COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF BLUE GRASS ENERGY)	
COOPERATIVE CORPORATION FOR AN)	CASE NO.
ADJUSTMENT OF RATES)	2014-00339

ORDER

On November 17, 2014 Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation ("Blue Grass Energy") filed an application for a \$2,450,474 increase in retail electric service The requested increase is 2.17 percent over normalized test-year operating rates. revenues. The application did not meet the minimum filing requirements set forth in 807 KAR 5:001, Sections 16(1)(b)(3), 16(1)(b)(4), and 17(4)(c); therefore, a notice of filing deficiencies was issued on November 25, 2014. On December 1, 2014, Blue Grass Energy cured the deficiencies and the application was accepted as filed as of that date. In its application, Blue Grass Energy proposed that the rates become effective December 18, 2014. KRS 278.180(1) requires that Blue Grass Energy provide the Commission with 30 days' notice of any change in rates. Based on the December 1, 2014 filed date, the earliest the proposed rates could become effective was December 31, 2014. Finding that an investigation would be necessary to determine the reasonableness of Blue Grass Energy's proposed increase, the Commission suspended the rates for five months, up to and including May 30, 2015, pursuant to KRS 278.190(2).

BACKGROUND

Blue Grass Energy is a consumer-owned rural electric cooperative corporation, organized under KRS Chapter 279, engaged in the distribution and sale of electric energy to approximately 55,725 member-consumers in 23 Kentucky counties. Blue Grass Energy has no electric-generating facilities and purchases its total power requirements from East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

On December 23, 2014, the Commission issued an Order granting a motion to intervene filed by the Attorney General, by and through his Office for Rate Intervention ("AG"). The procedural schedule allowed for discovery, intervenor testimony, and a public hearing. Blue Grass Energy responded to three rounds of discovery from Commission Staff ("Staff") and to two rounds of discovery from the AG. The AG filed comments in lieu of testimony on March 13, 2015.

After resolving all issues in this matter with the AG, on April 14, 2015, Blue Grass Energy filed a Stipulation, Settlement Agreement and Recommendation ("Stipulation").¹
A public hearing was conducted on April 15, 2015. Blue Grass Energy provided a response to post hearing data requests on April 22, 2015.

The Stipulation contains the following provisions, as agreed to between Blue Grass Energy and the AG:

- 1. Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree to and recommend an award to Blue Grass Energy of additional annual revenue of \$1,400,000....
- 2. [T]he rates as proposed in Blue Grass Energy's application, except for those proposed with respect to rate class GS-1 (Residential and Farm), are fair, just and reasonable and should be implemented immediately upon

¹ A copy of the Stipulation is attached to this Order as Appendix A.

entry of an Order by the Commission accepting and approving this Stipulation.

- 3. With respect to rate class GS-1 (Residential and Farm), Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that the following three-phase adjustment be accepted and approved by the Commission:
 - a. Immediately upon entry of an Order by the Commission accepting and approving this Stipulation, Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that: (i) the energy rate per kilowatt hour be set at \$0.08890; and (ii) the customer (or facility) charge be set at \$12.00, each remaining at the specified amount through December 31, 2015;
 - b. Beginning January 1, 2016, Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that: (i) the energy rate per kilowatt hour be set at \$0.08731; and (ii) the customer (or facility) charge be set at \$14.00, each remaining at the specified amount through December 31, 2016, said change in rates being designed and intended to be revenue neutral;
 - c. Beginning January 1, 2017, Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that: (i) the energy rate per kilowatt hour be set at \$0.08531; and (ii) the customer (or facility) charge be set at \$16.50, each remaining at the specified amount indefinitely, said change in rates being designed and intended to be revenue neutral.

OTHER ISSUES

During the hearing, Blue Grass Energy responded to questions regarding its new proposed Tariff GS-2 (Residential and Farm Inclining Block) ("Tariff GS-2"). Because the Stipulation contains a lower facility charge for the residential class than was originally proposed in the application, in most instances, the new Tariff GS-2 would result in customer bills higher than the standard residential tariff. When asked about

this at the hearing, Blue Grass Energy agreed to a modification to the effective date of the proposed tariff.² Tariff GS-2 will go into effect January 1, 2016, consistent with Item 3.b. of the Stipulation.

