SULLIVAN

OUNTJOY, STAINBACK &

ILLER PSC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Ronald M. Sullivan Jesse T. Mountjoy Frank Stainback James M. Miller Michael A. Fiorella R. Michael Sullivan Bryan R. Reynolds* Tyson A. Kamuf Mark W. Starnes C. Ellsworth Mountjoy John S. Wathen

November 18, 2014

Via Federal Express

Jeff Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615 RECEIVE

NOV 19 00.4

Also Licensed in Indiana

Re: In the Matter of: an Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for the Six-Month Billing Period Ending July 31, 2014 and the Pass Through Mechanism of its Three Member Distribution Cooperatives, PSC Case No. 2014-00323

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter are an original and seven (7) copies of Big Rivers Electric Corporation's responses to the Public Service Commission Staff's First Request for Information and an original and seven (7) copies of the Direct Testimony of Nicholas R. Castlen in support of the reasonableness of the environmental surcharge mechanisms of Big Rivers Electric Corporation, Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation, Kenergy Corp., and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation. I certify that on this date, copies of this letter, the testimony, and the responses were served on all parties of record by first-class mail.

Sincerely,

Erel

Tyson Kamuf

TAK/lm Enclosures

cc:

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Dwensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

DeAnna Speed Gregory J. Starheim Scott Ribble Burns E. Mercer

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

VERIFICATION

I, Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen, verify, state, and affirm that the Direct Testimony and data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

holas R. (Nick) Castlen

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen on this the 17 day of November, 2014.



Joy P. Wright Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires

Notary Public, Kentucky State-At-Large My Commission Expires: July 3, 2018 ID 513528

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

VERIFICATION

I, Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky on this the 17 day of November, 2014.



<u>Joy P. Wright</u> Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires_____

Notary Public, Kentucky State-At-Large My Commission Expires: July 3, 2018 ID 513528

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

VERIFICATION

I, Eric M. Robeson, verify, state, and affirm that that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Eric M. Robeson

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Eric M. Robeson on this the $\frac{17}{17}$ day of November, 2014.



Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires_

Notary Public, Kentucky State-At-Large My Commission Expires: July 3, 2018 ID 513528

ORIGINAL



Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION)
BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION)
OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE)
MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC)
CORPORATION FOR THE)
SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING)
JULY 31, 2014)
AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM)
OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION)
COOPERATIVES)

Case No. 2014-00323

Responses to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

FILED: November 19, 2014



AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

1 Item 1) Prepare a summary schedule showing the calculation of E(m) 2 and the surcharge factor for the expense months covered by the billing period under review. Form 1.1 can be used as a model for this summary. 3 Include the expense months for the two expense months subsequent to the 4 billing period in order to show the over- and under-recovery adjustments 5 for the months included for the billing period. Include a calculation of 6 any additional over- or under-recovery amount Big Rivers believes needs 7 8 to be recognized for the billing period under review. Include all 9 supporting calculations and documentation for the additional over- or under-recovery. 10

11

12 **Response)** Please see the attached schedule, in the format of Form 1.10, 13 covering each of the expense months from December 2013, through July 2014, 14 (*i.e.*, the expense months covered by the billing periods under review plus the 15 immediately following two months). No additional over/under recovery is sought 16 by Big Rivers Electric Corporation.

- 17
- 18

19 Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen

20

Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-1 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2013 to July 2014

Calculation of Total E(m)

ì

í ì

2 3 4 5	E(m) =OE - BAS + RORB, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allow RORB = [(RB/12) x (RORORB)]	ance Sales	De	cember 2013		Ja	nuary 2014
6 7				nvironmental Apliance Plans			vironmental pliance Plans
8							
9 10	OE		~			_	
11	BAS	=	\$	2,349,391	=	\$	2,447,581
$\frac{11}{12}$	RORB	=	\$	6,977	=	\$	21,598
13	NORB	=	\$	9,563	=	\$	10,544
14 15	E(m)	=	\$	2,351,977	=	\$	2,436,527
17 18 19 [Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge E	Billing Factor					
18 19 20	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month	Billing Factor		78.391089%	=		76.155449%
18 19 20 21	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	ş	1,843,740	=	\$	1,855,548
18 19 20 21 22	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery	=	\$		=	\$	
18 19 20 21 22 23	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	•	1,843,740	=		1,855,548
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery	= =	\$	1,843,740	=	\$	1,855,548
18 19 20 21 22 23 23 24 25 26	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	= =	\$	1,843,740	=	\$	1,855,548
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under	= = = =	\$	1,843,740 534,278 -	= =	\$ \$	1,855,548 287,040 -
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under plus Prior Period Adjustment(s)	= = = =	\$	1,843,740 534,278 -		\$ \$	1,855,548 287,040 -
18	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary) Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under plus Prior Period Adjustment(s) R(m) = Average Monthly Member System Revenue for the 12 M	= = = = = fonths	\$ \$ \$	1,843,740 534,278 - 2,378,018		\$ \$ \$	1,855,548 287,040 - 2,142,588

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-1 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 4

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2013 to July 2014

Calculation of Total E(m)

2 OE 3 BAS 4 RORB 5	AS + RORB, where = Pollution Control Operating Expenses = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sale = [(RB/12) x (RORORB)]	8	Fe	bruary 2014		I	March 2014
6 7 8		-		ivironmental ipliance Plans			nvironmental npliance Plans
9							
.0 OE .1 BAS		=	\$	2,142,172	=	\$	1,935,901
2 RORB		=	\$	20,042	=	\$	22,498
.3		=	\$	15,268	=	\$	19,953
4 E(m)		=	\$	2,137,398	=	\$	1,933,356
.6							
7 Calculation 8 9	of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Fa	actor					
7 Calculation 8 9 0 Jurisdictions	al Allocation Ratio for the Month	=		46.835735%	=		43.616093%
7 Calculation 8 9 Jurisdictions 1 Jurisdictions	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	1,001,066	=	\$	843,254
7 Calculation 8 9 Jurisdictions 1 Jurisdictions 2 Adjustment	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for (Over)/Under Recovery	=	\$		=	\$	
7 Calculation 8 9 Jurisdictions 1 Jurisdictions 2 Adjustment	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	•	1,001,066	=		843,254
7 Calculation 8 9 Jurisdictions 1 Jurisdictions 2 Adjustment 3 Prior Period	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for (Over)/Under Recovery	=	\$	1,001,066	=	\$	843,254
7 Calculation 8 9 Jurisdictions 1 Jurisdictions 2 Adjustment 3 Prior Period 4 5 Net Jurisdic 6	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for (Over)/Under Recovery Adjustment (if necessary)	=	\$	1,001,066	= = =	\$	843,254
7 Calculation 8 9 Jurisdictions 1 Jurisdictions 2 Adjustment 3 Prior Period 4 5 Net Jurisdic 6 7	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for (Over)/Under Recovery Adjustment (if necessary) tional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under plus Prior Period Adjustment(s)	= = =	\$ \$	1,001,066 47,644 -	= = =	\$ \$	843,254 914,712 -
7 Calculation 8 9 0 Jurisdictions 1 Jurisdictions 2 Adjustment 3 Prior Period 4 5 Net Jurisdic 6 7 8 R(m) = Avers 9 End	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for (Over)/Under Recovery Adjustment (if necessary) tional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under	= = =	\$ \$	1,001,066 47,644 -	= = =	\$ \$	843,254 914,712 -
7 Calculation 8 9 0 Jurisdictiona 2 Adjustment 3 Prior Period 4 5 Net Jurisdic 6 7 8 R(m) = Avera 9 End 0	al Allocation Ratio for the Month al E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for (Over)/Under Recovery Adjustment (if necessary) tional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under plus Prior Period Adjustment(s) age Monthly Member System Revenue for the 12 Months	= = =	\$ \$ \$	1,001,066 47,644 - 1,048,710	= = =	\$ \$ \$	843,254 914,712 - 1,757,966

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-1 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 4

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323

Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2013 to July 2014

Calculation of Total E(m)

.

