IRVINE MUNICIPAL UTILITIES 238 BROADWAY PHONE: 723-2197 IRVINE, KENTUCKY 40336 January 12, 2015

Public Service Commission 211 Sowers Blvd. P.O. Box 615 Frankfort KY 40602-0615 RECEIVED

JAN 1 3 2015

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: CASE # 2014-00425

To whom it may concern,

The following are 10 copies of Irvine's responses to commission staff's first request for information to the City of Irvine Utility and the Estill County Water District No. 1.

Thank you,

Billy F. Williams II Operational Manager Irvine Municipal Utilities

RECEIVED

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

JAN 1 3 2015 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

1 . 13

APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF IRVINE UTILITY COMMISSION TO ACQUIRE THE FACILITIES OF THE ESTILL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 1

CASE NO. 2014-00425

RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION TO THE CITY OF IRVINE UTILITY COMMISSION AND THE ESTILL COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 1

REQUEST NO. 1: Confirm the city of Irvine is classified as a city of the Fourth Class pursuant to KRS 81.010. If not, then provide the classification of the city of Irvine.

RESPONSE: An opinion of the Irvine City Attorney is attached as Request No. 1

& 2.

REQUEST NO. 2: State whether the city of Irvine will be classified as a city of the home rule class pursuant to KRS 81.005(1)(b) effective January 1, 2015. If not, then provide the classification of the city of Irvine effective January 1, 2015.

RESPONSE: An opinion of the Irvine City Attorney is attached as Request No. 1

& 2.

REQUEST NO. 3: The Annual Report of Estill County Water District #1 Sewer Division to the Public Service Commission for Year Ended December 31, 2012 ("2014 Annual Report") at page 14 of 44 lists an end-of-year balance amount of \$8,146 for customer deposits. With regard to this balance amount, answer the following.

a. State the current balance amount for customer deposits held by the Estill
No. 1 Sewer Division.

4

x

b. State whether the Estill No. 1 Sewer Division will refund the customer deposits it holds.

c. State whether the Estill No. 1 Sewer Division will transfer any of the customer deposit funds it is holding to Irvine pursuant to the September 15, 2014 Memorandum Settlement Agreement. If so, state the amount of the customer deposit funds that will be transferred.

d. For any customer deposits held by the Estill No. 1 Sewer Division that will not be refunded to customers or transferred to Irvine, state the Estill No. 1 Sewer Division's plan for these customer deposit funds.

RESPONSE: The Estill County Water District No. 1 will transfer all customer deposits collected from sewer customers to Irvine on or before Irvine completes all of its requirements under the Memorandum of Settlement Agreement and the necessary approvals are obtained.

REQUEST NO. 4: The Joint Application at Numbered Paragraph 5, part f, states that "[t]he District shall decommission and abandon use of its existing wastewater treatment and sewer facility." With regard to this statement, answer the following.

a. State the expected total cost to decommission and abandon the existing wastewater treatment and sewer facility.

b. State how Estill No. 1 will fund the decommissioning and abandonment.

RESPONSE: The Estill County Water District No. 1 retained Bell Engineering to

prepare a closure plan for its Wastewater Treatment Plant. Bell Engineering completed this Closure Plan and it was approved by Greg Goode of the Water Infrastructure Branch of the Division of Water who stated in a November 4, 2014 email that he had "...read the Closure Plan and communicated to enforcement that it is an acceptable plan". Bell Engineering estimated that it will cost \$49,000 to implement this plan. The Estill County Water District No. 1 will use funds from its general fund to complete the closure. The Estill County Water District No. 1 has completed the portion of the Closure Plan needed to divert its wastewater to Irvine and will complete the balance of the Closure Plan when Irvine completes its requirements under the Memorandum Settlement Agreement and all of the necessary approvals have been obtained.

P

REQUEST NO. 5: State whether the litigation in the Estill Circuit Court, Civil Action No. 14-CI-21, styled *The City of Irvine Utility Commission v. Estill County Water District No. 1*, has been dismissed. If so, provide a copy of the Order entered by the Estill Circuit Court terminating the litigation.

RESPONSE: The litigation has not yet been dismissed. Irvine has committed to agreeing to dismiss this Action when it is satisfied the obligations as outlined in the Memorandum Settlement Agreement are met and the litigation will be dismissed by execution and entry of an Agreed Order of Dismissal.

REQUEST NO. 6: The September 15, 2014 Memorandum Settlement Agreement at Numbered Paragraph 3, in pertinent part, states "[t]hat from and after the Effective Date, IMU shall assume, agree to pay, and indemnify and hold the District harmless from the outstanding balance remaining due from the District to the USDA

after the payments referred to in the preceding paragraph." With regard to this statement, answer the following.

Ť.

a. State whether Estill No. 1 has or will obtain a release from the USDA with regard to this loan.

b. If Estill No. 1 has not or is not obtaining a release, state the actual or potential liability of the District with regard to the loan. Explain in detail the amount of the liability and the circumstances under which the District may be obligated to repay all or a portion of the loan.

RESPONSE: As soon as the PSC has approved this transaction Irvine Municipal Utilities is prepared to payoff and satisfy, or to assume if permitted to do so, the District's indebtedness now held by Rural Development (formerly USDA). The District will be released from liability for this indebtedness. Irvine Municipal Utilities has not yet satisfied this indebtedness only because approval by the PSC of the terms of the Settlement Agreement is pending. If approved, the District will have no liability for this indebtedness.

REQUEST NO. 7: The September 15, 2014 Memorandum Settlement Agreement at Numbered Paragraph 14, part b, states that the Agreement is contingent upon Estill No. 1's receiving "written assurances from such agencies of state government as are applicable that its prior activities, and those necessary for the decommission and closure of its existing wastewater treatment plan, shall not result in any fines, assessments, or penalties against the District." State whether this contingency remains or has been met. If this provision of the Agreement remains a contingency, state the anticipated date that the contingency will be met.

RESPONSE: The Estill County Water District No. 1 has obtained an October 17, 2014 letter from the Office of General Counsel for the Energy and Environmental Cabinet that states:

f.

In light of this agreement, the Cabinet is willing to place our enforcement case on hold, provided that your client strictly complies with the following:

1) Estill must submit a closure plan for the Estill County Wastewater Treatment Plant and receive approval from the Division of Water for the plan;

2) Estill must properly decommission the Estill County Wastewater Treatment Plant as required by the approved closure plan; and

3) Estill must adhere to all guidelines of the contract with the City of Irvine Utilities Commission.

If the Cabinet determines that these requirements have been met, then no penalty will be sought in the matter and the enforcement case will be dismissed.

The Estill County Water District No. 1 has completed Item No. 1 above and will complete Items Nos. 2 and 3 above when Irvine has completed its requirements under the Settlement Agreement and after all of the required approvals are obtained.

REQUEST NO. 8: The September 15, 2014 Memorandum Settlement Agreement at Numbered Paragraph 14, part c, states that the Agreement is contingent upon Estill No. 1's and/or Estill County's receiving "such assurances as they deem necessary that no grant funds previously made available to them will be required to be repaid if this Agreement is carried out as described herein." State whether this

contingency remains or has been met. If this provision of the Agreement remains a contingency, state the anticipated date that the contingency will be met.

RESPONSE: The Estill County Water District No. 1 has not received satisfactory assurances from Irvine on this issue. The Mayor of the City of Irvine and the County Judge Executive of Estill County have however received a letter (attached as Request No. 8) from Ms. Lynn Littrell which outlines what need happen in order to place both the City and the County in compliance. Irvine is satisfied that none of the grant money previously received will have to be repaid if this transaction is concluded as anticipated.

REQUEST NO. 9: State the number of people that Irvine employs to operate its water and wastewater systems.

RESPONSE: fifteen (15)

REQUEST NO. 10: State the number of Irvine employees that the Kentucky Division of Water has certified as wastewater treatment operators.

RESPONSE: four (4)

REQUEST NO. 11: State whether Estill No. 1 has any customers inside the cities of Irvine and Ravena that will be billed at the inside city rate. If so, then, by city, indicate how many.

RESPONSE: The Estill County Water District No. 1 does not have any sewer customers inside the cities of Irvine or Ravena.

REQUEST NO. 12: The rates in Exhibit B are greater than Estill No. 1's current rates. State whether Estill No. 1 has provided notice of the potential increase in rates associated with this application. If so, then provide a copy of the notice(s).

RESPONSE: The subject matter of this pending application has been the subject of numerous public hearings and newspaper articles since 2005. Some of those newspaper articles are attached at Response # 12.

The proposal presently before the PSC is for the District to go out of the sewer business. After the transaction is completed, Irvine Municipal Utilities will provide sewer service to the residents of both Irvine and Estill County. Irvine will own the equipment, lines, lift stations, and other facilities, all of which will convey the County wastewater to the City treatment facility. Irvine will do the billing, and set the rates.

