555.79	4.37
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 8,146,791.75	\$ -	\$ 8,146,791.75	\$	527,147.67	4.98	\$	472,223.74	4.46
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 2,940,392.89	\$	\$ 2,940,392.89	\$	190,864.08	4.97	\$	170,418.54	4.44
6		 *******	*******			********************			**********************
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 15,620,064.05	\$ 5.00	\$ 15,620,069.05	\$	1,017,176.03	4.98	\$	905,198.07	4.43
8		 				*********			
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 148,082.42		\$ 148,082.42	\$	11,640.85	4.97	\$	8,987.07	3.84
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 2,845.41		\$ 2,845.41	\$	161.89	4.98	\$	110.09	3.38
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 861,272.60		\$ 861,272.60	\$	75,369.81	4.98	\$	51,927.71	3.43
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 41,556.66		\$ 41,556.66	\$	2,952.36	4.97	\$	2,536.12	4.27
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 284,429.75		\$ 284,429.75	\$	21,480.56	4.98	\$	16,773.27	3.88
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 92,587.41		\$ 92,587.41	\$	7,301.52	4.98	\$	5,408.81	3.69
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 57,915.66		\$ 57,915.66	\$	3,994.83	4.98	\$	3,227.75	4.02
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 127,531.46		\$ 127,531.46	\$	9,962.54	4.98	\$	7,706.19	3.85
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 702,418.06		\$ 702,418.06	\$	66,622.91	4.98	\$	42,119.66	3,15
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 1,564.14		\$ 1,564.14	\$	110.64	4.97	\$	90.66	4.08
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 30,038.42		\$ 30,038.42	\$	2,803.91	4.98	\$	1,784.66	3.17
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 1,964.15		\$ 1,964.15	\$	116.71	4.97	\$	120.89	5.15
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,427,630.80		\$ 1,427,630.80	\$	132,433.75	4.97	\$	85,739.12	3.22
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 57,003.07		\$ 57,003.07	\$	3,250.17	4.98	\$	3,514.52	5.38
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 96,737.83		\$ 96,737.83	\$	7,765.98	4.98	\$	5,863.77	3.76
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 2,155.54		\$ 2,155.54	\$	141.74	4.98	\$	132.06	4.64
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 78,153.11		\$ 78,153.11	\$	5,798.36	4.97	\$	4,758.52	4.08
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 239,655.53		\$ 239,655.53	\$	21,305.14	4.98	\$	14,434.39	3.37
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 372,011.98		\$ 372,011.98	\$	31,936.37	4.98	\$	22,456.93	3.50
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$ 70,514.73	 	\$ 70,514.73	\$	5,923.24	4.98	\$	4,046.51	3.40
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,696,068.73	\$ -	\$ 4,696,068.73	\$	411,073.28	4.98	\$	281,738.70	3.41
31 32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$ 41,668.98		\$ 41.668.98	¢	9 755 50	4.07	æ	0 550 10	
33	\$ 41,068.98	 		ф 	2,755.50	4.97	\$	2,552.13	4.61
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$ 4,737,737.71	\$ •	\$ 4,737,737.71	\$	413,828.78	4.98	\$	284,290.83	3.42
36									
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 20,357,801.76	\$ 5.00	\$ 20,357,806.76	\$	1,431,004.81	4.98	\$	1,189,488.90	4.14

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 10 of 30

TINKETATO

1 JULY 2014		UNWIND URCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SMI	ION ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/
2							TOTIA	Дууд		\$	KWH
3 JP RURALS	\$	(7,576.82)	(0.13)	\$	(23,391.94)	\$		(0.39)	\$	5,063,635,72	84.21
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(13,350.88)	(0.13)	\$		\$		(0.39)	s	9,091,594,10	85.80
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(4,833.94)	(0.13)	\$		\$		(0.39)	\$	3,281,917.73	85.55
6		******************						(0.00)	Ψ	0,201,311.10	60.00
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(25, 761.64)	(0.13)	\$	(79,533.96)	\$	-	(0.39)	s	17,437,147.55	PE OP
8								(0.00)		11,401,141.00	85.28
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(294.82)	(0.13)	\$	(910.21)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	167.505.31	71.59
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(4.10)	(0.13)	\$	(12.66)	\$		(0.39)	\$	3,100.63	95.29
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(1,908.86)	(0.13)	\$	(5,893.24)	s		(0.39)	\$	980,768.02	64.74
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(74.77)	(0.13)	\$	(230,85)	\$		(0.39)	\$	46,739.52	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(544.03)	(0.13)	\$	(1,679.59)	\$	<u> </u>	(0.39)	\$	320,459,96	78.76
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(184.92)	(0.13)	\$	(570.91)	\$		(0.39)	\$		74.22
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(101.18)	(0.13)	\$	(312.36)	\$			φ \$	104,541.91	71.23
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(252.32)	(0.13)	\$	(778.98)	¢	-	(0.39) (0.39)	φ \$	64,724.70	80.61
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(1,687.33)	(0.13)	\$	(5,209.31)	\$		(0.39)	ф \$	144,168.89	71.99
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(2.80)	(0.13)	\$	(8,65)	¢				804,263.99	60.06
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(71.01)	(0.13)	\$	(219.24)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	1,753.99	78.87
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(2.96)	(0.13)	\$	(9.13)	\$ \$	-	(0.39)	\$	34,336.74	60.92
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(3,354.10)	(0.13)	\$	(10,355,12)	¢	-	(0.39)	\$	2,189.66	93.34
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	s	(82.32)	(0.13)	\$	(254.13)	¢	-	(0.39)	\$	1,632,094.45	61.31
23 KI-PRECOAT	s	(196.69)	(0.13)	\$	(607.23)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	63,431.31	97.09
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(3.59)	(0.13)	\$	(11.08)	\$ \$		(0.39)	\$	109,563.66	70.19
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(146.85)	(0.13)	\$	(453.38)	ф \$	-	(0.39)	\$	2,414.67	84.76
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(539.59)	(0.13)	Ф \$	(455.38)	Ф \$		(0.39)	\$	88,109.76	75.60
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	ŝ	(808.84)	(0.13)	Ф \$		*	-	(0.39)	\$	273,189.60	63.79
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	s	(150.02)			(2,497.13)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	423,099.31	65.91
29	φ	,	(0.13)	\$	(463.14)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	79,871.32	67.08
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,411.10)	(0.10)		(00 1 10 01)					******************	
31	φ	(10,411,10)	(0.13)	\$	(32,142.21)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	5,346,327.40	64.70
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(00 70)	(0, 10)								
33	Ф	(69.79)	(0.13)	\$	(215.46)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	46,691.36	84.30
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	¢1	(10 400 00)	/o				********	***************			**************
35	Ф	(10,480.89)	(0.13)	\$	(32,357.67)	\$	*	(0.39)	\$	5,393,018.76	64.83
36				*****				******************			****************
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(00 0 40 FC)	(0.1-)		dist and are						
of Galling IVIAL	Φ	(36,242.53)	(0.13)	\$	(111,891.63)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	22,830,166.31	79.37
				-		1.1			-		

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 11 of 30



1 JULY 2014	MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	CN 2013-00199 MRSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE TE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
2 3 JP RURALS	A (007 005 00)	(10.40)					**********************
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ (627,025.08)	(10.43)	(719,244.82)	(11.96)	\$	3,717,365.82	61.82
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ (1,114,443.24)	(10.52)	(1,277,655.75)	(12.06)	\$	6,699,495.11	63.23
	\$ (402,946.62)	(10.50)	(461,999.16)	(12.04)	\$	2,416,971.95	63.00
6		(4.0. 10)				******	
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ (2,144,414.94)	(10.49)	\$ (2,458,899.73)	(12.03)	\$	12,833,832.88	62.77
8	A (00 100 00)			**********************			
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ (23,169.02)	(9.90)	(18,835.95)	(8.05)	\$	125,500.34	53.64
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ (307.32)	(9.44)	(261.95)	(8.05)	\$	2,531.36	77.79
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ (143,750.11)	(9.49)	(121,955.17)	(8.05)	\$	715,062.74	47.20
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ (6,132.96)	(10.33)	(4,777.19)	(8.05)	\$	35,829.37	60.38
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ (42,942.85)	(9.95)	(34,757.49)	(8.05)	\$	242,759.62	56.22
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ (14,304.19)	(9.75)	(11,814.52)	(8.05)	\$	78,423.20	53.43
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ (8,094.61)	(10.08)	(6,463.99)	(8.05)	\$	50,166.10	62.47
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ (19,843.46)	(9.91)	(16,120.29)	(8.05)	\$	108,205.14	54.03
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ (123,285.79)	(9.21)	(107,801.89)	(8.05)	\$	573,176.31	42.80
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ (225.45)	(10.14)	(179.03)	(8.05)	\$	1,349.51	60.68
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ (5,200.64)	(9.23)	(4,536.98)	(8.05)	\$	24,599.12	43.65
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ (263.07)	(11.21)	(188.85)	(8.05)	\$	1,737.74	74.07
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ (247,082.03)	(9.28)	(214, 289.79)	(8.05)	\$	1,170,722.63	43.98
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ (7,474.17)	(11.44)	(5, 259.07)	(8.05)	\$	50,698.07	77.60
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ (15,324.99)	(9.82)	(12,566.05)	(8.05)	\$	81.672.62	52.32
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ (304.74)	(10.70)	(229.34)	(8.05)	\$	1.880.59	66.01
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ (11,822.62)	(10.14)	(9,382.28)	(8.05)	\$	66,904,86	57.40
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ (40,390.26)	(9.43)	(34,473.64)	(8.05)	\$	198,325,70	46.31
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ (61,364.74)	(9.56)	(51,675.93)	(8.05)	\$	310,058,64	48.30
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$ (11,262,74)	(9,46)	(9,584.33)	(8.05)	\$	59.024.25	49.58
29	*********************		**************************			******	
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$ (782,545.76)	(9.47)	\$ (665,153.73)	(8.05)	\$	3,898,627.91	47.18
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$ (5,909.13)	(10.67)	(4,458.65)	(8.05)	\$	36,323.58	65.58
33 34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	¢ /790 454 00	(0 40)	¢ (000.010.00)	/0 OF		0.004.051.40	
35	\$ (788,454.89)	(9.48)	\$ (669,612.38)	(8.05)	\$	3,934,951.49	47.31
36							
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ (2,932,869.83)	(10.20)	\$ (3,128,512.11)	(10.88)	\$	16,768,784.37	58.30

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 12 of 30

POWER

1 AUGUST 2014 2	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	Di	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$) P	FACTOR FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$		BILLING ADJ
3 JP RURALS	143,134	65,620,866	\$	1,975,964.87	s	2,952,938,97	¢	4,928,903,84	0	***************		***************
4 KENERGY RURALS	249,283	115,093,690	S		\$	5,179,216.05	\$	8,620,567.87	¢ ¢		\$	•
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	91,048	40,866,570	\$	1,256,917.64	\$	1,838,995.65	\$	3,095,913.29	\$		¢	-
6					****	-,000,000.00	Ŷ	0,000,010.20	φ	-	ф	Ī
7 TOTAL RURALS	483,465	221,581,126	\$	6,674,234.33	\$	9,971,150.67	\$	16,645,385,00	s		¢	
8 A MI & COURTER									*		Φ	•
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,549	2,459,210	\$	59,457.54	\$	93,572.94	\$	153,030.48	\$		\$	
10 KI-ALCOA	55	33,150	\$	589.33	\$	1,261,36	\$	1,850.69	s	889.35	ę	
11 KI-ALERIS	28,049	15,628,520	\$	300,545.04	\$	594,665,19	\$	895,210.23	s	000,00	¢	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,905	619,090	\$	20,412.08	\$	23,556.37	\$	43,968,45	\$		¢	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	10,408	4,724,300	\$	111,521.72	\$	179,759.62	\$	291,281.34	s		¢	12,041.01
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,137	1,471,590	\$	33,612.96	\$	55,994.00	\$	89,606,96	\$		¢	3,112.87
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	2,100	811,450	\$	22,501.50	\$	30,875,67	s	53,377.17	s		¢	2,703.98
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,665	2, 192, 130	\$	49,985.48	\$	83,410.55	\$	133,396,03	\$		\$	2,103.30
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	18,000	13,391,662	\$	192,870.00	\$	509,552,74	\$	702,422.74	\$	-	¢ ¢	
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	24,380	\$	642.90	\$	927.66	\$	1,570.56	\$	171.44	e e	
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	769	563,750	\$	8,239.84	\$	21,450.69	\$	29,690,53	\$	289.31	¢.	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	28,290	\$	1,071.50	s	1,076.43	s	2,147,93	ę	403.01	¢.	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	38,837	27,512,230	\$	416,138,46	\$	1,046,840.35	\$	1,462,978.81	¢	-	¢	1.1
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	3,000	789,420	\$	32,145.00	\$	30,037.43	\$	62,182,43	¢	-	Φ	
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,591	1,449,730	\$	38,477,57	\$	55,162.23	s	93,639,80	¢		ф ¢	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	26,850	\$	1,071,50	\$	1.021.64	s	2,093.14	¢	-	¢.	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3,087	1,158,420	\$	33,077,21	\$	44,077.88	\$	77,155.09	\$		\$	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,205	4,502,290	\$	77,201.58	\$	171,312.13	s	248,513.71	¢	-	¢.	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	12,247	6,804,690	\$	131,226.61	\$	258,918.45	s	390,145.06	¢	•	¢ ¢	
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,057	1,220,560	\$	22,040.76	\$	46,442,31	s	68,483.07	\$	3,064.49	Ð	-
29				********************						0,004.40	φ	-
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	144,921	85,411,712	\$	1,552,828.58	\$	3,249,915.64	\$	4,802,744,22	\$	4,414.59	\$	17,857.86
								5101150 APR 5		-,	Ψ	11,007.00
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,944	529, 120	\$	20,829.96	\$	20,133.02	\$	40,962,98	\$	-	\$	
33 34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	***************************************	**********		***********************				******			*	
35	146,865	85,940,832	\$	1,573,658.54	\$	3,270,048.66	\$	4,843,707.20	\$	4,414.59	s	17.857.86
36									******			
37 GRAND TOTAL	630,330	307,521,958	\$	8,247,892.87	\$	13,241,199.33	\$	21,489,092.20	\$	4,414.59	\$	17,857.86
							-		-			

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 13 of 30

1 AUGUST 2014 2	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN)WER \$	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	A	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
3 JP RURALS	¢ 4 000 000 04				******				******************	
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 4,928,903.84	\$	5.00	\$ 4,928,908.84	\$	168,842.49	2.57	\$	349,876.95	5.33
5 MEADE CO, RURALS	\$ 8,620,567.87	\$	-	\$ 8,620,567.87	\$	296,136.06	2.57	\$	611,798.96	5.32
6	\$ 3,095,913.29	\$	-	\$ 3,095,913.29	\$	105,149.68	2.57	\$	219,903.17	5.38
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 16,645,385.00	\$	5.00	\$ 16,645,390.00	\$	570,128.23	2.57	\$	1,181,579.08	5.33
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 153,030.48	******	********			*************			*******************	
10 KI-ALCOA				\$ 153,030.48	\$	6,327.55	2.57	\$	11,308.12	4.60
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 2,740.04			\$ 2,740.04	\$	85,29	2.57	\$	135.12	4.08
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 895,210.23			\$ 895,210.23	\$	40,212.18	2.57	\$	65,556.53	4.19
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 43,968.45			\$ 43,968.45	\$	1,592.92	2.57	\$	3,290.90	5.32
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 303,322.35			\$ 303,322.35	\$	12,155.62	2.57	\$	21,503.33	4.55
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 92,719.83			\$ 92,719.83	\$	3,786.40	2.57	\$	6,606,34	4.49
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 56,081.15			\$ 56,081.15	\$	2,087.86	2.57	\$	3,966.45	4.89
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 133,396.03			\$ 133,396.03	\$	5,640.35	2.57	\$	9,834.05	4.49
17 RI-DOMTAR PAPER CO. 18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 702,422.74			\$ 702,422.74	\$	34,456.75	2.57	\$	50,898,42	3.80
	\$ 1,742.00			\$ 1,742.00	\$	62.73	2.57	\$	116,47	4.78
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 29,979.84			\$ 29,979.84	\$	1,450.53	2.57	\$	2,152,51	3.82
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 2,147.93			\$ 2,147.93	\$	72.79	2.57	\$	161.70	5.72
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,462,978.81			\$ 1,462,978.81	\$	70,788.97	2,57	\$	106,190.82	3.86
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 62,182.43			\$ 62,182.43	\$	2,031.18	2,57	s	4.695.37	5.95
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 93,639.80			\$ 93,639.80	\$	3,730,16	2.57	\$	6,945.82	4.79
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 2,093.14			\$ 2,093.14	\$	69.09	2.57	s	157.92	5.88
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 77,155.09			\$ 77,155.09	\$	2,980.61	2.57	\$	5.740.33	4.96
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 248,513.71			\$ 248,513.71	\$	11,584.39	2.57	ŝ	18.120.37	4.98
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 390,145.06			\$ 390,145.06	\$	17,508,47	2.57	\$	28.573.53	
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$ 71,547.56			\$ 71,547.56	\$	3,140.50	2.57	\$	5,003.08	4.20 4.10
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$ 4,825,016.67	\$		\$ 4,825,016.67	\$	219,764.34	2.57	\$	350,957.18	4.11
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$ 40,962.98			\$ 40,962.98	\$	1,361.43	2.57	\$	3,088.75	5.84
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$ 4,865,979.65	\$	-	\$ 4,865,979.65	\$	221,125.77	2.57	\$	354,045.93	4.12
36	************************	*******		***********************	*******	***************************************				
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 21,511,364.65	\$	5.00	\$ 21,511,369.65	\$	791,254.00	2.57	\$	1,535,625.01	4,99

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 14 of 30





1 AUGUST 2014	s	UNWIND URCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SMI	VON ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE S	REVENUI MILLS/ KWH
2										¥	THE PARTY IS
3 JP RURALS	\$	(8,268.23)	(0.13)	\$	(25, 526.52)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	5,413,833,53	82.50
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(14,501.80)	(0.13)	\$	(44,771.45)	\$		(0.39)	\$	9,469,229.64	82.27
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(5, 149.19)	(0.13)	\$	(15,897.10)	\$		(0.39)	\$	3,399,919.85	83.20
6	******									0,000,010.00	00,20
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(27,919.22)	(0.13)	\$	(86, 195.07)	\$		(0.39)	\$	18,282,983.02	82.51
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(309.86)	(0.13)	\$	(956.63)	s		(0.00)			***************
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(4.18)	(0.13)	s \$	(12.90)	ş	-	(0.39)	\$	169,399.66	68.88
11 KI-ALERIS	ŝ	(1,969.19)	(0.13)	\$		ş S	-	(0.39)	\$	2,943.37	88.79
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	¢	(78.01)	. ,	-	(6,079.49)	*	-	(0.39)	\$	992,930.26	63.53
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	¢	(595.26)	(0.13)	\$	(240.83)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	48,533.43	78.39
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	Ŷ		(0.13)	\$	(1,837.75)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	334,548.29	70.81
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	Þ	(185.42)	(0.13)	\$	(572.45)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	102,354.70	69.55
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	Þ	(102.24)	(0.13)	\$	(315.65)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	61,717.57	76.06
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(276.21)	(0.13)	\$	(852.74)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	147,741.48	67.40
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(1,687.35)	(0.13)	\$	(5,209.36)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	780,881.20	58.31
the second	\$	(3.07)	(0.13)	\$	(9.48)	\$		(0.39)	\$	1,908.65	78.29
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(71.03)	(0.13)	\$	(219.30)	\$		(0.39)	\$	33,292,55	59.06
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(3.56)	(0.13)	\$	(11.00)	\$		(0.39)	\$	2.367.86	83.70
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(3,466.54)	(0.13)	\$	(10,702.26)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	1,625,789.80	59.09
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(99.47)	(0.13)	\$	(307.08)	\$		(0.39)	\$	68,502,43	86.78
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(182.67)	(0.13)	\$	(563.94)	\$		(0.39)	S	103,569,17	71.44
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(3.38)	(0.13)	\$	(10.44)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	2,306.33	85.90
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(145.96)	(0.13)	\$	(450.63)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	85,279,44	73.62
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(567.29)	(0.13)	\$	(1,751.39)	\$		(0.39)	\$	275,899,79	61.28
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(857.39)	(0.13)	\$	(2,647.02)	\$		(0.39)	s	432,722.65	63.59
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(153.79)	(0.13)	\$	(474.80)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	79,062.55	64.78
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,761.87)	(0.13)	\$	(33,225.14)	\$		(0.39)	\$	5.351.751.18	62.66
31 32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	100.000	(0.10)		1000 0-1						02.00
33	Φ	(66.67)	(0.13)	\$	(205.83)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	45,140.66	85.31
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,828.54)	(0.13)	\$	(33,430.97)	\$		(0.39)	\$	5,396,891.84	62.80
35		*****								*****************	
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(38,747.76)	(0.13)	\$	(119,626.04)	\$		(0.39)	\$	23,679,874.86	77.00

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 15 of 30

1 AUGUST 2014	MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	MR	N 2013-00199 SM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
2	***********************			****************	**************	••••		**************
3 JP RURALS	\$ (589,983.70)	(8.99)		(783,741.05)	(11.94)	\$	4,040,108.78	61.57
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ (1,032,926.77)	(8.97)		(1,373,048.06)	(11.93)	\$	7,063,254.81	61,37
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ (369,433.94)	(9.04)		(489,789.47)	(11.99)	\$	2,540,696.44	62.17
6		******************		*********				
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$ (1,992,344.41)	(8.99)	\$	(2,646,578.58)	(11.94)	\$	13,644,060.03	61.58
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ (20,306.37)	(8.26)		(19,796.64)	(8.05)	\$	129,296.65	52.58
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ (256.41)	(7.73)		(266.86)	(8.05)	\$	2,420.10	73.00
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ (122,741.29)	(7.85)		(125, 809.59)	(8.05)	\$	744,379.38	47.63
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ (5,556.15)	(8.97)		(4,983.67)	(8.05)	\$	37,993.61	61.37
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ (38,789.54)	(8.21)		(38,030.62)	(8.05)	\$	257,728.13	54.55
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ (11,990.89)	(8.15)		(11,846.30)	(8.05)	\$	78,517.51	53.36
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ (6,935.55)	(8.55)		(6, 532.17)	(8.05)	\$	48,249.85	59.46
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ (17,855.05)	(8.15)		(17,646.65)	(8.05)	\$	112,239.78	51.20
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ (99,898.51)	(7.46)		(107, 802.88)	(8.05)	\$	573,179.81	42.80
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ (205.68)	(8.44)		(196.26)	(8.05)	\$	1,506.71	61.80
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ (4,215.28)	(7.48)		(4, 538.19)	(8.05)	\$	24,539.08	43.53
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ (265.22)	(9.38)		(227.73)	(8.05)	\$	1,874.91	66.27
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ (206,858.07)	(7.52)		(221, 473.45)	(8.05)	\$	1,197,458,28	43.52
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ (7,583.86)	(9.61)		(6,354.83)	(8.05)	\$	54,563.74	69.12
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ (12,250.38)	(8.45)		(11, 670.33)	(8.05)	\$	79,648.46	54.94
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ (256.17)	(9.54)		(216.14)	(8.05)	\$	1,834.02	68.31
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ (9,978.99)	(8.61)		(9, 325.28)	(8.05)	\$	65,975.17	56,95
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ (34,594.25)	(7.68)		(36,243.43)	(8.05)	\$	205,062.11	45.55
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ (53,471.89)	(7.86)		(54,777.75)	(8.05)	\$	324,473.01	47.68
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$ (9,469.11)	(7.76)		(9,825.51)	(8.05)	\$	59,767.93	48.97
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$ (663,478.66)	(7.77)	\$	(687,564.28)	(8.05)	\$	4,000,708.24	46.84
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$ (5,024.80)	(9.50)		(4,259.42)	(8.05)	\$	35,856.44	67.77
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$ (668,503.46)	(7.78)	\$	(691,823.70)	(8.05)	\$	4,036,564.68	46.97
36 37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ (2,660,847.87)	(8.65)	\$	(3,338,402.28)	(10.86)	\$	17,680,624.71	57.49

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 16 of 30

POWER

1 SEPTEMBER 2014 2	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	DI	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	I P	FOWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$)	BILLING ADJ
3 JP RURALS	128,528	50,478,870	•	1 774 200 04		0.071 540 15					*****	
4 KENERGY RURALS	230,526	91,603,530	\$	1,774,329.04 3,182,411.43	\$	2,271,549.15	\$	4,045,878.19	\$	-	\$	-
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	84,707	32,487,870	s S	1,169,380,14	\$	4,122,158.85	\$	7,304,570.28	\$		\$	-
6	04,107	04,407,070	φ	1,109,360,14	Ð	1,461,954.15	\$	2,631,334.29	\$	•	\$	-
7 TOTAL RURALS	443,761	174,570,270	¢	6,126,120.61	¢	7,855,662.15		10 001 500 50		****************		
8		112,010,010	Ψ	0,120,120.01	ф	1,000,002.10	Ф	13,981,782.76	\$	•	\$	٠
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,421	2,263,073	\$	58,086.02	\$	86,109.93	\$	144,195.95	\$			
10 KI-ALCOA	55	31,780	\$	589.33	\$	1.209.23	\$	1,798.56	Ф \$	000.05	\$	-
11 KI-ALERIS	27,332	15,319,860	ŝ	292,862.38	\$	582,920.67	\$ \$	875,783.05	*	889.35	\$	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	1,944	523,560	\$	20,829,96	\$	19,921,46	\$	40.751.42	\$	004.05	\$	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	10,521	4,395,200	\$	112,732.52	\$	167.237.36	÷ S	279,969.88	Þ	964.35	\$	-
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,163	1.378.520	\$	33.891.55	¢	52,452.69	¢ ¢	86,344.24	¢.		\$	10,973.99
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	2.100	771,690	\$	22,501.50	¢	29,362.80	¢.	51.864.30	ф en	-	\$	3,148.72
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,637	1,898,080	\$	49,685,46	ŝ	72,221.94	\$	121,907.40	¢ ¢		\$	1,582.96
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	9,853,748	s	160,725.00	¢	374.935.11	\$	535,660.11	¢,	-	Ş	
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	60	19,670	s	642.90	ę S	748.44	¢	1.391.34	ap SS		\$	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO, COAL	781	551,700	s	8,368.42	s	20,992,19	φ Φ	29,360.61	P	75.01	¢	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	31,010	\$	1,071.50	ŝ	1,179.93	ę	2,251.43	\$	385.74	\$	
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	38,275	26,148,140	\$	410,116.63	ŝ	994.936.73	¢	1,405,053.36	φ ¢	-	¢.	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	3,000	752,380	\$	32,145.00	¢	28,628.06	¢	60,773.06	¢	1 202 00	\$	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,531	1,507,380	s	37,834.67	s	57,355,81	ę	95,190,48	¢	1,585.82	\$	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	23,980	s	1.071.50	\$	912.44	¢.	1,983.94	ę	-	\$	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3,208	1,341,800	\$	34.373.72	s	51,036.47	\$	85,410,19	¢	-	\$	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	6,864	4, 169, 640	\$	73,547.76	ŝ	158,654,80	\$	232,202.56	¢	-	¢	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,962	6,060,970	\$	128,172,83	\$	280,619.91	\$	358,792,74	¢	-	¢	
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,266	1,234,760	\$	24,280,19	s	46,982.62	s	71,262.81	\$	3,385.94	¢	-
29							*****	1,202.01	Ψ	0,000.04	φ	•
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	140,320	78,276,441	\$	1,503,528.84	\$	2,978,418,59	s	4,481,947.43	\$	7,286.21	¢	15,705.67
31						-,	-	1,101,011110	÷	1,200.21	φ	10,700.07
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,890	422,610	\$	20,251.35	\$	16,080.31	s	36,331,66	s		¢	
33									÷		φ	
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	142,210	78,699,051	\$	1,523,780.19	\$	2,994,498,90	\$	4.518.279.09	\$	7.286.21	\$	15,705,67
35		***********************				******************		.,		1,200.21	Ŷ	10,100.01
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	585,971	253,269,321	\$	7,649,900.80	\$	10,850,161.05	\$	18,500,061.85	\$	7,286.21	\$	15,705.67

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 17 of 30

1 SEPTEMBER 2014 2	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$	REEN OWER \$	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	A	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
2 3 JP RURALS	A 4045 050 10	 	**********************				*****		
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 4,045,878.19	\$ 5.00	\$ 4,045,883.19	\$	121,805.51	2.41	\$	282,790.87	5.60
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 7,304,570.28	\$ -	\$ 7,304,570.28	\$	221,039.32	2.41	\$	510,404.61	5.57
C MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 2,631,334.29	\$ -	\$ 2,631,334.29	\$	78,393.23	2.41	\$	184,034.08	5.66
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 13,981,782.76	\$ 5.00	\$ 13,981,787.76	\$	421,238.06	2.41	\$	977,229.56	5.60
9 KI-ACCURIDE	¢ 144 top or	 		******		********		*****************	
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 144,195.95		\$ 144,195.95	\$	5,460.80	2.41	\$	10,489.68	4.64
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 2,687.91		\$ 2,687.91	\$	76.69	2.41	\$	129.38	4.07
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 875,783.05		\$ 875,783.05	\$	36,966.82	2.41	\$	63,065.09	4.12
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 41,715.77		\$ 41,715.77	\$	1,263.35	2.41	\$	3,011.99	5.75
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 290,943.87		\$ 290,943.87	\$	10,605.62	2.41	\$	20,366.19	4.63
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 89,492.96		\$ 89,492.96	\$	3,326.37	2.41	\$	6,271.62	4.55
	\$ 53,447.26		\$ 53,447.26	\$	1,862.09	2.41	\$	3,790.23	4.91
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 121,907.40		\$ 121,907.40	\$	4,580.07	2.41	\$	8,874,49	4.68
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 535,660.11		\$ 535,660.11	\$	23,777.09	2.41	\$	38,374,93	3.89
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 1,466.35		\$ 1,466.35	\$	47.46	2,41	\$	102.10	5.19
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 29,746.35		\$ 29,746.35	\$	1,331.25	2.41	\$	2.098.66	3.80
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 2,251.43		\$ 2,251.43	\$	74.83	2.41	\$	165.55	5.34
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,405,053.36		\$ 1,405,053.36	\$	63,095.46	2.41	\$	100,535,26	3.84
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 62,358.88		\$ 62,358.88	\$	1,815.49	2.41	\$	4,503.44	5.99
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 95,190.48		\$ 95,190.48	\$	3,637.31	2.41	\$	6,919.24	4,59
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 1,983.94		\$ 1,983.94	\$	57.86	2.41	\$	147.25	6.14
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 85,410.19		\$ 85,410.19	\$	3,236,56	2,41	s	6,212.91	4.63
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 232,202.56		\$ 232,202.56	\$	10,061.34	2.41	\$	16,676.78	4.00
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 358,792.74		\$ 358,792.74	\$	14,625.12	2.41	s	25,924,77	4.00
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$ 74,648.75	 	\$ 74,648.75	\$	2,979.48	2.41	\$	5,136.47	4.28
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$ 4,504,939.31	\$	\$ 4,504,939.31	\$	188,881.06	2.41	\$	322,796.03	4.12
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$ 36,331.66		\$ 36,331.66	\$	1,019.76	2.41	\$	2,703.40	6.40
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$ 4,541,270.97	\$ -	\$ 4,541,270.97	\$	189,900.82	2.41	\$	325,499.43	4.14
36		 		******					
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 18,523,053.73	\$ 5.00	\$ 18,523,058.73	\$	611,138.88	2.41	\$	1,302,728.99	5.14

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 18 of 30





1 SEPTEMBER 2014		UNWIND URCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	SMI	ION ELTER FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
2				A00.0						*****	
3 JP RURALS	\$	(6,360.34)	(0.13)	\$	23,573.63	\$		0.47	\$	4,467,692.86	88.51
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(11,542.04)	(0.13)	\$	42,778.85	\$	-	0.47	\$	8,067,251.02	88.07
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6	\$	(4,093.47)	(0.13)	\$	15,171.84	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,904,839.97	89.41
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(21,995.85)	(0.13)	\$	81,524.32	\$		0.47	\$	15,439,783.85	88.44
8 9 KI-ACCURIDE	¢.	(005 15)	/0.10)		1 050 00				·		**********
10 KI-ALCOA	φ	(285.15)	(0.13)	\$	1,056.86	\$	-	0.47	\$	160,918.14	71.11
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(4.00)	(0.13)	\$	14.84	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,904.82	91.40
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	Ъ Ф	(1,930.30)	(0.13)	\$	7,154.37	\$	-	0.47	\$	981,039.03	64.04
	\$	(65.97)	(0.13)	\$	244.50	\$	-	0.47	\$	46,169.64	88.18
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(553.80)	(0.13)	\$	2,052.56	\$	-	0.47	\$	323,414.44	73.58
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(173.69)	(0.13)	\$	643.77	\$	-	0.47	\$	99,561.03	72.22
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(97.23)	(0.13)	\$	360.38	\$	-	0.47	\$	59,362.73	76.93
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(239.16)	(0.13)	\$	886.40	\$		0.47	\$	136,009.20	71.66
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(1,241.57)	(0.13)	\$	4,601.70	\$	-	0.47	\$	601,172.26	61.01
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(2.48)	(0.13)	\$	9.19	\$	-	0.47	\$	1,622.62	82.49
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(69.51)	(0.13)	\$	257.64	\$	-	0.47	\$	33,364.39	60.48
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(3.91)	(0.13)	\$	14.48	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,502.38	80.70
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(3,294.67)	(0.13)	\$	12,211.18	\$		0.47	\$	1,577,600.59	60.33
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(94.80)	(0.13)	\$	351.36	\$		0.47	\$	68,934,37	91.62
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(189.93)	(0.13)	\$	703.95	\$		0.47	\$	106,261.05	70.49
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(3.02)	(0.13)	\$	11,20	\$		0.47	\$	2,197.23	91.63
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(169.00)	(0.13)	\$	626.39	\$		0.47	s	95,317.05	71.06
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(525.37)	(0.13)	\$	1.947.22	S		0.47	\$	260,362.53	62.44
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(763.68)	(0.13)	\$	2,830.47	\$		0.47	S	401,409.42	66.23
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(155.58)	(0.13)	S	576.63	S		0.47	\$	83,185.75	67.37
29						*		0.11	Ψ	00,100.10	01.01
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(9,862,82)	(0.13)	\$	36,555.09	S		0.47	\$	5,043,308.67	64.43
31								0.11	Ψ	0,040,000.07	04.40
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(53.25)	(0.13)	\$	197.36	\$		0.47	\$	40,198.93	95.12
33		(00,00)	(0.10)	Ψ	101.00	¥		0.41	φ		90.12
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(9,916.07)	(0.13)	\$	36,752,45	\$		0.47	\$	5.083.507.60	64.59
35						*					01.00
36											
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(31,911.92)	(0.13)	\$	118,276.77	\$		0.47	\$	20,523,291.45	81.03
			The second s						-		

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 19 of 30

1 SEPTEMBER 2014		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	M	N 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	N	REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$ AND BASE ATE CREDIT \$	REV NET OF MRSM AND BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/KWH
2						**********************			******
3 JP RURALS	\$	(459,416.43)	(9.10)		(619,307.15)	(12.27)	\$	3,388,969.28	67.14
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(830,925.37)	(9.07)		(1,121,433.97)	(12.24)	\$	6,114,891.68	66.75
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(297, 709.14)	(9.16)		(400, 352.64)	(12.32)	\$	2,206,778.19	67.93
6						******************			
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(1,588,050.94)	(9.10)	\$	(2,141,093.76)	(12.26)	\$	11,710,639.15	67.08
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(18,408.17)	(8.13)		(18,217.74)	(8.05)	\$	124,292,23	54.92
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(240.59)	(7.57)		(255.83)	(8.05)	\$	2,408.40	75.78
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(116,669.28)	(7.62)		(123,324.87)	(8.05)	\$	741,044.88	48.37
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(4,843,92)	(9.25)		(4,214.66)	(8.05)	\$	37,111.06	70.88
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(35,744,99)	(8.13)		(35,381.36)	(8.05)	s	252,288.09	57.40
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(11,095,07)	(8.05)		(11,097,09)	(8.05)	s	77.368.87	56.12
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(6,490,38)	(8.41)		(6,212.10)	(8.05)	\$	46.660.25	60.47
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(15,515.87)	(8.17)		(15,279.54)	(8.05)	\$	105.213.79	55.43
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	s	(72,853,19)	(7.39)		(79,322.67)	(8.05)	\$	448,996,40	45.57
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	s	(170,92)	(8.69)		(158.34)	(8.05)	\$	1.293.36	45.57
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(4,029,06)	(7.30)		(4,441.19)	(8.05)	\$	24,894,14	45.12
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(274.05)	(8.84)		(249.63)	(8.05)	\$	1.978.70	63.81
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	S	(192,027.60)	(7.34)		(210,492.53)	(8.05)	\$	1,175,080.46	44.94
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(7.136.01)	(9.48)		(6,056.66)	(8.05)	\$	55,741.70	74.09
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(12,193,56)	(8.09)		(12,134,41)	(8.05)	\$	81,933.08	54.35
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(231.15)	(9.64)		(193.04)	(8.05)	\$	1,773.04	73.94
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(10,906.13)	(8.13)		(10,797,47)	(8.05)	\$	73,613.45	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(31,266.35)	(7.50)		(33,565,60)	(8.05)	φ S	195,530.58	54.88
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(47,132.11)	(7.78)		(48,790.81)	(8.05)	\$	305,486.50	46.89
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	s	(9,456.90)	(7.66)		(9,939.82)	(8.05)	\$	63,789,03	50.40
29		(0,100.00)	(1.00)	A	(0,000.02)	(0.00)	φ	00,709.00	51.66
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	(596,685.30)	(7.62)	\$	(630,125.36)	(8.05)	\$	3,816,498.01	48.76
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	(4,182.11)	(9.90)		(3,402.01)	(8.05)	\$	32,614.81	77.17
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	(600,867.41)	(7.64)	\$	(633,527.37)	(8.05)	\$	3,849,112.82	48.91
36			****************					******	
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(2,188,918.35)	(8.64)	\$	(2,774,621.13)	(10.96)	\$	15,559,751.97	61.44

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 20 of 30





POWER

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Sales to Electric Utilities October 2014

2 JP RURALS 99,306 43,959,410 \$ 1,370,919.33 \$ 1,976,173.45 \$ 3,349,092.78 \$ \$ 3 4 KENERGY RURALS 192,643 80,861,49 \$ 2,658,056.12 \$ 3,637,626.71 \$ 6,295,682.83 \$	ILLING ADJ
4 KENERGY RURALS 30,00,100 1,00,0,130 5 3,439,092,78 5 . \$ 5 MEADE CO. RURALS 66,048 30,245,630 \$ 9,179,173,40 \$ 3,637,687,11 \$ 6,255,682,33 \$. \$ 7 TOTAL RURALS 36,7,897 155,041,189 \$ 4,940,768,09 \$ 6,976,353,51 \$ 11,917,621,60 \$. \$ 9 KI-ACCURIDE 5,216 2,438,300 \$ 55,889,44 \$ 92,777,32 \$ 148,666,76 \$. \$ <th></th>	
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 66.043 50.000,120 \$ 5,050,625,11 \$ 5,050,625,23 \$ \$ \$ 6 60.001,20 \$ 1,792,64 \$ 1,300,625,11 \$ 5,2272,845.99 \$ \$ 7 TOTAL RURALS 307,897 155,041,189 \$ 4,940,768,09 \$ 6,976,853,51 \$ 11,917,621,60 \$ \$ \$ 9 KI-ACCURIDE 5,216 2,483,300 \$ 55,89,44 \$ 92,777,32 \$ 148,666,76 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 1 \$ 1,972,64 \$ 1,292,72 \$ 1,45,666,76 \$ <td></td>	
6 00.000	-
7 TOTAL RURALS 357,897 155,041,189 \$ 4,940,768.09 \$ 6,976,853.51 \$ 11,917,621.60 \$ \$ 9 KI-ACCURIDE 5,216 2,438,300 \$ 55,889.44 \$ 92,777.32 \$ 148,666.76 \$ \$ \$ 10 KI-ALCOA 61 34,710 \$ 663.62 \$ 1,320.72 \$ 1,974.34 \$ 717.91 \$ 11 KI-ALCOA 61 34,710 \$ 663.62 \$ 1,320.72 \$ 1,974.34 \$ 717.91 \$ 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA 1,890 660,890 \$ 20,251.35 \$ 25,146.66 \$ 45,389.21 \$. \$ 13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK 10,496 4,928,450 \$ 112,464.64 \$ 187,527.52 \$ 299,992.16 \$. \$ 14 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS 2,100 699,733 \$ 22,515.05 \$ 26,624.44 \$ 49,126.34 \$. \$ 15 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067.89 \$ 99,569.67 \$ 152,637.56 \$. \$ 16 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 18,110 \$ 642.90 \$ 689.09 \$ 1,331.99 \$ \$ 16 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 18,110	-
8	
10 KI-ALCOA 61 34,710 \$ 03,603,44 \$ 92,777,32 \$ 18,866,76 \$ 717,91 11 KI-ALERIS 28,932 12,842,880 \$ 310,006,38 \$ 490,193,58 \$ 800,199,96 \$ \$ 12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA 1,890 660,890 \$ 20,251,35 \$ 25,146,36 \$ 45,398,21 \$ \$ 13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK 10,496 4,928,450 \$ 112,464,64 \$ 187,57,52 \$ 299,992,16 \$ \$ 14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY 3,314 1,567,693 \$ 35,509,51 \$ 59,650,72 \$ 95,160,23 \$ \$ 15 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067,89 \$ 98,569,67 \$ 152,637,56 \$ \$ 16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067,89 \$ 98,569,67 \$ 152,637,56 \$ \$ 17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO. 15,000 11,085,058 \$ 160,725,00 \$ 421,786,46 \$ 528,511,46 \$ \$ 18 KI-DOTTKI #3 60 18,110 \$ 642,90 \$ 689,99 \$ 1,331,99 \$ \$ 18 KI-DOTKI #3 60 18,110 \$ 642,90 \$ 836,642 \$ 21,659,20 \$ 30,027,62	
10 KI-ALCOA 61 34,710 \$ 653,62 \$ 1,320,72 \$ 1,974,34 \$ 717,91 \$ 11 KI-ALERIS 28,932 12,882,880 \$ 310,006,38 \$ 400,193,58 \$ 800,199,96 \$	
11 RL-ALERIS 28,932 12,822,860 \$ 310,006.38 \$ 490,193.58 \$ 800,199.96 \$\$ 12 RL-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA 1,890 660,890 \$ 20,251.35 \$ 25,146.86 \$ 45,398.21 \$\$ 13 RL-ARMSTRONG - DOCK 10,496 4,923,450 \$ 112,464.64 \$ 187,527.52 \$ 299,992.16 \$\$ 14 KL-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY 3,314 1,567,693 \$ 35,509.51 \$ 59,650.72 \$ 99,592.16 \$\$ 16 KL-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067.89 \$ 93,569.67 \$ 152,637.56 \$\$ 16 KL-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067.89 \$ 93,569.67 \$ 152,637.56 \$\$ 18 KL-DOMTAR PAPER CO. 11,085,558 \$ 160,725.00 \$ 421,786.46 \$ 582,511.46 \$\$ 19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 569,230 \$ 8,368.42 \$ 21,659.20 \$ 30,027.62 \$ 385.74 \$ 20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 7886 26,484,650 \$ 405,948.49 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,418,685.62 \$	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA 1,890 660,890 \$ 20,251.35 \$ 20,163.66 \$ 045,395.30 \$ - \$ 13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK 10,496 4,928,450 \$ 112,464.64 \$ 187,527.52 \$ 299,992.16 \$ - \$ 14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY 3,314 1,567,693 \$ 35,509.51 \$ 59,650.72 \$ 95,160.23 \$ - \$ 16 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS 2,100 689,733 \$ 22,510.50 \$ 26,624.84 \$ 41,263.46 \$ - \$ 16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067.89 \$ 98,569,67 \$ 102,637.66 \$ - \$ 17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO. 15,000 11,085,058 \$ 160,725.00 \$ 421,786.46 \$ 582,511.46 \$ - \$ 18 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 18,110 \$ 642.90 \$ 689.09 \$ 1,331.99 \$ - \$ 19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 509,230 \$ 8,368.42 \$ 21,652.01 \$ 30,027,62 \$ 385,74 \$ 20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 509,230 \$ 8,368.42 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$ - \$ \$ 21 KI-HIMBERLY-CLARK 37,866 26,424,450 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK 10,496 4,928,450 112,444,64 \$127,527,52 \$299,930,216 \$\$ 14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY 3,314 1,567,693 \$35,509,511 \$59,650,72 \$95,160,23 \$\$ 15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS 2,100 699,733 \$22,501,50 \$26,624,844 \$49,126,34 \$\$ 16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$54,067,89 \$98,569,67 \$152,637,56 \$\$ 18 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 11,085,058 \$160,725.00 \$421,786,46 \$582,511,46 \$\$ 18 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 18,110 \$642,90 \$689,09 \$1,331,99 \$\$ 19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 559,230 \$8,366,42 \$21,659,20 \$30,027,62 \$385,74 \$ 21 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 559,230 \$1,007,73,13 \$1,418,656,22 \$\$ 21 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 786 26,484,550 \$405,948,49 \$1,007,73,13 \$1,418,685,62 \$\$ 21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK 37,886 26,484,550 \$405,948,49 \$1,007,73,13 \$1,413,685,62 \$\$	-
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY 3,314 1,567,693 \$ 35,509,510 \$ 250,502.16 \$ 250,5160,23 \$. \$ 15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS 2,100 699,733 \$ 22,501.50 \$ 26,624.84 \$ 49,126.34 \$. \$ 16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067.89 \$ 98,569,67 \$ 152,637.16 \$. \$ 16 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS . 15,000 11,085,058 \$ 160,725.00 \$ 22,621.46 \$ 49,126.34 \$. \$ 16 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO. 15,000 11,085,058 \$ 160,725.00 \$ 421,786.46 \$ 582,511.46 \$. \$ 18 KI-DOTIKI #3 \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. \$. . \$. \$. <td>-</td>	-
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS 2,100 699,733 \$ 20,001,00 5 30,001,01 5 30,01,01 5 421,786,46 \$ 582,511,46 \$ - \$ 5 16 12,637,56 \$ 13,131,99 \$ - \$ 5 16 16,000 \$ 30,80,90 \$ 1,331,99 \$ - \$ \$ \$ 30,50,762 \$ 30,50,762 \$ 30,50,762 \$ 30,50,762 \$ 30,50,762 \$ 30,50,762 \$ 30,50,773,71,13 \$ 1,413,685,62 \$ - \$	15,961.56
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY 5,046 2,590,530 \$ 54,067.89 \$ 95,059,67 \$ 152,637.56 \$ 17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO. 15,000 11,085,058 \$ 160,725.00 \$ 421,786.46 \$ 582,511.46 \$ \$ 18 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 18,110 \$ 642.90 \$ 689.09 \$ 1,331.99 \$ \$ 19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 569,230 \$ 8,368.42 \$ 21,659.20 \$ 30,027.62 \$ 385.74 \$ 20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 100 37,280 \$ 1,007,137.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$ \$ \$ 21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK 37,886 26,484,550 \$ 405,948.49 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$ \$ 22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC 3,096 1,121,710 \$ 33,173.64 \$ 42,681.07 \$ 75,854.71 \$ 2,796.62 \$ 23 KI-PRECOAT 3,523 1,500,790 \$ 37,749.95 \$ 57,105.06 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 428.60 \$ 24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC 100 24,520 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 932.99 \$ 2,004.49 \$ \$ 25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 <td>838.93</td>	838.93
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO. 15,000 11,085,058 \$ 160,725.00 \$ 421,786.46 \$ 582,511.46 \$ - \$ 18 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 18,110 \$ 642.90 \$ 689.09 \$ 1,381.99 \$ - \$ 19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 569,230 \$ 8,368.42 \$ 21,659.20 \$ 30,027.62 \$ 385.74 \$ 20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 569,230 \$ 1,007.150 \$ 1,418.50 \$ 2,490.00 \$ - \$ 21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK 37,886 26,484,550 \$ 405,948.49 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$ - \$ 22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC 3,096 1,121,710 \$ 33,173.64 \$ 42,680.07 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 2,796.62 \$ 23 KI-PRECOAT 3,523 1,500,790 \$ 37,748.95 \$ 57,105.06 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 42,696.94 \$ \$ 2,796.62 \$ \$<	455.32
18 KI-DOTIKI #3 60 18,110 \$ 642.90 \$ 689.09 \$ 1,331.99 \$ - \$ 19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 569,230 \$ 8,368.42 \$ 21,659.20 \$ 30,027.62 \$ 385.74 \$ 20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 100 37,280 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 1,418.50 \$ 2,490.00 \$ - \$ 21 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 37,886 26,484,550 \$ 405,948.49 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$ - \$ 22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC 3,096 1,121,710 \$ 33,173.64 \$ 42,681.07 \$ 75,854.71 \$ 2,796.62 \$ 23 KI-PECOAT 3,523 1,500,790 \$ 37,748.95 \$ 57,105.06 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 428.60 \$ 24 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 1,403,090 \$ 37,202.48 \$ 53,387.57 \$ 90,590.05 <	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL 781 569,230 \$ 8,368.42 \$ 21,659.20 \$ 30,027.62 \$ 385.74 \$ 20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 100 37,280 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 1,418.50 \$ 2,490.00 \$ \$ 21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK 37,886 26,484,550 \$ 405,948.49 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$ \$ \$ \$ 22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC 3,096 1,121,710 \$ 33,173.64 \$ 42,681.07 \$ 75,854.71 \$ 2,796.62 \$ 23 KI-PRECOAT 3,523 1,500,790 \$ 37,748.95 \$ 57,105.06 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 428.60 \$ 24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC 100 24,520 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 932.99 \$ 2,004.49 \$ \$ \$ 25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 1,403.090 \$ 37,202.48 \$ 53,387.57 \$ 90,590.05 \$ \$ \$ \$ 26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO. 6,744 4,344.390 \$ 72,261.96 \$ 165,304.04 \$ 237,566.00 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 27 KI-TYSON FOODS 11,515 6,464,256 123,383.23	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL 100 37,280 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 1,418.50 \$ 2,490.00 \$ \$ 21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK 37,886 26,484,550 \$ 405,948.49 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK 37,886 26,484,550 \$ 405,948.49 \$ 1,007,737.13 \$ 1,413,685.62 \$. \$ 22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC 3,096 1,121,710 \$ 33,173.64 \$ 42,681.07 \$ 75,854.71 \$ 2,796.62 \$ 23 KI-PRECOAT 3,523 1,500,790 \$ 37,748.95 \$ 57,105.06 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 428.60 \$ 24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC 100 24,520 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 932.99 \$ 2,004.49 \$. \$ 25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 1,403,090 \$ 37,202.48 \$ 53,387.57 \$ 90,590.05 \$. \$ 26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO. 6,744 4,344,390 \$ 72,261.96 \$ 165,304.04 \$ 237,566.00 \$. \$ 27 KI-TYSON FOODS 11,515 6,464,256 \$ 123,383.23 \$ 245,964.94 \$ 369,348.17 \$. \$ 28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 2,461 1,368,138 \$ 26,369.62 \$ 52,057.65 \$ 78,427.27 \$ 3,675.25 \$ 30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 141,793 80,224,308 \$ 1,519,312.02 \$ 3,052,534.93 \$ 4,571,846.95 \$ 8,004.12 \$ <	-
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC 3,096 1,121,710 \$ 33,173.64 \$ 42,681.07 \$ 75,854.71 \$ 2,796.62 \$ 23 KI-PRECOAT 3,523 1,500,790 \$ 37,748.95 \$ 57,105.06 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 428.60 \$ 24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC 100 24,520 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 932.99 \$ 2,004.49 \$. \$ 25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 1,403,090 \$ 37,202.48 \$ 53,387.57 \$ 90,590.05 \$. \$ 26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO. 6,744 4,344,390 \$ 72,261.96 \$ 165,304.04 \$ 237,566.00 \$. \$ 27 KI-TYSON FOODS 11,515 6,464,256 \$ 123,383.23 \$ 245,964.94 \$ 369,348.17 \$. \$ 28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 2,461 1,368,138 \$ 26,369.62 \$ 52,057.65 \$ 78,427.27 \$ 3,675.25 \$ 30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 141,793 80,224,308 \$ 1,519,312.02 \$ 3,052,534.93 \$ 4,571,846.95 \$ 8,004 12 \$	-
23 KI-PRECOAT 3,523 1,500,790 \$ 37,748.95 \$ 57,105.06 \$ 94,854.01 \$ 428.60 \$ 24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC 100 24,520 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 932.99 \$ 2,004.49 \$ \$ \$ 25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 1,403,090 \$ 37,202.48 \$ 53,387.57 \$ 90,590.05 \$<	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC 100 24,520 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 932.99 \$ 2,004.49 \$ \$ 25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 1,403,090 \$ 37,202.48 \$ 53,387.57 \$ 90,590.59 \$ \$ \$ 26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO. 6,744 4,344,390 \$ 72,261.96 \$ 165,304.04 \$ 237,566.00 \$ \$ \$ 27 KI-TYSON FOODS 11,515 6,464,256 \$ 123,383.23 \$ 245,964.94 \$ 369,348.17 \$ \$ \$ 28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 2,461 1,368,138 \$ 26,369.62 \$ 52,057.65 \$ 78,427.27 \$ 3,675.25 \$ 29	
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED 3,472 1,403,090 \$ 37,202.48 \$ 53,387.57 \$ 90,590.05 \$ \$ 26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO. 6,744 4,344,390 \$ 72,261.96 \$ 165,304.04 \$ 237,566.00 \$ \$ 27 KI-TYSON FOODS 11,515 6,464,256 \$ 123,383.23 \$ 245,964.94 \$ 369,348.17 \$ \$ 28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 2,461 1,368,138 \$ 26,369.62 \$ 52,057.65 \$ 78,427.27 \$ 3,675.25 \$ 30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 141,793 80,224,308 \$ 1,519,312.02 \$ 3,052,534.93 \$ 4,571,846.95 \$ 8,004.12 \$	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO. 6,744 4,344,390 \$ 72,261.96 \$ 165,304.04 \$ 237,566.00 \$ \$ 27 KI-TYSON FOODS 11,515 6,464,256 \$ 123,383.23 \$ 245,964.94 \$ 369,348.17 \$ \$ \$ 28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 2,461 1,368,138 \$ 26,369.62 \$ 52,057.65 \$ 78,427.27 \$ 3,675.25 \$ 29	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS 11,515 6,464,256 \$ 123,383.23 \$ 245,964.94 \$ 369,348.17 \$ \$ 28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 2,461 1,368,138 \$ 26,369.62 \$ 52,057.65 \$ 78,427.27 \$ 3,675.25 \$ 29	-
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 2,461 1,368,138 \$ 26,369.62 \$ 52,057.65 \$ 78,427.27 \$ 3,675.25 \$ 29	
29 30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 141,793 80,224,308 \$ 1,519,312.02 \$ 3,052,534.93 \$ 4,571,846.95 \$ 8,004.12 \$	
	17.255.81
	11,200.01
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 100 3,250 \$ 1,071.50 \$ 123.66 \$ 1,195.16 \$ - \$	
33	
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 141,893 80,227,558 \$ 1,520,383.52 \$ 3,052,658.59 \$ 4,573,042.11 \$ 8,004.12 \$	17,255.81
35	
36	
37 GRAND TOTAL 499,790 235,268,747 \$ 6,461,151.61 \$ 10,029,512.10 \$ 16,490,663.71 \$ 8,004.12 \$	17,255.81

