ORIGINAL



RECEIVED FEB 28 2014 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Your Touchstone Energy Cooperative

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013

Case No. 2013-00449

)

)

Responses to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

FILED: February 28, 2014

ORIGINAL

Ronald M. Sullivan Jesse T. Mountjoy Frank Stainback James M. Miller Michael A. Fiorella Allen W. Holbrook R. Michael Sullivan Bryan R. Reynolds Tyson A. Karnuf Mark W. Starnes C. Ellsworth Mountjoy Susan Montalvo-Gesser

February 27, 2014

Via Federal Express

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2014

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Mr. Jeff DeRouen Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

> Re: In the Matter of: An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers Electric Corporation from May 1, 2013 Through October 31, 2013, Public Service Commission, Case No. 2013-00449

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") are (i) an original and ten copies of Big Rivers' responses to the request for information contained in the appendix to the Public Service Commission's February 7, 2014, Order in the above referenced matter, and (ii) a petition for confidential treatment.

Please call if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Rel

Tyson Kamuf Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation

TAK/ej Enclosures

cc: Billie Richert DeAnna Speed

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

VERIFICATION

I, Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Lawrence V. (Larry) Baronowsky on this the $\frac{17}{2}$ day of February, 2014.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

VERIFICATION

I, Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Nicholas R. (Nick) Castlen on this the $\underline{17}$ day of February, 2014.

.P. Ofright

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

VERIFICATION

I, Mark W. McAdams, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

AICAdams

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Mark W. McAdams on this the // day of February, 2014.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large My Commission Expires_____



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT **CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION** FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

VERIFICATION

I, Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Murray W. (Wayne) O'Byyan

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Murray W. (Wayne) O'Bryan on this the $\frac{17}{2}$ day of February, 2014.

Notary Public, Ky. State at Large

My Commission Expires



ORIGINAL



Your Touchstone Energy[®] Cooperative

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013

Case No. 2013-00449

)

)

Responses to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

FILED: February 28, 2014

ORIGINAL



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 1)	For the period from May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013, list
2	each vend	or from whom coal was purchased and the quantities and the
3	nature of	each purchase (e.g., spot or contract). For the period under
4	review in t	otal, provide the percentage of purchases that were spot versus
5	contract.	For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been
6	filed with t	the Commission. If no, explain why it has not been filed.
7		

8 **Response**) Please see the schedule below.

9

Purchase Vendor	Fuel Type	Purchase Tonnage	Contract Type
Alliance Coal	Coal	346,553.01	Contract
Alliance Coal	Coal	208,729.70	Spot
Armstrong Coal	Coal	215,119.45	Contract
ExxonMobil	Pet Coke	121,750.08	Spot
Foresight Coal Sales	Coal	300,788.36	Spot
KenAmerican Resources	Coal	378,382.14	Spot
Oxbow Carbon & Minerals	Pet Coke	48,134.67	Spot
Patriot Coal Sales	Coal	297,851.30	Contract
Peabody Coal Sales	Coal	217,876.88	Spot
Sebree Mining, LLC	Coal	429,576.64	Contract
TCP Pet Coke	Pet Coke	60,343.75	Spot
Winn Energy	Coal	4,349.72	Spot
Yager Materials	Coal	1,775.00	Spot
Total Tonnage	-	2,631,230.70	
Percentage Spot Purchase Tons		55.21%	
Percentage Contract Purchase Tons	_	44.79%	
Percentage Total Purchase Tons	-	100.00%	

- 10 Big Rivers has filed its contract purchases with the Commission.
- 11

12 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 1 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

Item 2) For the period from May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013, list each vendor from whom natural gas was purchased for generation and the quantities and the nature of each purchase (e.g., spot or contract). For contract purchases, state whether the contract has been filed with the Commission. If no, explain why it has not been filed.

7 Response) Please see the schedule below. Big Rivers purchased natural gas
8 from Atmos Energy under Atmos' tariff-based Interruptible Sales Service (G-2) –
9 Industrial and Billing Rate Code 11 WD. This tariff is on file with the Kentucky
10 Public Service Commission.

Starting in July 2010, Big Rivers began purchasing natural gas 11 12 under a North American Energy Standards Board ("NAESB") base contract for 13 sale and purchase of natural gas. Actual purchases of gas are made using 14 transaction confirmations that are governed by the NAESB contract. Big Rivers also entered into a contract with Texas Gas Transmission, LLC for the 15 transportation and storage and borrowing of gas to Big Rivers' delivery point, the 16 Reid Combustion Turbine. All of these contracts are on file with the Public 17 Service Commission. 18

19

Purchase Vendor	Fuel Type	MCF	Contract Type
Atmos Energy	Natural Gas	41,485.7	Tariff based G-2 (Contract)
NJR Energy, LLC	Natural Gas	23,229.0	Spot
Tenaska Marketing Ventures	Natural Gas	1,252.0	Spot

20

21 Witness) Wayne O'Bryan

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 2 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 1



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 3) State whether Big Rivers engages in hedging activities for its
2	coal or natural gas purchases used for generation. If yes, describe the
3	hedging activities in detail.
4	
5	Response) Big Rivers does not engage in financial hedging activities regarding
6	its coal or natural gas purchases used for generation.
7	
8	
9	Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and
10	Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas)
1	

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 3 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams (Coal) and Wayne O'Bryan (Natural Gas) Page 1 of 1

 \sim

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

Item 4) For each generating station or unit for which a separate coal
 pile is maintained, state, for the period from May 1, 2013, through October
 31, 2013, the actual amount of coal burned in tons, the actual amount of
 coal deliveries in tons, the total kWh generated, and the actual capacity
 factor at which the plant operated.
 Response) Please see the schedule on the following page. The North American

8 Electric Reliability Corporation's Generating Availability Data System defines 9 Capacity Factor as the value equal to the net MWh produced divided by the 10 product of the hours in the period times the unit rating in Net MWs, which is the 11 formula for this response.

12 Big Rivers notes that 575,789,000 kWhs of Coleman Generation was 13 excluded from its Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") beginning August 20, 2013. The net jurisdictional kWhs generated by all units during the period under review 14 is 5,093,934,227. Also beginning August 20, 2013, Big Rivers excluded 276,003.85 15 16 tons of Coleman coal from its FAC. The net jurisdictional tons burned by all units, during the period under review, was 2,328,868.95. The full amount of Coleman 17 tons received and burned is shown on Big Rivers' monthly Form B filings, but the 18 19 burn from August 20, 2013, forward is shown in a non-jurisdictional line and is 20 excluded from its Form A filing.

21

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 4 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

Plant	Coal & Pet Coke Burn (Tons)	Coal & Pet Coke Receipts (Tons)	Net kWh	Capacity Factor (Net MWh) / (Period Hrs x MW rating)
Reid Station (Coal)	10,939.35	7,134.55	12,323,750	4.29%
Station Two (Coal) ¹	315,491.52	324,203.45	707,308,350	73.65%
Green Station (Coal)	653,580.59	649,491.19	1,756,038,212	87.59%
Green Station (Pet Coke) ²	158,577,00	146,895.15		
Coleman Station (Coal)	724,400.42	766,651.30	1,523,783,000	77.89%
Wilson Station (Coal)	639,006.92	653,521.71	1,670,269,915	90.70%
Wilson Station (Pet Coke) ²	102,877.00	83,333.35		

1. Net of City of Henderson. City of Henderson generation take was 307,479,000 kWhs.

2. Net kWh and Capacity Factor include energy from burning Coal and Pet Coke.

2

1

3

- 4 Witness) Mark W. McAdams
- 5
- 6

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 4 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 2



AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 5)	List all firm power commitments for Big Rivers from May 1,
2	2013, thro	ough October 31, 2013 for (a) purchases and (b) sales. This list
3	shall iden	tify the electric utility, the amount of commitment in MW, and
4	the purpo	se of the commitment (e.g., peaking , emergency).
5		
6	Response)	
7	(a) <u>Purchases</u> :
8		Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA), up to 178 MW,
9		energy for system. A force majeure, previously declared by
10		SEPA for this contract due to dam safety issues at Wolf Creek
11		and Center Hill dams on the Cumberland System, remains in
12		effect. Currently SEPA is providing a run-of-the-river schedule
13		that Big Rivers has the right to refuse.
14		
15		Additional purchases are shown on the table which follows.
16		Please note, the purchases listed were made to support firm Off-
17		System Sales and were not passed through the Fuel Adjustment
18		Clause.
19		
		Big Rivers Electric Cornoration

1	9	

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Power Purchases											
	May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013										
Date	Electric Utility	MW Commitment	Purpose								
Jul-13	Cargill	50 MWs – Off-Peak - 18,800 total	Off-System Sales								
Aug-13	Cargill	30 MWs – On-Peak - 10,560 total	Off-System Sales								

20

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

(b) <u>Sales</u>:

Firm Off-System Sales are shown on the table that follows.

2 3

1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation											
Firm Off-System Sales											
May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013											
Date	Electric Utility	MW Commitment	Purpose								
May-13	Cargill	50 MWs - 7 x 24 - 37,200 total	Off-System Sales								
Jun-13	Cargill	50 MWs - 7 x 24 - 36,000 total	Off-System Sales								
Jul-13	Cargill	100 MWs - 7 x 24 - 74,400 total	Off-System Sales								
Aug-13	Cargill	100 MWs - 7 x 24 - 74,400 total	Off-System Sales								
Sep-13	Cargill	50 MWs - 7 x 24 - 36,000 total	Off-System Sales								
Oct-13	Cargill	50 MWs - 7 x 24 - 37,200 total	Off-System Sales								

4

5

6 Witness) Wayne O'Bryan

7

·

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 6)	Provide a monthly billing summary of sales to all electric
2	utilities for	r the period May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.
3		
4	Response)	Please see the attached monthly billing summaries for sales to all
5	electric utili	ties for the period May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.
6		
7		
8	Witness)	Nicholas R. Castlen
9		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

POWER

1 MAY 2013	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	DE	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	F P	FOWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	1	BILLING ADJ
2 3 JP RURALS	110,435	48,089,740	 \$	1.070.888.20	\$	1,429,996.51	\$	2.500.884.71	\$	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	\$	
4 KENERGY RURALS	197,284	84,985,000	\$	1,913,062.95	\$	2,527,113.96	ŝ	4,440,176.91	ŝ	-	ŝ	•
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	71,411	31,432,200	\$	692,472.47	\$	934,667.90	\$	1,627,140.37	Ś	-	ŝ	-
6						***************						
7 TOTAL RURALS	379,130.00	164,506,940.00	\$	3,676,423.62	\$	4,891,778.37	\$	8,568,201.99	\$	•	\$	•
8						-*********				*		
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,080	2,361,538	\$	53,340.00	\$	57,876.57	\$	111,216.57	\$	•	\$	-
10 KI-ALCOA	139	82,950	\$	1,459.50	\$	2,032.94	\$	3,492.44	\$	1,911.00	\$	•
11 KI-ALERIS	27,367	15,787,060	\$	287,353.50	\$	386,909.27	\$	674,262.77	\$	-	\$	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	2,001	612,910	\$	21,010.50	\$	15,021.20	\$	36,031.70	\$	•	\$	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	9,293	3,919,200	\$	97,576.50	\$	96,051.75	\$	193,628.25	\$	-	\$	8,371.51
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,087	1,438,810	\$	32,413.50	\$	35,262.36	\$	67,675.86	\$	-	\$	3,533.53
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	3,500	665,400	\$	36,750.00	\$	16,307.62	\$	53,057.62	\$	-	\$	1,445.34
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,750	2,440,293	\$	49,875.00	\$	59,806.70	\$	109,681.70	\$	-	\$	-
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	35,000	26,034,263	\$	367,500.00	\$	638,047.72	\$	1,005,547.72	\$	-	\$	•
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	173	70,630	\$	1,816.50	\$	1,731.00	\$	3,547.50	\$	126.00	\$	•
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	402,050	\$	7,875.00	\$	9,853.44	\$	17,728.44	\$	-	\$	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	378	142,398	\$	3,969.00	\$	3,489.89	\$	7,458.89	\$	-	\$	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,800	23,914,540	\$	396,900.00	\$	586,097.55	\$	982,997.55	\$	-	\$	-
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0	0	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,523	1,429,250	\$	36,991.50	\$	35,028.06	\$	72,019.56	\$	-	\$	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	107	33,580	\$	1,123.50	\$	822.98	\$	1,946.48	\$	42.00	\$	•
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	7,839	2,950,380	\$	82,309.50	\$	72,307.91	\$	154,617.41	\$	-	\$	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,023	4,275,050	\$	73,741.50	\$	104,772.93	\$	178,514.43	\$	-	\$	-
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,048	6,314,620	\$	116,004.00	\$	154,758.71	\$	270,762.71	\$	•	\$	-
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,035	1,089,260	\$	21,367.50	\$	26,695.58	\$	48,063.08	\$	2,667.00	\$	•
29	************************		****									
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	160,893.00	93,964,182.00	\$	1,689,376.50	\$	2,302,874.18	\$	3,992,250.68	\$	4,746.00	\$	13,350.38
31												
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,933.00	637,250.00	\$	20,296.50	\$	15,617.72	\$	35,914.22	\$	•	\$	-
33	*************************				****		•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	162,826.00	94,601,432.00	\$	1,709,673.00	\$	2,318,491.90	\$	4,028,164.90	\$	4,746.00	\$	13,350.38
35		****************************				a						
36												
37 GRAND TOTAL	541,956.00	259,108,372.00	\$	5,386,096.62	\$	7,210,270.27	\$	12,596,366.89	\$	4,746.00	\$	13,350.38
38			==	ويراكي كوراكو	==							

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 42

1 MAY 2013 2		PSC BILLING ADJ 1		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		GREEN POWER \$		DEMAND \$ ERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	A	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	
3 JP RURALS	\$	74,927.61	\$	2,500,884.71	\$	12.63	\$	2,500,897.34	\$	171,343.74	3.56	\$	128,778.98	
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	135,472.60	\$	4,440,176.91	\$	•	\$	4,440,176.91	\$	302,801.56	3.56	\$	228,596.57	
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	53,950.58	\$	1,627,140.37	\$	-	\$	1,627,140.37	\$	111,992.93	3.56	\$	83,802.61	
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	264,350.79	\$	8,568,201.99	\$	12.63	\$	8,568,214.62	\$	586,138.23	3.56	\$	441,178.16	
8 9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	21.47	\$	111,216.57			\$	111,216.57	\$	8,414.16	3.56	\$	5,751.21	
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	0.89	\$	5,403.44			\$	5,403.44	\$	295.55	3.56	\$	181.56	
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	142.97	\$	674,262.77			\$	674,262.77	\$	56,249.29	3.56	\$	35,027.54	
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	5.82	\$	36,031.70			\$	36,031.70	\$	2,183.80	3.56	\$	1,846.68	
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	28.48	\$	201,999.76			\$	201,999.76	\$	13,964.11	3.56	\$	9,991.92	
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	13.86	\$	71,209.39			\$	71,209.39	\$	5,126.48	3.56	\$	3,499.84	
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	2.98	\$	54,502.96			\$	54,502.96	\$	2,370.82	3.56	\$	2,693.45	
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	18.41	\$	109,681.70			\$	109,681.70	\$	8,694.76	3.56	\$	5,683.97	
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	118.01	\$	1,005,547.72			\$	1,005,547.72	\$	92,760.08	3.56	\$	52,508.99	
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	4.36	\$	3,673.50			\$	3,673.50	\$	251.65	3.56	\$	182.94	
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	•	\$	17,728.44			\$	17,728.44	\$	1,432.50	3.56	\$	919.56	
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	1.48	\$	7,458.89			\$	7,458.89	\$	507.36	3.56	\$	383.97	
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	244.78	\$	982,997.55			\$	982,997.55	\$	85,207.51	3.56	\$	51,164.07	
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	•	\$	•			\$	•	\$	-	0.00	\$	-	
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	13.48	\$	72,019.56			\$	72,019.56	\$	5,092.42	3.56	\$	3,713.37	
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	0.54	\$	1,988.48			\$	1,988.48	\$	119.65	3.56	\$	99.81	
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	27.50	\$	154,617.41			\$	154,617.41	\$	10,512.20	3.56	\$	7,959.29	
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	40.83	\$	178,514.43			\$	178,514.43	\$	15,232.00	3.56	\$	9,284.10	
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	55.66	\$	270,762.71			\$	270,762.71	\$	22, 498.99	3.56	\$	14,063.24	
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	9.84	\$	50,730.08			\$	50,730.08	\$	3,881.03	3.56	\$	2,492.92	
29				**********************				******			***************************************	*******	********	
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 31	\$	751.36	\$	4,010,347.06	\$	-	\$	4,010,347.06	\$	334,794.36	3.56	\$	207,448.43	
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	4.08	\$	35,914.22			\$	35,914.22	\$	2,270.52	3.56	\$	1,843.53	
33			******									******		
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	755.44	\$	4,046,261.28	\$	-	\$	4,046,261.28	\$	337,064.88	3.56	\$	209,291.96	
35	••••			*******	*****				•••••	***************************************			*********************	
36														
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	265,106.23	\$	12,614,463.27	\$	12.63	\$	12,614,475.90	\$	923,203.11	3.56	\$	650,470.12	
38														

¹ Billing adjustment related to Case No. 2011-00036 rehearing. Additional \$1,355,168.61 authorized to be billed to members over period March 2013 - July 2013. The total

\$1,355,168.61 was recognized as revenue in February 2013 (the month the order was received). Accordingly, these amounts are not included in reported revenue during the months of March 2013 through July 2013.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 2 of 42