1 2 3 4 5	E(m) =OE - BAS + RORB, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales RORB = [(RB/12) x (RORORB)]			April 2014			May 2014
6 7		-	Er	vironmental		E	nvironmental
			Con	npliance Plans		Cor	npliance Plans
8 9							
5 10	OE	=	S	2,256,112	=	\$	1,672,442
11	BAS	=	ŝ	25,433	=	ŝ	1,012,442
12	RORB	=	\$	25,051	=	\$	26,173
13 14 15	E(m)	=	\$	2,255,730	=	\$	1,698,615
17 18 19	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Fac						
20	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month	=		38.809546%	=		56.070358%
21	Jurisdictional E(m) = E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio	=	\$	875,439	=	\$	952,420
22	Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery	=	\$	458,377	=	\$	991,229
23	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=	\$	-	=	\$	-
24 25	Not Invidiational E(m) - Invidiational E(m) - Inv (() -)(1)						
26	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under plus Prior Period Adjustment(s)	_	•	1 000 010		~	1.040.040
27	plus ritor renou Aajustment(s)	=	ð	1,333,816	=	\$	1,943,649
28	R(m) = Average Monthly Member System Revenue for the 12 Months			·			
29	Ending with the Current Expense Month	=	\$	30,521,846	=	\$	28,513,247
30							
31	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
32	CESF: E(m) / R(m); as a % of Revenue	=		4.370037%	=		6.816653%

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-1 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 3 of 4



Calculation of Total E(m) and Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Months: December 2013 to July 2014

Calculation of Total E(m)

, ⁻ 1

1 2 3 4 5	E(m) =OE - BAS + RORB, where OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowar RORB = [(RB/12) x (RORORB)]	nce Sales		June 2014			July 2014
6 7				vironmental			nvironmental
8			Соп	pliance Plans		Cor	npliance Plans
9							
10	OE	=	\$	1,587,618	=	\$	2,461,632
11	BAS	=	\$	-100.1010	=	ŝ	-, 101,000
12	RORB	=	\$	33,571	=	\$	41,335
13							
14 15	E(m)	=	\$	1,621,189	=	\$	2,502,967
16							
17	Calculation of Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Bil	ling Factor					
18							
19							
20	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for the Month	=		65.017814%	=		50.273862%
21	Jurisdictional $E(m) = E(m) \times Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio$	=	\$	1,054,062	=	\$	1,258,338
22	Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery	=	\$	653,306	=	\$	755,509
23	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=	\$	-	=	\$.
24							
25	Net Jurisdictional E(m) = Jurisdictional E(m) plus (Over)/Under						
26	plus Prior Period Adjustment(s)	=	\$	1,707,368	=	\$	2,013,847
27							
28	R(m) = Average Monthly Member System Revenue for the 12 Mo	nths					
29	Ending with the Current Expense Month	=	\$	26,592,908	=	\$	24,683,935
30							
31	Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor:						
32	CESF: E(m) / R(m); as a % of Revenue	=		6.420388%	=		8.158533%

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-1 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 4

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

1 Item 2) For each of the three Member Cooperatives, prepare a summary schedule showing the Member Cooperative's pass-through 2 3 revenue requirement for the months corresponding with the billing period under review. Include the two months subsequent to the billing period 4 5 included in the review period. Include a calculation of any additional 6 over- or under-recovery amount the Member Cooperative believes needs to be recognized for the billing period under review. Include all supporting 7 calculations and documentation for the additional over- or under-8 9 recovery.

10

11 Response) The attached two sets of schedules (Attachment 1 for non-dedicated 12 delivery points and Attachment 2 for dedicated delivery points) reflect Big Rivers' 13 Members' environmental surcharge pass-through for the months corresponding to 14 Big Rivers' expense months of December 2013, through May 2014, applied to 15 Members' invoices for the service months of January 2014, through June 2014, which Big Rivers billed to its Members February 2014, through July 2014. As 16 17 illustrated in the attached schedules, there is no billing lag for dedicated delivery 18 point customers.

As requested by the Commission, the attached schedules include the Members' two billing months immediately following the review period. The information on the attached schedules was obtained from the Members' monthly

> Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-2 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

1 Environmental Surcharge Schedules provided by Big Rivers' Members. Other

than the on-going cumulative over/under recovery mechanism, no additional
over/under recovery amount is requested.

4

5

7

6 Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen

)

Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-2 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 2

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION Case No. 2014-00323

1	KENERGY CORP.						
2	ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REVIEW						
3			NON-DEDICAT	ED DELIVERY	POINT CUSTOMERS		
4 5	<u>(a)</u>	(b)	<u>(c)</u>	(d)	(e)	(1)	
6		D. D					
7		Big Rivers'				(Over)/Under	
8 9		Invoice ES Amount		.	ES Amount Billed	Recovery	
9 10		for Service	(Over)/Under	Total	to Kenergy's	[(d) for 2nd preceding	
10	Mo/Yr		Recovery	Recoverable	Customers	month less (e)	
12	Jan-14	Month \$ 603,901.94	[from (f)] \$ (52,822.62)	[(b) + (c)]	(Line 11 per Filing)	for current month]	
12	Feb-14	\$ 494,765.42	\$ (52,822.62) \$ (36,725.06)	\$ 551,079.32	\$ 475,740.33	\$ (52,822.62)	
13	Mar-14	\$ 494,765.42 \$ 226,302.72	\$ (36,725.06) \$ 42,371.63			\$ (36,725.06)	
14	Apr-14	\$ 276,403.67	\$ 42,571.65 \$ 41,564.73	\$ 268,674.35 \$ 317,968.40	\$ 508,707.69	\$ 42,371.63	
16	May-14	\$ 254,647.72	\$ 43,083.58	\$ 317,968.40 \$ 297,731.30	\$ 416,475.63 \$ 225,590.77	\$ 41,564.73	
10	Jun-14	\$ 469,396.36	\$ 43,085.58 \$ (38,571.26)		1 · ·	\$ 43,083.58	
18	Jul-14	\$ 472,223.74	\$ (36,433.25)		\$ 356,539.66 \$ 334,164.55	\$ (38,571.26) \$ (26,422.85)	
19	Aug-14	\$ 611,798.96	\$ (4,047.05)	1 . ,		\$ (36,433.25) \$ (4,047,05)	
20	Aug-14	φ 011,750.50	φ (4,041.00)	φ 007,751.91	\$ 434,872.15	\$ (4,047.05)	
20					l		
22							
23			JACKSON PUL	CHASE ENER	GY CORPORATION		
24					HARGE REVIEW		
25					POINT CUSTOMERS		
26							
27 28	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	
28 29		Big Rivers'				(Over)/Under	
30		Invoice			ES Amount Billed	Recovery	
31		ES Amount	(Over)/Under	Total	to JPEC's	[(d) for 3rd preceding	
32		for Service	Recovery	Recoverable	Customers	month less (e)	
33	Mo/Yr	Month	[from (f)]	[(b) + (c)]	(Line 11 per Filing)	for current month)]	
34	Jan-14	\$ 314,415.48	\$ (48,356.86)		\$ 179,756.16	\$ (48,356.86)	
35	Feb-14	\$ 263,353.44	\$ (109,852.11)	\$ 153,501.33	\$ 369,256.77	\$ (109,852.11)	
36	Mar-14	\$ 123,239.66	\$ (21,531.49)	\$ 101,708.17	\$ 281,843.78	\$ (21,531.49)	
37	Apr-14	\$ 150,660.98	\$ 59,071.14	\$ 209,732.12	\$ 206,987.48	\$ 59,071.14	
38	May-14	\$ 149,717.82	\$ 41,903.10	\$ 191,620.92	\$ 111,598.23	\$ 41,903.10	
39	Jun-14	\$ 263,769.79	\$ 16,086.82	\$ 279,856.61	\$ 85,621.35	\$ 16,086.82	
40	Jul-14	\$ 262,555.79	\$ (51,841.69)	\$ 210,714.10	\$ 261,573.81	\$ (51,841.69)	
41	Aug-14	\$ 349,876.95	\$ (38,990.95)	\$ 310,886.00	\$ 230,611.87	\$ (38,990.95)	

Case No. 2014-00323 <u>Attachment (1 of 2)</u> for Response to PSC 1-2 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 2

· _____

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION Case No. 2014-00323

.