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 21 of 30

1 OCTOBER 2014	DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN)WER \$	E Pl	EMAND \$ NERGY \$ FP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	А	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
2	«	******							******	*********************	***********
3 JP RURALS	\$ 3,349,092.78	\$	5.00	\$ 3	3,349,097.78	\$	21,540.11	0.49	\$	205,582.10	4.68
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 6,295,682.83	\$	-	\$ 6	6,295,682.83	\$	39,609.71	0.49	\$	387,249.99	4.79
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 2,272,845.99	\$	-	\$ 2	2,272,845.99	\$	14,820.36	0.49	\$	139,335.37	4.61
6	********************						**************	*********		******	
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 11,917,621.60	\$	5.00	\$ 12	1,917,626.60	\$	75,970.18	0.49	\$	732,167.46	4.72
8					********		*****************************	************	******		*******************
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 148,666.76			\$	148,666.76	\$	1,194.77	0.49	\$	9,433.08	3.87
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 2,692.25			\$	2,692.25	\$	17.01	0.49	\$	124.09	3.58
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 800,199.96			\$	800, 199.96	\$	6,312.61	0.49	\$	50,896.49	3.95
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 45,398.21			\$	45,398.21	\$	323.84	0.49	\$	2,923.19	4.42
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 315,953.72			\$	315,953.72	\$	2,414.94	0.49	\$	19,030.65	3.86
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 95,999.16			\$	95,999.16	\$	768.17	0.49	\$	6,034.48	3.85
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 49,581.66			\$	49,581.66	\$	342.87	0.49	\$	3,171.11	4.53
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 152,637.56			\$	152,637.56	\$	1,269.36	0.49	\$	9,640.67	3.72
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 582,511.46			\$	582,511.46	\$	5,431.68	0.49	\$	36,181.16	3.26
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 1,331.99			\$	1,331.99	\$	8.87	0.49	\$	86.42	4.77
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 30,413.36			\$	30,413.36	\$	278.92	0.49	\$	1,866.20	3.28
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 2,490.00			\$	2,490.00	\$	18.27	0.49	\$	159.81	4.29
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 1,413,685.62			\$	1,413,685.62	\$	12,977.43	0.49	\$	88,020.00	3.32
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 78,651.33			\$	78,651.33	\$	549.64	0.49	\$	4,875,40	4.35
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 95,282.61			\$	95,282.61	\$	735.39	0.49	\$	6,046.57	4.03
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 2,004.49			\$	2,004.49	\$	12.01	0.49	\$	131.44	5.36
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 90,590.05			\$	90,590.05	\$	687.51	0.49	\$	5,790,16	4.13
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 237,566.00			\$	237,566.00	\$	2,128.75	0.49	\$	14,845.64	3.42
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 369,348.17			\$	369,348.17	\$	3,167.49	0.49	\$	23,228.51	3.59
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$ 82,102.52			\$	82,102.52	\$	670.39	0.49	\$	4,934.62	3.61
29 30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ 4,597,106.88	\$		\$ 4	4,597,106.88	\$	39,309.92	0.49	\$	287,419.69	3.58
31											
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$ 1,195.16			\$	1,195.16	\$	1.59	0.49	\$	84.16	25.90
33	*****************************			******			********		*****		
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$ 4,598,302.04	\$	•	\$ 4	4,598,302.04	\$	39,311.51	0.49	\$	287,503.85	3.58
36											******************************
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$ 16,515,923.64	\$	5.00	\$ 16	6,515,928.64	\$	115,281.69	0.49	\$	1,019,671.31	4.33

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 22 of 30

		URCREDIT (US)	US MILLS/	 MELTER NON FAC		ION ELTER	NSNFPPA MILLS/		REVENUE	REVENUE MILLS/
1 OCTOBER 2014		\$	KWH	PPA	NONI	FAC PPA	KWH		\$	KWH
2 .				 ***********		*******			******	*****************
3 JP RURALS	\$	(5,538.89)	(0.13)	\$ 20,529.04	\$	-	0.47	\$	3,591,210.14	81.69
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(10, 185.35)	(0.13)	\$ 37,750.48	\$	-	0.47	\$	6,750,107.66	83.50
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(3,810.95)	(0.13)	\$ 14,124.71	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,437,315.48	80.58
6	*******		**======================	 			******		****************	
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(19, 535.19)	(0.13)	\$ 72,404.23	\$		0.47	\$	12,778,633.28	82.42
8		****************	********************	 					*******************	***************
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(307.23)	(0.13)	\$ 1,138.69	\$	-	0.47	\$	160,126.07	65.67
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(4.37)	(0.13)	\$ 16.21	\$	-	0.47	\$	2,845.19	81.97
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(1, 623.24)	(0.13)	\$ 6,016.30	\$	-	0.47	\$	861,802.12	66.90
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(83.27)	(0.13)	\$ 308.64	\$	•	0.47	\$	48,870.61	73.95
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(620.98)	(0.13)	\$ 2,301.59	\$		0.47	\$	339,079.92	68.80
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(197.53)	(0.13)	\$ 732,11	\$	-	0.47	\$	103,336.39	65.92
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(88.17)	(0.13)	\$ 326.78	\$		0.47	\$	53,334.25	76.22
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(326.41)	(0.13)	\$ 1,209.78	\$	-	0.47	\$	164,430.96	63.47
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(1, 396.72)	(0.13)	\$ 5,176.72	\$		0.47	\$	627,904.30	56,64
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(2.28)	(0.13)	\$ 8.46	\$	-	0.47	\$	1,433.46	79.15
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(71.72)	(0.13)	\$ 265.83	\$		0.47	\$	32,752.59	57.54
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(4.70)	(0.13)	\$ 17.41	\$		0.47	\$	2,680.79	71.91
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(3,337.05)	(0.13)	\$ 12,368.28	\$		0.47	\$	1,523,714.28	57.58
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(141.34)	(0.13)	\$ 523.84	\$		0.47	\$	84,458.87	75.29
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(189.10)	(0.13)	\$ 700.87	\$	*	0.47	\$	102,576.34	68.3
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(3.09)	(0.13)	\$ 11.45	\$		0.47	\$	2,156.30	87.94
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(176.79)	(0.13)	\$ 655.24	\$	*	0.47	\$	97.546.17	69.52
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(547.39)	(0.13)	\$ 2,028.83	\$	-	0.47	\$	256.021.83	58.93
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(814.50)	(0.13)	\$ 3,018.81	\$		0.47	\$	397,948.48	61.56
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(172.39)	(0.13)	\$ 638.92	\$	-	0.47	\$	88,174,06	64.45
29		**************	******	 *****	*********		******************	-	************	
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,108.27)	(0.13)	\$ 37,464.76	\$		0.47	\$	4,951,192.98	61.72
31									THE REPORT OF	
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(0.41)	(0.13)	\$ 1.52	\$		0.47	\$	1,282.02	394.47
33		****************		 		**********				
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(10,108.68)	(0.13)	\$ 37,466.28	\$		0.47	\$	4,952,475.00	61.73
35	******		******************	 **************	*********					
36										
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(29,643.87)	(0.13)	\$ 109,870.51	\$	-	0.47	\$	17,731,108.28	75.37

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 23 of 30

1 OCTOBER 2014		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	M	EN 2013-00199 RSM TO OFF- SET BASE RATE INCREASE	MRSM - BASE RATE CREDIT MILLS/ KWH	RE	VENUE \$ NET OF MRSM \$ BASE RATE CREDIT \$ FUND \$ - CN 2013-00199 \$	REV NET OF MRSM, BASE RATE CREDIT, REFUND MILLS/KWH
2	****				*******	***************		********	
3 JP RURALS	\$	(274,862.12)	(6.25)	\$	(528,075.75)	(12.01)	\$	2,788,272.27	63.43
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(514,647.76)	(6.37)	\$	(979,917.31)	(12.12)	\$	5,255,542.59	65.01
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6	\$	(187,002.48)	(6.18)	\$	(361,305.07)	(11.95)	\$	1,889,007.93	62.46
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(976,512.36)	(6.30)	\$	(1,869,298.13)	(12.06)	\$	9,932,822.79	64.07
8		****		****		A		*********	
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(13,275.84)	(5.44)	\$	(19,628.32)	(8.05)	\$	127,221.91	52.18
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(178.80)	(5.15)	\$	(279.42)	(8.05)	\$	2,386.97	68.77
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(71,199.91)	(5.53)	\$	(103,707.18)	(8.05)	\$	686,895.03	53.32
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(3,964.76)	(6.00)	\$	(5,320.16)	(8.05)	\$	39,585.69	59.90
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(26,797.89)	(5.44)	\$	(39,674.02)	(8.05)	\$	272,608.01	55.31
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(8,505.16)	(5.43)	\$	(12,619.93)	(8.05)	\$	82,211.30	52.44
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(4,273.88)	(6.11)	\$	(5,632.85)	(8.05)	\$	43,427.52	62.06
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(13,723.34)	(5.30)	\$	(20,853.77)	(8.05)	\$	129,853.85	50.13
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(53,651.21)	(4.84)	\$	(89,234.72)	(8.05)	\$	485,018.37	43.75
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(114.96)	(6.35)	\$	(145.79)	(8.05)	\$	1,172.71	64.75
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(2,763.31)	(4.85)	\$	(4,582.30)	(8.05)	\$	25,406.98	44.63
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(218.56)	(5.86)	\$	(300.10)	(8.05)	\$	2,162.13	58.00
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(129,759.65)	(4.90)	\$	(213,200.63)	(8.05)	\$	1,180,754.00	44.58
22 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(6, 643.21)	(5.92)	\$	(9,029.77)	(8.05)	\$	68,785.89	61.32
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(8,411.82)	(5.60)	\$	(12,081.36)	(8.05)	\$	82,083.16	54.69
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(170.08)	(6.94)	\$	(197.39)	(8.05)	\$	1,788.83	72.95
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(8,001.43)	(5.70)	\$	(11,294.87)	(8.05)	\$	78,249.87	55.77
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(21, 692.40)	(4.99)	\$	(34,972.34)	(8.05)	\$	199,357.09	45.89
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(33,416.18)	(5.17)	\$	(52,037.26)	(8.05)	\$	312,495.04	48.34
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 29	\$	(7,090.81)	(5.18)	\$	(11,013.51)	(8.05)	\$	70,069.74	51.22
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(413,853.20)	(5.16)	\$	(645,805.69)	(8.05)	\$	3,891,534.09	48.51
31									
32 JPI-SHELL OIL 33	\$	(89.28)	(89.28)	\$	(26.16)	(26.16)	\$	1,166.58	358.95
33 34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	*****	/412 040 40	/E 10	e.	(CAE 001 0P)	(0 0 **	¢.	0.000.000.00	************************
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 35	\$	(413,942.48)	(5.16)	\$	(645,831.85)	(8.05)	\$	3,892,700.67	48.52
36									
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(1,390,454.84)	(5.91)	\$	(2,515,129.98)	(10.69)	\$	13,825,523.46	58.76

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 24 of 30





Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others May 2014

1 MAY 2014	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-CENTURY HAWESVILLE				
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN				
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP	4,415,778	\$	197,435.85	44.71
8				
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	4 415 770	•		
10	4,415,778	\$	197,435.85	44.71
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 EDF TRADING				
16 HMPL	0	\$	0.07	
17 MISO	325,911,072	φ \$	12,002,954.00	00.00
18 MORGAN STANLEY	020,011,012	φ	12,002,994.00	36.83
19 PJM		\$		
20		Ψ	•	
21 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	325,911,072	\$	12,002,954.07	36.83
22		Ψ	12,002,001.07	30.83
23				
24 TOTAL	330,326,850	\$	12,200,389.92	36.93

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 25 of 30




Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others June 2014

1 JUNE 2014	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-CENTURY HAWESVILLE	20,250,365	\$	1 000 105 00	
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	5,117,051	ъ \$	1,098,165.39	54.23
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP	3,117,031	Φ	253,192.27	49.48
8				
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	25,367,416	\$	1,351,357.66	F0.05
10	20,001,410	φ	1,001,007.00	53.27
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 EDF TRADING				
16 HMPL				
17 MISO	234,887,500	\$	8,026,655.63	34.17
18 MORGAN STANLEY		*	0,020,000.00	94.17
19 PJM		\$	-	
20				
21 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	234,887,500	\$	8,026,655.63	34.17
22			-,,	01,11
23				
24 TOTAL	260,254,916	\$	9,378,013.29	36.03

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 26 of 30



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others July 2014

1 JULY 2014	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
2 3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-CENTURY HAWESVILLE				
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	E 200 100	•	01 F #F0 01	
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP	5,323,122	\$	215,759.61	40.53
8		-		
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	5,323,122	\$	215,759.61	40 59
10	0,040,122	φ	210,109.01	40.53
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS				
16 EDF TRADING	17,600,000	\$	1,025,200.00	58.25
17 MISO	444,584,800	\$	13,954,660.16	31.39
18 MORGAN STANLEY	70,400,000	\$	3,129,280.00	44.45
19 PJM				
21 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS 22	532,584,800	\$	18,109,140.16	34.00
23				
24 TOTAL	537,907,922	\$	18,324,899.77	34.07

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 27 of 30



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others August 2014

1 AUGUST 2014	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-CENTURY HAWESVILLE				
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	5,155,934	æ	001 505 15	100-000
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP	0,100,934	\$	204,595.15	39.68
8				
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	5,155,934	\$	904 505 15	
10	0,100,004	φ	204,595.15	39.68
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS				
16 EDF TRADING	16,800,000	\$	978,600.00	50.05
17 MISO	490,153,176	\$	15,068,059.43	58.25
18 MORGAN STANLEY	67,200,000	φ \$	2,987,040.00	30.74
19 PJM	01,200,000	Ψ	2,007,040.00	
20				
21 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	574,153,176	\$	19,033,699.43	20.15
22	0.1,100,110	Ψ	19,000,099.40	33.15
23				
24 TOTAL	579,309,110	\$	19,238,294.58	33.21

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 28 of 30



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others September 2014

1 SEPTEMBER 2014	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
2				
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-CENTURY HAWESVILLE				
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	17,891,171	\$	679,628.48	37.99
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP		*	010,020.10	51.99
8				
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	17,891,171	\$	679,628.48	37.99
10	_,,,	¥	010,020.40	31.99
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 EDF TRADING				
16 LG&E/KU	43,000	\$	1.075.00	05.00
17 MISO	476,533,100	\$	1,075.00	25.00
18 MORGAN STANLEY	67,200,000	φ \$	15,281,868.63	32.07
19 PJM	07,200,000	Φ	2,987,040.00	44.45
20				
21 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	543,776,100	\$	10.000.000.00	
22	040,770,100	Φ	18,269,983.63	33.60
23				
24 TOTAL	561,667,271	\$	18,949,612.11	33.74

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 29 of 30



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Others October 2014

1 OCTOBER 2014	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/KWH
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5 KENERGY-CENTURY HAWESVILLE				
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	7 007 902	¢		
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP	7,097,293	\$	272,605.55	38.41
8		-		
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	7,097,293	¢	050 005 55	
10	1,091,295	\$	272,605.55	38.41
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 EDF TRADING				
16 HMPL	483,000	\$	19 001 50	
17 MISO	456,770,000	ф \$	13,621.76	28.20
18 MORGAN STANLEY	73,600,000	թ Տ	14,345,482.18	31.41
19 PJM	15,000,000	φ	3,271,520.00	44.45
20				
21 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	530,853,000	\$	17 690 609 04	
22	000,000,000	φ	17,630,623.94	33.21
23				
24 TOTAL	537,950,293	\$	17,903,229.49	33,28

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 30 of 30

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 13)	
2		a. Provide a schedule of the calculation of the 12-month
3		average line loss by month for November 2012 through
4		October 2014.
5		b. Describe the actions that Big Rivers has taken to reduce
6		line loss during this period.
7		
8	Response)	
9		a. Please see the table attached to this response for calculations of
10		the 12-month average line losses for November 2012 through
11		October 2014.
12		b. Big Rivers continues to design and operate its transmission
13		system in the most efficient manner possible. During the period
14		from November 2012 through October 2014, no specific actions
15		with the potential to further reduce line losses were identified or
16		implemented.
17		
18		
19	Witnesses)	Nicholas R. Castlen (a.) and
20		Christopher S. Bradley (b.)
21		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 13 Witnesses: Nicholas R. Castlen (a.) and Christopher S. Bradley (b.) Page 1 of 1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455 Twelve Month Average Line Loss Calcuation November 2012 through October 2014

_	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	
Line No.	12 Months Ended	12 Month Total kWh Sources	12 Month Total kWh Line Losses	12 Month Line Losses as a Percent of Total Sources	
				(c) / (b)	
_1	Nov-12	12,439,540,039	214,421,916	1.72%	
2	Dec-12	12,460,504,732	216,422,288	1.74%	
3	Jan-13	12,577,075,570	217,150,191	1.73%	
4	Feb-13	12,691,093,160	217,571,650	1.71%	
5	Mar-13	12,772,823,542	220,731,443	1.73%	
6	Apr-13	12,834,254,372	215,175,587	1.68%	
7	May-13	12,854,547,525	220,297,945	1.71%	
8	Jun-13	12,895,292,836	217,514,555	1.69%	
9	Jul-13	12,983,132,399	221,963,020	1.71%	
10	Aug-13 ⁽¹⁾	13,065,753,756	232,602,851	1.78%	
11	Sep-13	12,901,204,859	236,478,122	1.83%	
12	Oct-13	12,778,252,678	236,791,611	1.85%	
13	Nov-13	12,393,760,387	236,757,821	1.91%	
14	Dec-13	12,148,882,228	238,896,528	1.97%	
15	Jan-14	11,898,543,935	243,292,702	2.04%	
16	Feb-14	11,675,820,154	243,283,969	2.08%	
17	Mar-14	11,493,525,158	245,771,248	2.14%	
18	Apr-14	11,291,129,977	247,726,294	2.19%	
19	May-14	10,805,348,400	249,216,081	2.31%	
20	Jun-14	10,307,876,003	254,234,219	2.47%	
21	Jul-14	9,961,786,735	250,353,960	2.51%	
22	Aug-14	9,753,882,869	241,673,919	2.48%	
23	Sep-14	9,749,821,083	239,148,771	2.45%	
24	Oct-14	9,660,869,467	242,018,294	2.51%	

(1) Big Rivers' Form A Filing for the August 2013 Expense Month reported total system losses of 80,477,254 kWh, which included 50,599,000 kWh of interchange-out that should have been included in the "Inter-system Sales Including Interchange-Out" line on page 3 of the Form A Filing. This misclassification had no impact on the calculation of the FAC factor.

The amounts above are based on the corrected line loss amount for August 2013 of 29,878,254 kWh (i.e. 80,477,254 kWh - 50,599,000 kWh for interchange-out).

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Attachment for Response to Staff Item 13(a) Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 14) List Big Rivers' scheduled, actual, and forced outages between
 May 1, 2014, and October 31, 2014.

3

Response) Please see the attached schedule for the information requested on
scheduled ("S"), actual ("A"), and forced ("F") outages. This summary includes
Coleman outages after August 20, 2013; however, those outages are not factored
into Big Rivers' FAC.

- 8
- 9

10 Witness) Lawrence V. Baronowsky

11

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #1 - Coal - 65MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014 Schedule vs. Actual

must be at least 100° F superheat in steam admitted to the turbine.

			MAIN	TENANCE		HOUDO	OF DUD A		REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED
		Sc	heduled	Actual					MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	TO	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
Мау	S,A	5/10/2014 0:00	5/12/2014 0:00	5/10/2014 0:45	5/12/2014 2:22	48:00		49:37	The unit was removed from service to wash the air heaters and inspect the precipitator. The outage was extended 01:37 to complete repairs in the precipitator.
June	F			6/11/2014 11:18	6/16/2014 1:42		110:24		The unit tripped on loss of flame detection due to tube leaks in the lower water wall sections of the boiler. The leaks were repaired in 57:22 and the unit was placed on reserve standby for 53:02.
	F			6/30/2014 15:57	>		8:03		The unit was removed from service due to pluggage in B1 coal pipe. Repairs were completed at 10:03 on 7/1/2014 and the unit
July	F			>	7/2/2014 23:00		47:00		was released for start up. A starting failure occurred when the unit did not return to service in the allotted time due to trouble with the turbine turning gear. The unit was placed on reserve standby at 23:00 on 7/2/2014.
	F			7/6/2014 22:36	7/7/2014 0:51		2:15		The unit was removed from service by the Control Room Operator due to a malfunction of the superheat spray regulator that caused the steam temperature to approach saturation. There

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 13





Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #1 - Coal - 65MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS TYPE MONTH FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced APPROPRIATE Actual July S.A 7/31/2014 6:00 7/31/2014 6:00 18:00 18:00 The unit was removed from reserve standby status to replace two ---->> safety valves on the steam drum, one safety valve on the superheater outlet header and one safety valve on the boiler feed water piping. The work was completed two hours ahead of August S.A 8/1/2014 18:00 8/1/2014 16:00 18:00 16:00 ----> ·····> schedule. F 8/14/2014 6:40 8/14/2014 19:55 The unit was removed from reserve standby status to make repairs 13:15 to the turbine turning gear.

September No Outages No Outages

October

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 2 of 13



Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Schedule vs. Actual

Reid Station Unit #2 - Combustion Turbine - Oil/Gas - 65MW Net

May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

	1.00		MAIN	FENANCE			HOURS OF DURATION		REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED
the second second		Sch	Scheduled		Actual		OF DURA	TION	MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH TYP	TYPE	FROM	ТО	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
Мау	S,A	5/13/2014 14:00	5/15/2014 14:00	5/13/2014 14:00	5/15/2014 18:34	48:00		52:34	The unit was removed from reserve standby status to replace the cranking motor power supply transformer. The outage was extended 04:34 to complete installation and testing of the transformer.
June	F			6/23/2014 12:27	6/23/2014 13:00		0:33		Starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted time due to the generator field breaker did not close.
fuly	F	7/25/2014 6:45	7/25/2014 12:45	7/25/2014 6:45	7/25/2014 12:50	6:00		6:05	The unit was removed from reserve standby status to inspect the exhaust duct and take measurements for replacing the duct during the planned outage in September.
August		No Outages							
September	S,A	9/16/2014 6:00	>	9/16/2014 0:01	>	354:00		359:59	The unit was removed from reserve standby status for a 56 day planned outage to overhaul the turbine, generator, and compressor and to replace the exhaust duct. The outage was delayed for nine days due to problems with the hydraulic system.
October		>	>	>	>	744:00		744:00	The unit was returned to service on 11/20/2014 00:09

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 3 of 13

0

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Scheduled ("S"), Actual ("A"), and Forced ("F") Outages May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #1 - Coal - 153MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS MONTH TYPE FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced Actual APPROPRIATE May S.A 5/17/2014 0:00 ----> 5/17/2014 4:14 -----> 384:00 388:14 The unit was removed from service on 4/26/2014 at 00:13 for a 21 day planned maintenance outage. The unit was returned to service on May 17, 2014 at 04:14, four hours and one minute behind schedule, due to minor issues with the new pulverizer wear liners installed during the outage. F 5/17/2014 4:38 5/17/2014 8:01 3:23 The unit tripped shortly after startup due to a wiring problem in the new 4160 V switchgear that was installed during the outage. F 5/17/2014 9:10 5/17/2014 13:23 4:13 The unit tripped again at 09:10 due to another wiring problem in the new switchgear. S.A 5/28/2014 0:00 5/30/2014 12:00 5/28/2014 0:51 5/30/2014 13:12 60:00 The unit was removed from service to inspect the superheat spray 60:21 nozzles. The Operators were having trouble controlling the steam and tube metal temperatures. S.A 5/30/2014 13:14 5/30/2014 14:14 5/30/2014 13:14 5/30/2014 14:03 1:00 The unit was removed from service to test the generator reverse 0:49 current relay setting following maintenance performed on the relay during the previous outage. June F 6/12/2014 18:50 6/12/2014 21:17 2:27 The unit tripped on high drum level during a boiler upset following a malfunction of the classifier reject gate on "B" milverizer July No Outages August No Outages

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 4 of 13 Schedule vs. Actual





Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #1 - Coal - 153MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Schedule vs. Actual

			MAIN	TENANCE		1			DEASON FOR DEVELTION PROVIDENCE
Same in	12.00	Sch	neduled	Actual		HOURS OF DURATION			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	TO	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
September	S,A	9/20/2014 0:00	9/22/2014 0:00	9/20/2014 0:24	9/22/2014 3:24	48:00		51:00	The unit was removed from service to repair a waterwall tube lea and wash the air heaters. The outage was extended 3 hours to complete unexpected repairs to the SCR inlet expansion joint.
October	S,A	10/1/2014 20:00	10/2/2014 20:00	10/1/2014 20:35	10/2/2014 15:52	24:00		19:17	The unit was removed from service to repair a steam leak in the I to LP turbine crossover flange. A contractor was able to inject sealant into the flange and reduce the outage time.
	F			10/2/2014 17:19	10/3/2014 7:34		14:15		The unit tripped on high drum level when "B" mill tripped due to loss of flame indication. The outage was extended to replace the solenoid on the right side main steam stop valve on the turbine.
	F			10/30/2014 5:20	10/30/2014 11:24		6:04		The unit tripped on low vacuum due to low circulating water flow to the condenser.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 5 of 13

•



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Scheduled ("S"), Actual ("A"), and Forced ("F") Outages May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #2 - Coal - 159MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Schedule vs. Actual

	173	and the second	MAINTENANCE						DEASON FOR DOUT FROM TO A COMPANY
			Scheduled		Actual		OF DURA	TION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	ТО	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
May	F			5/30/2014 19:40	>		28:20		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the
June	F			>	6/2/2014 0:49		24:49		penthouse section of the boiler.
	F			6/18/2014 6:00	6/22/2014 1:37		91:37		The unit tripped on high furnace pressure caused by tube leaks in the primary superheat section of the boiler.
July	F			7/10/2014 13:21	7/10/2014 22:17		8:56		The unit was removed from service to repair #19 sootblower wall box that failed.
	F			7/17/2014 10:09	7/17/2014 16:28		6:19		The unit was removed from service to repair an inspection port glass on B4 burner on the bottom burner deck.
	S,A	7/26/2014 0:00	7/27/2014 12:00	7/26/2014 0:51	7/27/2014 13:34	36:00		36:43	The unit was removed from service to wash the air heaters and inspect B4 burner.
August		No outages							
September	S,A	9/6/2014 0:00	9/8/2014 0:00	9/5/2014 23:52	9/8/2014 0:44	48:00		48:52	The unit was removed from service to wash the air heaters.
October	F			10/30/2014 5:16	10/30/2014 14:24		9:08		The unit tripped due to the loss of control power caused by a failed transformer in the essential power inverter.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 6 of 13



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #1 - Coal/Pet coke - 231MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Schedule vs. Actual

			MAIN	TENANCE					DEAGON FOR DEVILOTION PROVIDENT	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE		and the second se	Scheduled		Actual	HOURS	OF DURA	TION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	TO	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE	
May	F			5/3/2014 23:59	5/5/2014 22:06		46:07		The unit tripped on low drum level due to a water wall tube leak on the east wall just above the seventh floor.	
June	F			6/16/2014 6:26	6/19/2014 17:33		83:07		The unit was removed from service to prepare the boiler for half load service following failure of "A" ID Fan shaft.	
	F			6/22/2014 6:47	6/22/2014 9:54		3:07		The unit tripped off line due to a transmission system upset near the Century/Coleman node which caused a generator maximum excitation limiter fault on Green Unit 1.	
July	S,A	7/15/2014 0:00	7/24/2014 0:00	7/15/2014 0:55	7/22/2014 9:55	216:00			The unit was removed from service for a 9-day planned maintenance outage to install the new ID Fan rotor and restore the boiler for full load service. The restoration work was completed 39 hours ahead of schedule.	
August	F			8/12/2014 18:55	8/13/2014 0:41		5:46		The unit was manually tripped by the Control Room Operator due to low drum level following "A" Pulverizer trip that caused a boiler upset.	
	F			8/20/2014 8:31	8/20/2014 11:56		3:25		The unit tripped off line when the Control Room Operator opened OCB 106 per the instructions of Big Rivers Energy Control Dispatcher. The unit trip was thought to be a communication error.	

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 7 of 13

•

0

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Scheduled ("S"), Actual ("A"), and Forced ("F") Outages May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #1 - Coal/Pet coke - 231MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Schedule vs. Actual

		MAINTENANCE					-		REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
	1.1.1	Scheduled		ŀ	Actual		OF DURA	TION	MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
MONTH	TYPE	FROM	ТО	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE	
August	F			8/20/2014 14:09	8/20/2014 17:41		3:32		The unit tripped off line when the Control Room Operator opened OCB 106 per the instructions of Big Rivers Energy Control Dispatcher. ET&S technicians discovered some wiring connections were incorrect. When OCB 106 was given a signal to open from the control room, OCB100 actually opened disconnecting the Green Unit 1 generator from the grid.	
	F			8/22/2014 00:59	8/23/2014 1:40		24:41		The unit was removed from service to repair the secondary superheater safety valve that was damaged in the previous two unit trips.	
September		No Outages								
October	F			10/7/2014 14:09	10/9/2014 3:41		37:32		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the Intermediate Reheater Outlet section of the boiler.	

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 8 of 13

Green Station Unit #2 - Coal/Pet coke - 223MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Schedule vs. Actual

MONTH		MAINTENANCE							DEAGON FOR DEVELOPMENT	
	1000	Scheduled		A	Actual	HOURS OF DURATION			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
	TYPE	FROM	TO	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE	
May	F		5/23/2014 21:32 5/24/2014 5:58 8:26			The unit tripped off line due to #6 feed water heater sealing diaphragm on the feed water side ruptured which caused a boiler upset that resulted in the unit trip.				
une		No Outages								
fuly		No Outages								
August		No Outages								
September		No Outages								
October	S,A	10/4/2014 0:00	10/25/2014 0:00	10/4/2014 1:00	10/26/2014 6:19	504:00		533:19	The unit was removed from service for a 21-day planned maintenance outage for boiler repairs and generator electrical testing. The outage was extended 29:19 due to the generator air side hydrogen seal was installed incorrectly and had to be disassembled and re-installed.	
	F			10/27/2014 18:08	10/27/2014 20:38		2:30		The unit was removed from service to repair a faulty terminal block behind the control board.	

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 9 of 13

0



•

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Scheduled ("S"), Actual ("A"), and Forced ("F") Outages May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #1 - Coal - 150MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Schedule vs. Actual

MONTH TY	1. 0	11	MAINTE	NANCE				DEAGON DOD DEVICE TRANSPORT	
	1000	Scheduled		Actual		HOURS	OF DURATION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
	TYPE	FROM	ТО	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced Actual		
Мау		No Outages						On 5/1/2014 at 00:00, Coleman Unit 1 was placed on inactive reserve for idling. The unit did not operate during this reporting period.	
une		No Outages							
uly		No Outages							
lugust		No Outages							
September		No Outages							
October		No Outages							

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 10 of 13

0

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Scheduled ("S"), Actual ("A"), and Forced ("F") Outages May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #2 - Coal - 138MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Schedule vs. Actual

MONTH TYP			MAINTE	NANCE				DEASON FOR DEVILATION FROM COMPANY	
	and the second second	Schedu	iled	Actu	Actual		OF DURATION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
	TYPE	FROM	TO	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced Actua	APPROPRIATE	
Мау		No Outages						On 5/1/2014 at 11:16, Coleman Unit 2 was removed from service and placed on inactive reserve for idling. The unit operated 11 hours and 16 minutes during this reporting period.	
ine		No Outages							
uly		No Outages							
ugust		No Outages							
eptember		No Outages							
October		No Outages							

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 11 of 13

•



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Scheduled ("S"), Actual ("A"), and Forced ("F") Outages May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #3 - Coal - 155MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

Schedule vs. Actual

		TOTAL STREET					REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED		
MONTH	1.00	Scheduled		Actual		HOURS OF DURATION			MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
	TYPE	FROM	ТО	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
May S,	S,A	5/1/2014 15:00	5/8/2014 15:00	5/1/2014 15:08	5/3/2014 1:00	168:00		33:52	Coleman Unit 3 was removed from service and placed on reserve standby status on 5/1/2014 at 15:08. The unit was returned to service so that Century could make some unanticipated corrections to their Protective Relay System.
				5/8/2014 17:54	Present				Coleman Unit 3 was removed from service and placed on inactive reserve status for idling 5/8/2014 at 17:54. The unit operated 152 hours and 1 minute during this reporting period.
une		No Outages							
uly		No Outages							
August		No Outages							
September		No Outages							
October		No Outages							

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 12 of 13



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Wilson Station - Unit #1 - Coal/Pet Coke - 417MW Net May 1, 2014 thru October 31, 2014

MONTH

May

June

July

MAINTENANCE

REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED Scheduled Actual HOURS OF DURATION MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS TYPE FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced APPROPRIATE Actual S.A 5/10/2014 0:00 5/10/2014 0:06 -----> ----> 528:00 527:54 The unit was removed from service for a 42-day planned maintenance outage. The outage schedule was delayed due to unexpected problems encountered during installation of the new finishing superheater tubes and secondary air heater F <----> 6/21/2014 0:00 6/23/2014 13:37 541:37 baskets. The outage was extended 61 hours and 31 minutes 480:00 in order to complete chemically cleaning the water side of the economizer and water wall tubes. F 6/23/2014 13:50 6/23/2014 17:32 3:42 The unit tripped on high drum level just after start up. F 7/8/2014 2:10 7/9/2014 17:56 39:46 The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section of the boiler.

August No Outages September 9/12/2014 18:00 S.A 9/15/2014 6:00 9/12/2014 17:46 9/15/2014 2:45 60:00 56:59 The unit was removed from service to wash the air heaters and repair an external tube leak in the east water wall. The outage work was completed three hours ahead of schedule. F 9/17/2014 13:07 9/17/2014 19:14 6:07 The unit was removed from service to repair a broken disconnect switch on MOD-2079. October No Outages

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 13 of 13 Schedule vs. Actual

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 15)	For each existing fuel contract categorized as long-term (i.e.,
2	one year of	r more in length), provide:
3		
4		a. Supplier's name and address;
5		b. Name and location of production facility;
6		c. Date when contract was executed;
7		d. Duration of contract;
8 9		e. Date(s) of each contract revision, modification, or amendment;
10		f. Annual tonnage requirements;
11		g. Actual annual tonnage received since the contract's
12		inception;
13		h. Percent of annual requirements received during the
14		contract's term;
15		i. Base price in dollars per ton;
16		j. Total amount of price escalations to date in dollars per ton;
17		and
18		k. Current price paid for coal under the contract in dollars
19		per ton $(i + j)$.
20		
21	Response)	Please see the attached schedules for the list of existing fuel
22	contracts for	r the period from May 1, 2014, through October 31, 2014.
23		
24		
25	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 15 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Patriot Coal Sales 11021

A. NAME / ADDRESS:	Patriot Coal Sales, LLC 12312 Olive Boulevard St. Louis, Mo 63141							
B. PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Freedom and Grand Eagle Mines Henderson County, Kentucky							
	Highland Mine Union County, Kentucl	сy						
C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	October 24, 2011							
D. CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2012 through	December 31, 2015						
E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	November 8, 2011	(Amendment One)						
F. ANNUAL TONNAGE	2012 - 964,000) tons						
REQUIREMENTS:	2013 - 700,000							
	2014 - 700,000							
	2015 - 700,000							
G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2012 - 963,662	tons						
	2013 - 671,818	3 tons						
	2014 – 527,692	tons (through October; excl. 62,000 tons force majeure for May 7 – June 28)						
H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL	2012 - 99.96 %							
REQUIREMENTS:	2012 - 95.97% 2013 - 95.97%	-						
ite go interview 15.	2013 - 75.38%							
I. BASE PRICE:	Highland 2012	- \$ 48.0992 per ton						
	Patriot Blend 2012	- \$ 47.0624 per ton						
	Highland 2013	- \$ 50.0251 per ton						
	Highland 2014	- \$ 52.0220 per ton						
	Highland 2015	– \$ 54.1013 per ton						
J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None							
K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	Highland 2013	– \$ 50.0251 per ton						
	Highland 2014	- \$ 52.0220 per ton						

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 15 Page 1 of 6

Sebree Mining 11002

A. NAME / ADDRESS:

Sebree Mining, LLC (formerly Allied Resources) 15 New Steamport Road Sebree, KY 42455

B. PRODUCTION FACILITY: Onton No. 91

C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE: Ma

D. CONTRACT DURATION:

E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:

F. ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:

G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:

H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:

I. BASE PRICE:

Onton No. 9 Mine Webster County, Kentucky

March 29, 2012

January 1, 2010 - December 31, 2016

April 29, 2011 (Amendment One) November 17, 2011 (Amendment Two) March 29, 2012 (Contract Assigned from Allied resources to Sebree Mining. LLC) August 12, 2013 (Amendment Three) January 8, 2014 (Amendment Four) Februrary 14, 2014 (Amendment Five) 2010 -650,000 tons 2011 -500,000 tons 2012 -750.000 tons

2012	_	700,000	tons	
2013	-	960,000	tons	
2014	-	800,000	tons	
2015	-	890,000	tons	
2016		900,000	tons	
2010		664,320	tons	
2011	-	500,614	tons	
2012	-	748,127	tons	
2013	-	919,426	tons	
2014	-	717,922	tons	(through October)
2010	-	102.20 %		
2011	-	100.12~%		
2012	-	99.75 %		
2013	_	95.77 %		

2014 - 89.74 % (through October)

Sebree Complex

Quality A 2012	-	\$ 52.5500	per ton
Quality B 2012	-	\$ 50.6259	per ton
Quality C 2012	-	\$ 48.9946	per ton
Quality D 2012	-	\$ 46.0108	per ton

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 15 Page 2 of 6

Sebree Mining 11002 (continued)

I. BASE PRICE: (continued)

Sebre	e Co	m	olex (conti	nued)							
Quality A 2013	-	\$		per ton							
Quality B 2013	-	\$	53.8668	per ton							
Quality C 2013	-	\$	52.1824	per ton							
Quality D 2013	-	\$	49.1854	per ton							
Quality A 2014	_	\$	57.6621	per ton							
Quality B 2014	-	\$	55.6405	per ton							
Quality C 2014	-	\$	53.8706	per ton							
Quality D 2014	-	\$	50.5978	per ton							
Quality A 2015	-	\$	61.6911	per ton							
Quality B 2015	-	\$	59.5694	per ton							
Quality C 2015		\$	57.7116	per ton							
Quality D 2015	-	\$	54.2146	per ton							
Quality A 2016	-		No Price								
Quality B 2016	-	\$		per ton							
Quality C 2016		\$		per ton							
Quality D 2016		\$	57.8600	per ton							
	Vtan.	-	ant Dock								
	<u>Stea</u>		ort Dock								
Quality A 2012	<u>Stea</u>	\$	51.9551	per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012	<u>Stea</u> _ _	\$ \$	51.9551 50.0269	per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012	-	\$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943	per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012	<u>Stea</u> - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102	per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204	per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013 Quality C 2013		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013	11111	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013 Quality C 2013		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality D 2013 Quality A 2014 Quality B 2014		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303 48.4308	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality D 2013 Quality A 2014 Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303 48.4308 57.0672	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality D 2013 Quality A 2014 Quality B 2014		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303 48.4308 57.0672 55.0415	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality A 2014 Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014 Quality D 2014		****	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303 48.4308 57.0672 55.0415 53.2703	per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality D 2013 Quality A 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality A 2014 Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014 Quality D 2014		****	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303 48.4308 57.0672 55.0415 53.2703 49.9994 61.0512 58.9399	per ton per ton							
Quality A 2012 Quality B 2012 Quality C 2012 Quality D 2012 Quality A 2013 Quality B 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality C 2013 Quality A 2014 Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014 Quality D 2014		****	51.9551 50.0269 48.3943 45.4102 54.3204 53.1133 51.4303 48.4308 57.0672 55.0415 53.2703 49.9994 61.0512	per ton per ton							

Quality A 2016	-	No Price	
Quality B 2016		\$ 61.1910	per ton
Quality C 2016	-	\$ 60.4422	per ton
Quality D 2016	-	\$ 56.5598	per ton

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 15 Page 3 of 6

Sebree Mining 11002 (continued)

J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:

None

K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:

	Seb	ree	Complex		
Quality A 2013	-	\$	54.9153	per ton	
Quality B 2013	-	\$	53.8668	per ton	
Quality C 2013	-	\$	52.1824	per ton	
Quality D 2013	-	\$	49.1854	per ton	
Quality A 2014	-	\$	57.6621	per ton	
Quality B 2014	-	\$	55.6405	per ton	
Quality C 2014	-	\$	53.8706	per ton	
Quality D 2014		\$	50.5978	per ton	

Steamport Dock

Quality A 2013	-	\$ 54	.3204	per ton
Quality B 2013	-	\$ 53	.1133	per ton
Quality C 2013	-	\$ 51	.4303	per ton
Quality D 2013	-	\$ 48	.4308	per ton
Quality A 2014	_	\$ 57	.0672	per ton
Quality B 2014	_	\$ 55.	.0415	per ton
Quality C 2014	-	\$ 53.	.2703	per ton
Quality D 2014	-	\$ 49.	9994	per ton

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 15 Page 4 of 6

Armstrong Coal 11023

A.	NAME / ADDRESS:		yth	oal Company Boulevard, D 63105		625	
B.	PRODUCTION FACILITY:			Run, Ceralv Creek, and		Fork, ty Boot Mines	
		Muhlenber	g a	nd Ohio Cou	inties, I	Kentucky	
C.	CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	July 4, 201	1				
D.	CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1,	201	12 through De	ecember	r 31, 2015	
E.	CONTRACT AMENDMENTS	None					
F.	ANNUAL TONNAGE	2012	_	350,000	tons		
	REQUIREMENTS:	2013	-	400,000	tons		
		2014	-	800,000	tons		
		2015	-	875,000			
G.	ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2012	_	351,376	tons		
		2013	-	401,613	tons		
		2014	-	679,908	tons	(through October)	
H.	PERCENT OF ANNUAL	2012	_	100.39 %			
	REQUIREMENTS :	2013	-	100.40 %			
		2014	-	84.99 %	(throu	gh October)	
I.	BASE PRICE:	2012	_	\$ 47.2512	per to	n	
		2013	-	\$ 48.4012	per to	n	
		2014	_	\$ 51.3682	per to	m	
		2015	-	\$ 54.5123	per to	n	

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 15 Page 5 of 6

Armstrong Coal 11023 (continued)

J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	1 st Quarter 2012 –	\$ 0.8970	per ton
	2 nd Quarter 2012 -	\$ 0.9039	per ton
	3 rd Quarter 2012 -	\$ 0.8119	per ton
	4 th Quarter 2012 -	(\$ 0.1679)	per ton
	1 st Quarter 2013 –	\$ 0.1840	per ton
	2 nd Quarter 2013 -	\$ 0.1863	per ton
	3 rd Quarter 2013 -	\$ 0.1564	per ton
	4 th Quarter 2013 –	(\$ 0.3473)	per ton
	1 st Quarter 2014 –	(\$ 0.7245)	per ton
	2 nd Quarter 2014 -	\$ 0.4508	per ton
	3 rd Quarter 2014 -	(\$ 0.0759)	per ton
	4 th Quarter 2014 –	(\$ 0.3979)	per ton
K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	2013 - \$ 48.5875	per ton	
	2014 - \$ 50.6437	per ton	

K. CURI

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 15 Page 6 of 6

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 16)	Provide a schedule of the present and proposed rates that Big
2	Rivers seek	es to change pursuant to 807 KAR 5:056, shown in comparative
3	form.	
4		
5	Response)	Not applicable. Please see Big Rivers' response to Item 1 above.
6		
7		
8	Witness)	Roger D. Hickman
9		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 16 Witness: Roger D. Hickman Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 17) Provide a statement showing by cross-outs and italicized	
2	inserts all proposed changes in rates. A copy of the current tariff may be	
3	used.	
4		
5	Response) Not applicable. Please see Big Rivers' responses to Item 1 and Item	
6	16 above.	
7		
8		
9	Witness) Roger D. Hickman	
10		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 17 Witness: Roger D. Hickman Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 18)	
2		a. State whether Big Rivers regularly compares the price of its
3		coal purchases with those paid by other electric utilities.
4		b. If the response is yes, state:
5		(1) The utilities that are included in this comparison and
6		their locations; and
7		(2) How Big Rivers' prices compare with those of the other
8		utilities for the review period. Include all prices used in
9		the comparison in cents per MMbtu.
10		
11	Response)	
12		a. Yes, Big Rivers has compared the price of its coal purchases
13		with those paid by other electric utilities.
14		b.
15		(1) Utilities that are included in this comparison are Kentucky-
16		based companies. These utilities are identified on the
17		attached tables and charts.
18		(2) Big Rivers' coal pricing is competitive with that of its
19		comparison group for the review period. Attached hereto
20		are tables and charts on a Cents per MMBTU basis
21		providing the relevant supporting information.
22		
23		
24	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
25		
		Case No. 2014-00
		Case 110, 2011-00

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 18 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Cents per MMBTU (Big Rivers w/o Pet Coke)									
Company	Nov-12	Dec-12	Jan-13	Feb-13	Mar-13	Apr-13			
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	220.07	217.45	232.61	226.54	221.00	222.49			
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	230.25	230.77	239.73	235.07	233.81	235.28			
Duke Energy - Kentucky	226.91	227.67	236.41	237.19	229.30	226.40			
Kentucky Utilites Company	229.76	228.46	238.57	243.62	238.09	238.58			
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	262.90	270.00	266.10	259.00	258.00	261.60			
Kentucky Power	329.01	296.13	313.59	319.83	323.29	336.71			
Company	May-13	Jun-13	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13			
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	218.88	221.05	221.38	220.25	222.02	220.55			
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	234.05	236.32	230.54	230.31	232.38	227.77			
Duke Energy - Kentucky	219.88	230.01	220.12	222.99	222.18	226.23			
Kentucky Utilites Company	236.44	234.01	232.62	232.73	229.56	239.66			
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	260.30	259.10	255.50	252.60	247.80	246.80			
Kentucky Power	331.72	329.59	332.33	328.43	344.98	337.83			

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 1 of 8

Cents per MMBTU (Big Rivers w/o Pet Coke)									
Company	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14			
Big Rivers Electric Corporation Louisville Gas and Electric Company Duke Energy - Kentucky Kentucky Utilites Company East Kentucky Power Cooperative Kentucky Power	221.01 235.80 224.83 230.37 247.20 326.69	215.83 239.25 229.27 230.99 245.80 326.97	234.58 236.40 230.32 229.33 242.50 318.78	222.96 234.72 216.37 238.83 241.70 329.94	227.04 237.67 216.77 241.71 247.80 307.09	221.61 235.41 NH 241.03 243.80 313.99			
Company	May-14	Jun-14	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14			
Big Rivers Electric Corporation Louisville Gas and Electric Company Duke Energy - Kentucky Kentucky Utilites Company East Kentucky Power Cooperative Kentucky Power	227.42 234.97 215.38 235.11 245.60 300.15	215.55 236.73 213.40 236.96 247.60 297.13	$\begin{array}{r} 223.05\\ 233.12\\ 213.36\\ 245.16\\ 245.70\\ 285.62\end{array}$	222.91 227.52 215.52 244.72 239.80 284.91	204.10 231.88 216.63 253.74 239.20 283.93	213.48 228.52 216.32 234.54 237.50 312.04			

Note(s):

1.- NR = No information reported for Duke Energy-Kentucky's East Bend Plant this time period.

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 2 of 8



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-0455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 3 of 8



Note(s): 1.- No information reported for Duke Energy - Kentucky's East Bend Plant in April 2014.

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-0455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 4 of 8

Cents per MMBTU (Big Rivers w/ Pet Coke)									
Company	Nov-12	Dec-12	Jan-13	Feb-13	Mar-13	Apr-13			
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	216.99	211.37	223.85	221.94	216.80	219.25			
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	230.25	230.77	239.73	235.07	233.81	235.28			
Duke Energy - Kentucky	226.91	227.67	236.41	237.19	229.30	226.40			
Kentucky Utilites Company	229.76	228.46	238.57	243.62	238.09	238.58			
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	262.90	270.00	266.10	259.00	258.00	261.60			
Kentucky Power	329.01	296.13	313.59	319.83	323.29	336.71			
Company	May-13	Jun-13	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13			
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	217.59	216.89	215.06	217.88	218.04	216.58			
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	234.05	236.32	230.54	230.31	232.38	227.77			
Duke Energy - Kentucky	219.88	230.01	220.12	222.99	222.18	226.23			
Kentucky Utilites Company	236.44	234.01	232.62	232.73	229.56	239.66			
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	260.30	259.10	255.50	252.60	247.80	246.80			
Kentucky Power	331.72	329.59	332.33	328.43	344.98	337.83			

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 5 of 8
Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455

Cents pe	er MMBTU (B	ig Rivers	w/ Pet Cok	e)		
Company	Nov-13	Dec-13	Jan-14	Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	216.18	212.06	229.68	220.61	224,34	217.24
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	235.80	239.25	236.40	234.72	237.67	235.41
Duke Energy - Kentucky	224.83	229.27	230.32	216.37	216.77	200.41 NF
Kentucky Utilites Company	230.37	230.99	229.33	238.83	241.71	241.03
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	247.20	245.80	242.50	241.70	241.71	241.03
Kentucky Power	326.69	326.97	318.78	329.94	307.09	245.80 313.99
Company	May-14	Jun-14	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	221.84	211.17	217.82	214.05	199.30	208.16
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	234.97	236.73	233.12	227.52	231.88	208.10
Duke Energy - Kentucky	215.38	213.40	213.36	215.52	216.63	216.32
Kentucky Utilites Company	235.11	236.96	245.16	244.72	253.74	234.54
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	245.60	247.60	245.70	239.80	239.20	234.54
Kentucky Power	300.15	297.13	285.62	284.91	283.93	312.04
		Contraction of the second s			200.00	014.04

Note(s):

1.- NR = No information reported for Duke Energy-Kentucky's East Bend Plant this time period.

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 6 of 8

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-0455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 7 of 8

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455



Note(s): 1.- No information reported for Duke Energy - Kentucky's East Bend Plant in April 2014.