1 MAY 2013	ES MILLS/ KWH		UNWIND URCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH	-	NON SMELTER DN FAC PPA	NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$
2			•					11,0011		
3 JP RURALS	2.68	\$	(160,090.74)	(3.33)	e	(50,061.42)	\$ (15,196.36)	(1.04)	e	2,575,671,54
4 KENERGY RURALS	2.69	ŝ	(282,915.07)	(3.33)	Ŝ	(88,469.39)	\$ (26,855.26)	(1.04)	•	4,573,335.32
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	2.67	ŝ	(104,637.79)	(3.33)	Ŝ	(32,720.92)	\$ (9,932.58)	(1.04)		4,575,535.32 1,675,644.62
6	2.01		(104,007.70)	(0.00)		(02,720.02)	φ (0,002.00)	(1.04)	φ	1,075,044.02
7 TOTAL RURALS	2.68	\$	(547,643.60)	(3.33)	ę	(171,251.73)	\$ (51,984.20)	(1.04)	e	8,824,651.48
8			(011;010:00)	(0.00)		(111,201.10)	\$ (01,001.20)	(1.04)	Ψ	0,024,001.40
9 KI-ACCURIDE	2.44	\$	(7.861.56)	(3.33)	\$	(2,458.36)	\$ (746.25)	(1.04)	\$	114.315.77
10 KI-ALCOA	2.19	ŝ	(276.14)	(3.33)	ŝ	(86.35)	\$ (26.21)	(1.04)	\$	5,491.85
11 KI-ALERIS	2.22	ŝ	(52,555.12)	(3.33)	ŝ	(16,434.33)	\$ (4,988.71)	(1.04)	\$	691,561.44
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	3.01	\$	(2.040.38)	(3.33)	Ś	(638.04)	\$ (193.68)	(1.04)	ŝ	37,190.08
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	2.55	\$	(13,047.02)	(3.33)	\$	(4,079.89)	\$ (1,238.47)	(1.04)	\$	207,590.41
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	2.43	\$	(4,789.80)	(3.33)	\$	(1,497.80)	\$ (454.66)	(1.04)	\$	73,093.45
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	4.05	\$	(2,215.12)	(3.33)	\$	(692.68)	\$ (210.27)	(1.04)	\$	56,449,16
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	2.33	\$	(8,123.74)	(3.33)	\$	(2,540.35)	\$ (771.13)	(1.04)	\$	112,625.21
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	2.02	\$	(86,668.06)	(3.33)	\$	(27,101.67)	\$ (8,226.83)	(1.04)	\$	1,028,820.23
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	2.59	\$	(235.13)	(3.33)	\$	(73.53)	\$ (22.32)	(1.04)	\$	3,777.11
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	2.29	\$	(1,338.42)	(3.33)	\$	(418.53)	\$ (127.05)	(1.04)	\$	18,196.50
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	2.70	\$	(474.04)	(3.33)	\$	(148.24)	\$ (45.00)	(1.04)	\$	7,682.94
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	2.14	\$	(79,611.50)	(3.33)	\$	(24,895.04)	\$ (7,556.99)	(1.04)	\$	1,007,305.60
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0.00	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	\$ -	0.00	\$	•
23 KI-PRECOAT	2.60	\$	(4,757.97)	(3.33)	\$	(1,487.85)	\$ (451.64)	(1.04)	\$	74,127.89
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	2.97	\$	(111.79)	(3.33)	\$	(34.96)	\$ (10.61)	(1.04)	\$	2,050.58
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	2.70	\$	(9,821.82)	(3.33)	\$	(3,071.35)	\$ (932.32)	(1.04)	\$	159,263.41
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	2.17	\$	(14,231.64)	(3.33)	\$	(4,450.33)	\$ (1,350.92)	(1.04)	\$	182,997.64
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	2.23	\$	(21,021.37)	(3.33)	\$	(6,573.52)	\$ (1,995.42)	(1.04)	\$	277,734.63
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2.29	\$	(3,626.15)	(3.33)	\$	(1,133.92)	\$ (344.21)	(1.04)	\$	51,999.75
29	±=====±		**************	*********		******				
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	2.21	\$	(312,806.77)	(3.33)	\$	(97,816.74)	\$ (29,692.69)	(1.04)	\$	4,112,273.65
31										
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	2.89	\$	(2,121.41)	(3.33)	\$	(663.38)	\$ (201.37)	(1.04)	\$	37,042.11
33			****************	***************	****		***************	**********************		*********
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	2.21	\$	(314,928.18)	(3.33)	\$	(98,480.12)	\$ (29,894.06)	(1.04)	\$	4,149,315.76
35	**********************		**********			*********		**********		
36	_	•								
37 GRAND TOTAL	2.51	\$	(862,571.78)	(3.33)	\$	(269,731.85)	\$ (81,878.26)	(1.04)	\$	12,973,967.24
38			, <u>,</u> ,,;						==	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 3 of 42

1 MAY 2013	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH		EVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$	REV NET OF MRSM MILLS/ KWH
2			·····	******	••		*******************
3 JP RURALS	53.56	\$	(342,585.96)	(7.12)		2,308,013.19	47.99
4 KENERGY RURALS	53.81	\$	(606,439.88)	(7.14)		4,102,368.04	48.27
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	53.31	\$	(223,550.18)	(7.11)	\$	1,506,045.02	47.91
	·····						*****
7 TOTAL RURALS	53.64	\$	(1,172,576.02)	(7.13)	\$	7,916,426.25	48.12
			(10.050.01)				
9 KI-ACCURIDE	48.41	\$	(16,250.61)	(6.88)	\$	98,086.63	41.54
10 KI-ALCOA	66.21	\$	(550.36)	(6.63)	\$	4,942.38	59.5 8
11 KI-ALERIS	43.81	\$	(105,216.81)	(6.66)	\$	586,487.60	37.15
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	60.68	\$	(4,571.68)	(7.46)	\$	32,624.22	53.23
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	52.97	\$	(27,416.68)	(7.00)	\$	180,202.21	45.98
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	50.80	\$	(9,896.79)	(6.88)	\$	63,210.52	43.93
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	84.83	\$	(5,651.81)	(8.49)	\$	50,800.33	76.35
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	46.15	\$	(16,533.50)	(6.78)	\$	96,110.12	39.38
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	39.52	\$	(168,257.32)	(6.46)	\$	860,680.92	33.06
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	53.48	\$	(496.95)	(7.04)	\$	3,284.52	46.50
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	45.26	\$	(2,707.07)	(6.73)	\$	15,489.43	38.53
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	53.95	\$	(1,017.07)	(7.14)	\$	6,667.35	46.82
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	42.12	\$	(157,488.12)	(6.59)	\$	850,062.26	35.55
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	-	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	0.00
23 KI-PRECOAT	51.86	\$	(10,067.82)	(7.04)	\$	64,073.55	44.83
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	61.07	\$	(249.11)	(7.42)	\$	1,802.01	53.66
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	53.98	\$	(21,076.67)	(7.14)	\$	138,214.24	46.85
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	42.81	\$	(28,290.97)	(6.62)	\$	154,747.50	36.20
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	43.98	\$	(42,138.04)	(6.67)	\$	235,652.25	37.32
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	47.74	\$	(7,335.76)	(6.73)	\$	44,673.83	41.01
29			*****************	*************	****		
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	43.76	\$	(625,213.14)	(6.65)	\$	3,487,811.87	37.12
31							
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	58.13	\$	(4,676.74)	(7.34)	\$	32,369.45	50.80
33		****	*******************				*****
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	43.86	\$	(629,889.88)	(6.66)	\$	3,520,181.32	37.21
35	***********************				****		
36							
37 GRAND TOTAL	50.07	\$	(1,802,465.90)	(6.96)	\$	11,436,607.57	44.14
38		_					

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 4 of 42

POWER

.

1 JUNE 2013	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$		EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	F P	ACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	I	BILLING ADJ
2 3 JP RURALS	135,049	58,504,420		1,309,570.15		1,739.687.43		0.040.957.59				
4 KENERGY RURALS	241,033	101,169,930	\$ \$	2,337,297.00	\$		\$	3,049,257.58	\$	-	\$	-
5 MEADE CO, RURALS	241,033 84,914	• •		· ·	\$	3,008,389.04	\$	5,345,686.04	\$	-	ş	•
	04,914	36,447,540	\$	823,411.06	Þ	1,083,804.05	Þ	1,907,215.11	\$	•	\$	-
6 7 TOTAL RURALS	460,996.00	196,121,890.00	\$	A 470 070 01		F 001 000 F0		10 000 150 70	•••••		••••••	
8	400,990.00	190,121,890.00	æ	4,470,278.21	Þ	5,831,880.52	\$	10,302,158.73	\$	-	\$	-
° 9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,065	2,031,670	\$	53,182.50	 \$	49,792.17	\$	102,974.67	\$		e.	
10 KI-ALCOA	116	79,050	ŝ	1,218.00	ŝ	1,937.36	ŝ	3,155.36	ŝ	1,522.50	ŝ	
11 KI-ALERIS	27,084	15,397,440	ŝ	284,382.00	ŝ	377,360.46	ŝ	661,742.46	ŝ	1,012.00	ŝ	_
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	2,001	605,350	ŝ	21,010.50	ŝ	14,835.92	ŝ	35,846.42	ŝ		ŝ	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	9,173	3,621,720	ŝ	96.316.50	ŝ	88,761.11	ŝ	185,077.61	ŝ		ŝ	10,672.32
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,156	1,446,710	Ś	33,138.00	Ś	35,455.97	Š	68,593.97	ŝ	•	ŝ	3,056.76
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	3,500	741,460	Ś	36,750.00	Ś	18,171.70	Ŝ	54,921.70	ŝ	-	ŝ	2,042.72
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,716	2,436,780	Ŝ	49,518.00	\$	59,720.60	Ś	109,238.60	ŝ	-	ŝ	_,0 1
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	35,000	25,084,156	\$	367,500.00	\$	614,762.50	Ś	982,262.50	Ŝ	-	ŝ	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	193	77,040	\$	2,026.50	\$	1.888.10	Ś	3,914.60	ŝ	220.50	Ś	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	388,850	\$	7,875.00	\$	9,529.94	\$	17,404.94	\$	•	\$	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	365	108,060	\$	3,832.50	\$	2,648.33	\$	6,480.83	\$	-	\$	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	38,189	26,349,550	\$	400,984.50	\$	645,774.77	\$	1,046,759.27	\$	-	\$	-
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0	0	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
23 KI-PRECOAT	3,538	1,355,870	\$	37,149.00	\$	33,229.66	\$	70,378.66	\$	•	\$	-
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	117	34,800	\$	1,228.50	\$	852.88	\$	2,081.38	\$	•	\$	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	7,773	2,181,320	\$	81,616.50	\$	53,459.79	\$	135,076.29	\$	-	\$	-
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,235	4,430,780	\$	75,967.50	\$	108,589.56	\$	184,557.06	\$	-	\$	-
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,197	6,183,400	\$	117,568.50	\$	151,542.77	\$	269,111.27	\$	•	\$	•
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	1,981	1,007,660	\$	20,800.50	\$	24,695.73	\$	45,496.23	\$	3,202.50	\$	-
29	**											
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	161,149.00	93,561,666.00	\$	1,692,064.50	\$	2,293,009.32	\$	3,985,073.82	\$	4,945.50	\$	15,771.80
31								,				
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,901.00	649,330.00	\$	19,960.50	\$	15,913.78	\$	35,874.28	\$	-	\$	•
33		#29 78 #248 888444 <u>8</u> 8445494454					••••					
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	163,050.00	94,210,996.00	\$	1,712,025.00	\$	2,308,923.10	\$	4,020,948.10	\$	4,945.50	\$	15,771.80
35		************************		****-**************					*****			
36			_		-							
37 GRAND TOTAL	624,046.00	290,332,886.00	\$	6,182,303.21	\$	8,140,803.62	\$	14,323,106.83	\$	4,945.50	\$	15,771.80
38			===		=						_	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 5 of 42

1 JUNE 2013		PSC BILLING ADJ '		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN OWER \$		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$		FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$
2 3 JP RURALS	\$	74,927.61	\$	3,049,257.58	\$	12.63	\$	3,049,270.21	\$	208.919.28	3.57	\$	168.911.55
4 KENERGY RURALS	š	135,472.60	ŝ	5,345,686.04	ŝ	12.00	ŝ	5,345,686.04	Ŝ	361,277.82	3.57	Տ	295,956.56
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ŝ	53,950.58	ŝ	1,907,215.11	ŝ		š	1,907,215.11	Š	130,154.17	3.57	\$	105,631.81
6				1,007,210.11		*****	• • • • • • •	1,007,210.11		100,104.17	0.07	φ	100,001.01
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	264,350.79	\$	10,302,158.73	\$	12.63	\$	10,302,171.36	\$	700,351.27	3.57 ·	\$	570,499.92
8				10,000,100,100		12.00	• • • • • • •	10,002,171.00		,00,001.2,	0.07	Ψ	010,400.02
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	21.47	\$	102,974.67			\$	102,974.67	\$	7,255.09	3.57	\$	5,710.79
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	0.89	\$	4,677.86			Ś	4.677.86	Ś	282.29	3.57	ŝ	176.97
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	142.97	\$	661,742,46			\$	661,742.46	Ś	54,984.26	3.57	ŝ	36,974.53
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	5.82	\$	35,846.42			Ŝ	35,846.42	ŝ	2,161.70	3.57	ŝ	1,975.99
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	Ŝ	28.48	Ś	195,749.93			ŝ	195,749.93	ŝ	12,933,16	3.57	ŝ	10,260.57
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	13.86	\$	71,650,73			Ś	71,650.73	Ŝ	5,166.20	3.57	ŝ	3,815.08
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	2.98	\$	56,964.42			\$	56,964.42	Ŝ	2,647.75	3.57	Ŝ	3,005.61
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	18.41	\$	109,238.60			\$	109,238.60	\$	8,701.74	3.57	Ŝ	6,091.30
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	118.01	\$	982,262.50			\$	982,262.50	\$	89,575.52	3.57	\$	55,145.64
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	4.36	\$	4,135.10			\$	4,135.10	\$	275.11	3.57	\$	217.07
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	-	\$	17,404.94			\$	17,404.94	\$	1,388.58	3.57	\$	970.59
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	1.48	\$	6,480.83			\$	6,480.83	\$	385.88	3.57	\$	357.09
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	244.78	\$	1,046,759.27			\$	1,046,759.27	\$	94,094.24	3.57	\$	58,721.68
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	-	\$	-			\$	•	\$	-	0.00	\$	•
23 KI-PRECOAT	\$	13.48	\$	70,378.66			\$	70,378.66	\$	4,841.81	3.57	\$	3,899.23
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	0.54	\$	2,081.38			\$	2,081.38	\$	124.27	3.57	\$	114.69
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	27.50	\$	135,076.29			\$	135,076.29	\$	7,789.49	3.57	\$	7,434.19
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	40.83	\$	184,557.06			\$	184,557.06	\$	15,822.32	3.57	\$	10,328.09
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	55.66	\$	269,111.27			\$	269,111.27	\$	22,080.92	3.57	\$	15,027.25
28 <u>KI</u> -VALLEY GRAIN	\$	9.84	\$	48,698.73			\$	48,698,73	\$	3,598.35	3.57	\$	2,536.09
29		*****************						***********************			********		
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	751.36	\$	4,005,791.12	\$	-	\$	4,005,791.12	\$	334,108.68	3.57	\$	222,762.45
31													
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	4.08	\$	35,874.28			\$	35,874.28	\$	2,318.76	3.57	\$	1,982.65
33								***************************************					
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	755.44	\$	4,041,665.40	\$	-	\$	4,041,665.40	\$	336,427.44	3.57	\$	224,745.10
35	••••	***************						4			********************		
36	-	000 100 00				10.05	•						
37 GRAND TOTAL	\$	265,106.23	\$	14,343,824.13	\$	12.63	\$	14,343,836.76	\$	1,036,778.71	3.57	\$	795,245.02
38							===		====				

¹Billing adjustment related to Case No. 2011-00036 rehearing. Additional \$1,355,168.61 authorized to be billed to members over period March 2013 - July 2013. The total

\$1,355,168.61 was recognized as revenue in February 2013 (the month the order was received). Accordingly, these amounts are not included in reported revenue during the months of March 2013 through July 2013.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 6 of 42

	ES MILLS/	S	UNWIND URCREDIT (US)	US MILLS/	-	NON SMELTER	-	NON MELTER ION FAC	NSNFPPA MILLS/		REVENUE
1 JUNE 2013	KWH		\$	KWH	NC	ON FAC PPA		PPA	KWH		\$
2 3 JP RURALS		•	(10 / 5/1 01)					(10 (07 (0)			
3 JP RURALS 4 KENERGY RURALS	2.89 2.93	\$	(194,761.21)	(3.33)	\$	(60,903.10)		(18,487.40)	(1.04)		3,152,949.33
		\$	(336,794.70)	(3.33)	\$	(105,317.90)		(31,969.70)	(1.04)		5,528,838.12
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6	2.90	\$	(121,333.86)	(3.33)	\$	(37,941.89)	\$	(11,517.42)	(1.04)	\$	1,972,207.92
7 TOTAL RURALS	2.91	\$	(652,889.77)	/0.00	•	/904169.00		(01 07 4 FO)	/1 0 4		
8	2.51	φ	(052,005.77)	(3.33)	\$	(204,162.89)	¢	(61,974.52)	(1.04)	\$	10,653,995.37
9 KI-ACCURIDE	2.81	\$	(6,763.43)	(3.33)	\$	(2,114.97)	\$	(642.01)	(1.04)		106,420.14
10 KI-ALCOA	2.01	\$	(263.16)	(3.33)	\$	(2,114.57)	\$	(342.01)	(1.04)	ې ۲	4.766.69
11 KI-ALERIS	2.40	ę	(51,258.08)	(3.33)	\$	(16,028,74)		(4,865.59)	(1.04)	ֆ Տ	4,700.09 681,548.84
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	3.26	ę	(2,015.21)	(3.33)	э \$	(630.17)	\$	(4,805.59)	(1.04)	-	37.147.44
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	2.83	ę	(12,015.21)	(3.33)	φ \$	(3,770.21)	\$	• •	• •	\$	
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	2.64	ę	(4,816.10)		р 5	,		• •	(1.04)	\$	201,972.28
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	4.05	ę	(4,818.10) (2 ,468.32)	(3.33) (3.33)	•	(1,506.03)	\$	(457.16)	(1.04)	\$	73,852.72
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	2.50	\$ •	(8,112.04)	(3.33)	\$ \$	(771.86)	\$	(234.30)	(1.04)	\$	59,143.30
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	2.30	\$ \$		• •	\$	(2,536.69)	\$	(770.02)	(1.04)	\$	112,612.89
17 KI-DOMTAK FAPER CO. 18 KI-DOTIKI #3	2.20	ð	(83,505.16)	(3.33)		(26,112.61)	\$	())/	(1.04)	\$	1,009,439.30
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL		ê ê	(256.47)	(3.33)	\$	(80.20)	\$	(24.34)	(1.04)	\$	4,266.27
	2.50	ð	(1,294.48)	(3.33)	\$	(404.79)	\$	(122.88)	(1.04)	\$	17,941.96
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	3.30	Ð	(359.73)	(3.33)	\$	(112.49)	\$	(34.15)	(1.04)	\$	6,717.43
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	2.23	ð	(87,717.65)	(3.33)	\$	(27,429.88)	\$	(8,326.46)	(1.04)	\$	1,076,101.20
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0.00	ð	-	0.00	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	\$	•
23 KI-PRECOAT	2.88	ð	(4,513.69)	(3.33)	\$	(1,411.46)	\$	(428.45)	(1.04)	\$	72,766.10
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	3.30	ð	(115.85)	(3.33)	\$	(36.23)	\$	(11.00)	(1.04)	\$	2,157.26
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3.41	ð	(7,261.61)	(3.33)	\$	(2,270.75)	\$	(689.30)	(1.04)	\$	140,078.31
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	2.33	ş	(14,750.07)	(3.33)	\$	(4,612.44)	\$	(1,400.13)	(1.04)	\$	189,944.83
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	2.43	\$	(20,584.54)	(3.33)	\$	(6,436.92)	\$	(1,953.95)	(1.04)	\$	277,244.03
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2.52	\$	(3,354.50)	(3.33)	\$	(1,048.97)	\$	(318.42)	(1.04)	\$	50,111.28
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	2.38	\$	(311,466.80)	(3.33)	\$	(97,397.70)	\$	(29,565.48)	(1.04)	\$	4,124,232.27
31		•	(0.1.0	(0.00)	•	(
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	3.05	\$	(2,161.62)	(3.33)	\$	(675.95)	\$	(205.19)	(1.04)	\$	37,132.93
				••••••		·····					
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	2.39	\$	(313,628.42)	(3.33)	\$	(98,073.65)	\$	(29,770.67)	(1.04)	\$	4,161,365.20
35	822777,87222,778,2777,			*****************		*_*=***		******	**********************		
	0.54	•	(000 F10 10)	(0.00)	•	(000 000 F -	~		1 4 - 3		
37 GRAND TOTAL	2.74	\$	(966,518.19)	(3.33)	\$	(302,236.54)	\$	(91,745.19)	(1.04)	\$	14,815,360.57
38				<u></u>	==					==	وكر والتي والتي