ł

÷.

1		MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION						
2 3		ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REVIEW						
о 4		NON-DEDICATED DELIVERY POINT CUSTOMERS						
5	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)		
6				<u> (u) </u>				
7		Big Rivers'				(Over)/Under		
8		Invoice			ES Amount Billed	Recovery		
9		ES Amount	(Over)/Under	Total	to MCRECC's	[(d) for 1st preceding		
10		for Service Recovery Recoverable Customers				month less (e)		
11	Mo/Yr	Month	[from (f)]	[(b) + (c)]	(Line 11 per Filing)	for current month]		
12	Jan-14	\$ 279,567.56	\$ (25,838.97)	\$ 253,728.59	\$ 198,375.67	\$ (25,838.97)		
13	Feb-14	\$ 217,793.23	\$ 18,194.85	\$ 235,988.08	\$ 235,533.74	\$ 18,194.85		
14	Mar-14	\$ 98,102.77	\$ 42,915.56	\$ 141,018.33	\$ 193,072.52	\$ 42,915.56		
15	Apr-14	\$ 113,314.45	\$ 39,504.72	\$ 152,819.17	\$ 101,513.61	\$ 39,504.72		
16	May-14	\$ 91,030.33	\$ (7,713.78)	\$ 83,316.55	\$ 160,532.95	\$ (7,713.78)		
17	Jun-14	\$ 168,976.19	\$ (13,677.12)	\$ 155,299.07	\$ 96,993.67	\$ (13,677.12)		
18	Jul-14	\$ 170,418.54	\$ (4,135.42)	\$ 166,283.12	\$ 159,434.49	\$ (4,135.42)		
19	Aug-14	\$ 219,903.17	\$ (4,587.05)	\$ 215,316.12	\$ 170,870.17	\$ (4,587.05)		

Case No. 2014-00323 <u>Attachment (1 of 2)</u> for Response to PSC 1-2 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 2

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION Case No. 2014-00323

1)

1	KENERGY CORP-ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REVIEW					
2			IVERY POINT CUST			
3			<u> </u>	<u>-</u>		
4	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
5						
6		Big Rivers Electric				
7	· ·	Invoice	Service Month	Monthly		
8		Amount	to	Over/Under		
9	Service	for Service	Retail	(Column (b)		
10	Mo/Yr	Month	Consumer	less column (c)		
11	Jan-14	\$ 1,130,297.30	\$ 1,130,297.30	\$ -		
12	Feb-14	\$ 249,785.02	\$ 249,785.02			
13	Mar-14	\$ 141,504.08	\$ 141,504.08	\$-		
14	Apr-14	\$ 224,176.66	\$ 224,176.66	\$-		
15	May-14	\$ 182,793.68	\$ 182,793.68	\$ -		
16	Jun-14	\$ 283,172.86	\$ 283,172.86	\$-		
17	Jul-14	\$ 281,738.70	\$ 281,738.70	\$ -		
18 19	Aug-14	\$ 350,957.18	\$ 350,957.18	\$-		
19 20						
20 21						
21	r		SE ENERGY CORPO			
22			AL SURCHARGE REV	-		
20 24			IVERY POINT CUSTO			
$\frac{24}{25}$			IVERI POINT COSIC	AVIERS		
26 26	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		
27						
28		Big Rivers Electric				
29		Invoice	Service Month	Monthly		
30		Amount	То	(Over)/Under Recovery		
31	Service	for Service	Retail	(Column (b)		
32	Mo/Yr	Month	Consumer	Less column (c)		
33	Jan-14	\$ 2,192.09	\$ 2,192.09	\$ -		
34	Feb-14	\$ 2,178.73	\$ 2,178.73	\$ -		
35	Mar-14	\$ 1,183.58	\$ 1,183.58	\$-		
36	Apr-14	\$ 2,180.79	\$ 2,180.79	\$-		
37	May-14	\$ 2,320.47	\$ 2,320.47	\$-		
38	Jun-14	\$ 2,761.49	\$ 2,761.49	\$-		
39	Jul-14	\$ 2,552.13	\$ 2,552.13	\$-		
40	Aug-14	\$ 3,088.75	\$ 3,088.75	\$-		
41	5		-,			
42		<u>، </u>				
40						

43

44 Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation has no dedicated delivery

45 point customers.

Case No. 2014-00323 <u>Attachment (2 of 2)</u> for Response to PSC 1-2 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

--- ,

ATION

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

Refer to Form 2.5, Operating and Maintenance Expenses, for 1 Item 3) 2 each of the expense months covered by each billing period under review. 3 For each of the expense line items listed on this schedule, explain the reason(s) for any change in the expense levels from month to month if that 4 5 change is greater than plus or minus 10 percent. 6 7 Response) Please see the attached schedule of Operating and Maintenance 8 ("O&M") expenses, including the requested variance explanations, for the six 9 expense months covered by the billing period under review. 10 11 12 Witnesses) Nicholas R. Castlen (Schedules of O&M Expenses) and Lawrence V. Baronowsky (Reason(s) for Changes in Expense Levels) 13

14

Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-3 Witnesses: Nicholas R. Castlen and Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323 Form 2.5 - Operating and Maintenance Expense Analysis