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2014-0455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 18 Page 8 of 8

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 19) For the period a	under review	by generati	ing station, li	st the
2	percentages of Big Rivers' co	al delivered by	v:		
3					
4	a. Rail;				
5	b. Truck; and				
6	c. Barge.				
7					
8	Response) Big Rivers solid fu	els deliveries b	y generating	station for Nov	ember
9	1, 2012, through October 31, 201	14 are as follows	8:		
10					
		a. Rail	b. Truck	c. Barge	
	Coleman	a. Rail 0.00%	b. Truck 0.00%	c. Barge 100.00%	
				0	
	Coleman	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	
	Coleman Green	0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 22.57%	100.00% 77.43%	

0.00%

38.72%

Total

11
12
13
14 Witness) Mark W. McAdams
15

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

61.28%

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

- Item 20) For each generating station, state the methods of coal delivery
 currently available.
- 4 **Response)** The currently available methods for coal deliveries to Big Rivers
- 5 generating stations is shown below.
- 6

3

	Rail	Truck	Barge
Coleman	Not Available	Available	Available
Green	Not Available	Available	Available
Reid	Not Available	Available	Available
Station II	Not Available	Available	Available
Wilson	Not Available	Available	Available

7 8 9 **Witness)** Mark W. McAdams

10

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 20 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 21)	
2		a. State Big Rivers' coal inventory level in tons and in number
3		of days' supply as of October 31, 2014. Provide this
4		information by generating station and in the aggregate.
5		b. Describe the criteria used to determine the number of days'
6		supply.
7		c. Compare Big Rivers' coal inventory as of October 31, 2014 to
8 9		its inventory target for that date for each plant and for total inventory.
10		d. If actual coal inventory exceeds inventory target by 10 days'
11		supply, state the reasons for the additional inventory.
12		е.
13		(1) State whether Big Rivers expects any significant
14		changes in its current coal inventory target within the
15		next 12 months.
16		(2) If the response is yes, state the expected change and the
17		reasons for this change.
18		
19	Response)	
20		
21		a. As of October 31, 2014, Big Rivers' generating stations
22		individually and in the aggregate had the following inventory
23		levels and days' supply.
24		
25		
26		
		Case No. 2014-004

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 21 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1

2

3

56

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Total System/Station	Inventory Level (In Tons) ¹	Number of Days' Supply ²	Duration (In Days)	Preceding 6 Months Burn (In Tons)
Big Rivers	665,746.98	38	99	
Electric System	000, 140.00	50	33	1,715,395.11
Reid Station ³	10,368.46	18	85	47,277.05
Station II ⁴	148,410.45	71	166	344,994,29
Green Station ⁵	251,257.80	56	165	734,017.32
Coleman Station ⁶	0.00	0	2	8,129,73
Wilson Station ⁷	255,710.27	60	135	578,386.52

- b. Days Burn = [{ Current Inventory (In Tons) / Preceding 6 Months Burn (In Tons) }] x Duration (In Days)
- c. Big Rivers Electric Corporation's Target Supply is the following range for each Station in days.

	Electric Corporation tory Target Ranges
Total System	30 - 60 Days
Reid Station	16-20 Days
Station II	30-60 Days
Green	30-60 Days
Coleman	0 Days
Wilson	30-60 Days

Station II's inventory target was adjusted down from 60-80 days to 30-60 days, and Coleman's inventory target was adjusted down to zero days, on October 17, 2014. The inventory level for Station II was adjusted downward to meet Big Rivers' new established inventory goal.

d. The actual inventory, for each station and in the aggregate, does

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 21 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1		not exceed the inventory target by ten (10) days except Station II.
2		For Station II, please see the note in sub-part c.
3	e.	
4		(1) At this time, Big Rivers does not anticipate a change in coal
5		inventory held and/or a modification of its current inventory
6		target(s).
7		(2) Not Applicable.
8		
	Footnotes -	

¹ Green Station had a petcoke inventory level of 42,410.38 tons as of October 31, 2014 and has a target blend of 20% with Green coal. Wilson Station had a petcoke inventory level of 71,936.21 tons as of October 31, 2014 and has a target blend of 15% with Wilson coal.

² Number of days' supply is rounded to reflect whole days.

³ Reid Station Unit 1 was in standby reserve 88 days. Reid 1 was out of service 11 days due to unscheduled & scheduled outages. The duration of generation was reduced to 85 days.

⁴ Station II Units 1 and 2 had unscheduled and scheduled maintenance hours of 462.9 hours and Unit 1 had a planned outage of 393 hours in May 2014. These hours were equivalent to 18 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 166 days. On October 17, 2014, the Station II inventory target was modified from 60-80 days to 30-60 days. Inventory is being reduced to meet the new target; however, due to existing inventory and contract coal, the reduction will occur over several months.

⁵Green Units 1 and 2 had unscheduled and scheduled maintenance hours of 218.1 hours. Green 1 had a planned outage of 177 hours in July 2014 and Green 2 had a planned outage of 533.3 hours in October 2014. These hours were equivalent to 19 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 165 days.

⁶ Coleman Station Units 1, 2 and 3 were placed on Inactive Reserve Status on May 8, 2014, and this totaled 13,084.7 hours which was equivalent to 182 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 2 days. Coleman Station will have no inventory going forward because it has been idled.

⁷Wilson Unit 1 had 106.6 scheduled and unscheduled maintenance hours. Wilson 1 had a planned outage in May and June 2014 of 1,069.5 hours. These hours were equivalent to 49 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 135 days.

9 10

Witness) Mark W. McAdams

11 12

> Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 21 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 3 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 22)		
2		a.	State whether Big Rivers has audited any of its coal
3			contracts during the period from May 1, 2014, to October 31,
4			2014.
5		b .	If the response is yes, for each audited contract:
6			(1) Identify the contract;
7			(2)Identify the auditor;
8			(3) State the results of the audit; and
9			(4) Describe the actions that Big Rivers took as a result of
10			the audit.
11			
12	Response)		
13		a.	Big Rivers has audited one of its coal contracts during the period
14			from May 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014.
15		b.	
16			(1) Alliance Coal Supply Agreement (BRE-11-001);
17			(2) Weir International, Inc. (professional mine engineering firm);
18			(3) Audit of a governmental imposition claim provided by Alliance
19			pursuant to the coal supply agreement resulting in a reduced
20			settlement amount; and
21			(4) The audit provided a reduced payment against original
22			governmental imposition claim of \$579,858 to a settlement
23			amount of \$489,950.
24			
25			
			Case No. 2014-004
			Case 100. 2014-004

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 22 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1		
2 W	itness)	Mark W. McAdams
3		
4		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 22 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 23)	
2		a. State whether Big Rivers has received any customer
3		complaints regarding its FAC during the period from May
4		1, 2014 to October 31, 2014.
5		b. If the response is yes, for each complaint, state:
6		(1) The nature of the complaint; and
7		(2) Big Rivers' response.
8		
9	Response)	
10		a. Big Rivers has not received any customer complaints regarding
11		its FAC during the period from May 1, 2014, through October
12		31, 2014.
13		b. Not Applicable.
14		
15		
16	Witness)	Nicholas R. Castlen
17		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 23 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 24)		
2		a.	State whether Big Rivers is currently involved in any
3			litigation with its current or former coal suppliers.
4		b.	If the response is yes, for each litigation:
5			(1) Identify the coal supplier;
6			(2) Identify the coal contract involved;
7			(3) State the potential liability or recovery to Big Rivers;
8			(4) List the issues presented; and
9			(5) Provide a copy of the complaint or other legal pleading
10			that initiated the litigation and any answers or
11			counterclaims. If a copy has previously been filed with
12			the Commission, provide the date on which it was filed
13			and the case in which it was filed.
14		c.	State the current status of all litigation with coal suppliers.
15			
16	Response)		
17		a.	No. Big Rivers is not involved in any litigation with its current or
18			former coal suppliers.
19		b.	
20			(1) Not Applicable.
21			(2) Not Applicable.
22			(3) Not Applicable.
23			(4) Not Applicable.
24			(5) Not Applicable
25		c.	Not Applicable.

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 24 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1		
2		
3	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
1		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 24 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 25) List each written coal supply solicitation issued during the
 period May 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014.

a. For each solicitation, provide the date of the solicitation (contract or spot), the quantities solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the time period over which deliveries were requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal was intended.

b. For each solicitation, state the number of vendors to whom the solicitation was sent, the number of vendors who responded, and the selected vendor. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or corresponding document that ranks the proposals. (This document shall identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.

Response) BRE 14-01 (Spot and Term Solicitation)

a.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

i. Date solicitation was issued: September 8, 2014. Date solicitation was returned: September 24, 2014.
ii. Type of solicitation: Spot 2015 and Term (2015 – 2019) written bid solicitation (web-based distribution).
iii. Quantities solicited: Spot 25,000 tons per month;

Term - up to 500,000 tons per year.

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 25 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 7

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	iv. Quality of coal solicited:
2	(a) BTU/lb.: 10,500 to 13,500;
3	(b) Ash %: 1.0 to 15.00;
4	(c) Moisture %: 10.00 to 12.00;
5	(d) Sulfur (lbs SO2): 5.50 to 8.50.
6	v. Time period over which deliveries were requested:
7	(a) Spot solicitation: January through December 2015.
8	(b) Term solicitation: Years 2015 through 2019.
9	vi. Generating units for which the coal was intended include:
10	Coleman, Green, HMP&L Station Two, Reid, and Wilson.
11 b.	
12	i. Number of vendors solicited: The coal solicitation was posted
13	to Big Rivers' web site for supplier review, print-out, and
14	written bid proposal submission. Big Rivers also notified coal
15	and petroleum coke journals for industry notification of the
16	placement of the solicitation on the web site and referral to the
17	web address for review and download. The web site software
18	captures unique visitors (which are counted only once,
19	eliminating in the total count visitors who view the web site
20	multiple times). In total, there were 47 unique visitors to the
21	web site to review Bid 14-01.
22	ii. Responding vendors: Ten (10) vendors replied to the spot and
23	term bid solicitation.
24	iii. Selected vendors:

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 25 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 7

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

(a) Spot fuel supply: Coeclerici Coal Network one-year offers of
Western Kentucky Minerals coal supply and Sun Energy
Coal. Also selected was TCP Pet Coke Corporation for spot
petroleum coke from the CITGO/Lemont, IL Refinery.
(b) <i>Term fuel supply</i> : Term supply contract selection included:
iv. The bid tabulation spreadsheet is being provided under a
Petition for Confidential Treatment. Several of the desired
term contracts remain in negotiation at this time.
v. The rationale for each selection is as follows:
(a) Selected spot coal vendors:
<u>Coeclerici Coal Network</u> – provided two spot coal supply
opportunities: one being from Western Kentucky Minerals
via truck to Wilson Station; and, the second, a barge coal
opportunity from Sun Energy to Green Station. The
Western Kentucky Minerals opportunity is somewhat
marginal BTU/lb.; however, the lower sulfur, ash, and
chlorine allow for the coal to be a good blending fuel along
with other coal supply and petroleum coke. The Sun
Energy coal opportunity fits the Green units in terms of
quality and is a competitive fuel supply. Further, both
offers are from small, independent suppliers, creating
additional competition amongst the larger coal companies.

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 25 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 3 of 7

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

<u>TCP Pet Coke</u> – provided a competitive offer of spot petroleum coke from the CITGO/Lemont, IL Refinery via barge delivery to either Green or Wilson Station. TCP has been a spot supplier of pet coke for some time and the refinery has made a consistent pet coke product suitable for blending at either generating station. The pricing is more competitive than coal; but, the quality (volatility and sulfur) require that the pet coke be blended at a ratio with coal. The pet coke offer was the most competitive spot offer provided in Bid 14-01.

(b) Selected term coal vendors:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25



Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 25 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 4 of 7

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015



February 20, 2015



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1			
2			
3	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams	
4			
5			

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 25 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 7 of 7 In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2014 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014

Case No. 2014-00455

)

)

)

)

)

CONFIDENTIAL RESPONSE to

Item 25.b.iv of the Commission Staff's Data Request dated February 5, 2015 FILED: February 20, 2015

INFORMATION SUBMITTED UNDER PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 Item 26) List each oral solicitation for coal supplies issued during the 2 period from May 1, 2014 to October 31, 2014. 3 4 a. For each solicitation, state why the solicitation was not 5 written, the date(s) of the solicitation, the quantities 6 solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the time period over which deliveries were 7 8 requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal 9 was intended. 10 b. For each solicitation, identify all vendors solicited and the 11 vendor selected. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or other 12 document that ranks the proposals. (This document shall 13 identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not selected, 14 15 explain why the bid was not selected. 16 17 **Response**) 18 a. There was(were) no oral solicitation(s) for coal supplies issued 19 during the period from May 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014. 20 b. Not Applicable. 21 22

23 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

24

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 26 Witness: Mark A. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 27) For the period from May 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014, list each
2	vendor from whom coal was purchased and the quantity and nature of
3	each purchase (e.g., spot or contract). For the period under review in
4	total, provide the percentage of purchases that were spot versus contract.
5	For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been filed with the
6	Commission. If the response is no, explain why it has not been filed.
7	
8	Response) Please see the schedule below.

9

For the Period from May 1, 2014, through October 31, 2014

Purchase Vendor	Fuel Type	Purchase Tonnage	Contract Type	
Alliance Coal	Coal	219,066.11	Spot	
Armstrong Coal	Coal	361,028.23	Contract	
Coeclerici-Coal Network	Coal	78,488.51	Spot	
ExxonMobil	Pet Coke	129,993.94	Spot	
KenAmerican Resources	Coal	382,989.00	Spot	
Oxbow Carbon & Minerals	Pet Coke	32,335.82	Spot	
Patriot Coal Sales	Coal	325,792.50	Contract	
Peabody Coal Sales	Coal	47,923.00	Spot	
Rhino Energy, LLC	Coal	15,617.10	Spot	
Sebree Mining, LLC	Coal	334,829.46	Contract	
TCP Pet Coke	Pet Coke	150,089.08	Spot	
Winn Energy	Coal	22,494.17	Spot	
Yager Materials, LLC	Coal	1,622.00	Spot	
Total Tonnage		2,102,268.92		

For the Period from November 1, 2	2012, through October 31, 20)14
-----------------------------------	------------------------------	-----

Percentage Spot Purchase Tons	49.50 %
Percentage Contract Purchase Tons	50.50 %
Percentage Total Purchase Tons	100.00 %

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 27 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 2

10

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1		
2	Big Rivers	has filed its contract purchases with the Commission.
3		
4		
5	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
6		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 27 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5. 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 28) For the period from May 1, 2014 to October 31, 2014, list each 1 2 vendor from whom natural gas was purchased for generation and the 3 quantity and nature of each purchase (e.g., spot or contract). For the 4 period under review in total, provide the percentage of purchases that 5 were spot versus contract. For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been filed with the Commission. If the response is no, explain 6 7 why it has not been filed.

8

9 Response) Please see the listing on the following page for vendors from whom natural gas was purchased for generation and the quantity and nature of each 10 11 purchase from May 1, 2014, through October 31, 2014. Also on the following page 12 please see the percentage of purchases that were either spot versus contract for 13 the period under review in total. Big Rivers purchased natural gas from Atmos Energy under Atmos' tariff-based Interruptible Sales Service (G-2) Industrial and 14 15 Billing Rate Code 11 WD. This tariff is on file with the Kentucky Public Service 16 Commission. All natural gas purchased from Atmos Energy from May 1, 2014, 17 through May 23, 2014, was for operating the Coleman Station for Century 18 Hawesville or for the lay-up of the Coleman Station, and was not recovered 19 through the Fuel Adjustment Clause.

20

Starting in July 2010, Big Rivers began purchasing natural gas under 21 a North American Energy Standard Board ("NAESB") base contract for sale and 22 purchase of natural gas. Actual purchases of gas are made using transaction 23 confirmations that are governed by the NAESB contract. Big Rivers also entered 24 into a contract with Texas Gas Transmission, LLC for the transportation and 25 storage and borrowing of gas to Big Rivers' delivery point, the Reid Combustion

> Case No. 2014-00455 **Response to Staff Item 28** Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

- 1 Turbine. All of these contracts are on file with the Kentucky Public Service
- 2 Commission.
- 3

Purchase Vendor	Fuel Type	MCF	Contract Type
Atmos Energy	Natural Gas	2,639.40	Tariff based G-2 (Contract)
NJR Energy, LLC	Natural Gas	11,278.00	Spot
Percentage Contract	39.48 % 60.52 %		
For the Period from I		hrough Octobe	er 31, 2014
Percentage Snot			
Percentage Spot Percentage Total	100.00 %		
	the second se		



7 8

4

6

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 28 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 29) State whether Big Rivers engages in hedging activities for its
2	coal or natural gas purchases used for generation. If the response is yes,
3	describe the hedging activities in detail.
4	
5	Response) Big Rivers does not engage in financial hedging activities regarding
6	its coal or natural gas purchases used for generation.
7	
8	
9	Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and

10 Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)

11

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 29 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 Item 30) For each generating station or unit for which a separate coal 2 pile is maintained, state for the period from May 1, 2014, to October 31, 3 2014 the actual amount of coal burned in tons, actual amount of coal 4 deliveries in tons, total kWh generated, and actual capacity factor at 5 which the plant operated. 6

7 Response) Please see the schedule below. The North American Electric
8 Reliability Corporation's Generating Availability Data System defines Capacity
9 Factor as the value equal to the net MWh produced divided by the product of the
10 hours in the period times the unit rating in Net MWs, which is the formula for
11 this response.

12

Plant	Coal & Pet Coke Burn (Tons)	Coal & Pet Coke Receipts (Tons)	Net kWh	Factor (Net MWh) / (Period Hrs x MW rating)	
Reid Station (Coal)	48,277.05	60,517.70	81,927,220	28.54 %	
Station Two (Coal) *	344,994.29	370,223.89	784,924,360	80.19 %	
Green Station (Coal)	590,184.32	681,903.45	1,597,148,503	79.66 %	
Green Station (Pet Coke) **	143,833.00	171,031.62			
Coleman Station (Coal) ***	9,719.93	8,880.00	12,752,000	.65 %	
Wilson Station (Coal)	515,546.02	668,325.04	1,307,207,856	70.99 %	
Wilson Station (Pet Coke) **	62,840.50	141,387.22			

* Net of City of Henderson. City of Henderson generation take was 319,914,000 kWhs.

** Net kWh and Capacity Factor includes energy from burning Coal and Pet Coke

*** Coleman generation of 3,478,000 kWh and Coleman burn of 1,590.20 tons of coal, included in the amounts shown above, were excluded from the Fuel Adjustment Clause.

13

14 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

Case No. 2012-00555 Response to Staff Item 30 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Capacity

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 31)	
2		a. During the period from May 1, 2014 to October 31, 2014,
3		have there been any changes to Big Rivers' written policies
4		and procedures regarding its fuel procurement?
5		b. If yes,
6		(1)Describe the changes;
7		(2) State the date(s) the changes were made;
8		(3) Explain why the changes were made; and
9		(4) Provide the written policies and procedures as changed.
10		c. If no, provide the date when Big Rivers' current fuel
11		procurement policies and procedures were last changed,
12		when they were last provided to the Commission, and
13		identify the proceeding in which they were provided.
14		
15	Response)	
16		a. Yes. During the period May 1, 2014, to October 31. 2014, Big
17		Rivers made changes to the following policies:
18		i. Policy No. 105 - Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy;
19		ii. Policy No. 120 - Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures;
20		iii. Policy No. 121 - Solid Fuel Inventory Policy;
21		iv. Policy No. 122 - Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy;
22		v. Appendix A – Energy-Related Transaction Authority Policy.
23		b. (1) through (3)

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 31 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 1 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23 On August 15, 2014, Big Rivers removed references to the Chief Operating Officer ("COO") from Policy No. 105 -Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy due to the appointment of Robert W. Berry, formerly Big Rivers' COO, as Big Rivers President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO").

On September 9, 2014, Big Rivers removed references to the COO from Policy No. 120 - Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures, and replaced them with references to the Vice President Production ("VP Production"). This change reflected the promotion of Robert W. Berry to President and CEO, and his replacement as COO with the VP Production.

On October 17, 2014, Big Rivers updated Policy No. 121 - Solid Fuel Inventory Policy to reflect revised fuel inventory targets for the Coleman and Stations II plants. All units of the Coleman plant were idled in early May 2014.

On August 15, 2014, Big Rivers revised Policy No. 122 -Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy to reflect an internal title change and the replacement of the VP of Enterprise Risk Management with the Director Risk Management & Strategic Planning. Revisions at that time also reflected a change in reference to ACES Power Marketing ("APM") to ACES.

> Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 31 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 2 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 On July 21, 2014, Big Rivers revised Appendix A -2 Energy-Related Transaction Authority Policy to allocate 3 authority previously held by the COO to the Vice President 4 Energy Services and the VP Production. 5 (4) Black-line and red-line copies of the policies listed in the 6 response to sub-item a. are attached to this response. 7 c. Not applicable. 8 9 10 Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and

Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)

12

11

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 31 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 3 of 3 Policy No. 105 – Energy-Related Transaction Authority Policy



Your Touchstone Energy Coopensive

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	Board
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

1. Policy Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to define the authority granted by the Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") Board of Directors ("Board") to the President & Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") to execute, or to delegate authority to execute energy-related transactions. Furthermore, it sets forth clarity and empowerment among those with transaction authority and is designed to encourage communication among individuals with transaction authority and the Board.

2. Objective

The objective of Big Rivers' Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy is to define:

- Who has authority to execute transactions,
- The commodities and products that can be transacted,
- The authorized lead-time and term for each transaction,
- The authorized maximum price and volume,
- Counterparty contract and credit requirements,
- The process for approving new commodities, products or locations,
- Big Rivers' intention regarding hedging and speculating,
- Other relevant factors associated with due diligence in authorizing transactions to be executed.

3. Procedural Requirements

The following defines the procedural requirements that apply to all commodities and products transacted pursuant to this policy.

Execution Authority

Execution Authority is outlined by commodity in the authority matrix sections found below. All column limits in these matrices are applied independently of one another for each authority level, in that no individual column limit may be exceeded without authorization, regardless of whether a transaction does not exceed another column limit for that same authority level. Limits for each level of authority are cumulative, and include all column limits up to and including that level. The authority granted in this policy should not violate any other policy limits.

The transaction limits apply to both purchases and sales. Daily limits are applied to gross amounts transacted in total for the day, and not to purchases and sales netted together.

This policy identifies Board-authorized levels for the CEO and explicitly gives the CEO the authority to delegate authority levels to Big Rivers' staff and ACES Power Marketing ("ACES"). The CEO has the authority to modify delegated authority levels (noted in Appendix A) at his sole discretion as long as the delegated authority does not exceed his own authority per this policy.

BOARD POLICY

BOARD POLICY

Approved by:

Board

06/15/2007

06/15/2007

08/15/2014

08/15/2014



Your Touchstone Energy" Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Contract Requirements

Transactions with counterparties shall only be permitted if Big Rivers has either:

• An active, valid, and executed agreement enabling such transaction activity with that counterparty,

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date

Original Approval Date

Date Last Reviewed

Date Last Revised

• Long-form confirmations may be used as a valid agreement in lieu of a permanent agreement, when necessary if approved in writing by the Big Rivers CEO.

Credit Requirements

Credit limits for each counterparty shall not be intentionally exceeded. (Note: Since credit exposures are a function of not only positions transacted, but also a function of market pricing and volatility, credit exposure to counterparty may unintentionally exceed a credit limit purely due to changes in the forward market).

Entering into unsecured transactions with a counterparty that has total credit exposure greater than or equal to its open line of credit and the total of any security currently provided will not be allowed unless approved in writing by the Big Rivers CEO.

Credit Sleeving

All sleeving transactions for credit purposes shall be approved by the CEO. (Note: Sleeving is an arrangement where a more financially reputable entity acts as middleman for a smaller, undercapitalized entity in the purchase or sale of power.)

Contract Sleeving

This policy does not prohibit Big Rivers from being positioned between another member or customer of ACES and an external counterparty in order to bridge a contract gap that exists. The sleeve must:

- Be only for physical power, transmission or natural gas, not transportation,
- Be only for terms of one month or less.

Contract sleeving on behalf of Big Rivers is approved when it is either the only or the most economical path to pursue at the discretion of ACES, with prompt after-the-fact notice to the Big Rivers CEO.

Transaction Communications

All communications for bilateral electric power transactions must be transacted via a recorded communication method. Examples include, but are not limited to, voice recorded communication land or



BOARD POLICY



Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

cellular phone, instant messaging or via an online broker account. Recorded communications must be maintained and controlled by personnel who are independent of the transaction function.

Deal Capture

Any transactions executed by a Big Rivers employee must be promptly forwarded to ACES after the deal execution.

Speculation

Speculation refers to a purchase or sales transaction in which the intent was to realize a profit without taking physical delivery. No speculative transaction activities shall be permitted, and no speculative transaction positions shall be initiated. Transactions will be permitted only for purposes of hedging and portfolio optimization.

Non-Standard Products

The Board must pre-approve any transaction that involves commodities or products not listed in this policy.

Transactions Requiring Board Approval

Transactions which meet any of the following criteria must be pre-approved by the Board prior to execution:

- The transaction is a new commodity or within the list of commodities not previously transacted by Big Rivers,
- The transaction is at a location in which no transactions are permitted,
- The transaction is for something other than: physical spot or forward electricity, natural gas, fuel oil, solid fuel, ancillary services, capacity, power transmission, financial transmission rights, gas transportation, gas imbalance and storage, solid fuel transportation, exchange transacted energy products, over-the-counter (OTC) financial energy transactions, OTC energy options, federal SO2 or NOx emission allowances, renewable energy credits or a unit outage insurance product.

Examples of new instruments would include the use of derivatives with different risk characteristics or the use of derivatives to implement different business strategies or goals. New instruments or locations would also include those instruments or locations that may be transacted on a "one-off" basis, which would be implementation of a derivative instrument or entry into a commodity market that, despite the anticipation of being transacted just once, would still fit the definition of a new instrument or location.

The purpose of defining a process for such transactions as noted above is to ensure that the exposures associated with them are thoroughly reviewed and understood by the Board and appropriate transaction



Your Touchstone Energy" Coopenstive

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

controls are in place. The Board must approve the use of such transactions prior to execution using the process defined below:

- a) Transaction Proposal The proposal is the responsibility of the person or business group proposing the transaction. The proposal should address the business need, risks, transaction controls, valuation methodology, accounting methodology, operations workflow/ methodology, and assessment of legal and regulatory issues.
- b) Board Review The Board will perform a review of the benefits and risks of the proposed transaction. The Board will assess the proposed transaction and make a determination whether to add the proposed transaction to the approved list.
- c) Approval (Pilot Program) The Board may approve limited use of the proposed transaction to ensure that proper controls are in place to monitor the activity. The Board may approve the proposed transaction without instituting a Pilot Program if the proposed transaction is going to be used once (one off), where it would not be prudent to test it in a shorter time frame or smaller quantity due to constraints such as liquidity or length of term of product. The Board will use more scrutiny in approval of one-off transactions.


Your Touchstone Energy" Coopensitive

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

BOARD POLICY

4. Bilateral Electric Power and Transmission Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for power and power transmission transactions.

		F	Per Transaction Limits (up to)			Per Delivery Day Limits (up to)		Aggregate Limits	
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	MW Size	\$/ MWH	Total Volume MWH	Total \$	Total Volume MWH	Total \$
Board	Electric Power and Transmission	No Limit	No Limit	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.
	Power and	> 1 Year ≤ 3 Years	≤2 Years	50	\$150	21,600	\$10.8 million	1,800,000	\$110 million
	Transmission	≤1 Year		No Max.	A CONTRACTOR OF				

Power Authority Matrix Explanations

- Transaction limits represent the MW volume per hour and dollars/MWH for each transaction executed.
- Per Delivery Day Limits represent the total MWH volume and dollars for all transactions delivered in a given transaction day.
- Aggregate Limits represent the sum total MWH volume and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date the transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.
- Authorized products include electric power, both forwards and options, transmission, including both physical and financial derivatives. Options may only be entered into by the Big Rivers CEO or his designee.
- Authority for PJM and MISO products is defined in the authority matrices below.



Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Delivery Locations

Transactions at delivery locations outside the eastern interconnect are not permitted, unless approved by the CEO. Transaction at delivery locations that are normal to the daily course of business for Big Rivers, to the extent transmission is available, are authorized as follows:

Unrestricted Delivery Locations

- SERC Reliability Region
- MISO
- PJM
- **RFC Reliability Region**
- SPP

Transaction at any other delivery locations within the eastern interconnection shall be restricted as follows:

Restricted Delivery Locations

Other eastern interconnection locations only with approval by the Big Rivers CEO.

Firmness of Power

The product firmness of all transactions must be provided for in an executed agreement between Big Rivers and the appropriate counterparty. Sales commitments must never be more firm than the supply source, including the purchase side of back-to-back sales, swaps, sleeves or spreads unless the Big Rivers CEO gives explicit written authority to sell power that is more firm than the supply source. Energy purchased as firm liquidated damages may be resold as such.

Transmission Firmness and Volume

Transmission purchases need to be of equal firmness and volume to the energy component that such transmission purchase is associated with, unless pre-approved otherwise by the Big Rivers CEO. (Note: Purchasing small percentages of additional transmission to cover transmission losses is permitted.) In addition, transmission may be reserved but not utilized if an energy schedule is not confirmed prior to scheduling deadlines outside of Big Rivers' or ACES's control. When this occurs it is not considered a violation of this policy.









Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	1.002.000

5. MISO Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for MISO products.

		MISO Per Transaction Limits (up to)					
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Term	MW Size	\$/MWh		
Board	All MISO Products	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max		
	Generation Awards	As Required by MISO	1 Operating Day				
	Demand Awards			No Max	MISO Drive Com		
	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO			MISO Price Cap		
CEO	Capacity	\leq 3 Years	≤3 Years	500			
	Financial Transmission Rights	\leq 4 Years	\leq 4 Years	425	\$20		
	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	No Mon	MISO Drive Com		
	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	No Max	MISO Price Cap		

MISO Authority Matrix Explanations

- Virtual transaction award limits are per each bid/offer nodal point. Virtual purchase awards and virtual sales awards are monitored separately.
- Imports and exports are per location and are monitored separately.
- Generation Award Limits are per generating unit.
- Demand Award Limits are per each load nodal point.
- Limits for Ancillary Service Awards apply to the Regulation and Operating (Spinning and Supplemental) Reserve Market only.
- Capacity limits apply to bilateral transactions executed to meet MISO resource adequacy requirements such as MISO Zonal Resource Credits (ZRC) as well as capacity transacted via the MISO Capacity Auction.
- Only Financial Transmission Rights that are bought and sold via the annual and monthly auctions or in the secondary market are monitored per the limits above. Participation in the Annual Allocation of financial transmission rights is not considered a transaction subject to this Policy.
- Delivery lead time represents the time period between trade execution and start of flow.

Note that all MISO ISO day-ahead transactions, such as price-sensitive offers and bids for importing and exporting from MISO, are financially firm. Hence an exception from the firmness of power for adjustments to these day ahead transactions intraday is acceptable due to the fact that these adjustments are hourly and non-firm.



Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	1.

BOARD POLICY

6. PJM Transaction Authority (Non-Bilateral)

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for PJM products.

		PJM	1 Per Transact	ion Limits (up	o to)	
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Term	MW Size	\$/MWh	
Board	All PJM Products	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	
_	Generation Awards		Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	
	Demand Awards	Not Presently				
	Ancillary Service Awards	Transacted				
CEO	Capacity					
	Financial Transmission Rights	\leq 4 Years	\leq 4 Years	425	\$20	
	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	No May	DIM Drive Com	
	Imports/Exports	РЈМ	Day	No Max	PJM Price Cap	



- Virtual transaction award limits are per each bid/offer nodal point. Incremental purchase awards and decremental sales awards are monitored separately.
- Imports and exports are per location and are each monitored separately.
- Only financial transmission rights that are bought and sold via the annual and monthly auctions or in the secondary market are monitored per the limits above. Participation in the Annual Allocation of financial transmission rights is not considered a transaction subject to this Policy.

Note that PJM RTO day-ahead transactions, such as price-sensitive offers and bids for importing and exporting from PJM are financially firm. Hence an exception from the firmness of power for adjustments to these day ahead transactions intraday is acceptable due to the fact that these adjustments are hourly and non-firm.





Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

7. Natural/Synthetic Gas and Transportation Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for natural/synthetic gas (Gas) and transportation transactions.

	Per Transaction Limits (up to)			Per Delivery Day Limits (up to)		Aggregate Limits (up to)			
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Physical Volume per Day MMBtu	\$/ MMBtu	Physical Volume MMBtu	Total \$	Total Physical Volume MMBtu	Total \$
Board	Gas Products	No Limit	No Limit	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.
CEO	Physical	> 1 Year ≤ 2 Years	≤2 Years	25,000	\$15	25,000	\$375,00 0	1.2 million	\$18 million
		≤1	1	No	No	No	No		
		Year		Max.	Max.	Max.	Max.		

Gas Authority Matrix Explanations

- Transaction limits represent the physical MMBtu volume per day and dollars/MMBtu for each transaction executed.
- Per delivery day limits represent the total physical MMBtu volume and dollars for all transactions delivered in a given day.
- Aggregate limits represent the total physical MMBtu volume and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.
- The dollar limits are based upon commodity gas only and do not include transportation, however, the term, lead time, and volume limits do apply to transportation.
- Gas products include physical gas, as well as transportation, imbalance and storage. The above authority matrix applies to both exchange transacted and OTC derivative products.

Gas Firmness



Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	president states
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

The product firmness of all transactions must be provided for in an executed agreement between Big Rivers and the appropriate counterparty. Sales commitments of Gas must never be more firm than the Gas supply source unless pre-approved by the Big Rivers CEO.

Transportation Firmness and Volume

Transportation purchases need to be of equal firmness and volume to the Gas component that such transportation purchase is associated with, unless pre-approved otherwise by the Big Rivers CEO.

Delivery Locations

Gas transactions may only be executed at the following locations:

Pipelines and hubs that serve Big Rivers' gas powered generation unit(s).

Physical Gas transactions may only be executed at other locations upon approval of the Big Rivers CEO and such transactions must support the hedging needs of Big Rivers.







Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

8. Fuel Oil Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for physical fuel oil transactions.

Fuel oil hedging will be conducted to hedge price risk associated with fuel oil used for plant start-up or to hedge potential fuel oil or diesel fuel price risk contained within solid fuel contracts.

			Per Tran	Aggregate Limits (up to)			
<u>Title</u>	Product	Term	Lead Time	Physical - Volume Gallons	\$/Gallon	Total Physical - Volume Gallons	Total \$
Board	Physical Fuel Oil	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Physical Fuel Oil	> 1 Year \leq 3 Years	≤2 Voors	1,000,000	\$6	6,000,000	\$36 million
		≤ 1 Year	Years	No Max	No Max		

Fuel Oil Authority Matrix Explanations

- Transaction limits represent the total gallons and dollars/gallon for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total gallon volume and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.





Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

9. Solid Fuel Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for solid fuel transactions.

	Per Transaction Limits (up to)				Aggregate Limits (up to)		
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/MMBtu	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board	Physical Solid Fuel	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Physical Solid Fuel	> 3 Months ≤ 3 Years	<u>≤2</u>	1,500,000	\$2.50	2 million	\$120
	\leq 3 Months	Years	200,000	\$2.85		million	

Solid Fuel Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include physical spot and forward transactions and options on physical forwards.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars/MMBtu for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.





Your Touchstone Energy* Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	(ALLOCATE)

10. Solid Fuel Transportation Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for solid fuel transportation transactions.

		Per Transaction Limits (up to)				Aggregate Limits (up to)	
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board	Barge, Rail or Truck Transportation	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Barge, Rail or Truck	> 1 Year \leq 3 Years	≤2	3 million	\$9	6 million	\$54
CLU	Transportation	≤ 1 Year	Years	No Max	No Max		million

Solid Fuel Transportation Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include barge, rail and truck transportation transactions.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars/ton for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.



Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Policy Number 105

Date Last Revised

		and the second second
Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board

08/15/2014

BOARD POLICY

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

11. Emission Allowances Transaction Authority*

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for emissions transactions.

		Per Transad (up	Aggregate Limits (up to)				
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board	Federal SO ₂ and NOx Emission Allowances	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
	Federal SO ₂ Emission	> 1 Year ≤ 2 Years		5,000	\$500	20,000	\$10 million
ara	Allowances	≤1 Year	≤2	No Max	No Max		
CEO	Federal NOx Emission	> 1 Year ≤ 2 Years	Years	2,500	\$2,500	12,500	\$30 million
	Allowances	\leq 1 Year		No Max	No Max	1	

Emission Allowance Authority Matrix Explanations

- The authority matrix above represents authority for SO2 and NOx emission allowances.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars/ton for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.

*Per Appendix A of the Kentucky Public Service Commission order dated March 6, 2009 in case 2007-00455 Big Rivers commits to not sell SO₂ allowances in its inventory (excluding the 14,000 SO₂ allowances acquired in conjunction with the Unwind Transaction) unless the sale is cost-effective based on a written policy which reflects short- and long-term allowance needs and prices.



Your Touchstone Energy Cooperstive

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

12. Limestone Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for limestone reagent transactions.

	1. 1. 1.	P	er Transac (up	tion Limits to)			ate Limits p to)
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board of Directors	Physical Limestone Reagent	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Physical Limestone	> 3 Months \leq 3 Years	<u>≤</u> 2	750,000	\$20	1.50	\$30 million
Reagent	\leq 3 Months	Years	No Max	No Max	million		

Limestone Reagent Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include physical spot and forward transactions and options on physical forwards.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.



Approved by:

Board

06/15/2007

06/15/2007

08/15/2014

08/15/2014

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date

Original Approval Date

Date Last Reviewed

Date Last Revised



Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

13. Lime Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for lime reagent transactions.

		P	er Transac (up	ction Limits to)			ate Limits p to)
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board of Directors	Physical Lime Reagent	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Physical Lime	> 3 Months ≤ 3 Years	<u>≤2</u>	650,000	\$125	650,000	\$81 million
Reagent	\leq 3 Months	Years	No Max	No Max			

Lime Reagent Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include physical spot and forward transactions and options on physical forwards.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.





Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	1.11.11.11.11.1

BOARD POLICY

14. Acknowledgements

Clear Authority and Staff and ACES Authority Delegations

Where authority is further downward delegated, it must be approved by written signature of the next authority level up prior to any execution. In no case will the delegation of authority to Big Rivers staff or ACES exceed that of the Big Rivers CEO.

Violations and Sanctions

Violations of this Authority Policy must not occur. Any person covered by this policy who becomes aware of a violation of the Authority Policy has an affirmative duty to report the violation to the department head in which the violation occurred and to the Director Enterprise Risk Management/ Strategic Planning who in turn shall inform the Big Rivers CEO. However, if it is believed that the Big Rivers CEO is involved then the Big Rivers Chairman of the Board shall be notified. The responsible party (ies) will be sanctioned according to Big Rivers Risk Management Sanctions Policy (for Big Rivers Employees only, ACES employees are governed by the ACES Power Marketing Trading Sanctions Policy).

Policy Effective

This Transaction Authority Policy is in effect upon the Board's approval and shall remain in effect until a revised policy has been approved by the Board.

Responsibility

It shall be the responsibility of the Board, the CEO, the Big Rivers-IRMC and the ACES-IRMC to ensure compliance with this policy.





Your Touchstone Energy" Cooperative

Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Policy Number 105

Original Effective Date	06/15/2007	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	06/15/2007	- 10
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
THE .		Replaced Risk Management Policy for Power Supply	Board
Original	06/15/2007	Trading Authority Policy w/o matrices	Board
Rev 1	10/16/2009	Name change to Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy with matrices	Board
Rev 2	11/19/2010	MISO Transaction Authority added	Board
Rev 3	12/17/2010	Updated Solid Fuel Transaction Authority	Board
Rev 4	03/18/2011	Added limestone and lime, general cleanup	Board
Rev 5	02/21/2012	Made revisions to account for retirement of Senior VP Energy Services	Board
Rev 6	02/14/2013	Changed VP Production to Chief Operating Officer. Changed Vice President of Governmental Relations and Enterprise Risk Management to Director Enterprise Risk Management/Strategic Planning. Under MISO, changed Aggregate Planning Resource Credits to Zonal Resource Credits.	
Rev. 7	03/21/2014	Add date last reviewed line and correct section 4 to comply with Appendix	Board
Rev. 8	08/15/2014	Remove reference to Chief Operating Officer	Board



Page 18 of 18



Big Rivers ELECTRIC CORPORATION

BOARD POLICY

Deleted: 2

Policy Number 105



1. Policy Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to define the authority granted by the Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") Board of Directors ("Board") to the President & Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") to execute, or to delegate authority to execute energy-related transactions. Furthermore, it sets forth clarity and empowerment among those with transaction authority and is designed to encourage communication among individuals with transaction authority and the Board.

2. Objective

The objective of Big Rivers' Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy is to define:

- · Who has authority to execute transactions,
- The commodities and products that can be transacted,
- The authorized lead-time and term for each transaction,
- · The authorized maximum price and volume,
- · Counterparty contract and credit requirements,
- · The process for approving new commodities, products or locations,
- Big Rivers' intention regarding hedging and speculating,
- Other relevant factors associated with due diligence in authorizing transactions to be executed.

3. Procedural Requirements

The following defines the procedural requirements that apply to all commodities and products transacted pursuant to this policy.

Execution Authority

Execution Authority is outlined by commodity in the authority matrix sections found below. All column limits in these matrices are applied independently of one another for each authority level, in that no individual column limit may be exceeded without authorization, regardless of whether a transaction does not exceed another column limit for that same authority level. Limits for each level of authority are cumulative, and include all column limits up to and including that level. The authority granted in this policy should not violate any other policy limits.

The transaction limits apply to both purchases and sales. Daily limits are applied to gross amounts transacted in total for the day, and not to purchases and sales netted together.

This policy identifies Board-authorized levels for the CEO and explicitly gives the CEO the authority to delegate authority levels to Big Rivers' staff and ACES Power Marketing ("ACES"). The CEO has the authority to modify delegated authority levels (noted in Appendix A) at his sole discretion as long as the delegated authority does not exceed his own authority per this policy.

Deleted: All delegated authority levels below the Chief Operating Officer level will be recommended by the Chief Operating Officer and approved by the CEO.

Page 1 of 18





Policy Number 105



Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

Contract Requirements

Transactions with counterparties shall only be permitted if Big Rivers has either:

- An active, valid, and executed agreement enabling such transaction activity with that counterparty,
- Long-form confirmations may be used as a valid agreement in lieu of a permanent agreement, when necessary if approved in writing by the Big Rivers CEO.

Credit Requirements

Credit limits for each counterparty shall not be intentionally exceeded. (Note: Since credit exposures are a function of not only positions transacted, but also a function of market pricing and volatility, credit exposure to counterparty may unintentionally exceed a credit limit purely due to changes in the forward market).

Entering into unsecured transactions with a counterparty that has total credit exposure greater than or equal to its open line of credit and the total of any security currently provided will not be allowed unless approved in writing by the Big Rivers CEO.

Credit Sleeving

All sleeving transactions for credit purposes shall be approved by the CEO. (Note: Sleeving is an arrangement where a more financially reputable entity acts as middleman for a smaller, undercapitalized entity in the purchase or sale of power.)

Contract Sleeving

This policy does not prohibit Big Rivers from being positioned between another member or customer of ACES and an external counterparty in order to bridge a contract gap that exists. The sleeve must:

- Be only for physical power, transmission or natural gas, not transportation,
- Be only for terms of one month or less.

Contract sleeving on behalf of Big Rivers is approved when it is either the only or the most economical path to pursue at the discretion of ACES, with prompt after-the-fact notice to the Big Rivers <u>CEO</u>.

Transaction Communications

All communications for bilateral electric power transactions must be transacted via a recorded communication method. Examples include, but are not limited to, voice recorded communication land or

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer.

Page 2 of 18



Deleted: 2



Your Touchatone Energy' Cooperative	Original Effective Date Original Approval Date	06/15/2007 06/15/2007	Approved by:	
Enormy Balatad Transaction Authority Daliay	Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	Deleted: 3
Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy	Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		Deleted: 2
				Deleted: 3

cellular phone, instant messaging or via an online broker account. Recorded communications must be maintained and controlled by personnel who are independent of the transaction function.

Deal Capture

BigRivers

Any transactions executed by a Big Rivers employee must be promptly forwarded to ACES after the deal execution.

Speculation

Speculation refers to a purchase or sales transaction in which the intent was to realize a profit without taking physical delivery. No speculative transaction activities shall be permitted, and no speculative transaction positions shall be initiated. Transactions will be permitted only for purposes of hedging and portfolio optimization.

Non-Standard Products

The Board must pre-approve any transaction that involves commodities or products not listed in this policy.

Transactions Requiring Board Approval

Transactions which meet any of the following criteria must be pre-approved by the Board prior to execution:

- The transaction is a new commodity or within the list of commodities not previously transacted by Big Rivers,
- The transaction is at a location in which no transactions are permitted,
- The transaction is for something other than: physical spot or forward electricity, natural gas, fuel
 oil, solid fuel, ancillary services, capacity, power transmission, financial transmission rights, gas
 transportation, gas imbalance and storage, solid fuel transportation, exchange transacted energy
 products, over-the-counter (OTC) financial energy transactions, OTC energy options, federal SO2
 or NOx emission allowances, renewable energy credits or a unit outage insurance product.

Examples of new instruments would include the use of derivatives with different risk characteristics or the use of derivatives to implement different business strategies or goals. New instruments or locations would also include those instruments or locations that may be transacted on a "one-off" basis, which would be implementation of a derivative instrument or entry into a commodity market that, despite the anticipation of being transacted just once, would still fit the definition of a new instrument or location.

The purpose of defining a process for such transactions as noted above is to ensure that the exposures associated with them are thoroughly reviewed and understood by the Board and appropriate transaction

Page 3 of 18





Big Rivers ELECTRIC CORPORATION

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 105

Your Touchanone Energy" Cooperative	Original Effective Date Original Approval Date	06/15/2007 06/15/2007	Approved by:		
inormy Polated Transaction Authority Policy	Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	Deleted: 3	
nergy Related Transaction Authority Policy	Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		Deleted: 2	
				Deleted: 3	
			`	Deleted: 2	

controls are in place. The Board must approve the use of such transactions prior to execution using the process defined below:

- a) Transaction Proposal The proposal is the responsibility of the person or business group proposing the transaction. The proposal should address the business need, risks, transaction controls, valuation methodology, accounting methodology, operations workflow/ methodology, and assessment of legal and regulatory issues.
- b) Board Review The Board will perform a review of the benefits and risks of the proposed transaction. The Board will assess the proposed transaction and make a determination whether to add the proposed transaction to the approved list.
- c) Approval (Pilot Program) The Board may approve limited use of the proposed transaction to ensure that proper controls are in place to monitor the activity. The Board may approve the proposed transaction without instituting a Pilot Program if the proposed transaction is going to be used once (one off), where it would not be prudent to test it in a shorter time frame or smaller quantity due to constraints such as liquidity or length of term of product. The Board will use more scrutiny in approval of one-off transactions.









Policy Number 105







4. Bilateral Electric Power and Transmission Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for power and power transmission transactions.

Title		Per Transaction Limits (up to)				Per Delivery Day Limits (up to)		Aggregate Limits	
	Product	Term	Lead Time	MW Size	\$/ MWH	Total Volume MWH	Total S	Total Volume MWH	Total \$
Board	Electric Power and Transmission	No Limit	No Limit	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.
CEO	Electric Power and	$\begin{array}{c c} > 1 \\ Year \\ \leq 3 \\ \leq 2 \end{array} \qquad 50 \qquad \150	21.600	\$10.8 million	1,800,000	\$110 million			
Transm	Transmission	≤1 Year		No Max.	No Max.				

Power Authority Matrix Explanations

- Transaction limits represent the MW volume per hour and dollars/MWH for each transaction . executed.
- Per Delivery Day Limits represent the total MWH volume and dollars for all transactions delivered in a given transaction day.
- Aggregate Limits represent the sum total MWH volume and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date the transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.
- Authorized products include electric power, both forwards and options, transmission, including both physical and financial derivatives. Options may only be entered into by the Big Rivers CEO or his designee.
- Authority for PJM and MISO products is defined in the authority matrices below.







Policy Number 105



Delivery Locations

Transactions at delivery locations outside the eastern interconnect are not permitted, unless approved by the CEO. Transaction at delivery locations that are normal to the daily course of business for Big Rivers, to the extent transmission is available, are authorized as follows:

Unrestricted Delivery Locations

- SERC Reliability Region
- MISO
- PJM
- RFC Reliability Region
- SPP

Transaction at any other delivery locations within the eastern interconnection shall be restricted as follows:

Restricted Delivery Locations

Other eastern interconnection locations only with approval by the Big Rivers CEO.

Firmness of Power

The product firmness of all transactions must be provided for in an executed agreement between Big Rivers and the appropriate counterparty. Sales commitments must never be more firm than the supply source, including the purchase side of back-to-back sales, swaps, sleeves or spreads unless the Big Rivers CEO gives explicit written authority to sell power that is more firm than the supply source. Energy purchased as firm liquidated damages may be resold as such.

Transmission Firmness and Volume

Transmission purchases need to be of equal firmness and volume to the energy component that such transmission purchase is associated with, unless pre-approved otherwise by the Big Rivers CEO. (Note: Purchasing small percentages of additional transmission to cover transmission losses is permitted.) In addition, transmission may be reserved but not utilized if an energy schedule is not confirmed prior to scheduling deadlines outside of Big Rivers' or ACES's control. When this occurs it is not considered a violation of this policy.



Page 6 of 18







Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

ELECTRIC CORPORATION

5. MISO Transaction Authority

Your Touchmone Energy Cooperative

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for MISO products.

		MISO Per Transaction Limits (up to)						
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Term	MW Size	S/MWh			
Board	All MISO Products	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max			
	Generation Awards Demand Awards	As Required by MISO	1 Operating Day	No Max	MISO Price Con			
	Ancillary Service Awards	IAITOO	Day		MISO Price Cap			
CEO	Capacity	\leq 3 Years	\leq 3 Years	500				
	Financial Transmission Rights	≤4 Years	\leq 4 Years	425	\$20			
	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	No Max	MISO Price Cap			
	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	110 11104	Miso rice cap			



- Virtual transaction award limits are per each bid/offer nodal point. Virtual purchase awards and virtual sales awards are monitored separately.
- · Imports and exports are per location and are monitored separately.
- · Generation Award Limits are per generating unit.
- Demand Award Limits are per each load nodal point.
- Limits for Ancillary Service Awards apply to the Regulation and Operating (Spinning and Supplemental) Reserve Market only.
- Capacity limits apply to bilateral transactions executed to meet MISO resource adequacy requirements such as MISO Zonal Resource Credits (ZRC) as well as capacity transacted via the MISO Capacity Auction.
- Only Financial Transmission Rights that are bought and sold via the annual and monthly auctions
 or in the secondary market are monitored per the limits above. Participation in the Annual
 Allocation of financial transmission rights is not considered a transaction subject to this Policy.
- Delivery lead time represents the time period between trade execution and start of flow.

Note that all MISO ISO day-ahead transactions, such as price-sensitive offers and bids for importing and exporting from MISO, are financially firm. Hence an exception from the firmness of power for adjustments to these day ahead transactions intraday is acceptable due to the fact that these adjustments are hourly and non-firm.

Page 7 of 18





Policy Number 105

ne Energy' Cooperative



6. PJM Transaction Authority (Non-Bilateral)

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for PJM products.

		PJM Per Transaction Limits (up to)						
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Тегт	MW Size	\$/MWh			
Board	All PJM Products	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max			
CEO	Generation Awards Demand Awards	Not Presently	Not	Not	Not Presently			
	Ancillary Service Awards Capacity	Transacted	Presently Transacted	Presently Transacted	Transacted			
	Financial Transmission Rights	≤4 Years	≤4 Years	425	\$20			
	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	No Max	PJM Price Cap			

PJM Authority Matrix Explanations

- Virtual transaction award limits are per each bid/offer nodal point. Incremental purchase awards . and decremental sales awards are monitored separately.
- Imports and exports are per location and are each monitored separately.
- Only financial transmission rights that are bought and sold via the annual and monthly auctions or in the secondary market are monitored per the limits above. Participation in the Annual Allocation of financial transmission rights is not considered a transaction subject to this Policy.

Note that PJM RTO day-ahead transactions, such as price-sensitive offers and bids for importing and exporting from PJM are financially firm. Hence an exception from the firmness of power for adjustments to these day ahead transactions intraday is acceptable due to the fact that these adjustments are hourly and non-firm.



Page 8 of 18





Policy Number 105



Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

7. Natural/Synthetic Gas and Transportation Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for natural/synthetic gas (Gas) and transportation transactions.

			Per Transac (up		5	Per Delivery Day Limits (up to)		Aggregate Limits (up to)		
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Physical Volume per Day MMBtu	\$/ MMBtu	Physical Volume MMBtu	Total S	Total Physical Volume MMBtu	Total \$	
Board	Gas Products	No Limit	No Limit	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	
CEO	Physical	>1 Year ≤2 Years	≤2 Years	25,000	\$15	25,000	\$375,00 0 1.2 million	25,000 0 1.2	1.2 million	\$18 million
		≤1 Year		No Max.	No Max.	No Max.	No Max.			