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 7 of 42

1 JUNE 2013	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$	REV NET OF MRSM MILLS/ KWH
2 3 JP RURALS	53.89	\$	(429,490.24)	(7.34)		0.700.000.70	47.00
4 KENERGY RURALS	54.65	ŝ	(746,567.43)	(7.34)		2,798,386.70 4.917.743.29	47.83 48.61
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	54.11	\$	(267,969.16)	(7.35)		4,917,743.29	48.01 48.24
6	04.11	Ψ	(201,303.10)	(1.00)	φ	1,750,109.34	40.24
7 TOTAL RURALS	54.32	¢	(1,444,026.83)	(7.36)	 e	9.474.319.33	48.31
8	01.02	φ	(1,111,020.03)	(1.30)	Ŷ	3,414,319.33	40.31
9 KI-ACCURIDE	52.38	\$	(14,759.84)	(7.26)	\$	91,681.77	45.13
10 KI-ALCOA	60.30	ŝ	(529.06)	(6.69)	\$	4.238.52	53.62
11 KI-ALERIS	44.26	ŝ	(105,554.73)	(6.86)	ŝ	576,137.08	37.42
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	61.37	ŝ	(4,672.21)	(7.72)	\$	32,481.05	53.66
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	55.77	ŝ	(26,391.70)	(7.29)	ŝ	175.609.06	48.49
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	51.05	ŝ	(10,258.72)	(7.09)	ŝ		43.97
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	79.77	Ś	(6,308.07)	(8.51)	ŝ	52,838,21	71.26
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	46.21	ŝ	(16.944.72)	(6.95)	ŝ	95,686,58	39.27
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	40.24	\$	(166,870.46)	(6.65)	ŝ	842,686,85	33.59
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	55.38	\$	(560.20)	(7.27)	ŝ	3,710.43	48.16
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	46.14	\$	(2,702.53)	(6.95)	Ś	15,239,43	39.19
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	62.16	\$	(838.39)	(7.76)	Ś	5,880.52	54.42
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	40.84	\$	(176,082.57)	(6.68)	Ś	900.263.41	34.17
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	-	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	0.00
23 KI-PRECOAT	53.67	\$	(9,938.27)	(7.33)	\$	62,841,31	46.35
24 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	61.99	\$	(269.69)	(7.75)	\$	1,888.11	54.26
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	64.22	\$	(17,149.79)	(7.86)	\$	122,956.02	56.37
26 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	42.87	\$	(30,062.79)	(6.78)	\$	159,922.87	36.09
27 KI-TYSON FOODS	44.84	\$	(42,568.11)	(6.88)	\$	234,731.58	37.96
28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	49.73	\$	(7,024.20)	(6.97)	\$	43,096.92	42.77
29	*********************	•					
30 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	44.08	\$	(639,486.05)	(6.83)	\$	3,485,497.58	37.25
31							
32 JPI-SHELL OIL	57.19	\$	(4,874.77)	(7.51)	\$	32,262.24	49.69
33	Tee+57441-+6-1			***********		******	
34 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	44.17	\$	(644,360.82)	(6.84)	\$	3,517,759.82	37.34
35	************************	••••		**************			**********
36							
37 GRAND TOTAL	51.03	\$	(2,088,387.65)	(7.19)	\$	12,992,079.15	44.75
38		=			=		

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 8 of 42

POWER

1 JULY 2013	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$		EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	F P	POWER PACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	1	BILLING ADJ
	····,·····						•••••	*********				
3 JP RURALS	139,874	62,361,170	\$	1,356,358.18	\$	1,854,371.75	\$	3,210,729.93	\$	-	\$	-
4 KENERGY RURALS 5 MEADE CO. RURALS	245,168	110,520,896	\$	2,377,394.10	\$	3,286,449.36	\$	5,663,843.46	\$	•	\$	-
	87,107	40,251,790	\$	844,676.58	\$	1,196,927.23	\$	2,041,603.81	\$	-	\$	-
6 7 TOTAL RURALS	472,149.00	213,133,856.00	\$	4.578.428.86	\$	C 007 740 04		10.010.155.00	*****		•••••	
8	472,145.00	213,133,630.00	ð	4,010,440.00	Φ	6,337,748.34	¢	10,916,177.20	\$	•	\$	•
° 9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,322	2,241,260	\$	55,881.00	\$	54,928.80	\$	110,809.80	\$		e	-
10 KI-ALCOA	116	80,390	ŝ	1,218.00	Ŝ	1.970.20	ŝ	3,188.20	ŝ	1,522.50	ŝ	-
11 KI-ALERIS	28,010	15,282,410	ŝ	294,105.00	ŝ	374,541.30	ŝ	668,646.30	ŝ	1,022.00	ŝ	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	2,001	643,660	ŝ	21,010.50	ŝ	15,774.82	Ŝ	36,785.32	ŝ	903.00	ŝ	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	9,419	3,652,890	Ś	98,899.50	Ŝ	89,525.03	ŝ	188,424.53	ŝ	-	ŝ	8.709.14
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,081	1,414,740	\$	32,350.50	Ŝ	34,672.45	Ś	67,022.95	ŝ	-	ŝ	3,648.41
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	3,500	852,690	\$	36,750.00	\$	20,897.73	\$	57,647.73	Ŝ		Š	2,304.08
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,649	2,304,180	\$	48,814.50	\$	56,470.84	\$	105,285.34	\$	-	\$	•
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	35,000	25,916,876	\$	367,500.00	\$	635, 170.80	\$	1,002,670.80	\$	-	\$	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	189	78,460	\$	1,984.50	\$	1,922.90	\$	3,907.40	\$	252.00	\$	-
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	402,870	\$	7,875.00	\$	9,873.54	\$	17,748.54	\$	-	\$	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	50,600	\$	1,050.00	\$	1,240.10	\$	2,290.10	\$	-	\$	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	38,578	25,702,700	\$	405,069.00	\$	629,921.77	\$	1,034,990.77	\$	-	\$	-
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0	0	\$	•	\$	•	\$	•	\$	•	\$	-
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	290	2,690	\$	3,045.00	\$	65.93	\$	3,110.93	\$	987.00	\$	•
24 KI-PRECOAT	3,644	1,436,640	\$	38,262.00	\$	35,209.17	\$	73,471.17	\$	-	\$	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	110	35,210	\$	1,155.00	\$	862.93	\$	2,017.93	\$	10.50	\$	-
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	6,466	1,532,800	\$	67,893.00	\$	37,565.86	\$	105,458. 8 6	\$	-	\$	-
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,545	4,579,710	\$	79,222.50	\$	112,239.53	\$	191,462.03	\$	•	\$	-
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,534	6,566,860	\$	121,107.00	\$	160,940.60	\$	282,047.60	\$	-	\$	-
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,012	1,017,220	\$	21,126.00	\$	24,930.03	\$	46,056.03	\$	3,255.00	\$	-
	100 010 00			1 704 010 00					***			
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	162,316.00	93,794,856.00	\$	1,704,318.00	\$	2,298,724.33	\$	4,003,042.33	\$	6,930.00	\$	14,661.63
32 33 JPL-SHELL OIL	1 000 00	F93 F40 00		00 101 00		14000.00	•	04 405 00	•		•	
33 JPI-SHELL OIL 34	1,922.00	583,740.00	\$	20,181.00	\$	14,306.30	\$	34,487.30	\$	•	\$	-
34 35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	164,238.00	94,378,596.00	\$	1,724,499.00	\$	2,313,030.63	e	4,037,529.63	e	6,930.00	\$	14,661.63
36	103,430.00	J4,010,0J0.00	¢	1,124,433.00	φ	2,010,000.00	φ	-1,037,027.03	Φ	0,930.00	φ	14,001.03
37												
38 GRAND TOTAL	636,387.00	307,512,452.00	\$	6,302,927.86	\$	8,650,778.97	\$	14,953,706.83	\$	6,930.00	\$	14,661.63
39			==		_		_		-===			- 1,001.00

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 9 of 42

1 JULY 2013	SU A	JNWIND RCREDIT ADJ 4-13 HRU 6-13		MRSM ADJ 4-13 YHRU 6-13 (US)	PSC BILLING ADJ '		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN OWER \$	EN	DEMAND \$ ERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$		FUEL JUSTMENT CLAUSE \$
2 3 JP RURALS		2,566.78	\$	(2,566.78)	74,927.62	\$	3,210,729.93	\$	12.63	\$	3.210.742.56	e	194,317.41
4 KENERGY RURALS	ę	4,520.93	\$	(4,520.93)	135,472.61	\$	5,663,843.46	э \$	12.03	э \$	5,663,843.46	\$ \$	344,383.11
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ę	1,687.08	ŝ	(1,687.08)	53,950.58	ŝ	2,041,603.81	ŝ	•	Ŝ	2.041,603.81	\$	125,424.58
6	Ψ	1,007.00		(1,007.00)	00,000.00	•	2,041,000.01	φ	-	φ	2,041,003.01	φ	120, 424.00
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	8,774.79	\$	(8,774.79)	\$ 264,350.81	\$	10,916,177.20	\$	12.63	\$	10,916,189.83	\$	664,125.10
8			•		• 201,000.01		10,010,111.20		12.00		10,020,100.00	•	001,120.10
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	112.40	\$	(112.40)	21.46	\$	110.809.80			\$	110.809.80	\$	6.983.77
10 KI-ALCOA	ŝ	4.24	ŝ	(4.24)	0.89	ŝ	4,710.70			ŝ	4,710.70	\$	250.50
11 KI-ALERIS	ŝ	763.66	ŝ	(763.66)	142.97	ŝ	668,646.30			ŝ	668,646.30	ŝ	47.619.99
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	ŝ	31.71	Ŝ	(31.71)	5.82	ŝ	37,688,32			ŝ	37,688.32	Š	2,005.64
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	Ŝ	194.29	ŝ	(194.29)	28.48	Ŝ	197,133.67			ŝ	197,133.67	ŝ	11,382,41
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	74.70	Ŝ	(74.70)	13.86	ŝ	70,671.36			Ŝ	70,671.36	ŝ	4,408.33
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	34.11	\$	(34.11)	2.98	Ŝ	59,951.81			\$	59,951.81	Ŝ	2,656.98
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	125.64	\$	(125.64)	18.41	\$	105,285.34			\$	105,285.34	\$	7,179.82
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	1,052.62	\$	(1,052.62)	118.01	\$	1,002,670.80			\$	1,002,670.80	ŝ	80,756,99
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	3.92	\$	(3.92)	4.36	\$	4,159.40			\$	4,159.40	\$	244.48
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	16.39	\$	(16.39)	0.00	\$	17,748.54			\$	17,748.54	\$	1,255.34
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	7.17	\$	(7.17)	1.48	\$	2,290.10			\$	2,290.10	\$	157.67
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	1,285.55	\$	(1,285.55)	244.78	\$	1,034,990.77			\$	1,034,990.77	\$	80,089.61
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	-	\$	•	0.00	\$	•			\$	•	\$	•
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	•	\$	•	0.00	\$	4,097.93			\$	4,097.93	\$	8.38
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$	72.65	\$	(72.65)	13.48	\$	73,471.17			\$	73,471.17	\$	4,476.57
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	1.93	\$	(1.93)	0.54	\$	2,028.43			\$	2,028.43	\$	109.71
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	140.84	\$	(140.84)	27,50	\$	105,458.86			\$	105,458.86	\$	4,776.20
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	218.04	\$	(218.04)	40.83	\$	191,462.03			\$	191,462.03	\$	14,270.38
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	313.39	\$	(313.39)	55.66	\$	282,047.60			\$	282,047.60	\$	20,462.34
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	52.67	\$	(52.67)	9.84	\$	49,311.03			\$	49,311.03	\$	3,169.66
30					**********************						******	******	
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	4,505.92	\$	(4,505.92)	\$ 751.35	\$	4,024,633.96	\$	-	\$	4,024,633.96	\$	292,264.77
32													
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	29.39	\$	(29.39)	\$ 4.08	\$	34,487.30			\$	34,487.30	\$	1,818.93
34	*****												
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	4,535.31	\$	(4,535.31)	\$ 755.43	\$	4,059,121.26	\$	•	\$	4,059,121.26	\$	294,083.70
36				***************	*******							*******	********************
37													
38 GRAND TOTAL	\$	13,310.10	\$	(13,310.10)	\$ 265,106.24	\$	14,975,298.46	\$	12.63	\$	14,975,311.09	\$	958,208.80
39		<u></u>				===	وينظمين تتمي كوياكري	====				23323	

Case No. 2013-00449 \$1,355,168.61 was received). Accordingly, these amounts are not included in reported revenue during the March 2013 through July 2013. The total \$1,355,168.61 was received). Accordingly, these amounts are not included in reported revenue during the March 2013 through July 2013.

.

•

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 10 of 42

1 JULY 2013	FAC MILLS/ KWH		es \$	ES MILLS/ KWH	5	UNWIND SURCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH		NON SMELTER DN FAC PPA		NON MELTER ION FAC PPA
2						**********************			*******		
3 JP RURALS	3.1 2	\$	174,263.38	2.79	\$	(203,536.89)	(3.26)	\$	(64,917.98)	\$	(19,706.13)
4 KENERGY RURALS	3.12	\$	307,454.30	2.78	\$	(360,750.63)	(3.26)	\$	(115,052.25)	\$	(34,924.60)
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	3.12	\$	110,863.92	2.75	\$	(131,345.09)	(3.26)	\$	(41,902.11)		(12,719.57)
6						******	······				
7 TOTAL RURALS	3.12	\$	592,581.60	2.78	\$	(695,632.61)	(3.26)	\$	(221,872.34)	\$	(67,350.30)
8		*******	********************								
9 KI-ACCURIDE	3.12	\$	6,022.46	2.69	\$	(7,294.96)	(3.25)	\$	(2,333.15)	\$	(708.24)
10 KI-ALCOA	3.12	\$	174.75	2.17	\$	(261.45)	(3.25)	\$	(83.69)	\$	(25.40)
11 KI-ALERIS	3.12	\$	36,502.90	2.39	\$	(49,744.71)	(3.26)	\$	(15,908.99)	\$	(4,829,24)
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	3.12	\$	1,990.00	3.09	\$	(2,095.59)	(3.26)	\$	(670.05)	\$	(203.40)
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	3.12	\$	10,226.17	2.80	\$	(11,878.51)	(3.25)	\$	(3,802.66)	Ŝ	(1,154.31)
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3.12	\$	3,648.12	2.58	\$	(4,601.02)	(3.25)	\$	(1,472.74)	\$	(447.06)
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	3.12	\$	3,104.20	3.64	\$	(2,784.03)	(3.26)	\$	(887.65)	Ŝ	(269.45)
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	3.12	\$	5,738.33	2.49	\$	(7,489.67)	(3.25)	S	(2,398.65)	Ŝ	(728.12)
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	3.12	\$	55,015.00	2.12	\$	(84,602.66)	(3.26)	Ŝ	(26,979.47)	Ŝ	(8,189.73)
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	3.12	\$	212.31	2.71	\$	(255.39)	(3.26)	Ś	(81.68)	Ś	(24.79)
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	3.12	\$	968.67	2.40	\$	(1,315.10)	(3.26)	\$	(419.39)	Ś	(127.31)
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	3.12	\$	124.86	2.47	\$	(160.06)	(3.16)	\$	(52.67)	\$	(15.99)
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	3.12	\$	56,691.45	2.21	\$	(83,661.87)	(3.25)	Ŝ	(26,756.51)	Ś	(8,122.05)
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0.00	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	\$	•
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	3.12	\$	163.52	60.79	\$	(8.89)	(3.30)	Ś	(2.80)	Ŝ	(0.85)
24 KI-PRECOAT	3.12	\$	3,988.56	2.78	\$	(4,675,45)	(3.25)	\$	(1,495.54)	\$	(453.98)
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	3.12	\$	109.16	3.10	\$	(114.44)	(3.25)	\$	(36.65)	Ŝ	(11.13)
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	3.12	\$	5,676.22	3.70	\$	(4,925.06)	(3.21)	\$	(1,595.64)	\$	(484.36)
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	3.12	\$	10,471.15	2.29	\$	(14,917.90)	(3.26)	\$	(4,767.48)	\$	(1,447.19)
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	3.12	\$	15,408.73	2.35	\$	(21,390.08)	(3.26)	\$	(6,836.10)	\$	(2,075.13)
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	3.12	\$	2,511.03	2.47	\$	(3,309.24)	(3.25)	\$	(1,058.93)	\$	(321.44)
30		******	*********		•=		******				
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	3.12	\$	218,747.59	2.33	\$	(305,486.08)	(3.26)	\$	(97,640.44)	\$	(29,639.17)
32											
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	3.1 2	\$	1,863.86	3.19	\$	(1,899.87)	(3.25)	\$	(607.67)	\$	(184.46)
34				**********************			***************		*****************		
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	3.12	\$	220,611.45	2.34	\$	(307,385.95)	(3.26)	\$	(98,248.11)	\$	(29,823.63)
36	*********			********						•••	
37											
38 GRAND TOTAL	3.12	\$	813,193.05	2.64	\$	(1,003,018.56)	(3.26)	\$	(320,120.45)	\$	(97,173.93)
39		==		***********	_			=		==	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 11 of 42

1 JULY 2013	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH	REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH	MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH	REVENUE \$ NET OF MRSM \$	REV NET OF MRSM MILLS/ KWH
2 3 JP RURALS	(1.04)	\$ 3,291,162.35	52.78	\$ (365,347.98)	(5.86)	\$ 3,000,741.99	48.12
4 KENERGY RURALS	(1.04)	\$ 5,804,953.39	52.52	\$ (646,079.90)	(5.85)	\$ 5,294.346.10	43.12
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	(1.04)	\$ 2,091,925.54	51.97	\$ (234,232.16)	(5.82)	\$ 1,911,643.96	47.49
6				φ (201,202.10)	(0.02)	• • •	
7 TOTAL RURALS	(1.04)	\$ 11,188,041.28	52.49	\$ (1,245,660.04)	(5.84)	\$ 10,206,732.05	47.89
8		•				• 10,200,102.00	
9 KI-ACCURIDE	(1.04)	\$ 113,479.68	50.63	\$ (12,910.20)	(5.76)	\$ 100,590,94	44.88
10 KI-ALCOA	(1.04)	\$ 4,765.41	59.28	\$ (422.01)	(5.25)	\$ 4,344.29	54.04
11 KI-ALERIS	(1.04)	\$ 682,286.25	44.65	\$ (83,465.28)	(5.46)	\$ 598,963.94	39.19
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	(1.04)	\$ 38,714.92	60.15	\$ (3,967.49)	(6.16)	\$ 34,753.25	53.99
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	(1.04)	\$ 201,906.77	55.27	\$ (21,463.15)	(5.88)	\$ 180,472.10	49.41
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	(1.04)	\$ 72,206.99	51.04	\$ (7,999.57)	(5.65)	\$ 64,221.28	45.39
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	(1.04)	\$ 61,771.86	72.44	\$ (5,715.99)	(6.70)	\$ 56,058.85	65.74
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	(1.04)	\$ 107,587.05	46.69	\$ (12,829.51)	(5.57)	\$ 94,775.95	41.13
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	(1.04)	\$ 1,018,670.93	39.31	\$ (134,414.34)	(5.19)	\$ 884,374.60	34.12
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	(1.04)	\$ 4,254.33	54.22	\$ (453.41)	(5.78)	\$ 3,805.28	48.50
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	(1.04)	\$ 18,110.75	44.95	\$ (2,202.93)	(5.47)	\$ 15,907.82	39.49
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	(1.04)	\$ 2,343.91	46.32	\$ (285.00)	(5.63)	\$ 2,060.39	40.72
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	(1.04)	\$ 1,053,231.40	40.98	\$ (135,676.26)	(5.28)	\$ 917,799.92	35.71
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0.00	\$-	•	\$ -	0.00	\$ -	0.00
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	(1.04)	\$ 4,257.29	1,582.64	\$ (171.65)	(63.81)	\$ 4,085.64	1,518.83
24 KI-PRECOAT	(1.04)	\$ 75,311.33	52.42	\$ (8,404.17)	(5.85)	\$ 66,920.64	46.58
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	(1.04)	\$ 2,085.08	59.22	\$ (217.52)	(6.18)	\$ 1,868.10	53.06
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	(1.04)	\$ 108,906.22	71.05	\$ (10,450.71)	(6.82)	\$ 98,483.01	64.25
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	(1.04)	\$ 195,070.99	42.59	\$ (24,533.66)	(5.36)	\$ 170,578.16	37.25
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	(1.04)	\$ 287,617.36	43.80	\$ (35,573.74)	(5.42)	\$ 252,099.28	38.39
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	(1.04)	\$ 50,302.11	49.45	\$ (5,638.76)	(5.54)	\$ 44,673.19	43.92
30	***********************		*********			***************************************	
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	(1.04)	\$ 4,102,880.63	43.74	\$ (506,795.35)	(5.40)	\$ 3,596,836.63	38.35
32							
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	(1.04)	\$ 35,478.09	60.78	\$ (3,657.89)	(6.27)	\$ 31,824.28	54.52
34	*****				******************	******	
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	(1.04)	\$ 4,138,358.72	43.85	\$ (510,453.24)	(5.41)	\$ 3,628,660.91	38.45
36		******************			***************		
37		•					
38 GRAND TOTAL	(1.04)	\$ 15,326,400.00	49.84	\$ (1,756,113.28)	(5.71)	\$ 13,835,392.96	44.99
39			<u></u>				