During the hearing and through discovery, Blue Grass Energy responded to inquiries pertaining to a proposed text change to its meter reading charge. Blue Grass Energy's proposed change would allow it to charge a \$30.00 trip charge to read any meter that is inaccessible or to read the meter of any member who declines to have an Automated Meter Reading ("AMR") meter installed.³ At the hearing, Blue Grass Energy testified that it would likely estimate member usage for two months, and a meter reader would obtain a reading on the third month.4 Under this scenario, the meter reading fee would be charged once per quarter. The Commission notes that the issue of customer opt-out of advanced metering technologies is currently being addressed on an industrywide perspective, in Case No. 2012-00428,5 the Commission's administrative Smart Grid case, and that Blue Grass Energy is a party to that proceeding. The Commission finds that the meter reading charge as proposed in the instant case should be approved pending the outcome of the Smart Grid case. Blue Grass Energy may be required to revisit this tariff provision to bring it into compliance with the Commission's decision in the Smart Grid case.

² Questioning of J. Donald Smothers, April 15, 2015 Hearing at 11:14:03–11:14:53.

³ See the proposed Tariff Sheet No. 35 filed in the application.

⁴ Questioning of J. Donald Smothers, April 15, 2015 Hearing at 11:12:00–11:12:05.

⁵ Case No. 2012-00428, Consideration of the Implementation of Smart Grid and Smart Meter Technologies ("Smart Grid case") (Ky. PSC Established on Oct. 1, 2012).

The Commission questioned Blue Grass Energy's system reliability procedures, particularly with respect to vegetation management. Blue Grass Energy stated that it follows all applicable industry and lender standards to maintain its system reliability. It uses a cyclic approach to preventive vegetation management of its distribution right-of-way. It has a five- to six-year cycle in its vegetation management plan, with mid-cycle inspection/selective tree maintenance every two to three years. In response to a post hearing data request, Blue Grass Energy provided a copy of its 2014 Reliability Report, which included its 2015 vegetation management plan and its 2014 plan review. Overall, the Commission finds Blue Grass Energy's reliability efforts are adequate and that it should continue its efforts in improving reliability, particularly in regard to vegetation management.

The Commission also questioned Blue Grass Energy's demand-side management/energy-efficiency ("DSM/EE") programs, particularly regarding: (1) Blue Grass Energy's prepay metering program; (2) Blue Grass Energy's consideration of the Kentucky Energy Retrofit Rider that has been adopted by a number of the other Kentucky electric distribution cooperatives; and (3) its DSM/EE programs. Blue Grass Energy responded that it regularly discusses existing and new DSM/EE programs with other distribution cooperatives in order to expand or implement new cost-effective DSM/EE programs.⁸ In response to a Commission request, Blue Grass Energy provided a report identifying its DSM programs, including expenditures for calendar

⁶ Questioning of Michael Williams, April 15, 2015 Hearing at 10:32:55–10:34:24.

⁷ Blue Grass Energy's response to Commission Staff's Post Hearing Data Request ("Staff's Post Hearing Request"), Item 1 at 63.

⁸ Questioning of Michael Williams, April 15, 2015 Hearing at 10:41:52–10:47:20.

years 2010-2014 and the material it uses for promotion and marketing of its DSM/EE programs.⁹ In the test year, Blue Grass Energy had \$202,541 in expenditures for DSM/EE and energy conservation.¹⁰ The Commission commends Blue Grass Energy for its DSM/EE programs and encourages it to aggressively pursue new or expanded programs of that nature.

The Commission believes that conservation, energy efficiency and demand-side management will become more important and cost-effective, given expectations that more constraints will be placed upon coal-based generation. The Governor's proposed energy plan, Intelligent Energy Choices for Kentucky's Future, November 2008, calls for an increase in demand-side management by 2025. In addition, the Commission stated its support for cost-effective demand-side programs in response to several recommendations included in Electric Utility Regulation and Energy Policy in Kentucky, the report the Commission submitted in July 2008 to the Kentucky General Assembly pursuant to Section 50 of the 2007 Energy Act. The Commission also notes that on June 2, 2014, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") issued, under Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act, its proposed Clean Power Plan ("Plan") to reduce carbon emissions from existing power plants. The proposed Plan includes four building blocks to guide states in developing cost-effective, long-term strategies to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. One building block allows for reducing emissions through the use of demand-side energy efficiency.