Gas Authority Matrix Explanations

- Transaction limits represent the physical MMBtu volume per day and dollars/MMBtu for each transaction executed.
- Per delivery day limits represent the total physical MMBtu volume and dollars for all transactions delivered in a given day.
- Aggregate limits represent the total physical MMBtu volume and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.
- The dollar limits are based upon commodity gas only and do not include transportation, however, the term, lead time, and volume limits do apply to transportation.
- Gas products include physical gas, as well as transportation, imbalance and storage. The above authority matrix applies to both exchange transacted and OTC derivative products.

Gas Firmness





Policy Number 105

Your Toucharone Energy Cooperative X	Original Effective Date Original Approval Date	06/15/2007 06/15/2007	Approved by:	
Second Delete d Transaction Authority Delite	Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	Deleted: 3
Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy	Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		Deleted: 2
				Deleted: 3
he product firmness of all transactions must b	e provided for in an e	xecuted agreement	between Big	Deleted: 2

The product firmness of all transactions must be provided for in an executed agreement between Big Rivers and the appropriate counterparty. Sales commitments of Gas must never be more firm than the Gas supply source unless pre-approved by the Big Rivers CEO.

Transportation Firmness and Volume

Transportation purchases need to be of equal firmness and volume to the Gas component that such transportation purchase is associated with, unless pre-approved otherwise by the Big Rivers CEO.

Delivery Locations

Gas transactions may only be executed at the following locations:

• Pipelines and hubs that serve Big Rivers' gas powered generation unit(s).

Physical Gas transactions may only be executed at other locations upon approval of the Big Rivers CEO and such transactions must support the hedging needs of Big Rivers.









Policy Number 105



8. Fuel Oil Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for physical fuel oil transactions.

Fuel oil hedging will be conducted to hedge price risk associated with fuel oil used for plant start-up or to hedge potential fuel oil or diesel fuel price risk contained within solid fuel contracts.

			Per Tran	Aggregate Limits (up to)			
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Physical - Volume Gallons	\$/Gallon	Total Physical - Volume Gallons	Total \$
Board	Physical Fuel Oil	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Physical Fuel Oil	> 1 Year \leq 3 Years	≤2 V:	1,000,000	\$6	6,000,000	\$36 million
		≤1 Year	≤ 1 Year Years No Max No Max	No Max			

Fuel Oil Authority Matrix Explanations

- Transaction limits represent the total gallons and dollars/gallon for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total gallon volume and dollars for all forward transactions.
 Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.







Policy Number 105



9. Solid Fuel Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for solid fuel transactions.

	P	Aggregate Limits (up to)						
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/MMBtu	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
Board	Physical Solid Fuel	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max	
CEO	Physical Solid Fuel	> 3 Months ≤ 3 Years	≤2	1,500,000	\$2.50	2 million	\$120	
		\leq 3 Months	Years	200,000	\$2.85		million	

Solid Fuel Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include physical spot and forward transactions and options on physical forwards.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars/MMBtu for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.



Page 12 of 18



Policy Number 105





10. Solid Fuel Transportation Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for solid fuel transportation transactions.

			Per Transao (up	Aggregate Limits (up to)			
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board	Barge, Rail or Truck Transportation	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO Truck	Barge, Rail or Truck	>1 Year ≤3 Years	s ≤2 Years	3 million	\$9	6 million	\$54 million
	Transportation	≤1 Year		No Max	No Max		

Solid Fuel Transportation Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include barge, rail and truck transportation transactions. .
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars/ton for each transaction . executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions. .
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the . transaction.



Page 13 of 18





Policy Number 105



Your Touchmone Energy Cooperative K	Original Effective Date Original Approval Date	06/15/2007 06/15/2007	Approved by:		
The second Stress and Automatical Automatical Delivery	Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	Deleted: 3	
Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy	Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		Deleted: 2	
				Deleted: 3	
			ì	Deleted: 2	

11. Emission Allowances Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for emissions transactions.

			Aggregate Limits (up to)					
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
Board	Federal SO ₂ and NOx Emission Allowances	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max	
	Federal SO ₂	Federal SO2> 1 YearEmission ≤ 2 Years		5,000	\$500	20,000	\$10 million	
ono	Allowances	≤1 Year	≤2	No Max	No Max			
CEO Federal NOx Emission	> I Year ≤2 Years	Years	2,500	\$2,500	12,500	\$30 million		
	Allowances	≤1 Year	ן ר	No Max	No Max			

Emission Allowance Authority Matrix Explanations

- The authority matrix above represents authority for SO2 and NOx emission allowances.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars/ton for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.

"Per Appendix A of the Kentucky Public Service Commission order dated March 6, 2009 in case 2007-00455 Big Rivers commits to not sell SO₂ allowances in its inventory (excluding the 14,000 SO2 allowances acquired in conjunction with the Unwind Transaction) unless the sale is cost-effective based on a written policy which reflects short- and long-term allowance needs and prices.







Policy Number 105



12. Limestone Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for limestone reagent transactions.

		Per Transaction Limits (up to)			Aggregate Limits (up to)		
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board of Directors	Physical Limestone Reagent	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Physical Limestone	> 3 Months ≤ 3 Years	<u>≤</u> 2	750,000	\$20	1.50	\$30 million
	Reagent	\leq 3 Months	Years	No Max No Max	No Max	million	

Limestone Reagent Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include physical spot and forward transactions and options on physical forwards.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.

Page 15 of 18





Policy Number 105



Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

13. Lime Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines transaction limits, definitions, and procedural requirements for lime reagent transactions.

		Per Transaction Limits (up to)			Aggregate Limits (up to)		
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
Board of Directors	Physical Lime Reagent	No Limit	No Limit	No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max
CEO	Physical Lime	> 3 Months \leq 3 Years	≤2	650,000	\$125	650,000 \$81 mil	\$81 million
_	Reagent	\leq 3 Months	Years	No Max	No Max No Max		

Lime Reagent Authority Matrix Explanations

- Authorized products include physical spot and forward transactions and options on physical forwards.
- Per transaction limits represent the total quantity in tons and dollars for each transaction executed.
- Aggregate limits represent the sum total quantity in tons and dollars for all forward transactions.
- Lead time represents the time period from the date a transaction is executed to the start of the transaction.

Page 16 of 18



Big Rivers ELECTRIC CORPORATION

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 105



Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy

14. Acknowledgements

Clear Authority and Staff and ACES Authority Delegations

Where authority is further downward delegated, it must be approved by written signature of the next authority level up prior to any execution. In no case will the delegation of authority to Big Rivers staff or ACES exceed that of the Big Rivers CEO.

Violations and Sanctions

Violations of this Authority Policy must not occur. Any person covered by this policy who becomes aware of a violation of the Authority Policy has an affirmative duty to report the violation to the department head in which the violation occurred and to the Director Enterprise Risk Management/ Strategic Planning who in turn shall inform the Big Rivers CEO. However, if it is believed that the Big Rivers CEO is involved then the Big Rivers Chairman of the Board shall be notified. The responsible party (ies) will be sanctioned according to Big Rivers Risk Management Sanctions Policy (for Big Rivers Employees only, ACES employees are governed by the ACES Power Marketing Trading Sanctions Policy).

Policy Effective

This Transaction Authority Policy is in effect upon the Board's approval and shall remain in effect until a revised policy has been approved by the Board.

Responsibility

It shall be the responsibility of the Board, the CEO, the Big Rivers-IRMC and the ACES-IRMC to ensure compliance with this policy.









Policy Number 105

Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative	Original Effective Date Original Approval Date	06/15/2007 06/15/2007	Approved by:	
Delated Terrorities Authority Deline	Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	Deleted: 3
Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy	Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		Deleted: 2
				Deleted: 3
			`	Deleted: 2

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Contraction of the	and the second second	Replaced Risk Management Policy for Power	Board
		Supply	
Original	06/15/2007	Trading Authority Policy w/o matrices	Board
Rev 1	10/16/2009	Name change to Energy Related Transaction	Board
		Authority Policy with matrices	
Rev 2	11/19/2010	MISO Transaction Authority added	Board
Rev 3	12/17/2010	Updated Solid Fuel Transaction Authority	Board
Rev 4	03/18/2011	Added limestone and lime, general cleanup	Board
Rev 5	02/21/2012	Made revisions to account for retirement of Senior	Board
		VP Energy Services	
Rev 6	02/14/2013	Changed VP Production to Chief Operating	
		Officer. Changed Vice President of Governmental	
		Relations and Enterprise Risk Management to	
		Director Enterprise Risk Management/Strategic	
		Planning. Under MISO, changed Aggregate	
		Planning Resource Credits to Zonal Resource	
		Credits.	
Rev. 7	02/20/2014	Add date last reviewed line and correct section 4 to	Board
		comply with Appendix	
Rev. 8	08/15/2014	Remove reference to Chief Operating Officer	Board





Policy No. 120 – Fuel Procurement Policy and Procedure





Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy	Number	120
--------	--------	-----

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1

Policy Purpose

The purpose of the Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures guidelines is to present the principles that govern the procurement of fuel, reagent, and associated transportation. This document is not intended to provide a step-by-step procedural flow, but place an emphasis on procurement policies and a concise overview of appropriate procurement practices. The awarding of Contracts and Purchase Orders will comply with business controls including corporate governance, authority limit matrices, auditing recommendations, and other established practices and limitations.

FUEL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. <u>Definitions</u>:

- 1. "Agreement" means a legally binding document, in which one party agrees to sell and the other agrees to buy fuel, reagent, or transportation services for such, which is executed by both Buyer and Seller.
- 2. "Award Recommendation" means the Company's approval process for the review and approval by Senior Management of a recommended fuel, reagent, or transportation purchase that fall outside the limits established in the Company's granted authority limits.
- 3. "Company" means Big Rivers Electric Corporation.
- 4. "Contract" is an Agreement, Letter Agreement, Purchase Order, or Spot Contract for fuel supply, reagent, or such transportation with certain terms and conditions that describe the business transaction under which the Company procures fuel, reagent, and related transportation.
- 5. "Contract purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or transportation on behalf of the Company under a contract, typically more than one year's duration.
- 6. "Department" means the Company's Fuels Department.
- 7. "Director" means the Company's Director of Fuels.
- 8. "Emergency" means extraordinary conditions affecting fuel production, transportation, or usage, including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other labor problems, embargoes, mining impediments and other problems affecting the production or transportation of fuel, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that could be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel to Company facilities.
- 9. "Environmental standards" mean the legal requirements for compliance with emission levels or other environmental requirements applicable to one or more of the Company's generating units.
- 10. "Fuel" means combustibles purchased by the Company for one or more of its generating stations.



Policy Number 120

	Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
	Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
	Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
ł	Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

- **Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures**
- 11. "VP Production" means the Company's principal individual responsible for power generation and fuel procurement, among other duties.
- 12. "Solicitation" means the process of soliciting bids (written or oral) for the supply of fuel, reagent, and/or related transportation services.
- 13. "Spot Contract" is a type of agreement that may be issued by the Company for the supply of fuel, reagent, or related transportation of such with a term of typically one year or less.
- 14. "Spot Purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or related transportation on behalf of the Company where the terms and conditions are incorporated in the Letter Agreement, Purchase Order or Spot Contract and the term is typically of one year or less.
- 15. "Station" means one of the Company's generating facilities.
- 16. "Supplier" means the seller or counterparty to an agreement who is obligated to comply with and fulfill the agreement's terms and conditions.
- 17. "Unit" means a generating unit at a station.
- 18. "Unit bus bar cost" is the total variable production cost including the maintenance cost associated with burning the fuel.

B. Fuel Procurement Policies:

The Company's fuel procurement policy is to obtain an adequate supply of fuel and reagent of sufficient quality at the most competitive overall evaluated cost on a unit bus bar basis consistent with the Company's obligations to provide adequate and reliable service to its customers, to meet operational and environmental standards, and to meet any other applicable legal requirements. The Company will use its best efforts to secure its fuel and reagent supply at competitive prices through solicitation for such.

Implementation of this policy is of highest priority to the Company. The Fuels Department shall be organized and staffed, and fuel procurement procedures and administration shall be conducted, in an efficient and practical manner consistent with this policy. Fuel, reagent, and related transportation shall be purchased at competitive prices considering all material factors. The factors include but are not limited to: quantity needed to maintain an adequate supply, quality necessary to ensure generating unit operating and maintenance characteristics and environmental standards, reliability of the supplier, creditworthiness, and forward planning to meet projected system requirements, and meeting emergency or other unusual circumstances that might affect operating conditions. From time to time, the Director of Fuels will review the Company's Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures and recommend updates as appropriate.

C. Organization:



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

- 1. <u>Department Structure</u>. The Department shall be organized and staffed to effectively administer the Company's fuel procurement function.
- 2. <u>Organizational Responsibility</u>. The VP Production to whom the Director reports, has the responsibility for fuel procurement. The Director is responsible for the Department. Other departments may be called upon by the Department to the extent the Director or VP Production considers advisable in the execution of the functions of the Department.
- 3. <u>Approval Authority (Award Recommendation)</u>. An Award Recommendation will be prepared for all fuel purchases that exceed the term, tenor, or notional amount of authority of the Director of Fuels which is specified in the single transaction authority limits by the Company. The Award Recommendation will be drafted by the Director, reviewed by Fuels legal counsel, and executed by the VP Production within the authority granted by the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy. Greater expenditures shall require the signature of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and within his trading authority as established by the Board of Directors. These levels of authority may be amended, supplemented, or superseded as dictated by the Company.
- <u>Reports.</u> The Director will instruct the Department to prepare, maintain and distribute reports to management and others as deemed necessary for business operations and regulatory requirements.
- 5. Records. The Department shall maintain the following records:
 - a. <u>Open Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain the following on open status for at least one-year or longer as the contract term or other conditions warrant:
 - 1. For each current contract supplier, the files will contain:
 - a) Contract documents, amendments, purchase orders and escalation documentation;
 - b) General correspondence;
 - c) Invoices and invoice verification data;
 - d) Delivery records and quality analyses data;
 - e) Inspection reports and other data.
 - 2. A record of transportation equipment owned or leased by the Company (as applicable).
 - 3. A list containing current suppliers and known potential Suppliers of fuel.
 - b. <u>Closed Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain its files according to the Company's record retention plan.
- 6. General Administrative Duties.


Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy	Number	120
--------	--------	-----

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

The Department shall subscribe to and have membership in appropriate trade and industry publications and/or associations, to include reports of governmental or consulting agencies concerning fuel, reagent, and related transportation market information, to include fuel prices and/or projections. Department personnel shall use their best efforts to keep current with fuel market conditions, prices and availability, and other developments relating to fuel procurement.

D. Fuel Supply Procedures:

- 1. <u>Projections.</u> In conjunction with other departments of the Company, the Department shall prepare annually a projection of fuel usage and cost at each Station for the number of years required for use in the Company's planning process.
- 2. <u>Contract/Spot Mix.</u> Subject to the approval of the VP Production, the Director shall determine whether a contract purchase is advisable, considering the following factors: (a) the availability of adequate supplies from qualified suppliers, (b) the advisability or need to have an adequate supply committed for an existing or planned unit (subject to inventory limits specified by the Company), (c) the desire to maintain practical flexibility as to market conditions and other factors affecting price and availability, (d) existing and anticipated environmental standards, (e) such other factors as may reasonably affect the implementation of the Company's Fuel Procurement Policy and (f) fuel impact on generation facilities' operation and maintenance.
- 3. <u>Current Requirements.</u> The Department shall review and analyze the data available to the Department for purposes of conducting fuel and reagent purchases in a timely manner to meet the requirements of the Company.
- 4. <u>Supplier Qualifications.</u> The Company shall select potential suppliers on the basis of evaluation, market intelligence, performance information (as available), industry research, and creditworthiness, as determined by the Director and his staff. No potential qualified supplier shall be preferred or discriminated against because of race, religion, color, sex, age or marital status of the supplier or any of its representatives.

A supplier evaluation (to include site visit and mine engineering and/or performance report) may be performed to determine if a supplier has the ability to deliver in the time frame requested the quantity and quality of coal or reagent bid at the offered price.

5. <u>Solicitations.</u> The Department shall maintain a current list of Suppliers and shall review that list from time to time to ensure that it remains current. Normally, the Company shall purchase its fuel and reagent through sealed bid solicitations; however, the Company reserves the right to utilize its market intelligence to seize opportunity purchases of fuels and reagent, request oral, written, or electronic offers, potentially followed by negotiations, when in its judgment market conditions provide an opportunity to obtain fuel or reagent more advantageously than through mailed bid solicitations and usual procedures. When the Company foregoes the solicitation process, documentation shall be appended to the resulting purchase order file describing the conditions.



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	201 - CONCERTS

A notice of a request for quotation ("RFQ") shall be provided to normal industry newsletters and information postings. The normal solicitation process shall require that potential suppliers be notified in writing as to the general quantities, terms and quality specifications required. An RFQ number will be assigned to for the quotation package. An RFQ will include: instructions to bidders (date and time due); scope of supply (quantity and quality); potential term; standard terms and conditions of typical agreements.

Offers from potential suppliers shall be returned by the requested date and time or they will be rejected. A bid log shall be kept for logging in receipt of bid offers. Attendees viewing the opening of the bid shall initial the bid document as opened and the log as at the completion of the opening. Offers shall be opened and logged in the presence of the VP Production and Director of Fuel or their representative in their absence, and another selected representative outside of the Fuels Department.

All appropriate bid data shall be documented and electronically categorized for the process of evaluation of the various offerings of fuel and reagent. The documents shall be maintained in a secured area and shall be kept pursuant to normal record keeping practices.

<u>Contract Awards.</u> The Department shall review and analyze each Contract offer. The Director, or his/her representative, may engage in preliminary negotiations to determine which offers warrant further consideration. The Director and/or representative shall investigate the potential supplier and proposed source of supply; and, as to any offer for fuel, the Department shall verify the adequacy of the proposed source of supply as to quantity, quality, and timely deliverability.

The evaluation shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the response to the RFQ (items required by the RFQ for satisfactory operational, environmental, and economic criteria); diversity of supply; supplier credit assessment; transportation mode and cost; and diversity of suppliers to provide the lowest evaluated cost of electrical energy to the Unit bus bar over the long term.

From this initial evaluation, a select group of potential suppliers (a "short-list") of suppliers shall be developed for more in-depth evaluation. The Department may then engage in preliminary discussions to ensure that the offer warrants further evaluation and consideration. The objective of the negotiating discussions is to ensure that the Company achieves balanced terms and conditions and the lowest evaluated electrical energy delivered to the Unit bus bar and reliable supply consistent with other qualifiers related to supplier reliability, environmental restraints, transportation options, etc.

The recommended Supplier(s) shall be selected by the negotiating team based upon the evaluation criteria and the results of the negotiating discussions. The Department shall prepare a detailed Award Recommendation for approval. The Award Recommendation shall document the selection criteria and pertinent factors, and in circumstances where more than one company is selected, the recommendation shall describe the tonnage requirements and other responsibilities of each of the other recommended Suppliers.

6.







Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy	Num	ber	120
--------	-----	-----	-----

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

All contracts for which the term, tenor or notional amount exceed the limits specified for the Director of Fuels must be approved and signed by individual(s) authorized per the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy.

- 7. <u>Spot Purchases</u>. Spot purchases may be made by the Company whenever considered advisable by the Director in furtherance of the Company's fuel and reagent needs, subject to the limit of authority as outlined by the Company.
- 8. <u>Documentation</u>. Contracts shall be signed by a duly appointed officer of the Supplier and an Officer of the Company. A purchase order may be issued for a spot purchase. A purchase order shall contain all terms of that purchase. Further, the Department shall maintain documentation of the final list (log) of bidders, a copy of the entire bid package; bidder's responses; and the bid evaluation summary used for decision support.
- 9. <u>Fuel Oil</u>. Fuel Supply Procedures principally address procurement of solid fuel. Fuel oil is procured on an "as-needed" basis due to the infrequency of use of this fuel and the nature of the oil markets. When the need for oil arises, the Fuels Department shall act to solicit vendors for offers. Orders are assigned on the basis of lowest delivered cost per gallon and ability to fill the order. Solicitation results shall be documented and purchase orders issued in the Fuels Department for those purchases initiated and completed by the Department.

E. Fuel Supply and Reagent Agreement Administration:

- 1. <u>Compliance.</u> The Department shall review and analyze daily business and operational reports to properly administer all fuel and transportation agreements.
- 2. <u>Coal weights.</u> Coal weights shall be obtained by either the Company or by Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal weight is obtained by scale or draft method, depending upon Company site or methodology employed by Supplier to ascertain weights. In either event, coal weights are obtained by industry-accepted standards, and in cases where scales are utilized, are duly tested and maintained in proper order for such purpose. In cases where draft weights are utilized, the Company employs processes to verify actions to obtain draft weights and that such measures are by industry-accepted standards. Coal quantity is obtained by Station personnel and reported through the fuels information system or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 3. <u>Coal sampling.</u> Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed by either the Company or the Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed according to procedures adopted by the Company's laboratory in accordance with A.S.T.M. standards for coal sampling, coal sample preparation, coal sample identification, handling of sample, and coal analysis. Coal quality is assessed and reported through the fuels information system by the Company's laboratory personnel or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 4. <u>Amendments.</u> A contract shall not be materially amended except after analysis by the Department and recommendation of the Director of Fuels or the VP Production. No material contract or



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy	Number	120
--------	--------	-----

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

purchase order addendum shall be made except upon recommendation of the Director and subject to the approval limits of the Company.

- 5. <u>Contract Administration</u>. The Director and the Department shall remain informed as to the terms and conditions of each current contract, and maintain the necessary data to administer the contracts. Every supplier request for a change in terms, conditions, or prices must be written and supported by adequate data in conformity with the contract. Each such request shall be analyzed by the Department against the contract provisions, and reported with recommendations to the Director. After review by the Director, the supplier request and Department's recommendations shall be approved as required by the Company. If any request is not approved in whole or in part, the Director shall advise the supplier, specifying the Company's objections with an adequate explanation. If the supplier's request is not approved, negotiation between the supplier and Company as dictated by contract terms shall be the primary method of resolving the issue.
- 6. <u>Force Majeure</u>. A supplier's claim for relief from compliance with fuel supply agreement terms due to force majeure conditions must be in writing with an adequate description of conditions warranting nonperformance. Each force majeure claim shall be reviewed by the Director and the company's legal counsel.
- 7. <u>Inspections.</u> The Director shall request inspections of mining and other facilities of a contract fuel and/or reagent supplier or other facilities as required or deemed necessary to manage the performance and contractual relationship (Contract Administration).

F. Fuel and Reagent Supply Agreement Enforcement:

- 1. <u>General Enforcement Policy.</u> Supplier obligations under Fuel or Reagent Supply Agreements shall be enforced by the Company in a reasonable, fair, and practical manner to achieve supplier compliance with the Company's overall procurement policy and the continuing supply of fuel to meet current and anticipated system requirements.
- 2. <u>Director Responsibility</u>. Whenever it is determined that a shipment does not meet Fuel Supply or Reagent Agreement terms, the Director, or his/her designee, shall inform the supplier and direct that subsequent shipments be in compliance. When necessary the VP Production and the Director may determine, or receive advice, as to further action needed to assure fuel or reagent supply agreement compliance.
- 3. <u>Legal Assistance.</u> The Department shall have access to, and shall receive advice from, legal counsel as provided by the Company on any matter relating to fuel, reagent, and related transportation procurement, contracts and amendments thereto, administration, and enforcement. Should a dispute as to a supplier's performance fail to be satisfactorily resolved by the Director, the matter shall be referred to legal counsel. Legal counsel may consider further negotiation, arbitration (if provided by the contract), or litigation. No arbitration or litigation shall commence except on the advice of said counsel with approval by senior management.

G. Inventory Levels:



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

The Company has an obligation to ensure continuous low cost, reliable service to its members. Decisions affecting fuel inventory shall consider these obligations.

The Company shall maintain an adequate inventory while allowing for enough flexibility to permit inventory levels to be responsive to known and anticipated changes in conditions in an attempt to avoid risks and stoppages due to unforeseen conditions. Inventory shall be recommended based upon, but not limited to, supplier performance, environmental conditions, labor matters, logistical issues and concerns, and generation requirements and dispatch. The general level of inventory shall be monitored for such matters and recommendations to adjust inventory to meet anticipated conditions shall be made from time to time. Such inventory recommendations shall be made by the Director for approval by the Senior Management of the Company.

Coal inventories and reagent shall be monitored and reported regularly via the Company's fuel information system(s).

H. <u>Emergency Procurement</u>:

Any one or more of the procedures described herein may be waived by the VP Production, when, in the informed judgment of the Director, and on his recommendation, fuel must be purchased without complying with one or more of such provisions due to extraordinary conditions including strikes, lockouts or other labor problems affecting fuel production, embargoes, mining or other problems affecting production or transportation, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that can be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel and reagent to the Company from its existing suppliers. When such a purchase is made, documentation of circumstances will be appended to the purchase order and/or contract file.

I. Transportation Services Contracts:

Transportation services bids shall be requested and Contracts negotiated whenever appropriate. Consideration shall be given to plant requirements, supplier loading capabilities, relative location of supplier to Stations, transportation mix, unloading capabilities and capacities at Stations, logistic constraints, transportation provider economics, Station material handling economics, and any other factor which might affect the delivery of Fuel and reagent to the Company's Stations.

Unless otherwise dictated by Emergency situations, the Solicitation process will be utilized for transportation services. The selection of transportation provider will generally be based upon, but not necessarily limited to cost, reliability, insurance, past / current performance, container availability and suitability for purpose, material handling capacities and constraints, transportation mix, and any other mitigating factors in terms of logistics.

All transportation service agreements shall be in written contractual form duly executed by an authorized supplier of service and the Company.

J. <u>Ethics and Conduct</u>:



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

The Company recognizes the importance of following appropriate business ethics to guide the conduct of the Fuels Department in the performance of its duties and responsibilities. Fuels staff shall adhere to the ethical standards and policies of the Company including, but not limited to, the Business Ethics Policy and the Conflicts of Interest Policy for Big Rivers' Employees. Also, each contractual document shall denote that the contract was prepared and executed in ethical dealing.

Fuels staff shall endeavor to serve the best interests of the Company, its Members, and stakeholders in the performance of their duties and responsibilities.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	12/21/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board
Rev. 1	03/16/2012	Update out of date language and staffing changes	Board
Rev. 2	03/14/2013	Update due to title change	
Rev. 3	03/21/2014	Add date last reviewed line, section header and change "mmBTU" to "gallon"	Board
Rev. 4	09/19/2014	Change Chief Operating Officer to VP Production	Board



Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Purpose

The purpose of the Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures guidelines is to present the principles that govern the procurement of fuel, reagent, and associated transportation. This document is not intended to provide a step-by-step procedural flow, but place an emphasis on procurement policies and a concise overview of appropriate procurement practices. The awarding of Contracts and Purchase Orders will comply with business controls including corporate governance, authority limit matrices, auditing recommendations, and other established practices and limitations.

FUEL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. <u>Definitions</u>:

- 1. "Agreement" means a legally binding document, in which one party agrees to sell and the other agrees to buy fuel, reagent, or transportation services for such, which is executed by both Buyer and Seller.
- "Award Recommendation" means the Company's approval process for the review and approval by Senior Management of a recommended fuel, reagent, or transportation purchase that fall outside the limits established in the Company's granted authority limits.
- 3. "Company" means Big Rivers Electric Corporation.
- 4. "Contract" is an Agreement, Letter Agreement, Purchase Order, or Spot Contract for fuel supply, reagent, or such transportation with certain terms and conditions that describe the business transaction under which the Company procures fuel, reagent, and related transportation.
- 5. "Contract purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or transportation on behalf of the Company under a contract, typically more than one year's duration.
- 6. "Department" means the Company's Fuels Department.
- 7. "Director" means the Company's Director of Fuels.
- 8. "Emergency" means extraordinary conditions affecting fuel production, transportation, or usage, including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other labor problems, embargoes, mining impediments and other problems affecting the production or transportation of fuel, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that could be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel to Company facilities.
- "Environmental standards" mean the legal requirements for compliance with emission levels or other environmental requirements applicable to one or more of the Company's generating units.
- 10. "Fuel" means combustibles purchased by the Company for one or more of its generating stations.

Page 1 of 9



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	0.000

- 11. <u>"VP Production"</u> means the Company's principal individual responsible for power generation and fuel procurement, among other duties.
 - 12. "Solicitation" means the process of soliciting bids (written or oral) for the supply of fuel, reagent, and/or related transportation services.
 - 13. "Spot Contract" is a type of agreement that may be issued by the Company for the supply of fuel, reagent, or related transportation of such with a term of typically one year or less.
 - 14. "Spot Purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or related transportation on behalf of the Company where the terms and conditions are incorporated in the Letter Agreement, Purchase Order or Spot Contract and the term is typically of one year or less.
 - 15. "Station" means one of the Company's generating facilities.
 - 16. "Supplier" means the seller or counterparty to an agreement who is obligated to comply with and fulfill the agreement's terms and conditions.
 - 17. "Unit" means a generating unit at a station.
 - "Unit bus bar cost" is the total variable production cost including the maintenance cost associated with burning the fuel.

B. Fuel Procurement Policies:

The Company's fuel procurement policy is to obtain an adequate supply of fuel and reagent of sufficient quality at the most competitive overall evaluated cost on a unit bus bar basis consistent with the Company's obligations to provide adequate and reliable service to its customers, to meet operational and environmental standards, and to meet any other applicable legal requirements. The Company will use its best efforts to secure its fuel and reagent supply at competitive prices through solicitation for such.

Implementation of this policy is of highest priority to the Company. The Fuels Department shall be organized and staffed, and fuel procurement procedures and administration shall be conducted, in an efficient and practical manner consistent with this policy. Fuel, reagent, and related transportation shall be purchased at competitive prices considering all material factors. The factors include but are not limited to: quantity needed to maintain an adequate supply, quality necessary to ensure generating unit operating and maintenance characteristics and environmental standards, reliability of the supplier, creditworthiness, and forward planning to meet projected system requirements, and meeting emergency or other unusual circumstances that might affect operating conditions. From time to time, the Director of Fuels will review the Company's Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures and recommend updates as appropriate.

C. Organization:



Deleted: "Chief Operating Officer"

Page 2 of 9



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

- 1. <u>Department Structure</u>. The Department shall be organized and staffed to effectively administer the Company's fuel procurement function.
- 2. <u>Organizational Responsibility</u>. The <u>VP Production</u> to whom the Director reports, has the responsibility for fuel procurement. The Director is responsible for the Department. Other departments may be called upon by the Department to the extent the Director or <u>VP Production</u> considers advisable in the execution of the functions of the Department.
 - 3. <u>Approval Authority (Award Recommendation)</u>. An Award Recommendation will be prepared for all fuel purchases that exceed the term, tenor, or notional amount of authority of the Director of Fuels which is specified in the single transaction authority limits by the Company. The Award Recommendation will be drafted by the Director, reviewed by Fuels legal counsel, and executed by the <u>VP Production</u> within the authority granted by the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy. Greater expenditures shall require the signature of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and within his trading authority as established by the Board of Directors. These levels of authority may be amended, supplemented, or superseded as dictated by the Company.
 - <u>Reports.</u> The Director will instruct the Department to prepare, maintain and distribute reports to management and others as deemed necessary for business operations and regulatory requirements.
 - 5. Records. The Department shall maintain the following records:
 - <u>Open Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain the following on open status for at least one-year or longer as the contract term or other conditions warrant:
 For each current contract supplier, the files will contain:
 - a) Contract documents, amendments, purchase orders and escalation documentation;
 - b) General correspondence;
 - c) Invoices and invoice verification data;
 - d) Delivery records and quality analyses data;
 - e) Inspection reports and other data.
 - A record of transportation equipment owned or leased by the Company (as applicable).
 - 3. A list containing current suppliers and known potential Suppliers of fuel.
 - <u>Closed Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain its files according to the Company's record retention plan.

6. General Administrative Duties.

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer Deleted: Chief Operating Officer

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer

Page 3 of 9



Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	1.

Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

The Department shall subscribe to and have membership in appropriate trade and industry publications and/or associations, to include reports of governmental or consulting agencies concerning fuel, reagent, and related transportation market information, to include fuel prices and/or projections. Department personnel shall use their best efforts to keep current with fuel market conditions, prices and availability, and other developments relating to fuel procurement.

D. Fuel Supply Procedures:

- <u>Projections.</u> In conjunction with other departments of the Company, the Department shall prepare annually a projection of fuel usage and cost at each Station for the number of years required for use in the Company's planning process.
- 2. Contract/Spot Mix. Subject to the approval of the VP Production, the Director shall determine whether a contract purchase is advisable, considering the following factors: (a) the availability of adequate supplies from qualified suppliers, (b) the advisability or need to have an adequate supply committed for an existing or planned unit (subject to inventory limits specified by the Company), (c) the desire to maintain practical flexibility as to market conditions and other factors affecting price and availability, (d) existing and anticipated environmental standards, (e) such other factors as may reasonably affect the implementation of the Company's Fuel Procurement Policy and (f) fuel impact on generation facilities' operation and maintenance.
 - 3. <u>Current Requirements.</u> The Department shall review and analyze the data available to the Department for purposes of conducting fuel and reagent purchases in a timely manner to meet the requirements of the Company.
 - 4. <u>Supplier Qualifications.</u> The Company shall select potential suppliers on the basis of evaluation, market intelligence, performance information (as available), industry research, and creditworthiness, as determined by the Director and his staff. No potential qualified supplier shall be preferred or discriminated against because of race, religion, color, sex, age or marital status of the supplier or any of its representatives.

A supplier evaluation (to include site visit and mine engineering and/or performance report) may be performed to determine if a supplier has the ability to deliver in the time frame requested the quantity and quality of coal or reagent bid at the offered price.

5. Solicitations. The Department shall maintain a current list of Suppliers and shall review that list from time to time to ensure that it remains current. Normally, the Company shall purchase its fuel and reagent through sealed bid solicitations; however, the Company reserves the right to utilize its market intelligence to seize opportunity purchases of fuels and reagent, request oral, written, or electronic offers, potentially followed by negotiations, when in its judgment market conditions provide an opportunity to obtain fuel or reagent more advantageously than through mailed bid solicitations and usual procedures. When the Company foregoes the solicitation process, documentation shall be appended to the resulting purchase order file describing the conditions.

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer



6.

Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

07/16/2009	Approved by:
12/21/2007	opproved by.
09/19/2014	Board
09/19/2014	2.4.1
	09/19/2014

A notice of a request for quotation ("RFQ") shall be provided to normal industry newsletters and information postings. The normal solicitation process shall require that potential suppliers be notified in writing as to the general quantities, terms and quality specifications required. An RFQ number will be assigned to for the quotation package. An RFQ will include: instructions to bidders (date and time due); scope of supply (quantity and quality); potential term; standard terms and conditions of typical agreements.

Offers from potential suppliers shall be returned by the requested date and time or they will be rejected. A bid log shall be kept for logging in receipt of bid offers. Attendees viewing the opening of the bid shall initial the bid document as opened and the log as at the completion of the opening. Offers shall be opened and logged in the presence of the <u>VP Production</u> and Director of Fuel or their representative in their absence, and another selected representative outside of the Fuels Department.

All appropriate bid data shall be documented and electronically categorized for the process of evaluation of the various offerings of fuel and reagent. The documents shall be maintained in a secured area and shall be kept pursuant to normal record keeping practices.

<u>Contract Awards.</u> The Department shall review and analyze each Contract offer. The Director, or his/her representative, may engage in preliminary negotiations to determine which offers warrant further consideration. The Director and/or representative shall investigate the potential supplier and proposed source of supply; and, as to any offer for fuel, the Department shall verify the adequacy of the proposed source of supply as to quantity, quality, and timely deliverability.

The evaluation shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the response to the RFQ (items required by the RFQ for satisfactory operational, environmental, and economic criteria); diversity of supply; supplier credit assessment; transportation mode and cost; and diversity of suppliers to provide the lowest evaluated cost of electrical energy to the Unit bus bar over the long term.

From this initial evaluation, a select group of potential suppliers (a "short-list") of suppliers shall be developed for more in-depth evaluation. The Department may then engage in preliminary discussions to ensure that the offer warrants further evaluation and consideration. The objective of the negotiating discussions is to ensure that the Company achieves balanced terms and conditions and the lowest evaluated electrical energy delivered to the Unit bus bar and reliable supply consistent with other qualifiers related to supplier reliability, environmental restraints, transportation options, etc.

The recommended Supplier(s) shall be selected by the negotiating team based upon the evaluation criteria and the results of the negotiating discussions. The Department shall prepare a detailed Award Recommendation for approval. The Award Recommendation shall document the selection criteria and pertinent factors, and in circumstances where more than one company is selected, the recommendation shall describe the tonnage requirements and other responsibilities of each of the other recommended Suppliers.

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date		Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

All contracts for which the term, tenor or notional amount exceed the limits specified for the Director of Fuels must be approved and signed by individual(s) authorized per the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy.

- Spot Purchases. Spot purchases may be made by the Company whenever considered advisable by the Director in furtherance of the Company's fuel and reagent needs, subject to the limit of authority as outlined by the Company.
- 8. Documentation. Contracts shall be signed by a duly appointed officer of the Supplier and an Officer of the Company. A purchase order may be issued for a spot purchase. A purchase order shall contain all terms of that purchase. Further, the Department shall maintain documentation of the final list (log) of bidders, a copy of the entire bid package; bidder's responses; and the bid evaluation summary used for decision support.
- 9. Fuel Oil. Fuel Supply Procedures principally address procurement of solid fuel. Fuel oil is procured on an "as-needed" basis due to the infrequency of use of this fuel and the nature of the oil markets. When the need for oil arises, the Fuels Department shall act to solicit vendors for offers. Orders are assigned on the basis of lowest delivered cost per gallon and ability to fill the order. Solicitation results shall be documented and purchase orders issued in the Fuels Department for those purchases initiated and completed by the Department.

E. Fuel Supply and Reagent Agreement Administration:

- 1. <u>Compliance</u>. The Department shall review and analyze daily business and operational reports to properly administer all fuel and transportation agreements.
- 2. <u>Coal weights.</u> Coal weights shall be obtained by either the Company or by Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal weight is obtained by scale or draft method, depending upon Company site or methodology employed by Supplier to ascertain weights. In either event, coal weights are obtained by industry-accepted standards, and in cases where scales are utilized, are duly tested and maintained in proper order for such purpose. In cases where draft weights are utilized, the Company employs processes to verify actions to obtain draft weights and that such measures are by industry-accepted standards. Coal quantity is obtained by Station personnel and reported through the fuels information system or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 3. <u>Coal sampling.</u> Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed by either the Company or the Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed according to procedures adopted by the Company's laboratory in accordance with A.S.T.M. standards for coal sampling, coal sample preparation, coal sample identification, handling of sample, and coal analysis. Coal quality is assessed and reported through the fuels information system by the Company's laboratory personnel or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- Amendments. A contract shall not be materially amended except after analysis by the Department and recommendation of the Director of Fuels or the <u>VP Production</u>. No material contract or

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer

Page 6 of 9



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	A

purchase order addendum shall be made except upon recommendation of the Director and subject to the approval limits of the Company.

- 5. Contract Administration. The Director and the Department shall remain informed as to the terms and conditions of each current contract, and maintain the necessary data to administer the contracts. Every supplier request for a change in terms, conditions, or prices must be written and supported by adequate data in conformity with the contract. Each such request shall be analyzed by the Department against the contract provisions, and reported with recommendations to the Director. After review by the Director, the supplier request and Department's recommendations shall be approved as required by the Company. If any request is not approved in whole or in part, the Director shall advise the supplier, specifying the Company's objections with an adequate explanation. If the supplier's request is not approved, negotiation between the supplier and Company as dictated by contract terms shall be the primary method of resolving the issue.
- 6. <u>Force Majeure</u>. A supplier's claim for relief from compliance with fuel supply agreement terms due to force majeure conditions must be in writing with an adequate description of conditions warranting nonperformance. Each force majeure claim shall be reviewed by the Director and the company's legal counsel.
- Inspections. The Director shall request inspections of mining and other facilities of a contract fuel and/or reagent supplier or other facilities as required or deemed necessary to manage the performance and contractual relationship (Contract Administration).

F. Fuel and Reagent Supply Agreement Enforcement:

- 1. <u>General Enforcement Policy</u>. Supplier obligations under Fuel or Reagent Supply Agreements shall be enforced by the Company in a reasonable, fair, and practical manner to achieve supplier compliance with the Company's overall procurement policy and the continuing supply of fuel to meet current and anticipated system requirements.
- <u>Director Responsibility</u>. Whenever it is determined that a shipment does not meet Fuel Supply or Reagent Agreement terms, the Director, or his/her designee, shall inform the supplier and direct that subsequent shipments be in compliance. When necessary the <u>VP Production</u> and the Director may determine, or receive advice, as to further action needed to assure fuel or reagent supply agreement compliance.
- 3. <u>Legal Assistance</u>. The Department shall have access to, and shall receive advice from, legal counsel as provided by the Company on any matter relating to fuel, reagent, and related transportation procurement, contracts and amendments thereto, administration, and enforcement. Should a dispute as to a supplier's performance fail to be satisfactorily resolved by the Director, the matter shall be referred to legal counsel. Legal counsel may consider further negotiation, arbitration (if provided by the contract), or litigation. No arbitration or litigation shall commence except on the advice of said counsel with approval by senior management.
- G. Inventory Levels:

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer



Policy Number 120

	Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:	l
	Original Approval Date	12/21/2007		l
	Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board	l
ł	Date Last Revised	09/19/2014		

Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

The Company has an obligation to ensure continuous low cost, reliable service to its members. Decisions affecting fuel inventory shall consider these obligations.

The Company shall maintain an adequate inventory while allowing for enough flexibility to permit inventory levels to be responsive to known and anticipated changes in conditions in an attempt to avoid risks and stoppages due to unforeseen conditions. Inventory shall be recommended based upon, but not limited to, supplier performance, environmental conditions, labor matters, logistical issues and concerns, and generation requirements and dispatch. The general level of inventory shall be monitored for such matters and recommendations to adjust inventory to meet anticipated conditions shall be made from time to time. Such inventory recommendations shall be made by the Director for approval by the Senior Management of the Company.

Coal inventories and reagent shall be monitored and reported regularly via the Company's fuel information system(s).

H. <u>Emergency Procurement</u>:

Any one or more of the procedures described herein may be waived by the <u>VP Production</u>, when, in the informed judgment of the Director, and on his recommendation, fuel must be purchased without complying with one or more of such provisions due to extraordinary conditions including strikes, lockouts or other labor problems affecting fuel production, embargoes, mining or other problems affecting production or transportation, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that can be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel and reagent to the Company from its existing suppliers. When such a purchase is made, documentation of circumstances will be appended to the purchase order and/or contract file.

I. Transportation Services Contracts:

Transportation services bids shall be requested and Contracts negotiated whenever appropriate. Consideration shall be given to plant requirements, supplier loading capabilities, relative location of supplier to Stations, transportation mix, unloading capabilities and capacities at Stations, logistic constraints, transportation provider economics, Station material handling economics, and any other factor which might affect the delivery of Fuel and reagent to the Company's Stations.

Unless otherwise dictated by Emergency situations, the Solicitation process will be utilized for transportation services. The selection of transportation provider will generally be based upon, but not necessarily limited to cost, reliability, insurance, past / current performance, container availability and suitability for purpose, material handling capacities and constraints, transportation mix, and any other mitigating factors in terms of logistics.

All transportation service agreements shall be in written contractual form duly executed by an authorized supplier of service and the Company.

J. <u>Ethics and Conduct</u>:

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer

Page 8 of 9



Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

Policy Number 120

Original Effective Date	07/16/2009	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	12/21/2007	
Date Last Reviewed	09/19/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	09/19/2014	

The Company recognizes the importance of following appropriate business ethics to guide the conduct of the Fuels Department in the performance of its duties and responsibilities. Fuels staff shall adhere to the ethical standards and policies of the Company including, but not limited to, the Business Ethics Policy and the Conflicts of Interest Policy for Big Rivers' Employees. Also, each contractual document shall denote that the contract was prepared and executed in ethical dealing.

Fuels staff shall endeavor to serve the best interests of the Company, its Members, and stakeholders in the performance of their duties and responsibilities.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	12/21/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board
Rev. 1	03/16/2012	Update out of date language and staffing changes	Board
Rev. 2	03/14/2013	Update due to title change	
Rev. 3	03/21/2014	Add date last reviewed line, section header and change "mmBTU" to "gallon"	Board
Rev. 4	09/19/2014	Change Chief Operating Officer to VP Production	Board



Page 9 of 9

Policy No. 121 - Solid Fuel Inventory Policy





Big Rivers

chatone	Energy"	Cooperative	NO.	
---------	---------	-------------	-----	--

Original Effective Date	01/15/1994	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	01/15/1994	
Date Last Reviewed	10/17/2014	BOARD
Date Last Revised	10/17/2014	

Policy Number 121

Solid Fuel Inventory Policy

Your To

Scope

To provide guidelines for the Fuels Procurement section in planning fuel procurement and deliveries such that the rate of receipt of solid fuel, in regard to actual and projected solid fuel burn, provides a desired inventory level at each generating station.

Desired Solid Fuel Inventory Level

Under normal circumstances, the solid fuel inventories shall be maintained within the minimum and maximum ranges, optimizing around the midpoint, as follows:

Day's Inventory

Station	Minimum	Midpoint	Maximum	
Coleman	0	0	QGreen	30 Deleted: 1
45	60		***********	
HMPL Station II	30	45	60	
Reid	16	18	20	
Wilson	30	45	60	
System	30	45	60	

Solid fuel inventory, by Station or by System, may be decreased or increased from the levels shown in the above table as deemed necessary in preparation for planned maintenance (outage) or expected interruptions to solid fuel supply.

Calculation of Number of Days Supply

The number of "days" of solid fuel inventory at each generating station plant shall be calculated by dividing the total tons in inventory by the projected average daily burn rate.

Projection of Average Daily Burn Rate

The projected average daily burn rate for each generating station shall be calculated by dividing the total tons projected to be burned for the year at that plant by the number of days in the year. The total tons projected to be burned for a given year for each plant shall be that projected in the process of preparing the annual budget and this projection may be revised from time-to-time during the year as more current information affecting the projection becomes available.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	01/15/1994	Originally Coal Inventory Policy	President/CEO
Rev 1	03/18/2011	Revised and renamed Solid Fuel Inventory	Board
Rev 2	10/17/2014	Inventory level change for Coleman and HMPL; update HMPL name	Board



ELECTRIC CORPORATION Your Touchatone Energy Cooperative

Original Effective Date	01/15/1994	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	01/15/1994	
Date Last Reviewed	10/17/2014	BOARD
Date Last Revised	10/17/2014	

Policy Number 121

BOARD POLICY

Solid Fuel Inventory Policy

Scope

To provide guidelines for the Fuels Procurement section in planning fuel procurement and deliveries such that the rate of receipt of solid fuel, in regard to actual and projected solid fuel burn, provides a desired inventory level at each generating station.

Desired Solid Fuel Inventory Level

Under normal circumstances, the solid fuel inventories shall be maintained within the minimum and maximum ranges, optimizing around the midpoint, as follows:

Day's Inventory

<u>Station</u> Coleman	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Midpoint</u>	<u>Maximum</u> QGreen	30	Deleted: 25
45	60 30 4	<u>5, 60</u>		N	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", First line: 0.5"
HMPL Station II	16	10	20	1.11	Deleted: 45
Reid	16	18	20	an i	Deleted: 35
Wilson	30	45	60	his .	Deteted a
System	30	45	60	111	Deleted: 1
				11.	Dolotodi Handaman True

Solid fuel inventory, by Station or by System, may be decreased or increased from the levels shown in the above table as deemed necessary in preparation for planned maintenance (outage) or expected interruptions to solid fuel supply.

Calculation of Number of Days Supply

The number of "days" of solid fuel inventory at each generating station plant shall be calculated by dividing the total tons in inventory by the projected average daily burn rate.

Projection of Average Daily Burn Rate

The projected average daily burn rate for each generating station shall be calculated by dividing the total tons projected to be burned for the year at that plant by the number of days in the year. The total tons projected to be burned for a given year for each plant shall be that projected in the process of preparing the annual budget and this projection may be revised from time-to-time during the year as more current information affecting the projection becomes available.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	01/15/1994	Originally Coal Inventory Policy	President/CEO
Rev 1	03/18/2011	Revised and renamed Solid Fuel Inventory	Board
Rev 2	10/17/2014	Inventory level change for Coleman and HMPL; update HMPL name	Board



Policy No. 122 - Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy





Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

Objective

The Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy (Credit Policy) outlines the credit policies and procedures utilized to guide a disciplined and integrated set of protocols for monitoring, measuring and managing the organization's counterparty credit risks within the policies and risk tolerance of the organization.

This policy establishes an enterprise-wide program for managing total counterparty risk regarding energyrelated transactions for only electric power and transmission. This policy excludes all transactions with Independent System Operators (ISOs) and Regional Transmission Organizations (RTOs). This policy will set forth clear credit risk management objectives and articulates the credit risk tolerance of the organization. Lastly, this policy will establish a responsibility hierarchy for measuring and mitigating counterparty risk.

Credit Policy Criteria

Forms of credit risk are:

<u>Payment Risk:</u> The cost exposure to the value of accounts receivable and unbilled receivables (delivered but not invoiced).

<u>Performance (mark to market) Risk:</u> The cost exposure of replacing the contractual obligations of open contracts in the relevant market place.

As part of enterprise-wide risk management, credit risk management is a control and oversight activity. It must remain independent from the energy-related transaction authority activity, but work closely with those having responsibility and authority thereunder to ensure that appropriate credit practices are implemented and maintained.

a. Counterparty Credit Standards

Counterparty analysis

The creditworthiness of each counterparty must be determined through a fundamental analysis of the counterparty's financial and operational condition. The credit analysis incorporates two basic components, a business profile (qualitative analysis) and a financial profile (quantitative analysis). A credit limit is established as a result of this due diligence process. A forward term restriction may also be established at this time if necessary. Big Rivers will utilize ACES' credit staff to conduct counterparty credit analyses at least annually and also at any time when events or circumstances indicate that a counterparty's creditworthiness may have deteriorated or improved significantly.

The credit analysis of each counterparty is to be performed by the Credit Department of ACES Power Marketing, LLC (ACES) and submitted with recommendations regarding credit limits to the Big Rivers Credit Committee ("BRCC") for approval. The BRCC point of contact is the Senior VP Accounting, Rates & CFO. The BRCC will be comprised of the President & CEO, the, the Senior VP Accounting,

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	



Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

Policy	Number	122

Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Rates & CFO, the VP Energy Services, and the Director Risk Management & Strategic Planning. All formal credit files are to be maintained at the offices of ACES.

Credit Approvals

Counterparties that have an issuer rating or a rating on long-term senior unsecured debt obligations of at least BB from Standard & Poor's or Fitch or Ba2 from Moody's may qualify for the extension of an open line of credit for transactions up to one (1) day forward. In the event that the counterparty does not have rated debt, an internal credit rating will be assigned based on the ACES' proprietary credit scoring model and approved by ACES's Director of Credit. Counterparties that qualify for an ACES internal rating of at least BB may qualify for an open line of credit for up to one (1) day forward.

Counterparties that have an issuer rating or a rating on long-term senior unsecured debt obligations of at least BBB- from Standard & Poor's or Fitch or Baa3 from Moody's may qualify for the extension of an open line of credit for transactions beyond one (1) day forward.

Credit enhancements

Counterparties that do not qualify for an extension of an open line of credit must post at least one of the following types of security prior to the execution of a transaction:

- <u>Corporate Guarantee</u>: Counterparties may provide a guarantee from a third party that meets the creditworthiness requirements noted above. If a counterparty provides such a guarantee, the amount of any open line of credit will be determined through an analysis of the financial statements of the guarantor. All guarantees must be in a format that is acceptable to BRCC.
- <u>Letter of Credit</u>: Counterparties may provide an irrevocable letter of credit for an amount sufficient to cover the related transactions. Letters of credit should be for a term of at least 45 days beyond the term of the most forward transaction. Letters of credit must be issued by a bank or a financial institution with a rating of at least A from Standard & Poor's or Fitch or A2 from Moody's.
- <u>Prepayment (margin)</u>: Counterparties may provide a prepayment or cash margin deposit that is sufficient to cover the related transactions.

b. Determination of the Amount of an Open Line of Credit

Once a counterparty has been determined to be creditworthy, an open line of credit may be extended up to 5% of tangible net worth, or, in the case of governmental agencies or non-profit wholesale power suppliers, up to 10% of their average free cash flow for the prior two years.

• <u>Available Credit</u> is the dollar amount remaining open on the credit limit approved for a counterparty.



Your Touchstone Energy* Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

- <u>Credit Limit</u> is defined as:
 - a. the approved amount of the unsecured credit limit plus
 - b. the approved guaranteed amount of any guarantee(s) held plus
 - c. the value of any letter of credit or cash collateral held **plus**
 - d. the approved value of any other type of collateral held

Risk rating

ACES will use the unsecured ratings of Standard & Poor's or Fitch or Moody's when available. Otherwise, an internal rating will be calculated based on ACES' credit scoring model that will assign a rating similar to Standard & Poor's.

Each counterparty will be assigned a risk rating based on the results of the credit analysis. Each risk rating will be associated with a default probability. This risk rating system will closely correspond to a Standard & Poor's based rating system with ratings between A and CCC. The Director of Credit of ACES has the authority to approve risk ratings based on the established credit procedures.