Once the current transaction is approved by the PSC the District's customers will be notified, in writing, formally advising them that the IMU is now providing them with sewage treatment service; will be billing them for that service and the cost of that service; and that all needs for service should be directed to IMU. To date however there has been no formal written notice given to the District's customers of the increase in rates and believes none is required

REQUEST NO. 13: Describe how wastewater flow in the existing Estill No. 1 system will be conveyed to the treatment plant in the Irvine system. Include with the description a schematic which depicts any physical connection(s) between the existing Estill No. 1 system and the Irvine system.

RESPONSE: Effective October 16, 2014 all wastewater flow from Estill County Water District has been diverted to and is being treated by Irvine Municipal Utilities. The new pump station, installed pursuant to the multi-year project for the regionalization of the Irvine and Estill County sewer treatment, was brought online in October, 2014. All the District's wastewater gravity flows to that pump station. As of December 31,

2014 IMU has treated 8.6 million gallons of the District's wastewater, to date without compensation. No further equipment, lines, or attachments are necessary to accomplish the changeover. Once it was learned that the PSC approval was required for implementation of the terms of the Memorandum Settlement Agreement, the diversion of the sewer flows was already complete, or at least mostly so. Since learning that such approval was required no further steps in the implementation of the Agreement have been undertaken.

A copy of the schematic reflecting the physical connections between the two services is attached and marked as Request No. 13.

REQUEST NO. 14: State whether any additional connection(s), pump stations, or other infrastructure are necessary for the wastewater flow in the existing Estill No. 1 system to be conveyed to the treatment plant in the Irvine system. If so, provide the entity responsible for funding such connections or infrastructure, the estimated cost(s), and the manner in which this cost will be funded.

RESPONSE: The project that included construction of Irvine's new treatment facility included construction of a new pump station and a new "main" under the Kentucky River to divert the flow from the District's treatment plant to Irvine's system. Those are in place and operational. No additional construction or infrastructure or expenditure will be required.

REQUEST NO. 15: With regard to the new treatment facility for the Irvine system, state whether the Kentucky Division of Water has issued a notice of violations concerning the operation of this plant. If so, provide a copy of each notice of violation and all correspondence with the Division of Water regarding the notice of violation.

(Note that this request does not seek information concerning the former wastewater treatment facility of the Irvine system).

.

1

RESPONSE: The Irvine facility has received three (3) violation since it was brought online in February, 2012. Copies of those violations are attached hereto as Request No. 15. The violations were caused by operator error relating to operation of a new plant, and operator oversight.

REQUEST NO. 16: State the date by which Estill No. 1 expects to file its 2013 Annual Report.

RESPONSE: The Estill County Water District No. 1 is not certain at this time when its 2013 Annual Report will be filed.

Certificate

The undersigned, for and on behalf of the City of Irvine Utility Commission state that I have examined the Responses to Requests NO. 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 15 above and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry, certify that the information provided in those Responses is true and accurate.

City of Irvine Utility Commission

By: enctured Manige Title:

SWORD, FLOYD & MOODY, PLLC

By

Michael R. Eaves Counsel for Irvine Municipal Utilities

ADDRESS: 218 West Main Street P.O. Box 300 Richmond, Kentucky 40476-0300 Telephone: 859-623-3728 Email: <u>eaves@sfmky.com</u>

Certificate

The undersigned, for an on behalf of the Estill County Water District No. 1 City of Irvine Utility Commission state that I have examined the Responses to Requests NO. 3, 4, 7, 9, and 11 above and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry, certify that the information provided in those Responses is true and accurate.

Estill County Water District NO. 1

By:

Title:

By:

1

Larry R. Anderson Counsel for Estill County Water District No. 1

-

ADDRESS: 4115 Woodmont Park Lane Louisville, KY 40245 Email: <u>dessiea1@att.net</u>

Request No. 1 & 2

Document2

ł.

\$



LAW OFFICES OF:

RODNEY GARRETT DAVIS» JOAN DEATON GREFER JENNIE Y. HAYMOND" JESSICA R. ROBERTS

OF COUNSEL S. MARK MATTHEWS* SARA R. ELROD*

230 N. SECOND STREET P.O. BOX 1060 RUCHMOND, KY 40476 859-624-3380 859-623-7333 859-624-0912 (FAX)

200 MAIN STREET P.O. BOX 150 IRVINE, KY 40336 606-726-9991 606-726-9772 (FAX)

WEST SHELL OFFICE PARK OF OF OF UNAN 8050 HOSBROOK ROAD, SUITE Altached). CINCENNATI, OH 45236 513-351-1525 qualify the 888-624-0912 (FAX)

www.dzvialawky.com FED ID # 61-1337953

• Licensed in KY & OH • Licensed in KY, OH & IN Sincerely,

DAVIS LAW, P.S.C.

Rodney G. Davis rgd@davisławky.com

January 5, 2015

Hon. Michael R. Eaves Sword, Floyd & Moody, PLLC 218 W. Main Street Richmond, Kentucky 40475

RE: City of Irvine IMU Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00425

Dear Mr. Eaves:

I am in receipt of your request that I respond to the "request for information" from the Public Service Commission in my capacity as the attorney for the City of Irvine. Specifically, you have asked that I respond to request Nos. 1 & 2.

1. The City of Irvine is a City of the Fourth Class pursuant to KRS 81.010. Pursuant to KRS 81.010(4) the City of Irvine, located in Estill County, is designated a Fourth Class City. This has not changed during my time as City Attorney over the last twelve years.

2. Pursuant to the newly enacted KRS 81.005(1)(b) the City of Irvine will be considered a "home rule class" city. The City of Irvine by Chapter 30 of its Code of Ordinances adopted the Mayor-Council form of government. (Copy of Attached). It is my opinion that the Mayor-Council form of government will qualify the City of Irvine as a home rule city.

I hope this letter is a sufficient response to the request for information. If it is not sufficient please do not hesitate to contact me.

CHAPTER 30: MAYOR-COUNCIL PLAN

Section

30.01 Form of government

30.02 Governing officers

§ 30.01 FORM OF GOVERNMENT.

The form of government provided for this city shall be known as the "Mayor-Council Plan." (KRS 83A.130(1)) (1996 Code, § 30.01)

§ 30.02 GOVERNING OFFICERS.

(A) The city shall be governed by an elected executive who shall be called Mayor and by an elected legislative body which shall be called the City Council, and by other officers and employees as are provided for by statute or city ordinance. (KRS 83A.130(2))

(B) The City Council shall be composed of six members.(KRS 83A.030(1))(1996 Code, § 30.02)

81.010 Classification of cities.

Cities are classified as follows:

- (1) First class: Louisville, Jefferson County
- Second class: (2) Ashland, Boyd County Bowling Green, Warren County Covington, Kenton County Frankfort, Franklin County Henderson, Henderson County Hopkinsville, Christian County Jeffersontown, Jefferson County Lexington, Fayette County Newport, Campbell County Owensboro, Daviess County Paducah, McCracken County Radcliff, Hardin County Richmond, Madison County (3)
 - Third class: Campbellsville, Taylor County Danville, Boyle County Erlanger, Kenton County Flatwoods, Greenup County Florence, Boone County Glasgow, Barren County Hazard, Perry County Independence, Kenton County Mayfield, Graves County Maysville, Mason County Middlesboro, Bell County Murray, Calloway County Nicholasville, Jessamine County Paris, Bourbon County Prospect, Jefferson County Shively, Jefferson County Somerset, Pulaski County Winchester, Clark County
- (4) Fourth class: Albany, Clinton County

Alexandria, Campbell County Anchorage, Jefferson County Augusta, Bracken County Barbourville, Knox County Bardstown, Nelson County Beaver Dam, Ohio County Bellevue, Campbell County Benton, Marshall County Berea, Madison County Burnside, Pulaski County Cadiz, Trigg County Calvert City, Marshall County Carlisle, Nicholas County Carroliton, Carroll County Catlettsburg, Boyd County Cave City, Barren County Central City, Muhlenberg County Columbia, Adair County Corbin, Whitley and Knox Counties Crescent Springs, Kenton County Crestview Hills, Kenton County Cumberland, Harlan County Cynthiana, Harrison County Dawson Springs, Hopkins County Dayton, Campbell County Douglass Hills, Jefferson County Earlington. Hopkins County Edgewood, Kenton County Eddyville, Logan County Elizabethtown, Hardin County Elkhorn City, Pike County Elkton, Todd County Elsmere, Kenton County Eminence, Henry County Falmouth, Pendleton County Flemingsburg, Fleming County For Mitchell, Kenton County Fort Thomas, Campbell County Fort Wright, Kenton County Franklin, Simpson County