			Dec-13 vs.		Jan-14 vs.		Feb-14 vs.		Mar-14 vs.	Т		Apr-14 vs.	T		May-14 vs.
	. I		Nov-13		Dec-13		Jan-14		Feb-14			Mar-14	[Apr-14
Expense Month	Nov-13	Dec-13	% Change	Jan-14	% Change	Feb-14	% Change	Mar-14	% Change		Apr-14	% Change		May-14	% Change
NOx Plan		· · · · · ·	•		•		0				<u></u>	/ // Olldingo		1149-14	_ / Onlinge
Anhydrous Ammonia	\$ 81,517	\$ 210,652	158%	\$ 104,457	-50%	\$ 126,511	21%	\$ 125.180	-1%	\$	123,473	-1%	T\$	56,910	-54%
Emulsified Sulphur for NOx	-	-	See Note 1		See Note 1		See Note 1		See Note 1	Ť		See Note 1	╀		See Note 1
Individual Expense Account Items	-	-	See Note 1		See Note 1		See Note 1		See Note 1	+		See Note 1	-	<u> </u>	See Note 1
Individual Expense Account Items		-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1		See Note 1			See Note 1	+	-	See Note 1
Total NOx Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 81,517	\$ 210,652	158%	\$ 104,457	-50%	\$ 126,511	21%	\$ 125,180	-1%	\$	123,473	-1%	\$	56,910	-5~*
						_									· · · · ·
SO2 Plan:															⁻
			Dec-13 vs.		Jan-14 vs.		Feb-14 vs.		Mar-14 vs.	Τ		Apr-14 vs.	1		May-14 va.
			Nov-13		Dec-13		Jan 14		Feb-14			Mar-14			Apr-14
Expense Month	Nov-13	Dec-13	% Change	Jan-14	% Change	Feb-14	% Change	Mar-14	% Change	[Apr-14	% Change	1	May-14	% Change
SO2 Plan Expenses:								•				8			<u> </u>
Disposal-Flyash/Bottom										Т			<u> </u>		
	\$ 204,324	\$ 255,586	25%	\$ 313,516	23%	\$ 217,644	-31%	\$ 240.541	11%	\$	274,997	14%	\$	191,055	-31%
Off Spec Gypsum	-	-	See Note 1		See Note 1	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1	- T		See Note 1	+*-	101,000	See Note 1
Fixation Lime	180,644	237,513	31%	158,943	-33%	168.184	6%	168,568			189,798	13%		134,330	-29%
Reagent-Calcium Oxide										+			1	104,000	-2376
(landfill stab.)	-	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1	-	See Note 1		See Note 1			See Note 1		_	See Note 1
Reagent-Limestone (See Note 3)	201,415	207,600	3%	247,370	19%	160,200	-35%	200,197			205.663	3%		125,408	-39%
Reagent-Lime (See Note 3)	881,164	1,174,830	33%	1,432,326	22%	1,257,442	-12%	1.061.697			1,267,685	19%	<u>+</u>	1,116,188	-35%
Emulsified Sulphur for SO2	16.047	4,239	-74%	7,172	69%	9,481	32%	6,546			6,222	-5%	<u>+</u>	6,402	3%
Reagent-DiBasic Acid	129,661	102,190	-21%	83,432	-18%	123,204	48%	61,528		-	125.146	103%		0,402	-100%
Reagent-Sodium BiSulfite for SO2	77,290	79,310	3%	47.146	-41%	44,827	-5%	43,993			36,552	-17%		12,218	-67%
Reagent-Hydroxy Basic Acid			See Note 1		See Note 1		See Note 1	10,000	See Note 1	+		See Note 1	<u> </u>	12,210	See Note 1
Total S02 Plan O&M Expenses	\$ 1,690,544	\$ 2.061.269	22%	\$ 2,289,905	11%	\$ 1,980,982	-13%	\$ 1.783.070		15	2,106.062	18%	¢	1,585,601	-25%
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	10/10	φ 1,100,010		Ψ	2,100,002	10%	φ	1,000,001	-20%
SO3 Plan;															
	I		Dec-13 vs.		Jan-14 vs.		Feb-14 vs.		Mar-14 vs.	I I		Apr-14 vs.	1		May
			Nov-13		Dec-13		Jan-14		Feb-14	ſ		Mar-14			
	Nov-13	Dec-13	% Change	Jan-14	% Change	Feb-14	% Change	Mar-14	% Change		Apr-14	% Change		V	Ap
Expense Month				Jun II	in ondinge	100-14	70 Ontange	marth_	70 Onange		Apr-14	% Change		May-14	<u>یں میں Car</u>
Expense Month SO3 Plan Expenses:															
SO3 Plan Expenses:	I	\$ 39.864	63%	\$ 27.544	-31%	\$ 9,955	-64%	\$	-100%	l é –	T	Son Note 1	æ	9 000 1	Car Made 1
SO3 Plan Expenses: Hydrated Lime - SO3		\$ 39,864	63% See Note 1	\$ 27,544	-31% See Note 1	\$ 9,955	64% See Note 1	\$ -	-100%	\$		See Note 1	\$	3,262	See Note 1
SO3 Plan Expenses: Hydrated Lime - SO3 Individual Expense Account Items Individual Expense Account Items	I	\$ <u>39,864</u> - -		\$ 27,544		\$	-64% See Note 1 See Note 1	\$ <u>-</u>	See Note 1	\$		See Note 1	\$	3,262	See Note 1
SO3 Plan Expenses: Hydrated Lime - SO3 Individual Expense Account Items Individual Expense Account Items	I		See Note 1	\$ 27,544 	See Note 1		See Note 1	\$ \$	See Note 1 See Note 1	Ē		See Note 1 See Note 1			See Note 1 See Note 1
SO3 Plan Expenses: Hydrated Lime - SO3 Individual Expense Account Items Individual Expense Account Items	\$ 24,518 - -		See Note 1 See Note 1		See Note 1 See Note 1		See Note 1 See Note 1	-	See Note 1	\$ \$		See Note 1			See Note 1
SO3 Plan Expenses: Hydrated Lime - SO3 Individual Expense Account Items Individual Expense Account Items	\$ 24,518 - - \$ 24,518		See Note 1 See Note 1		See Note 1 See Note 1		See Note 1 See Note 1	-	See Note 1 See Note 1 -100%	\$		See Note 1 See Note 1	\$		See Note 1 See Note 1

Note 1: Percentage change not calculated because the cost incurred during the prior expense month was \$0.

Note 2: The monthly totals for Disposal Bottom Ash, Disposal Flyash and Disposal Flyash/Bottom Ash/Sludge have been consolidated due to similarity to better facilitate Note 3: The ES filing for the February 2014 expense month reported \$160,200 as Reagent-Lime for Wilson, which should have been reported as Reagent-Limestone. The table above represents the correct amounts that should have been reported for Reagent-Lime and Reagent-Limestone in the February 2014 ES filing (i.e. \$160,200 has been removed from the Reagent-Lime amount and added to the Reagent-Limestone amount). This classification error had no impact on the ES factor calcualted in the ES filing for the February 2014 expense month.

Case No. 2014-00323 ^{2014 e}

Attachment for Response to PSC 1-3 Witnesses: Nicholas R. Castlen and Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 3

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323 Form 2.5 - Operating and Maintenance Expense Analysis

<u>Variance Explanations</u>:

Anhydrous Ammonia:

rannyarous runnonna.		
Dec-13 vs. Nov-13	158%	The increase was due to increased generation at HMP&L and timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson and HMP&L.
Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	-50%	The decrease was due to 21% reduction in generation (225 FOH) and timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson.
Feb-14 vs. Jan-14	21%	The increase was due to increased generation at Wilson from previous month.
May-14 vs Apr-14	-54%	The decrease was due to a 55% reduction in generation at Wilson and HMP&L.

ł

Disposal-Flyash/Bottom Ash/ Sludge:

Dec-13 vs. Nov-13	25%	The increase was due to a 36% increase in generation at Green and HMP&L that was offset slightly by a decrease at Wilson due
Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	23%	The increase was due to 6% increase in generation at Green and HMP&L and the contractor hauled more tons of bottom ash in
Feb-14 vs. Jan-14	-31%	The decrease was due to a 10% decrease in generation at Green & HMP&L and the contractor hauled fewer tons of bottom ash
Mar-14 vs. Feb-14	11%	The increase was due to a 10% increase in generation between Green, HMP&L and Wilson.
Apr-14 vs. Mar-14	14%	The Wilson landfill management contract was allowed to lapse in anticipation of idling the Wilson station at the end of April
Apr-14 vs. Mar-14		2014. The increase was due to a price increase from the interim landfill contractor at Wilson.
May-14 vs Apr-14	-31%	The decrease was due to a 528 hour planned outage at Wilson.

Fixation Lime:

Dec-13 vs. Nov-13	31%	The increase was due to a 36% increase in generation at Green and HMP&L.
Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	-33%	The decrease was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing and operational needs at the Wilson landfill,
Apr-14 vs. Mar-14	13%	The increase was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Green and operational needs at the Wilson landfill.
May-14 vs Apr-14	-29%	The decrease was due to a 528 hour planned outage at Wilson and 25% decrease in generation at HMP&L.

Reagent Limestone:

Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	19%	The increase was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson.
Feb-14 vs. Jan-14	-35%	The decrease was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson.
Mar-14 vs. Feb-14	25%	The increase was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson.
May-14 vs Apr-14	-39%	The decrease was due to a 528 hour planned outage at Wilson.

Reagent-Lime:

Dec-13 vs. Nov-13	33%	The increase was due to a 36% increase in generation at Green and HMP&L.
Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	22%	The increase was due to 6% increase in generation at Green and HMP&L and timing of product delivery and invoicing at Green.
Feb-14 vs. Jan-14	-12%	The decrease was due to a 10% decrease in generation at Green & HMP&L.
Mar-14 vs. Feb-14	-16%	The decrease was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Green.
Apr-14 vs. Mar-14	19%	The increase was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Green.
May-14 vs Apr-14	-12%	The decrease was due to a 26% reduction in generation at HMP&L.

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-3 Witnesses: Nicholas R. Castlen and Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 2 of 3

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323 Form 2.5 - Operating and Maintenance Expense Analysis

Emulsified Sulfur for SO2:

1

Dec-13 vs. Nov-13	-74%	Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. Two invoices (loads) were processed in November and no invoices were processed in December at HMP&L.
Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	69%	Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. No invoices (loads) were processed in December and one invoice was processed in January at HMP&L.
Feb-14 vs. Jan-14	32%	Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. No invoices (loads) were processed in January and one invoice was processed in February at Wilson.
Mar-14 vs. Feb-14	-31%	Emulsified sulfur is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. One invoice (load) was processed in February and no invoices were processed in March at Wilson.