⁹ Blue Grass Energy's response to Staff's Post Hearing Request, Item 2.

¹⁰ Blue Grass Energy's response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information, Item 49.b.

-6
Case No. 2014-00339

The Governor's plan and the proposed EPA Clean Power plan underscore the Commission's belief that greater attention to energy efficiency is important. Although Blue Grass Energy has a number of DSM/EE programs in place, the Commission believes that it is appropriate to encourage Blue Grass Energy, and all other electric energy providers, to make a greater effort to offer cost-effective DSM/EE programs.

After a careful review of the Stipulation, the evidentiary record, and being otherwise sufficiently advised, with the exception of the effective date for Tariff GS-2 as described herein, the Commission finds that the Stipulation terms are reasonable, do not violate any generally accepted ratemaking standards, are in the public interest, and should be accepted as a resolution of all the issues in this matter. The Commission also finds that the revenue increase contained in the Stipulation is reasonable 11 and should permit Blue Grass Energy a reasonable opportunity to maintain its financial integrity and comply with the requirements of its mortgage agreements. The Commission further finds that the rates contained in the Stipulation should become effective for service rendered on and after May 31, 2015, except as follows: 1) Tariff GS-1 rates, Phase 2 and Phase 3 should become effective on and after January 1, 2016, and January 1, 2017, respectively; and 2) Tariff GS-2 rates should become effective on and after January 1, 2016. Further, the Commission finds that once the GS-1 rates, Phase 3, become effective, they will remain in effect until changed by the utility pursuant to KRS 278.180(1), or by the Commission pursuant to KRS 278.290. Our approval of the Stipulation is based solely on its reasonableness as a whole and does not constitute approval of any ratemaking adjustment.

¹¹ Blue Grass Energy provided a billing analysis based on the terms of the Stipulation in its response to Staff's Post Hearing Request, Item 3.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. With the exception of Tariff GS-1 and Tariff GS-2, the rates and charges proposed by Blue Grass Energy in its application are approved.
- Tariff GS-1 as proposed by Blue Grass Energy and as modified by the Stipulation is approved.
- 3. Tariff GS-2 as proposed by Blue Grass Energy and as modified to become effective January 1, 2016, is approved.
- 4. The Stipulation appended hereto as Appendix A is approved except for the delayed effective date of January 1, 2016, for Tariff GS-2.
- 5. The rates attached hereto as Appendix B are fair, just and reasonable and are approved for service rendered on and after May 31, 2015, except that Tariff GS-2 is approved for service rendered on and after January 1, 2016.
- 6. Within 20 days of the date of this Order, Blue Grass Energy shall file with the Commission, using the Commission's electronic Tariff Filing System, new tariff sheets setting forth the rates and charges approved herein and reflecting their effective date and that they were authorized by this Order.

By the Commission

ENTERED

MAY 2 9 2015

KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST

Executive Director

Bell Ger JD

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2014-00339 DATED MAY 2 9 2015

JOINT STIPULATION, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

Filed April 14, 2015

STIPULATION, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

This Stipulation, Settlement Agreement and Recommendation ("Stipulation") is entered into and effective this 13th day of April, 2015, by and among Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation ("Blue Grass Energy") and the Office of the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention ("AG"), in the proceeding involving the above parties, which are the subject of this Stipulation, as set forth below. (Blue Grass Energy and the AG are referred to collectively herein as the "Parties").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Blue Grass Energy filed on September 22, 2014, with the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") its Application in *In the Matter of Application of Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation for an Adjustment of Rates*, and the Commission has established Case No. 2014-00339 (the "Proceeding");

WHEREAS, the AG has been granted intervention by the Commission in this Proceeding;