Fulton, Fulton County Georgetown, Scott County Graymoor/Devondale, Jefferson County Grayson, Carter County Greensburg, Green County Greenville, Muhlenberg County Guthrie, Todd County Harlan, Harlan County Harrodsburg, Mercer County Hickman, Fulton County Highland Heights, Campbell County Hillview, Bullitt County Hodgenville, Larue County Horse Cave, Hart County Hurstbourne, Jefferson County Hurstbourne Acres, Jefferson County Indian Hills, Jefferson County Irvine, Estill County Jackson, Breathitt County Jenkins, Letcher County Junction City, Boyle County LaGrange, Oldham County Lancaster, Garrard County Lawrenceburg. Anderson County Lebanon, Marion County Leitchfield, Grayson County London, Laurel County Ludlow, Kenton County Lyndon, Jefferson County Madisonville, Hopkins County Manchester, Clay County Marion, Crittenden County Martin, Floyd County Middletown, Jefferson County Midway, Woodford County Monticello, Wayne County Morehead Rowan County Morganfield, Union County Mount Sterling, Montgomery County Mount Washington, Bullitt County

Oak Grove, Christian County Olive Hill, Carter County Owingsville, Bath County Paintsville, Johnson County Park Hills, Kenton County Pikeville, Pike County Pineville, Bell County Pioneer Village, Bullitt County Prestonsburg, Floyd County Princeton, Caldwell County Providence, Webster County Russell, Greenup County Russellville, Logan County Saint Regis Park, Jefferson County Salyersville, Magoffin County Scottsville, Allen County Shelbyville, Shelby County Shepherdsville, Bullitt County Southgate, Campbell County Springfield, Washington County St. Matthews, Jefferson County Stanford, Lincoln County Stanton, Powell County Sturgis. Union County Taylor Mill, Kenton County Taylorsville, Spencer County Union, Boone County Vanceburg, Lewis County Versalles. Woodford County Villa Hills, Kenion County Vine Grove, Hardin County Warsaw, Gallatin County West Liberty, Morgan County Whitesburg, Letcher County Williamsburg, Whilley County Wilmore, Jessamine County

(5) Fifth class

Adairville, Logan County Auburn Logan County Audubon Park, Jefrerson County Barbourmeade, Jefferson County Bardwell, Carlisle County Beattyville, Lee County Beechwood Village, Jefferson County Benham, Harlan County Bloomfield, Nelson County Brandenburg, Meade County Brodhead, Rockcastle County Bromley, Kenton County Brooksville, Bracken County Brownsville, Edmonson County Burgin, Mercer County Burkesville, Cumberland County Butler, Pendleton County Calhoun, McLean County Camargo, Montgomery County Campbelisburg, Henry County Clay, Webster County Clay City, Powell County Clinton, Hickman County Cloverport Breckinridge County Cold Spring Campbell County Columbus, Hickman County Corydon, Henderson County Crestwood, Oldnam County Crittenden, Grant County Croiton, Christian County Devondale, Jefferson County Drakesboro, Muhlenberg County Dry Fidge Grant County Edmon on, Metcalle County Evans, Harlan County Ferguson, Fulaski County Fleming-Neon, Letcher County Fregonia, Caldwell County Goshen, Cloham County Grand River, Livingston County Greenup, Greenut, Clunty Hardin Mirshall County Hardinsburg Breckinndge County

Hartford, Ohio Coursy Hawesville, Hancock County Hebron Estates, Builitt County Hindman Knott County Hollow Creek Jefferson County Hustonville, Lincoln County Indian Hills-Cherokee, Jefferson County Irvington, Breckinr dea County Jamestown, Russell County Jeffersonville, Montgomery County Kuttawa, Lyon County La Center, Baliaro County Lakeside Park, Kenton County Lebanon Junction, Builitt County Lewisburg, Logan County Lewisport Hancock County Liberty, Casey County Livermore, McLean County Louisa, Lawrence County Loyall, Harlan County Lynch Harlan County Lynnview, Jefferson County McKee, Jackson County Meadowvale, Jefferson County Millersburg, Bourbon County Minor Lane Heights, Jefferson County Morgantown, Bude: County Morton's Gap, Hopkins County lvit, Olivet, Robertson County Mt. Vernor, Rockdastle County Muldraugi, Meade County Munfordville, Hart County New Castle, Henry County North Middletown, Bourbon County Northfield Jefferson County Nortonville, Hopkins County Orchard Grass Hills Oldham County Owenton, Owen County Park City, Barren County Pernbroke Christian County

Perryville, Boyle County Pewee Valley, Oldham County Plantation Jefferson County Powderly, Muhlenberg County Raceland, Greenup County Ravenna, Estill County Rolling Hills, Jefferson County Russell Springs, Russell County Ryland Heights, Kenton County Sadieville, Scoti County Sandy Hook, Elitott County Sepree, Wepster County Silver Grove Campbell County Simpsonville, Shelby County Smiths Grove, Warren County South Shore, Greenup County Tompkinsville, Monroe County Uniontown, Union County Van Lear, Johnson County Walton, Boone County Washington, Mason County Watterson Park, Jeffarson County West Buechel Jeffert on County West Point, Hardin County White Plains, Hopkins County Wickliffe, Bailard County Wilder, Campeal County Williamstown, Grant County Windy Hills, Jefferson County Woodlawn Park, Jeiferson County Worthington Greanup County Wurtland, Greenup County

1

(6) All other incorporated cities belong to the sixth class.