Reagent-Dibasic Acid:

Dec-13 vs. Nov-13	-21%	The decrease was due to a 5% reduction in generation and timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson.
Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	-18%	The decrease was due to 21% reduction in generation (225 FOH) at Wilson.
Feb-14 vs. Jan-14	48%	The increase was due to a 30% increase in generation from previous month and timing of product delivery and invoicing at
Mar-14 vs. Feb-14	-50%	The decrease was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson.
Apr-14 vs. Mar-14	103%	The increase was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing at Wilson.
May-14 vs Apr-14	-100%	The decrease was due to a 528 hour planned outage at Wilson.

Reagent-Sodium BiSulfite for SO2:

Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	-41%	'Sodium BiSulfite is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. The decrease was due to 21% reduction in generation (225 FOH) and an attempt to drop inventory to zero by end of January for planned layup at Wilson.
Apr-14 vs. Mar-14	-17%	Sodium BiSulfite is ordered on an as needed basis and added in batch based on scrubber chemistry. The decrease was due to
May-14 vs Apr-14	-67%	The decrease was due to a 528 hour planned outage at Wilson.

Hydrated Lime - SO3:

Dec-13 vs. Nov-13	63%	The increase was due to timing of product delivery and invoicing and operational needs related to ambient conditions at Wilson.
Jan-14 vs. Dec-13	-31%	The decrease was due to operational needs related to ambient conditions at Wilson.
Feb-14 vs. Jan-14	-64%	The decrease was due to operational needs related to ambient conditions at Wilson.
Mar-14 vs. Feb-14	-100%	The decrease was due to operational needs related to ambient conditions at Wilson.

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-3 Witnesses: Nicholas R. Castlen and Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 3 of 3

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

1 Item 4) Refer to Big Rivers' monthly environmental surcharge reports 2 for the expense months in this review period. Provide the calculations and 3 supporting data for the rates of return included in each monthly 4 environmental surcharge filing. Provide all supporting calculations and 5 documentation in Excel spreadsheet format, with formulas intact and 6 unprotected and all rows and columns accessible.

8 **Response)** Please see the attachment to this response for the calculations and 9 supporting data for the rates of return included in each monthly environmental 10 surcharge filing for the expense months in this review period. These calculations 11 are also provided in Excel spreadsheet format, with formulas intact and 12 unprotected and all rows and columns accessible, on the CD accompanying these 13 responses.

14 15

7

- 16 Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen
- 17

Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-4 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323 Calculation of Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base For the Expense Months: December 2013 to May 2014

Calculation of Monthly Rate of Return

1		<u>Dec-13</u>	<u>Jan-14</u>	
2	<u>Month-to-Date Interest Expense on Long-Term Debt</u> :		<u></u>	
3	RUS - Series A Note	\$ 396,821.26	\$ 396,875.64	
4	RUS - Series B Note	\$ 670,154.10	\$ 679,948.90	
5	CoBank - Series 2012A Notes	\$ 836,481.47	\$ 829,505.42	:
6	CFC - Series 2012B Refinance Note	\$ 847,103.17	\$ 1,022,551.78	
7	CFC - Series 2012B Equity Note	\$ 185,284.56	\$ 185,284.56	
8	Series 2010A P.C. Bonds	\$ 416,500.00	\$ 416,500.00	
9		_		
10	(a) Total Monthly Interest Expense on Long-Term Debt	\$ 3,352,344.56	\$ 3,530,666.30	
11				
12	Total Outstanding Long-Term Debt (Beginning of Month)	\$ 852,980,908.70	\$ 853,085,747.12	
13	Total Outstanding Long-Term Debt (End of Month)	\$ 853,085,747.12	\$ 853,097,475.39	
14	(b) Average Outstanding Long-Term Debt during Month	\$ 853,033,327.91	\$ 853,091,611.26	
15				
16	(c) Number of Days During Year	365	365	
17				
18	(d) Number of Days During Month	31	31	
19		 	 	-
20	(e) Average Cost of Debt $[(a) \div (b)] x [(c) \div (d)]$	4.63%	4.87%	ł
21				-
22	(f) Applicable TIER ¹	1.24	1.24	
23		 		
24	(g) Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base [(e) x (f)]	 5.74%	 6.04%	
25				

-- -,

26

 ¹ Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER") applicable to the average cost of debt for calculating the Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Plan Rate Base per the ES - Environmental Surcharge Tariff approved by Order of the Commission dated October 1, 2012 (Case No. 2012-00063).

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-4 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 3

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323 Calculation of Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base For the Expense Months: December 2013 to May 2014

Calculation of Monthly Rate of Return

1					B.C. 7.4
2	Month to Data Interest Ennence on Long Town Date		<u>Feb-14</u>		<u>Mar-14</u>
	Month-to-Date Interest Expense on Long-Term Debt:	•		<u>م</u>	
3	RUS - Series A Note	\$	358,471.71	\$	396,879.39
4	RUS - Series B Note	\$	614,147.40	\$	679,948.90
5	CoBank - Series 2012A Notes	\$	749,230.70	\$	828,649.32
6	CFC - Series 2012B Refinance Note	\$	924,526.24	\$	1,015,866.15
7	CFC - Series 2012B Equity Note	\$	185,284.55	\$	183,803.25
8	Series 2010A P.C. Bonds	\$	416,500.00	\$	416,500.00
9				<u> </u>	
10	(a) Total Monthly Interest Expense on Long-Term Debt	\$	3,248,160.60	\$	3,521,647.01
11					
12	Total Outstanding Long-Term Debt (Beginning of Month)	\$	853,097,475.39	\$	850,042,599.68
13	Total Outstanding Long-Term Debt (End of Month)	\$	850,042,599.68	Ś	850,110,265.88
14	(b) Average Outstanding Long-Term Debt during Month	\$	851,570,037.54		850,076,432.78
15					
16	(c) Number of Days During Year		365		365
17					000
18	(d) Number of Days During Month		28		31
19					
20	(e) Average Cost of Debt $[(a) \div (b)] \times [(c) \div (d)]$		4.97%		4.88%
21			10170		4.00%
22	(f) Applicable TIER 1		1.24		1.04
23			1.24		1.24
20 24	(g) Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base $[(e) x (f)]$		6.16%		6.05%
25	(a)		0.10/0		0.0070
26					
20					

¹ Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER") applicable to the average cost of debt for calculating the Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Plan Rate Base per the ES - Environmental Surcharge Tariff approved by Order of the Commission dated October 1, 2012 (Case No. 2012-00063).

Case No. 2014-00323

Attachment for Response to PSC 1-4 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 2 of 3

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2014-00323 Calculation of Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base For the Expense Months: December 2013 to May 2014

Calculation of Monthly Rate of Return

1	•		<u>Apr-14</u>		<u>May-14</u>
2	<u>Month-to-Date Interest Expense on Long-Term Debt</u> :		_ _		
3	RUS - Series A Note	\$	384, 129.64	\$	396,935.84
4	RUS - Series B Note	\$	667,423.38	\$	689,670.83
5	CoBank - Series 2012A Notes	\$	795,915.99	\$	822,446.52
6	CFC - Series 2012B Refinance Note	\$	983,306.95	\$	1,015,866.16
7	CFC - Series 2012B Equity Note	\$	183,803.25	\$	183,803.25
8	Series 2010A P.C. Bonds	\$	416,500.00	\$	416,505.67
9					,
10	(a) Total Monthly Interest Expense on Long-Term Debt	\$	3,431,079.21	\$	3,525,228.27
11			• •	•	, ,
12	Total Outstanding Long-Term Debt (Beginning of Month)	\$	850,110,265.88	\$	850,121,656.60
13	Total Outstanding Long-Term Debt (End of Month)	\$	850,121,656.60	\$	847,041,236.67
14	(b) Average Outstanding Long-Term Debt during Month	\$	850,115,961.24	\$	848,581,446.64
15		•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*	
16	(c) Number of Days During Year		365		365
17					
18	(d) Number of Days During Month		30		31
19					01
20	(e) Average Cost of Debt $[(a) \div (b)] \times [(c) \div (d)]$		4.91%		4.89%
21					1.007.0
22	(f) Applicable TIER ¹		1.24		1.24
23			1.44		1.24
24	(g) Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base [(e) x (f)]	<u> </u>	6.09%		6.06%
25	(5) $1000010000000000000000000000000000000$		0.03/0		0.00%
25 26					
20					

¹ Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER") applicable to the average cost of debt for calculating the Rate of Return on Environmental Compliance Plan Rate Base per the ES - Environmental Surcharge Tariff approved by Order of the Commission dated October 1, 2012 (Case No. 2012-00063).