ACES Power Marketing's Internal Counterparty Rating System

For unrated counterparties two internal rating models are utilized. One credit scoring model is designed for public counterparties. This includes generation and transmission cooperatives, distribution cooperatives, municipalities, government agencies, public power agencies, and other not-for-profit counterparties. The second credit scoring model is used for assigning a rating to non-public counterparties or for-profit counterparties. The purpose of the two separate credit scoring models is to provide recognition of these two distinct business models and the drivers that meaningfully distinguish and measure the financial and credit risks of each.

Each model measures two sets of risk factors: qualitative and financial indicators. Each model assigns a composite credit score from 1 (best) to 6 (worst) that corresponds to a credit rating formatted to mimic the S&P corporate credit rating scale for both public and non-public counterparties as shown in Addendum 1. The outline for each credit scoring model is presented in Addendum 1.

c. Counterparty Credit Exposure

The total amount of a counterparty's credit exposure is defined as:

- 1. The dollar value of all amounts invoiced and unpaid plus
- 2. The dollar amount of all deliveries that have not yet been invoiced plus
- 3. The mark to market value of all forward trades less
- 4. All offsetting amounts that are supported by legally binding netting agreements or Master Agreements.





Approved by:

Board

Policy Number 122

Date Last Reviewed

Date Last Revised

Original Effective Date 02/21/2003

Original Approval Date 02/21/2003

08/15/2014

08/15/2014



Your Touchstone Energy" Cooperative K

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

Grouping of counterparties

Counterparties sharing a common parent or affiliation will be assigned to a group. A credit limit will be assigned to the group with individual credit limits being assigned to each counterparty within the group. The aggregate credit limit of these counterparties will not exceed the established group limit.

Credit File Documentation

Credit analysis, approvals and denials must be documented in writing and all counterparty information shall be contained in formal credit files, which are maintained at the offices of ACES. These credit files are expected to contain audited financial statements (or reference to the website location where the financials can be retrieved) and a credit review analysis report with a credit limit recommendation signed by the Director of Credit of ACES. These files should also contain as much of the following as possible:

- At least the two most recent years of audited financial statement information.
- Rating information as published by Standard & Poor's, Fitch or Moody's.
- General industry information.
- Copies of all credit related correspondence with the counterparty.

Approval Authority

The BRCC has the authority to approve credit limits up to \$10 million.

Any increase to the existing open line of credit must have the written approval of the BRCC.

Entering into unsecured transactions with a counterparty that will cause the total credit exposure to that counterparty to exceed the sum of its credit line plus any collateral held will not be approved. These transactions must be pre-approved, in writing, by the BRCC. All such transactions in violation of this policy will be reported to the Risk Management Committee of ACES and to Big Rivers' IRMC in a timely manner.

Credit Controls

An approved list of energy-related transaction counterparties noting credit limits and available credit is distributed by ACES on each business day to all traders via e-mail and posted to Big Rivers' ACES website.

An energy-related transaction restriction report noting counterparties that are credit approved on a secured basis or not approved for energy-related transactions under any scenario is periodically distributed by ACES to Big Rivers and posted to Big Rivers' ACES web site. Restrictions will be noted on the approved list of energy-related transaction counterparties report, which is distributed each business day.



Your Touchstone Energy" Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003	Port of the D
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Under no circumstances should a trade be executed with a counterparty that does not appear on the approved list of energy-related transaction counterparties unless the trade has been approved, in writing by the Big Rivers' Senior VP Accounting, Rates & CFO.

No credit sleeving transactions shall be executed. However, this policy does not prohibit a member of ACES being positioned between Big Rivers and a counterparty to bridge a contract gap with a counterparty, and vice versa.

The Director of Credit of ACES is to provide general oversight over the credit function, reporting any credit issues to the Risk Management Committee of ACES and to the BRCC.

No new transactions are to be entered into with counterparties that have exceeded their credit limits except as they may mitigate (offset) existing exposure, or, if those transactions have prior approval of the BRCC. Any new unsecured, unauthorized transactions entered into with a counterparty that has a credit exposure in excess of its assigned credit limit will subject the trader to be sanctioned according to the Big Rivers' Risk Management Sanctions Policy (for Big Rivers Employees only, ACES' employees are governed by the ACES Power Marketing Trading Sanctions Policy).

Receivables/Payables Management

Unless otherwise notified by Big Rivers, ACES will assume all payments are received/sent by Big Rivers on the due date.

Credit Risk Mitigation

Negotiations of agreements and ongoing contractual terms with counterparties shall strive to include prudent industry practice credit provisions. These shall include, but are not limited to, explicit netting agreements and provisions granting the right to call for and receive collateral in the event the counterparty exceeds its approved credit limit or suffers a material adverse credit event.

Collateral Management

ACES is authorized to give Big Rivers credit information to counterparties in order to establish credit approvals.

ACES is authorized to issue and respond to margin calls on behalf of Big Rivers. ACES will coordinate with Big Rivers regarding the issuance or receipt of collateral to support a transaction. ACES holds no collateral. ACES retains copies of letters of credit and guarantees unless instructed otherwise by BRCC.

Amendments to the Big Rivers Credit Policy Addendum

From time to time it may be necessary to make changes to the addendum of this policy. Changes to the addendum will require approval of the BRCC. All changes to the actual policy will require Board approval.



Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 122

Second from the first second second second		
Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003	and the second second
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	02/21/2003	Originally Power Trading Portfolio Credit Risk Policy	Board
Rev 1	10/08/2004	Amendment to Section 1.3.1 and addition of Addendum	President/CEO
Rev 2	10/21/2011	Revised and renamed to Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy	Board
Rev 3	02/21/2012	Revised to accommodate retirement of Senior VP Energy Supply	Board
Rev 4	03/14/2013	Revised to accommodate promotions, retirement and replacement	Board
Rev 5	08/15/2014	Change APM to ACES; update titles	Board







Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

ACES Internal Credit Scoring/Rating Models

Policy	Number	122
--------	--------	-----

Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date		
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Calculation of Composite Credit Score and ACES Internal Rating for Public Counter Parties (including G&Ts & Munis)

Counterparty Name -	ABC Coop			Table 1 f	for G&Ts
		Score	Weight	Score	Internal Rating
Estimated Qualitative Score			60%	1 to 1.33	AAA
Financial Score			40%	1.34 to 1.66	AA+
Composite Score			100%	1.67 to 2	AA
				2.01 to 2.33	AA-
				2.34 to 2.66	A+
Internal Rating per Table 1	1.1			2.67 to 3	А
				3.01 to 3.33	A-
				3.34 to 3.66	BBB+
Financial Data (In 000s)	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Score		3.67 to 4	BBB
Aurrent Ratio	0.00	0		4.01 to 4.33	BBB-
Working Capital	0000	0		4.34 to 4.66	BB
TNW	00,000	0		4.67 to 5	CCC
EBIT Int. Coverage	0.000	0		>5	CCC
EBITDA Int. Coverage	0.00	0		Bankruptcy	D
Debt:Equity	00.00	0			
Debt Cap.	0.00	0			
Pre-Tax ROE	0.00	0			



Your Touchstone Energy* Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Computation of Financial Score

1. Financial Score is composed of three Financial Factors: Liquidity, Profitability, Leverage

2. Financial Scoring Ranges Table show the ranges for each ratio/computation, and the associated Score.

3. Liquidity Raw Score = Sum of Scores (Current Ratio; Working Capital; TNW; EBIT Int. Coverage; EBITDA Int. Coverage).

Leverage Raw Score = Sum of Scores (Debt/Equity, Debt/Capital). Profitability Raw Score = Sum of Scores (Pretax ROE)

4. The Raw Score / # * Weight = Weighted Score for Factor

Financial Score Calculation		Raw Score	#	Weight	Weighted Score
1)Liquid	tity		5	0.50	0.00
2 Lever	age		2	0.40	0.00
3 Profit	ability		1	0.10	0.00
Total			TI	1.00	0.00

Financial Data (in 000s)	and about spectra and and more	Score
Current Ratio	0	0
Working Capital	0	0
TNW	0	0
EBIT Int. Coverage	0.00	0
EBITDA Int. Coverage	0.00	0
Debt:Equity	0.00	0
Debt:Cap.	0.00	0
Pre-Tax ROE	.00%	0



Your Touchstone Energy" Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Public Sector Financial Scoring Ranges

Liquidity

Curre	Current Ratio			apital (\$000s)	
0.00	0.30	6	<100	100.00	6
0.30	0.80	5	100.00	5000.00	5
0.80	1.30	4	5000.00	10000.00	4
1.30	1.60	3	10000.00	25000.00	3
1.60	1.90	2	25000.00	40000.00	2
>1.90		1	>40000		1

	Tangible Net	Worth (\$000's		EBIT Inter	rest Coverage	
E	<0	15000	6	<1	1.000	6
	15001	40000	5	1.001	1.100	5
	40001	65000	4	1.101	1.200	4
Γ	65001	75000	3	1.201	1.300	3
	75001	85000	2	1.301	1.400	2
	>85000		1	>1.4		1

EBITDA Inte	erest Coverage	e
<1.7	1.700	6
1.701	2.000	5
2.001	2.300	4
2.301	2.500	3
2.501	2.700	2
>2.7		1

Leverage

Total D	ebt/Equity		Total Debt/T	ot. Capitalization	
0.000	0.100	1	0.000	0.100	1
0.101	2.300	2	0.101	0.700	2
2.301	3.400	3	0.701	0.800	3



Your Touchstone Energy* Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

3.401	7.900	4	0.801	0.900	4
7.901	12.400	5	0.901	1.000	5
>12.4		6	>1		6

Profitability

Pre-tax	Return on	Equity
<1.3%	1.300%	6
1.301%	3.400%	5
3.401%	5.500%	4
5.501%	12.600%	3
12.601%	19.700%	2
>19.7%		1

Financial Score Ratio Definitions

a. Current Ratio

b. Tangible Net Worth = Equity or Patronage Capital - Intangibles- Goodwill - Net Value of LT Trading Book - Nuclear Decomm. Fund -

c. EBITDA Interest Coverage = (Depreciation & Amort. + Net Interest Expense + Income Taxes (if applic.) + Net Income)/Net Interest Expense

d. Working Capital

e. EBIT Interest Coverage = (Net Interest Expense + Income Taxes (if applic.) + Net Income)/Net Interest Expense

- a. Total Debt to Equity
- b. Total Debt to Capitalization = Total Debt/(Total Debt + Total Equity)
- 3. Profitability Scoring Group ~ this indicator will comprise 10% of your Financial Score a. Return on Equity = (Income Taxes (if applic.) + Net Income)/Total Equity

General Categories for Public Sector Qualitative Assessment

- 1. Rates/Regulations how easy, how timely rates can be changed
- Risk Management G&T's risk management program formalized?, fuel adjustments?, fuel supply contracts, per cent generation purchases, etc.
- 3. Legal bond indentures, member agreements, power supply contracts, rating change impact, etc.
- Demographics economic health of service area, growth, revenue make-up between industrial, commercial, or residential, etc.
- 5. Agency Ratings if no rating why? If rating other than S&P or Moodys what is it?
- 6. Other to address other pertinent factors that may impact creditworthiness of G&T

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	



Your Touchstone Energy" Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

The above topics are intended to be generic and are not all-inclusive. The intent is to allow the analyst to understand the unique business drivers for each non-rated G&T.

The qualitative score will range from 6 (worst) to 1 (best).

Calculation of Composite Credit Score and ACES Internal Rating for Non-Public Counter Parties

Counterparty Name -	XYZ Public Svc.	XYZ Public Svc.		Table 1 for	NonPublic
		Score	Weight	Score	Internal Rating
Estimated Qualitative Score			40%	1 to 1.33	AAA
Financial Score		- 1 - F	60%	1.34 to 1.66	AA+
Composite Score		Sale of the	100%	1.67 to 2	AA
				2.01 to 2.33	AA-
				2.34 to 2.66	A+
ernal Rating per Table 1				2.67 to 3	А
				3.01 to 3.33	A-
	1.0			3.34 to 3.66	BBB+
Financial Data		Score		3.67 to 4	BBB
EBIT Int. Coverage	0.00			4.01 to 4.33	BBB-
Tot. Debt/Tot. Capitalization	0.00			4.34 to 4.66	BB
CFFO/Tot. Debt	0.00			4.67 to 5	CCC
Tangible Net Worth (\$000s)	00,000			>5	CCC

Original Effective Date 10/08/2004 Ap Original Approval Date 10/08/2004 Date Last Reviewed 08/15/2014 Date Last Revised 08/15/2014

Policy Number 122

Approved by:



Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

Policy	Number	122
--------	--------	-----

Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Computation of Financial Score

Financial Score Calculation		Raw Score	Weight	Weighted Score
	1 EBIT Interest Coverage	4	35%	0.00
	2 Tot. Debt/Tot. Capital.	4	30%	0.00
	3 CFFO/Tot. Debt	4	25%	0.00
	4 Tangible Net Worth	5	10%	0.00
	Total	17	100%	0.00

Financial Data		Score
EBIT Int. Coverage	0.00	0
Tot. Debt/Tot. Capitalization	0.00	0
CFFO/Tot. Debt	0.00	0
Tangible Net Worth (\$000s)	00,000	0

Non-Public Sector Financial Scoring Ranges

EBIT Inte	rest Coverage		Total Deb	t/Total Capital	
<.4x	.4x	6	75.1%	>75%	6
0.41x	1.5x	5	61.1%	75.0%	5
1.51x	2.6x	4	54.1%	61.0%	4
2.61x	3.4x	3	48.1%	54.0%	3
3.41x	3.9x	2	20.1%	48.0%	2
3.91x	>3.91x	1	<20%	20.0%	1

ſ	Tangible Net Worth (\$millions)			CFFO	/Total Debt	
Γ	<500	500	6	<8%	8.00%	6
Γ	501	1200	5	8.01%	10.00%	5



Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

1201	1800	4	10.10%	18.00%	4
1801	3500	5	18.01%	23.00%	3
3501	7000	2	23.01%	28.00%	2
>7000		1	>28%		1



Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	



Financial Score Ratio Definitions

- 1. EBIT Interest Coverage = (Tot. Interest Expense + income Taxes + Net Income) / Tot. Interest Expense
- 2. Total Debt to Capitalization = Total Debt / (Total Debt + Total Equity)

3. Cash Flow from Operations/ Total Debt

Cash flow is directly from the Cash Flow Statement - total cash flow from operations (excludes cash flows from financing and investing activities)

4. Tangible Net Worth = Equity or Patronage Capital – Intangibles- Goodwill – Net Value of LT Trading Book – Nuclear Decomm. Fund – High Risk Affiliate investment or receivable - Restricted Cash that is not a deduction or offset to a specific liability

General Categories for Qualitative Assessment

1. Rates/Regulations - how easy, how timely rates can be changed, regulated or unreg. Business, etc.





Your Touchstone Energy* Cooperative

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

- 2. Risk Management counter party's risk management program formalized?, fuel adjustments?, fuel supply contracts, per cent generation purchases, etc.
- 3. Legal bond indentures, LT agreements, power supply contracts, rating change impact, etc.
- Demographics economic health of service area, growth, revenue make-up between industrial, commercial, or residential, etc.
- 5. Agency Ratings if no rating why? If rating other than S&P or Moodys what is it?, outlook, etc.
- 6. Other to address other pertinent factors that may impact creditworthiness of counter party

The above topics are intended to be generic and are not all-inclusive. The intent is to allow the analyst to understand the unique business drivers for each non-rated counter party.

The qualitative score will range from 6 (worst) to 1 (best).







BRIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:	
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003		
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		Deleted: 2
			Deleted: 2

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Pollcy

Your Touchstone Energy' Cooperative

Objective

The Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy (Credit Policy) outlines the credit policies and procedures <u>utilized</u> to guide a disciplined and integrated set of protocols for monitoring, measuring and managing the organization's counterparty credit risks within the policies and risk tolerance of the organization.

This policy establishes an enterprise-wide program for managing total counterparty risk regarding energyrelated transactions for only electric power and transmission. This policy excludes all transactions with Independent System Operators (ISOs) and Regional Transmission Organizations (RTOs). This policy will set forth clear credit risk management objectives and articulates the credit risk tolerance of the organization. Lastly, this policy will establish a responsibility hierarchy for measuring and mitigating counterparty risk.

Credit Policy Criteria

Forms of credit risk are:

<u>Payment Risk:</u> The cost exposure to the value of accounts receivable and unbilled receivables (delivered but not invoiced).

<u>Performance (mark to market) Risk:</u> The cost exposure of replacing the contractual obligations of open contracts in the relevant market place.

As part of enterprise-wide risk management, credit risk management is a control and oversight activity. It must remain independent from the energy-related transaction authority activity, but work closely with those having responsibility and authority thereunder to ensure that appropriate credit practices are implemented and maintained.

a. Counterparty Credit Standards

Counterparty analysis

The creditworthiness of each counterparty must be determined through a fundamental analysis of the counterparty's financial and operational condition. The credit analysis incorporates two basic components, a business profile (qualitative analysis) and a financial profile (quantitative analysis). A credit limit is established as a result of this due diligence process. A forward term restriction may also be established at this time if necessary. Big Rivers will utilize <u>ACES'</u> credit staff to conduct counterparty credit analyses at least annually and also at any time when events or circumstances indicate that a counterparty's creditworthiness may have deteriorated or improved significantly.

The credit analysis of each counterparty is to be performed by the Credit Department of ACES Power Marketing, LLC (ACES) and submitted with recommendations regarding credit limits to the Big Rivers / Credit Committee ("BRCC") for approval. The BRCC point of contact is the <u>Senior VP Accounting</u>, <u>Rates & CFO</u>. The BRCC will be comprised of the President & CEO, the the <u>Senior VP Accounting</u>,



Deleted: 2

Deleted: in order

Deleted: ("APM")
Deleted: Vice President of Accounting
Deleted:
Deleted: VP Production
Deleted: VP Accounting

Page 1 of 6





Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:	
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003		
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

tone Energy" Cooperative

Rates & CFO, the VP Energy Services, and the Director Risk Management & Strategic Planning. All formal credit files are to be maintained at the offices of <u>ACES</u>.

Credit Approvals

Counterparties that have an issuer rating or a rating on long-term senior unsecured debt obligations of at least BB from Standard & Poor's or Fitch or Ba2 from Moody's may qualify for the extension of an open line of credit for transactions up to one (1) day forward. In the event that the counterparty does not have rated debt, an internal credit rating will be assigned based on the <u>ACES</u>' proprietary credit scoring model and approved by <u>ACES</u>'s Director of Credit. Counterparties that qualify for an <u>ACES</u> internal rating of at least BB may qualify for an open line of credit for up to one (1) day forward.

Counterparties that have an issuer rating or a rating on long-term senior unsecured debt obligations of at least BBB- from Standard & Poor's or Fitch or Baa3 from Moody's may qualify for the extension of an open line of credit for transactions beyond one (1) day forward.

Credit enhancements

Counterparties that do not qualify for an extension of an open line of credit must post at least one of the following types of security prior to the execution of a transaction:

- <u>Corporate Guarantee</u>: Counterparties may provide a guarantee from a third party that meets the creditworthiness requirements noted above. If a counterparty provides such a guarantee, the amount of any open line of credit will be determined through an analysis of the financial statements of the guaranter. All guarantees must be in a format that is acceptable to BRCC.
- Letter of Credit: Counterparties may provide an irrevocable letter of credit for an amount sufficient to cover the related transactions. Letters of credit should be for a term of at least 45 days beyond the term of the most forward transaction. Letters of credit must be issued by a bank or a financial institution with a rating of at least A from Standard & Poor's or Fitch or A2 from Moody's.
- <u>Prepayment (margin)</u>: Counterparties may provide a prepayment or cash margin deposit that is sufficient to cover the related transactions.

b. Determination of the Amount of an Open Line of Credit

Once a counterparty has been determined to be creditworthy, an open line of credit may be extended up to 5% of tangible net worth, or, in the case of governmental agencies or non-profit wholesale power suppliers, up to 10% of their average free cash flow for the prior two years.

• <u>Available Credit</u> is the dollar amount remaining open on the credit limit approved for a counterparty.

Deletec	1; <u>2</u>
Deletec	1: 2
Deletec	í: <u>2</u>
Deletec Risk Mar	: VP of Enterprise agement
Deleted	: or his/her designee
Deleted	: APM

-	Deleted: APM	
-{	Deleted: APM	
1	Deleted: APM	







Original Effective Date 02/21/2003 Original Approval Date 02/21/2003 Date Last Reviewed 08/15/2014 Date Last Revised 08/15/2014 Date Last Revised 08/15/2014 Deleted: 2

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

Your Touchstone Energy" Cooperative

ELECTRIC CORPORATION

- <u>Credit Limit</u> is defined as:
 - a. the approved amount of the unsecured credit limit plus
 - b. the approved guaranteed amount of any guarantee(s) held plus
 - c. the value of any letter of credit or cash collateral held plus
 - d. the approved value of any other type of collateral held

Risk rating

<u>ACES</u> will use the unsecured ratings of Standard & Poor's or Fitch or Moody's when available. Otherwise, an internal rating will be calculated based on <u>ACES</u>' credit scoring model that will assign a rating similar to Standard & Poor's.

Each counterparty will be assigned a risk rating based on the results of the credit analysis. Each risk rating will be associated with a default probability. This risk rating system will closely correspond to a Standard & Poor's based rating system with ratings between A and CCC. The Director of Credit of <u>ACES</u> has the authority to approve risk ratings based on the established credit procedures.

ACES Power Marketing's Internal Counterparty Rating System

For unrated counterparties two internal rating models are utilized. One credit scoring model is designed for public counterparties. This includes generation and transmission cooperatives, distribution cooperatives, municipalities, government agencies, public power agencies, and other not-for-profit counterparties. The second credit scoring model is used for assigning a rating to non-public counterparties or for-profit counterparties. The purpose of the two separate credit scoring models is to provide recognition of these two distinct business models and the drivers that meaningfully distinguish and measure the financial and credit risks of each.

Each model measures two sets of risk factors: qualitative and financial indicators. Each model assigns a composite credit score from 1 (best) to 6 (worst) that corresponds to a credit rating formatted to mimic the S&P corporate credit rating scale for both public and non-public counterparties as shown in Addendum 1. The outline for each credit scoring model is presented in Addendum 1.

c. Counterparty Credit Exposure

The total amount of a counterparty's credit exposure is defined as:

- 1. The dollar value of all amounts invoiced and unpaid plus
- 2. The dollar amount of all deliveries that have not yet been invoiced plus
- 3. The mark to market value of all forward trades less
- 4. All offsetting amounts that are supported by legally binding netting agreements or Master Agreements.



Deleted: 2

Deleted: APM

Page 3 of 6


Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	02/21/2003	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	02/21/2003	
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014	

Deleted: 2 Deleted: 2

Deleted: APM

Deleted: APM

Counterparties sharing a common parent or affiliation will be assigned to a group. A credit limit will be assigned to the group with individual credit limits being assigned to each counterparty within the group. The aggregate credit limit of these counterparties will not exceed the established group limit.

Credit File Documentation

Grouping of counterparties

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

Credit analysis, approvals and denials must be documented in writing and all counterparty information shall be contained in formal credit files, which are maintained at the offices of <u>ACES</u>. These credit files are expected to contain audited financial statements (or reference to the website location where the financials can be retrieved) and a credit review analysis report with a credit limit recommendation signed by the Director of Credit of <u>ACES</u>. These files should also contain as much of the following as possible:

- At least the two most recent years of audited financial statement information.
- Rating information as published by Standard & Poor's, Fitch or Moody's.
- General industry information.
- Copies of all credit related correspondence with the counterparty.

Approval Authority

The BRCC has the authority to approve credit limits up to \$10 million.

Any increase to the existing open line of credit must have the written approval of the BRCC.

Entering into unsecured transactions with a counterparty that will cause the total credit exposure to that counterparty to exceed the sum of its credit line plus any collateral held will not be approved. These transactions must be pre-approved, in writing, by the BRCC. All such transactions in violation of this policy will be reported to the Risk Management Committee of <u>ACES</u> and to Big Rivers' IRMC in a timely manner.

Credit Controls

An approved list of energy-related transaction counterparties noting credit limits and available credit is distributed by <u>ACES</u> on each business day to all traders via e-mail and posted to Big Rivers' <u>ACES</u> website.

An energy-related transaction restriction report noting counterparties that are credit approved on a secured basis or not approved for energy-related transactions under any scenario is periodically distributed by <u>ACES</u> to Big Rivers and posted to Big Rivers' <u>ACES</u> web site. Restrictions will be noted on the approved list of energy-related transaction counterparties report, which is distributed each business day.

Deleted: APM

Deleted: APM

Deleted: APM

Deleted: APM

Page 4 of 6



Policy Number 122

C ELECTRIC CORPORATION			
Your Touchmone Energy' Cooperative	Original Effective Date 02/21/2003 Original Approval Date 02/21/2003	Approved by:	
Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy	Date Last Reviewed 08/15/2014 Date Last Revised 08/15/2014	Board	ed: <u>2</u>
Under no circumstances should a trade be executed with	h a counternarty that does not annear on t	Deleb	ed: 2
approved list of energy-related transaction counterparti	es unless the trade has been approved, in v	writing Delet	ad: <u>2</u>
by the Big Rivers' Senior VP Accounting, Rates & CF	<u>o</u> .	Deleta	ed: VP of Accounting
No credit sleeving transactions shall be executed. How	ever, this policy does not prohibit a memb	er of	
ACES being positioned between Big Rivers and a cour			ed: APM
counterparty, and vice versa.			
The Director of Credit of ACES is to provide general of		any Delete	ed: APM
credit issues to the Risk Management Committee of A	ES and to the BRCC.	Delete	ed: APM
No new transactions are to be entered into with counter except as they may mitigate (offset) existing exposure, BRCC. Any new unsecured, unauthorized transactions exposure in excess of its assigned credit limit will subje Rivers' Risk Management Sanctions Policy (for Big Ri governed by the ACES Power Marketing Trading Sanc	or, if those transactions have prior approv entered into with a counterparty that has a ect the trader to be sanctioned according to vers Employees only, <u>ACES</u> ' employees a	al of the a credit a the Big	ed: APM
Receivables/Payables Management			
Unless otherwise notified by Big Rivers, <u>ACES</u> will as on the due date.	sume all payments are received/sent by Bi	g Rivers	ed: APM
Credit Risk Mitigation			

Credit Risk Mitigation

Negotiations of agreements and ongoing contractual terms with counterparties shall strive to include prudent industry practice credit provisions. These shall include, but are not limited to, explicit netting agreements and provisions granting the right to call for and receive collateral in the event the counterparty exceeds its approved credit limit or suffers a material adverse credit event.

Collateral Management

ACES is authorized to give Big Rivers credit information to counterparties in order to establish credit approvals.

ACES is authorized to issue and respond to margin calls on behalf of Big Rivers. ACES will coordinate with Big Rivers regarding the issuance or receipt of collateral to support a transaction. ACES holds no collateral. ACES retains copies of letters of credit and guarantees unless instructed otherwise by BRCC.

Amendments to the Big Rivers Credit Policy Addendum

From time to time it may be necessary to make changes to the addendum of this policy. Changes to the addendum will require approval of the BRCC. All changes to the actual policy will require Board approval.

Deleted: APM	
Deleted: on Big Rivers	
Deleted: APM	

Page 5 of 6



Big Rivers ELECTRIC CORPORATION

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date Original Approval Date		Approved by:	1
Date Last Reviewed	08/15/2014	Board	
Date Last Revised	08/15/2014		Deleted: 2
			Deleted: 2
			Deleted: 2
	Appr	oved by	

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by	
Original	02/21/2003	Originally Power Trading Portfolio Credit Risk Policy	Board	
Rev 1	10/08/2004	Amendment to Section 1.3.1 and addition of Addendum	President/CEO	
Rev 2	10/21/2011	Revised and renamed to Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy	Board	
Rev 3	02/21/2012	Revised to accommodate retirement of Senior VP Energy Supply	Board	
Rev 4	03/14/2013	Revised to accommodate promotions, retirement and replacement	Board	
Rev 5	08/15/2014	Change APM to ACES; update titles	Board	

Page 6 of 6



Pre-Tax ROE

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	e 10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Dat	e 10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed		Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

ACES Internal Credit Scoring/Rating Models

Deleted: APM

Calculation of Composite Credit Score and ACES Internal Rating for Public Counter Parties (including G&Ts & Munis)

Counterparty Name -	ABC Coop			Table 1 1	for G&Ts
		Score	Weight	Score	Internal Rating
Estimated Qualitative Score			60%	1 to 1.33	AAA
Financial Score			40%	1.34 to 1.66	AA+
Composite Score	I		100%	1.67 to 2	AA
		_		2.01 to 2.33	AA-
				2.34 to 2.66	A+
nternal Rating per Table 1				2.67 to 3	A
				3.01 to 3.33	A-
				3.34 to 3.66	BBB+
Financial Data (in 000s)	- 10 - TO	Score		3.67 to 4	BBB
Arrent Ratio	0.00	0		4.01 to 4.33	BBB-
Vorking Capital	0000	0		4.34 to 4.66	BB
NW	00,000	0		4.67 to 5	CCC
BIT Int. Coverage	0.000	0		>5	CCC
BITDA Int. Coverage	0.00	0		Bankruptcy	D
Debt Equity	00.00	0			
Debt Cap.	0.00	0			

0.00

0

Page 1 of 8





Policy Number 122

Original Effective Dat	- 10/09/2004	Approved by:
		Approved by:
Original Approval Dat	e 10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed		Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

Computation of Financial Score

1. Financial Score is composed of three Financial Factors: Liquidity, Profitability, Leverage

2. Financial Scoring Ranges Table show the ranges for each ratio/computation, and the associated Score.

3. Liquidity Raw Score = Sum of Scores (Current Ratio; Working Capital; TNW; EBIT Int. Coverage; EBITDA Int. Coverage).

Leverage Raw Score = Sum of Scores (Debt/Equity, Debt/Capital). Profitability Raw Score = Sum of Scores (Pretax ROE)

4. The Raw Score / # * Weight = Weighted Score for Factor

Financial Score Calculation		Raw Score	#	Weight	Weighted Score
1 Liquidi	ty		5	0.50	0.00
2 Levera	ige		2	0.40	0.00
3 Profital	bility		1	0.10	0.00
Total			T	1.00	0.00

Financial Data (in 000s)		Score
Current Ratio	0	0
Working Capital	0	0
TNW	0	0
EBIT Int. Coverage	0.00	0
EBITDA Int. Coverage	0.00	0
Debt:Equity	0.00	0
Debt:Cap.	0.00	0
Pre-Tax ROE	.00%	0







Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

BOARD POLICY

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Dat	e 10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Dat	e 10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed	-	Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	111200

Public Sector Financial Scoring Ranges

Liquidity

Curre	nt Ratio	10.0	Working Capital (\$000s)		
0.00	0.30	6	<100	100.00	6
0.30	0.80	5	100.00	5000.00	5
0.80	1.30	4	5000.00	10000.00	4
1.30	1.60	3	10000.00	25000.00	3
1.60	1.90	2	25000.00	40000.00	2
>1.90		1	>40000		1

	Tangible Net Worth (\$000's)			EBIT Interest Coverage		
1	<0	15000	6	<1	1.000	6
	15001	40000	5	1.001	1.100	5
E	40001	65000	4	1.101	1.200	4
Г	65001	75000	3	1.201	1.300	3
	75001	85000	2	1.301	1.400	2
E	>85000		1	>1.4		1

EBITDA Inte	EBITDA Interest Coverage					
<1.7	<1.7 1.700					
1.701	2.000	5				
2.001	2.300	4				
2.301	2.500	3				
2.501	2.700	2				
>2.7		1				

Leverage

	Total Debt/Equity			Total Debt/T	ot. Capitalization	
	0.000	0.100	1	0.000	0.100	1
	0.101	2.300	2	0.101	0.700	2
Γ	2.301	3.400	3	0.701	0.800	3

Page 3 of 8



Policy Number 122

Original Effective Date	10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Date	10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed		Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

Your Toucharone Energy Cooperative

3.401	7.900	4	0.801	0.900	4
7.901	12.400	5	0.901	1.000	5
>12.4		6	<u>>1</u>		6

Profitability

Pre-tax	Return on	Equity
<1.3%	1.300%	6
1.301%	3.400%	5
3.401%	5.500%	4
5.501%	12.600%	3
12.601%	19.700%	2
>19.7%		1

Financial Score Ratio Definitions



a. Current Ratio

b. Tangible Net Worth = Equity or Patronage Capital - Intangibles- Goodwill - Net Value of LT Trading Book - Nuclear Decomm. Fund -

c. EBITDA Interest Coverage = (Depreciation & Amort. + Net Interest Expense + Income Taxes (if applic.) + Net Income)/Net Interest Expense

d. Working Capital

e. EBIT Interest Coverage = (Net Interest Expense + Income Taxes (if applic.) + Net Income)/Net Interest Expense

a. Total Debt to Equity

- b. Total Debt to Capitalization = Total Debt/(Total Debt + Total Equity)
- 3. Profitability Scoring Group -- this indicator will comprise 10% of your Financial Score

a. Return on Equity = (Income Taxes (if applic.) + Net Income)/Total Equity

General Categories for Public Sector Qualitative Assessment

- 1. Rates/Regulations how easy, how timely rates can be changed
- 2. Risk Management G&T's risk management program formalized?, fuel adjustments?, fuel supply contracts, per cent generation purchases, etc.
- 3. Legal bond indentures, member agreements, power supply contracts, rating change impact, etc.
- Demographics economic health of service area, growth, revenue make-up between industrial, commercial, or residential, etc.
- 5. Agency Ratings if no rating why? If rating other than S&P or Moodys what is it?
- 6. Other to address other pertinent factors that may impact creditworthiness of G&T



Page 4 of 8



Policy Number 122

Original Effective Dat	e 10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Dat	te 10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed		Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

The above topics are intended to be generic and are not all-inclusive. The intent is to allow the analyst to understand the unique business drivers for each non-rated G&T.

The qualitative score will range from 6 (worst) to 1 (best).

Calculation of Composite Credit Score and <u>ACES</u> Internal Rating for Non-Public Counter Parties

Deleted: APM

Counterparty Name -	XYZ Public Svc.		Table 1 for	NonPublic
	Scor	re Weight	Score	Internal Rating
Estimated Qualitative Score			1 to 1.33	AAA
Financial Score		60%	1.34 to 1.66	AA+
Composite Score		100%	1.67 to 2	AA
			2.01 to 2.33	AA-
		-	2.34 to 2.66	A+
ernal Rating per Table 1			2.67 to 3	А
	the second second		3.01 to 3.33 3.34 to 3.66	A- BBB+
Financial Data	Sco	(P.)	3.67 to 4	BBB
EBIT Int. Coverage	0.00		4.01 to 4.33	BBB-
Tot. Debt/Tot. Capitalization	0.00		4.34 to 4.66	BB
CFFO/Tot. Debt	0.00		4.67 to 5	CCC
Tangible Net Worth (\$000s)	00,000		>5	CCC



Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

Policy Number 122

Original Effective Dat	te 10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Da	te 10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed		Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	and the second second

Computation of Financial Score

Financial Score Calculation		Raw Score	Weight	Weighted Score
	1EBIT Interest Coverage	4	35%	0.00
	2 Tot. Debt/Tot. Capital.	4	30%	0.00
	3 CFFO/Tot. Debt	4	25%	0.00
	4 Tangible Net Worth	5	10%	0.00
	Total	17	100%	0.00

Financial Data		Score
EBIT Int. Coverage	0.00	0
Tot. Debt/Tot. Capitalization	0.00	0
CFFO/Tot. Debt	0.00	0
Tangibie Net Worth (\$000s)	00,000	0

Non-Public Sector Financial Scoring Ranges

EBIT Interest Coverage			Total Deb	t/Total Capital	
<.4x	.4x	6	75.1%	>75%	6
0.41x	1.5x	5	61.1%	75.0%	5
1.51x	2.6x	4	54.1%	61.0%	4
2.61x	3.4x	3	48.1%	54.0%	3
3.41x	3.9x	2	20.1%	48.0%	2
3.91x	>3.91x	1	<20%	20.0%	1

Tangible Net	Worth (\$millio	ons)	CFFO	/Total Debt	
<500	500	6	<8%	8.00%	6
501	1200	5	8.01%	10.00%	5

Page 6 of 8





Policy Number 122

Original Effective Dat	te 10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Da	te 10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed		Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	14115

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

1201	1800	4	10.10%	18.00%	4
1801	3500	5	18.01%	23.00%	3
3501	7000	2	23.01%	28.00%	2
>7000		1	>28%		1



- 1. EBIT Interest Coverage = (Tot. Interest Expense + Income Taxes + Net Income) / Tot. Interest Expense
- 2. Total Debt to Capitalization = Total Debt / (Total Debt + Total Equity)
- 3. Cash Flow from Operations/ Total Debt

Cash flow is directly from the Cash Flow Statement - total cash flow from operations (excludes cash flows from financing and investing activities)

4. Tangible Net Worth = Equity or Patronage Capital – Intangibles- Goodwill – Net Value of LT Trading Book – Nuclear Decomm. Fund – High Risk Affiliate investment or receivable - Restricted Cash that is not a deduction or offset to a specific liability

General Categories for Qualitative Assessment

1. Rates/Regulations - how easy, how timely rates can be changed, regulated or unreg. Business, etc.

Page 7 of 8





Policy Number 122

Original Effective Dat	te 10/08/2004	Approved by:
Original Approval Da	te 10/08/2004	
Date Last Reviewed		Board
Date Last Revised	02/21/2012	

Energy-Related Transaction Credit Policy ADDENDUM 1

- 2. Risk Management counter party's risk management program formalized?, fuel adjustments?, fuel supply contracts, per cent generation purchases, etc.
- 3. Legal bond indentures, LT agreements, power supply contracts, rating change impact, etc.
- 4. Demographics economic health of service area, growth, revenue make-up between industrial, commercial, or residential, etc.
- 5. Agency Ratings if no rating why? If rating other than S&P or Moodys what is it?, outlook, etc.
- 6. Other to address other pertinent factors that may impact creditworthiness of counter party

The above topics are intended to be generic and are not all-inclusive. The intent is to allow the analyst to understand the unique business drivers for each non-rated counter party.

The qualitative score will range from 6 (worst) to 1 (best).





Appendix A – Energy-Related Transaction Authority Policy





Appendix A to Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy 105

APPROVED BY: CEO CEO Signature_____ Revision 9 **APPROVAL DATE:**

STAFF AND ACES TRANSACTING AUTHORITY DELEGATIONS

1. Purpose

The purpose of this appendix is to define the authority granted by the Big Rivers CEO ("CEO") to Big Rivers' staff and ACES to execute energy-related transactions.

2. Objective

The objective of this appendix is to extend authority within Policy 105 to Big Rivers' internal staff and ACES.

3. Procedural Requirements

As an appendix to Policy 105, all requirements and criteria stated within Policy 105 apply to this appendix.

Delegation of authority regarding Contract Requirements

The CEO delegates his authority to use a long form confirmation as a valid agreement in lieu of a master agreement when necessary to the, the VP Energy Services, the Director of Resources and Forecasting and the Director Power Supply & Market Operations.



4. Bilateral Electric Power and Transmission Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for power and power transmission transactions.

		Per	r Transa (up	ction L () to)	imits	Per Delivery Day Limits (up to)		Aggregate Limits (up to)				
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	MW Size	\$/MWH	Total Volume MWH	Total \$	Total Volume MWH	Total \$			
VP Energy Services	Electric Power and	> 1 Month ≤ 1 Year	≤1 Year	105	\$85	16,200	\$8.1 million	16.200	657,000	\$55.8 million		
	Transmission	≤ 1 Month		210	No Max							
Director of Resources & Forecasting or	Electric	> 1 Month ≤ 3 Months	≤1 Year	105 \$75					87.0	\$7.0		\$37.5
Director Power Supply & Market	Power and Transmission	> 1 Week \leq 1 Month	1044	105		14,000	14,000 \$7.0 million	500,000	million			
Operations		≤ 1 Week	≤ 1 Month	No Max.	No Max.							
Senior Power Portfolio Optimization Analyst	Electric Power and Transmission	≤1 Week	≤ 1 Month	450	No Max.	10,800	\$6.0 million	200,000	\$25 million			
ACES	Electric Power and Transmission	≤1 Daily	≤1 Week	450	No Max.	10,800	\$5.4 million	100,000	\$12 million			

Delegation of authority regarding Firmness of Power

The CEO delegates his authority to sell power that is more firm than the supply source to the VP Energy Services.

Delegation of authority regarding Transmission Firmness and Volume

The CEO delegates his authority to execute transmission purchases not of equal firmness and volume to the energy component that such transmission purchase is associated with to the VP Energy Services.

Delegation of authority regarding Restricted Delivery Locations

The CEO delegates his authority to execute transactions at other Eastern interconnection locations to the VP Energy Services.

5. MISO Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for MISO products.

		MISO Per Transaction Limits (up to)					
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Term	MW Size			
	Generation Awards		10	425			
	Demand Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	1750	MISO Price Cap		
IDE	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO	Day	425			
VP Energy	Capacity	≤ 2 Years	\leq 2Years	425	\$150/MW-day		
Services	Financial Transmission Rights	≤ 1 Year	\leq 1 Year	425	\$20/MWh		
	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	No Max	Mago D' O		
	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	No Max	MISO Price Cap		
Director of	Generation Awards		10	425			
Resources &	Demand Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	1700	MISO Price Ca		
Forecasting or	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO	Day	425			
Director Power	Capacity	<1 Year	<1Year	425	\$150/MW-day		
Supply &	Financial Transmission Rights	\leq 6 months	≤ 6 months	425	\$12/MWh		
Market	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	400	Mago D : O		
Operations	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	500	MISO Price Cap		
	Generation Awards		10.11	425			
Senior Power	Demand Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	1675	MISO Price Ca		
Portfolio	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO	Day	425			
Optimization	Financial Transmission Rights	< 6 Months	< 6 Months	425	\$10/MWh		
Analyst	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	400	AREA DI		
	1mports/Exports	MISO	Day	450	MISO Price Cap		
Energy Services Analyst	Demand Award	As Required by MISO	1 Operating Day	1675	MISO Price Cap		
	Generation Awards			425			
	Demand Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	1650	MISO Price Cap		
1000	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO	Day	425			
ACES	Financial Transmission Rights	\leq 6 Months	\leq 6 Months	425	\$10/MWh		
	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	400	Mago D'- C		
	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	400	MISO Price Cap		





6. PJM Transaction Authority (Non-Bilateral)

The following outlines Big Rivers'	' staff and ACES transaction limits for PJM products.
------------------------------------	---

		PJM Per Transaction Limits (up to)					
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Term	MW Size	\$/MWh		
	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently	Not Presently Transacted		
VP Energy Services	Capacity			Transacted			
	Financial Transmission Rights	≤ 1 Year	≤ 1 Year	210	\$20		
	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	No Max No Max	PJM Price Cap		
Director of Resources & Forecasting or Director Power	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards Capacity	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted		
	Financial Transmission Rights	≤ 1 Year	\leq 3 months	105	\$12		
Market	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	400			
Operations	Imports/Exports	РЈМ	Day	500	PJM Price Cap		
Resources & Forecasting or Director Power Supply & Market Operations Senior Power Portfolio Optimization	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards Capacity	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted		
Optimization Analyst	Financial Transmission Rights	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized		
	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	400 450	PJM Price Cap		
	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards Capacity	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted		
ACES	Financial Transmission Rights	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized		
	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	400 400	PJM Price Cap		



		1		saction Limits up to)		Per Delivery D (up to		Aggregate (up)	
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Physical MMBtu Volume per Day	\$/MMBtu	Total Physical Volume MMBtu	Total \$	Total Physical Volume MMBtu	Total \$
VP Energy Services	Physical	> 1 Month \leq 1 Year	≤1 Year	20,000	\$15	20,000	\$300,000		\$6 million
Services		≤ 1 Month		No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max		
Director of Resources & Forecasting or Director Physi Power Supply & Market Operations		> 1 Week ≤ 1 Month	≤1 Year	10,000	\$15	10,000	\$150,000		
	Physical	No Max.	No Max.	No Max	No Max	200,000	\$3 million		
	Physical*			20,000	\$15	20,000	\$300,000	100,000	\$1.5 million
ACES	Pipeline Payback	≤ 1 Week	≤ 1 Week	60,000	\$15	60,000	\$900,000	60,000	\$900,000

7. Natural/Synthetic Gas and Transportation Transaction Authority The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for natural/synthetic gas (Gas) and transportation transactions.

*Excludes purchases for pipeline payback. Purchases for pipeline payback are addressed separately in the row below.

Delegation of authority regarding Gas Firmness

The CEO delegates his authority to sell gas that is more firm than the supply source to the VP Energy Services.

Delegation of authority regarding Transportation Firmness and Volume

The CEO delegates his authority to execute transportation purchases not of equal firmness and volume to the gas component that such transportation purchase is associated with to the VP Energy Services.



8. Fuel Oil Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for fuel oil transactions.

			Per Tran	Aggregate Limits (up to)				
<u>Title</u>	Product	Term	Lead Time	Physical- Volume Gallons	\$/Gallon	Total Volume Gallons	Total \$	
VP Physical Fuel O	Divisional Freed Oil	> 1 Month \leq 1 Year	≤1 Year		\$6	4 000 000	60414'IV	
	Physical Fuel Off	≤ 1 Month		No Max	No Max	4,000,000	\$24 Million	
Director of	Physical Fuel Oil	> 1 Week ≤ 1 Month	≤ 1 Year	500,000	\$6	1,000,000	\$6 million	
Fuels Procurement	Physical Fuel Off	≤ 1 Week	≤ 1 Month	No Max	No Max	1,000,000		
ACES	Physical Fuel Oil	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	





9. Solid Fuel Transaction Authority

Lead Volume To	Aggregate Limits (up to)	
TitleProductTermLeadVolume\$/MMBtuVoluTimeTons\$/MMBtuTonsTons	ime Total \$	
VP Description> 3 Months ≤ 1 Year500,000\$2.501.0 min	llion \$60 million	
Production ≤ 3 Months $100,000$ $\$2.85$		
Director of Fuels Physical Solid Fuel > 3 Months ≤ 6 Months ≤ 1 Year $250,000$ \$2.50 500,000	000 \$30 million	
Procurement ≤ 3 Months $\leq 50,000$ $\$2.85$	300 mmini	

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for solid fuel.

10. Solid Fuel Transportation Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for solid fuel transportation transactions.

		P	er Transa (up	Aggregate Limits (up to)				
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
	Barge, Rail or Truck	> 3 Months \leq 3 Years	≤ 1 Year		2.5 million	\$5	6 million	600 · 'II'
VP Production	Transportation	\leq 3 Months		No Max	No Max	5 million	\$20 million	
Director of Fuels Procurement	Barge, Rail or Truck Transportation	> 1 Month \leq 1 Year	≤1 Year	750,000	\$5	2 million	\$10 million	
		\leq 1 Month	≤ 1 Month	No Max	No Max		×	



<u>11. Emission Allowance Transaction Authority</u>

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for emission allowance transactions.

			Per Transa (up	Aggregate Limits (up to)				
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
VP Energy	Federal SO2 Emission Allowances	≤1 Year		2,500	\$300	10,000	\$3 million	
Services	Federal NOx Emission Allowances	≤ 1 Year	≤ 1 Year	1,250	\$2,000	5,000	\$10 million	
Director of Resources & Forecasting	Federal SO2 Emission Allowances	≤1 Year		2,000	\$275	5,000	\$1 million	
or Director Power Supply & Market Operations	Federal NOx Emission Allowances	≤1 Year	\leq 6 months	500	\$1,500	2,000	\$3 million	
ACES	Federal SO2 Emission Allowances	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	
ACES	Federal NOx Emission Allowances	As directed	As unected	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	

12. Limestone Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for limestone reagent transactions.

		Pe	r Transao (up	Aggregate Limits (up to)			
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
VP Production	Physical Limestone Reagent	≤1 Year	≤1 Year	187,500	\$18	375,000	\$6.75 million
Director of Fuels Procurement	Physical Limestone Reagent	\leq 3 Months	≤l Year	93,750	\$18	187,500	\$3.375 million





13. Lime Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for lime reagent transactions.

		Pe	r Transa (up	Aggregate Limits (up to)			
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$
VP Production	Physical Lime Reagent	≤1 Year	≤l Year	162,500	\$120	162,500	\$19.5 million
Director of Fuels Procurement	Physical Lime Reagent	≤3 Months	≤1 Year	81,250	\$120	81,250	\$9.75 million



14. Acknowledgements

Violations and Sanctions

Violations of this Authority Policy must not occur. Any person covered by this policy who becomes aware of a violation of the Authority Policy has an affirmative duty to report the violation to the department head in which the violation occurred and to the Director of Enterprise Risk Management and Strategic Planning who in turn shall inform the Big Rivers CEO. However, if it is believed that the Big Rivers CEO is involved then the Big Rivers Chairman of the Board shall be notified. The responsible party(ies) will be sanctioned according to Big Rivers Risk Management Sanctions Policy (for Big Rivers Employees only, ACES employees are governed by the ACES Power Marketing Trading Sanctions Policy).

Appendix Effective

This Transaction Authority Appendix is in effect upon the CEO's approval and shall remain in effect until a replacement appendix has been approved by the CEO.

Responsibility

It shall be the responsibility of the CEO, VP Energy Services, VP Production and the Big Rivers-IRMC and the ACES-IRMC to ensure compliance with this policy.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Rev. 0	11-04-09	Energy Related Trans	Mark Bailey
Rev. 1	11-22-10	MISO and other misc. updates	Mark Bailey
Rev. 2	1-4-11	Updated Solid Fuel Transaction Authority	Mark Bailey
Rev, 3	3-18-11	Added Limestone and Lime, general cleanup	Mark Bailey
Rev. 4	12-5-11	Update Bi-Lateral and Emission limits, add authority for PJM FTRs, add VP of Production	Mark Bailey
Rev. 5	5-7-2012	Remove Senior VP Energy Services from document due to retirement/elimination of role	Mark Bailey
Rev. 6	7-12-2012	Change Director of Power Portfolio Optimization to Managing Director Energy Services	Mark Bailey
Rev. 7	2-1-2013	Updated MISO capacity and Natural Gas limits, changed VP Production to Chief Operating Officer, changed Managing Director Energy Services to VP Energy Services, added Manager Power Supply & Market Operations, changed Power Scheduling Analyst to Power Portfolio Optimization Analyst and added Energy Services Analyst	Mark Bailey

Revisions



Rev. 8	12-18- 2013	Updated aggregate limits for VP Energy Services, increased offer price caps for capacity, updated VP Energy Services gas authorization to ensure ability to execute standard TexasGas contracts, changed Power Portfolio Optimization Analyst title to reflect Senior status, replaced Manager Power Supply & Market Operations with Director Power Supply & Market Operations	Mark Bailey
Rev. 9	7-21-2014	Allocate authority previously held by Chief Operating Officer to VP Energy Services and VP Production	Robert W. Berry



Appendix A to Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy 105

APPROVED BY: CEO

CEO Signature_

Revision 9

Deleted: 8

STAFF AND ACES TRANSACTING AUTHORITY DELEGATIONS

APPROVAL DATE:

1. Purpose

The purpose of this appendix is to define the authority granted by the Big Rivers CEO ("CEO") to Big Rivers' staff and ACES to execute energy-related transactions.

2. Objective

The objective of this appendix is to extend authority within Policy 105 to Big Rivers' internal staff and ACES.

3. Procedural Requirements

As an appendix to Policy 105, all requirements and criteria stated within Policy 105 apply to this appendix.

Delegation of authority regarding Contract Requirements

The CEO delegates his authority to use a long form confirmation as a valid agreement in lieu of a master agreement when necessary to the, the VP Energy Services, the Director of Resources and Forecasting and the Director Power Supply & Market Operations.

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer

4. Bilateral Electric Power and Transmission Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for power and power transmission transactions.

		Per	· Transa (up	ction L to)	imits	Per De Day L (up	imits	Aggre Limits	~	
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	MW Size	\$/MWH	Total Volume MWH	Total \$	Total Volume MWH	To	
VP Energy Services	Electric Power and	> 1 Month ≤ 1 Year	≤1 Year	105	\$85	16,200	\$8.1 million	657,000	\$5: _mil	
Services Transmis	-Iransmission -	≤1 Month	* ata dat wat dat dat 100	210	No Max					Officer
1		-		¥						Deleted: > 1 Month ≤ 6 Months
									1 1	Deleted: 105
		*							11	Deleted: \$75
1								1	6.	Deleted: ≤ 1 Year
<u> </u>		>1								Deleted: VP Energy Services
Director of Resources &		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Month} \\ \leq 3 \end{array}$	≤1	105	\$75					Deleted: Electric Power and Transmission
Forecasting or Director	Electric Power and	$\frac{\text{Months}}{>1}$	Year			14,000	\$7.0	500,000	\$3	Deleted: 14,000
Power Supply	Transmission	Week <		105	\$100	14,000	million	500,000	mil	Deleted: \$7.0 million
& Market		1 Month							600	Deleted: 657,000
Operations		≤1 Week	≤1 Month	No Max.	No Max.					Deleted: \$37.5 million
Senior Power Portfolio	Electric	≤1	≤ 1				\$6.0		\$	Deleted: > 1 Week ≤ 1 Month
Optimization	Power and Transmission	Week	Month	450	No Max.	10,800	million	200,000		Deleted: 105
Analyst			1							Deleted: \$100
ACES	Electric Power and	≤1	≤1	450	No Max.	10,800	\$5.4	100,000	\$	Deleted: ≤ 1 Week
AUES	Transmission	Daily	Week	450	INO IVIAX.	10,000	million	100,000	mil	Deleted: ≤ 1 Month
									1	Deleted: No Max

<u>Delegation of authority regarding Firmness of Power</u> The CEO delegates his authority to sell power that is more firm than the supply source to the VP Energy Services,

Delegation of authority regarding Transmission Firmness and Volume

The CEO delegates his authority to execute transmission purchases not of equal firmness and volume to the energy component that such transmission purchase is associated with to the VP Energy Services.