Effective: June 25, 2013

Hasiony: Arbuided 2013 Ky. Acts ch. 54, sec. 1, effective June 25, 2013; and ch. 91, 3505 1, 2, 3, and 4, effective June 25, 2013. -- Amended 2012 Ky. Acts ch. 9, app. 1, effective July 12, 2012. -- Amended 2011 Ky. Acts ch. 14, sec. 1, effective June 6, 2011; ch. 49, secs. 1, 2, and 3, effective June 8, 2011; and ch. 85 sec. 1, 2, 3 and 4, effective June 8, 2011. -- Amended 2010 Ky. Acts ch. 13, sec. 1 effective July 15, 2010. -- Amended 2008 Ky. Acts ch. 12, sec. 1, effective July 15, 2003; and ch. 115, sec. 1, effective July 15, 2008. -- Amended 2007 Ky. Acts ch. 93, sec. 1, effective June 26, 2007. -- Amended 2006 Ky. Acts 1 Juli sec. 1, effective March 30, 2006. -- Amended 2005 Ky. Acts ch. 8, sec. *, effective June 20, 2005; ch. 42, secs. 1 and 2, effective June 20, 2005; and ch. 77 sec. 1. effective June 20, 2005. -- Amended 2003 Ky. Acts ch. 34, sec. 1, of eutive June 24, 2003. -- Amended 2002 Ky. Acts ch. 22, sec. 1, effective July 15, 2002 and ch. 84, sec. 1, effective July 15, 2002. -- Amended 2001 Ky. Acts ch. 111, 310, 1, effective June 21, 2001; ch. 152, sec. 1, effective June 21, 2001, and th. 157, sec. 1, effective June 21, 2001. -- Amended 2000 Ky. Acts ch. 24, sec 1, effective July 14, 2000; ch. 36, sec. 1, effective July 14, 2000; ch. 119, sec 1, effective July 14, 2000; ch. 159, sec. 1, effective July 14, 2000; ch. 175, St J. , effective July 14, 2000; ch. 181, sec. 1, effective July 14, 2000; ch. 231 sac. I and 2, effective July 14, 2000; ch. 272, sec. 1, effective July 14, 2000, and ch. 531, secs. 1, 2, and 3, effective July 14, 2000. -- Amended 1998 Ky. Acts ch. 5, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1998; and ch. 354, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1998. -- Amended 1996 Ky. Acts ch. 76, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1996. --Amendeu 1994 Ky. Acts ch. 29, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1994; and ch. 273, sec. 1. effective July 15, 1994. -- Amended 1992 Ky. Acts ch. 4, sec. 1, effective July 14, 1992; ch. 74, sec. 1, effective July 14, 1992; ch. 205, sec. 1, effective July 14, 1992; and ch. 435, sec. 13, effective July 14, 1992. --Amended 1990 Ky. Acts ch. 13, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1990; ch. 230, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1990; ch. 298, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1990; and ch. 313, sec. 1 efective July 13, 1990. -- Amended 1986 Ky. Acts ch. 138, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1986; ch. 227, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1986; ch. 275, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1986; and ch. 411, secs. 1 and 2, effective July 15, 1986. --Americad 1984 Ky. Acts ch. 10, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1984; ch. 33, sec. 1, enfective unity 13, 1984; ch. 34, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1984; ch. 111, sec. 50, effective anly 13, 1984; ch. 219, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1984; ch. 249, sec. 1, effective July 13, 1984; ch. 259, secs. 1 and 2, effective July 13, 1984; ch. 273, secs in an 2, effective July 13, 1984; and ch. 416, sec. 21, effective July 13, 1984 -- A menued 1982 Ky. Acts ch. 60, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1982; ch. 62, sec. ' alle live July 15, 1982; ch. 66, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1982; ch. 134, sec. 1 affective July 15, 1982; ch. 143, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1982; and ch. 152, 34 1 effective July 15, 1982. -- Amended 1980 Ky. Acts ch. 195, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1980; ch. 272, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1980; ch. 274, sec. 1, Gife Chile July 15, 1980; ch. 281, sec. 1, effective July 15, 1980; and ch. 389, sec. 1 ei stive July 15, 1980. -- Amended 1978 Ky. Acts ch. 64, sec. 1, effective June 17, 1978; ch. 73, sec. 1, effective June 17, 1978; ch. 89, sec. 1, effective June 17, 1978; ch. 191, sec. 1, effective June 17, 1978; ch. 252, sec. 1 after tive June 17, 1978; ch. 319, sec. 1, effective June 17, 1978; ch. 353, sec. effective June 17, 1978; ch. 377, sec. 1, effective June 17, 1978; ch. . 90, 223 affective June 17, 1978; and ch. 397, sec. 1, effective June 17, 1978 - A ended 1976 Ky. Acts ch. 26, sec. 1; ch. 40, sec. 1; ch. 131, sec. 1; 1 36 s 1; ch. 325, sec. 1; and ch. 370, sec. 1. -- Amended 1974 Ky. Acts or_94, sec 1; ch. 102, sec. 1; ch. 129, sec. 1; ch. 171, sec. 1; ch. 197, sec. 1; ci. 235 sci. 1, ch. 234, sec. 1; ch. 240, sec. 1; and ch. 344, secs. 1 and 2. --Americ U 1772 Ky. Acts ch. 7, sec. 1; ch. 10, sec. 1; ch. 68, sec. 1; ch. 69, sec. 5. (6. 10" sec 1; and ch. 350, sec. 1. -- Amended 1970 Ky. Acts ch. 31, sec. 1; U. 57 sec 1 (n. 107, sec. 1; ch. 113, sec. 1; ch. 144, sec. 1; ch. 187, sec. 1; of 25d s. 6.1, and ch. 253, sec. 1. -- Amended 1968 Ky. Acts ch. 5, sec. 1; ch. o. sec. 1 C 7, sec. 1; ch. 9, sec. 1; ch. 16, sec 1; ch. 20, sec. 1; ch. 57, sec. 1; ch 34, sec 1, and ch. 111, sec. 1. -- Amended 1966 Ky. Acts ch. 14, sec. 1; ch. 54 sec. 1 or 56, sec. 1; ch. 57, sec. 1; ch. 58, sec. 1; ch. 65, sec. 1; ch. 86, sec 1, ch 98, cec 1; ch. 101, sec. 1; ch. 141, sec. 1; ch. 169, sec. 1; ch. 186, 50 1 00 197, sec 1; and ch. 206, sec. 1. -- Amended 1964 Ky. Acts ch. 1, et . 1; ch: 1, sec. 1; ch. 29, sec. 1; ch. 39, sec. 1; ch. 44, sec. 1; ch. 52, sec. 1; 1: 54 301 1; ch. 62, sec. 1; ch. 64, sec. 1; ch. 94, sec. 1; and ch. 198, sec. 1. - Ar Prife , 1902 Ky. Acts ch. 4, sec. 1; ch. 77, sec. 1; ch. 128, sec. 1; ch. 192, 56. 1 en ch 287, sec. 1. - Amended 1960 Ky. Acts ch. 30, sec. 1; and ch. 1 S 10 F - Amended 1958 Ky. Acts ch. 14, sec. 1; ch. 16, sec. 1; ch. 19, sec. 3 1, ch 31, sec 1; ch. 32, sec. 1; ch. 79, sec. 1; ch. 84, sec. 1; and

ch 91, sec 1. -- Amended 1956 Ky. Acts ch. 6, sec. 1; ch. 7, sec. 1; ch. 40, sec. ch. 4.2, sec. 1; ch. 70, sec. 1; ch. 92, sec. 1; ch. 111, sec. 1; and ch. 127, sec. - Ammended 1954 Ky. Acts ch. 6, sec. 1; ch. 19, sec. 1; ch. 70, sec. 1; ch. 72, sec. 1: ch. 127, sec. 1; ch. 184, sec. 1; and ch. 228, sec. 1. -- Amended 1952 Ky. Acts = 10, sec. 1; ch. 39, sec. 1; ch. 66, sec. 1; ch. 81, sec. 1; ch. 95, sec. 1: ch. 10, sec. 1; ch. 108, sec. 1; ch. 205, sec. 1; ch. 217, sec. 1; ch. 95, sec. 1: ch. 102, sec. 1; ch. 108, sec. 1; ch. 205, sec. 1; ch. 217, sec. 1; and ch. 229, sec. 1. -- Amended 1950 Ky. Acts ch. 14, sec. 1; ch. 19, sec. 1; ch. 37, sec. 1; ch. 40, tec. 1, ch. 46, sec. 1; ch. 49, sec. 1; ch. 61, sec. 1; ch. 80, sec. 1; ch. 82, sec. 1: ch. 33, sec. 1; ch. 84, sec. 1; ch. 100, sec. 1; ch. 114 sec. 1; ch. 116, sec. 1: ch. 35, sec. 1; ch. 136, sec. 1; ch. 144, sec. 1; ch. 148, sec. 1; and ch. 149, sec. 1: ch. 148, sec. 1; and ch. 149, sec. 1: ch. 148, sec. 1; and ch. 149, sec. 1: ch. 144, sec. 1; ch. 148, sec. 1: ch. 149, sec. 1: c

Ky Stat. Sec. 2740, 2741.

Legistative Research Commission Note (7/14/92); revised 7/15/94, 7/15/96, /15/98 11/2000 6/21/2001, 7/15/2002, 6/24/2003, 6/20/2005, 3/30/2006, 6/26/2017. 7/15/2008, 7/15/2010, 6/8/2011, 7/12/2012, and 6/25/2013). Pursuant t 1990 Ky Acts ch. 425, sec. 5, the Reviser of Statutes has modified the last of this statute to reflect reclassifications enacted by the General Assembin in 1992 Ky. Acts ch. 4, sec. 1; ch. 74, sec. 1; ch. 205, sec. 1; and ch. 435, sep. 13; in 1994 Ky. Acts ch. 29, sec. 1; and ch. 273, sec. 1; in 1996 Ky. Acts, ci 16, sec. 1. in 1998 Ky. Acts ch. 5, sec. 1; and ch. 354, sec. 1; in 2000 Ny Acre of 24, sec. 1; ch. 36, sec. 1; ch. 119, sec. 1; ch. 159, sec. 1; ch. 175, sec. 1: ch. 181, sec. 1; ch. 231, secs. 1 and 2; ch. 272, sec. 1; and ch. 531, recs. 1 2 and 3' in 2001 Ky. Acts ch. 111, sec. 1; ch. 152, sec. 1; and ch. 157, ut 1: 1 2 02 Ky Acts ch. 22, sec. 1; and ch. 84, sec. 1; in 2003 Ky. Acts ch. 34, sec. 1: n 2005 Ky. Acts ch. 8, sec. 1; ch. 42, secs. 1 and 2; and ch. 77, sec. That Courts Acts ch. 100, sec. 1; in 2007 Ky. Acts ch. 98, sec. 1; in 2008 Ky. rvy. Ac.s., 4. sec. 1; ch. 49, secs. 1, 2, and 3; and ch. 89, secs. 1, 2, 3, and 4; 10 2 Ky Arts cn. 9, sec. 1; and in 2013 Ky. Acts ch. 54, sec. 1.

Request No. 8

.

Document2



OCT 3 02014

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR DEPARTMENT FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Steven L. Beshear Governor 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 340 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 Phone (502) 573-2382 Fax (502) 573-2939 Toll Free (800) 346-5606 www.dlg.ky.gov Tony Wilder Commissioner

October 28, 2014

The Honorable Ernest L. Farmer Mayor, City of Irvine 101 Chestnut Street Irvine, Kentucky 40336

The Honorable Wallace C. Taylor Estill County Judge/Executive 130 Main Street, Room 101 Irvine, Kentucky 40336

RE: Irvinc/Estill County Wastewater System Improvements and Extensions Project Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 07-034

Dear Sirs:

We wish to compliment you on all your efforts to reach agreement and move the above referenced project toward a successful completion. A copy of the September 15, 2014, agreement by and between Estill County Water District #1 (the District), Estill County Fiscal Court, Irvine Municipal Utilities (IMU) and the city of Irvine was provided to this office. The agreement sets forth the specifics for the conveyance of the District's wastewater to IMU's newly constructed wastewater treatment plant. The actions to accomplish the transfer were to take place on October 20, 2014. The transfer will fulfill the purpose of the CDBG funding as set forth in the Grant Agreement of October 2, 2008.