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 12 of 42

1 AUGUST 2013	KW BILLED	KWH 61,508,892		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	DEMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$			POWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$]	BILLING ADJ
	100.080			1 5 40 105 0 4		1 000 100 = 4						
3 JP RURALS	120,030	,,	\$	1,549,107.94	\$	1,836,109.74	\$	3,385,217.68	\$	-	\$	-
4 KENERGY RURALS 5 MEADE CO. RURALS	227,857	112,030,083	\$	2,903,040.90	\$	3,344,058.65	\$	6,247,099.55	\$	-	\$	-
6 MEADE CO. RURALS	82,153	40,747,239	\$	1,052,714.10	\$	1,216,254.61	\$	2,268,968.71	\$	•	\$	•
7 TOTAL RURALS	430,040.00	214,286,214.00	\$	5,504,862.94	\$	6,396,423.00	\$	11,901,285.94	\$	•	\$	•
8 9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,223	2,381,640	\$	58,795.14	\$	63.881.04	\$	122,676.18	\$			**************
10 KI-ALCOA	110	2,001,010	ŝ	1,237.87	ę	2,060.79	\$	3,298.66	\$	- 1,923.55	ŝ	•
11 KI-ALERIS	26,995	15,535,241	é	303,239.26	ŝ	413,010.24	\$	716,249.50	\$	1,940.00	¢ ¢	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	2,001	590,749	ę	22,489.95	ę	15,809.07	ŝ	38,299.02	ŝ	- 535.50	ф Ф	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	9,432	3,877,769	ę	106,136.20	ę	103,499.41	\$	209.635.61	9 #	535,50	¢ ¢	-
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,432	1,424,339	ې د	34,695.89	ې م	37,973.47	э \$	-,	\$	•	\$	12,462.01
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	3,500	1,424,535 914,619	e e	39,337.74	\$ \$	24,394.44	э \$	72,669.36 63,732.18	\$	-	ð	2,511.65
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,476	2,330,989	ŝ	•	¢ ¢		•		ê ê	-	ð	4,075.80
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	10,293,728	ې م	50,259.05	ې م	61,909.46	\$	112,168.51	ş	•	ş	•
	•		¢ ¢	168,590.32	ð	274,162.49	\$	442,752.81	\$	-	Ş	•
18 KI-DOTIKI #3 19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	189 750	111,859	ð	2,125.78	\$	2,982.32	\$	5,108.10	\$	260.61	Ş	•
	• • •	402,349	\$	8,429.51	\$	10,714.62	\$	19,144.13	\$	-	\$	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	50,460	ð	1,123.94	\$	1,341.33	\$	2,465.27	\$	-	\$	•
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,639	26,741,349	ş	423,245.44	\$	713,218.63	\$	1,136,464.07	\$	-	\$	•
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0	0	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	3,000	147,169	\$	33,718.06	\$	4,017.77	\$	37,735.83	\$	-	\$	•
24 KI-PRECOAT	3,634	1,470,169	\$	40,832.67	\$	39,084.96	\$	79,917.63	\$	508.81	\$	•
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	30,099	\$	1,123.94	\$	799.22	\$	1,923.16	\$	•	\$	-
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	5,104	1,274,000	\$	58,061.71	\$	36,358.31	\$	94,420.02	\$	-	\$	-
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,385	4,662,100	\$	82,880.74	\$	124,059.95	\$	206,940.69	\$	-	\$	-
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,567	6,893,139	\$	130,094.52	\$	184,156.99	\$	314,251.51	\$	•	\$	-
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 30	2,042	1,087,419	\$	22,988.28	\$	29,128.50	\$	52,116.78	\$	3,611.31	\$	-
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	141,334.00	80,296,565.00	\$	1,589,406.01	\$	2,142,563.01	\$	3,731,969.02	\$	6,839.78	\$	19,049.46
32												
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	2,010.00	552,050.00	\$	22,680.37	\$	14,542.76	\$	37,223.13	\$	-	\$	•
34			-***						•••••	******		
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	143,344.00	80,848,615.00	\$	1,612,086.38	\$	2,157,105.77	\$	3,769,192.15	\$	6,839.78	\$	19,049.46
36	******					*******************			*****	************		**************
37												
38 GRAND TOTAL	573,384.00	295,134,829.00	\$	7,116,949.32	\$	8,553,528.77	\$	15,670,478.09	\$	6,839.78	\$	19,049.46
³⁹ Case No. 2013-00449									==			

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 13 of 42

1 AUGUST 2013		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		GREEN ENERGY \$ POWER AND GR	DEMAND \$ IERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$	A	FUEL DJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH	
2			•				•••••••	*******			******************	*******
3 JP RURALS	\$	3,385,217.68	\$	12.50	\$	3,385,230.18	\$	209,868.34	3.41	\$	192,807.41	3.13
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	6,247,099.55	\$	•	\$	6,247,099.55	\$	382,246.65	3.41	\$	355,641.02	3.17
5 MEADE CO. RURALS 6	\$	2,268,968.71	\$	-	\$ 	2,268,968.71	\$	139,029.58	3.41	\$	129,176.57	3.17
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	11,901,285.94	\$	12.50	\$	11,901,298.44	\$	731,144.57	3.41	\$	677,625.00	3.16
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	122,676.18			\$	122,676.18	\$	8,126.16	3.41	\$	7,004.33	2.94
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	5,222.21			\$	5,222.21	\$	264.02	3.41	Ŝ	189.85	2.45
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	716,249.50			\$	716,249.50	\$	53,006.25	3.41	\$	41.078.94	2.64
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	38,834.52			\$	38,834.52	\$	2,015.64	3.41	\$	2,169.48	3.67
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	222,097.62			\$	222,097.62	\$	13,230.95	3.41	\$	11,947.69	3.08
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	75,181.01			\$	75,181.01	\$	4,859.85	3.41	\$	4,150.66	2.91
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	67,807.98			\$	67,807.98	\$	3,120.68	3.41	\$	3,602.45	3.94
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	112,168.51			\$	112,168.51	\$	7,953.34	3.41	\$	6,421.68	2.75
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	442,752.81			\$	442,752.81	\$	35,122.20	3.41	\$	25,471.05	2.47
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	5,368.71			\$	5,368.71	\$	381.67	3.41	\$	293.08	2.62
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	19,144.13			\$	19,144.13	\$	1,372.82	3.41	\$	1,096.52	2.73
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	2,465.27			\$	2,465.27	\$	172.17	3.41	\$	141.05	2.80
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	1,136,464.07			\$	1,136,464.07	\$	91,241.49	3.41	\$	65,415.46	2.45
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	•			\$	-	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	0.00
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	37,735.83			\$	37,735.83	\$	502.14	3.41	\$	2,088.51	14.19
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$	80,426.44			\$	80,426.44	\$	5,016.22	3.41	\$	4,553.80	3.10
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	1,923.16			\$	1,923.16	\$	102.70	3.41	\$	108.99	3.62
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	94,420.02			\$	94,420.02	\$	4,346.89	3.41	\$	5,327.93	4.18
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	206,940.69			\$	206,940.69	\$	15,907.09	3.41	\$	11,888.23	2.55
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	314,251.51			\$	314,251.51	\$	23,519.39	3.41	\$	18,031.92	2.62
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	55,728.09			\$	55,728.09	\$	3,710.28	3.41	\$	2,984.20	2.74
30 31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	3,757,858.26	\$	•	\$	3,757,858.26	\$	273,971.95	3.41	\$	213,965.82	2.66
32 33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	37,223.13			\$	37,223.13	\$	1,883.59	3.41	\$	2,106.05	3.81
34												
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	3,795,081.39	\$	•	\$	3,795,081.39	\$	275,855.54	3.41	\$	216,071.87	2.67
36 37					•							
38 GRAND TOTAL	\$	15,696,367.33	\$	12.50	\$	15,696,379.83	\$	1,007,000.11	3.41	\$	893,696.87	3.03
39	====									====		

Case No. 2013-00449

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 14 of 42

1 AUGUST 2013		UNWIND URCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH	NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA		NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH
2						********	*********			
3 JP RURALS	\$	(203,286.89)	(3.31)	\$	(64,030.76)	\$ (19,436.81)	(1.04)	\$	3,501,151.47	56.92
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(370,259.43)	(3.31)	\$	(116,623.32)	\$ (35,401.51)	(1.04)	\$	6,462,702.96	57.69
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(134,669.63)	(3.31)	\$	(42,417.88)	\$ (12,876.13)	(1.04)	\$	2,347,211.22	57.60
6		******			********	*************				
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(708,215.95)	(3.31)	\$	(223,071.96)	\$ (67,714.45)	(1.04)	\$	12,311,065.65	57.45
8					***************	*************	*****	•••	******************	*******************
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(7,871.32)	(3.30)	\$	(2,479.29)	\$ (752.60)	(1.04)	\$	126,703.46	53.20
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(255.74)	(3.31)	\$	(80.55)	\$ (24.45)	(1.04)	\$	5,315.34	68.69
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(51,343.97)	(3.30)	\$	(16, 172.19)	\$ (4,909.14)	(1.04)	\$	737,909.39	47.50
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(1,952.43)	(3.31)	\$	(614.97)	\$ (186.68)	(1.04)	\$	40,265.56	68.16
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(12,816.03)	(3.31)	\$	(4,036.76)	\$ (1,225.38)	(1.04)	\$	229, 198.09	59.11
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(4,707.44)	(3.30)	\$	(1,482.74)	\$ (450.09)	(1.04)	\$	77,551.25	54.45
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(3,022.82)	(3.31)	\$	(952.12)	\$ (289.02)	(1.04)	\$	70,267.15	76.83
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(7,703.92)	(3.31)	\$	(2,426.56)	\$ (736.59)	(1.04)	\$	115,676.46	49.63
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(34,020.77)	(3.30)	\$	(10,715.77)	\$ (3,252.82)	(1.04)	\$	455,356.70	44.24
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(369.70)	(3.31)	\$	(116.45)	\$ (35.35)	(1.04)	\$	5,521.96	49.37
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(1,329.77)	(3.31)	\$	(418.85)	\$ (127.14)	(1.04)	\$	19,737.71	49.06
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(166.77)	(3.30)	\$	(52.53)	\$ (15.95)	(1.04)	\$	2,543.24	50.40
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(88,380,16)	(3.31)	\$	(27,837.75)	\$ (8,450.27)	(1.04)	\$	1,168,452.84	43.69
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	\$-	0.00	\$	-	-
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(486.40)	(3.31)	\$	(153.20)	\$ (46.51)	(1.04)	\$	39,640.37	269.35
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(4,858.91)	(3.31)	\$	(1,530.45)	\$ (464.57)	(1.04)	\$	83,142.53	56.55
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(99.48)	(3.31)	\$	(31.33)	\$ (9.51)	(1.04)	\$	1,994.53	66.27
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(4,210.57)	(3.31)	\$	(1,326.24)	\$ (402.58)	(1.04)	\$	98,155.45	77.05
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(15,408.24)	(3.30)	\$	(4,853.25)	\$ (1,473.22)	(1.04)	\$	213,001.30	45.69
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(22,781.83)	(3.31)	\$	(7,175.76)	\$ (2,178.23)	(1.04)	\$	323,667.00	46.95
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(3,593.92)	(3.31)	\$	(1,132.00)	\$ (343.62)	(1.04)	\$	57,353.03	52.74
30									******************	******************
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(265,380.19)	(3.31)	\$	(83,588.76)	\$ (25,373.72)	(1.04)	\$	3,871,453.36	48.21
32										
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(1,824.53)	(3.31)	\$	(574.68)	\$ (174.45)	(1.04)	\$	38,639.11	69.99
34									**********************	
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(267,204.72)	(3.31)	\$	(84,163.44)	\$ (25,548.17)	(1.04)	\$	3,910,092.47	48.36
36						******			******	********
37										
38 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(975, 420.67)	(3.31)	\$	(307,235.40)	\$ (93,262.62)	(1.04)	\$	16,221,158.12	54.96
39	210							-	And and a state of the state of	

Case No. 2013-00449

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 15 of 42
1 AUGUST 2013		MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$	REV NET OF MRSM MILLS/ KWH
2			**************		*****************	
3 JP RURALS	\$	(396,955.43)	(6.45)	\$	3,104,196.04	50.4
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(727,468.87)	(6.49)	\$	5,735,234.09	51.19
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(264,416.65)	(6.49)	\$	2,082,794.57	51.1

7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	(1,388,840.95)	(6.48)	\$	10,922,224.70	50.97
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(14,909.00)	(6.26)	\$	111.794.46	46.94
10 KI-ALCOA	Ŝ	(446.67)	(5.77)	\$	4,868.67	62.92
11 KI-ALERIS	Š	(92,640.42)	(5,96)	\$	645,268.97	41.54
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(4,130.18)	(6.99)	Š	36,135.38	61.1
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	ŝ	(24,818.01)	(6.40)	\$	204,380.08	52.7
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	ŝ	(8,878.05)	(6.23)	Š		48.21
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	ŝ	(6,638.07)	(7.26)	\$	63,629.08	69.5
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(14,158.24)	(6.07)	\$		43.55
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	Ś	(59,635.93)	(5.79)	\$	395,720.77	38.44
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	ŝ	(664.34)	(5.94)	\$	4,857.62	43.43
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO, COAL	ŝ	(2,431.92)	(6.04)	Ś	17,305.79	43.01
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(308.53)	(6.11)	\$	2,234.71	44.29
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(154,170.01)	(5.77)		1,014,282.83	37.93
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	•	0.00	\$	-,,	0.00
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	ŝ	(2,576.96)	(17.51)	ŝ	37,063.41	251.84
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(9,433.30)	(6.42)	\$	73,709.23	50.14
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	Ŝ	(208.89)	(6.94)	\$	1,785.64	59.33
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	Ś	(9,556,34)	(7.50)	Ŝ	88,599.11	69.54
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(27,361.75)	(5.87)	\$		39.82
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(40,910.25)	(5.93)	\$		41.05
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(6,593.35)	(6.06)	\$	50,759.68	46.68
30						
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 32	\$	(480,470.21)	(5.98)	\$	3,390,983.15	42.23
32 33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(3,938.29)	(7.13)	\$	34,700.82	62.86
34			•••••			
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(484,408.50)	(5.99)	\$	3,425,683.97	42.37
36 37			**********			*******************
37 38 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(1,873,249.45)	(6.35)	\$	14,347,908.67	48.61
39				==		

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 16 of 42

POWER

1 SEPTEMBER 2013	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	DI	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	F P	POWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	1	BILLING ADJ
2 3 JP RURALS	131,292	52,701,500	 \$	2.225.399.40	 e	1 591 045 00		3,806,444.40	•••••	********	 *	
4 KENERGY RURALS	242,436	95,494,851	\$	4,109,290,20	₽ S	1,581,045.00 2,864,845.53		5,806,444.40 6,974,135,73	¢ ¢	-	\$	•
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	242,430 88,230	33,755,720	э \$		•		¢ ¢		ş	-	ş	•
5 MEADE CO. RORALS	88,230	33,733,720	φ	1,495,498.50	\$	1,012,671.60	Þ	2,508,170.10	\$	-	\$	•
o 7 TOTAL RURALS	461,958.00	181,952,071.00	\$	7,830,188.10	\$	r AFO ECO 10	\$	10.000 550.00				******
8	401,558.00	101,354,071.00	φ	7,030,100.10	Ŷ	5,458,562.13	φ	13,288,750.23	\$	•	\$	-
° 9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,390	2,168,870	\$	66,889.90	\$	65.066.10	\$	131,956.00	e		 \$	
10 KI-ALCOA	108	2,103,370	ŝ	1,340,28	ş Ş	2,183.40	ŝ	3,523.68	ې م	1,923,55	¢ ¢	•
11 KI-ALERIS	26,486	14,968,640	ę	328,691.26		449,059.20	\$ \$	3,523.08 777,750.46	¢ ¢	1,923.55	\$ *	-
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	2,001	511,080	\$ \$	24,832.41	ę	15,332.40	\$ \$	40,164.81	e e	1,176.00	\$ \$	•
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	9,633	3,862,640	ę	119,545.53	ę	115,879.20	φ e	235,424.73	¢ ¢	1,170.00	¢ ¢	- 12.537.48
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,207	1,371,270	ę	39,798.87	ę	41.138.10	\$ \$	80.936.97	e e	-	ې م	12,537.48
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	. 3,500	938,720	\$ \$	43,435.00	e e	28,161.60	\$ \$	71,596.60	e e	-	¢ Q	3,580.78
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,646	2,078,700	\$ \$	57,656.86	\$ \$	62,361.00	\$ \$	120,017.86	ę	. 645.32	\$ \$	3,380.78
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	10,800,000	ę	186,150.00	ę	324,000.00	ę	510,150.00	ę	. 040.02	ę	•
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	183	82,700	ę	2,271.03	ę	2,481.00	ę	4.752.03	ę	285.43	\$ \$	•
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	388,945	ŝ	9,307.50	ŝ	11,668.35	ę	20,975.85	ę	200.40	\$ \$	-
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	25,280	ŝ	1,241.00	ŝ	758,40	ę	1,999.40	ę	•	\$ \$	•
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,973	25,563,310	ŝ	471,244,93	ŝ	766,899,30	ŝ	1,238,144.23	ŝ	-	¢	
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0	10,000,010	ŝ		ŝ	100,000.00	ŝ	1,200,111.20	ŝ	-	ŝ	-
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	3,000	167,080	ŝ	37,230.00	ŝ	5,012.40	ŝ	42,242.40	ŝ		ŝ	-
24 KI-PRECOAT	3,583	1,381,290	ŝ	44,465.03	š	41,438.70	ŝ	85,903.73	ŝ		ŝ	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	100	27,700	ŝ	1,241.00	ŝ	831.00	ŝ	2,072.00	ŝ	-	ŝ	-
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	6,270	2,376,462	ŝ	77.810.70	ŝ	71,293,86	ŝ	149,104.56	ŝ	-	ŝ	-
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,235	4,420,550	ŝ	89,786.35	ŝ	132,616.50	ŝ	222,402.85	ŝ	-	ŝ	
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	11,833	5,974,160	ŝ	146,847.53	Š	179,224.80	ŝ	326,072.33	ŝ	-	ŝ	-
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,233	963,600	ŝ	27,711.53	ŝ	28,908.00	ŝ	56,619.53	ŝ	4,269.04	ŝ	-
30												
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	143,231.00	78,143,777.00	\$	1,777,496.71	\$	2,344,313.31	\$	4,121,810.02	\$	8,299.34	\$	18,011.35
32	•	-, , , .	•		•		·	-, ,	•	-,	•	
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	2,171.00	539,430.00	\$	26,942.11	\$	16,182.90	\$	43,125.01	\$		\$	-
34		, 									·· ·····	**************
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	145,402.00	78,683,207.00	\$	1,804,438.82	\$	2,360,496.21	\$	4,164,935.03	\$	8,299.34	\$	18.011.35
36	4 84==4 ² 884+86448 ² 444-2 ⁴⁴⁴ -2					*************						
37												
38 GRAND TOTAL	607,360.00	260,635,278.00	\$	9,634,626.92	\$	7,819,058.34	\$	17,453,685.26	\$	8,299.34	\$	18,011.35
39			-				~=		===		=	
Case No. 2013-00449												