WHEREAS, the Parties have been engaged in discussions concerning various procedural and substantive issues relevant to this Proceeding, including terms and conditions related to the issues pending before the Commission in the Proceeding that might be considered by all Parties to constitute reasonable means of addressing their concerns;

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to recommend to the Commission that it enter an Order setting and adopting the terms and conditions that the Parties believe are reasonable as stated herein;

WHEREAS, it is understood by all Parties that this Stipulation is an agreement among the Parties concerning all matters at issue in this Proceeding pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 9(6);

WHEREAS, the Parties have spent significant time to reach the terms and conditions that form the basis of this Stipulation;

WHEREAS, the Parties, who represent diverse interests and divergent viewpoints, agree that this Stipulation, viewed in its entirety, is a fair, just and reasonable resolution of all the issues in this Proceeding;

WHEREAS, the Parties recognize that this Stipulation constitutes only an agreement among, and a recommendation by, themselves, and that all issues in this Proceeding remain open for consideration by the Commission at a formal hearing in this Proceeding; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and conditions set forth herein, the Parties hereby stipulate, agree, and recommend as follows:

- 1. Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree to and recommend an award to Blue Grass Energy of additional annual revenue of \$1,400,000, which is \$1,050,474 less than the amount proposed by Blue Grass Energy in its application. The Parties agree that the difference between the additional annual revenue requested in Blue Grass Energy's application and the amount of the recommended award of additional annual revenue is generally attributable to a reduction in the proposed interest expense adjustment associated with Blue Grass Energy's variable rate loans with the Federal Financing Bank ("FFB"). Specifically, Blue Grass Energy proposed in its application to calculate its interest expense adjustment utilizing a higher interest rate than it currently pays with respect to its FFB variable loans; Blue Grass Energy herein agrees that it shall calculate its interest expense adjustment utilizing the actual interest rate(s) applicable to its FFB variable loans for purposes of this Proceeding.
- 2. Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that the rates as proposed in Blue Grass Energy's application, except for those proposed with respect to rate class GS-1

(Residential and Farm), are fair, just and reasonable and should be implemented immediately upon entry of an Order by the Commission accepting and approving this Stipulation.

- 3. With respect to rate class GS-1 (Residential and Farm), Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that the following three-phase adjustment be accepted and approved by the Commission:
 - a. Immediately upon entry of an Order by the Commission accepting and approving this Stipulation, Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that: (i) the energy rate per kilowatt hour be set at \$0.08890; and (ii) the customer (or facility) charge be set at \$12.00, each remaining at the specified amount through December 31, 2015;
 - b. Beginning January 1, 2016, Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that: (i) the energy rate per kilowatt hour be set at \$0.08731; and (ii) the customer (or facility) charge be set at \$14.00, each remaining at the specified amount through December 31, 2016, said change in rates being designed and intended to be revenue neutral;
 - c. Beginning January 1, 2017, Blue Grass Energy and the AG agree and recommend that: (i) the energy rate per kilowatt hour be set at \$0.08531; and (ii) the customer (or facility) charge be set at \$16.50, each remaining at the specified amount indefinitely, said change in rates being designed and intended to be revenue neutral.
- 4. Except as specifically stated otherwise in this Stipulation, the Parties agree that making this Stipulation shall not be deemed in any respect to constitute an admission by any

Party hereto that any computation, formula, allegation, assertion, or contention made by any other Party in this Proceeding is true or valid.

- 5. The Parties agree that the foregoing terms and conditions represent a fair, just, and reasonable resolution of the issues addressed herein.
- 6. The Parties agree that, following the execution of this Stipulation, the Parties shall cause the Stipulation to be filed with the Commission.
- The Commission disapproves this Stipulation. The Parties stipulate that, after the date of this Stipulation, they will not otherwise contest Blue Grass Energy's application in this Proceeding, as modified by this Stipulation, during the hearing in this Proceeding, and that they will refrain from cross-examination of all witnesses during the hearing, except insofar as such cross-examination supports the Stipulation or Blue Grass Energy's application subject to the terms and conditions of this Stipulation. Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this paragraph, the Parties agree that the AG maintains the right to cross-examine Blue Grass Energy's witnesses if there is any new information presented at a formal hearing before the Commission concerning the Proceeding, or if any information is presented at such a hearing that is contrary to information contained in Blue Grass Energy's application filed in the Proceeding or contrary to information contained in this Stipulation.
- 8. The Parties agree to act in good faith and to use their best efforts to recommend to the Commission that this Stipulation be accepted and fully incorporated into any Order approving Blue Grass Energy's application, as amended by this Stipulation, in this Proceeding.
- 9. If the Commission issues an Order adopting all of the terms and conditions recommended herein without modification, each of the Parties agrees that it shall file neither an

application for rehearing with the Commission, nor an appeal to the Franklin Circuit Court with respect to such Order.