We encourage you to complete the final documents that will allow full closeout. Our records indicate there are 4 final steps in the closeout process to be completed:

- 1. A letter from Bee Williams, IMU Manager, stating the physical transfer planned for October 20 has occurred. Perhaps this letter or an attachment could verify the Public Service Commission approved the transfer if required.
- A revision and update of the Program Completion Report (PCR) from Bluegrass Area Development District. David Duttlinger has stated he will be willing to provide assistance.



KentuckyUnbridfedSpirit.com

An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

The Honorable Ernest L. Farmer October 28, 2013 Page 2

3. A statement from the city regarding whether they expended more or less than \$500,000 of federal funding in Fiscal 2010. CDBG funds in the amount of \$420,411 were drawn but we were advised there may have been other activities that involved federal funds. This statement can be provided via a form in the revised Program Completion Report. If more than \$500,000 was expended, A-133 requirements must be added to the audit work already done and submitted.

Once the 3 steps are taken and approved, we will be able to approve the PCR clearing any concerns we had about the project and issue a legally binding **Final Closeout** document. The city of Irvine and Estill County will have their eligibility to apply for other CDBG projects restored as soon as this Final Closeout document is signed. Please contact Marilyn Eaton-Thomas at 502/573-2382, ext. 233, should you have questions.

Sincerely, Legnon Tranio Settrell sy mer

1 22

Lynn Travis Littrell, Executive Director Office of Federal Grants

c: David Duttlinger, BGADD Bob Casher, BGADD

Request No. 12

Document2



WINNESS STREET STRE Explored Witten ding how County amends agreement, sends back to IMU Inside:

CITIZEN VOICE &

By LISA BICKNELL CV&T News Editor

Two weeks ago, officials at IMU indicated at the Irvine Clty Council meeting that an agreement was about to be reached with Estill County Water District No. 1. The agreement was that IMU would provide sewer service to county customers included in the Wisemantown/Dark Hollow area sewer extension project. But officials at ECWD still

had reservations about signing the agreement. They wanted clarification on a couple of clauses in the proposed settlement,

County water officials said in a meeting last Wednesday morning that they wanted a clause stating that IMU will "stand in our shoes for any and all liabilities," if they assume the revenue of 460-plus customers that were previously the county's.

Another concern was that ECWD would be cut out of rate negotiations

with the state, including the Public Service Commissioner.

"I don't know that you want to give up your rates," said Larry Anderson, a Louisville lawyer advising ECWD. "The PSC makes sure rates are fair."

There were questions raised about the 13 percent of revenue lost if IMU takes on the 460 customers. ECWD said that revenue helps pay the salaries of some of their employees.

One Jan. 29, 2014, IMU originally

filed the complaint against Estill County District No. 1, stating that the county would not hook on to the new sewer treatment plant that was completed in February 2012.

The merger began several years ago as part of a Regional Facilities Plan to improve and upgrade IMU's sewage treatment plant and phase out the county's.

Implementation of the plan was to result in state fines being

See AGREEMENT A2





Judge says 'things are getting better'

tained breathing apparatuses, and a new compressor to fill new cylinders. He summarized the nu-





Back to School





project will be a two-rate

system, with city rates and

out of city rates "the same

on both sides of the river."

All rate increases will be

Estill County Judge-

"across the board" as well.

Executive Wallace Taylor,

who attended a second

meeting on Monday, said

"big money is on the table"

with the deadline for filing

for some of the grant money

for the sewer extension

He said he has received

verbal commitments for

grants from the Appalachian

Regional Commission, the

United States Department

of Agriculture and other

entitles for an estimated 75-

If an agreement is not

reached, the county faces

some stiff penalties, which

Taylor said he has been

assured will be dropped if

agreement is reached and

80 percent of the project.

project being August 1.

CITIZEN VOICE &

AGREEMENT

Continued from AT

dropped because of raw sewage that runs in the ditch in some areas and for the old sewer plant running over-capacity.

The county had refused to hook to the new facility, stating that to do so would result in rates that many county customers could not afford to pay.

MU on the other hand, says the refusal of ECWD to connect to the new treatment plant caused rates to go up for their customers,

In a press release from early February of this year, according to ECWD calculations, sewer ratesnot including water-would increase from an average of \$26 a month to around \$71 per month for county customers, which ECWD said is not a realistic rate for customers to pay.

IMU customers were paying about \$31 to \$37 per month on average.

proposed last week, IMU said county customer's rates would be about the same as those in the city, or about an average of thirty-something dollars.

Bill Beard. ECWD treasurer, expressed concern about rate increases, saying if residents can't pay their sewer bills, their water could be cut off too.

ECWD agreed to read the new settlement agreement carefully and meet again Monday with further questions.

Over the weekend, District Attorney Larry Anderson prepared a memorandum to the district with suggested changes to the agreement.

The ECWD discussed the memorandum and made some changes to the agreement before signing it and handing it back over to IMU.

The most significant of Under the agreement those changes is the sewer

Y FIRE COUNT

Continued from A1

bid on the condition that it meets CSEPP specifications.

of the grant monies for the Wisemantown sewer extension project have to be ap-

The Sheriff's Office Tax Settlement was approved, as well as the transport hilling

影響の形式のなどので

Argene Benton, at podium, addressed the Irvine City Council about a property near h mowing.

Preparedness Sur

CSEPP asks residents to take online Survey

This week many of you have or will receive a letter from our office asking you to participate in an online survey. If you receive this letter you were randomly selected and participation is greatly appreciated. The survey is short and will only take about 10 minutes to complete. If you prefer or do not have internet access there is also a toll-free number you can call to participate.

The nurnose of this survey is to see how

The Estill County Emment Agency (EMA)/ pile Emergency Prepai (CSEPP) would like to th of Estill County in advar ing in a Preparedness Sur this survey will help us s protect our community. For more information r

Retill County BAAA /CCR

the sewer extension project moves forward.

Proudly serving Irvine, Ravenna and Estill County since 1973 Copyright © 2014 Citizen Volce, Inc. Vol. 95 No. 6 WWW-eyt-news-com

IMU files complaint against Inside: Estill County Water District

By LISA BICKNELL CV&T News Editor

On Jan. 29, 2014, the City of Irvine Utility Commission, or, Irvine Municipal Utilities, filed suit against the Estill County Water District No. 1 in

circuit court.

According to a press release issued by IMU, ECWD and IMU have been unable to reach an agreement about sewer rates since the new sewer treatment plant was completed in February 2012, The statement also said that IMU has been making all the loan payments for the new treatment center since 2010,

IMU provides water and sewer service to residents of Irvinc. The ECWD provides water and sewer services to residents out in the county.

The complaint filed in court states that on or about June 6, 2007, the City of Irvine, the ECWD, the Fiscal Court, and IMU entered into a

See COMPLAINT A3



Pastor Spotlight



news • A8

Life-long resident of Irvine remembers city in her glory days

By LISA BICKNELL CV&T News Editor

Mildred, 91 now, was born on Jan. 21, 1923 at 298 Main Street, in the house

COMPLAINT

Continued from A1

Memorandum of Agreement for a Regional Facilities Plan for wastewater collection and treatment.

The agreement spelled out recommendations for the RFP, including that the City of Irvine's existing wastewater treatment plant should be upgraded, expanded and designated as a regional wastewater treatment plant and that the ECWD's wastewater treatment plan would be abandoned.

It stated that the wastewater collection system of both the ECWD and the City of Irvine would be kept as separate infrastructure systems, but that infrastructure improvement projects would begin that would extend wastewater collection services to underserved areas of the county.

According to the suit, the agreement said that IMU would ultimately provide waste water treatment to the ECWD in the new facility.

A new wastewater treatment facility was completed after several years, but the suit states that ECWD has refused to connect its sewer system to the regional system as agreed, has said it won't pay the rate previously agreed to and has taken steps to repair its existing wastewater treatment plant that it had agreed to abandon.

The suit also states that the actions of ECWD will increase the cost to IMU customers, which they may not be able to absorb.

The lawsuit is to force ECWD to "hook on" to IMU's sewer treatment plant immediately and states that ECWD is contractually obligated to pay IMU the fees agreed to.

Estill County Judge-Executive Wallace Taylor said the merger between IMU and ECWD began about 11 years ago when the ECWD's treatment capacity was not meeting state and federal guidelines.

Taylor said the state was threatening to fine the ECWD as much as \$25,000 a day, but the purpose of the agreed-upon merger was to "put that off" as long as IMU and the ECWD were working toward the merger, or the Regional Facilities Plan.