Case No. 2013-00449

Attachment to Response for Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 17 of 42

1 SEPTEMBER 2013		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN OWER \$	EN	DEMAND \$ ERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$		FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH
2 3 JP RURALS	\$	3,806,444.40	 \$	12.50	 \$	3,806,456.90	• \$	136,444.18	2.59	\$	187,242.94	3.55
4 KENERGY RURALS	ŝ	6,974,135.73	ŝ		Ŝ	6,974,135.73	ŝ	247,236.17	2.59	ŝ	342,953,18	3.55
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ŝ	2,508,170.10	\$	•	\$	2,508,170.10	\$	87,393.56	2.59	ŝ	123,277.54	3.65
6					• •••••			•••••••				
7 TOTAL RURALS 8	\$	13,288,750.23	\$	12.50	\$	13,288,762.73	\$	471,073.91	2.59	\$	653,473.66	3.59
° 9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	131,956.00	42440	*************	\$	131,956.00	\$	5,615,20	2.59	\$	6,526.96	3.01
10 KI-ALCOA	ŝ	5,447.23			ŝ	5,447.23	ŝ	188.43	2.59	Š	175.85	2.42
11 KI-ALERIS	Ŝ	777,750.46			\$	777,750.46	ŝ	38,753.81	2.59	ŝ	38,699.49	2.59
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	41,340.81			\$	41,340.81	\$	1,323.19	2.59	\$	1,971.02	3.86
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	247,962.21			Ŝ	247,962,21	Ś	10,000.37	2.59	\$	11,644.12	3.01
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	82,830.06			\$	82,830.06	\$	3,550.22	2.59	\$	4,007.70	2.92
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	75,177.38			\$	75,177.38	\$	2,430.35	2.59	\$	3,516,39	3.75
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	120,663.18			\$	120,663.18	\$	5,381.75	2.59	\$	5,947.60	2.86
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	510,150.00			\$	510,150.00	\$	27,961.20	2.59	\$	25,487.25	2.36
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	5,037.46			\$	5,037.46	\$	214.11	2.59	\$	235.53	2.85
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	20,975.85			\$	20,975.85	\$	1,006.98	2.59	\$	1,042.17	2.68
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	1,999.40			\$	1,999.40	\$	65.45	2.59	\$	98.10	3.88
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	1,238,144.23			\$	1,238,144.23	\$	66,183.41	2.59	\$	61,789.96	2.42
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	•			\$	•	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	0.00
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	42,242.40			\$	42,242.40	\$	432.57	2.59	\$	2,034.07	12.17
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$	85,903.73			\$	85,903.73	\$	3,576.16	2,59	\$	4,245.85	3.07
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	2,072.00			\$	2,072.00	\$	71.72	2.59	\$	101.82	3.68
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	149,104.56			\$	149,104.56	\$	6,152.66	2.59	\$	7,367.38	3.10
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	222,402.85			\$	222,402.85	\$	11,444.80	2.59	\$	11,081.09	2.51
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	326,072.33			\$	326,072.33	\$	15,467.10	2.59	\$	16, 193.12	2.71
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	60,888.57			\$	60,888.57	\$	2,494.76	2.59	\$	2,804.04	2.91
30	******		*****								**********	
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	4,148,120.71	\$	•	\$	4,148,120.71	\$	202,314.24	2.59	\$	204,969.51	2.62
32												
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	43,125.01			\$	43,125.01	\$	1,396.58	2.59	\$	2,115.31	3.92
34	******	Vagaallaulau DaTaayoo LoTa		************		**********************		************************	*****************		***************	
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	4,191,245.72	\$	-	\$	4,191,245.72	\$	203,710.82	2.59	\$	207,084.82	2.63
36								*****************************		******		
37									_			
38 GRAND TOTAL	\$	17,479,995.95	\$	12.50	\$	17,480,008.45	\$	674,784.73	2.59	\$	860,558.48	3.30
39	===		====				==				**************************************	

Case No. 2013-00449

Attachment to Response for Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 18 of 42

.

	s	UNWIND URCREDIT (US)	US MILLS/		NON SMELTER	SME	ON LTER N FAC	NSNFPPA MILLS/		REVENUE	REVENUE MILLS/
1 SEPTEMBER 2013		\$	KWH	NC	ON FAC PPA	F	PA	KWH		\$	KWH
2							*****				
3 JP RURALS	\$	(174,178.46)	(3.31)	\$	(20,500.88)	\$	•	(0.39)	-	3,935,464.68	74.67
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$	(315,610.48)	(3.30)	\$	(37,147.50)	\$	•	(0.39)		7,211,567.10	75.52
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$	(111,562.65)	(3.30)	\$	(13,130.98)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	2,594,147.57	76.85
6											************************
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(601,351.59)	(3.30)	\$	(70,779.36)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	13,741,179.35	75.52
8			Pass ¹⁴ 1111111111111111111111111111111111					***************************************	••	******************	
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(7,168.12)	(3.31)	\$	(843.69)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	136,086.35	62.75
10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(240.54)	(3.31)	\$	(28.31)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	-,	76.16
11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(49,471.36)	(3.31)	\$	(5,822.80)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	799,909.60	53.44
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(1,689.12)	(3.31)	\$	(198.81)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$		83.64
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(12,766.03)	(3.31)	\$	(1,502.57)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	255,338.10	66.10
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(4,532.05)	(3.31)	\$	(533.42)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	85,322.51	62.22
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(3,102.47)	(3.31)	\$	(365.16)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	77,656.49	82.73
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(6,870.10)	(3.30)	\$	(808.61)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	124,313.82	59.80
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(35,694.00)	(3.31)	\$	(4,201.20)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	523,703.25	48.49
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(273.32)	(3.30)	\$	(32.17)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	5,181.61	62.66
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(1,285.46)	(3.30)	\$	(151.30)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	21,588.24	55.50
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$	(83.55)	(3.30)	\$	(9.83)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	2,069.57	81.87
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$	(84,486.74)	(3.31)	\$	(9,944.13)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	1,271,686.73	49.75
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$	-	0.00	\$	-	\$	-	0.00	\$	-	•
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$	(552.20)	(3.31)	\$	(64.99)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	44,091.85	263.90
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$	(4,565.16)	(3.30)	\$	(537.32)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	88,623.26	64.16
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$	(91.55)	(3.31)	\$	(10.78)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	2,143.21	77.37
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$	(7,854.21)	(3.31)	\$	(924.44)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	153,845.95	64.74
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$	(14,609.92)	(3.31)	\$	(1,719.59)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	228,599.23	51.71
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$	(19,744.60)	(3.31)	\$	(2,323.95)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	335,664.00	56.19
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$	(3,184.70)	(3.31)	\$	(374.84)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	62,627,83	64.99
30											***************************************
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(258,265.20)	(3.31)	\$	(30,397.91)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	4,266,741.35	54.60
32											
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(1,782.82)	(3.31)	\$	(209.84)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	44,644.24	82.76
34		**********					********			***********************	
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(260,048.02)	(3.31)	\$	(30,607.75)	\$	•	(0.39)	\$	4,311,385.59	54.79
36		*******						*****************			
37											
38 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(861,399.61)	(3.31)	\$	(101,387.11)	\$	-	(0.39)	\$	18,052,564.94	69.26
39	====			-5-22					==		
N- 0019 00440											

Case No. 2013-00449

Attachment to Response for Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 19 of 42

1 SEPTEMBER 2013	MRSM \$	MRSM MILLS/ KWH		REVENUE \$ ET OF MRSM \$	REV NET O MRSM MILLS/ KWH
2	 	*****			******************
3 JP RURALS	\$ (318,785.88)	(6.05)	\$	3,616,678.80	68.6
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ (581,308.33)	(6.09)	\$	6,630,258.77	69.4
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ (207,531.82)	(6.15)	\$	2,386,615.75	70.7
6 7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ (1,107,626.03)	(6.09)	\$	12,633,553.32	69.4
	 (11.0.0.17)				
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ (11,940.45)	(5.51)	\$	124,145.90	57.2
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ (357.51)	(4.91)	\$	5,185.15	71.2
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ (76,061.21)	(5.08)	\$	723,848.39	48.3
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ (3,246.68)	(6.35)	\$		77.2
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ (21,285.26)	(5.51)	\$		60.5
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ (7,430.39)	(5.42)	\$	77,892.12	56.8
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ (5,859.44)	(6.24)	\$	71,797.05	76.4
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ (11,136.03)	(5.36)	\$	•	54.4
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ (52,444.05)	(4.86)	\$	471,259.20	43.6
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ (441.95)	(5.34)	\$	4,739.66	57.3
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ (2,012.98)	(5.18)	\$	19,575.26	50.3
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ (161.20)	(6.38)	\$	1,908.37	75.4
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ (125,595.98)	(4.91)		1,146,090.75	44.8
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$ -	0.00	\$	-	0.0
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ (2,451.10)	(14.67)	\$	41,640.75	249.2
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$ (7,693.55)	(5.57)	\$	80,929.71	58.5
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ (170.96)	(6.17)	\$	1,972.25	71.2
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ (13,299.03)	(5.60)	\$		59.1
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ (22,114.78)	(5.00)	\$	206, 484.45	46.7
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ (31,104.6 <i>2</i>)	(5.21)	\$	304,559.38	50.9
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN 30	\$ (5,209.18)	(5.41)	\$	57,418.65	59.5
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$ (400,016.35)	(5.12)	\$	3,866,725.00	49.4
32 33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$ (3,461.72)	(6.42)	\$	41,182.52	76.3
34 35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	 (403,478.07)	(5.13)	\$	3,907,907.52	49.6
36 37	 	*************	•••	•••••	#
38 GRAND TOTAL	\$ (1,511,104.10)	(5.80)	\$	16,541,460.84	63.4
39					

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment to Response for Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 20 of 42

DOWED

1 OCTOBER 2013	KW BILLED	KWH		DEMAND \$		BASE ENERGY \$	DI	EMAND \$ AND ENERGY \$	F P	POWER FACTOR ENALTY (PFP) \$	J	BILLING ADJ
2 3 JP RURALS	92,793	45,641,250	 \$	1,198,328.80	 \$	1,597,443.75	\$	2,795,772.55	\$	•••••••	 \$	
4 KENERGY RURALS	185,177	87,183,116	Š	2,391,375.78	ŝ	3,051,409.06	Ś	5,442,784.84	ŝ	-	ŝ	-
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	80,905	32,669,810	Ŝ	1,044,807.17	Ś	1,143,443.35	ŝ	2,188,250.52	ŝ	-	ŝ	-
6			•••									******************
7 TOTAL RURALS	358,875.00	165,494,176.00	\$	4,634,511.75	\$	5,792,296.16	\$	10,426,807.91	\$	-	\$	-
8								************			*****	
9 KI-ACCURIDE	5,345	2,164,170	\$	57,271.68	\$	64,925.10	\$	122,196.78	\$	•	\$	•
10 KI-ALCOA	154	81,870	\$	1,650.11	\$	2,456.10	\$	4,106.21	\$	1,393.66	\$	-
11 KI-ALERIS	27,024	14,220,156	\$	28 9,562.16	\$	426,604.68	\$	716,166.84	\$	-	\$	•
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	2,009	715,420	\$	21,526.44	\$	21,462.60	\$	42,989.04	\$	(59.70)	\$	-
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	10,130	4,385,890	\$	108,542.95	\$	131,576.70	\$	240,119.65	\$	-	\$	12,291.67
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	3,062	1,484,570	\$	32, 8 09.33	\$	44,537.10	\$	77,346.43	\$	-	\$	4,141.15
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	3,500	1,119,500	\$	37,502.50	\$	33,585.00	\$	71,087.50	\$	-	\$	5,359.41
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	4,716	2,233,140	\$	50,531.94	\$	66,994.20	\$	117,526.14	\$	567.90	\$	-
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	15,000	11,160,000	\$	160,725.00	\$	334, 8 00.00	\$	495,525.00	\$	-	\$	-
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	180	103,460	\$	1,928.70	\$	3,103.80	\$	5,032.50	\$	168.29	\$	•
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	750	414,120	\$	8,036.25	\$	12,423.60	\$	20,459.85	\$	-	\$	•
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	100	30,250	\$	1,071.50	\$	907.50	\$	1,979.00	\$	-	\$	-
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	37,930	26,832,310	\$	406,419.95	\$	804,969.30	\$	1,211,389.25	\$	-	\$	-
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	0	0	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	•
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	3,000	257,240	\$	32,145.00	\$	7,717.20	\$	39,862.20	\$	-	\$	-
24 KI-PRECOAT	3,531	1,466,530	\$	37,834.67	\$	43,995.90	\$	81,83 0.57	\$	(48.00)	\$	-
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	129	42,560	\$	1,382.24	\$	1,276.80	\$	2,659.04	\$	•	\$	•
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	6 ,78 5	3,002,440	\$	72,701.28	\$	90,073.20	\$	162,774.48	\$	-	\$	-
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	7,356	4,252,540	\$	78,819.54	\$	127,576.20	\$	206,395.74	\$	-	\$	•
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	10,990	6,300,138	\$	117,757.85	\$	189,004.14	\$	306,761.99	\$	-	\$	•
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	2,505	1,258,410	\$	26,841.08	\$	37,752.30	\$	64,593.3 8	\$	3,013.14	\$	-
30	**************************************	*	****	L		* • 7 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						**************
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	144,196.00	81,524,714.00	\$	1,545,060.17	\$	2,445,741.42	\$	3,990,801.59	\$	5,035.29	\$	21,792.23
32												
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	1,998.00	492,790.00	\$	21,408.57	\$	14,783.70	\$	36,192.27	\$	-	\$	-
34	*******************************	*****************************							*****			
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	146, 194.00	82,017,504.00	\$	1,566,468.74	\$	2,460,525.12	\$	4,026,993.86	\$	5,035.29	\$	21,792.23
36	***********************	***,-********************				*******************						*************
37												
38 GRAND TOTAL	505,069.00	247,511,680.00	\$	6,200,980.49	\$	8,252,821.28	\$	14,453,801.77	\$	5,035.29	\$	21,792.23
3Case No. 2013-00449					==		==				==	

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 21 of 42

	1 OCTOBER 2013	S	N 2012-00535 UBJECT TO ES CALC	AD CN SU	P & PFP J INCL IN 2012-00535 (NOT BJECT TO S CALC)	CN 2	TERST IN 2012-00535 (NOT 3JECT TO 5 CALC)		DEMAND \$ ENERGY \$ AND PFP \$		REEN OWER \$	EN	DEMAND \$ ERGY \$ PFP \$ AND GREEN POWER \$
	2 3 JP RURALS	 e	(346,610.55)	 ¢	(2 47)	••••••	(20.27)	 e	9 440 190 96	•••••	10.00	 ø	
	4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ \$	(645,767.64)		(3.47)	\$ \$	(38.27) (75.37)		2,449,120.26 4,796,941.83	\$	10.00	\$	2,449,130.26
	5 MEADE CO. RURALS	ę	(242,793.10)		•	\$	(28.43)		1,945,428.99	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	4,796,941.83
	6	چ 	(242,793.10)	ф 		φ	(20.43)	φ	1,940,420.99	ð	-	Ş	1,945,428.99
	7 TOTAL RURALS	\$	(1,235,171.29)	\$	(3.47)	\$	(142.07)	\$	9,191,491.08	\$	10.00	\$	9,191,501.08
	8			*****	******			••••				• ••••••	
	9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$	(12,647.65)		-	\$	(1.54)	\$	109,547.59			\$	109,547.59
	10 KI-ALCOA	\$	(257.20)	\$	(364.43)	\$	(0.07)	\$	4,878.17			\$	4,878.17
	11 KI-ALERIS	\$	(62,460.97)	\$	-	\$	(7.61)	\$	653,698.26			\$	653,698.26
	12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$	(4,704.62)	\$	(223.30)	\$	(0.60)	\$	38,000.82			\$	38,000.82
	13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(22,623.50)	\$	•	\$	(2.75)	\$	229,785.07			\$	229,785.07
	14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$	(7,461.34)	\$		\$	(0.90)	\$	74,025.34			\$	74,025,34
	15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$	(8,228.95)	\$		\$	(1.00)	\$	68,216.96			\$	68,216.96
	16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$	(10,766.53)	\$	(88.14)	\$	(1.31)	\$	107,238.06			\$	107,238.06
	17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$	(35,266.94)	\$		\$	(4.44)	\$	460,253.62			ŝ	460,253.62
	18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$	(436.17)		(52.77)	\$	(0.06)	\$	4,711.79			ŝ	4,711.79
	19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	Ś	(1,763.35)	S	•	ŝ	(0.21)		18,696.29			ŝ	18,696.29
	20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	Ś	(235.11)	•	•	ŝ	(0.03)	Š	1,743.86			ŝ	1,743.86
	21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	ŝ	(89,251.21)			ŝ	(11.24)	ŝ	1,122,126.80			ŝ	1,122,126.80
	22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	ŝ	-	ŝ	-	ŝ		ŝ	-			ŝ	1,122,120.00
	23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	ŝ	(7,053.39)	•	-	ŝ	(0.86)	ŝ	32,807.95			é	32,807.95
	24 KI-PRECOAT	ę	(8,454.28)		(26.90)	ŝ	(1.04)		73,300.35			ę	73,300.35
	25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	ę	(235.11)		(20.50)	é	(0.03)		2,423.90			ę	2,423.90
	26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	ě	(14,597.23)			ŝ	(1.76)	\$	148,175.49			ę	148,175.49
	27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	e e	(17,005.18)		•	¢	(2.07)	\$	189,388.49			φ e	189,388.49
	28 KI-TYSON FOODS	ф е	(27,727.09)		-	φ \$	(3.36)	-	279,031.54			e e	•
	28 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	¢ ¢	(5,156.25)		- (774.01)	-	(0.71)					ծ Տ	279,031.54
		φ	(0,100.20)	ð	(774.01)	φ	(0.71)	æ	61,675.55			Ş	61,675.55
	30 31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(336,332.07)	\$	(1,529.55)	\$	(41.59)	\$	3,679,725.90	\$		\$	3,679,725.90
	32												
	33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$	(5,068.88)	\$	-	\$	(0.58)	\$	31,122.81			\$	31,122.81
	34			******	***************************************								*********
	35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$	(341,400.95)	\$	(1,529.55)	\$	(42.17)	\$	3,710,848.71	\$	-	\$	3,710,848.71
	36		************								********		
	37												
	38 GRAND TOTAL	\$	(1,576,572.24)	\$	(1,533.02)	\$	(184.24)	\$	12,902,339.79	\$	10.00	\$	12,902,349.79
Case	No.32013-00449	==		===									