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-4 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 3 of 3

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

1	Item 5)	Refer to the monthly environmental surcharge report for the
2	expense m	onth of December 2013. Provide ES Forms 3.00 and 3.10 that
3	were omitte	ed from the monthly report.
4		·
5	Response)	Please see attachments for ES Forms 3.00 and 3.10 for the December
6	2013, expen	se month.
7		
8		
9	Witness)	Nicholas R. Castlen
10		

Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-5 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

Form 3.00

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

. |

Monthly Average Revenue Computation of R(m)

For the Expense Month Ending: December 31, 2013

Revenues from Member Systems (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)																	any Revenues		
(1)	<u> </u>	(2)	┝	(3)	-	(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)	<u> </u>	(9)		(10)	
		Base Rate		Fuel Clause	I	Non-FAC PPA	ł	Environmental Surcharge		Total		otal Excluding nvironmental Surcharge				Total		otal Excluding Cavironmental Surcharge	
Month	 	Revenues		Revenues		Revenues		Revenues	(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)		_ (6)-(5)	O	<u>f-System</u> Sales		(6)+(8)]	(9)-(5)	
lan-13	\$	39,667,621	\$	2,352,659	\$	(569,036)	\$	2,233,271	\$	43,684,515	\$	41,451,244	\$	4,952,414	\$	48,636,929	\$	46,403,658	
Feb-13	\$	36,326,828	\$	2,949,864	\$	(690,689)	\$	2,091,277	\$	40,677,280	\$	38,586,003	\$	5,152,545	\$	45,829,825	\$	43,738,548	
Mar-13	\$	38,599,003	\$	3,395,157	\$	(751,640)	\$	1,998,252	\$	43,240,772	\$	41,242,520	\$	4,873,895	\$	48,114,667	\$	46,116,415	
Apr-13	\$	35,119,405	\$	2,719,827	\$	(545,778)	\$	1,943,237	\$	39,236,691	\$	37,293,454	\$	6,344,920	\$	45,581,611	\$	43,638,374	
May-13	\$	37,184,296	\$	3,158,926	\$	(667,862)	\$	1,959,881	\$	41,635,241	\$	39,675,360	\$	6,369,941	\$	48,005,182	\$	46,045,301	
lun-13	\$	38,022,261	\$	3,196,526	\$	(744,767)	\$	2,150,673	\$	42,624,693	\$	40,474,020	\$	4,297,752	\$	46,922,445	\$	44,771,772	
ul-1 3	\$	39,297,514	\$	2,894,034	\$	(757,120)	\$	2,174,573	\$	43,609,001	\$	41,434,428	\$	7,837,546	\$	51,446,547	\$	49,271,974	
Aug-13	\$	35,380,908	\$	2,650,882	\$	(685,720)	\$	2,050,507	\$	39,396,577	\$	37,346,070	\$	8,410,368	\$	47,806,945	\$	45,756,438	
Sep-13	\$	29,696,589	\$	1,344,244	\$	(240,502)	\$	1,470,315	\$	32,270,646	\$	30,800,331	\$	8,541,159	\$	40,811,805	\$	39,341,490	
Oct-13	\$	24,263,748	\$	1,456,005	\$	(197,307)	\$	1,312,591	\$	26,835,037	\$	25,522,446	\$	9,994,085	\$	36,829,122	\$	35,516,531	
Nov-13	\$	28,044,375	\$	1,441,672	\$	(112,372)	\$	1,859,202	\$	31,232,877	\$	29,373,675	\$	5,231,034	\$	36,463,911		34,604,709	
Dec-13	\$	<u>30,7</u> 51,665	\$	1,528,136	\$	(151,784)	\$	1,932,812	\$	34,060,829	\$	32,128,017	\$	8,856,255	\$	42,917,084	\$	40,984,272	
<u> Fotals</u>	\$	412,354,213	\$	29,087,932	\$	(6,114,577)	\$	23,176,591	\$	458,504,159	\$	435,327,568	\$	80,861,914	\$	539,366,073	\$	516,189,482	
Average Mo Expense Mo		Member System	ı Re	venues, Excluding	g Env	vironmental Surch:	arge	e, for 12 Months E	ndin	g Current	\$	36,277,297		· · · ·	-	· · · · ·	-		

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-5 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 2

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Monthly Revenue Detail for Average Revenue Computation of R(m)

For the Expense Month Ending: December 31, 2013

		-								
<u>Class</u>	 Demand		Energy	 Base Rates	FAC	1	Non-FAC PPA		ES	Total
Rural	\$ 6,258,576	\$	8,286,758	\$ 14,545,334	\$ 615,114	\$	(92,101) \$;	906,507	\$ 15,974
Large Industrial	\$ 1,572,308	\$	2,348,879	\$ 3,921,187	\$ 203,413	\$	(30,457) \$	1	246,302	\$ 4,340,445
Subtotal	\$ 7,830,884	\$	10,635,637	\$ 18,466,521	\$ 818,527	\$	(122,558) \$;	1,152,809	\$ 20,315,299

							<u>-</u>	 Revenue				
Smelter	Base Monthly Energy (KWH)	-	r emium 1025 / kWh)]	Base Monthly Energy	J	Base Monthly Energy Less Premium	FAC	N	on-FAC PPA	ES	Total
Alcan	273,136,707	\$	68,284	\$	12,353,427	\$	12,285,143	\$ 709,609	\$	(29,226)	\$ 780,002	\$ 13,745,528
Century		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ 	\$		\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal	273,136,707	\$	68,284	\$	12,353,427	\$	12,285,143	\$ 709,609	\$	(29,226)	\$ 780,002	\$ 13,745,528

Total	\$ 30,751,664 \$	1,528,136 \$	(151,784) \$	1,932,811 \$	34,06

Case No. 2014-00323 Attachment for Response to PSC 1-5 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 2 Form 3.10

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

1	Item 6)	Ref	er to Big Rivers' response to Commission Staff's Second
2	Request for	r Inf	ormation in Case No. 2014-00097, Item 2.4
3			
4		<i>a</i> .	Provide an update of Big Rivers' evaluation of the results
5			of the particulate tests conducted at Wilson Station which
6			indicated that Big Rivers could potentially comply with
7			the Mercury and Air Toxics Standard ("MATS")
8			requirements.
9		b .	Provide an update of the status of the one-year extension
10			request for MATS compliance submitted to the Kentucky
11			Division of Air Quality. Provide copies of any
12			documentation received regarding the granting or denial
13			of the extension if available.
14			
15			
16	Response)		
17		a.	Big Rivers has concluded that the Wilson Station can comply
18			with all emission standards of the MATS rule by utilizing Dry

Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-6 Witness: Eric M. Robeson Page 1 of 2

⁴ Case No. 2014-00097, An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for the Six-Month Billing Period Ending January 31, 2014 and the Pass Through Mechanism of Its Three Member Distribution Cooperatives (Ky. PSC Sept. 10, 2014).

and RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPUNATION

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES CASE NO. 2014-00323

Response to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information dated October 24, 2014

November 19, 2014

1			Sorbent Injection ("DSI") only. Big Rivers will install a new DSI
2			system in 2015 to conform with the provisions of the rule.
3		b.	Please see the attached letters from the Division of Air Quality,
4			Department of Environmental Protection, Commonwealth of
5			Kentucky's Energy and Environment Cabinet granting
6			extension requests for Big Rivers' Green Station, Reid Station,
7			and Wilson Station, respectively.
8			
9			
10	Witness)	Eric	c M. Robeson
11			