The county was able to get commitments from various sources for grant money to build a waste treatment facility to serve both the city and the county in the amount of around nine million dollars, but when the bids came in for the project, the cost was estimated to be closer to thirteen million.

Taylor said the Wisemantown phase of the project had to be taken out of it, but "IMU committed to borrow for the differ-



This photo was taken of the Irvine Municipal Utilities wastewater treatment facility as it neared completion in Jan. 2012. (CV&T archives)

ence," around five million.

Despite their differences, the water divisions are mutually dependent on one another. IMU sells the ECWD their water but needs the county to connect to their sewer system to keep their costs down.

Agreeing on how much to charge is the problem.

"Somewhere along the way," said Taylor, "the rates didn't get negotiated out 'to the final penny."

He went on to say that the ECWD has been in negotiation with IMU and is trying to get the rate down so customers are not "adversely affected."

Billy "Bec" Williams, with IMU, said the Regional Facilities Plan was a "set of directions" approved by everybody, but ECWD has decided "they don't like the rate."

Williams said the IMU commission decided to press the

issue in court, in hopes that they can "help us sort it out." He said the new pump station is ready for ECWD to tie into.

The suit states that unless a written defense is made by ECWD or by an attorney on their behalf and filed in the clerk's office within 20 days, judgment by default may be taken against the district which would force ECWD to hook on to the regional treatment center and pay IMU fees to use it.

ECWD board members who were notified said they were not at liberty to comment on the situation.

Audrea Miller, office administrator at the Estill County Wa ter District office, had no comment about the lawsuit which she says she hasn't seen, but she said, "I can tell you that we have been negotiating for a rate that we feel our customers can afford."

McConnell Secures Industrial Hemp Opportunities for Kentucky

Barr Statement on Passage of the Farm Bill



The Estill County Water District (ECWD) is being aned by the City of Irvine Utility Commission dba Irvine Municipal Utilities (IMU).

January 29 in Estill Circuit imord, Floyd, and Moody treatment in Estill County. PILC

The lawsun has been filed over an agreement between ECWD and IMU on collecting and treating wastewater. It states that on June 6, 2007, the city of Irvine, The lawsuit was filed ECWD, Estill Fiscal Court, and IMU entered into an Court by attorneys Michael agreement regarding a rete Eaves and Alicia A. gional facilities plan for "all of the Richmond firm, wastewater collection and Under the agreement,

the city of Irvine's existing improvements were to be wastewater treatment plant made to extend wastewater was to be upgraded. expanded, and designated as a Regional wastewater treatment plant, ECWD was to abandon their wastewater treatment plant.

of both ECWD and Irvine were to be kept as separate infrastructure systems. Certain

infrastructure

collection services to certain unserved areas of Estill County.

Under the agreement, IMU would provide wastewater treatment to ECWD. The wastewater collection Irvine also would take the lead in procuring public funds and grants to implement the regional facilities plan.

That agreement estab- sewer systems and the new lishedhowbothparties would wastewater treatment plant, operate the regional facilities plan, designated a site for a to be provided by IMU to new wastewater treatment ECWD customers, and terms plant, and discussed appli- regarding the rate setting for cable sewer rates.

On August 27. 2009, ECWD and the city of Irvine IMU obtained funding and entered in a sewer contract agreement. That agreement treatment plant, which is now set forth additional terms in- ready to come "on line." chiding maintenance for the

payment tenns for services those services.

The lawsuit states that constructed the wastewater Continued on Page x







Continued from Page 1 It adds that ECWD has water collection system to regional wastewater the treatment plant as agreed and has said it will not pay the rate previously agreed to ECWD has taken steps to repair its existing water system that are inconsistent with

Cobhill couple was arrested at local hospital for calling 911

A couple from Cob Hill were arrested January 30 at Marcum & Wallace Hospital after calling 911.

Irvine Sgt. Michael Gross said he was dispatched to the Thursday around

abandoning it.

The lawsuit says that refused to connect its waste- ECWD knows that IMU constructed a wastewater treatment plant in a size to accommodate the volumes of wastewater generated by both ECWD and IMU. It is much larger and more expensive than was necessary to accomodate the needs of IMU alone.

The acts of ECWD hae and will increase the cost to IMU customers of operating and retiring the debt on the new wastewater plant constructed by IMU. These are costs that IMU and its customers may not be able to absorb.

The lawsuit states that ECWD's refusal to "hook on" to the regional wastewater treatment plant amounts to a breach between the two parties.

IMU is seeking a judg-

ment that ECWD hook on to the regional wastewater plant immediately, pay IMU the fees agreed upon, damages, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney fees.

This lawsuit only presents IMU's side of the story. ECWD has been given 20 days to make a written defense.

> Behold, you are fair; my love! Behold, you are fair! You have dove's eyes behind your veil.

> > SONG OF SOLOWON-H

Detail of The Veiled Woman by Frances Benjamin Johnston (1896)

TRATED BIBLE

© 2014 by King Features Syndicate, Inc. World rights reserved.





1. Ist iam in tl Testame 2. WF widow | land to f er-in-lav Lydia, J. Jezebel 3. In J Israelite

M.

bookc about familie 77are SIS Madal

Times 108 Court Street P.O. Box 660 Irvine, KY 40336 606-723-5161 FAX 606-723-5509

County and IMU hash out agreement

Monday, September 22, 2014 By admin

The Estill County Water District and Irvine Municipal L tilities met with Estill County Judge-executive Wallace Taylor on Thursday, September 11, to hammer out the final details of an agreement that will result in IMU becoming the agency responsible for wastewater and sewage collection of approximately 460 of ECWD's current customers.

IMU will also gain approximately 250 future customers when the Wisemantown/Dark Hollow area sewer extension project is completed.

Board members and commissioners of both companies, their lawyers, and Judge Taylor were present at the meeting. IMU Operational Manager Bee Williams said that he still had reservations about the agreement as it was last presented to IMU from ECWD.

He questioned the section that said IMU would agree to assume fines and penalties as well as the revenues. The county is facing stiff fines if the sewer project does not move forward.

Bill Beard, ECWD treasurer, on the other hand, said the FCWD needs to protect itself from liabilities, particularly in light

of the fact that they are losing revenue as they lose customers.

Judge Taylor said the penalties for raw sewage running in the ditch line, etc., will "go away" once ECWD and IMU reach an agreement.

To clarify, IMU's attorney Mike Eaves queried, "so IMU is responsible for anything they acquire from the day they get it?" The two companies agreed that would be fair, particularly if the fines from the state are dropped per the agreement.

Williams also had some concern about the wording in the agreement about the rates. He said he would like to see the rates charged according to what it costs, instead of some set rate which could result in the rates actually being higher.

"I think the numbers need to be what the numbers need to be," he said, also advocating for a rate study.

Jerry Arnold, ECWD board member, wondered if the city took on a big project of some sort, if that would result in the county having to pay more.

Dwight Richardson, with ECWD, suggested that as long as the companies are treated as one, it would be fair. Both boards said they are willing to "pay their fair share." and they agreed that a rate study would be done in the future and a two-rate system will be maintained on the existing system. Future expansions could be subject to different rates. Both boards agreed that usage will be monitored by residential meters, and that the master meter will be used mainly to monitor inflow and infiltration.

Richardson and Williams agreed to set a date for the transfer, when IMU will assume billing of ECWD customers in Wisemantown.

Taylor then called each company into session separately, with each voting unanimously to accept the terms agreed upon in the meeting.

Laves said he could have a rough draft of the final agreement ready on Friday morning.

Judge Taylor said he has been assured that once the state has the agreement in hand, penalties for raw sewage will be dropped.

Larry Anderson, attorney for ECWD, said in his dealings with their board, the two issues they have been most concerned with are that county residents not see an unfair increase in rates, and that Wisemantown and Dark Hollow acquire service. "We think we have that commitment," he said.

The merger between ECWD and IML began more than a decade ago as part of a Regional Facilities Plan that would extend sewer service to county customers in the Wisemantown (Dark Hollow area of the county.

Grant monies are coming from the USDA Rural Utility Service, Rural Development, the Appalachian Regional Commission, and for a Community Development Block Grant.

Looking toward the future, Taylor said another 20 years would likely see sewage collection and treatment available to most of the county. He recalled Governor Fletcher saying more than a decade ago that in 20 years most of the state would have access to public water, pointing out that most of the remote sections of the county now have water.

This entry was posted to Monday. September 22nd. 2014 at 9.24 cm and is filed under the <u>1.540-05</u>. You can follow any responses to this entry through the <u>255-2</u> (feed

Comments are closed.