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 22 of 42

1 OCTOBER 2013	 FUEL JUSTMENT CLAUSE \$	FAC MILLS/ KWH		ES \$	ES MILLS/ KWH		UNWIND URCREDIT (US) \$	US MILLS/ KWH	NON SMELTER IN FAC PPA
2	 *******************	*****************	•••••		*********			*******	 **********
3 JP RURALS	\$ 127,841.14	2.80	\$	131,619.32	2.88	\$	(150,844.33)	(3.30)	\$ (17,754.45)
4 KENERGY RURALS	\$ 244,199.91	2.80	\$	257,520.03	2.95	\$	(288,140.20)	(3.31)	\$ (33,914.23)
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ 91,508.14	2.80	\$	104,105.29	3.19	\$	(107,973.72)	(3.30)	\$ (12,708.56)
7 TOTAL RURALS	\$ 463, 549. 19	2.80	\$	493,244.64	2.98	\$	(546,958.25)	(3.30)	\$ (64,377.24)
9 KI-ACCURIDE	\$ 6,061.84	2.80	\$	5,902.45	2.73	\$	(7,152.58)	(3.30)	\$ (841.86)
10 KI-ALCOA	\$ 229.32	2.80	\$	208.11	2.54	\$	(270.58)	(3.30)	\$ (31.85)
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ 39,830.66	2.80	\$	35,383.33	2.49	\$	(46,997.62)	(3.31)	\$ (5,531.64)
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$ 2,003.89	2.80	\$	2,057.67	2.88	\$	(2,364.46)	(3.30)	\$ (278.30)
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$ 12,284.88	2.80	\$	11,729.64	2.67	\$	(14,495.37)	(3.31)	\$ (1,706.11)
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$ 4,158.28	2.80	\$	3,778.27	2.55	\$	(4,906.50)	(3.30)	\$ (577.50)
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ 3,135.72	2.80	\$	3,371.62	3.01	\$	(3,699.95)	(3.31)	\$ (435,49)
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ 6,255.03	2.80	\$	5,767.54	2.58	\$	(7,380.53)	(3.31)	\$ (868.69)
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ 31,259.16	2.80	\$	25,054.92	2.25	\$	(36,883.80)	(3.31)	\$ (4,341.24)
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ 289.79	2.80	\$	249.22	2.41	\$	(341.94)	(3.31)	\$ (40.25)
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 1,159.95	2.80	\$	1,012.91	2.45	\$	(1,368.67)	(3.31)	\$ (161.09)
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ 84.73	2.80	\$	93.44	3.09	\$	(99.98)	(3.31)	\$ (11.77)
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ 75,157.30	2.80	\$	61,038.77	2.27	\$	(88,680.78)	(3.30)	\$ (10,437.77)
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$ -	0.00	\$	•	0.00	\$	•	0.00	\$ •
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ 720.53	2.80	\$	1,719.23	6.68	\$	(850.18)	(3.31)	\$ (100.07)
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$ 4,107.75	2.80	\$	3,955.58	2.70	\$	(4,846.88)	(3.30)	\$ (570.48)
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ 119.21	2.80	\$	129.94	3.05	\$	(140.66)	(3.30)	\$ (16.56)
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$ 8,409.83	2.80	\$	7,993.03	2.66	\$	(9,923.06)	(3.30)	\$ (1.167.95)
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$ 11,911.36	2.80	\$	10,267.66	2.41	\$	(14,054.64)	(3.30)	\$ (1,654.24)
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$ 17,646.69	2.80	\$	15,131.97	2.40	\$	(20,821.96)	(3.31)	\$ (2,450.75)
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$ 3,524.81	2.80	\$	3,212.89	2.55	\$	(4,159.05)	(3.31)	\$ (489.52)
30 31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS 32	\$ 228,350.73	2.80	\$	198,058.19	2.43	\$	(269,439.19)	(3.31)	\$ (31,713.13)
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$ 1,380.30	2.80	\$	1,661.77	3.37	\$	(1,628.67)	(3.30)	\$ (191.70)
34	 					•••••		*********	
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS 36	\$ 229,731.03	2.80	\$	199,719.96	2.44	\$	(271,067.86)	(3.31)	\$ (31,904.83)
38	 								
38 GRAND TOTAL 39Case No. 2013-00449	\$ 693,280.22	2.80	\$	692,964.60	2.80 =	\$	(818,026.11)	(3.31)	\$ (96,282.07)

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 23 of 42

	NON SMELTER NON FAC PPA	NSNFPPA MILLS/ KWH	REVENUE	REVENUE MILLS/ KWH	MRSM	MRSM MILLS/	REVENUE \$ NET OF MRSM	REV NET OF MRSM MILLS/
1 OCTOBER 2013 2	PPA	AWI	\$	KWH	\$	KWH	\$	KWH
2 3 JP RURALS	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	(0.39)	\$ 2,539,991.94	55.65	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		······	
4 KENERGY RURALS	а - г	(0.39)		57.08	\$ (255,215.83) \$ (402,011,01)	(5.59)	\$ 2,284,776.11	50.06
5 MEADE CO. RURALS	а - е	(0.39)	\$ 4,976,607.34 \$ 2,020,260,14		\$ (493,611.91) \$ (100,577,14)	(5.66)	\$ 4,482,995.43	51.42
S MEADE CO. RURALS	\$ •	(0.39)	\$ 2,020,360.14	61.84	\$ (192,575.14)	(5.89)	\$ 1,827,785.00	55.95
7 TOTAL RURALS	¢	(0.39)	\$ 9,536,959.42	57.63	\$ (941.402.88)	(F cO)		
P CIAL KORALS	φ	(0.33)	\$ 3,030,303.42	01.00	\$ (941,402.88)	(5.69)	\$ 8,595,556.54	51.94
9 KI-ACCURIDE	¢ .	(0.39)	\$ 113,517.44	52.45	\$ (11,763.02)	/E A A	\$ 101.754.42	47.00
10 KI-ALCOA	φ <u>-</u>	(0.39)	\$ 5,013.17	61.23	\$ (11,763.02) \$ (429.82)	(5.44) (5.25)	\$ 101,754.42 \$ 4,583.35	47.02 55.98
11 KI-ALERIS	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 676,382.99	47.57	\$ (73,891.51)	(5.20)	\$ 602,491.48	42.37
12 KI-AMG ALUMINUM NORTH AMERICA	\$.	(0.39)	\$ 39,419.62	55.10	\$ (3,995.03)	(5.58)	\$ 35,424.59	42.37
13 KI-ARMSTRONG - DOCK	\$	(0.39)	\$ 237,598.11	54.17	\$ (23,606.63)	(5.38)	\$ 213 ,991.48	45.52
14 KI-ARMSTRONG - EQUALITY	\$.	(0.39)	\$ 76,477.89	51.52	\$ (7,798.49)	(5.25)	\$ 68,679.40	46.26
15 KI-ARMSTRONG - LEWIS	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 70,588.86	63.05	\$ (6,403.22)	(5.72)	\$ 64,185.64	57.33
16 KI-ARMSTRONG - MIDWAY	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 111.011.41	49.71	\$ (11.814.89)	(5.29)	\$ 99.196.52	44.42
17 KI-DOMTAR PAPER CO.	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 475,342.66	42.59	\$ (55,276.20)	(4.95)	\$ 420,066.46	37.64
18 KI-DOTIKI #3	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 4,868.61	47.06	\$ (529.38)	(5.12)	\$ 4,339.23	41.94
19 KI-ELK CREEK MINE - HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 19,339.39	46.70	\$ (2,134.34)	(5.15)	\$ 17,205.05	41.55
20 KI-HOPKINS CO. COAL	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 1,810.28	59.84	\$ (175.35)	(5.80)	\$ 1,634.93	54.05
21 KI-KIMBERLY-CLARK	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 1,159,204.32	43.20	\$ (133,700.67)	(4.98)	\$ 1,025,503.65	38.22
22 KI-PATRIOT COAL, LP	\$ -	0.00	\$ -	•	\$ -	0.00	\$.	0.00
23 KI-PENNYRILE ENERGY, LLC	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 34.297.46	133.33	\$ (2,415.83)	(9.39)	\$ 31.881.63	123.94
24 KI-PRECOAT	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 75,946.32	51.79	\$ (7.926.94)	(5.41)	\$ 68,019.38	46.38
25 KI-SEBREE MINING-KMMC	\$ -	(0.39)	\$ 2,515.83	59.11	\$ (245.19)	(5.76)	\$ 2,270.64	53.35
26 KI-SEBREE MINING-STEAMPORT-ALLIED	\$-	(0.39)	\$ 153,487.34	51.12	\$ (16,123.64)	(5.37)	\$ 137,363.70	45.75
27 KI-SOUTHWIRE CO.	\$-	(0.39)	\$ 195,858.63	46.06	\$ (21,783.54)	(5.12)	\$ 174,075.09	40,93
28 KI-TYSON FOODS	\$-	(0.39)	\$ 288,537.49	45.80	\$ (32,192.74)	(5.11)	\$ 256,344.75	40.69
29 KI-VALLEY GRAIN	\$-	(0.39)	\$ 63,764.68	50.67	\$ (6,620.66)	(5.26)	\$ 57,144.02	45.41
30	*******************	********		******************	**********************	******		*********************
31 SUBTOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$-	(0.39)	\$ 3,804,982.50	46.67	\$ (418,827.09)	(5.14)	\$ 3,386,155.41	41.54
32								
33 JPI-SHELL OIL	\$.	(0.39)	\$ 32,344.51	65.64	\$ (2,996.24)	(6.08)	\$ 29,348.27	59.56
34			*********************	***************************************		******		******************
35 TOTAL INDUSTRIALS	\$-	(0.39)	\$ 3,837,327.01	46.79	\$ (421,823.33)	(5.14)	\$ 3,415,503.68	41.64
36	*************	******************		**********		************	*****	*****************************
37								
38 GRAND TOTAL	\$-	(0.39)	\$ 13,374,286.43	54.03	\$ (1,363,226.21)	(5.51)	\$ 12,011,060.22	48.53
G ase No. 2013-00449			# ************************************	= <u>*-=:*:,</u> ,,,,,,,,,	1772-113703703			

Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6

Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen

Page 24 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters May 2013

1 MAI 2013 2	kWh	PLUS SUPPLEMENTAL ENERGY	PLUS BACK UP ENERGY	LESS SALES	TOTAL KWH	REV \$ MILLS/KWH
3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY -		IMINGT	13146/1001	ORDERS	IOTAL AWD	KEV & MILLSKWH
4 CENTURY ALUMINUM 4 (Special Contract)	356,877,178		499,315		357,376,493	48.99
5 ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract)	270,606,008	2,591,000	1,155,176		074 050 104	49.01
6	627,483,186	2,591,000	1,654,491		274,352,184	. 49.01
7	027,400,100	2,031,000	1,004,491		631,728,677	•
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract)	351,435,840					
ALCAN RIO TINTO						
(Special Contract)	268,316,160					
11	619,752,000					
12						
13						
14						
15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY						
16 CENTURY ALUMINUM						
¹⁰ (Special Contract)	5,441,338					
ALCAN RIO TINTO						
¹⁷ (Special Contract)	2,289,848					
18	7,731,186					
19						
20						
21						
22		TIER ADJ	CREDIT SURPLUS	BACKUP ENERGY	SUPPLEMENTAL	ADJ
CENTURY ALUMINIM					0	120
²³ (Special Contract)		\$ 1,014,770.99	s -	\$ 21,854.64	\$ -	\$ 3,536.54
ALCAN RIO TINTO		-,,	•	+	•	• 0,000.01
24 (Special Contract)		\$ 776,638.24	s -	\$ 48,859.17	\$ 96,013.54	\$ 3,332.59
25		\$ 1,791,409.23	\$.	\$ 70,713.81	\$ 96,013.54	\$ 6,869.13
CENTURY ALUMINUM					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0,005.15
²⁶ (Special Contract)						
27 ALCAN RIO TINTO						
27 (Special Contract)						
TOTAL CENTURY						
²⁸ ALCAN RIO TINTO						
29						
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-						
³⁰ CENTURY ¹						
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-						
ALCAN						
³² OPERATING REPORT						

1 MAY 2013

33

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 25 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters May 2013

						-				
1 MAY 2013	FAC			ES		NONFAC			T O	MAT BAG EG
	FAU			<u></u>	MIL	NONFAC	PPA			TAL FAC,ES, ONFAC PPA.
2	AMT	MILLS	A	MT	LS	AMT	MILLS	SURCHARGE		URCHARGE
3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY -								<u>oononamon</u>		Chelling
CENTURY ALUMINUM										
⁴ (Special Contract)	\$ 1,271,553.38	3.56	\$ 74	4,719.37	2.09	\$ (179,866.10)	(0.50)	\$ 653,271.01	\$	2,489,677.66
ALCAN RIO TINTO										
^o (Special Contract)	\$_964,169.21			4,691.57		\$ (136,385.43)		\$ 498,764.39	\$	1,891,239.74
6	\$ 2,235,722.59	-	<u>\$ 1,30</u>	9,410.94	_	\$ (316,251.53)		\$ 1,152,035.40	_\$	4,380,917.40
7										
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY										
9 (Secretary ALUMINUM										19 ore ore or
Gerial Contract)									\$	13,858,872.35
¹⁰ (Special Contract)									\$	10,581,047.77
11									÷	10,001,047.77
12										
13										
14										
15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY										
16 CENTURY ALUMINUM										
(Special Contract)									\$	118,653.81
ALCAN RIO TINTO										
(Special Contract)									\$	49,932.43
18 19										
20										
21										
22										
23 CENTURY ALUMINUM										
(Special Contract)									\$	1,040,162.17
24 ALCAN RIO TINTO										
Special Contract)									\$	924,843.54
25										
26 (Special Contract)							•		\$	17 507 965 00
AT CAN BIO TINTO									ş	17,507,365.99
27 (Special Contract)									\$	13.447.063.48
TOTAL CENTURY									*	
²⁸ ALCAN RIO TINTO									\$	30,954,429.47
29									•	
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-										
CENTURY.								(3,352.98)	\$	17,504,013.01
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-										
³¹ ALCAN ¹								(0 FF0 F0		
								(2,559.76)	_\$	<u>13,444,503.72</u>
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON ³² OPERATING REPORT								(2,009.76)	<u>\$</u> \$	<u>13,444,503.72</u> 30,948,516.73

¹ Billing adjustment related to Case No. 2011-00036 rehearing. Additional \$1,355,168.61 authorized to be billed to members over period March 2013 - July 2013. The total \$1,355,168.61 was recognized as revenue in February 2013 (the month the order was received). Accordingly, these amounts are not included in reported revenue during the months of March 2013 through July 2013.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 26 of 42

33

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters June 2013

JUNE 2013	kWh	PLUS SUPPLEMENTAL ENERGY	PLUS BACK UP ENERGY	LESS SALES	TOTAL KWH	REV \$ MILLS/KWH
BASE MONTHLY ENERGY -						NEV WINDERMOTH
CENTURY ALUMINUM						
(Special Contract)	344,543,517	•	122,326	-	344,665,843	49.2
ALCAN RIO TINTO			,		,,	
(Special Contract)	260,258,173		42,952	-	260,301,125	49.4
	604,801,690		165,278		604,966,968	
-						
BASE FIXED ENERGY						
CENTURY ALUMINUM						
(Special Contract)	340,099,200					
ALCAN BIO TINTO						
(Special Contract)	259,660,800					
l	599,760,000					
BASE VARIABLE ENERGY						
CENTURY ALUMINUM						
(Special Contract)	4,444,317					
ALCAN RIO TINTO	-,,					
(Special Contract)	597,373					
··········	5,041,690					
)						
		TIER ADJ	CREDIT SURPLUS	BACKUP ENERGY	SUPPLEMENTAL	ADJ
CENTURY ALUMINUM						
(Special Contract)		\$ 1,014,770.99	s -	\$ 5,986.56	\$-	\$ 3,352.98
ALCAN RIO TINTO		+ -,,	•	+ -,	•	+ 0,002.00
(Special Contract)		\$ 776,638.24	s -	\$ 1,559.19	s -	\$ 2,559.75
5		\$ 1,791,409.23		\$ 7,545.75		\$ 5,912.73
CENTURY AT UMINUM		<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· -*	
⁶ (Special Contract)						
ALCAN RIO TINTO						
(Special Contract)						
TOTAL CENTURY				•		
ALCAN RIO TINTO						
3						
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-						
CENTURY ¹						
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-						
ALCAN ¹						
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON OPERATING REPORT						

33

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 27 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters June 2013

1 JUNE 2013										
	FAC			ES		NONFAC	PPA			TAL FAC,ES,
2	AMT	MILLS		AMT	MIL	AMT	MILLS	SURCHARGE		ONFAC PPA, URCHARGE
3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY -										
4 CENTURY ALUMINUM		o						• • • • • • • • •		
⁴ (Special Contract) _ ALCAN RIO TINTO	\$ 1,230,364.90	3.57	\$	772,160.33	2.24	\$ (199,835.24) (0.58)	\$ 639,667.04	\$	2,442,357.03
⁵ (Special Contract)	\$ 929,381.94	3.57	_\$	583,267.50	2.24	\$_(150,949.74	(0.58)	\$ 488,377.96	\$	1,850,077.66
6	\$ 2,159,746.84	,	\$ 1	1,355,427.83	-	\$ (350,784.98	2	\$ 1,128,045.00	\$	4,292,434.69
7										
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY										
9 CENTURY ALUMINUM 9 (Special Contract)										
ALCAN RIO TINTO									\$	13,411,811.95
¹⁰ (Special Contract)									\$	10,239,723.65
11									÷	10,200,720.00
12										
13										
14										
15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY										
16 (See ala) Canton at										
¹⁰ (Special Contract) ALCAN RIO TINTO									\$	96,912.77
17 (Special Contract)									\$	13,026.31
18									÷	13,020.31
19										
20										
21										
22										
23 CENTURY ALUMINUM										
²³ (Special Contract)									\$	1,024,110.53
ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract)									\$	790 727 10
25									ð	780,757.18
CENTURY AT UMINUM										
26 (Special Contract)									\$	16,975,192.28
27 ALCAN RIO TINTO										
(Special Contract)									<u>\$</u>	12.883.584.80
28 AU CANERAL CENTURY									-	
ALCAN RIU TINIU									\$	29,858,777.08
29 ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-										
³⁰ CENTURY ¹								(3,352.98)	\$	16,971,839.30
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-								(0,002.30)	φ	10,011,000,00
³¹ ALCAN ¹								(2,559.75)	\$	12,881,025.05
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON								(_,0,0,0,0)		
32 OPERATING REPORT									\$	29,852,864.35

¹ Billing adjustment related to Case No. 2011-00036 rehearing. Additional \$1,355,168.61 authorized to be billed to members over period March 2013 - July 2013. The total \$1,355,168.61 was recognized as revenue in February 2013 (the month the order was received). Accordingly, these amounts are not included in reported revenue during the months of March 2013 through July 2013.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 28 of 42