- 10. The Parties agree that if the Commission does not implement all of the terms recommended herein in its final Order in this Proceeding, or if the Commission in its final Order in this Proceeding adds or imposes additional conditions or burdens upon any or all of the Parties that are unacceptable to any or all of the Parties, then, upon written notice by any Party: (a) this Stipulation shall be voidable and may be withdrawn by the Parties from further consideration by the Commission and none of the Parties shall be bound by any of the provisions herein, provided that no Party is precluded from advocating any position contained in this Stipulation; and (b) neither the terms of this Stipulation nor any matters raised during the settlement negotiations shall be binding on any of the Parties to this Stipulation or be construed against any of the Parties.
- 11. The Parties agree that this Stipulation shall in no way be deemed to divest the Commission of jurisdiction under Chapter 278 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.
- 12. The Parties agree that this Stipulation shall inure to the benefit of, and be binding upon, the Parties, their successors and assigns.
- 13. The Parties agree that this Stipulation constitutes the complete agreement and understanding among the Parties, and any and all oral statements, representations, or agreements made prior hereto or contemporaneously herewith, shall be null and void, and shall be deemed to have been merged into this Stipulation.
- 14. The Parties agree that, for the purpose of this Stipulation only, the terms are based upon the independent analysis of the Parties to reflect a fair, just, and reasonable resolution of the issues herein and are the product of compromise and negotiation.

- 15. The Parties agree that neither the Stipulation nor any of the terms shall be admissible in any court or commission except insofar as such court or commission is addressing litigation arising out of the implementation of the terms herein. This Stipulation shall not have any precedential value in this or any other jurisdiction.
- 16. The signatories hereto warrant that they have informed, advised, and consulted with the Parties they represent in this Proceeding in regard to the contents and significance of this Stipulation, and based upon the foregoing are authorized to execute this Stipulation on behalf of the Parties they represent.
- 17. The Parties agree that this Stipulation is a product of negotiation among all Parties, and that no provision of this Stipulation shall be strictly construed in favor of, or against, any Party.
 - 18. The Parties agree that this Stipulation may be executed in multiple counterparts.
- 19. The Parties agree that time is of the essence and will recommend to the Commission that it accept this Stipulation so that the revenue requirement agreed to herein shall begin to be collected through new rates that are effective as described herein.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have hereunto affixed their signatures.

Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation

HAVE SEEN AND AGREED:

BY: Mihat ville

ITS: President/Chief Executive Officer

Mark David Goss, Counsel M. Evan Buckley, Counsel Office of the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention

HAVE SEEN AND AGREED:

Angela M. Goad, Assistant Attorney General Gregory T. Dutton, Assistant Attorney General Jennifer Black Hans, Assistant Attorney General

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2014-00339 DATED MAY 2 9 2015

The following rates and charges are prescribed for the customers in the area serviced by Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein shall remain the same as those in effect under authority of this Commission prior to the effective date of this Order.

GS-1 (RESIDENTIAL AND FARM)

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3
	May 31,	January 1,	January 1,
	2015	2016	2017
Facility Charge per Month	\$12.00	\$14.00	\$16.50
Energy Charge per kWh	\$.08890	\$.08731	\$.08531

GS-2 (RESIDENTIAL AND FARM INCLINING BLOCK)

	<u>January 1,</u> <u>2016</u>
Customer Charge per Month Energy Charge per kWh:	\$13.85
First 200 kWh Next 300 kWh Over 500 kWh	\$.07784 \$.09284 \$.10284

GS-3 (RESIDENTIAL AND FARM TIME-OF-DAY RATE)