Copyright © 2015 Citizen Voice & Lings, All Rights Reserved. Magazine Basic theme designed by Source a. Powered by WordPress. Times 108 Court Street P.O. Box 660 Irvine, KY 40336 606-723-5161 FAX 606-723-5509

After more than a decade, sewer deal a done one

Monday, September 22, 2014 By admin

In his monthly address at Monday night's fiscal court meeting. Estill County Judge-executive Wallace Taylor said the sewer deal between the Estill county Water District and Irvine Municipal Utilities has been signed after "10 or 11 years." Both boards and their lawyers unanimously agreed to the terms laid out in the agreement, Judge Taylor said, and details are being worked out for a date to transition into a new billing cycle.

He also said a good crowd attended the ninth annual 'One Day at a Time' March for Drug Recovery that happened last Sunday.

He commended the band for being there after competing the previous night and thanked the community for supporting the March. Taylor estimated there were a few hundred people there.

He also reminded everyone that CSEPP exercises will be conducted on Wednesday, and said if anyone notices unusual activity, "we're just playing...hopefully."

Judge Taylor said the sewer deal between the Estill county Water District and Irvine Municipal Utilities has been signed, after "10 or 11 years," and the details are being worked out for a date to transition to a new billing cycle.

Also, the judge said he has visited with a local property owner about purchasing a 23,000 square foot building for a vocational school and

has spoken with a senator about acquiring some funding for it.

Estill County Fire Chief Derrick Muncie said the department has answered 52 calls this month. He said vehicle accidents are the biggest thing they respond to, particularly since school started back.

He reminded everyone that fire season begins October 1, but said it shouldn't be too big of an issue this year as long as weather conditions stay "moist."

Muncie said there will be a heavy farm equipment safety training next month at the fairgrounds.

He also said that as new water lines have been hild, new hydrants have also been installed, resulting in lower ISO ratings and considerably lower home owner's insurance for some residents out in the county.

Other items addressed on the fiscal court's agenda include:

•Permission granted by the court to advertise for the fire department's self-contained breathing apparatus grant bids

Permission granted to advertise for bids for an environmental impact study at the CSEPP tower site

·Myra Finney was appointed to fill a library board seat

•The conservation district annual report was accepted into the minutes, as well as the conservation district tax rate, the Red Lick Conservancy tax rate, and the school board tax rate.

An intrafund transfer request was approved, including \$80,000 from the general fund to the jail.

In his monthly report, County Attorney Rodney Davis said there have been numerous accounts of people disregarding the traffic officers in yellow vests down by Estill Springs Elementary. He said at least people are facing felony charges, and he reminded that disobeying traffic laws around schools can be serious business.

He also presented a memorandum on the sewer agreement between IMU and ECWD and said "it sounds reasonable to me." He said the document states that the transition is to happen on or before October 20.

Mary Skipper, director of Estill County Adult Education, was a guest at Monday night's fiscal court meeting. Estill County Judge-executive Wallace Taylor presented a proclamation to her declaring September 22-28 Adult Education and Family Literacy Week. The GED pretest can be taken for free during this period.

This entry was posted on Monday, September 20nd, 2/34 at 9/27 am and is filed under 1 acts News. You can follow any responses to this entry through the RS + 2 = feed

Comments are closed.

Copyright © 2015 <u>Citizen Voice</u> & Lines, All Rights Reserved. Magazine Basic theme designed by Daveta. Powered by WordPress.
Request No. 13

Document2

5



Request No. 15

Document2

1

٩.



LEONARD K. PETERS SECRETARY

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF ENFORCEMENT 300 FAIR OAKS LANE FRANKFORT KENTUCKY 40601 www.kentucky.gov

January 24, 2013

CERTIFIED MAIL No. 7011 3500 0002 9112 8750 Return Receipt Requested

Irvine WWTP The Honorable Billy F. Williams 238 Broadway Irvine, KY 40336

> Re: Notice of Violation AI ID: 1005 AI Name: Irvine WWTP Activity ID: ENV20130001 Facility No. KY0025909 Estill County, KY

Dear Mayor Williams:

The Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection (DEP) has issued the enclosed Notice of Violation for violations discovered at your facility. Please review this Notice of Violation carefully to ensure that all remedial measures are completed by the specified deadlines.

Your cooperation and attention to this matter is appreciated. If you have any questions, please contact me at (502) 564-2150, extension 3230.

Sincerely,

Millim

Michelle M. Rice, Enforcement Specialist Compliance and Operations Branch

Enclosure

Kerata

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ENERGY and ENVIRONMENT CABINET DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Division of Enforcement

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

To: Irvine WWTP The Honorable Billy F. Williams 238 Broadway Irvine, KY 40336

AI Name: Irvine WWTP AI ID: 1005 Activity ID: ENV20130001 County: Estill Facility Number: KY0025909 Date(s) Violation(s) Observed: 01/24/2013

This is to advise that you are in violation of the provisions cited below:

1 Violation Description for Subject Item AIOO0000001005():

No person shall, directly or indirectly, throw, drain, run or otherwise discharge into any of the waters of the Commonwealth, or cause, permit or suffer to be thrown, drained, run or otherwise discharged into such waters any pollutant, or any substance that shall cause or contribute to the pollution of the waters of the Commonwealth in contravention of the standards adopted by the cabinet or in contravention of any of the rules, regulations, permits, or orders of the cabinet or in contravention of any of this chapter. [KRS 224.70-110]

Description of Non Compliance:

Failing to comply with 401 KAR 5:065, which cites 40 CFR 122.41(a), by failing to comply with the terms and conditions of KPDES Permit No. KY0025909, Outfall 001-1, for E. Coli during the month of April 2012. The permitted limits for E. Coli Concentration are a 30-day geometric mean of 130 per 100ml and a 7-day geometric mean of 240 per 100ml. The reported result was a 7-day geometric mean of 613 per 100ml.

The remedial measure(s), and date(s) to be completed by are as follows:

The Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection (KDEP) acknowledges the explanation attached to the April 2012 Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) detailing Irvine WWTP's determination of the cause of this violation. Irvine WWTP shall comply with the terms and conditions of KPDES Permit number KY0025909. The KDEP does not currently intend to pursue a formal enforcement action at this time, but reserves its rights under KRS Chapter 224 and its administrative regulations to undertuke such enforcement action hereafter as it deems appropriate. No additional submittals are required for these violations at this time. The KDEP will continue to monitor your DMRs. [KRS 224.70-110]

Violations of the above cited statute(s) and/or regulation(s) are subject to a civit penalty per day per violation. Violations carry civil penalties of up to \$25,000 per day per violation depending on the statutes/regulations violated. In addition, violations may be concurrently enjoined. Compliance with remedial measures and their

AI: Irvine WWTP -- 1005

deadlines does not provide exemption from liability for violations during the period of remediation, nor prevent additional remedial measures from being required.

If you have questions or need further information, write or call the undersigned:

Department for Environmental Protection Division of Enforcement 300 Fair Oaks Lane Frankfort, KY 40601 502-564-2150 extension 3230 (8:15 AM – 4:15 PM) Michelle Rice, Enforcement Specialist

Issued By:

Michelle M. Ricc Environmental Enforcement Specialist Compliance and Operations Branch Date: January 24, 2013

How Delivered: Certified Mail Certified/Registered # 7011 3500 0002 9112 8750

Field Service Report

Customer:	Irvine Regional SD, KY
Address:	395 Carhartt Ave.
City, State and Zip	Irvine, KY 40336
Phone:	
Contact:	
Equipment:	UV3000+
Serial Number:	511561
Date of Service:	5/1/12
Service Technician:	Clark Roberts

Field Service request: Could not clear the alarms and check controls for loose connections.

Field Service details:

Check controls for loose connections - all okay.

The HSC had tripped out on high pressure and would not reset. I reset by turning off the switch on the HSC for 5 seconds and then turning it back to remote. And then turned down the pressure to 180 psi on the pump. Didn't have any more problems with the HSC tripping out but it wasn't wiping the full length of the modules. I added more time to the wipers and it goes the full length of the modules.

Found that the HSC wouldn't respond to the controller. I had to turn the key on the PLC from REM to RUN and back to REM to force it to run. Everything working on the PLC now.

I did more training with the plant personnel.

IRVINE MUNICIPAL UTILITIES 238 BROADWAY PHONE: 723-2197 IRVINE, KENTUCKY 40336

May 18, 2012

To whom it may concern:

Attached to my DMR's is the reason for my high e.coli for the month of April. The problem has been resolved. If you have any questions or need any more information contact me at 606-723-2343.