33

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters July 2013

L JULY 2013	kWh	PLUS SUPPLEMENTAL ENERGY	PLUS BACK UP ENERGY	LESS SALES	TOTAL KWH	REV \$ MILLS/KWH
BASE MONTHLY ENERGY-						<u>Interventionalite</u>
CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract) ALCAN RIO TINTO	355,530,368	-	123,251	•	355,653,619	48.6
(Special Contract)	265,722,842	-	106,227	(3,258,000)	262,571,069	49.3
(- - ,	621,253,210	i= •	229,478	(3,258,000)	618,224,688	40.0
7						
BASE FIXED ENERGY CENTURY ALUMINUM						
(Special Contract) ALCAN RIO TINTO	351,435,840					
(Special Contract)	268,316,160					
	619,752,000					
BASE VARIABLE ENERGY						
CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract)	4,094,528					
ALCAN RIO TINTO	(0 F00 010)					
(Special Contract)	(2,593,318) 1,501,210					
	1,001,210					
L						
2		TIER ADJ	CREDIT SURPLUS	BACKUP ENERGY	SUPPLEMENTAL	ADJ
CENTURY ALUMINUM						
(Special Contract)		\$ 1,014,770.99	\$-	\$ 4,981.26	\$-	\$ 3,316.50
ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract)		\$ 776,638.24	\$ (123,155.84)	\$ 4,401.96	•	• • • • • • •
(Special Contract)		\$ 1,791,409.23	\$ (123,155.84) \$ (123,155.84)		<u></u>	\$2,196.18 \$5,512.68
CENTURY ALUMINUM		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			φ0,012.00
(Special Contract)						
ALCAN RIO TINTO						
(Special Contract)						
TOTAL CENTURY/ ALCAN RIO TINTO						
ALCAN RIO IINIO						
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-						
CENTURY ¹						
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-						
ALCAN ¹						
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON OPERATING REPORT						

33

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 29 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters July 2013

			-	•						
1 JULY 2013										
	FAC			ES		NONFAC	PPA			TAL FAC,ES,
2	AMT	MILLS		AMT	MIL LS	AMT	MILLS	SURCHARGE		ONFAC PPA, URCHARGE
3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY -										
CENTURY ALUMINUM										
(Special Contract)	\$ 1,107,832.63	3.12	\$	779,089.74	2.19	\$ (194,475.11)	(0.55)	\$ 653,271.01	\$	2,345,718.27
5 ALCAN RIO TINTO 5 (Special Contract)	\$ 827,992,37	3.12	\$	582,290.45	9 10	\$ (145,350.39)	(0.55)	\$ _498,764.39		1 762 606 99
6	\$ 1,935,825.00	0.12		1,361,380.19		\$ (339,825.50)		\$ 1,152,035.40	<u>-</u> \$	<u>1,763,696.82</u> 4,109,415.09
7		•			-		•			
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY										
9 CENTURY ALUMINUM										
(Special Contract)									\$	13,858,872.35
10 (Special Contract)									\$	10,581,047.77
11									•	10,001,011.11
12										
13										
14 15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY										
CENTURY ALUMINUM										
16 (Special Contract)									\$	89,285,28
ALCAN RIO TINTO									-	•
(Special Contract)									\$	(56,549.90)
18										
19 20										
21										
22										
23 CENTURY ALUMINUM										
(Special Contract)									\$	1,023,068.75
ALCAN RIO TINTO 24 (Special Contract)									\$	660,080.54
25									÷	000,000.04
26 CENTURY ALUMINUM										
(Special Contract)									\$	17,316,944.65
27 (Special Contract)									•	10.040.077.00
²⁴ (Special Contract) a. TOTAL CENTURY/									<u>\$</u>	12.948.275.23
²⁸ ALCAN RIO TINTO									\$	30,265,219.88
29										
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-										
CENTURY							•	(3,352.98)	\$	17,313,591.67
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- 31 ALCAN ¹								(9 550 75)		19 045 715 40
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON								(2,559.75)		12,945,715.48
³² OPERATING REPORT									\$	30,259,307.15
										··

¹ Billing adjustment related to Case No. 2011-00036 rehearing. Additional \$1,355,168.61 authorized to be hilled to members over period March 2013 - July 2013. The total \$1,355,168.61 was recognized as revenue in February 2013 (the month the order was received). Accordingly, these amounts are not included in reported revenue during the months of March 2013 through July 2013.

.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 30 of 42

33

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters August 2013

1 AUGUST 2013 2	kWh	SU	PLUS PPLEMENTAL ENERGY		US BACK UP ENERGY	L	ESS SALES	T	OTAL KWH	DEV	\$ MILLS/KWH
3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY -					STIENCI		000 SALIED		JIAL AWI	<u>nr.v</u>	♦ MILLSYKWH
CENTURY ALUMINUM											
⁴ (Special Contract)	218,673,363				964,941		-		219,638,304		48.92
ALCAN RIO TINTO									210,000,001		10.02
⁵ (Special Contract)	263,120,784		-		442,434		(7,275,070)		_ 256,288,148		53.64
6	481,794,147				1,407,375		(7,275,070)		475,926,452		00.01
7											
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY CENTURY ALUMINUM											
⁹ (Special Contract)	215,396,160										
ALCAN RIO TINTO											
¹⁰ (Special Contract)	268,316,160										
11	483,712,320										
12											
13											
14											
15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY											
16 CENTURY ALUMINUM											
(Snacial (Contract)	3,277,203										
ALCAN RIO TINTO											
(Special Contract)	(5,195,376)										
18	(1,918,173)										
19											
20											
21				.							
22			TIER ADJ	CRE	DIT SURPLUS	BAC	KUP ENERGY	SUPI	LEMENTAL		ADJ
23 CENTURY ALUMINUM		-		-		-					
²³ (Special Contract)		\$	621,956.40	\$	•	\$	35,915.04	\$	-	\$	(51.31)
24 ALCAN RIO TINTO				•		•				-	
24 (Special Contract)		5	776,638.24			<u> </u>	22,825.60		·	<u> </u>	(2,502.61)
25		<u> </u>	1,398,594.64	<u> </u>	(259,389.57)		58,740.64	<u> </u>		\$	(2,553.92)
26 (Special Contract)											
ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract) TOTAL CENTURY/											
²⁸ ALCAN RIO TINTO 29											
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- 30 CENTURY											
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- 31 ALCAN											
32 OPERATING REPORT											
33											

33

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 31 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters August 2013

1 AUGUST 2013	FA		ES		NONELO	7774			
	F A		E3	MIL	NONFAC	PFA			OTAL FAC,ES, ONFAC PPA.
2	AMT	MILLS	AMT	LS	AMT	MILLS	SURCHARGE	S	URCHARGE
3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY - CENTURY ALUMINUM									
4 (Special Contract) _ ALCAN RIO TINTO	\$ 746,113.	52 3.41	\$ 504,328.2	23 2.31	\$ (129,454.63)) (0.59)	\$ 400,391.91	\$	1,521,379.03
⁵ (Special Contract)	\$ 897,768.	11 3.41	\$ 652,482.1	1 2.48	\$ (155,767.50)) (0.59)	\$ 498,764.39	\$	1,893,247.11
6	\$ 1,643,881.		\$ 1,156,810.3		\$ (285,222.13)		\$ 899,156.30	\$	3,414,626.14
7 8 BASE FIXED ENERGY CENTURY ALUMINUM						-			
⁹ (Special Contract) ALCAN BIO TINTO								\$	8,494,147.57
¹⁰ (Special Contract)								\$	11,428,788.35
11									
12									
13 14									
15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY									
LC CENTURY ALUMINUM									
Special Contract)								\$	71,462.69
17 ALCAN RIO TINTO (Speciai Contract)									(110.000.000
(Special Contract)								\$	(113,290.37)
19									
20									
21									
22									
23 CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract)									057 000 10
ALCAN BIO TINTO								\$	657,820.13
24 (Special Contract)								\$	537,571.66
25								•	
26 CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract)								\$	10,744,809.42
27 ALCAN RIO TINTO									
" (Special Contract)								<u>\$</u>	13.746.316.75
28 TOTAL CENTURY/ ALCAN RIO TINTO								\$	24,491,126.17
29								÷	24,431,120.17
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- CENTURY							0.00	\$	10,744,809.42
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-									
- ALCAN							0.00	\$	13,746,316.75
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON ³² OPERATING REPORT								\$	24,491,126.17
33									

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 32 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters September 2013

			····• ·· ··							
1 SEPTEMBER 2013										
		PLUS					FAC		<u>ES</u>	
2	kWh	SUPPLEMENTAL ENERGY	PLUS BACK UP ENERGY	LESS SALES	TOTAL KWH	REV \$ MILLS/KWH	AMT	MILLS		MIL
3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY -		Entertor	ENERGY	LESS SALLES	IOIAL KWH	KEV & MILLSVKWH	AM1	MILLS	AMT	LS
CENTURY ALUMINUM										
⁴ (Special Contract)	-	•	-	-	-	0.00	\$-	0.00	s -	0.00
5 ALCAN BIO TINTO										
⁵ (Special Contract)	258,578,246	:		(2,732,000)	256,155,048	57.21	\$ 669,459.08	2.59	\$ 609,756.29	2.36
6 7	258,578,246	:	308,802	(2,732,000)	256,155,048		\$ 669,459.08	•	\$ 609,756.29	-
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY										
9 CENTURY ALUMINUM										
	-									
ALCAN RIO TINTO										
(Special Contract)	259,660,800									
11	259,660,800									
12 13										
14										
15 BASE VARIARI E ENERCY										
CENTURY ALUMINUM										
¹⁰ (Special Contract)	•									
ALCAN RIO TINTO	(1 000 FF ()									
¹⁷ (Special Contract) 18	(1,082,554)									
19	(1,002,004)									
20										
21										
22		TIER ADJ	CREDIT SURPLUS	BACKUP ENERGY	SUPPLEMENTAL	ADJ				
23 CENTURY ALUMINUM		•	•		•	-				
²³ (Special Contract) ALCAN RIO TINTO		\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$-				
24 (Special Contract)		\$ 776,638.24	\$ (98,547.85)	\$ 12,829.47	٠	\$67.60				
25		\$ 776,638.24			<u>s</u>	\$ 67.60				
26 CENTURY ALUMINUM		<u></u>				<u> </u>				
ALCAN RIO TINTO										
²⁷ (Special Contract) on TOTAL CENTURY/										
²⁸ ALCAN RIO TINTO										
29										
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- 30 CENTURY										
³⁰ CENTURY										
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- 31 AL CAN										
³¹ ALCAN BALANCE AS SHOWN ON										
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON ³² OPERATING REPORT										
33										

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 33 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters September 2013

1 SEPTEMBER 2013 NONFAC PPA TOTAL FAC.ES. NONFAC PPA, MILLS SURCHARGE 2 AMT SURCHARGE **3 BASE MONTHLY ENERGY**-CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract) • 0.00 \$ • \$ \$ ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract) \$ (139,115.10) (0.54) 488,377.96 1,628,478.23 \$ \$ \$ (139,115.10) \$ 488,377.96 6 1,628,478.23 \$ 7 8 BASE FIXED ENERGY 9 CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract) \$ • 10 ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract) 12,359,075.10 \$ 11 12 13 14 **15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY** CENTURY ALUMINUM 16 (Special Contract) \$. ALCAN RIO TINTO 17 (Special Contract) (23,606.16) \$ 18 19 20 21 22 23 CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract) \$ • ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract) \$ 690,987.46 25 26 CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract) \$. 27 ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract) 14.654.934.63 TOTAL CENTURY/ 28 ALCAN RIO TINTO 14,654,934.63 \$ 29 ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-CENTURY 0.00 \$ -ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-ALCAN 0.00 \$ 14,654,934.63 BALANCE AS SHOWN ON 14,654,934.63 32 OPERATING REPORT \$ 33

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 34 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters October 2013

1 OCTOBER 2013						
2	kWh	PLUS SUPPLEMENTAL ENERGY	PLUS BACK UP			
2 CENTURY ALUMINUM	<u>KWN</u>	ENERGY	ENERGY	LESS SALES	TOTAL KWH	REV \$ MILLS/KWH
4 (Special Contract) _ ALCAN RIO TINTO	-	•	•	-	-	0.00
⁵ (Special Contract)	272,304,454		2,439,122		274,743,576	51.12
6	272,304,454		2,439,122		274,743,576	51.12
7			2,100,100		2/4,/40,070	
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY CENTURY ALUMINUM 9 (Special Contract)						
ALCAN RIO TINTO						
¹⁰ (Special Contract)	268,316,160					
11	268,316,160					
12						
13						
14						
15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY						
16 CENTURY ALUMINUM						
(Special Contract)	-					
ALCAN RIO TINTO	0.000.004					
17 (Special Contract)	3,988,294					
18	3,988,294					
19 20						
20 21						
21		TIER ADJ	CREDIT SURPLUS	BACKUP ENERGY	SUPPLEMENTAL	ADJ
CENTURY AT IMINIM		TER AD	CREDIT SURFLUS	DACAUF ENERGI	SUPPLEMENTAL	ADJ
²³ (Special Contract)		s -	s -	s -	s -	s .
AT CAN DIO TINTO		•	•	Ψ	\$	• -
24 (Special Contract)		\$ 776,638.24	\$ -	\$ 125,569.32	\$-	\$ (860,747.64)
25			\$.	\$ 125,569.32		\$ (860,747.64)
CENTURY AT UMINUM		<u> </u>			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
²⁰ (Special Contract)						
ALCAN RIO TINTO						
²⁷ (Special Contract)						
28 TOTAL CENTURY/						
²⁰ ALCAN RIO TINTO						
29						
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- CENTURY						
31 ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- ALCAN						
BALANCE AS SHOWN ON 32 OPERATING REPORT						
33						

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 35 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Smelters October 2013

1 OCTOBER 2013													
		FAC			ES	MIL		NONFAC	PPA				TAL FAC,ES,
2		AMT	MILLS		AMT			AMT	MILLS	SU	RCHARGE		ONFAC PPA, <u>URCHARGE</u>
4 CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract)	\$	-	0.00	s	-	0.00	s	_	0.00	s		s	
, ALCAN BIO TINTO								-		•	-	٠	-
^o (Special Contract) 6	<u>\$</u> \$	762,724.78		<u>\$</u> \$	619,626.51 619,626.51			(101,024.95) (101,024.95)	(0.37)	<u>-</u> \$	<u>498,764.39</u> 498,764.39		<u>1,780,090.73</u> 1,780,090.73
7	<u> </u>	100,121,110	_	<u> </u>	010,020.01	-	<u> </u>	(101,021.00)		<u> </u>	100,701.00		1,100,000.10
8 BASE FIXED ENERGY CENTURY ALUMINUM													
⁹ (Special Contract)												\$	-
10 ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract)												\$	12,135,403.28
11												÷	12,130,403.20
12 13													
13													
15 BASE VARIABLE ENERGY CENTURY ALUMINUM													
16 (Special Contract)												\$	-
17 ALCAN RIO TINTO (Special Contract)													
(Special Contract)												\$	86,968.75
19													
20 21													
22													
23 CENTURY ALUMINUM (Special Contract)												\$	
ALCAN RIO TINTO												-	
²⁴ (Special Contract) 25												\$	41,459.92
26 CENTURY ALUMINUM													
20 (Special Contract) 27 ALCAN RIO TINTO												\$	-
(Special Contract)												5	14.043.922.68
28 TOTAL CENTURY/ ALCAN RIO TINTO												\$	14,043,922.68
29												÷	14,040,022.00
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036- CENTURY											0.00	\$	
ADJ FOR CN 2011-00036-													-
ALUAN BALANCE AS SHOWN ON											0.00	\$	14,043,922.68
³² OPERATING REPORT												\$	14,043,922.68
33													

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 36 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Sales to Electric Utilities May 2013

REVENUE \$

1 MAY 2013	KWH	AMOUNT	MILLS/ KWH
2 3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:			
4			
5			
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	4,096,873	\$ 171,551.74	41.87
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP	-,,	¥ =:=,====	11.01
8		<u> </u>	
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	4,096,873	\$ 171,551.74	41.87
10			
11			
12			
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:			
14	05 000 000	A 1 011 050 00	00 50
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS 16 HMPL	37,200,000	\$ 1,211,976.00	32.58
17 MISO	140,366,900	\$ 4,986,622.17	35.53
18 PJM	140,000,000	\$ (209.10)	00.00
19		φ (200.10)	
20 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	177,566,900	\$ 6,198,389.07	34.91
21			
22			
23 TOTAL SALES FOR RESALE MAY 2013	181,663,773	\$_6,369,940.81	35.06

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 37 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Sales to Electric Utilities June 2013

DEVENITE ¢

1 JUNE 2013	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/ KWH
2				* _ * _ * _ * _ * _ * _ * _ * _ * _ * _
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5				
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	6,176,660	\$	229,160.10	37.10
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP				
8				
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	6,176,660	\$	229,160.10	37.10
10				
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				·
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS	36,000,000	\$	1,269,000.00	35.25
16 HMPL		•		
17 MISO	105,689,500	\$	2,796,777.96	26.46
18 PJM		\$	2,814.29	
	1 / 1 000 700	•		
20 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	141,689,500	\$	4,068,592.25	28.71
21				
22		<u> </u>		
23 TOTAL SALES FOR RESALE JUNE 2013	147,866,160	\$	4,297,752.35	29.07

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 38 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Sales to Electric Utilities July 2013

REVENUE \$

1 JULY 2013	KWH		AMOUNT	MILLS/ KWH
2				
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5				
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	7,040,145	\$	259,036.11	36.79
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP				
8				
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	7,040,145	\$	259,036.11	36.79
10				
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS	74,400,000	\$	2,836,500.00	38.13
16 HMPL	575,000	\$	27,503.33	47.83
17 MISO	160,003,800	\$	4,837,662.67	30.23
18 PJM				
19				
20 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	234,978,800	\$	7,701,666.00	32.78
21				
22				
23 TOTAL SALES FOR RESALE JULY 2013	242,018,945	_\$	7,960,702.11	32.89

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 39 of 42

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Monthly Billing Summary Sales to Electric Utilities August 2013

REVENUE \$

1 AUGUST 2013	KWH		AMOUNT	MILLS/ KWH
2				
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:				
4				
5		•		
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	17,680,140	\$	645,573.29	36.51
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP				
8				
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWER	S 17,680,140	\$	645,573.29	36.51
10				
11				
12				
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:				
14				
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS	74,400,000	\$	2,836,500.00	38.13
16 HMPL	2,062,000	\$	56,694.75	27.50
17 MISO	170,252,472	\$	5,130,989.57	30.14
18 PJM				
19				
20 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	246,714,472	\$	8,024,184.32	32.52
21				
22				
23 TOTAL SALES FOR RESALE AUGUST 2013	264,394,612	\$	8,669,757.61	32.79
		-		

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 40 of 42

1 SEPTEMBER 2013	KWH	AMO	OUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/ KWH	
2					
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:					
4					
5					
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	37,334,855	\$ 1,27	3,366.55	34.11	
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP		. ,			
8					
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	37,334,855	\$ 1,27	3,366.55	34.11	
10		. ,			
11					
12					
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:					
14					
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS	36,000,000	\$ 1,26	9,000.00	35.25	
16 HMPL	0	\$	-	0.00	
17 MISO	226,348,049	\$ 6,09	6,292.85	26.93	
18 PJM		\$	1,047.71		
19					
20 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	262,348,049	\$ 7,36	6,340.56	28.08	
21					
22					
23 TOTAL SALES FOR RESALE SEPTEMBER 2013	299,682,904	\$ 8,63	9,707.11	28.83	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 41 of 42

1OCTOBER 2013	KWH		AMOUNT	REVENUE \$ MILLS/ KWH	
2					
3 SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS:					
4					
5					
6 KENERGY-DOMTAR COGEN	24,278,839	\$	995,528.92	41.00	
7 POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOP					
8					
9 TOTAL SPECIAL SALES TO RUS BORROWERS	24,278,839	\$	995,528.92	41.00	
10			·		
11					
12					
13 TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS:					
14					
15 CARGILL POWER MARKETS	37,200,000	\$	1,311,300.00	35.25	
16 HMPL	0	\$	-,,	0.00	
17 MISO	281,306,084	\$	7,681,768.26	27.31	
18 PJM		\$	5,488.12		
19		•	,		
20 TOTAL TO OTHER THAN RUS BORROWERS	318,506,084	\$	8,998,556.38	28.25	
21	,- ,- , -	•	-,,		
22					
23 TOTAL SALES FOR RESALE OCTOBER 2013	342,784,923	_\$	9,994,085.30	29.16	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 6 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 42 of 42

.