	Ja	nase 1 nuary 1, 16	-	ase 2 nuary 1, 17
Facility Charge per Month Energy Charge per kWh:	\$2	0.00	\$2	5.00
On peak energy Off peak energy	\$ \$.14060 .05636	\$ \$.10228 .05636

SC-1 GENERAL SERVICE (0-100 KW)

Facility Charge per Month \$32.50
First 10 kW of billing demand No charge
Over 10 kW of billing demand \$7.78
Energy Charge per kWh \$.08575

SC-2 (GENERAL SERVICE 0-100 KW TIME OF DAY RATE)

Facility Charge per Month

Energy Charge per kWh:

On peak energy

Off peak energy

\$.07000

LP-1 LARGE POWER (101 KW to 500 KW)

Facility Charge per Month \$55.57

Demand Charge per kW \$8.34

Energy Charge per kWh \$.05608

LP-1 (LARGE POWER 101 KW to 500 KW TIME OF DAY RATE)

Customer Charge per Month \$55.57

Energy Charge per kWh:

On peak energy \$.09562

Off peak energy \$.06500

LP-2 LARGE POWER (OVER 500 KW)

Facility Charge per Month \$111.14

Demand Charge per kW \$8.34

Energy Charge per kWh \$.04994

OUTDOOR LIGHTS

Rates per Light per Month:

	Approximate Lumens	
Open Bottom Light	6,000-9,500	\$11.60
Open Bottom Light	25,000	\$18.06
Directional Flood Light	50,000	\$18.21
Shoebox Fixture (metal pole)	6,000-9,500	\$20.32
Acorn Fixture (fiberglass pole)	6,000-9,500	\$19.68
Colonial Fixture	6,000-9,500	\$16.64
Cobra Head (aluminum pole)	50,000	\$25.21
Ornamental Light	6,000-9,500	\$11.45
Ornamental Light	25,000	\$16.37
Colonial Fixture		
(15 foot mounting height)	6,000-9,500	\$10.12
Cobra Head (aluminum pole)	25,000	\$17.87
Cobra Head (aluminum pole)	6,000-9,500	\$12.15

LARGE INDUSTRIAL RATE - SCHEDULE B-1

Facility Charge per Month	\$1,1	111.43
Demand Charge per kW of Contract Demand	\$	7.17
Demand Charge per kW for Billing Demand in		
Excess of Contract Demand	\$	9.98
Energy Charge per kWh	\$.05050

LARGE INDUSTRIAL RATE - SCHEDULE B-2

Facility Charge per Month	\$2,222.85	
Demand Charge per kW of Contract Demand	\$	7.17
Demand Charge per kW for Billing Demand in		
Excess of Contract Demand	\$	9.98
Energy Charge per kWh	\$.04506

CABLE TELEVISION ATTACHMENT RATE

Annual attachment charges as follows:

Two-party pole attachment \$ 7.00

Three-party pole attachment \$ 5.71

Two-party anchor attachment \$ 11.33

Three-party anchor attachment \$ 7.48

Two-party ground attachment \$.27

Three-party ground attachment \$.17

LARGE INDUSTRIAL RATE - SCHEDULE G-1

Facility Charge per Month	\$5,454.00
Demand Charge per Billing kW	\$ 6.98
Energy Charge per kWh	\$.043347

*James R Adkins Jim Adkins Consulting 1041 Chasewood Way Lexington, KENTUCKY 40513-1731 *Jennifer Black Hans
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate
1024 Capital Center Drive
Suite 200
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. 1201 Lexington Road P. O. Box 990 Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990 *Phillip Johnson Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. 1201 Lexington Road P. O. Box 990 Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990

*M. Evan Buckley Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B325 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40504 *Donald Smothers CFO Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. 1201 Lexington Road P. O. Box 990 Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990

*Honorable Howard Downing Attorney at Law 109 South First Street Nicholasville, KENTUCKY 40356 *J. Donald Smothers
Vice President, Financial Services
Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp.
1201 Lexington Road
P. O. Box 990
Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990

*Gregory T Dutton Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Angela M Goad Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

*Mark David Goss Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B325 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40504