Deleted: Deleted: Chief Operating Officer

Deleted: No Max.

Officer

Deleted: Chief Operating



Page 2 of 14

Delegation of authority regarding Restricted Delivery Locations The CEO delegates his authority to execute transactions at other Eastern interconnection locations to the <u>VP Energy Services</u>.



5. MISO Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for MISO products.

		MISO	Per Transac	ction Limits	(up to)	
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Term	MW Size		
	Generation Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	425		
	Demand Awards	MISO	Dav	1750	MISO Price Cap	
VD P	Ancillary Service Awards	11130	Day	425		
VP Energy	Capacity	≤ 2 Years	\leq 2Y ears	425	\$150/MW	ted: Chief Operating
Services	- Financial Transmission Rights-		≤ 1 Year			
	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	No Max	MISO Price Cap	
	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	No Max	wilso rice cap	
Director of	Generation Awards	As Dequired by	1 Onomotion	425	Dele	ted: VP Energy
Resources &	Demand Awards	As Required by - MISO	1-Operating -	1700	MISO Price Servi	ces or
Forecasting or	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO	Day	425		
Director Power	Capacity	<1 Year	≤ 1 Year	425	\$150/MW-day	7
Supply &	Financial Transmission Rights	< 6 months	≤ 6 months	425	\$12/MWh	1
Market	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	400	MEO Delas Car	1
Operations	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	500	MISO Price Cap	
	Generation Awards		10	425		
Senior Power	Demand Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	1675	MISO Price Cap	
Portfolio	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO	Day	425		
Optimization	Financial Transmission Rights	< 6 Months	< 6 Months	425	\$10/MWh	7
Analyst	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	400	MICO Dia Com	1
	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	450	MISO Price Cap	
Energy Services Analyst	Demand Award	As Required by MISO	1 Operating Day	1675	MISO Price Cap	
	Generation Awards	A . D	1 Ou matting	425		
1 - L - L	Demand Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	1650	MISO Price Cap	
1000	Ancillary Service Awards	MISO	Day	425		
ACES	Financial Transmission Rights	\leq 6 Months	\leq 6 Months	425	\$10/MWh	
	Virtual Transaction Awards	As Required by	1 Operating	400	MICO Delas Cas	
	Imports/Exports	MISO	Day	400	MISO Price Cap	



6. PJM Transaction Authority (Non-Bilateral)

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for PJM products.

		PJM	Per Transact	tion Limits (up to)	
Title	Product	Delivery Lead Time	Term	MW Size	\$/MWh	
VP Energy	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted		ed: Chief Operating
Services	Financial Transmission Rights	<1 Year	<1 Year	210	\$20 Officer	
	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	No Max No Max	PJM Price Cap	
Director of Resources & Forecasting or Director Power	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards Capacity	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Prese Service Transacted	ed: VP Energy es or
Supply &	Financial Transmission Rights	≤1 Year	\leq 3 months	105	\$12	
Market Operations	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	400	PJM Price Cap	
Senior Power Portfolio	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards Capacity	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	
Optimization Analyst	Financial Transmission Rights	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	
	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	400 450	PJM Price Cap	
	Generation Awards Demand Awards Ancillary Service Awards Capacity	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	Not Presently Transacted	
ACES	Financial Transmission Rights	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	Not Authorized	
	Virtual Transaction Awards Imports/Exports	As Required by PJM	1 Operating Day	400 400	PJM Price Cap	



Page 5 of 14

7. Natural/Synthetic Gas and Transportation Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for natural/synthetic gas (Gas) and transportation transactions.

				saction Limits up to)		Per Delivery D (up to		Aggregate (up t		mits	
Title Product		Term	Lead Time	Physical MMBtu Volume per Day	\$/MMBtu	Total Physical Volume MMBtu	Total S	Total Physical Volume MMBtu		Total \$	
VP Energy	Physical	> 1 Month \leq 1 Year	≤1 Year	20,000	\$15	20,000	\$300,000	400,000	3	Fmillion	
Services		≤1 Month		No Max	No Max	No Max	No Max			Deleted: Officer	Chief Operating
		¥	t	¥		*	X			Deleted: Months	>1 Week≤6
1		×	¥						11	Deleted:	≤1 Year
Director of		>1 Week <1 Month	≤1 Year	10,000	\$15	10,000	\$150,000		11	Deleted:	20,000
Resources & Forecasting or Director	Physical							200,000		Deleted: Deleted:	
Power Supply & Market Operations		≤1 Week	≤1 Month	No Max.	No Max.	No Max	No Max			Deleted: Deleted: Services	\$300,000 VP Energy
070	Physical*		al West	20,000	\$15	20,000	\$300,000	100,000	\$	Deleted:	Physical
ACES	Pipeline Payback	≤1 Week	≤1 Week	60,000	\$15	60,000	\$900,000	60,000		Deleted:	
						1.00			101	Deleted:	\$3 million
					urchases	<u>for pipeline</u>	payback	are	121	Deleted:	≤ I Week
addres	sed separ	rately in	the row b	elow.					Pat Pat	Deleted:	≤ 1 Month

Delegation of authority regarding Gas Firmness

The CEO delegates his authority to sell gas that is more firm than the supply source to the VP Energy Services,

Delegation of authority regarding Transportation Firmness and Volume

The CEO delegates his authority to execute transportation purchases not of equal firmness and volume to the gas component that such transportation purchase is associated with to the VP Energy Services,

Deleted: Chief Operating

Officer

Page 6 of 14

8. Fuel Oil Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for fuel oil transactions.

				saction Limits up to)			ate Limits p to)	
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Physical- Volume Gallons	\$/Gallon	Total Volume Gallons	Total \$	
VP Production	Physical Fuel Oil	> 1 Month \leq 1 Year	<1 Year	750,000	\$6	4,000,000	\$24 Millie	
Production,		≤ 1 Month		No Max	No Max			Deleted: Chief Operating Officer
Director of Fuels	Physical Fuel Oil	> 1 Week ≤ 1 Month	≤ 1 Year	500,000	\$6	1,000,000	\$6 millio	n
Procurement	Fliysical Fuel Off	≤ 1 Week	≤ 1 Month	No Max	No Max	1,000,000	\$0 IIIIII0	14
ACES	Physical Fuel Oil	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directe	ed



9. Solid Fuel Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for solid fuel.

		P		ction Limit () to)	Aggrega (up			
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/MMBtu	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
VP	Physical Solid Fuel	> 3 Months ≤ 1 Year	≤1 Year	500,000	\$2.50	1.0 million	\$60-million	
Production,		≤3 Months		100,000	\$2:85		Deleted:	Chief Operating
Director of Fuels	Physical Solid Fuel	> 3 Months \leq 6 Months	≤1 Year	250,000	\$2.50	500.000	Officer	
Procurement	Thysical Sona Tao	\leq 3 Months	≥1 I Cal	50,000	\$2.85	500,000	\$30 million	



<u>10. Solid Fuel Transportation Authority</u>

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for solid fuel transportation transactions.

		P	er Transao (up	ction Limits to)		te Limits () to)		
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
VP Production	Barge, Rail or Truck	> 3 Months ≤ 3 Years	≤1 Year	2.5 million	\$5	5 million	\$20_mi Deleted: Chief Operat	
<u>VP Production</u>	Transportation	\leq 3 Months		No Max	No Max		Officer	
Director of Fuels Procurement	Barge, Rail or Truck Transportation	> 1 Month ≤1 Year	≤1 Year	750,000	\$5	2 million	\$10 million	
		≤ 1 Month	\leq 1 Month	No Max	No Max			

Page 9 of 14

11. Emission Allowance Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff and ACES transaction limits for emission allowance transactions.

	Product	Per Transaction Limits (up to)				Aggregate Limits (up to)		
Title		Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
<u>VP Energy</u> Services	Federal SO2 Emission Allowances	≤1 Year	≤1 Year	2,500	\$300	10,000	\$3 million	
	Federal NOx Emission Allowances	≤1 Year		1,250	\$2,000	5,000	\$10 mil Officer	nief Operating
Director of Resources & Forecasting or Director Power Supply & Market Operations	Federal SO2 Emission Allowances	≤l Year	≤ 6 months	2,000	\$275	5,000	\$1 mil Services or	PEnergy
	Federal NOx Emission Allowances	≤1 Year		500	\$1,500	2,000	\$3 million	
ACES	Federal SO2 Emission Allowances	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	As directed	
	Federal NOx Emission Allowances	As directed		As directed	As directed			

Page 10 of 14

12. Limestone Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for limestone reagent transactions.

		Per Transaction Limits (up to)				Aggregate Limits (up to)		
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	
VP Production	Physical Limestone	<u>≤ l Year</u>	_≤l Year	187,500	\$18	375,000	\$6.75_million	Deleted: Chief Operating Officer
Director of Fuels Procurement	Physical Limestone Reagent	\leq 3 Months	≤ l Year	93,750	\$18	187,500	\$3.375 million	



Page 11 of 14
13. Lime Reagent Transaction Authority

The following outlines Big Rivers' staff transaction limits for lime reagent transactions.

	14.42	Pe		ction Limit to)	S		ate Limits p to)	
Title	Product	Term	Lead Time	Volume Tons	\$/Ton	Total Volume Tons	Total \$	_
VP Production	Physical Lime Reagent	<u>≤ 1 Year</u>	<u>≤1 Year</u>	162,500	\$120		\$19.5 million	Deleted: Chief Operating Officer
Director of Fuels Procurement	Physical Lime Reagent	\leq 3 Months	≤1 Year	81,250	\$120	81,250	\$9.75 million	



14. Acknowledgements

Violations and Sanctions

Violations of this Authority Policy must not occur. Any person covered by this policy who becomes aware of a violation of the Authority Policy has an affirmative duty to report the violation to the department head in which the violation occurred and to the Director of Enterprise Risk Management and Strategic Planning who in turn shall inform the Big Rivers CEO. However, if it is believed that the Big Rivers CEO is involved then the Big Rivers Chairman of the Board shall be notified. The responsible party(ies) will be sanctioned according to Big Rivers Risk Management Sanctions Policy (for Big Rivers Employees only, ACES employees are governed by the ACES Power Marketing Trading Sanctions Policy).

Appendix Effective

This Transaction Authority Appendix is in effect upon the CEO's approval and shall remain in effect until a replacement appendix has been approved by the CEO.

Responsibility

It shall be the responsibility of the CEO, <u>VP Energy Services</u>, <u>VP Production</u> and the Big Rivers-IRMC and the ACES-IRMC to ensure compliance with this policy.

Deleted: Chief Operating Officer

Big River	s Policy 105/	Appendix A Revision Record	
Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Rev. 0	11-04-09	Energy Related Trans	Mark Bailey
Rev. 1	11-22-10	MISO and other misc. updates	Mark Bailey
Rev. 2	1-4-11	Updated Solid Fuel Transaction Authority	Mark Bailey
Rev, 3	3-18-11	Added Limestone and Lime, general cleanup	Mark Bailey
Rev. 4	12-5-11	Update Bi-Lateral and Emission limits, add authority for PJM FTRs, add VP of Production	Mark Bailey
Rev. 5	5-7-11	Remove Senior VP Energy Services from document due to retirement/elimination of role	Mark Bailey
Rev. 6	7-12-2012	Change Director of Power Portfolio Optimization to Managing Director Energy Services	Mark Bailey
Rev. 7	2-1-2013	Updated MISO capacity and Natural Gas limits, changed VP Production to Chief Operating Officer, changed Managing Director Energy Services to VP Energy Services, added Manager Power Supply & Market Operations, changed Power Scheduling Analyst to Power Portfolio Optimization Analyst and added Energy Services Analyst	Mark Bailey

Revisions

Rev. 8	12-18- 2013	Updated aggregate limits for VP Energy Services, increased offer price caps for capacity, updated VP Energy Services gas authorization to ensure ability to execute standard TexasGas contracts, changed Power Portfolio Optimization Analyst title to reflect Senior status, replaced Manager Power Supply & Market Operations with Director Power Supply & Market Operations	Mark Bailey				
<u>Rev. 9</u>	7-21-2014	Allocate authority previously held by Chief Operating Officer to VP Energy Services and VP Production	Robert W. Berry				



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 32)	
2		a. State whether Big Rivers is aware of any violations of its
3		policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement that
4		occurred prior to or during the period from May 1, 2014, to
5		October 31, 2014.
6		b. If the response is yes, for each violation:
7		(1) Describe the violation;
8		(2)Describe the action(s) that Big Rivers took upon
9		discovering the violation; and
10		(3) Identify the person(s) who committed the violation.
11		
12	Response)	
13		a. Big Rivers is unaware of any violations of its policies and
14		procedures regarding fuel procurement that occurred during the
15		period May 1, 2014, through October 31, 2014. Big Rivers is also
16		unaware of any previously unreported violations of its policies
17		and procedures regarding fuel procurement.
18		b. Not applicable.
19		
20		
21	Witnesses)	Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and
22		Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)
23		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 32 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 Item 33) Identify and explain the reasons for all changes in the 2 organizational structure and personnel of the departments or divisions 3 that are responsible for Big Rivers' fuel procurement activities that 4 occurred during the period from May 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014.

5

Response) On June 20, 2014, Mark A. Bailey announced his retirement as Big
Rivers' President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). Effective July 1, 2014,
Robert W. Berry replaced Mr. Bailey as Big Rivers' President and CEO. Prior to
July 1, 2014, Mr. Berry was Big Rivers' Chief Operating Officer ("COO"), and its
Vice President Production before becoming COO.

On July 11, 2014, Mr. Berry announced the appointment of Mr. James R. Garrett as Interim Vice President of Production. Mr. Garrett was previously Plant Manager of Big Rivers' Sebree Station which includes the Green, HMP&L, and Reid plants. Mr. Garrett agreed to serve in this interim capacity until a permanent replacement was selected. Mr. Quentin R. (Ron) Gregory, formerly a plant manager at both Big Rivers' Coleman and Wilson plants, replaced Mr. Garrett at the Sebree Station.

After the review period ending October 31, 2014, on November 14, 2014, Mr. Berry announced the appointment of Mr. Matthew T. Moore as Interim Vice President Energy Services. Mr. Moore replaces Lindsay N. Barron who, following an October 30, 2014, announcement from Mr. Berry, became Big Rivers' Chief Financial Officer. Also following the review period, on January 29, 2015, Mr. Berry announced the appointment of Mr. Michael T. Pullen as Vice President

> Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 33 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Production replacing Mr. Garrett. Mr. Pullen joined Big Rivers on February 10,
 2015; Mr. Garrett will retire effective March 3, 2015.

Two sets of charts reflecting these changes, and each dated as of November 30, 2014, are attached. One set is for Big Rivers' Coal Fuels Procurement Organization; the other set is for Big Rivers' Natural Gas Procurement Organization.

9 Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and
10 Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)

78

11

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 33 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 2 of 2 Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455 Coal Fuels Procurement Organization November 2014

> Robert W. Berry President and Chief Executive Officer

James R. Garrett Interim Vice President Production

Mark W. McAdams Director Fuels Procurement

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment 1 for Response to Staff Item 33 Page 1 of 2 Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455 Coal Fuels Procurement Organization November 2014



Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment 1 for Response to Staff Item 33 Page 2 of 2 Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455 Natural Gas Procurement Organization November 2014

> Robert W. Berry President and Chief Executive Officer Matthew T. Moore Interim Vice President Energy Services Wayne O'Bryan

Director Power Supply and Market Operations

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Attachment 2 for Response to Staff Item 33 Page 1 of 2 Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00455 Natural Gas Procurement Organization November 2014

> Wayne O'Bryan Director Power Supply and Market Operations

Natalie R. Hankins Senior Power Portfolio Optimization Analyst

Case No. 2014-00455 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Attachment 2 for Response to Staff Item 33 Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 34)		
2		a.	Identify all changes that Big Rivers made during the period
3			from May 1, 2014, to October 31, 2014, to its maintenance
4			and operation practices that affect fuel usage at Big Rivers'
5			generation facilities.
6		b.	Describe the impact of these changes on Big Rivers' fuel
7			usage.
8			
9	Response)		
10		a.	The original 2014 operating plan that was approved by Big
11			Rivers' Board of Directors on December 20, 2013, called for the
12			Wilson unit to be idled on February 1, 2014, following the
13			departure of the Century Sebree Smelter from Big Rivers'
14			system. As reported in Big Rivers' previous FAC review in Case
15			No. 2014-00230,7 Wilson continued to run through the end of
16			April due to the forward sale of part of its generation. The
17			Wilson unit was off line for most of May and June for a
18			maintenance outage; however, Big Rivers Energy Marketing had
19			sold a portion of Wilson's generation from July 1, 2014, through
20			the end of December 2015. Following the maintenance outage,
21			the unit was restarted on June 23, 2014 and continued to
22			operate through this reporting period.

⁷ See Big Rivers' response, filed on August 27, 2014, to Item 18a of the Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated August 13, 2014, in Case No. 2014-00230.

> Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 34 Witnesses: Lawrence V. Baronowsky (a.) and Mark W. McAdams (b.) Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

b. For the period from June 23, 2014, through October 31, 2014,
the Wilson Unit generated 1,219,987 net megawatts and burned
478,314 tons of fuel that were not in the original operating plan.
Witnesses) Lawrence V. Baronowsky (a.) and
Mark W. McAdams (b.)

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 34 Witnesses: Lawrence V. Baronowsky (a.) and Mark W. McAdams (b.) Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 35)	
2		a. List all intersystem sales during the period from May 1,
3		2014, to October 31, 2014, in which Big Rivers used a third
4		party's transmission system.
5		b. For each sale listed above:
6		(1) Describe how Big Rivers addressed, for FAC reporting
7		purposes, the cost of fuel expended to cover any line
8		losses incurred to transmit its power across the third
9		party's transmission system; and
10		(2) State the line-loss factor used for each transaction and
11		describe how such line-loss factor was determined.
12		
13	Response)	
14		a. Big Rivers had no intersystem sales during the period from May
15		1, 2014, to October 31, 2014, in which Big Rivers used a third
16		party's transmission system.
17		b.
18		(1) Not Applicable.
19		(2) Not Applicable.
20		
21		
22	Witnesses)	Wayne O'Bryan (a. and b.(2)) and
23		Nicholas R. Castlen (b.(1))
24		

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 35 Witnesses: Wayne O'Bryan (a. and b.(2)) and Nicholas R. Castlen (b.(1)) Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 36) Describe each change that Big Rivers made to its methodology
2	for calculating intersystem sales line losses during the period from May 1,
3	2014, to October 31, 2014.
4	
5	Response) Big Rivers has made no changes during the period May 1, 2014, to
6	October 31, 2014, to its methodology for calculating intersystem sales line losses.
7	
8	
9	Witness) Wayne O'Bryan
10	

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 36 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1 Item 37) State whether Big Rivers has solicited bids for coal with the 2 restriction that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top 3 removal. If the response is yes, explain the reasons for the restriction on 4 the solicitation, the quantity in tons and price per ton of the coal 5 purchased as a result of this solicitation, and the difference between the 6 price of this coal and the price it could have obtained for the coal if the 7 solicitation had not been restricted.

8

9 Response) Big Rivers has not solicited any bids for coal with the restrictions
10 that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top removal.

11 12

13 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

14

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 37 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 38) By month, provide the specific Midcontinent Independent
 System Operator, Inc., codes and amounts for each code that were
 included in Big Rivers' monthly FAC filings during the period from
 November 1, 2012, to October 31, 2014.

6 Response) Please see attachment to this response for a summary of
7 Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") amounts by current
8 charge type that were included in Big Rivers' monthly FAC filings during the
9 period from November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2014.

- 10
- 11

12 Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen

13

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 38 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1



	1.1.1					Reaso	n for Purchase	e ⁽¹⁾							
MISO Charge Types			ge Types		eduled itages	<	nscheduled Outages 6 Hours & Derates	Un (scheduled Dutages 6 Hours		vailable for System	er Load & Lack of eneration	O Make ⁽²⁾ le Payment		Total
Nov-12						-									
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	51,444	\$ -	\$ -	S	51,444		
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,182,500	\$ 	\$ 	s	1,182,500		
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ - 1	\$ - 1	S	-		
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$ -	\$ (8,790)	S	(8,790		
	Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,233,944	\$ 	\$ (8,790)	\$	1,225,154		
Dec-12										 1					
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	191,738	\$ -	\$ -	S	191,738		
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	-	\$	52,787	\$	1,797,154	\$ 76,328	\$ 	s	1,926,269		
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 	\$ -	S	-		
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 	\$ -	S	-		
	Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	52,787	\$	1,988,892	\$ 76,328	\$ -	\$	2,118,007		
Jan-13															
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	279,725	\$ -	\$ - 1	\$	279,725		
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	-	\$	74,976	\$	1,704,780	\$ 132,150	\$	S	1,911,906		
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	S			
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$ 	\$ (2,193)	\$	(2,193		
	Total	\$	-	\$		\$	74,976	\$	1,984,505	\$ 132,150	\$ (2,193)	\$	2,189,438		
Feb-13															
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	976	\$ -	\$ - 1	S	976		
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,015,888	\$ 41,573	\$ - 1	S	1,057,461		
DA RSG	1.1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 	S	-		
RT RSG		\$	~	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	S	-		
	Total	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	1,016,864	\$ 41,573	\$ 	S	1,058,437		

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 38 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 6



					Distantian and										
MISO Charge Types			Unscheduled Outages Scheduled <6 Hours & Outages Derates			Reason for Purchase ⁽¹⁾ Unscheduled Outages > 6 Hours			Available for System		ver Load & Lack of eneration	MISO Make ⁽²⁾ Whole Payment			Total
Mar-13		-													
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	347,769	\$	158,227	\$	- 1	s	505,996
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	16,616	\$	131,789	\$	2,049,749	\$	110,503	S	-	Is	2,308,657
DARSG		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	S	- 1	S	
RT RSG		\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$		S	-
	Total	\$	-	\$	16,616	\$	131,789	\$	2,397,518	\$	268,730	\$	-	S	2,814,653
Apr-13											Ĩ				
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	268,627	\$	-	\$	-	s	268,627
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,193,254	\$	68,605	\$		S	2,261,859
DA RSG		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- 1	\$	- 1	S	-,,
RT RSG		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(6,663)	S	(6,663
	Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	2,461,881	\$	68,605	\$	(6,663)	\$	2,523,823
May-13															
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$		\$	~	\$	-	\$	996,246	\$	403	\$		\$	996,649
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,043,979	\$	-	\$		S	2,043,979
DA RSG	1.16	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		S	-,,
RT RSG	and the	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$	(6,634)	S	(6,634
	Total	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,040,225	\$	403	\$	(6,634)	\$	3,033,994
Jun-13											T				
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	18,380	\$	6,433	\$	434,045	\$	15,128	\$	-	\$	473,986
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	7,744	\$	11,364	\$	48,193	\$	1,827,682	\$	5,975	\$	- 1	S	1,900,958
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	S	-
RTRSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(2,191)	\$	(2,191)
	Total	\$	7,744	\$	29,744	\$	54,626	\$	2,261,727	\$	21,103	\$	(2,191)	\$	2,372,753

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 38 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 6





						Rease	on for Purchase	e ^(I)							
IISO Charge Types		Scheduled Outages		Unscheduled Outages Scheduled < 6 Hours & Outages Derates		Unscheduled Outages > 6 Hours		Available for System		Cover Load & Lack of Generation		MISO Make ⁽²⁾ Whole Payment			Total
Jul-13		1.1				-								-	
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	251,803	\$		\$	-	\$	251,803
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,720,288	\$	-	\$	- 1	S	1,720,288
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- 1	\$	-	S	-,,
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	(6,556)	\$	(6,556
	Total	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	1,972,091	\$		\$	(6,556)	\$	1,965,535
Aug-13											1				
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	172,294	\$		\$	-	\$	172,294
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾	_	\$	-	\$	81,603	\$	267,917	\$	980,795	\$		\$		\$	1,330,315
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
RT RSG		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(6,544)	\$	(6,544
	Total	\$	-	\$	81,603	\$	267,917	\$	1,153,089	\$	-	\$	(6,544)	\$	1,496,065
Sep-13															
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,026	\$	-	\$		\$	1,026
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	-	\$	328,166	\$	565,644	\$	-	\$	-	\$	893,810
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	(4,377)	\$	(4,377
	Total	\$	~	\$	-	\$	328,166	\$	566,670	\$	-	\$	(4,377)	\$	890,459
Oct-13															
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,751	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,751
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	24,334	\$	593,075	\$	778,943	\$	93,105	\$		\$	1,489,457
DA RSG	10	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(6,552)	\$	(6,552
	Total	\$	-	\$	24,334	\$	593,075	\$	780,694	\$	93,105	\$	(6,552)	\$	1,484,656

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 38 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 3 of 6





				Reas	on for Purchase	e ⁽¹⁾						
MISO Charge Types		 heduled Jutages	nscheduled Outages 6 Hours & Derates	U	nscheduled Outages > 6 Hours		vailable for System	ver Load & Lack of Generation	MISO Make ⁽²⁾ Whole Payment			Total
Nov-13												
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$ -	\$ -	\$	45,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	45,000
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$ -	\$ -	\$	439,340	\$	1,266,422	\$ 66,120	\$		s	1,771,882
DA RSG		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	S	-
RT RSG		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$ 	\$	(26,097)	\$	(26,097
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	484,340	\$	1,266,422	\$ 66,120	\$	(26,097)	\$	1,790,785
Dec-13												
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$ -	\$ -	\$	315,521	\$	46,490	\$ - 1	\$	-	\$	362,011
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$ -	\$ 46,466	\$	988,869	\$	928,985	\$ 	\$	- 1	S	1,964,320
DA RSG		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ - 1	\$	-	\$	-
RT RSG		\$ 	\$ -	\$		\$	1.1.1	\$ 	\$	(6,675)	\$	(6,675)
	Total	\$ -	\$ 46,466	\$	1,304,390	\$	975,475	\$ -	\$	(6,675)	\$	2,319,656
Jan-14												
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$ -	\$ -	\$	754,064	\$	34,796	\$ -	\$		S	788,860
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾	- 1	\$ -	\$ 160,723	\$	1,903,007	\$	1,669,305	\$ 1,413,404	\$	-	S	5,146,439
DA RSG		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	(2,671)	\$	(2,671)
RT RSG		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$ 	\$	(2,233)	\$	(2,233)
	Total	\$ -	\$ 160,723	\$	2,657,071	\$	1,704,101	\$ 1,413,404	\$	(4,904)	\$	5,930,395
Feb-14												
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	S	
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾	- 1	\$ 199,312	\$ 3,445	\$	370,699	\$	538,445	\$ 196,544	\$	-	S	1,308,445
DA RSG		\$ -	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	S	
RT RSG		\$ -	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	(15,276)	S	(15,276
	Total	\$ 199,312	\$ 3,445	\$	370,699	\$	538,445	\$ 196,544	\$	(15,276)	S	1,293,169

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 38 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 6





						Reas	on for Purchas	e ⁽¹⁾									
MISO Charge Types					Scheduled Outages		Unscheduled Outages < 6 Hours & Derates		Unscheduled Outages > 6 Hours		Available for System		Cover Load & Lack of Generation		O Make ⁽²⁾ le Payment		Total
Mar-14									· ·					-			
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$		\$		S	-		
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$	18,122	\$	660,308	\$	1,116,528	s	375,355	s	- 1	S	2,170,313		
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-,,	\$	-	S	-	S	2,170,510		
RT RSG		\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	(9,148)	S	(9,148		
	Total	\$	-	\$	18,122	\$	660,308	\$	1,116,528	\$	375,355	\$	(9,148)	S	2,161,165		
Apr-14											1		<u></u>	-			
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$		\$	~	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	S			
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	117,075	\$	6,320	\$	-	\$	462,029	\$	103,025	s	- 1	s	688,449		
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		S	-		
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	S	-		
	Total	\$	117,075	\$	6,320	\$		\$	462,029	\$	103,025	\$		S	688,449		
May-14																	
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	S	-		
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	153,614	\$	134,318	\$	412,903	\$	493,306	\$	89,330	\$	- 1	S	1,283,471		
DA RSG		\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		S	-		
RT RSG		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(2,228)	S	(2,228)		
	Total	\$	153,614	\$	134,318	\$	412,903	\$	493,306	\$	89,330	\$	(2,228)	S	1,281,244		
Jun-14																	
DA Asset Energy Amount	1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	158,962	\$	85,879	\$	-	\$	-	\$	244,841		
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	130,004	\$	108,834	\$	770,060	\$	696,941	\$	56,178	\$	- 1	S	1,762,017		
DA RSG		\$	-	\$	-			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
RT RSG		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(4,453)	S	(4,453)		
	Total	\$	130,004	\$	108,834	\$	929,022	\$	782,820	\$	56,178	\$	(4,453)	\$	2,002,404		

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 38 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 5 of 6





	[Reas	on for Purchase	e (!)	S. Carlos				
MISO Charge Types			heduled Jutages	Unscheduled Outages < 6 Hours & Derates	U	nscheduled Outages > 6 Hours	A	ailable for System	ver Load & Lack of eneration	O Make ⁽²⁾ le Payment		Total
Jul-14		1										
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	S	-
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	196,868	\$ 1,021	\$	452,236	\$	253,622	\$ 42,038	\$ 	s	945,785
DA RSG		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	S	-
RT RSG		\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$ 	\$ 	\$	-
	Total	\$	196,868	\$ 1,021	\$	452,236	\$	253,622	\$ 42,038	\$ -	S	945,785
Aug-14									 T			
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$ - 1	S	-
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	-	\$ 110,728	\$	57,056	\$	421,522	\$ 48,934	\$ -	s	638,240
DA RSG		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ - 1	S	-
RT RSG		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ (2,197)	\$	(2,197
	Total	\$		\$ 110,728	\$	57,056	\$	421,522	\$ 48,934	\$ (2,197)	\$	636,043
Sep-14												
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	S	-
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	223,111	\$ 	\$	91,405	\$	503,557	\$ 11,769	\$ - 1	S	829,842
DA RSG		\$	-	\$ -	\$	1.0	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 	S	-
RT RSG		\$		\$ 	\$	· · · · · ·	\$	-	\$ 	\$ (3,957)	S	(3,957
	Total	\$	223,111	\$ 	\$	91,405	\$	503,557	\$ 11,769	\$ (3,957)	\$	825,885
Oct-14												
DA Asset Energy Amount		\$	-	\$ -	\$		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	S	-
RT Energy Amount ⁽³⁾		\$	13,997	\$ 15,549	\$	385,536	\$	319,009	\$ 233,610	\$ 	S	967,700
DA RSG		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$ - 1	S	-
RT RSG		\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	S	-
	Total	\$	13,997	\$ 15,549	\$	385,536	\$	319,009	\$ 233,610	\$ 	S	967,700

(1) The amounts provided in the table above are included on page 2 ("Fuel Cost Schedule") of Big Rivers' monthly Form A Filing in the "Net energy cost - economy purchases" and "Identifiable fuel cost - other purchases" line items. These amounts represent the energy cost of power purchased from MISO for the respective categories listed. MISO costs associated with power purchases that are not recovered through the FAC (e.g. power purchases for back-up energy to Domtar, supplemental and back-up energy to the smelters, and off-system sales) are backed out of the recoverable fuel costs on page 2 of the monthly Form A Filing and not included in the table above.

(2) MISO Make Whole Payments, received by Big Rivers for costs associated with running its Reid Combustion Turbine Unit when dedicated by MISO, are credited to Big Rivers' Members through the FAC by subtracting the amounts received from the calculation of recoverable fuel costs on page 2 of the monthly Form A Filing.

(3) Real-Time ("RT") Energy Amounts consist of the following three individual charge types: RT Asset Energy, RT Excessive Energy, and RT Non-Excessive Energy.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 38 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 6 of 6

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

Item 39) List Big Rivers' generating units in economic dispatch order.
 State whether Big Rivers operated its generating units in economic
 dispatch order during the period under review. If the response is no,
 explain.

6 Response) The tables which follow list Big Rivers' generating units in economic
7 dispatch order, from highest cost to lowest cost, every six months throughout the
8 review period of November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2014.

9

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Generating Units in Economic Dispatch Order Periodically from November 1, 2012 through October 31, 2014									
11/1/2012	5/1/2013	11/1/2013	5/1/2014	10/31/2014					
Reid CT	Reid CT	Reid CT	Reid CT	Reid CT					
Reid Unit 1	Reid Unit 1	Reid Unit 1	HMP&L Unit 1	Reid Unit 1					
HMP&L Unit 1	HMP&L Unit 1	HMP&L Unit 1	HMP&L Unit 2	HMP&L Unit 2					
HMP&L Unit 2	HMP&L Unit 2	HMP&L Unit 2	Reid Unit 1	HMP&L Unit 1					
Coleman Unit 1	Coleman Unit 1	Coleman Unit 1	Green Unit 1	Green Unit 2					
Coleman Unit 2	Coleman Unit 2	Coleman Unit 2	Wilson	Green Unit 1					
Coleman Unit 3	Coleman Unit 3	Coleman Unit 3	Green Unit 2	Wilson					
Green Unit 2	Green Unit 2	Green Unit 2							
Green Unit 1	Green Unit 1	Green Unit 1							
Wilson	Wilson	Wilson							

- 10 As a member of MISO, Big Rivers does not determine the order of dispatch of its
- 11 units. MISO dispatches generation to optimize system reliability and economics.

12

13 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 39 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2014 CASE NO. 2014-00455

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 5, 2015

February 20, 2015

1	Item 40) By month, provide the \$/MWh of fuel costs allocate each to
2	native load and off-system sales for November 2012 through the most
3	recent month available. Include in the response the calculations
4	supporting the \$/MWh amounts.
5	
6	Response) Please see the attachment.
7	
8	

9 Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen

10

Case No. 2014-00455 Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

0



	Source		Nov-12		Dec-12		Jan-13		Feb-13		Mar-13		Apr-13
Native Load Sales:													Apr-15
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation	Form A Filing, p. 2 ⁽¹⁾	\$	23,987,316	\$	23,979,447	\$	24,428,767	\$	22,518,861	\$	22,512,823	\$	20,972,238
Less: Make Whole Payments	Form A Filing, p. 2	\$	8,790	\$		\$	2,193	\$		\$		2	6,663
Plus: Fuel (Assigned Cost During F.O.)	Form A Filing, p. 2	\$	76,869	\$	1,002,426	\$	1,715,124	\$	238,161	s	922,315	\$	254,108
Less: Fuel (Substitute Cost for F.O.)	Form A Filing, p. 2	\$	66,794	\$	1,008,876	\$	1,642,999	\$	220,448	S	809,142	\$	210,710
Less: Fuel (Supp. and Back-Up Energy to Smelters)	Form A Filing, p. 2	\$	70,103	\$	102,163	\$	55,188	\$	59,173	s	146,289	\$	98,407
Less: Domtar Back-Up/ Imbalance Generation	Form A Filing, p. 2	\$	28,278	\$	42,140	\$	40,028	\$	73,721	\$	66,996	\$	26,314
Less: Fuel Cost of Generation for OSS	(2)	\$	5,038,028	\$	4,691,970	\$	4,263,914	\$	4,049,523	s	3,129,117	\$	3,720,734
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Native Load Sales		\$	18,852,192	\$	19,136,724	\$	20,139,569	\$	18,354,157	\$	19,283,594	\$	17,163,518
Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	(3)		794,811.841		786,617.325		799,159.056		745,693,073	•	769,524,300	Ψ	716,226.166
Native Load - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generation		S	23.72	\$	24.33	\$	25.20	\$	24.61	\$	25.06	\$	23.96
Off-System Sales:													
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Off-System Sales	(2)	\$	5,038,028	s	4,691,970	\$	4,263,914	\$	4,049,523	¢	3,129,117	¢	2 700 704
Off-System Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	(4)		212,413.700		192,435.800	Ψ	169,789,100	Ŷ	164,681.700	φ	125,606.800	Ф	3,720,734 155,601.100
Off-System Sales - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generation	on (5)	\$	23.72	\$	24.38	\$	25.11	s	24.59	S	24.91	\$	23.91

(1) Total cost of fuel for generation is equal to the sum of Coal Burned, Pet Coke Burned, Oil Burned, Gas Burned, and Propane Burned reported on page 2 of Big Rivers' monthly Form A filing.

(2) Fuel cost of generation for off-system sales is calculated by multiplying the off-systems sales volume from generation (MWh) by the system average generation fuel cost per MWh.

See pages 6 through 31 for detail calculations of the system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽³⁾ See page 32 for the calculations of native load sales volumes from generation by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

(4) Off-system sales volumes from generation calculated as total off-system sales volumes minus off-system sales volumes from purchased power.

⁽³⁾ See pages 6 through 11 for detail calculations of system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 32



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Calculation of Average Fuel Cost per MWh for Native Load and Off-System Sales in Monthly Form A Filing November 2012 through December 2014

Native Load Sales:	Source		<u>May-13</u>		Jun-13		Jul-13		<u>Aug-13</u>		<u>Sep-13</u>		Oct-13
	Form A Filing, p. 2 ⁽¹⁾	\$	21,475,956	\$	21,651,345	\$	23,516,951	\$	19,976,449	\$	15,767,092	\$	17,573,208
Less: Make Whole Payments Plus: Fuel (Assigned Cost During F.O.) Less: Fuel (Substitute Cost for F.O.) Less: Fuel (Supp. and Back-Up Energy to Smelters) Less: Domtar Back-Up/ Imbalance Generation Less: Fuel Cost of Generation for OSS Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Native Load Sales Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 (2)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6,634 428,352 419,917 104,537 5,426 3,456,254 17,911,540 727,344.488	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,191 1,545,665 1,428,902 4,031 16,331 2,577,873 19,167,682 781,163.186	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6,556 1,073,263 1,029,789 5,656 22,835 <u>3,877,800</u> 19,647,578 795,615,191	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6,544 909,204 611,731 35,598 38,049 <u>4,174,507</u> 16,019,224 621,819,506	****	4,377 565,908 223,585 7,149 128,209 <u>5,176,712</u> 10,792,968 451,622,510	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	6,552 568,654 120,500 58,456 54,794 <u>6,741,782</u> 11,159,778
Native Load - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generation		S	24.63	s	24.54	\$	24.69	\$	25.76	S	23.90	S	447,224.73
Off-System Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	(2) (4)	\$	3,456,254 140,366.900	\$	2,577,873 105,689.500	\$	3,877,800 157,320.800	\$	4,174,507 165,039.402	\$	5,176,712 223,616.049	\$	6,741,782 281,306.084
Off-System Sales - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generation (5)	\$	24.62	\$	24.39	\$	24.65	s	25.29	s	23.15	\$	23.97

(1) Total cost of fuel for generation is equal to the sum of Coal Burned, Pet Coke Burned, Oil Burned, Gas Burned, and Propane Burned reported on page 2 of Big Rivers' monthly Form A filing.

⁽²⁾ Fuel cost of generation for off-system sales is calculated by multiplying the off-systems sales volume from generation (MWh) by the system average generation fuel cost per MWh.

See pages 6 through 31 for detail calculations of the system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽³⁾ See page 32 for the calculations of native load sales volumes from generation by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽⁹⁾ Off-system sales volumes from generation calculated as total off-system sales volumes minus off-system sales volumes from purchased power.

⁽³⁾ See pages 6 through 11 for detail calculations of system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 32

0



Native Load Sales:	Source		<u>Nov-13</u>		Dec-13		<u>Jan-14</u>		Feb-14		<u>Mar-14</u>		<u>Apr-14</u>
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation	Form A Filing, p. 2 ⁽¹⁾	\$	14,065,770	\$	17,078,728	\$	17,439,186	\$	17,565,646	\$	19,212,416	\$	18,288,530
Less: Make Whole Payments Plus: Fuel (Assigned Cost During F.O.) Less: Fuel (Substitute Cost for F.O.) Less: Fuel (Supp. and Back-Up Energy to Smelters) Less: Domtar Back-Up/ Imbalance Generation Less: Fuel Cost of Generation for OSS Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Native Load Sales Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 (2)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,097 419,248 65,923 195,581 3,263 3,076,998 11,117,156 452,019.763	****	6,675 1,199,264 440,550 276,852 5,690 5,870,628 11,677,597 451,031.346	**********	4,904 2,321,452 1,351,743 308,161 3,487 4,815,272 13,277,071 483,866,567	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	15,276 310,637 54,704 - 10,235 12,156,024 5,640,044 220,532,495	****	9,148 340,644 69,422 - 6,349 <u>14,654,768</u> 4,813,373 186,387,640	***	27,257 14,321,377 3,939,896
Native Load - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generation		\$	24.59	\$	25.89	\$	27.44	\$	25.57	\$	25.82	S	161,217.954 24.44
Off-System Sales: Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Off-System Sales Off-System Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh) Off-System Sales - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generation	(2) (4)	\$	3,076,998 128,901.112	\$	5,870,628 242,347.599	\$	4,815,272 189,234.943	\$	12,156,024 496,508.754	\$	14,654,768 600,162.486	\$	14,321,377
On-System Sales - r del Cost per MWh of Generatio	n (5)	\$	23.87	\$	24.22	\$	25.45	\$	24.48	S	24.42	S	24.44

⁽¹⁾ Total cost of fuel for generation is equal to the sum of Coal Burned, Pet Coke Burned, Oil Burned, Gas Burned, and Propane Burned reported on page 2 of Big Rivers' monthly Form A filing.

⁽²⁾ Fuel cost of generation for off-system sales is calculated by multiplying the off-systems sales volume from generation (MWh) by the system average generation fuel cost per MWh.

See pages 6 through 31 for detail calculations of the system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽³⁾ See page 32 for the calculations of native load sales volumes from generation by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽⁹⁾ Off-system sales volumes from generation calculated as total off-system sales volumes minus off-system sales volumes from purchased power.

⁽³⁾ See pages 6 through 11 for detail calculations of system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 3 of 32



Big Rivers Electric Corporation Calculation of Average Fuel Cost per MWh for Native Load and Off-System Sales in Monthly Form A Filing November 2012 through December 2014

Native Load Sales:	Source		<u>May-14</u>		<u>Jun-14</u>		<u>Jnl-14</u>		Aug-14		Sep-14		Oct-14
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation	Form A Filing, p. 2 ⁽¹⁾	\$	12,269,980	\$	11,826,308	\$	16,497,979	\$	18,049,572	\$	16,346,838	\$	15,781,204
Less: Make Whole Payments Plus: Fuel (Assigned Cost During F.O.) Less: Fuel (Substitute Cost for F.O.) Less: Fuel (Supp. and Back-Up Energy to Smelters) Less: Domtar Back-Up/ Imbalance Generation Less: Fuel Cost of Generation for OSS Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Native Load Sales Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2 (2)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,228 388,385 93,930 - 13,699 8,252,068 4,296,440 155,867.187	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	4,453 959,809 463,135 - 23,641 <u>6,364,512</u> 5,930,376 200,707.278	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	502,425 173,874 27,558 11,041,264 5,757,708 218,597,939	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,197 339,872 255,947 31,395 <u>11,900,429</u> 6,199,476 252,257,217	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,957 56,674 87 61,644 <u>11,393,028</u> 4,944,796	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	308,737 9,436 26,666 <u>11,424,466</u> 4,629,373
Native Load - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generation		S	27.56	\$	29.55	S	213,397.939	2	252,257.217 24.58	e	204,649.002 24.16	-	173,305.470
Off-System Sales:								v	24.50	3	24.10	2	26.71
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Off-System Sales Off-System Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	(2) (4)	\$	8,252,068 325,911.072	\$	6,364,512 234,887.500	\$	11,041,264 444,584.800	\$	11,900,429 490,153,176	\$	11,393,028	\$	11,424,466
Off-System Sales - Fuel Cost per MWh of Generatio	n (5)	\$	25.32	\$	27.10	\$	24.84	\$	24.28	s	476,576.100 23.91	s	457,253.000 24.99

(1) Total cost of fuel for generation is equal to the sum of Coal Burned, Pet Coke Burned, Oil Burned, Gas Burned, and Propane Burned reported on page 2 of Big Rivers' monthly Form A filing.

(2) Fuel cost of generation for off-system sales is calculated by multiplying the off-systems sales volume from generation (MWh) by the system average generation fuel cost per MWh.

See pages 6 through 31 for detail calculations of the system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽³⁾ See page 32 for the calculations of native load sales volumes from generation by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽⁹⁾ Off-system sales volumes from generation calculated as total off-system sales volumes minus off-system sales volumes from purchased power.

(9) See pages 6 through 11 for detail calculations of system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 32

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Calculation of Average Fuel Cost per MWh for Native Load and Off-System Sales in Monthly Form A Filing November 2012 through December 2014

Off-System Sales - Fuel Cos	t per MWh of Generation (5)	\$ 25.10	\$ 24.70
Off-System Sales: Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Off-System Sales Off-System Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	(2) (4)	\$ 13,552,117 539,903.487	\$ 12,535,802 507,584.000
Native Load - Fuel Cost per	F MIW B Of Generation	\$ 26.88	\$ 24.70
Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation (MWh)	(3)	210,347.557	247,405.943
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation Allocated to Native Load Sales	_	\$ 5,653,337	\$ 12,535,802 \$ 6,110,370
Less: Fuel Cost of Generation for OSS	(2)	\$ 13,552,117	\$ 42,287
Less: Fuel (Supp. and Back-Up Energy to Smelters) Less: Domtar Back-Up/ Imbalance Generation	Form A Filing, p. 2 Form A Filing, p. 2	\$ - \$ 5,475	\$ -
Less: Fuel (Substitute Cost for F.O.)	Form A Filing, p. 2	\$ 23,804	s -
Plus: Fuel (Assigned Cost During F.O.)	Form A Filing, p. 2	\$ - \$ 396,831	s -
Less: Make Whole Payments	Form A Filing, p. 2	đ	
Total Cost of Fuel for Generation	Form A Filing, p. 2 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 18,837,902	\$ 18,688,459
Native Load Sales:	Source	<u>Nov-14</u>	Dec-14

(1) Total cost of fuel for generation is equal to the sum of Coal Burned, Pet Coke Burned, Oil Burned, Gas Burned, and Propane Burned reported on page 2 of Big Rivers' monthly Form A filing. ⁽²⁾ Fuel cost of generation for off-system sales is calculated by multiplying the off-systems sales volume from generation (MWh) by the system average generation fuel cost per MWh.

See pages 6 through 31 for detail calculations of the system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

⁽³⁾ See page 32 for the calculations of native load sales volumes from generation by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

(4) Off-system sales volumes from generation calculated as total off-system sales volumes minus off-system sales volumes from purchased power.

⁽⁵⁾ See pages 6 through 11 for detail calculations of system average generation fuel cost per MWh by month for November 2012 through December 2014.