Case No. 2014-00323 Response to PSC 1-6 Witness: Eric M. Robeson Page 2 of 2



Energy and Environment Cabinet Department for Environmental Protection Division for Air Quality 200 Fair Oaks Lane, 1st Floor Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 www.air.ky.gov

Leonard K. Peters Secretary

September 23, 2014

Mr. Mark Bertram, Manager, Environmental Services Big Rivers Electric Corporation P.O. Box 24 Henderson, Kentucky 42419-0024

RE:	Compliance extension	on approval for 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUUUU
	Permittee Name:	Big Rivers Electric Corporation
	Source Name:	Green Station
	AI/ID/Activity:	44411/21-233-00052/APE20140001
	Permit:	V-05-031 R1

Dear Mr. Bertram:

Steven L. Beshear

Governor

This letter is in response to your letter dated July 28, 2014, requesting a compliance extension to the federal Mercury and Air Toxic Standards (MATS) requirements for the Green Station located in Webster County, Kentucky. After reviewing the request, the Division concludes that the submittal contains sufficient information to make a determination regarding the request for an extension of compliance. Furthermore, the Division grants the compliance extension request for Emissions Units 1 and 2 until April 16, 2016. This compliance extension applies to the requirements established under 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUUUU.

In accordance with 40 CFR 63.6(i)(4), the conditions of the extension of compliance, specifically the compliance date, granted through this approval letter will be incorporated into the Title V permit upon the next significant revision or renewal. If you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Derek Picklesimer, Combustion Section Supervisor of the Permit Review Branch at (502) 564-3999, extension 4464.

Sincerely,

Sean alteri

Sean Alteri Director

SA/DP

Printed on Recycled Paper



KentuckyUnbridledSpirit.com



Governor

Energy and Environment Cabinet Department for Environmental Protection Division for Air Quality

200 Fair Oaks Lane, 1st Floor Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 www.air.ky.gov Leonard K. Peters Secretary

June 9, 2014

Mr. Mark Bertram, Manager, Environmental Services Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street P.O. Box 24 Henderson, Kentucky 42419-0024

RE:	Compliance extension	on approval for 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUUUU
	Permittee Name:	Big Rivers Electric Corporation
	Source Name:	Reid/Henderson Station II Generating Station
	AI/ID/Activity:	4196/21-233-00001/APE20140001
	Permit:	V-11-003

Dear Mr. Bertram:

This letter is in response to your letter dated May 9, 2014, requesting a compliance extension to the federal Mercury and Air Toxic Standards (MATS) requirements for the Reid/Henderson Station II Generating Station located in Webster County, Kentucky. After reviewing the request, the Division concludes that the submittal contains sufficient information to make a determination regarding the request for an extension of compliance. Furthermore, the Division grants the compliance extension request for Reid Unit 1 until April 16, 2016. This compliance extension applies to the requirements established under 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUUUU.

In accordance with 40 CFR 63.6(i)(4), the conditions of the extension of compliance, specifically the compliance date, granted through this approval letter will be incorporated into the Title V permit upon the next significant revision or renewal. If you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Derek Picklesimer, Combustion Section Supervisor of the Permit Review Branch at (502) 564-3999, extension 4464.

Sincerely, E-Signed by Sean Alteri VERIFY authenticity with Approvel

Sean Alteri Director

SA/DP

KentuckyUnbridledSpirit.com

An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

Printed on Recycled Paper



Energy and Environment Cabinet Department for Environmental Protection Division for Air Quality 200 Fair Oaks Lane, 1st Floor Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 www.air.ky.gov

June 23, 2014

Mr. Mark Bertram, Manager, Environmental Services Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street P.O. Box 24 Henderson, KY 42419-0024

RE: Compliance extension approval for 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUUUU Permittee Name: Big Rivers Electric Corporation Source Name: Wilson Station AI/ID/Activity: 3319/21-183-00069/APE20140001

Dear Mr. Bertram:

Steven L. Beshear

Governor

This letter is in response to your letter dated May 5, 2014, and additional information received on June 12, 2014, requesting a compliance extension to the federal Mercury and Air Toxic Standards (MATS) requirements for the Wilson Station located in Ohio County, Kentucky. After reviewing the request, the Division concludes that the submittal contains sufficient information to make a determination regarding the request for an extension of compliance. Furthermore, the Division grants the compliance extension request for Emission Unit 01 (W1) until April 16, 2016. This compliance extension applies to the requirements established under 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUUUU.

In accordance with 40 CFR 63.6(i)(4), the conditions of the extension of compliance, specifically the compliance date, granted through this approval letter will be incorporated into the Title V permit upon the next significant revision or renewal. If you have further questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Derek Picklesimer, Combustion Section Supervisor of the Permit Review Branch, at (502) 564-3999, extension 4464.

Sincerely,

E-Signed by Sean Alteri IFY authenticity with Approvel

Sean Alteri Director

SA/dp

Printed on Recycled Paper



An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

Leonard K. Peters Secretary



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM)))))))	Case No. 2014-00323
BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2014 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM OF ITS THREE MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES)))))	2014-00323

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

NICHOLAS R. CASTLEN

ON BEHALF OF

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION, JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION, KENERGY CORP., AND MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

FILED: November 19, 2014

Case No. 2014-00323 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 9

1 2 3 4		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF NICHOLAS R. CASTLEN
5	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position.
6	A.	My name is Nicholas R. Castlen, and my business address is Big Rivers
7		Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers"), 201 Third Street, Henderson, Kentucky,
8		42420. I am the Manager of Finance at Big Rivers.
9	Q.	Please summarize your education and professional experience.
10	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science in Accounting from the University of
11		Kentucky in 2006 and a Master of Science in Accounting from the
12		University of Kentucky in 2007. I became a Certified Public Accountant
13		("CPA") in the state of Kentucky in 2007.
14		Before assuming my current position as Manager of Finance in July
15		2013, I was a Staff Accountant at Big Rivers primarily responsible for the
16		accounting and administration of the Company's long- and short-term debt
17		obligations and various rate mechanisms. Prior to joining Big Rivers, I was
18		a Revenue Accounting Analyst at LG&E and KU Energy LLC from
19		December 2009 to April 2012, where I was responsible for various financial
20		accounting, reporting, and analysis roles for retail and wholesale, electric
21		and gas utility revenues. From January 2006 to December 2009, I was
22		employed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as an Audit and Assurance
23		Associate.

· -- .

,----, , ,

24

---(

> Case No. 2014-00323 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 9

1 Q. Please summarize your duties at Big Rivers.

2 As the Manager of Finance my primary responsibilities involve providing Α. 3 direction and oversight to corporate accounting and finance activities related to the Company's financial reporting requirements, 4 debt 5 administration, financial forecasting, cash management, taxes (income, 6 property, sales, and use), and fixed assets. Additionally, I am responsible 7 for Big Rivers' following rate mechanisms and the related filings with the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission"): Fuel Adjustment 8 9 Clause ("FAC"), Environmental Surcharge ("ES"), Unwind Surcredit ("US"), 10 and Non-FAC Purchased Power Adjustment ("NFPPA").

۱<u>۱</u>.

11 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

A. Yes. I've provided testimony and responses to data requests in Case Nos.
2012-00534, 2013-00139, 2013-00347, and 2014-00097 (reviews of Big
Rivers' ES mechanism). I have also served as a witness and provided
responses to data requests in Case Nos. 2012-00555, 2013-00266, 201300449, and 2014-00230 (reviews of Big Rivers' FAC mechanism).

17 Q. On whose behalf are you filing this testimony?

18 A. I am filing this testimony on behalf of Big Rivers and its three member
19 distribution cooperatives, Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation ("JPEC"),
20 Kenergy Corp. ("Kenergy"), and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative
21 Corporation ("Meade County") (collectively, "the Members").