Tylin Jlin

Rick Tipton Sewer Plant Manager Irvine Municipal Utilities



LEONARD K. PETERS SECRETARY

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVIS ON OF ENFORCEMENT 300 FAIR OAKS LANE FRANKFORT KENTUCKY 40601 www.kentucky.gov

July 31, 2013

CERTIFIED MAIL No. 7012 1010 0002 5918 1381 Return Receipt Requested

Irvine WWTP The Honorable Billy F. Williams 238 Broadway Irvine, KY 40336

> Re: Notice of Violation Al ID: 1005 Al Name: Irvine WWTP Activity ID: ENV20130002 Facility No. KY0025909 Estill County, KY

Dear Mayor Williams:

The Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection (DEP) has issued the enclosed Notice of Violation for violations discovered at your facility Please review this Notice of Violation carefully to ensure that all remedial measures are completed by the specified deadlines.

Your cooperation and attention to this matter is appreciated. If you have any questions, please contact me at (502) 564-2150, extension 3230.

Sincerely,

Lehme

Michelle M. Rice, Enforcement Specialist Compliance and Operations Branch

Enclosure

Kentra

An Ennal Constantin Employer MICIN

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ENERGY and ENVIRONMENT CABINET DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Division of Enforcement

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

To: Irvine WWTP The Honorable Billy F. Williams 238 Broadway Irvine, KY 40336

AI Name: Irvine WWTP AI ID: 1005 Activity ID: ENV20130002 County: Estill Facility Number: KY0025909 Date(s) Violation(s) Observed: 07/31/2013

This is to advise that you are in violation of the provisions cited below:

1 Violation Description for Subject Item AIOO0000001005():

No person shall, directly or indirectly, throw, drain, run or otherwise discharge into any of the waters of the Commonwealth, or cause, permit or suffer to be thrown, drained, run or otherwise discharged into such waters any pollutant, or any substance that shall cause or contribute to the pollution of the waters of the Commonwealth in contravention of the standards adopted by the cabinet or in contravention of any of the rules, regulations, permits, or orders of the cabinet or in contravention of any of this chapter. [KRS 224.70-110]

Description of Non Compliance:

Failing to comply with 401 KAR 5:065, which cites 40 CFR 122.41(a), by failing to comply with the terms and conditions of KPDES Permit No. KY0025909, Outfall 001-1, for E. Coli during the month of March 2013. The permitted limits for E. Coli Concentration are a 30-day geometric mean of 130 per 100ml and a 7-day geometric mean of 240 per 100ml. The reported result was a 7-day geometric mean of 517 per 100ml.

The remedial measure(s), and date(s) to be completed by are as follows:

The Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection (KDEP) acknowledges the explanation attached to the March 2013 Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) detailing Irvine WWTP's determination of the cause of this violation. Irvine WWTP shall comply with the terms and conditions of KPDES Permit number KY0025909. The KDEP does not currently intend to pursue a formal enforcement action at this time, but reserves its rights under KRS Chapter 224 and its administrative regulations to undertake such enforcement action hereafter as it deems appropriate. No additional submittals are required for these violations at this time. The KDEP will continue to monitor your DMRs. [KRS 224.70-110]

Violations of the above cited statute(s) and/or regulation(s) are subject to a civil penalty per day per violation. Violations carry civil penalties of up to \$25,000 per day per violation depending on the statutes/regulations violated. In addition, violations may be concurrently enjoined. Compliance with remedial measures and their AI: Irvine WWTP -- 1005

1.5

deadlines does not provide exemption from liability for violations during the period of remediation, nor prevent additional remedial measures from being required.

If you have questions or need further information, write or call the undersigned:

Department for Environmental Protection Division of Enforcement 300 Fair Oaks Lane Frankfort, KY 40601 502-564-2150 extension 3230 (8:15 AM – 4:15 PM) Michelle Rice, Enforcement Specialist

Issued By:

Michelle M. Rice Environmental Enforcement Specialist Compliance and Operations Branch Date: July 31, 2013

How Delivered: Certified Mail Certified/Registered # 7012 1010 0002 5918 1381

Page 2



LEONARD K. PETERS SECRETARY

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Division of Water Frankfort Regional Office 200 Fair Oaks Lane, 3rd Fl Frankfort, KY 40601 www.kentucky.gov

September 27, 2013

Certified No. 7011 3500 0000 7034 3435 Return Receipt Requested

Irvine Municipal Utilities Attn: Mr. Billy Williams 238 Broadway Irvine, KY 40336

> Re: Notice of Violation AI ID: 1005 AI Name: Irvine WWTP Activity ID: ENV20130003 Permit No. KY0025909 Estill County, KY

Dear Mr. Williams:

The Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection (DEP) has issued the enclosed Notice of Violation for violations discovered at your facility. Please review this Notice of Violation carefully to ensure that all remedial measures are completed by the specified deadlines. Attached for your information and records is a copy of the inspection report performed at the facility on September 19, 2013.

An application for the proper KPDES permit must be completed and submitted to the Kentucky Division of Water by <u>November 1, 2013</u>. Failure to comply with the remedial measures or repeated violations of this requirement may subject you and or your company to an immediate referral to the Division of Enforcement.

Your cooperation and attention to this matter is appreciated. If you have any questions, please contact me at 502-564-3358.

Sincerely,

Smuly Moyer

Emily Moyer, Environmental Inspector Division of Water

Enclosure

KentuckyUnbridledSpirit com

An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Division of Water

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

To: Irvine WWTP 150 Carhartt Road Irvine, KY 40336

0 5

AI Name: Irvine WWTP AI ID: 1005 Activity ID: ENV20130003 Discovery ID: CIN20130002 County: Estill Enforcement Case ID: Date(s) Violation(s) Observed: 09/19/2013

This is to advise that you are in violation of the provisions cited below:

1 Violation Description for Subject Item AIOO0000001005:

The KPDES program requires permits for the discharge of pollutants from a point source into the waters of the Commonwealth. [401 KAR 5:055 Section 2]

Description of Non Compliance:

The facility does not hold an active KPDES permit. The facility holds KPDES Permit #KY0025909 - permit was issued on 08/01/2008 and expired on 07/31/2013. To date, DOW has not received a renewal application for the facility's KPDES permit.

The remedial measure(s), and date(s) to be completed by are as follows:

An application for the proper KPDES permit must be completed and submitted to the Kentucky Division of Water by <u>November 1, 2013</u>, Failure to comply with the remedial measures or repeated violations of this requirement may subject you and or your company to an immediate referral to the Division of Enforcement. [401 KAR 5:055 Section 2]

2 Violation Description for Subject Item AIOO0000001005:

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and KRS 224 and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application. [401 KAR 5:065 Section 2] as in [40 C.F.R. 122.41(a)].

Description of Non Compliance:

The facility has failed to comply with the terms of the permit.

The remedial measure(s), and date(s) to be completed by are as follows:

Comply with all conditions of the KPDES permit. Failure to comply with the remedial measures or repeated violations of this requirement may subject you and/or your company to an immediate referral to the Division of Enforcement. [401 KAR 5:065 Section 2] as in [40 C.F.R. 122.41(a)].

Violations of the above cited statute(s) and/or regulation(s) are subject to a civil penalty per day per violation. Violations carry civil penalties of up to \$25,000 per day per violation depending on the statutes/regulations violated. In addition, violations may be concurrently enjoined. Compliance with remedial measures and their deadlines does not provide exemption from liability for violations during the period of remediation, nor prevent additional remedial measures from being required.

If you have questions or need further information, write or call the undersigned:

Division of Water Frankfort Regional Office 200 Fair Oaks Lane, 3rd Fl Frankfort, KY 40601 502-564-3358 (8:00 AM – 4:30 PM) Emily Moyer, Environmental Inspector III

oyer

Issued By:

n 1 s

Emily Moyer, Environmental Inspector III Date: September 27, 2013

Issued By:

Robert Daniell, Environmental Control Supervisor Date: September 27, 2013

How Delivered: Certified Mail Certified/Registered # 7011 3500 0000 7034 3435



. . . 6

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF WATER 200 FAIR OAKS LANE FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601 www.kentucky.gov

January 28, 2014

Billy Williams Irvine WWTP 238 Broadway Irvine, KY 40336

> Rc: KPDES Application Complete KPDES No.: KY0025909 AI ID: 1005 Estill County, Kentucky

Dear Mr. Williams:

Your revised Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (KPDES) permit application for the abovereferenced facility was received by the Division of Water January 27, 2014. A completeness review of your permit application has been conducted and your application has been determined to be administratively complete. This means that your application will now be assigned to a technical reviewer. Please be aware that you may be asked to provide additional information to clarify, modify, or supplement your application material. In accordance with 401 KAR 5:075, Section 1(7) you are being provided written notification that your application has been deemed complete as of the date of this letter.

If you have any questions concerning this matter please contact me at (502) 564-3410 extension 4960 or by email at Erin.Lawson@ky.gov.

Sincerely.

Frin Lawson

Erin Lawson Surface Water Permits Branch Division of Water

c: TEMPO

Kentucky

KentuckyUnbridledSpirit.com

An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

LEONARD K. PETERS SECRETARY