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 5 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF November-12

	MONTH OF	Novi	ember-12		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	\$/MWH
Reid:		\$ (14,970.38)	-	(1,513,000)	\$ 9.89
Coal Oil	-	\$ - \$ (14,970.38)		han an a	
<u>C1</u> :		\$ 2,597,117.16	110,087,000	103,323,000	\$ 25.14
Coal	48,134.05	\$ 2,593,414.59]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Gas	803.25	\$ 3,702.57			
Propane	-	\$ -	14 March 19		
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 2,451,695.70	105,201,000	91,187,000	\$ 26.89
Coal	45,386.20	\$ 2,445,363.17			
Gas Propane	1,373.80	\$ 6,332.53 \$ -			
			1		-
<u>C3</u> :	47 444 40	\$ 2,562,432.69	109,769,000	102,956,000	\$ 24.89
Coal Gas	47,444.40	\$ 2,556,256.62 \$ 6,176.07			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Colomon Total		6	225 055 000	000 4// 000	0.00.00
<u>Coleman - Total</u> Coal	140,964.65	\$ 7,611,245.55 \$ 7,595,034.38	325,057,000	297,466,000	\$ 25.59
Gas	3,516.91	\$ 16,211.17			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Gas Turbine		\$ 15,828.64	157,680	94,950	\$ 166.71
Oil Gas	3,433.00	\$ - \$ 15,828.64			
Gas	5,455.00	\$ 15,820.04			
<u>Wilson</u> : Coal	102 515 64	\$ 6,091,493.35	312,439,400	292,320,793	\$ 20.84
PetCoke	103,515.64 23,686.00	\$ 4,844,107.54 \$ 1,303,094,76			
Oil	7,469.76	\$ (55,708.95)			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,984,246,45	85,998,419	77,679,809	\$ 25.54
Coai	34,731.90	\$ 1,988,147.76			0 20104
Oil	384.00	\$ (3,901.31)			
H2 (net of city take)		\$ 1,872,693.75	81,857,581	72,564,621	\$ 25.81
Coal	33,602.53	\$ 1,923,499.52			
Oil	5,001.00	\$ (50,805.77)			
Station Two:		\$ 3,856,940.20	167,856,000	150,244,430	\$ 25.67
Coal	68,334.43	\$ 3,911,647.28			
Oil	5,385.00	\$ (54,707.08)			
31 :		\$ 3,146,658.97	155,974,630	141,532,485	\$ 22.23
Coal	50,467.55	\$ 2,755,755.34			
PetCoke	14,000.00	\$ 423,722.65			
Oil	19,971.00	\$ (32,819.02)			
32:		\$ 3,280,119.53	161,915,000	147,698,776	\$ 22.21
Coal	51,401.93	\$ 2,806,776.68			
PetCoke Oil	15,732.00	\$ 476,143.09 \$ (2,800.24)			
U M	1,704.00	φ (2,000.24)			
Green - Total:	101.070.40	\$ 6,426,778.50	317,889,630	289,231,261	\$ 22.22
Coal PetCoke	101,869.48	\$ 5,562,532.02 \$ 899,865.74			
Oil	21,675.00	\$ (35,619.26)			
System Total:		\$ 23,987,315.86	1,123,399,710	1,027,844,434	\$ 23.34
			Line Losses	16,470,928	
System Total (Net of I		\$ 23,987,315.86	Net kWh		\$ 23.72
Summarv	of Fuel Burned for				
	Coal Pet Coke	\$ 21,913,321.22 \$ 2,202,960.50			
	Oil	\$ (161,005,67)			
	Gas	\$ 32,039.81			
	Ргорале	\$ -			
		0 00 000 010 07			

\$ 23,987,315.86

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 6 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF December-12

	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	\$/MWH
Reid:		s -		(1,556,000)	S -
Coal	-	\$ -	[]		<u> </u>
Oil	•	\$ -			
<u>C1</u> :		\$ 2,506,463.10	106.849,000	100.084.000	\$ 25.04
Coal	46,895.25	\$ 2,499,845.25		Lando milana	
Gas	1,335.19	\$ 6,617.85			
Propane	-	\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 2,424,514.46	103,647,000	89,554,000	\$ 27.07
Coal	45,343.50	\$ 2,417,126.31			
Gas	1,490.60	\$ 7,388.15			
Propane		s -			
<u>C3</u> :		\$ 2,557,257.37	111,136,000	104,203,000	\$ 24.54
Coal	47,859.75	\$ 2,551,258.98		(
Gas	1,210.21	\$ 5,998.39			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Coleman - Total:		\$ 7,488,234.93	321,632,000	293,841,000	\$ 25,48
Coal	140,098.50	\$ 7,468,230.54			
Gas	4,036.00	\$ 20,004.39			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Gas Turbine		\$ (926.13)		(63,790)	\$ 14.52
Oil		\$ (20.10)		(03,750)	4 14.52
Gas	28.00	\$ (926.13)			
Wilson:		\$ 5,691,975,99	270,476,020	251,153,395	\$ 22.66
Coal	92,090.89	\$ 4,262,776.79	270,470,020	231,133,373	\$ 22.00
PetCoke	20,212.25	\$ 1,099,481.72			
Oll	104,534.49	\$ 329,717.48			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,774,060.09	79,199,706	70,640,166	\$ 25.11
Coal	30,899.42	\$ 1,756,569.90	13,133,100	10,040,100	\$ 40.11
Oil	5,490.00	\$ 17,490.19			
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 1,728,918.76	70,962,294	62,493,064	\$ 27.67
Coal	29,171.58	\$ 1,658,346.31			
Oil	22,152.00	\$ 70,572.45			
Station Two:		\$ 3,502,978.85	150,162,000	133,133,230	\$ 26.31
Coal	60,071.00	\$ 3,414,916.21	100,102,000	100,100,000	
Oil	27,642.00	\$ 88,062.64			
G1:		\$ 3,709,242.72	181,485,140	165,441,360	\$ 22.42
Coal	58,914.77	\$ 2,903,414.06	101,403,140	100,111,000	4 22.42
PetCoke	18,095.00	\$ 798,762.42			
Oil	2,220.00	\$ 7,066.24			
32:		\$ 3,587,940.59	174,963,670	159,950,028	\$ 22.43
Coal	56,098.16	\$ 2,764,607.15	1 11,000,010		
PetCoke	18,485.00	\$ 815,977.55			
Oil	2,311.00	\$ 7,355.89			
Green - Total:		\$ 7,297,183.31	356,448,810	325,391,388	\$ 22.43
Coal	115,012.93	\$ 5,668,021.21	2001-101010		
PetCoke	36,580.00	\$ 1,614,739.97			
Oil	4,531.00	\$ 14,422.13			
System Total:		\$ 23,979,446.95	1,098,718,830	1,001,899,223	\$ 23.93
			Line Losses	18,421,104	
System Total (Net of I	ASSES):	\$ 23,979,446.95	Net kWh	983,478,119	\$ 24,38
Summary	of Fuel Burned for				
	Coal	\$ 20,813,944.75			
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,714,221.69			
	Oil	\$ 432,202.25			
	Gas	\$ 19,078.26 \$			
	Propane	\$ 23,979,446.95			
		5 40,212,440.23			

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 7 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF January-13

	MONTH OF	Jan	uary-13		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH
Reid:		s -		(1,690,000)	S -
Coal	-	S -		(1,070,000)	φ -
Oil	-	\$ -			
<u>C1</u> :		\$ 1,818,440.21	73,380,000	67,940,000	\$ 26.77
Coal	32,920.30	\$ 1,794,034.54	Improve the contraction of the		
Gas	5,247.14	\$ 24,405.67			
Propane	-	\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 2,289,018.87	95,795,000	83,098,000	\$ 27.55
Coal	41,872.20	\$ 2,281,879.97			
Gas	1,534.84	\$ 7,138.90			
Propane	-	\$ -			
<u>C3</u> :		\$ 2,594,888.38	109,194,000	102,047,000	\$ 25.43
Coal	47,284.15	\$ 2,576,811.22			
Gas	3,886.53	\$ 18,077.16			
Propane	-	\$			
Coleman - Total	_	\$ 6,702,347.46	278,369,000	253,085,000	\$ 26.48
Coal	122,076.65	\$ 6,652,725.73			
Gas	10,668.51	\$ 49,621.73			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Gas Turbine		\$ 1,236.83	4,010	(53,190)	\$ (23.25)
Oil Gas	- 319.00	\$ - \$ 1,236.83			
(785	319.00	3 1,230.63			
Wilson:		\$ 6,493,882.91	309,302,340	287,889,998	\$ 22.56
Coal	111,097.27	\$ 5,150,536.10			
PetCoke	20,423.95	\$ 1,105,601.52			
Oil	75,375.39	\$ 237,745.29			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,866,284.84	82,601,093	73,651,723	\$ 25.34
Coal	32,624.80	\$ 1,866,262.53			
Oil	7.00	\$ 22.31			
H2 (net of city take)		\$ 1,818,391.22	74,304,907	65,628,817	\$ 27.71
Coal	30,955.56	\$ 1,770,775.66			
Oil	14,943.00	\$ 47,615.56			
Station Two:		\$ 3,684,676.06	156,906,000	139,280,540	\$ 26.46
Coal	63,580.36	\$ 3,637,038.19			
Oil	14,950.00	\$ 47,637.87			
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,705,005.42	169,238,740	153,972,370	\$ 24.06
Coal	55,939.24	\$ 2,763,359.30			
PetCoke	16,589.00	\$ 848,411.23			
Oil	29,277.00	\$ 93,234.89			
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,841,616.78	175,376,910	160,106,120	\$ 23.99
Coal	58,618.67	\$ 2,895,721.26			
PetCoke	17,384.00	\$ 889,069.91			
Oil	17,844.00	\$ 56,825.61			
Green - Total:		\$ 7,546,622.20	344,615,650	314,078,490	\$ 24.03
Coal	114,557.91	\$ 5,659,080.56			
PetCoke	33,973.00	\$ 1,737,481.14			
Oil	47,121.00	\$ 150,060.50			
System Total:		\$ 24,428,765.46	1,089,197,000	992,590,838	\$ 24.61
			Line Losses	19,851,174	
System Total (Net of I		\$ 24,428,765.46	Net kWh	972,739,664	\$ 25.11
	of Fuel Burned for		Line Loss %:	2.00%	
	Coal	\$ 21,099,380.58			
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,843,082.66			
	Oil	\$ 435,443.66			
	Gas	\$ 50,858.56			
	Propane	\$ -			
		\$ 24,428,765.46			

\$ 24,428,765.46

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 8 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF February-13

	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH S/MWH
Reid:		s -		(1,503,000)] \$ -
Coal	-	s -		
Oil	-	\$ -		
C1.		\$ 2,348,489.89	96,110,000	90,260,000 \$ 26.02
Coal	42,575.60	\$ 2,346,460.53		
Gas	386.77	\$ 2,029.36		
Propane	-	\$ -		
62;		\$ 2,198,350.25	92,918,000	79,917,000 \$ 27.51
Coal	39,845.35	\$ 2,195,988.81		
Gas	450.06	\$ 2,361.44		
Propane	•	s -		
13 .		\$ 2,324,921.39	97,494,000	91,374,000 \$ 25.44
Coal	42,099.10	\$ 2,320,199.28	27,424,000	
Gas	899.97	\$ 4,722.11		
Propane	-	\$ -		
Colomon Total		6 (071 7(1 72	200 522 000	2(1 551 000 0 26 25 27
<u>Coleman - Total</u> : Coal	124,520.05	\$ 6,871,761.53 \$ 6,862,648.62	286,522,000	261,551,000 \$ 26.27
Gas	1,736.80	\$ 0,802,048.02 \$ 9,112.91		
Propane	-	\$ 9,112.91		
				(11 con) [A con]
Gas Turbine. Oil		\$ 2.67 \$ -	-	(46,620) \$ (0.06)
Gas		\$ 2.67		
Wilson:	100.001.01	\$ 5,833,133.88	287,968,800	268,622,749 \$ 21.71
Coal	102,891.31	\$ 4,867,561.52		
PetCoke Oil	17,903.00	\$ 953,443.96 \$ 12,128.40		
U	3,043.44	\$ 12,120.40		
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,555,674.93	67,654,932	60,169,378 \$ 25.85
Coal	26,700.50	\$ 1,535,163.94		
Oil	6,436.00	\$ 20,510.99		
12 (net of city take):		\$ 1,755,168.78	72,992,068	64,871,282 \$ 27.06
Coal	30,515.04	\$ 1,754,483.59		
Oil	215.00	\$ 685.19		
Station Two:		\$ 3,310,843.71	140,647,000	125,040,660 \$ 26.48
Coal	57,215.54	\$ 3,289,647.53		
Oil	6,651.00	\$ 21,196.18		
		n	150 740 700	144.160.771 6 00.16
Coal	51,606.46	\$ 3,337,520.38 \$ 2,551,769.15	158,749,700	144,169,771 \$ 23.15
PetCoke	15,000.00	\$ 778,332.00		
Oil	2,328.00	\$ 7,419.23		
				100 00 001
<u>12</u> :	10 200 20 1	\$ 3,165,599.00	149,994,980	136,719,374 \$ 23.15
Coal	48,398.32	\$ 2,393,137.21 \$ 763,232.36		
PetCoke Oil	14,709.00	\$ 9,229.43		
	the second se		Sector Sector	
Green - Total:	100 001 70	\$ 6,503,119.38	308,744,680	280,889,145 \$ 23.15
Coal PetCoke	100,004.78	\$ 4,944,906.36		
Oll	29,709.00	\$ 1,541,564.36 \$ 16,648.66		
Un	3,224.00	9 10,046.00		
System Total:		\$ 22,518,861.17	1,023,882,480	934,553,934 \$ 24.10
			Line Losses	18,774,797
System Total (Net of I		\$ 22,518,861.17	Net kWh	915,779,137 \$ 24.59
Summary	of Fuel Burned for		Line Loss %:	2.01%
	Coal	\$ 19,964,764.03		
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,495,008.32		
	Oil	\$ 49,973.24		
	Gas	\$ 9,115.58		
	Propane	\$		
	1	\$ 22,518,861.17		

\$ \$ 22,518,861.17

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 9 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF March-13

	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH
Reid:		s -	-	(1,640,000)	S -
Coal	-	s -		Channel and	
Oil		\$ -	Ten encourse		
<u>C1</u> :		\$ 2,202,310.70	88,713,000	82,799,000	\$ 26.60
Coal	39,567.35	\$ 2,197,281.78		terre and the second	harris
Gas	1,469.73	\$ 5,028.92			
Propane	-	\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 2,400,093.12	100,032,000	86,327,000	\$ 27,80
Coal	43,201.30	\$ 2,399,084.83			
Gas Propane	294.68	\$ 1,008.29 \$ -			
		<u></u>			
<u>C3</u> :	20 000 00 1	\$ 2,101,589.62	88,149,000	82,351,000	\$ 25.52
Coal Gas	37,695.00	\$ 2,093,305.13 \$ 8,284.49			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Colore Tetal		E (803 003 44	276 004 000	0.51 455 000	6 06 66
<u>Coleman - Total</u> Coal	120,463.65	\$ 6,703,993,44 \$ 6,689,671.74	276,894,000	251,477,000	\$ 26.66
Gas	4,185.60	\$ 14,321.70			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Gas Turbine		\$ 263.73		(57,700)	\$ (4.57)
Oil	-	s -		(57,700)	w (4.51
Gas	48.00	\$ 263.73			
Wilson:		\$ 5,885,936.70	293,288,340	273,156,537	\$ 21.55
Coal	108,929.12	\$ 4,975,490.06			-
PetCoke	15,639.00	\$ 830,817.18			
Oil	25,245.93	\$ 79,629.46			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,707,047.05	72,579,304	64,803,534	\$ 26.34
Coal Oil	28,925.25 13,876.00	\$ 1,662,794.03 \$ 44,253.02			
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 1,917,982.29	80,829,696	71,559,646	\$ 26.80
Coal	33,327.78	\$ 1,915,877.43	00,023,070	/1,000,040	
Oil	660.00	\$ 2,104.86			
Station Two:		\$ 3,625,029.34	153,409,000	136,363,180	\$ 26.58
Coal	62,253.03	\$ 3,578,671.46	100,000,000	100,000,100	4 10.00
Oil	14,536.00	\$ 46,357.88			
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,048,038.67	142,098,940	127,511,098	\$ 23.90
Coal	61,647.43	\$ 2,952,474.20	Contraction and the second s		L.
PetCoke	769.00	\$ 39,081.58			
Oil	17,723.00	\$ 56,482.89			
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,249,561.49	152,991,510	138,260,167	\$ 23.50
Coal	65,487.81	\$ 3,136,401.14			
PetCoke	2,000.00	\$ 101,642.60			
Oil	3,614.00	\$ 11,517.75			
Green - Total:		\$ 6,297,600.16	295,090,450	265,771,265	\$ 23.70
Coal	127,135.24	\$ 6,088,875.34			
PetCoke	2,769.00	\$ 140,724.18			
Oil	21,337.00	\$ 68,000.64			
System Total:		\$ 22,512,823.37	1,018,681,790	925,070,282	\$ 24.34
			Line Losses	21,377,655	<u></u>
System Total (Net of]		\$ 22,512,823.37	Net kWh	903,692,627	\$ 24.91
Summary	of Fuel Burned for Coal	<i>Generation</i> : \$ 21,332,708.60	Line Loss %:	2.31%	
	Pet Coke	\$ 971,541.36			
	Oil	\$ 193,987.98			
	Gas	\$ 14,585.43			
	Propane	s - 1			

\$ \$ 22,512,823.37

Propane

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 10 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF April-13

	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH S/MWH
Reid:		s -	-	(1,477,000) \$ -
Coal	-	s -		here and the second
Oil	-	\$ -		
<u>C1</u> :		\$ 2,598,960.86	105,499,000	98,897,000 \$ 26.28
Coal	46,381.65	\$ 2,586,922.61		
Gas	1,912.51	\$ 12,038.25		
Propane	L	\$ -	- market	
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 2,250,778.11	93,385,000	80,724,000 \$ 27.88
Coal	40,220.60	\$ 2,243,291.90		
Gas	1,189.33	\$ 7,486.21		
Propane	-	S -]	
<u>C3</u> ;		\$ 2,632,269.99	110,453,000	103,474,000 \$ 25.44
Coal	47,038.70	\$ 2,623,569.38		
Gas	1,382.26	\$ 8,700.61		
Propane	-	S -	J	
<u> Coleman - Total</u> :		\$ 7,482,008.96	309,337,000	283,095,000 \$ 26.43
Coal	133,640.95	\$ 7,453,783.89		
Gas	4,484.10	\$ 28,225.07		
Propane	-	s -		
Gas Turbine		\$ 16,996.75	156,620	86,330 \$ 196.88
Oil	-	\$ -		
Gas	3,440.00	\$ 16,996.75		
Wilson:		\$ 5,693,992.64	290,474,090	270,904,667 \$ 21.02
Coal	104,561.85	\$ 4,765,950.04		harmon and the first of the second
PetCoke	16,302.00	\$ 866,231.22		
Oil	19,596.84	\$ 61,811.38		
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,457,846.57	68,355,000	58,853,730 \$ 24.77
Coal	25,238.04	\$ 1,457,827.43		
Oil	6.00	\$ 19.14		
H2 (net of city take):		s -		(381,000) \$ -
Coal	-	s -		
Oil		\$ -		
Station Two:		\$ 1,457,846.57	68,355,000	58,472,730 \$ 24.93
Coal	25,238.04	\$ 1,457,827.43	00,000,000	00,478,700
Oil	6.00	\$ 19.14		
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,223,506.90	158,217,000	142,995,850 \$ 22.54
Coal	53,490.59	\$ 2,527,537.36	150,217,000	142,773,030
PetCoke	13,631.00	\$ 679,234.09		
Oil	5,249.00	\$ 16,735.45		
G2:		\$ 3,097,885.89	153,067,100	138,938,320 \$ 22.30
Coal	50,963.16	\$ 2,408,111.24		totalining Ly 1999
PetCoke	13,631.00	\$ 679,234.09		
Oil	3,306.00	\$ 10,540.56		
Green - Total:		\$ 6,321,392.79	311,284,100	281,934,170 \$ 22.42
Coal	104,453.75	\$ 4,935,648.60	,,	
PetCoke	27,262.00	\$ 1,358,468.18		
Oil	8,555.00	\$ 27,276.01		
System Total:		\$ 20,972,237.71	979,606,810	893,015,897 \$ 23.48
			Line Losses	15,972,806
System Total (Net of)		\$ 20,972,237.71	Net kWh	877,043,091 \$ 23.91
Summary	of Fuel Burned fo.		Line Loss %:	1.79%
	Coal	\$ 18,613,209.96		
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,224,699.40		
	Oil	\$ 89,106.53		
	Gas	\$ 45,221.82 \$ -		
	Propane	\$ 20,972,237.71		

\$ \$ 20,972,237.71

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 11 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF May-13

	MONTH OF	M	May-13	NET KWH	\$/MWH
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH		
Reid:		s -	-	(1,475,000)	\$ -
Coal	-	S -		(1,110,000))	L*
Oil	-	\$ -			
01			00.000	00 000 000	
<u>C1</u> :	42,000,60	\$ 2,424,682.18	98,522,000	92,237,000	\$ 26.29
Coal Gas	42,999.60 948.19	\$ 2,417,145.11 \$ 7,537.07			
Propane		\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 2,447,134.42	101,302,000	87,139,000	\$ 28.08
Coal	43,414.30	\$ 2,440,456.73			
Gas	932.51	\$ 6,677.69 \$			
Propane		ð .			
<u>C3</u> :		\$ 2,650,774.72	111,175,000	103,908,000	\$ 25.51
Coal	47,047.55	\$ 2,644,693.34	is a second s		
Gas	794.50	\$ 6,081.38	1		
Propane	-	\$ -			
Coleman - Total:		6 7 533 601 33	310,999,000	202 204 000	\$ 26.55
Coal	133,461.45	\$ 7,522,591.32 \$ 7,502,295.18	310,399,000	283,284,000	\$ 20.33
Gas	2,675.20	\$ 20,296.14			
Propane		\$ -			
	h				
Gas Turbine		\$ 18,434.13	192,420	100,940	\$ 182.62
Oil Gas	2 025 00	\$ - \$ 18,434.13			
Gas	3,935.00	\$ 18,434.13			
Wilson:		\$ 5,993,630.02	283,779,120	264,083,283	\$ 22.70
Coal	102,210.32	\$ 4,452,475.74	have a second		
PetCoke	17,595.00	\$ 1,408,286.21			
Oil	42,124.84	\$ 132,868.07			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1.543,533.52	71,315,933	61,658,393	\$ 25.03
Coal	26,581.24	\$ 1,543,533.52 \$ 1,542,267.47	/1,515,955	01,038,393	\$ 23.03
Oil	397.00	\$ 1,266.05			
according to a more the					
H2 (net of city take):	2 202 01	\$ 272,079.83	8,845,067	6,602,437	\$ 41.21
Coal Oil	3,392.91 23,587.00	\$ 196,859.69 \$ 75,220.14			
U ii	20,007.00	φ /J ₂ 220,14			
Station Two:		\$ 1,815,613.35	80,161,000	68,260,830	\$ 26.60
Coal	29,974.15	\$ 1,739,127.16			
Oil	23,984.00	\$ 76,486.19			
G1:		6 2 280 402 84	163,335,000	149 005 531	\$ 22.02
Coal	53,187.37	\$ 3,259,492.57 \$ 2,450,459.15	103,333,000	148,005,531	\$ 22.02
PetCoke	15,322.00	\$ 768,453.46			
Oil	12,727.00	\$ 40,579.96			
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 2,866,194.89	141,087,620	127,475,275	\$ 22.48
Coal	46,229.49	\$ 2,129,894.31			
PetCoke Oil	13,318.00	\$ 667,945.64 \$ 68,354.94			
0u	21,430.00	\$ 00,004.04			
Green - Total:		\$ 6,125,687.46	304,422,620	275,480,806	\$ 22.24
Coal	99,416.86	\$ 4,580,353.46			
PetCoke	28,640.00	\$ 1,436,399.10			
Oil	34,165.00	\$ 108,934.90			
System Total:		\$ 21,475,956.28	979,554,160	889,734,859	\$ 24.14
			Line Losses	17,557,637	
System Total (Net of Losses);		\$ 21,475,956.28	Net kWh	and the second se	\$ 24.62
	of Fuel Burned for		Line Loss %:	1.97%	
	Coal	\$ 18,274,251.54			
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,844,685.31			
	Oil	\$ 318,289.16			
	Gas Propane	\$ 38,730.27 \$ -			
	Tiopane				

\$ 21,475,956.28

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 12 of 32
	MONTH OF	FUEL BURNED June-13			
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH
Reid:		\$ 178,204.63	4,069,000	2,326,000	\$ 76.61
Ceal	2,047.75	\$ 128,367.30			
Oil	15,858.00	\$ 49,837.33]		
01			(4 800 000)	F0 000 000	[a. acat
<u>C1</u> :	27 000 05	\$ 1,560,727.43	64,792,000	59,933,000	\$ 26.04
Coal Gas	27,998.85	\$ 1,542,983.02 \$ 17,744.41			
Propane	-	\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 2,236,344.62	93,758,000	80,973,000	\$ 27.62
Coal	40,374.85	\$ 2,225,009.53]		
Gas	1,816.66	\$ 11,335.09			
Propane	-	S -	Provide and the second s		
<u>C3</u> :		\$ 2,423,319.28	101,712,000	94,685,000	\$ 25.59
Coal	43,596.40	\$ 2,402,545.29			Anno anno anno anno anno anno anno anno
Gas	3,519.20	\$ 20,773.99			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Coleman - Total:		\$ 6,220,391.33	260,262,000	235,591,000	\$ 26.40
Coal	111,970.10	\$ 6,170,537.84			
Gas	8,285.90	\$ 49,853.49			
Propane	-	s -			
Gas Turbine		\$ 23,335.80	326,690	214,440	\$ 108.82
Oil Gas	5,125.00	\$ - \$ 23,335.80			
Gas	5,125,00	\$ 23,335.80			
Wilson:		\$ 5,888,668.95	286,140,270	265,801,004	\$ 22.15
Coal	103,212.29	\$ 4,522,050.38			
PetCoke Oil	18,078.00 44,918.74	\$ 1,227,270.23 \$ 139,348.34			
	ham an all straight and			21 AA # # #	
H1 (net of city take): Coal	27,206.33	\$ 1,597,535.79 \$ 1,578,946.57	70,501,405	61,501,565	\$ 25.98
Oil	5,915.00	\$ 18,589.22			
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 1,561,489.83	65,638,595	57,503,565	\$ 27.15
Coal	25,707.82	\$ 1,491,979.04			
Oil	22,118.00	\$ 69,510.79			
Station Two:		\$ 3,159,025.62	136,140,000	119,005,130	\$ 26.55
Coal	52,914.15	\$ 3,070,925.61			
Oil	28,033.00	\$ 88,100.01			
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 2,974,662.68	148,966,220	135,062,567	\$ 22.02
Coal		\$ 2,288,390.01			
PetCoke	12,123.00	\$ 614,843.40			
Oil	22,627.00	\$ 71,429.27			
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,207,055.72	161,753,450	147,243,617	\$ 21.78
Coal	52,524.91	\$ 2,449,304.84			
PetCoke	14,877.00	\$ 754,518.30			
Oil	1,024.00	\$ 3,232.58			
Green - Total:		\$ 6,181,718.40	310,719,670	282,306,184	\$ 21.90
Coal	101,599.03	\$ 4,737,694.85			
PetCoke Oil	27,000.00	\$ 1,369,361.70 \$ 74,661.85			
			000 400 400	005 0 40 550	£ 00.00
System Total:		\$ 21,651,344.73	997,657,630	905,243,758	\$ 23.92
			Line Losses	17,556,244	8 4/30
System Total (Net of I		\$ 21,651,344.73	Net kWb	887,687,514	\$ 24.39
Summary	of Fuel Burned for		Line Loss %:	1.94%	
	Coal Pet Coke	\$ 18,629,575.98 \$ 2,596,631.93			
	Oil	\$ 2,596,631.93 \$ 351,947.53			
	Gas	\$ 73 180 20			

Gas

Propane

\$

\$

73,189.29

\$ 21,651,344.73

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 13 of 32



BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF July-13

	MONTH OF	Ju	aly-13		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	\$/MWH
Reid:		\$ 576,689.69	18,513,750	15,856,750	\$ 36.37
Coal	8,891.60	\$ 557,233.02	10,513,150	15,650,750	\$ 30.37
Oil	6,217.00	\$ 19,456.67			
<u>C1</u> :		\$ 2,276,364.58	95,804,000	89,324,000	\$ 25.48
Coal	40,821,38	\$ 2,256,936.54			
Gas	3,565.99	\$ 19,428.04			
Propane	-	\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :	_	\$ 2,299,871.95	96,028,000	81,904,000	\$ 28.08
Coal	41,478.25	\$ 2,293,253.63			
Gas Propane	1,247.16	\$ 6,618.32 \$ -			
Topane		-			
<u>C3</u> :		\$ 2,419,312.51	101,418,000	94,342,000	\$ 25.64
Coal	43,405.05	\$ 2,399,782.74			
Gas	3,606.75	\$ 19,529.77			
Propane		S -			
<u>Coleman - Total</u>		\$ 6,995,549.04	293,250,000	265,570,000	\$ 26.34
Coal	125,704.68	\$ 6,949,972.91			
Gas	8,419.90	\$ 45,576.13			
Propane	•	S -			
Gas Turbine		\$ 22,166.70	247,430	138,340	\$ 160.23
Oil	-	\$ -			
Gas	4,997.00	\$ 22,166.70			
Wilson:		\$ 5,794,351.76	291,349,200	270,924,481	\$ 21.39
Coal	104,238.20	\$ 4,684,151.99	have a second of the second of the	in the second	
PetCoke	17,081.00	\$ 994,254.26			
Oil	37,374.87	\$ 115,945.51			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,673,758.43	72,013,755	63,093,565	\$ 26.53
Coal	27,937.70	\$ 1,622,861.88			
Oil	16,263.00	\$ 50,896.55			
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 1,876,046.78	81,506,245	72,021,855	\$ 26.05
Coal	31,932.85	\$ 1,854,934.55			
Oil	6,746.00	\$ 21,112.23			
Station Two:		\$ 3,549,805.21	153,520,000	135,115,420	\$ 26.27
Coal	59,870.55	\$ 3,477,796.43			
Oil	23,009.00	\$ 72,008.78			
<u>51</u> :		\$ 3,381,394.31	164,748,410	149,467,467	\$ 22.62
Coal	53,582.50	\$ 2,487,492.55			
PetCoke	15,385.00	\$ 789,605.89			
Oil	33,376.00	\$ 104,295.87			
22:		\$ 3,196,994.15	156,559,770	142,255,809	\$ 22.47
Coal	50,905.77	\$ 2,363,229.10			
PetCoke Oil	14,615.00	\$ 750,087.11 \$ 83,677.94			
OI	20,770.00	3 05,017.94			
Green - Total:		\$ 6,578,388.46	321,308,180	291,723,276	\$ 22.55
Coal	104,488.27	\$ 4,850,721.65			
PetCoke	30,000.00	\$ 1,539,693.00			
Oil	60,154.00	\$ 187,973.81			
System Total:		\$ 23,516,950.86	1,078,188,560	979,328,267	\$ 24.01
			Line Losses	25,236,382	
System Total (Net of I	Losses);	\$ 23,516,950.86	Net kWh	954,091,885	\$ 24.65
Summary	of Fuel Burned for	r Generation :	Line Loss %:	2.58%	
	Coal	\$ 20,519,876.00			
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,533,947.26			
	Oil	\$ 395,384.77			
	Gas	\$ 67,742.83			
	Propane	\$ -			
		\$ 23,516,950.86			
				1.	112

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 14 of 32

	MONTH OF	August-13		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH S/MWH
Reid		s -		(1,479,000) \$ -
Coal		\$ -		(1,479,000) 5 -
Oil	-	\$ -		
C1		6 1 302 327 72	55 742 000	61 000 000 C 04 55
<u>C1</u> : Coal	23,232.89	\$ 1,285,256.65 \$ 1,286,453.90	55,743,000	51,882,000 \$ 24.77
Gas	940.72	\$ (1,197.25)		
Propane	-	\$ -		
C 2		E 1 470 775 00	60.912.000	E1 995 000 C 19 35 1
Coal	26,523.40	\$ 1,470,775.08 \$ 1,468,656.35	60,813,000	51,885,000 \$ 28.35
Gas	799.71	\$ 2,118.73		
Propane		\$ -		
<u>C3</u> :		\$ 1,522,161.12	64,161,000	59,782,000 \$ 25.46
Coal	27,504.05	\$ 1,522,957.01		
Gas	1,028.47	\$ (795.89)		
Propane	-	\$ -		
Coleman - Total:		\$ 4,278,192.85	180,717,000	163,549,000 \$ 26.16
Coal	77,260.34	\$ 4,278,067.26		
Gas	2,768.90	\$ 125.59		
Propane	-	\$ -		
Gas Turbine.		\$ 26,298.24	248,770	117,640 \$ 223.55
Oil	-	S -	L	
Gas	4,997.00	\$ 26,298.24		
Wilson:		\$ 6,215,194.34	317.827.460	296,627,609 \$ 20.95
Coal	109,487.35	\$ 5,086,694.69	517,827,400	290,021,009 \$ 20.95
PetCoke	19,248.00	\$ 1,105,915.01		
Oil	7,256.86	\$ 22,584.64		
U1 (not of site take)		\$ 1,496,470.87	64,350,803	56,006,463 \$ 26.72
H1 (net of city take): Coal	24,918.01	\$ 1,450,669.23	04,330,803	50,000,405
Oil	14,642.00	\$ 45,801.64		
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 1,556,116.32	67,991,197	59,357,707 \$ 26.22
Coal	26,327.41	\$ 1,532,721.26	07,331,137	59,551,101 9 20.22
Oil	7,479.00	\$ 23,395.06		
Castles True		6 2 0 52 597 10	122 242 000	115.364.170 \$ 26.46
Station Two: Coal	51,245.42	\$ 3,052,587.19 \$ 2,983,390.49	132,342,000	115,364,170 \$ 26.46
Oil	22,121.00	\$ 69,196.70		
	termine termine and			
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,307,426.81	170,860,280	155,106,596 \$ 21.32
Coal	60,228.04	\$ 2,761,467.68		
PetCoke Oil	10,735.00	\$ 540,437.47 \$ 5,521.66		
0.	1,707.00	<u> </u>		
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,096,748.43	155,123,480	140,961,779 \$ 21.97
Coal	56,352.05	\$ 2,583,752.76		
PetCoke	10,041.00	\$ 505,499.08		
Oil	2,399.00	\$ 7,496.59		
Green - Total:		\$ 6,404,175.24	325,983,760	296,068,375 \$ 21.63
Coal	116,580.09	\$ 5,345,220.44		
PetCoke	20,776.00	\$ 1,045,936.55		
Oil	4,166.00	\$ 13,018.25		
System Total:		\$ 19,976,447.86	957,118,990	870,247,794 \$ 22.95
			T	90.477.254
System Total (Net of I	neses).	\$ 19,976,447.86	Line Losses	80,477,254 789,770,540 \$ 25.29
	of Fuel Burned fo			and a start and a start and
	Coal	\$ 17,693,372.88		
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,151,851.56		
	Oil	\$ 104,799.59		
	Gas	\$ 26,423.83		
	Propane	s -		
		\$ 19,976,447.86		

\$ \$ 19,976,447.86

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 15 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF September-13 TON/GAL/MCF Gross KWH NET KWH S/MWH Cost Reid: S 4,888.04 (1,421,000) \$ (3.44) Coal \$ 1,562.00 \$ Oil 4.888.04 <u>C1</u>: 3,600.54 \$ S -Coal S 3,600.54 \$ Gas Propane \$ 3,060.82 <u>C2</u>: S \$ -. -Coal \$ Gas \$ 3,060.82 Propane \$ C3: 3,936.41 \$ -\$ Coal -\$ Gas \$ 3,936,41 Propane \$ \$ -Coleman - Total S 10,597.77 Coal \$ -\$ 10,597.77 Gas Propane \$ Gas Turbine 11,597.36 159,910 47,150 \$ 245.97 S Oil \$ 2,585.00 11,597.36 Gas \$ 305,519,140 285,073,231 \$ 21.56 Wilson: \$ 6,144,936.84 107,560.34 \$ 5,122,496.66 Coal 16,103.00 900,473.32 PetCoke \$ Oil 39,190.20 \$ 121,966.86 H1 (net of city take): \$ 1,529,345.80 64,805,377 56,861,097 \$ 26.90 25,717.19 \$ 1,494,240.75 Coal 11,218.00 \$ Oil 35,105.05 \$ 1,715,073.78 64,110,113 \$ 26.75 H2 (net of city take): 73,327,623 29,023.98 \$ 1,686,374.51 Coal Oil 9,171.00 \$ 28,699.27 120,971,210 \$ 26.82 Station Two: \$ 3,244,419.58 138,133,000 \$ 3,180,615.26 \$ 63,804.32 54,741.17 Coal Oil 20,389.00 63,804.32 <u>G1</u>: \$ 3,195,492.21 165,522,130 150,478,562 \$ 21.24 56,885.51 Coal \$ 2,585,730.86 PetCoke 12,000.00 \$ 591,958.80 Oil 5,697.00 \$ 17,802.55 145,883,093 \$ 21.63 160,361,060 G2: \$ 3,155,160.24 Coal 55,615.26 \$ 2,527,991.64 12,582.00 \$ 620,668.80 PetCoke Oil 2,080.00 \$ 6,499.80 \$ 6,350,652.45 296,361,655 \$ 21.43 Green - Total: 325,883,190 112,500.77 Coal \$ 5,113,722.50 24,582.00 \$ PetCoke 1,212,627.60 \$ 24,302.35 7,777.00 Oil 701,032,246 \$ 22.49 System Total: \$ 15,767,092.04 769,695,240 19,946,703 Line Losses System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 15,767,092.04 Net kWh 681,085,543 \$ 23.15 Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : \$ 13,416,834.42 Coal 2,113,100.92 Pet Coke \$ Oil ŝ 214,961.57 Gas 22,195.13 \$ Propane \$

\$ 15,767,092.04

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 16 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED NTH OF October-13

MONTH OF TON/GAL/MCF Gross KWH NET KWH \$/MWH Cost Reid: S 7,277.07 (1,484,000) \$ (4.90) Coal \$ 2,323.00 \$ Oil 7,277.07 <u>C1</u>: S -Coal \$ 40 5 Gas Propane \$ <u>C2</u>: \$ -5 -Coal \$ \$ Gas -Propane 5 C3: \$. -1 Coal \$ Gas \$ Propane 5 Coleman - Total s -Coal \$ Gas \$ Propane \$ 7,312.93 111,270 38,380 \$ 190.54 Gas Turbine \$ Oil S Gas 1,670.00 \$ 7,312.93 6,649,794.13 Wilson: 5 308,286,480 287,760,307 \$ 23.11 Coal 112,298.42 5,231,725.10 \$ PetCoke 14,772.00 \$ 1,254,739.59 Oil 52,480.76 163,329.44 \$ H1 (net of city take) 2,135,545.15 86,933,906 77,685,846 \$ 27.49 S Coal 34,735.89 \$ 2,135,545.15 Oil S 2,010,470.69 70,905,744 \$ 28.35 H2 (net of city take): 79,898,094 S 32,010.19 1,967,970.48 Coal \$ Oil 13,567.00 \$ 42,500.21 Station Two: 4,146,015.84 166,832,000 148,591,590 \$ 27.90 s 4,103,515.63 66,746.08 Coal \$ Oil 13,567.00 \$ 42,500.21 3,431,836.83 174,149,370 158,355,582 \$ 21.67 G1: \$ 2,846,098.84 Coal 60,113.27 \$ \$ PetCoke 14,114.00 515,707.21 Oil 22,341.00 \$ 70,030.78 <u>G2</u>: 3,330,971.72 170,833,340 155,742,334 \$ 21.39 S Coal 58,882.30 \$ 2,787,817.82 PetCoke 13,465.00 \$ 491,993.60 Oil 16,321.00 \$ 51,160.30 6,762,808.55 344,982,710 314,097,916 \$ 21.53 Green - Total: s 118,995,57 Coal \$ 5,633,916.66 PetCoke 27,579.00 \$ 1,007,700.81 Oil 38,662.00 \$ 121,191.08 749,004,193 \$ 23.46 \$ 17,573,208.52 820,212,460 System Total: 15,747,927 Line Losses \$ 17,573,208.52 733,256,266 \$ 23.97 System Total (Net of Losses): Net kWh Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation :

 Coal
 \$ 17,573,208.52

 Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation :
 Coal
 \$ 14,969,157.39

 Pet Coke
 \$ 2,262,440.40
 Oil
 \$ 334,297.80
 Gas
 \$ 7,312.93

 Propane
 \$

\$ 17,573,208.52

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 17 of 32





BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF November-13

	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH S/MWH
Reid		s -		(1,510,000) \$ -
Coal		S -		(1,010,000)
Oil	-	\$ -		
<u>C1</u> :		s -		- \$ -
Coal	-	\$ -		
Gas	-	\$ -		
Propane		<u>s</u> -		
<u>C2</u> :		<u>s</u> -	- 1	- \$ -
Coal Gas		<u>s</u> -		
Propane	-	<u>s</u> - s -		
<u>C3</u> :		s -		- 5 -
Coal	-	5 -	T	
Gas	-	\$ -		
Propane	-	\$ -		
Coleman - Total		s -	-	- \$ -
Coal	-	s -		
Gas		<u>s</u> -		
Propane	-	\$ -		
Gas Turbine		\$ 24,329.38	289,290	228,720 \$ 106.37
Oil Gas	5,464.00	\$ - \$ 24,329.38		
Wilson:		\$ 6,053,427.34	303,667,610	283,627,100 \$ 21.34
Coal	107,037.23	\$ 5,018,033.79	Amount and the	and the state and the second second
PetCoke	17,090.00	\$ 924,075.10		
Oil	35,768.67	\$ 111,318.45		
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 2,023,676.74	83,521,306	74,826,316 \$ 27.04
Coal	33,161.48	\$ 2,017,773.26		
Oil	1,883.00	\$ 5,903.48		
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 2,017,455.10	82,598,694	73,628,704 \$ 27.40
Coal	33,154.86	\$ 2,017,370.45		
Oil	27.00	\$ 84.65		
Station Two:		\$ 4,041,131.84	166,120,000	148,455,020 \$ 27.22
Coal	66,316.34	\$ 4,035,143.71		
Oil	1,910.00	\$ 5,988.13		
<u>G1</u> :	12 010 60	\$ 871,294.82	36,152,600	30,908,369 \$ 28.19
Coal PetCoke	13,818.68 1,959.00	\$ 631,275,99 \$ 82,324.82		
Oil	50,223.00	\$ 157,694.01		
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,075,585.75	158,723,490	143,978,752 \$ 21.36
Coal	56,351.10	\$ 2,574,276.03		
PetCoke	11,661.00	\$ 490,040.70		
Oil	3,589.00	\$ 11,269.02		
Green - Total:		\$ 3,946,880.57	194,876,090	174,887,121 \$ 22.57
Coal	70,169.78	\$ 3,205,552.02		
PetCoke	13,620.00	\$ 572,365.52		
Oil	53,812.00	\$ 168,963.03		V201-10-11-11-11-1
System Total		\$ 14,065,769.13	664,952,990	605,687,961 \$ 23.22
Sector Concerns			Line Losses	16,437,138
System Total (Net of I Summary	osses): of Fuel Burned for	\$ 14,065,769.13 Generation	Net kWh	589,250,823 \$ 23.87
Saurantary	Coal	\$ 12,258,729.52		
	Pet Coke	\$ 1,496,440.62		
	Oil	\$ 286,269.61		
	Gas	\$ 24,329.38		
	Propane	<u>s</u> -		
		\$ 14,065,769.13		

<u>\$</u> \$ 14,065,769.13

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 18 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF December-13

	MONTH OF	December-13		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH S/MWH
Reid		s -		(1,658,000) \$ -
Coal		\$ -	· · · · ·	(1,658,000)
Oil	-	\$ -	Level -	
<u>C1</u> :		s -	-	- \$ -
Coal	-	\$ -	,,,	La contra da la co
Gas	-	s -		
Propane	-	\$ -]	
<u>C2</u> :	_	s -	-	- \$ -
Coal		\$ -		
Gas	-	\$ -		
Propane	-	\$ -		
<u>C3</u> :		s -		- \$ -
Coal	-	S -	1	
Gas	-	\$ -	1	
Propane	-	\$ -]	
Coleman - Total		s -		- \$ -
Coal	-	\$ -		
Gas	-	\$ -		
Propane	-	\$ -		
Gas Turbine		\$ 13,481.92	118,130	57,470 \$ 234.59
Oil		\$ -		
Gas	2,435.00	\$ 13,481.92)	
Wilson:		\$ 5,852,576.17	290,376,280	270,336,348 \$ 21.65
Coal	104,356.85	\$ 4,839,288.03		
PetCoke	15,495.00	\$ 807,064.82		
Oil	67,145.52	\$ 206,223.32		
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 2,165,696.42	88,577,150	79,533,760 \$ 27.23
Coal	35,847.77	\$ 2,165,696.42		
Oil	-	\$ -		
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 2,226,696.34	85,312,850	75,996,470 \$ 29.30
Coal	36,574.12	\$ 2,209,577.91		
Oil	5,469.00	\$ 17,118.43		
Station Two:		\$ 4,392,392.76	173,890,000	155,530,230 \$ 28.24
Coal	72,421.89	\$ 4,375,274.33		, , , <u>Lizza</u>
Oil	5,469.00	\$ 17,118.43		
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,524,157.01	177,117,690	161,026,426 \$ 21.89
Coal	59,945.72	\$ 2,707,874.06	lana la	
PetCoke	14,614.00	\$ 673,375.12		
Oil	45,983.00	\$ 142,907.83		
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,296,120.26	154,708,310	140,309,992 \$ 23.49
Coal	53,624.49	\$ 2,422,330.82		
PetCoke	13,074.00	\$ 602,415.93		
Oil	87,319.00	\$ 271,373.51		
Green - Total:		\$ 6,820,277.27	331,826,000	301,336,418 \$ 22.63
Coal	113,570.21	\$ 5,130,204.88		
PetCoke	27,688.00	\$ 1,275,791.05		
Oil	133,302.00	\$ 414,281.34		
System Total:		\$ 17,078,728.12	796,210,410	725,602,466 \$ 23.54
			Line Losses	20,559,811
System Total (Net of I		\$ 17,078,728.12	Net kWh	705,042,655 \$ 24.22
Summary	of Fuel Burned for			and the second
	Coal	\$ 14,344,767.24		
	Pet Coke	\$ 2,082,855.87		
	Oil	\$ 637,623.09		
	Gas Propane	\$ 13,481.92 \$ -		
	- Aspelle	\$ 17,078,728.12		

\$ 17,078,728.12

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 19 of 32

	MONTH OF		January-14			
	TON/GAL/MCF	_	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH
Reid:		\$	761,293.90	23,033,640	19,692,640	\$ 38.66
Coal	11,485.94	S	698,114.28	23,033,040	17,072,040	a 10.00
Oil	20,290.00	\$	63,179.62			
<u>C1</u> :		\$		- 11	-	S -
Coal		S		L		Ľ
Gas	-	\$	-			
Propane	-	\$	-			
<u>C2</u> :		s			- 1	\$ -
Coal	-	\$	-			
Gas		\$				
Propane		\$	-			
<u>C3</u> :		\$	-	-	- 1	5 -
Coal	-	\$				L
Gas	-	\$	-			
Propane	-	\$	-			
Coleman - Total		s				5 -
Coal	•	\$	-			
Gas	-	\$	-			
Ргорапе	-	\$	-			
Gas Turbine		\$	13,481.92	109,600	48,040	\$ 280.64
Oil Gas	1,941.00	\$ \$	13,481.92			
Wilson:		\$	5,170,984.75	228,999,740	211,261,430	\$ 24.48
Coal	80,023.22	S	3,754,417.40			4 41.10
PetCoke	21,914.64	\$	1,141,433.23			
Oil	90,690.75	\$	275,134.12			
H1 (net of city take):		s	2,126,748.94	87,401,582	77,742,862	\$ 27.36
Coal	35,884.28	\$	2,126,671.09	to a second s		
Oil	25.00	\$	77.85			
H2 (net of city take)		\$	2,016,439.47	81,268,418	72,453,938	\$ 27.83
Coal	33,733.53	\$	1,999,207.54			
Oil	5,534.00	\$	17,231.93			
Station Two		s	4,143,188.41	168,670,000	150,196,800	\$ 27.59
Coal	69,617.81	\$	4,125,878.63			
Oil	5,559.00	\$	17,309.78			
<u>G1</u> ;		\$	3,693,367.57	181,441,650	164,791,165	\$ 22.41
Coal	62,358.69	\$	2,899,279.99			
PetCoke	12,328.00	\$	591,265.67			
Oil	65,395.00	\$	202,821.91			
<u>G2</u> :		S	3,656,869.37	179,241,810	163,606,220	\$ 22.35
Coal	65,597.37	\$	3,049,857.88			
PetCoke	12,526.00	\$	600,761.99			
Oil	2,015.00	\$	6,249.50			
Green - Total:		S	7,350,236.94	360,683,460	328,397,385	\$ 22.38
Coal	127,956.06	S	5,949,137.87			
PetCoke	24,854.00	S	1,192,027.66			
Oil	67,410.00	\$	209,071.41			
System Total:		\$	17,439,185.92	781,496,440	709,596,295	\$ 24.58
			18 496 405 55	Line Losses	24,247,338	E 48.48
System Total (Net of I	osses): of Fuel Burned for	S	17,439,185.92	Net kWh	685,348,957	\$ 25.45
Summury	Coal		14,527,548.18			
	Pet Coke	\$	2,333,460.89			
	Oil	\$	564,694.93			
	Gas	\$	13,481.92			
	Propane	\$				
		5	17,439,185.92			

> Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 20 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF February-14

	MONTH OF	February-14		and a second second		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH \$/MWH		
Deld		000 535 15	20.616.720	00000000		
Reid: Coal	14 640 10	\$ 880,537.15 \$ 821,988.11	29,516,730	25,977,730 \$ 33.90		
Oil	14,640.19 18,587.00	\$ 821,988.11 \$ 58,549.04				
<u>Cl</u> :		s -		- \$ -		
Coal	-	s -				
Gas		s -				
Propane		\$ -				
<u>C2</u>		<u>s</u> -		- \$ -		
Coal Gas	-	<u>s</u> - s -				
Propane	-	s - s -				
<u>C3</u> :		s -		- \$ -		
Coal	-	S - 1	<u> </u>			
Gas	-	\$ -				
Propane	•	\$ -				
Coleman - Total:		s -	-	- \$ -		
Coal	-	\$ -				
Gas		\$				
Ргорапе		s -				
Gas Turbine		\$ 80,793.56	707,720	648,650 \$ 124.56		
Oil Gas	12,181.00	\$ - \$ 80,793.56				
Wilson:	104 205 (0)	\$ 6,304,372.72	298,102,850	278,110,647 \$ 22.67		
Coal PetCoke	124,395.60	\$ 6,143,898.68 \$ -				
Oil	52,019.76	\$ 160,474.04				
Il (net of city take):		\$ 1,828,232.67	102,535,185	68,292,187 \$ 26.77		
Coal	30,906.70	\$ 1,807,918.32				
Oil	6,449.00	\$ 20,314.35				
12 (net of city take):		\$ 1,766,896.73	95,448,878	63,739,533 \$ 27.72		
Coal	29,654.06	\$ 1,734,643.89	terration and the second to			
Oil	10,239.00	\$ 32,252.84				
Station Two:		\$ 3,595,129.40	197,984,063	132,031,720 \$ 27.23		
Coal	60,560,76	\$ 3,542,562.21				
Oil	16,688.00	\$ 52,567.19				
<u> 31</u> :		\$ 3,434,144.05	166,502,440	151,120,012 \$ 22.72		
Coal	56,648.52	\$ 2,564,818.39				
PetCoke	15,830.00	\$ 762,499.44				
Oil	34,158.00	\$ 106,826.22				
<u>52</u> :		\$ 3,270,667.98	162,351,510	148,336,606 \$ 22.05		
Coal	55,369.78	\$ 2,506,922.16				
PetCoke Oil	15,473.00 5,897.00	\$ 745,303.46 \$ 18,442.36				
			328,853,950	299,456,618 \$ 22.39		
<u>Green - Total</u> : Coal	112,018.30	\$ 6,704,812.03 \$ 5,071,740.55	320,033,930	299,456,618 \$ 22.39		
PetCoke	31,303.00	\$ 5,071,740.55 \$ 1,507,802.90				
Oil	40,055.00	\$ 125,268.58				
ovstem Total:		\$ 17,565,644.86	855,165,313	736,225,365 \$ 23.86		
			Line Losses	18,766,064		
System Total (Net of J Summary	of Fuel Burned for	\$ 17,565,644.86 r Generation :	Net kWb	717,459,301 \$ 24.48		
Manual Provider 1	Coal	\$ 15,580,189.55				
	Pet Coke	\$ 1,507,802.90				
	Oil	\$ 396,858.85				
	Gas	\$ 80,793.56				
	Propane	\$ -				
		\$ 17,565,644.86				
	1					

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 21 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF March-14

	MONTHOF		March-14				
	TON/GAL/MCF	_	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH	
Reid:		s	963,878.00	35,549,820	31,626,820	\$ 30.48	
Coal	1,739.78	\$	939,716.81	35,349,620	31,020,820	\$ 30.48	
Oil	7,629.00	\$	24,161.19				
<u>C1</u> :		s	-			\$ -	
Coal	-	\$	-				
Gas	-	\$	-				
Propane		\$	*				
<u>C2</u> :		5		-	•	\$ -	
Coal	•	\$	-				
Gas Propane	-	\$	-				
<u>C3</u> :		s	-	-	•	\$ -	
Coal	-	\$	- 1			Ψ	
Gas		S	-				
Propane	-	\$	*				
<u>Coleman - Total</u> :		\$	-	-		\$ -	
Coal	-	\$	-				
Gas	-	\$	-				
Propane	-	\$	-				
Gas Turbine		s	38,954.95	403,200	340,950	\$ 114.25	
Oil Gas	6,664.00	\$	38,954.95				
				(F	
Wilson:		\$	6,825,158.50	325,681,270	303,921,524	\$ 22.46	
Coal	135,948.48	\$	6,705,930.67				
PetCoke Oil	38,649.26	\$	119,227.83				
UI (not of site take)	have a second		1 160 030 63	00 075 640	01 646 040	\$ 26.38	
H1 (net of city take)	. 26 946 24	S	2,150,838.53	90,975,649	81,545,849	\$ 26.38	
Coal Oil	· 36,745.24	\$	2,150,838.53				
H2 (net of city take):		s	2,151,047.20	89,081,351	79,762,551	\$ 26.97	
Coal	36,679.82	\$	2,147,009.25	hanned the second hand the)		
Oil	1,275.00	\$	4,037.95				
Station Two:		s	4,301,885.73	180,057,000	161,308,400	\$ 26.67	
Coal	73,425.06	\$	4,297,847.78				
Oil	1,275.00	\$	4,037.95				
<u>71</u> :		S	3,498,874.63	166,408,600	150,751,531	\$ 23.21	
Coal	57,480.93	\$	2,620,319.93				
PetCoke Oil	15,394.16 42,947.00	S	741,595.19 136,959.51				
OI	42,747.00						
<u>-2</u> :			3,583,664.14	178,347,400	162,725,866	\$ 22.02	
Coal	65,303.00	\$	2,976,896.03				
PetCoke Oil	12,378.53 3,276.00	S	596,320.83 10,447.28				
	1			144 887 000	212 488 208	\$ 22.59	
Green - Total:	122,783.93	S	7,082,538.77	344,756,000	313,477,397	3 22.39	
Coal PetCoke	27,772.69		5,597,215.96				
Oil	46,223.00	\$	147,406.79				
System Total:		\$ 1	9,212,415.95	886,447,290	810,675,091	\$ 23.70	
				Line Losses	23,864,934		
System Total (Net of]			9,212,415.95	Net kWh	786,810,157	\$ 24.42	
Summary	of Fuel Burned for						
	Coal		7,540,711.22				
	Pet Coke		1,337,916.02				
	Oil	\$	294,833.76				
	Gas Propane	\$	38,954.95				
			9,212,415.95				

\$ \$ 19,212,415.95

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 22 of 32

	DIG KI	FUEL BUR	C CORPORATION		
	MONTH OF Ap		oril-14		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	\$/MWH
Reid: Coal	17.050.05	\$ 928,717.95 \$ 918,487,36	35,388,830	31,663,830	\$ 29.33
Oil	3,212.00	\$ 918,487.36 \$ 10,230.59			
C1	Long	E 1 422 00			
Cl: Coal	- 1	\$ 1,432.90 \$ 1,432.90		-	S - Government Imposition Patriot Coal
Gas	-	s -			04.01.13 - 8.19.13
Propane	-	\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 1,525.34	-	-	S -
Coal	-	\$ 1,525.34			
Gas Propane		<u>s</u> - <u>s</u> -			
C3: Coal		\$ 1,664.01 \$ 1,664.01	-	-	\$ -
Gas		\$ 1,004.01			
Propane		\$ -			
Coleman - Total:		\$ 4,622.25			S -
Coal		\$ 4,622.25	-	-	
Gas	-	\$ -			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Gas Turbine		\$ 9.54		(63,240)	\$ (0.15)
Oil	-	\$ -			
Gas	- [\$ 9.54			
Wilson:		\$ 6,753,229.89	319,198,090	297,868,202	\$ 22.67
Coal		\$ 6,627,825.38			
PetCoke Oil	and and a second s	\$ 24,379.58 \$ 101,024.93			
Oli	31,938.39	\$ 101,024.93			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,542,892.52	66,239,086	58,879,456	\$ 26.20
Coal Oil		\$ 1,521,080.86 \$ 21,811.66			
III (not of site take)	to the second		02 020 014	00 C10 404	1 2001
H2 (net of city take): Coal		\$ 1,980,901.47 \$ 1,972,992.83	83,220,914	73,619,424	\$ 26.91
Oil		\$ 7,908.64			
Station Two:		\$ 3,523,793.99	149,460,000	132,498,880	\$ 26.59
Coal		\$ 3,494,073.69	142,400,000	132,470,000	3 20.59
Oil		\$ 29,720.30			
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,739,397.41	175,715,970	159,596,920	\$ 23.43
Coal	present de la company de la compa	\$ 2,971,650.75	175,715,970	139,390,920	\$ 23.43
PetCoke		\$ 623,366.22			
Oil	45,051.00	\$ 144,380.44			
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,338,758.07	158,981,930	144,773,622	\$ 23.06
Coal	65,303.00	\$ 2,727,334.77	1		
PetCoke		\$ 540,914.02			
Oil	3,276.00	\$ 70,509.28			
Green - Total:		\$ 7,078,155.48	334,697,900	304,370,542	\$ 23.26
Coal PetCoke	Sector Statements and Sta	\$ 5,698,985.52 \$ 1,164,280.24			
Oil		\$ 214,889.72			
System Total:		\$ 18,288,529.10	838,744,820	766,338,214	\$ 23.86
ATOTORE A ARUI		0 x0ja00j047110			
System Total (Net of]	08686).	\$ 18,288,529.10	Line Losses Net kWh	17,927,852	\$ 24.44
Summary	of Fuel Burned for	Generation :	- 169 IS (T II	10,120,004	
	Coal	\$ 16,743,994.20			
		\$ 1,188,659.82			
		\$ 355,865.54 \$ 9.54			
		\$ 9.54			
		\$ 18,288,529.10			Case No. 2