22

1 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the application of Big Rivers' ES mechanism as billed from February 1, 2014 through July 31, 2014 (which corresponds to the expense months of December 2013 through May 2014). Additionally, I have coordinated with Big Rivers' Members in the preparation of this testimony and prepared responses to the Commission Staff's First Request for Information ("Commission's Initial Requests") that accompany this testimony.

9 This testimony also includes information the Members have provided 10 me in support of their pass-through mechanisms that are also under review in this proceeding and that the Members use to pass through, to their retail 11 12 members, the costs Big Rivers charges to them under Big Rivers' ES 13 mechanism. The review period for the Members' pass-through mechanisms 14 that corresponds to the February 1, 2014 through July 31, 2014 billing 15 period for Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism are the billing 16 months of March 2014 through August 2014 for non-dedicated delivery 17 point customers (*i.e.*, there is a one-month lag for non-dedicated delivery 18 point customers), and February 2014 through July 2014 for dedicated 19 delivery point customers (*i.e.*, there is no billing lag for dedicated delivery 20 point customers).

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

22

Case No. 2014-00323 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 9 1 Q. Please provide a brief overview of Big Rivers' ES mechanism.

Ι,

A. Big Rivers' ES mechanism was approved by Order of the Commission dated
June 25, 2008, in Case No. 2007-00460, which was part of the transaction
that unwound Big Rivers' 1998 lease with E.ON U.S. LLC and its affiliates
(the "Unwind Transaction") that was approved by Order of the Commission
dated March 6, 2009, in Case No. 2007-00455. Big Rivers' ES went into
effect immediately following the July 16, 2009, closing of the Unwind
Transaction for service commencing July 17, 2009.

Big Rivers' environmental compliance plan approved by the
Commission in Case No. 2007-00460 (the "2007 Plan") consists of programs,
and the related costs, for controlling sulfur dioxide ("SO₂"), nitrogen oxide
("NOx"), and sulfur trioxide ("SO₃"). The environmental surcharge costs Big
Rivers may recover under KRS 278.183, and its 2007 Plan, include the costs
of reagents, sludge and ash disposal, and emission allowances.

15 For the SO₂ program, Big Rivers recovers through its ES mechanism 16 the costs of reagents, the costs for the disposal of coal combustion 17 byproducts (fly ash, bottom ash, and scrubber sludge), and the costs of 18 purchasing SO₂ emission allowances. For the NO_x program, Big Rivers 19 recovers the costs of reagents and the costs of purchasing additional NO_X 20 emission allowances as needed. For the SO₃ program, Big Rivers recovers 21 reagent costs. Due to generating unit design differences and Big Rivers' 22 compliance plan, each of Big Rivers' generating units utilizes a different

> Case No. 2014-00323 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 5 of 9

combination of reagents. Depending on the unit facilities, various reagents are used to treat the flue gas, thereby removing the three targeted emissions. The SO₂ reagents are comprised of emulsified sulfur, lime, fixation lime, limestone, dibasic acid, and sodium bisulfite. The NO_X reagents are comprised of anhydrous ammonia and emulsified sulfur. The reagent used for SO₃ is hydrated lime. Note that the 2007 Plan included only operating and maintenance ("O&M") costs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

In its Order dated October 1, 2012, in Case No. 2012-00063, the 8 9 Commission approved certain additions to the 2007 Plan relating to the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards ("MATS") rule. The additions (the "2012 10 11 Plan") included installing activated carbon injection and dry sorbent 12 injection systems and emission control monitors at Big Rivers' Coleman, 13 Wilson, and Green generating stations; and installing emission control monitors at Henderson Municipal Power & Light's Station Two generation 14 15 station. The 2012 Plan includes both the capital and O&M costs associated with the projects, as well as recovery of Big Rivers' actual costs incurred in 16 Case No. 2012-00063 (amortized over three years). 17

18 Please note that as a result of the terminations of retail power 19 contracts by two aluminum smelters, Big Rivers idled its Coleman 20 generation station in May 2014. As a result, Big Rivers does not plan to 21 proceed with the MATS projects at the Coleman station at this time. 22 However, Big Rivers will complete the MATS projects at Coleman prior to it

> Case No. 2014-00323 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 6 of 9

1 returning to service.

2		Big Rivers' ES mechanism allows Big Rivers to recover costs related
3		to the 2007 Plan (which only includes O&M costs) and the 2012 Plan, less
4		proceeds from by-product and emission allowance sales, plus or minus an
5		ongoing cumulative under- or over-recovery adjustment.
6	Q.	Please provide a brief overview of the Members' pass-through
7		mechanisms.
8	A.	The Members' pass-through mechanisms allow each Member to bill its
9		retail customers for the portion of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge that
10		Big Rivers bills each Member. JPEC's pass-through mechanism was
11		approved by the Commission in Case No. 2008-00010; Kenergy's
12		mechanism was approved by the Commission in Case No. 2008-00009; and
13		Meade County's was approved by the Commission in Case No. 2007-00470.
14	Q.	Have there been any changes to Big Rivers' environmental
15		surcharge mechanism since the prior review?
16	A.	No.
17	Q.	Has Big Rivers sold any SO $_2$ or NOx allowances during the expense
18		months corresponding to the billing periods under review in this
19		case?
20	A.	Yes. While the Coleman generation units were designated as System
21		Support Resource ("SSR") units within MISO, Big Rivers sold SO_2 and NOx
22		allowances from its inventory to cover the allowances used by its Coleman

1		generation units. During the period under review, the Coleman generation
2		units were designated as SSR units for the expense months of December
3		2013 through April 2014. Big Rivers charged market prices for the
4		allowances used at Coleman during those months. These amounts were
5		reported as sales in the monthly ES filings on ES Forms 2.31 (SO $_2$
6		allowances), 2.32 (NOx - Ozone Season allowances), and 2.33 (NOx –
7		Annual Allowances). The net proceeds from the sales of these allowances
8		were included in the monthly ES filings during the review period as a
9		reduction to total recoverable environmental compliance expenses (E(m)) on
10		ES Form 1.10 during the respective months.
11	Q.	Has Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism been
12		accurately compiled, and is it operating as intended?
12 13	A.	accurately compiled, and is it operating as intended? Yes.
	А. Q .	
13		Yes.
13 14		Yes. Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately
13 14 15	Q.	Yes. Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately compiled, and are they operating as intended?
13 14 15 16	Q.	Yes. Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately compiled, and are they operating as intended? The Members believe their pass-through mechanisms have been accurately
13 14 15 16 17	Q . A.	Yes. Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately compiled, and are they operating as intended? The Members believe their pass-through mechanisms have been accurately compiled and are operating as intended.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q . A.	Yes. Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately compiled, and are they operating as intended? The Members believe their pass-through mechanisms have been accurately compiled and are operating as intended. Are the amounts charged under Big Rivers' environmental
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q . A.	Yes. Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately compiled, and are they operating as intended? The Members believe their pass-through mechanisms have been accurately compiled and are operating as intended. Are the amounts charged under Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism during the review period just and

J

.

Case No. 2014-00323 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 8 of 9

mechanisms during the review period just and reasonable? 1 2 A. The Members believe the amounts charged under their pass-through 3 mechanisms are just and reasonable. 4 Q. Do Big Rivers and its Members have additional over- or under-5 recovery amounts they believe need to be recognized? 6 A. No. Big Rivers and its Members are not requesting any additional over- or 7 under-recovery amounts. The normal over/under recovery carry-forward 8 element of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge mechanism is operating as intended. 9 10 **Q**. Did Big Rivers recover any capital costs through its ES mechanism 11 during the period under review? 12 A. Yes. Big Rivers recovered capital costs associated with its 2012 Plan 13 projects during the period under review through the inclusion of 14 Construction Work-in-Progress balances for its 2012 Plan projects in the calculation of environmental compliance rate base on ES Form 2.00 in its 15 16 monthly ES filings. 17 Q. What Base Environmental Surcharge Factor ("BESF") cost did Big 18 **Rivers use during the review period?** 19 Α. Big Rivers had no environmental surcharge related costs in its base rates 20 during the review period. 21 Q. Does this conclude your testimony? Yes, it does. 22 Α.