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 23 of 32

	MONTH OF	1	May-14			
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH	
Reid:		\$ 908,778.7	0 34,484,250	30,776,250	\$ 29.53	
Coal	16,564.58	\$ 885,592.1		30,770,230	\$ 29.33	
Oil	7,241.00	\$ 23,186.5				
<u>C1</u> :		s -	() ^		S -	
Coai	-	-			-	
Gas		\$ -				
Propane	-	\$ -				
C2:		s .			s -	
Coal	-				4	
Gas	-	\$ -				
Propane		s -				
<u>C3</u> :		s -		-)	\$ -	
Coal						
Gas	-	<u>s</u> -				
Propane		\$ -				
Coleman - Total:		s -	-	-	\$ -	
Coal		s -				
Gas Propane		<u>s</u> -				
riopane		· -	_		_	
Gas Turbine	[] I	\$ 6,263.21	64,110	(21,690)	\$(288.76)	
Oil Gas	1,335.00	\$ - \$ 6,263.2				
Gao	1,000,00	\$ 0,203.2				
Wilson:		\$ 1,988,189.30	95,359,600	87,220,701	\$ 22.79	
Coal	37,232.29	\$ 1,862,738.92				
PetCoke Oil	2,123.00	\$ 107,828.87 \$ 17,621.51	and the second se			
and the second second						
H1 (net of city take): Coal	8,651.90	\$ 579,665.35 \$ 509,977.59		19,657,874	\$ 29.49	
Oil	21,763.00	\$ 69,687.76				

H2 (net of city take): Coal	26,892.94	\$ 1,587,185.19 \$ 1,585,177.46		59,933,496	\$ 26.48	
Oil	627.00	\$ 1,585,177.46 \$ 2,007.73				
Circles The					0 07 00	
Station Two: Coal	35,544.84	\$ 2,166,850.54 \$ 2,095,155.05		79,591,370	\$ 27.22	
Oil	22,390.00	\$ 71,695.49				
C1.				160 606 100	6 00 10	
G1: Coal	60,077.48	\$ 3,528,090.03 \$ 2,957,121.71		152,576,180	\$ 23.12	
PetCoke	10,571.00	\$ 521,579.48				
Oil	15,376.00	\$ 49,388.84				
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3 671 909 45	171,939,060	156,183,260	\$ 23.51	
Coal	61,648.72	\$ 3,671,808.42 \$ 3,034,460.97		130,165,200	\$ 23.31	
PetCoke	11,614.00	\$ 573,041.73				
Oil	20,020.00	\$ 64,305.72				
Green - Total:		\$ 6,907,301.29	340,040,250	308,759,440	\$ 22.37	
Coal	122,951.48	\$ 5,698,985.52		000,100,000	4 mw.J1	
PetCoke	23,638.00	\$ 1,094,621.21	and the second se			
Oil	67,052.00	\$ 113,694.56				
System Total:		\$ 12,269,980.20	563,032,210	506,326,071	\$ 24.23	
			Line Losses	21,729,789		
System Total (Net of I	Josses):	\$ 12,269,980.20			\$ 25,32	
	of Fuel Burned for					
	Coal	\$ 10,835,068.79				
	Pet Coke	\$ 1,202,450.08				
	Oil	\$ 226,198.12				
	Gas	\$ 626321				

\$ \$ 12,269,980.20

6,263.21

\$

Gas

Propane

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 24 of 32

Beld s 796,334.01 29,048,810 25,716,810 3 Coal 10,047,00 \$ 31,874.90 \$ 29,048,810 25,716,810 \$ Cal - \$ 118.80 - (272,000) \$ Cal - \$ 118.80 - (272,000) \$ Cal - \$ 118.80 - (272,000) \$ Gas - \$ 118.80 - (284,000) \$ Gas - \$ 122.90 - (291,000) \$ Coleman-Total \$ \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Cala - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Cala - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Cala - \$ 360.59.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Gas - \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240		MONTH OF	June-14				
Coal 14,299.61 \$ 764,460.01 Oil 10,047.00 \$ 31,874.90 Cal - \$ 18.80 - (272,000) 3 Gas - \$ 18.80 - (284,000) 3 Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 3 Oil Gas 27,156.93 \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 3 <		TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	\$/MWH	
Coal 14,299,61 \$ 764,460,01 0H 10,047,00 \$ 118,80 - (272,000) 2 Cal - \$ 118,80 - (272,000) 3 Cal - \$ 118,80 - (272,000) 3 Cal - \$ 118,80 - (224,000) 3 Gas - \$ 122,90 - (224,000) 3 Gas - \$ 122,90 - (221,000) 3 Gas - \$ 122,90 - (291,000) 3 Gas - \$ 360,50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 360,50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 34,059,19 360,800 252,240 3 OII 6,131,00 \$ 34,059,19 360,800 252,240 3 Cal 27,156,93 \$ 1,662,599,18 65,076,420 57,319,872 3 Wilson S 1,678,590,51 75,719,859	Reid.		5 706 234 01	20.049.910	75 716 910	\$ 30.97	
Oil 10,047.00 \$ 31,874.90 Cal 5 118.80 - (272,000) [] Cal 5 118.80 - (272,000) [] Cal 5 118.80 - (272,000) [] Cal 5 118.80 - (284,000) [] Cal 5 118.80 - (284,000) [] Cal 5 118.80 - (284,000) [] Gas - 5 122.90 - (291,000) [] Coleman-Total 5 360.50 - (847,000) [] Coal 5 360.50 - (847,000) [] Coal 5 360.50 - (847,000) [] Wilson 5 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 [] Wilson 5 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 [] Wilson 20,1456.93 5 1,664,77		14 299.61	and the second se	29,040,010	23,710,810	3 30.97	
Coal S Gas S 118.80 C24 S 118.80 C24,000) G Cal S S C24,000) G Cal S S C24,000) G Cal S S C291,000) G Gas S S S C291,000) G Gas S S S			and the second s				
Coal S II8.80 Gas - S II8.80 Propane - S - C2 S II8.80 - (284,000) Gas - S - (284,000) S Gas - S - (291,000) S Gas - S - (291,000) S Gas - S - (291,000) S Gas - S 360.50 - (847,000) S Gas - S 360.50 - (847,000) S Gas - S 360.50 - (847,000) S Gas - S 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 S Oli Gas - S 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 S Coal 27,156.93 S 1,662,789.63 75,719,859 66,484,709 S </td <td>C1:</td> <td></td> <td>\$ 118.80</td> <td></td> <td>(272.000)</td> <td>\$ (0.44)</td>	C1:		\$ 118.80		(272.000)	\$ (0.44)	
Gas . S 118.80 C2: S 118.80 . (284,000) S Cal S 118.80 . (284,000) S Gas S 118.80 . (284,000) S Gas S 118.80 . (291,000) S Coleman - Total: S 360.50 . (847,000) S Gas G. S 360.50 . (847,000) S Gas G. S 360.50 . (847,000) S Wilson: S 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 S Oli 101,456.00 S 31,312,45 158,4141 56,866,751 S					(212,000)	w (0,44)	
C22 Coal Gas Fropane C32 Coal Gas Fropane C33 Coal Gas Fropane C34 Coal Gas Fropane C35 Coal Coal Gas Fropane C35 Coal Co	Gas	-		1			
Coal . S . Gas - . <td>Propane</td> <td>•</td> <td>s -</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Propane	•	s -				
Gas - S 118.80 C3: S - (291,000) (3 C3: S 122.90 - (291,000) (3 Cas S 122.90 - (291,000) (3 Coleman - Total: S 360.50 - (847,000) (3 Coal S - S - (847,000) (3 Gas - S 360.50 - (847,000) (3 Gas - S 360.800 252,240 (3 Oli Gas 1,611.00 S 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 (3 Wilson: S 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 (3 (3) (3) (3) Wilson: S 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 (3 (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (4) <t< td=""><td><u>C2</u>:</td><td></td><td>\$ 118.80</td><td>- (</td><td>(284,000)</td><td>\$ (0.42)</td></t<>	<u>C2</u> :		\$ 118.80	- ((284,000)	\$ (0.42)	
Propane . S . Coal - S - (291,000) S Gas - S - (291,000) S Coleman - Total S - (291,000) S Coleman - Total S - (847,000) S Coal - S - (847,000) S Gas - S 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 S Wilson S 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 S Coal 27,156,93 S 1,664,778.60 75,719,859 66,484,709 S Coal 28,269.58 S 1,563,258.20 65,584,141	Coal	-					
C23: Cola Gas Propane Coleman-Total: Cola Gas Cola Gas Cola Gas Cola Col		-					
Coal Gas - \$ - Coleman - Total: Coal Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Coleman - Total: Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Coleman - Total: Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) \$ Gas - \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Wilson: \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 \$7,319,872 \$ Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 \$7,319,872 \$ Vilson: \$ 1,678,950.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,662,870.1 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Coal 25,912.65 \$ 1,525,580.1 65,584,141 56,866,751 </td <td>Propane</td> <td></td> <td>\$ -</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Propane		\$ -				
Gas - \$ 122.90 Propane - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) § Coal - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) § Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) § Gas - \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 § Oll Gas 6,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 § Wilson: \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 § Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,349,476.73 65,076,420 57,319,872 § Wilson: \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 § Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,664,778.60 75,719,859 66,484,709 § Oil 101,456.00 \$ 1,525,960.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 § Coal 25,912.65 \$ 1,525,263.01 5 5,554,141<	<u>C3</u> :		And the second sec		(291,000)	\$ (0.42)	
Propane - S - Coleman - Total: Gas S 360.50 - (847,000) S Gas - S 360.50 - (847,000) S Gas - S 360.50 - (847,000) S Gas - S - - (847,000) S Gas - S - - (847,000) S Gas - S 340,059.19 360,800 252,240 S Otil Gas 27,156.93 S 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 S Coal 27,156.93 S 1,678,950.61 75,719,859 66,484,709 S Coal 28,269.58 S 1,678,950.61 75,719,859 66,484,709 S Coal 28,269.58 S 1,525,980.41 56,866,751 S Coal 25,912.65 S 1,525,980.41 56,866,751 S <td< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		-					
Coleman - Total: Coal Gas S 360.50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 360.50 - (847,000) 3 Gas - \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Oil 6.131.00 \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Wilson: \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 \$ \$ Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,349,476.73 \$ - \$ Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,664,778.60 \$ 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,664,778.60 \$ \$ \$ Oil 101,456.00 \$ 141,71.91 \$ \$ \$ H2 (net of city take): \$ 1,525,980.41 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Coal 54,182.23							
Coal Gas - \$ 360.50 Propane - \$ 360.50 Gas Turbine Oll Gas \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Oll Gas 6,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Wilson: Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,349,476.73 65,076,420 \$7,319,872 \$ PetCoke - \$ 313,122.45 5 66,484,709 \$ \$ H1 fnet of city take): Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,678,950.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,678,950.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 25,912.65 \$ 1,525,980.41 56,866,751 \$ \$ Oil 13,326.00 \$ 4,2,27.79 \$ \$ \$ \$ Station Two: Coal \$ 2,478,837.36 \$ 1141,304,000 \$ \$ \$ G2: \$ 2,468,837.36 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,267,88,37.36 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	riopauc		9				
Gas Propane - \$ 360.50 Gas Turbine OII Gas - \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Gas 6,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Wilson Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 \$ Wilson Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,349,476.73 \$ 65,076,420 57,319,872 \$ HI fnet of city take): Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,678,950.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ H2 (net of city take): Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,658,258.20 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Coal 25,912.65 \$ 1,558,258.04 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Gas 54,182.23 \$ 3,190,759.01 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Gai 54,2673,837.36 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ Gai 52,023,7473.80 \$ 2,739,900.99 \$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Gai 50,720.0 \$ 3,1540.28 \$		[] [and the second s		(847,000)	\$ (0.43)	
Propane - S - Gas Turbine: Gas Turbine: Gas 1,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Wilson: Coal 6,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 360,800 252,240 \$ Wilson: Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,662,599.18 65,076,420 57,319,872 \$ PetCoke 27,156.93 \$ 1,49,476.73 - - - - Oil 101,456.00 \$ 313,122.45 -			NAME AND ADDRESS OF A DESCRIPTION OF A D				
Oil Gas 6,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 Wilson: Coal Oil 27,156.93 (27,156.93) \$ 1,662,599.18 (3,1349,476.73) 65,076,420 57,319,872 \$ 5 PetCoke Oil 101,455.00 \$ 1,349,476.73 (3,131,22.45) 5 5 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ 5 H1 (met of city take): Coal Oil \$ 1,678,950.51 (25,912.65) 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ 5 H2 (met of city take): Coal Oil \$ 1,568,258.20 (25,912.65) \$ 1,525,980.41 (3,326.00) 5 5,247,208.71 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ 5 Coal Oil 5,4182.23 (5,3190,759.01) Oil \$ 3,247,208.71 (3,564.49.70) 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ 5 G1: Coal \$ 2,678,837.36 (117,793.00) 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ 5 Coal \$ 4,182.23 (5,264.00) \$ 3,406,907.39 (10) 149,961,300 \$ 5 \$ 5,0957.26 (10) 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ 5 Coal \$ 5,526.01 (3,5073.00) \$ 16,049.14 \$ 5 5 \$ 5,0957.26 (13,505,990) 149,961,300 \$ 5 Coal \$ 56,266.71 (3,073.00) \$ 4,777,374.79 (5,973.26) \$ 5 <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td>And the second se</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-	And the second se				
Oil Gas 6,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 Wilson: Coal PetCoke \$ 1,662,599.18 \$ 1,349,476.73 \$ 101,455.00 65,076,420 57,319,872 \$ 5 H1 (net of city take): Coal Oil \$ 1,678,950.51 (25,912.65) \$ 1,678,950.51 (25,912.65) 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ 5 H2 (net of city take): Coal Oil \$ 1,678,950.51 (25,912.65) \$ 1,568,258.20 (25,912.65) 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ 5 Coal Oil 25,912.65 (25,912.65) \$ 1,525,980.41 (3,326.00) \$ 5 42,277.79 Station Two: Coal Oil \$ 2,678,837.36 (17,793.00) \$ 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ 6 G1: Coal \$ 2,678,837.36 (17,793.00) \$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ 5 Coal Oil \$ 2,678,837.36 (17,793.00) \$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ 5 Coal Coal \$ 2,678,837.36 (13,154.00) \$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ 5 G2: Coal \$ 2,678,837.36 (13,154.00) \$ 2,773,900.99 (149,961,300) \$ 5 \$ 50,957.26 (13,505,990) \$ 149,961,300 \$ 5 Green - Total: Coal \$ 6,985,744.75 (13,154.00) \$ 4,777,374.79 (149,967.00) \$ 5 3 315,405.28 <td>Cae Turbine</td> <td></td> <td>¢ 24 050 10</td> <td>360 800</td> <td>252 240</td> <td>\$ 135.03</td>	Cae Turbine		¢ 24 050 10	360 800	252 240	\$ 135.03	
Gas 6,131.00 \$ 34,059.19 Wilson: Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,349,476.73 PetCoke - \$ 1,349,476.73 Oil 101,456.00 \$ 313,122.45 H1 (net of city take): Coal \$ 1,678,950.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,662,598.04 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,658,258.20 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Coal 25,912.65 \$ 1,568,258.20 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Coal 25,912.65 \$ 1,568,258.20 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Station Two: \$ 3,247,208.71 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ G2: \$ 2,678,837.36 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>3 34,033.13</td><td>200,000</td><td>232,240</td><td>\$ 155.05</td></t<>			3 34,033.13	200,000	232,240	\$ 155.05	
Coal 27,156.93 \$ 1,349,476.73 PetCoke - \$ - Oil 101,456.00 \$ 313,122.45 H1 (net of city take): \$ 1,678,950.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,678,950.51 75,719,859 66,484,709 \$ Coal 28,269.58 \$ 1,664,778.60 \$ 141,171.91 H2 (net of city take): \$ 1,568,258.20 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Coal 25,912.65 \$ 1,525,980.41 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Station Two: \$ 3,247,208.71 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Coal 54,182.23 \$ 3,190,759.01 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ G1: \$ 2,678,837.36 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ Coal $4,2,034.73$ \$ 2,037,473.80 105,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: Coal $56,526.37$ \$ 2,779,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal 50,773.00 5 6,085,744.75 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ <t< td=""><td></td><td>6,131.00</td><td>\$ 34,059.19</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		6,131.00	\$ 34,059.19				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Wilson:		\$ 1.662.599.18	65 076 420	57 319 872	\$ 29.01	
PetCoke Oli		27,156.93	······································				
Hi (net of city take): Coal OilS1,678,950.5175,719,859 $66,484,709$ SCoal Oil28,269.58 4,467.00\$1,664,778.60 14,171.91 $75,719,859$ $66,484,709$ SH2 (net of city take): Coal Oil25,912.65 25,912.65\$1,525,980.41 13,326.00 $65,584,141$ $56,866,751$ SCoal Coal Oil25,912.65 24,182.23 Oil\$ $3,247,208,71$ 3,3190,759.01141,304,000123,351,460SG1: Coal Oil $54,182.23$ 17,793.00\$ $5,249,700$ 115,815,800103,286,979SG2: Coal Oil $52,912.65$ 94,624.00\$ $3,203,7473.80$ 2,99,356.14115,815,800103,286,979SG2: Coal PetCoke Oil $5,526.37$ 94,624.00\$ $3,240,097.42$ 2,99,356.14165,365,990149,961,300SG2: Coal PetCoke Oil $5,526.37$ 95,073.00\$ $2,773,900.99$ 2,964.68 3,315,405.28281,181,790 2,53,248,279SSystem Total Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal\$\$11,826,307.24S16,971,820 436,467,279459,041,661SSystem Total (Net of Losses): Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal\$\$11,826,307.24S16,647,279SLine Losses Net kWh436,467,279\$\$149,647,279\$\$	PetCoke						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Oil	101,456.00	\$ 313,122.45				
Oil $4,467.00$ $$14,171.91$ H2 (net of city take): Coal Oil $$1,568,258.20$ $$1,525,980.41$ $$1,326.00$ $$65,584,141$ $56,866,751$ $$$CoalOil25,912.65$1,325,980.41$42,277.79$141,304,000123,351,460$$Station Two:CoalOil$3,247,208.71$1,793.00$141,304,000123,351,460$$G1:CoalOil$54,182.23$1,7793.00$$56,449.70$141,304,000123,351,460$$G1:CoalOil$2,678,837.36$56,449.70$115,815,800103,286,979$$G2:CoalOil$2,678,837.36$4,2007.42$115,815,800103,286,979$$G2:CoalOil$342,007.42$2,739,900.99PetCokeOil$56,526.37$5,073.00$$ 165,365,990149,961,300$$Green - Total:Oil$60,957.26$16,0957.26Oil$$ 4,777,374.79$$ 469,967.00$$ 281,181,790$253,248,279$$Green - Total:Oil$$ 6,085,744.75$20,065.00$$ 992,964.68$$ 315,405.28$$ 281,181,790$253,248,279$$System Total:System Total (Net of Losses):Coal$$ 11,826,307.24$$ 16,971,820$459,041,661$$Line LossesSummary of Fuel Burned for Generation :Coal$$ 10,082,070.54$$ 16,085,072.41$$ 30,6467,279$$$			\$ 1,678,950.51	75,719,859	66,484,709	\$ 25.25	
H2 (net of city take): \$ 1,568,258,20 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Coal 25,912,65 \$ 1,525,980,41 65,584,141 56,866,751 \$ Oii 13,326,00 \$ 42,277.79 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Station Two: \$ 3,247,208,71 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Coal 54,182,23 \$ 3,190,759,01 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ G1: \$ 2,678,837,36 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ \$ Coal 42,034,73 \$ 2,037,473,80 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ G2: \$ 2,678,837,36 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ \$ Coal 42,034,73 \$ 2,037,473,80 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: \$ 3,406,907,39 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ \$ Coal 56,526,37 \$ 2,739,900,99 \$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: Coal \$ 6,085,744,75 \$ 281,181,790 \$ \$ \$ \$ Coal 98,561,10 \$ 4,777,374,			in the second second				
Coal $25,912.65$ \$ $1,522,980.41$ Oil 13,326.00 \$ $42,277.79$ Station Two: \$ $3,247,208.71$ 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Coal $54,182.23$ \$ $3,190,759.01$ 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ G1 $17,793.00$ \$ $5,56,449.70$ 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ G1 $17,793.00$ \$ $5,56,449.70$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ G2: \$ $2,678,837.36$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ Coal $6,911.00$ \$ $2,037,473.80$ \$ 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ G2: \$ $2,678,837.36$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ G2: \$ $3,406,907.39$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: \$ $3,406,907.39$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal $56,526.37$ \$ $2,773,900.99$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: \$ $3,406,907.39$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Green - Total: \$ $6,985,744.75$ 281,181,790 253,248,279 <t< td=""><td>Le col este tour</td><td></td><td>ψ 13,17,2.21</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Le col este tour		ψ 13,17,2.21				
Oil $13,326.00$ \$ $42,277.79$ Station Two: Coal\$ $3,247,208.71$ \$ $54,182.23$ $141,304,000$ $123,351,460$ Oil $54,182.23$ $17,793.00$ \$ $3,190,759.01$ \$ $56,449.70$ $141,304,000$ $123,351,460$ Oil $7,793.00$ \$ $56,449.70$ $115,815,800$ $103,286,979$ $$$ Oil $2,037,473$ $94,624.00$ \$ $2,037,473.80$ $2 299,356.14$ $115,815,800$ $103,286,979$ $$$ Oil $94,624.00$ \$ $2,037,473.80$ $2 299,356.14$ $115,815,800$ $103,286,979$ $$$ G2: Coal $$ 3,406,907.39$ $94,624.00$ $165,365,990$ $149,961,300$ $$$ G2: Coal $$ 3,406,907.39$ $13,154.00$ $$ 165,365,990$ $149,961,300$ $$$ Green - Total: Oil $$ 6,085,744.75$ $2,073.00$ $$ 281,181,790$ $253,248,279$ $$$ Green - Total: PetCoke Oil $$ 6,085,744.75$ $20,065.00$ $$ 281,181,790$ $253,248,279$ $$$ System Total: System Total $$ $ 11,826,307.24$ $$ 516,971,820$ $459,041,661$ $$$ System Total (Net of Losses): Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal $$ $ 10,082,070.54$ $$ 116,2858$ $$ 22,574,382$ $$ Net kWh$ $$ 336,467,279$ $$ $ $ 10,082,070.54$		production of the second secon	and a state of the second	65,584,141	56,866,751	\$ 27.58	
Station Two: Coal \$ 3,247,208,71 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ Ci \$ 4,182.23 \$ 3,190,759.01 \$ 141,304,000 123,351,460 \$ G1: \$ 2,678,837.36 \$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ Coal $42,034.73$ \$ 2,037,473.80 \$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ Coal $42,034.73$ \$ 2,037,473.80 \$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 \$ \$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 \$ \$ 165,365,990 \$ 149,961,300 \$ G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Coal \$							
Coal Oil 54,182.23 17,793.00 \$ 3,190,759.01 \$ 56,449.70 G1: \$ 2,678,837.36 Coal 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ \$ 2,037,473.80 Coal Oil 42,034.73 94,624.00 \$ 2,037,473.80 \$ 342,007.42 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ \$ \$ 2,037,473.80 G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 0il 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ \$ 2,739,900.99 Coal Oil \$ 56,526.37 5,073.00 \$ 2,739,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ \$ 2,739,900.99 Coal Oil \$ 56,526.37 5,073.00 \$ 2,739,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ \$ 2,005.00 \$ 992,964.68 Coal \$ 98,561.10 20,065.00 \$ 4,777,374.79 992,964.68 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ \$ \$ 53,15,405.28 System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ Net kWh 436,467,279 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Net kWh	O II	13,320.00	4 40,277.15				
Oil 17,793.00 \$ 56,449.70 G1: \$ 2,678,837.36 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ Coal 42,034.73 \$ 2,037,473.80 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ PetCoke 6,911.00 \$ 342,007.42 \$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,739,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Green - Total: \$ 6,085,744.75 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ Line Losses 22,574,382 Net kWh 436,467,279 \$			Contraction of the local division of the loc	141,304,000	123,351,460	\$ 26.32	
G1: \$ 2,678,837.36 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ PetCoke 6,911.00 \$ 2,037,473.80 \$ 115,815,800 103,286,979 \$ PetCoke 6,911.00 \$ 342,007.42 \$ 299,356.14 \$			and the second s				
Coal 42,034.73 \$ 2,037,473.80 PetCoke 6,911.00 \$ 342,007.42 Oil 94,624.00 \$ 299,356.14 G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,739,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,739,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,739,900.99 \$ 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Green - Total: \$ 6,085,744.75 \$ 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 \$ 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ PetCoke 20,065.00 \$ 992,964.68 \$ \$ 315,405.28 \$ \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ </td <td>Oli</td> <td>17,793.00</td> <td>\$ 56,449.70</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Oli	17,793.00	\$ 56,449.70				
PetCoke Oil 6,911.00 94,624.00 \$ 342,007.42 \$ 299,356.14 G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 Coal 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ \$ 0il \$ 56,526.37 \$ \$ 2,739,900.99 PetCoke 13,154.00 \$ 650,957.26 160,49.14 253,248,279 \$ \$ \$ 6,085,744.75 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ \$ \$ \$ 0il \$ 99,697.00 \$ \$ 992,964.68 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 16,971,820 459,041,661 \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 149,961,300 \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ Net kWh 436,467,279 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 10,082,070.54				115,815,800	103,286,979	\$ 25.94	
Oil 94,624.00 \$ 299,356.14 G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,739,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ PetCoke 13,154.00 \$ 650,957.26 5 16,049.14 20,041,041 \$ Green - Total: \$ 6,085,744.75 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 16,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 16,971,820 459,041,661 \$ Line Losses 22,574,382 \$ \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 16,971,820 436,467,279 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ Net kWh 436,467,279 \$			the second second second				
G2: \$ 3,406,907.39 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,739,900.99 165,365,990 149,961,300 \$ PetCoke 13,154.00 \$ 650,957.26 \$ 16,049.14 \$ \$ Green - Total: \$ 6,085,744.75 \$ 281,181,790 \$							
Coal 56,526.37 \$ 2,739,900.99 PetCoke 13,154.00 \$ 650,957.26 Oil \$ 5,073.00 \$ 16,049.14 Green - Total: \$ 6,985,744.75 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ PetCoke 20,065.00 \$ 992,964.68 \$ 315,405.28 \$ \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 \$		the second secon					
PetCoke Oil 13,154.00 5,073.00 \$ 650,957.26 \$ 16,049.14 Green - Total: \$ 6,085,744.75 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ PetCoke 20,065.00 \$ 992,964.68 \$ 315,405.28 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ Line Losses 22,574,382 \$ Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ Net kWh 436,467,279 \$		provide the second seco		165,365,990	149,961,300	\$ 22.72	
Oil 5,073.00 \$ 16,049.14 Green - Total: \$ 6,985,744.75 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 281,181,790 253,248,279 \$ PetCoke 20,065.00 \$ 992,964.68 201 99,697.00 \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Line Losses 22,574,382 \$ South of Losses (Coal \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ \$ \$							
Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 PetCoke 20,065.00 \$ 992,964.68 Oil 99,697.00 \$ 992,964.68 System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 16,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$			and the second s				
Coal 98,561.10 \$ 4,777,374.79 PetCoke 20,065.00 \$ 992,964.68 Oil 99,697.00 \$ 992,964.68 System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 16,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 \$ \$ 16,971,820 436,467,279 \$ Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ Net kWh 436,467,279 \$	Green - Total		\$ 6.085 744 75	281 191 700	753 7/8 270	\$ 24.03	
PetCoke Oil 20,065.00 99,697.00 \$ 992,964.68 \$ 315,405.28 System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Line Losses 22,574,382 Net kWh 436,467,279 \$		fundamental for	and a standard and a second standard and a	201,101,/90	40,49,490,417	\$ 24.03	
Oil 99,697.00 \$ 315,405.28 System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 516,971,820 459,041,661 \$ System Total: \$ 11,826,307.24 Summary of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 Line Losses 22,574,382 \$ System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 Net kWh 436,467,279 \$ Coal \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ 10,082,070.54 \$			A TALL & A DATA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN				
Line Losses 22,574,382 System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 Net kWh 436,467,279 \$ Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ \$							
Line Losses 22,574,382 System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 11,826,307.24 Net kWh 436,467,279 \$ Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal \$ 10,082,070.54 \$ \$	System Total:		\$ 11,826,307.24	516,971.820	459,041,661	\$ 25.76	
System Total (Net of Losses); \$ 11,826,307.24 Net kWh 436,467,279 S Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal \$ 10,082,070.54 \$	N. H. S. P. S.						
Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation : Coal \$ 10,082,070.54	System Total (Net of 1	osses):	\$ 11,826.307.24		and the second division of the second divisio	\$ 27.10	
		of Fuel Burned for	Generation :	110 C 91 L 2			
Det Cales D DATA SCALD							
			\$ 992,964.68				
Oil \$ 716,852.33 Gas \$ 34,419.69							
Propane \$ -							

Propane

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 25 of 32







	MONTH OF	FUEL BUI	C CORPORATION RNED uly-14		
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	\$/MWH
Reid:		\$ 715,235.18	24,464,400	21,266,400	\$ 33.63
Coal	12,506.42	\$ 664,737.48	24,404,400	21,200,400	\$ 55.05
Oil	16,041.00	\$ 50,497.70]		
<u>C1</u> :		\$ 118,80	- 1	(260,000)	\$ (0.46
Coal	-	\$ -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Gas	-	\$ 118.80	}		
Propane	-	s -]		
<u>C2</u> :		\$ 118.80		(273,000)	\$ (0.44
Coal		s -			
Gas Propane		\$ 118.80 \$ -			
rtopane					
C3: Coal		\$ 122.90 \$ -		(277,000)	\$ (0.44
Gas	-	\$ 122,90			
Propane	-	\$ -			
Coleman - Total		\$ 360.50		(810,000)	\$ (0.45
Coal	-	\$ -		(010,000)	La (0.43
Gas	-	\$ 360.50			
Propane		\$ -	Ì		
Gas Turbine		s -		(87,600)	s -
Oil		S -		(/)	
Gas		\$ -			
Wilson:		\$ 6,431,107.79	303,985,180	283,281,891	\$ 22.70
Coal	115,672.00	\$ 5,772,796.24	hand the ball of the second of the		
PetCoke	10,370.60	\$ 515,211.41			
Oil	46,252.34	\$ 143,100.14			
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,893,134.51	85,485,529	75,611,429	\$ 25.04
Coal Oil	31,932.43 3,222.00	\$ 1,882,991.53 \$ 10,142.98			
TTO food of almostal	1	6 1000 100 C	70 201 (71)	(0 (00 40)	0.000
H2 (net of city take): Coal	30,802.23	\$ 1,876,136.61 \$ 1,816,345.90	78,301,471	68,528,471	\$ 27.38
Oil	18,993.00	\$ 59,790.71			
Station Two:		\$ 3,769,271.12	163,787,000	144,139,900	\$ 26.15
Coal	62,734.66	\$ 3,699,337,43	100,707,000	144,133,200	\$ 20.15
Oil	22,215.00	\$ 69,933.69			
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 2,107,551.03	94,768,950	84,040,983	\$ 25.08
Coal	35,065.11	\$ 1,761,783.33	manufacture Construction		
PetCoke	4,701.00	\$ 233,961.25			
Oil	35,563.00	\$ 111,806.45			
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,474,453.67	169,714,110	153,816,925	\$ 22.59
Coal	52,143.57	\$ 2,619,859.82			
PetCoke Oil	17,044.00 2,017.00	\$ 848,252.61 \$ 6,341.24			
Green - Total:	00 000 00	\$ 5,582,004.70	264,483,060	237,857,908	\$ 23.47
Coal	87,208.68	\$ 4,381,643.15 \$ 1,082,213.86			
PetCoke Oil	21,745.00 37,580.00	\$ 1,082,213.86 \$ 118,147.69			
System Total		\$ 16,497,979.29	756,719,640	685,648,499	\$ 24.06
and the second					
System Total (Net of I	Losses):	\$ 16,497,979.29	Line Losses Net kWh	21,356,123 664,292,376	\$ 24.84
	of Fuel Burned for				
	Coal	\$ 14,518,514.30			
	Pet Coke	\$ 1,597,425.27			
	Oil	\$ 381,679.22			
	Gas	\$ 360.50			
	Propane	\$ -			
		\$ 16,497,979.29			
			Δ	ttachmen	t for I
					A TAT T

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 26 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF August-14

	MONTH OF		Aug	just-14		
	TON/GAL/MCF		Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	\$/MWH
Reid		s	286,015.51	9,331,760	7,192,760	\$ 39.76
Coal	4,906.44	S	260,507.92	3,001,700	7,192,700	\$ 39.10
Oil	8,202.00	\$	25,507.59			
C1.			(117 (0)		(070 000)	0.00
<u>C1</u> : Coal	-	S	(237.60)		(270,000)	\$ 0.88
Gas		\$	(237.60)			
Propane	-	\$	-			
<u>C2</u> :		s	(237.60)		(279,000)	\$ 0.85
Coal	-	5	(237.00)	-	(219,000)	\$ 0.05
Gas	-	\$	(237.60)			
Propane		\$	=			
<u>C3</u> :		\$	(145 90)		(285 000)	\$ 0.86
Coal		5	(245.80)	-	(285,000)	\$ 0.80
Gas		5	(245.80)			
Propane	-	\$				
Coleman - Total-		\$	(721.00)		(834,000)	\$ 0.86
Coal	-	S			(
Gas	-	\$	(721.00)			
Propane	-	\$	-			
Gas Turbine		\$	5,309.34	59,530	(45,570)	\$(116.51)
Oil	-	\$	-			
Gas	1,249.00	\$	5,309.34			
Wilson:		S	6,866,540.81	331,553,400	309,614,855	\$ 22.18
Coal	113,358.53	\$	5,636,537.52			<u>(• ••••••</u>)
PetCoke	23,284.20	\$	1,174,424.78			
Oil	18,268.29	\$	55,578.51			
H1 (net of city take):		s	1,875,565.58	84,403,031	74,598,481	\$ 25.14
Coal	31,970.29	S	1,875,556.25	the second s		
Oil	3.00	\$	9.33			
H2 (net of city take):		5	1,835,961.06	77,310,969	67,691,259	\$ 27.12
Coal	30,800.29	\$	1,806,917.49			
Oil	9,339.00	\$	29,043.57			
Station Two		\$	3,711,526.64	161,714,000	142,289,740	\$ 26.08
Coal	62,770.58	\$	3,682,473,74			4 40,00
Oil	9,342.00	\$	29,052.90			
G1:		s	3,605,547.45	167,372,490	151,280,117	\$ 23.83
Coal	53,055.64	5	2,680,503.57		101,000,111	\$ 20.00
PetCoke	14,967.00	\$	756,536.95			
Oil	54,480.00	\$	168,506.93			
<u>G2</u> :		s	3,582,371.45	171,011,390	155,403,800	\$ 23.05
Coal	54,062.24	\$	2,731,359.52			
PetCoke	16,672.00	\$	842,719.58			
Oil	2,681.00	\$	8,292.35			
Green - Total:		s	7,187,918.90	338,383,880	306,683,917	\$ 23.44
Coal	107,117.88	\$	5,411,863.09			
PetCoke	31,639.00	\$	1,599,256.53			
Oil	57,161.00	\$	176,799.28			
System Total:		s	18,056,590.20	841,042,570	764,901,702	\$ 23.61
				Line Losses	21,198,213	
System Total (Net of)	Losses):	s	18,056,590.20	Net kWb	743,703,489	\$ 24.28
	of Fuel Burned for					
	Coal		14,991,382.27			
	Pet Coke	\$	2,773,681.31			
	Oil	\$	286,938.28			
	Gas Gas A divertment	S	4,588.34			
	Gas Adjustment	S	(7,017.27)			

*The Gas Adjustment amount above includes an adjustment of negative \$7,017 for the cost of natural gas used during the lay-up of Coleman units 1, 2, and 3 in May 2014. The cost of this gas (\$7,017) was included in the Gas Burned amount reported in the Form A filing for the May 2014 expense month, but should have been excluded. Accordingly, this amount is subtracted from the Gas Burned amount above to correct the error in the May 2014 Form A filing. Attachment

\$ 18,049,572.93

Propane

ense month, but ed amount above to Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 27 of 32

	MONTH OF Se		ember-14			
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH	
Reid		s -		(1,501,000)	S -	
Coal	-	S -		(1,501,000)]		
Oil		\$ -				
<u>C1</u> :		s -		(246,000)	\$ -	
Coal	-	S -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(210,000)]	φ -	
Gas	-	\$ -				
Propane	-	\$ -				
<u>C2</u> :		s -		(251,000)	S -	
Coal	-	s -				
Gas	-	\$ - \$ -				
Propane		\$				
<u>C3</u> :		\$ (62,065.21)	-	(264,000)	\$ 235.10	
Coal	(1,065.82)	\$ (62,065.21)				
Gas Propane		<u>s</u> - s -				
ropane	[]	L.*				
Coleman - Total:	(\$ (62,065.21)		(761,000)	\$ 81.56	
Coal Gas	(1,065.82)	\$ (62,065.21) \$ -				
Propane	-	\$ -				
Gas Turbine Oil		\$ 12,619.77 \$ -	158,320	108,050	\$ 116.80	
Gas	2,567.00	\$ 12,619.77				
Wilson: Coal	105,455.65	\$ 5,998,970.83 \$ 5,286,903.01	284,794,040	265,230,262	\$ 22.62	
PetCoke	10,614.00	\$ 536,021.86				
Oil	57,865.15	\$ 176,045.96				
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,731,824.14	78,558,725	69,638,035	\$ 24.87	
Coal	29,512.13	\$ 1,715,112.19	10,000,720	07,050,055	- 24.07	
Oil	5,413.00	\$ 16,711.95				
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 1,803,069.08	77,514,275	68,323,075	\$ 26.39	
Coal	30,693.00	\$ 1,783,739.04				
Oil	6,261.00	\$ 19,330.04				
Station Two:		\$ 3,534,893.22	156,073,000	137,961,110	\$ 25.62	
Coal	60,205.13	\$ 3,498,851.23		, ,		
Oil	11,674.00	\$ 36,041.99				
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,498,891.48	169,188,970	153,643,238	\$ 22.77	
Coal	53,099.01	\$ 2,686,693.09				
PetCoke	15,868.00	\$ 806,835.44				
Oil	1,734.00	\$ 5,362.95				
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,363,527.39	161,356,100	146,544,587	\$ 22.95	
Coal	50,291.76	\$ 2,576,529.03				
PetCoke Oil	15,398.00	\$ 782,937.49 \$ 4,060.87				
OII	1,313.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Green - Total:		\$ 6,862,418.87	330,545,070	300,187,825	\$ 22.86	
Coal	103,390.77	\$ 5,263,222.12				
PetCoke Oil	31,266.00 3,047.00	\$ 1,589,772.93 \$ 9,423.82				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
System Total:		\$ 16,346,837.48	771,570,430	701,225,247	\$ 23.31	
			Line Losses	17,421,555		
System Total (Net of]		\$ 16,346,837.48	Net kWh	683,803,692	\$ 23.91	
Summary	of Fuel Burned fo	and the second se				
	Coal Pet Coke	\$ 13,986,911.15 \$ 2,125,794.79				
	Oil	\$ 221,511.77				
	Gas	\$ 12,619.77				
	Propane	\$ -				

\$ 16,346,837.48

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 28 of 32

MONTH OF October-14 TON/GAL/MCF Gross KWH NET KWH S/MWH Cost Reid: (1,524,000) \$ -Coal \$ -..... Oil \$ <u>C1</u>: (253,000) \$ -S Coal \$ -Gas \$ Propane S C2: (259,000) \$ -Coal S -Gas \$ Propane \$ C3: S (263,000) \$ -Coal \$ Gas \$ Propane \$ (775,000) \$ -Coleman - Total S Coal S -Gas \$ Propane \$ Gas Turbine \$ \$ -Oil \$ -S Gas \$ 6,889,076.04 304,540,275 \$ 22.62 Wilson: 325,326,820 \$ 5,914,838.76 Coal 116,670.62 PetCoke 16,448.70 \$ 918,395.07 Oil 19,010.87 \$ 55,842.21 77,413,206 \$ 24.53 H1 (net of city take): \$ 1,899,034.61 86,745,506 Coal 33,364.37 \$ 1,853,474.16 Oil 15,035.00 \$ 45,560.45 H2 (net of city take) \$ 2,025,164.52 89,671,494 80,177,574 \$ 25.26 36,192,48 \$ 2,010,582.75 Coal \$ Oil 4,812.00 14,581.77 157,590,780 \$ 24.90 Station Two: \$ 3,924,199.13 176,417,000 69,556.85 \$ 3,864,056.91 Coal Oil 19,847.00 \$ 60,142.22 150,127,530 \$ 25.17 165,961,920 <u>G1</u>: \$ 3,778,899.22 Coal 56,980.14 \$ 3,035,616.96 12,644.00 PetCoke \$ 687,750.15 55,532.11 18,469.00 \$ Oil 45,769,650 40,283,604 \$ 29.52 \$ 1,189,029.55 G2: Coa1 14.569.55 S 776,192.78 PetCoke 4,289.00 \$ 233,293.29 Oil 59,713.00 \$ 179,543.48 190,411,134 \$ 26.09 Green - Total: \$ 4,967,928.77 211,731,570 \$ 3,811,809.74 71,549.69 Coal 16,933,00 921,043.44 PetCoke S Oil 78,182.00 \$ 235,075.59 \$ 15,781,203.94 713,475,390 650,243,189 \$ 24.27 System Total: 18,617,450 Line Losses Net kWh 631,625,739 \$ 24.99 System Total (Net of Losses): \$ 15,781,203.94 Summary of Fuel Burned for Generation

 Of Puel Burnea for Generation :

 Coal
 \$ 13,590,705.41

 Pet Coke
 \$ 1,839,438.51

 Oil
 \$ 351,060.02

 Gas
 \$

 Propane
 \$

 \$ 15,781,203.94

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 29 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF ٦ November-14

	MONTH OF	Nove	ember-14	
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH \$/MWH
Reid		\$ 403,481.28	12,608,480	10,108,480 \$ 39.92
Coal	6,726.48	\$ 377,169.20]	
Oil	8,750.00	\$ 26,312.08		
<u>C1</u> :		s -		(310,000) \$ -
Coal	-	\$ -		
Gas	-	\$ -		
Propane	-	\$ -		
<u>C2</u> :		s -	-	(316,000) \$ -
Coal		\$ -]	
Gas		\$ -		
Propane	-	\$ -		
<u>C3</u> :		s -	-	(317,000) \$ -
Coal	-	\$ -		
Gas	-	\$ -		
Propane		\$ -	- "dates	
Coleman - Total-		s	-	(943,000) \$ -
Coal	-	\$ -		
Gas	-	\$ -		
Propane	-	\$ -		
Gas Turbine		\$ 4,107.88	74,670	47,510 \$ 86,46
Oil	-	\$ -		
Gas	3,194.00	\$ 4,107.88		
Wilson:		\$ 6,858,613.50	312,519,220	292,431,569 \$ 23.45
Coal	128,858.91	\$ 6,542,759.61	Summer and	
PetCoke	2,464.40	\$ 132,323.25		
Oil	62,481.00	\$ 183,530.64		
H1 (net of city take):		\$ 1,973,563.67	91,499,848	82,316,378 \$ 23,98
Coal	35,214.10	\$ 1,973,563.67		
Oil		\$ -		
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 2,036,273.37	89,391,152	80,262,682 \$ 25.37
Coal	36,324.76	\$ 2,035,810.28		
Oil	154.00	\$ 463.09		
Station Two:		\$ 4,009,837.04	180,891,000	162,579,060 \$ 24.66
Coal	71,538.86	\$ 4,009,373.95		
Oil	154.00	\$ 463.09		
<u>G1</u> :		\$ 3,960,366.14	177,962,700	161,918,239 \$ 24.46
Coal	59,687.03	\$ 3,163,388.72		
PetCoke	14,314.00	\$ 763,908.12		
Oil	11,106.00	\$ 33,069.30		
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3,601,495.33	156,574,980	142,508,693 \$ 25.27
Coal	54,185.85	\$ 2,871,828.38		
PetCoke	11,955.00	\$ 638,013.24		
Oil	30,781.00	\$ 91,653.71		
Green - Total:		\$ 7,561,861.47	334,537,680	304,426,932 \$ 24.84
Coal	113,872.88	\$ 6,035,217.10		
PetCoke	26,269.00	\$ 1,401,921.36		
Oil	41,887.00	\$ 124,723.01		
System Total:		\$ 18,837,901.17	840,631,050	768,650,551 \$ 24.51
			Line Losses	18,181,370
System Total (Net of]		\$ 18,837,901.17	Net kWh	750,469,181 \$ 25.10
Summary	of Fuel Burned for	the second s		
	Coal	\$ 16,964,519.86		
	Pet Coke Oil	\$ 1,534,244.61 \$ 335,028.82		
	Gas	\$ 4,107.88		
		- 19407.00		

Gas Propane 4,107.88

\$ \$ 18,837,901.17

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 30 of 32

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FUEL BURNED MONTH OF December-14

	MONTH OF	December-14			
	TON/GAL/MCF	Cost	Gross KWH	NET KWH	S/MWH
Reid:		s -		(1,595,000)	\$ -
Coal		S -		(1,1,1,1,1,1)	-
Oil	-	s -			
C1.				(257 000)	
<u>C1</u> : Coal		s - s -	· · · ·	(357,000)	s -
Gas		s -			
Propane		\$ -			
<u>C2</u> :		<u>s</u> -		(356,000)	\$ -
Coal Gas		<u>s</u> - s -			
Propane		\$ -			
	I				
<u>C3</u> :		<u>s</u> -		(357,000)	\$ -
Coal	-	s -			
Gas Propane		s - s -			
rtopane	L	-			
Coleman - Total		s -		(1,070,000)	\$ -
Coal]	\$ -			
Gas	-	\$ -			
Propane		<u>s</u> -			
Gas Turbine		\$ 14,474.31		(59,800)	\$(242.05)
Oil	-	\$ -			
Gas		\$ 14,474.31			
Wasse			200 011 000 1	204 (15 004]	0 00 00 1
<u>Wilson</u> : Coal	123,648.58	\$ 6,874,766.92 \$ 6,272,173.14	326,211,850	304,615,824	\$ 22.57
PetCoke	10,806.40	\$ 569,686.39			
Oil	11,941.20	\$ 32,907.39			
H1 (net of city take): Coal	24.044.10	\$ 1,941,703.81	89,459,342	80,221,297	\$ 24.20
Oil	34,244.13	\$ 1,941,703.81 \$ -			
H2 (net of city take):		\$ 1,970,064.92	86,966,658	77,688,613	\$ 25.36
Coal	34,744.31	\$ 1,970,064.92	00,900,000	77,000,013	3 23.30
Oil	•	\$ -			
Station Two:	40.000.14	\$ 3,911,768.73	176,426,000	157,909,910	\$ 24.77
Coal Oil	68,988.44	\$ 3,911,768.73 \$ -			
Ou		5 -			
G1:		\$ 3,988,214.91	175,861,090	160,253,689	\$ 24.89
Coal	62,242.84	\$ 3,291,507.19			
PetCoke	12,015.00	\$ 626,979.95			
Oil	24,654.00	\$ 69,727.77			
<u>G2</u> :		\$ 3 800 334 38	170,438,350	155,211,419	\$ 25.12
Coal	59,162.56	\$ 3,899,234.28 \$ 3,128,616.75	170,436,530	133,611,419	\$ 23.12
PetCoke	13,055.00	\$ 681,250.37			
Oil	31,598.00	\$ 89,367.16			
					0.0000
Green - Total: Coal	101 405 40	\$ 7,887,449.19	346,299,440	315,465,108	\$ 25.00
PetCoke	121,405.40	\$ 6,420,123.94 \$ 1,308,230.32			
Oil	56,252.00	\$ 159,094.93			
System Total		\$ 18,688,459.15	848,937,290	775,266,042	\$ 24.11
System Total:		# 10,000,432,13	VC2,7J/22/V	110,400,044	φ <u>4</u> 9,11
			Line Losses	18,563,851	
System Total (Net of I		\$ 18,688,459.15	Net kWh	756,702,191	\$ 24.70
Summary	of Fuel Burned for				
	Coal Pet Coke	\$ 16,604,065.81 \$ 1,877,916.71			
	Oil	\$ 192,002.32			
	Gas	\$ 14,474.31			
	Propane	\$.			

\$ 18,688,459.15

Case No. 2014-00455 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 31 of 32

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Calculation of Native Load KWh Sales Volumes from Generation November 2012 through December 2014

	Nov-12	Dec-12	Jan-13	<u>Feb-13</u>	<u>Mar-13</u>	<u>Apr-13</u>
Net Generation (before losses)	1,027,844,434	1,001,899,223	992,590,838	934,553,934	925,070,282	893,015,897
Less: Back-Up & Supp. Sales to Smelters from Generation	2,955,692	4,190,102	2,197,581	2,406,372	5,872,227	4,115,383
Less: Domtar Back-Up Power Sales from Generation	1,192,273	234,892	1,593,927	2,997,992	2,689,300	1,100,442
Less: Inter-system Sales from Generation	212,413,700	192,435,800	169,789,100	164,681,700	125,606,800	155,601,100
Less: System Losses	16,470,928	18,421,104	19,851,174	18,774,797	21,377,655	15,972,806
Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation	794,811,841	786,617,325	799,159,056	745,693,073	769,524,300	716,226,166
	<u>Mav-13</u>	Jun-13	Jul-13	<u>Aug-13</u>	Sep-13	<u>Oct-13</u>
Net Generation (before losses)	889,734,859	905,243,758	979,328,267	870,247,794	701,032,246	749,004,193
Less: Back-Up & Supp. Sales to Smelters from Generation	4,245,491	165,278	229,478	1,407,375	308,802	2,439,122
Less: Domtar Back-Up Power Sales from Generation	220,343	669,550	926,416	1,504,257	5,538,182	2,286,329
Less: Inter-system Sales from Generation	140,366,900	105,689,500	157,320,800	165,039,402	223,616,049	281,306,084
Less: System Losses	17,557,637	17,556,244	25,236,382	80,477,254	19,946,703	15,747,927
Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation	727,344,488	781,163,186	795,615,191	621,819,506	451,622,510	447,224,731
	<u>Nov-13</u>	Dec-13	<u>Jan-14</u>	<u>Feb-14</u>	<u>Mar-14</u>	<u>Apr-14</u>
Net Generation (before losses)	605,687,961	725,602,466	709,596,295	736,225,365	810,675,091	766,338,214
Less: Back-Up & Supp. Sales to Smelters from Generation	8,193,256	11,428,818	12,110,410	-	-	
Less: Domtar Back-Up Power Sales from Generation	136,692	234,892	137,027	418,052	260,031	1,115,426
Less: Inter-system Sales from Generation	128,901,112	242,347,599	189,234,943	496,508,754	600,162,486	586,076,982
Less: System Losses	16,437,138	20,559,811	24,247,348	18,766,064	23,864,934	17,927,852
Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation	452,019,763	451,031,346	483,866,567	220,532,495	186,387,640	161,217,954
	<u>May-14</u>	<u>Jun-14</u>	<u>Jul-14</u>	Aug-14	Sep-14	<u>Oct-14</u>
Net Generation (before losses)	506,326,071	459,041,661	685,648,499	764,901,702	701,225,247	650,243,189
Less: Back-Up & Supp. Sales to Smelters from Generation		-	~	-	-	-
Less: Domtar Back-Up Power Sales from Generation	541,023	872,501	1,109,637	1,293,096	2,578,590	1,067,269
Less: Inter-system Sales from Generation	325,911,072	234,887,500	444,584,800	490,153,176	476,576,100	457,253,000
Less: System Losses	24,006,789	22,574,382	21,356,123	21,198,213	17,421,555	18,617,450
Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation	155,867,187	200,707,278	218,597,939	252,257,217	204,649,002	173,305,470
	Nov-14	Dec-14				
Net Generation (before losses) Less: Back-Up & Supp. Sales to Smelters from Generation	768,650,551	775,266,042				
Less: Domtar Back-Up Power Sales from Generation	218,137	1 713 349				
Less: Inter-system Sales from Generation	539,903,487	1,712,248				
Less: System Losses		507,584,000				
Less: System Losses Native Load Sales Volumes from Generation	18,181,370	18,563,851				

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 40 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 32 of 32