.

· ...

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 7)	List Big	Rivers'	scheduled,	actual,	and	forced	outages	from
2	May 1, 2013	3, through	Octobe	r 31, 2013.					

3

Response) Please see the attached summary for the requested information
regarding scheduled ("S"), actual ("A"), and forced ("F") outages. This summary
includes Coleman outages after August 20, 2013; however, those outages are not
factored into Big Rivers' FAC.

8

9 Witness) Lawrence V. Baronowsky

10

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 1

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #1 - Coal - 65MW Net May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

			MAIN	TENANCE		HOLDS			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED		
		Sch	eduled	A	ctual	HOOKS	HOURS OF DURATION		MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUT		MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	E	FROM	ТО	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE		
May		No Outages							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
June	F			6/28/2013 22:58	6/29/2013 5:36		6:38		The unit tripped on low vacuum due to a faulty hotwell regulating valve.		
July	F			7/2/2013 12:57	7/2/2013 13:57		1:00		The unit tripped on low vacuum due to a faulty hotwell regulating valve. Due to weak market conditions the unit was placed on reserve standby at 13:57.		
August	F			8/17/2013 1:05	8/20/2013 16:30		87:25		Unit removed from service to inspect the SCR Diverter Damper The unit was removed from reserve standby status due to a fault on HMP&L Unit 2 that tripped the start up power to the station. The fault also caused a power surge that damaged the computer servers controlling the Reid 1 turbine. Start up power was restored but the unit was off standby until the servers could be replaced.		
September		No Outages									
October	S,A	10/21/2013 6:00	10/28/2013 6:00	10/21/2013 6:00	10/28/2013 6:00	168:00		168:00	The unit was removed from reserve stand by status for a seven day planned maintenance outage.		

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 12

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Reid Station Unit #2 - Combustion Turbine - Oil/Gas - 65MW Net Schedule vs. Actual May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013 MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS MONTH Ε APPROPRIATE FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced Actual May No Outages June No Outages F 7/16/2013 11:20 7/16/2013 15:00 3:40 July Starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted time due to a faulty limit switch on the cranking motor coupling. August F 8/24/2013 17:02 8/24/2013 17:17 0:15 Starting failure was declared when the unit did not tie on line within the allotted time due to a problem with the turbine control system display. The control system computer was rebooted and the machine started normally. S,A 9/10/2013 6:00 9/11/2013 18:00 9/10/2013 6:00 9/11/2013 16:12 36:00 34:12 Removed from reserve standby status to inspect the natural gas September pressure regulating valve. S.A 9/13/2013 7:00 9/13/2013 9:30 9/13/2013 7:00 9/13/2013 9:30 2:30 2:30 Removed from reserve standby status to repair a natural gas leak.

October

No Outages

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 2 of 12

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #1 - Coal - 153MW Net May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS Ε APPROPRIATE MONTH то FROM FROM TO Scheduled Forced Actual May No Outages F 70:00 6/2/2013 16:01 6/5/2013 14:01 The unit was removed from service due to a tube leak in the June radiant superheater section of the boiler. F 7/21/2013 14:05 7/24/2013 17:44 75:39 The unit was removed from service due to a tube leak in the July radiant superheater section of the boiler. F 7/27/2013 0:53 7/28/2013 3:48 26:55 Unit removed from service to inspect the SCR Diverter Damper 4:34 F 7/30/2013 22:20 7/31/2013 2:54 The unit was removed from service to replace the hydraulic servo valve on the left side intercept valve of the main turbine. 84:04 The unit was removed from service due to a tube leak in the F 8/8/2013 22:42 8/12/2013 10:46 August radiant superheater section of the boiler. F 8/15/2013 0:45 25:14 The unit was removed from service to inspect the SCR Diverter 8/16/2013 1:59 Damper F 8/17/2013 1:56 8/18/2013 11:39 33:43 The unit tripped on low vacuum due to the loss of make up water to the cooling tower when Reid #3 circulating water pump lost start up power. 112:00 The unit was removed from service due to a tube leak in the September F 9/19/2013 10:19 9/24/2013 2:19 radiant superheater section of the boiler. F 9/24/2013 22:53 9/25/2013 4:55 6:02 The unit was removed from service to repair a hydraulic oil leak on the right side intercept valve of the main turbine.

October No Outages

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 3 of 12

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #2 - Coal - 159MW Net May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

Schedule vs. Actual

			TENANCE			OF DURA		REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
		Sch	neduled	A	ctual	HOUKS			MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	E	FROM	TO	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
May	S,A	5/1/2013 0:00	5/18/2013 0:00	5/1/2013 0:00	5/27/2013 16:01	408:00		640:01	The unit was removed from service on 3/31/2013 for 49 day planned outage. The outage was extended due to the LP turbine rotor repair was delayed in Siemens service shop as technicians struggled to tune the new last stage blades that were installed.
	S,A	5/27/2013 20:33	5/27/2013 21:33	5/27/2013 20:33	5/27/2013 21:33	1:00		1:00	The unit was removed from service to test the turbine overspeed protection.
June	F			6/15/2013 06:25	6/16/2013 1:37		19:12		The unit tripped due to high vibration on the #7 turbine bearing. Siemens Engineers installed a balance weight on the exciter end of the machine.
	F			6/18/2013 23:22	6/21/2013 17:08		65:46		The unit tripped due to high vibration on the #7 turbine bearing. Siemens Engineers discovered the #7 bearing oil seal was rubbing the shaft causing the vibration. The seal clearance was corrected.
July	F			7/22/2013 15:35	7/22/2013 22:18		6:43		The unit tripped due to high furnace pressure when the booster fan tripped and the scrubber bypass damper failed to open.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 4 of 12

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Henderson Station Two - Unit #2 - Coal - 159MW Net

May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

			MAINT	ENANCE		HOURS			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
		Sch	eduled	A	ctual	HOOKS	HOURS OF DURATION		MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
MONTH	Ε	FROM	ТО	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE	
August	S,A	8/17/2013 1:00	8/18/2013 13:00	8/17/2013 1:00	8/20/2013 22:38	36:00		93:38	The unit was removed from service to wash the air heaters and perform some general maintenance tasks. The outage was extended when the station lost start up power and the main turbine DC lube oil pump coupling failed. The turbine coasted down from ~ 77 RPM without oil. Inspected the turbine bearings to ensure there was no damage and repaired the DC lube oil pump coupling.	
September	F			9/5/2013 19:46	9/6/2013 18:33		22:47		The unit was removed from service to replace the drive gearbox on "B" air preheater.	
October	F			10/5/2013 5:46	10/7/2013 1:09		43:23		The unit was removed from service to repair the DC lube oil pump motor.	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 5 of 12

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #1 - Coal/Pet coke - 231MW Net

		nit #1 - Coal/Pet coke October 31, 2013	e - 231MW Net			Schee	lule vs. Ac	tual	
	<u> </u>	1	MAINT	ENANCE		LIOUTR			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED
	TYP	Sche	duled	A	ctual	HOUKS	HOURS OF DURATION		MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	Е	FROM	ТО	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
May		No Outages							
June	F			6/1/2013 9:40	6/4/2013 4:04		66:24		The unit was removed from service to replace the "A" air preheater drive gearbox and motor.
July	F			7/1/2013 20:32	7/2/2013 1:00		4:28		The unit tripped due to a bad control card in the DCS computer.
	F			7/10/2013 23:59	7/12/2013 8:55		32:56		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section of the boiler.
August		No Outages							
September		No Outages							
October	F			10/4/2013 5:04	10/4/2013 12:52		7:48		The unit was removed from service due to precipitator problems caused by a 480 volt power transfer switch that failed.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 6 of 12

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Green Station Unit #2 - Coal/Pet coke - 223MW Net

May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

			ENANCE		LIOUTE	OF DURA	TION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
	TYP	Sche	duled	A	ctual	HOUKS			MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	Е	FROM	TO	FROM	TO	Scheduled	Forced_	Actual	APPROPRIATE
May	S,A	5/25/2013 0:00	5/27/2013 18:00	5/25/2013 1:09	5/27/2013 19:08	66:00		65:59	The unit was removed from service to wash the air heaters and perform some general maintenance tasks.
June		No Outages							
July	F			7/26/2013 0:25	7/27/2013 20:24		43:59		The unit was removed from service to replace the discharge valve on "B" scrubber recycle pump.
August		No Outages							
September		No Outages							
October		No Outages							

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 7 of 12
Coleman Station Unit #1 - Coal - 150MW Net May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

Schedule vs. Actual

			MAIN	TENANCE		HOURS OF DURATION			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED
	TYP	Schedule	d	A	ctual				MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS
MONTH	E	FROM	TO	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE
May	F			5/7/2013 13:01	5/9/2013 23:31		58:30		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section of the boiler.
June	F			6/7/2013 23:39	6/17/2013 1:16		217:37		The unit was removed from service to deslag the boiler. Due to the weak market conditions the outage was extended at $08:00$ on $6/12/2013$ to repair some gas leaks in the boiler penthouse and complete some other general maintenance tasks.
	F			6/20/2013 10:18	6/22/2013 8:41		46:23		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section of the boiler.
July	F			7/26/2013 22:18	7/30/2013 1:10		74:52		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section and the heat recovery area of the boiler.
August	F			8/10/2013 11:28	8/12/2013 17:43		54:15		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section of the boiler.
	F			8/23/2013 0:55	8/26/2013 5:47		76:52		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section of the boiler.
				8/31/2013 7:35	9/2/2013 10:42		51:07		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the reheater section of the boiler.

١

September

No Outages

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 8 of 12

Coleman Station Unit #1 - Coal - 150MW Net May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013 Schedule vs. Actual

		MAINTENANCE						TION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
	TYP	Sche	duled	A0	ctual	HOUKS	HOURS OF DURATION		MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
MONTH	Е	FROM	ТО	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE	
October	F			10/7/2013 00:57	10/9/2013 1:58		49:01		The unit was removed from service to replace the lance on "A" air preheater steam cleaning device.	
	F			10/14/2013 15:24	10/15/2013 3:33		12:09		The unit tripped off line when the 20 AST trip solenoid activated. The trip solenoid activated due to a shorted wire connection in the protective relay panel.	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 9 of 12

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #2 - Coal - 138MW Net

May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED HOURS OF DURATION Scheduled Actual MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE MONTH E FROM TO ΤO FROM Scheduled Forced Actual May S.A 5/19/2013 0:00 5/19/2013 10:00 5/19/2013 0:15 5/19/2013 9:47 10:00 9:32 The unit was removed from service to install activated carbon injection ports for MATS testing. F 6/20/2013 22:41 6/21/2013 11:42 13:01 The unit was removed from service to repair a hole around A4 June soot blower wall box. F 7/16/2013 13:00 7/16/2013 14:45 1:45 The unit tripped off line due to loss of excitation to the generator. July The control operator inadvertently activated the on/off switch instead of the identical voltage adjuster switch. F 8/22/2013 8:37 8/26/2013 21:26 108:49 The unit was removed from service to repair a water wall tube August leak. September No Outages 100:54 October F 10/21/2013 20:49 10/26/2013 1:43 The unit tripped off line on high furnace pressure due to a water wall tube leak.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 10 of 12

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Coleman Station Unit #3 - Coal - 155MW Net May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013							Schedule vs. Actual			
			MAINI	ENANCE		HOLDS			REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED	
		Sci	heduled	A	ctual	HOUKS	OF DUR	ATION	MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS	
MONTH	Ε	FROM	ТО	FROM	ТО	Scheduled	Forced	Actual	APPROPRIATE	
May		No Outages								
June		No Outages								
July	F			7/2/2013 3:53	7/4/2013 1:06		45:13		The unit was removed from service to repair tube leaks in the economizer section and water wall.	
August		No Outages								
September		No Outages								
October	F			10/6/2013 18:51	10/8/2013 21:48		50:57		The unit was removed from service to repair a tube leak in the economizer section.	
	F			10/9/2013 22:39	10/10/2013 16:52		18:13		The unit was removed from service to repair a leak in the "A" Boiler Feed Pump recirculation line.	
	F			10/14/2013 13:37	10/18/2013 10:24		92:47		The unit was removed from service to repair tube leaks in the penthouse on the hot reheat header.	

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 11 of 12

Schedule vs. Actual

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Wilson Station - Unit #1 - Coal/Pet Coke - 417MW Net May 1, 2013 thru October 31, 2013

MAINTENANCE REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED Scheduled Actual HOURS OF DURATION MAINTENANCE OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE MONTH Ε FROM TO FROM TO Scheduled Forced Actual F 5/18/2013 2:43 5/19/2013 5:00 26:17 The unit was removed from service to repair a water wall tube May leak. 6/15/2013 11:42 10:30 The unit tripped on high furnace pressure as a result of the #2 ID F 6/15/2013 22:12 June Fan trip that was caused by an issue with the inlet damper drive. F 6/15/2013 22:49 6/16/2013 2:15 3:26 The unit tripped on high drum level just after start up when the control operator placed the #1 boiler feed pump turbine in automatic control mode. July No Outages August No Outages 9/2/2013 22:40 6:48 F 9/2/2013 15:52 The unit tripped due to a nearby lightening strike that caused a September voltage surge that blew a fuse in the turbine control system. F 10/18/2013 20:40 10/20/2013 11:02 38:22 The unit was removed from service to repair a water wall tube October leak.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 7 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 12 of 12

•

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 8)	Lis	st all existing fuel contracts categorized as long-term (i.e.,
2	one year or	r ma	ore in length). Provide the following information for each
3	contract:		
4			
5		а.	Supplier's name and address;
6		b .	Name and location of production facility;
7		с.	Date when contract was executed;
8		d.	Duration of contract;
9		е.	Date(s) of each contract revision, modification, or
10			amendment;
11		f.	Annual tonnage requirements;
12		g.	Actual annual tonnage received since the contract's
13			inception;
14		h.	Percent of annual requirements received during the
15			contract's term;
16		i.	Base price in dollars per ton;
17		j.	Total amount of price escalations to date in dollars per
18			ton; and
19		k.	Current price paid for coal under the contract in dollars
20			per ton $(i + j)$.
21			
22	Response)	Ple	ase see the attached schedule.
23			
24	Witness)	Ma	rk W. McAdams
25			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 8 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Patriot Coal Sales 11021

A. NAME / ADDRESS:	Patriot Coal Sales, LLC. 12312 Olive Boulevard, Suite 400 St. Louis, Mo 63141
B. PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Freedom and Grand Eagle Mines Henderson County, Kentucky
	Highland Mine Union County, Kentucky
C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	October 24, 2011
D. CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2015
E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	November 8, 2011
F. ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2012 – 963,662 tons 2013 – 497,366 tons (through October)
H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2012 – 99.96 % 2013 – 71.05 %
I. BASE PRICE:	Highland 2012-\$ 48.0992per tonPatriot Blend 2012-\$ 47.0624per tonHighland 2013-\$ 50.0251per tonHighland 2014-\$ 52.0220per tonHighland 2015-\$ 54.1013per ton
J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None
K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	Highland 2013-\$ 50.0251per tonHighland 2014-\$ 52.0220per ton

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 1 of 7

Alliance Coal 11001

A.	NAME / ADDRESS:	Alliance Coal, LLC 1717 South Boulder Avenue Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
B.	PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Cardinal Mine Hopkins County, Kentucky
C.	CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	July 7, 2010
D.	CONTRACT DURATION:	December 31, 2013
E.	CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	March 6, 2012 (Revised Trucking & Diesel Fuel Adjustments) January 1, 2013 (Revised Trucking & Quality Options)
F.	ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	2011 – 600,000 tons 2012 – 750,000 tons 2013 – 750,000 tons
G.	ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2011 – 644,307 tons 2012 – 749,772 tons 2013 – 651,404 tons (through October)
H.	PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2011 – 107.38 % 2012 – 99.97 % 2013 – 86.85 %
I.	BASE PRICE:	Quality A 2011-\$ 50.6904per tonQuality B 2011-\$ 51.6504per tonQuality C 2011-\$ 49.2461per tonQuality D 2011-\$ 47.7996per tonQuality A 2012-\$ 52.9704per tonQuality B 2012-\$ 53.9736per tonQuality C 2012-\$ 51.4622per tonQuality D 2012-\$ 49.6363per tonQuality A 2013-\$ 55.3536per tonQuality D 2012-\$ 51.4622per tonQuality D 2013-\$ 55.3536per tonQuality D 2013-\$ 55.3773per tonQuality D 2013-\$ 51.8696per tonQuality D 2013-\$ 50.1603per tonQuality F 2013-\$ 49.1150per tonQuality G 2013-\$ 47.0254per ton
J.	ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None

Alliance Coal 11001 (continued)

K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	Quality A 2013	-	\$ 55.3536	per ton
	Quality B 2013	-	\$ 56.4024	per ton
	Quality C 2013	_	\$ 53.7773	per ton
	Quality D 2013	_	\$ 51.8696	per ton
	Quality E 2013	-	\$ 50.1603	per ton
	Quality F 2013	_	\$ 49.1150	per ton
	Quality G 2013	-	\$ 47.0254	per ton

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 3 of 7

Sebree Mining 11002

A. NAME / ADDRESS:	Sebree Mining, LLC (formerly Allied Resources) 15 New Steamport Road Sebree, KY 42455
B. PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Onton No. 9 Mine Webster County, Kentucky
C. CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	March 29, 2012
D. CONTRACT DURATION:	December 31, 2016
E. CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	April 29, 2011 November 17, 2011 March 29, 2012 (Contract Assigned from Allied Resources to Sebree Mining, LLC) August 12, 2013
F. ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
G. ACTUAL TONNAGE:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
H. PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2010 - 102.20 % 2011 - 100.12 % 2012 - 99.75 % 2013 - 81.25 %
I. BASE PRICE:	Sebree Complex Quality A 2012 - \$ 52.5500 per ton Quality B 2012 - \$ 50.6259 per ton Quality C 2012 - \$ 48.9946 per ton Quality D 2012 - \$ 46.0108 per ton Quality A 2013 - \$ 54.9153 per ton Quality B 2013 - \$ 53.8668 per ton Quality C 2013 - \$ 52.1824 per ton Quality D 2013 - \$ 49.1854 per ton

.

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 4 of 7

Sebree Mining 11002 (continued)

I.	BASE PRICE: (continued)	<u>Sebre</u>	e Co	mplex (contir	<u>uued)</u>
		Quality A 2014	_	\$ 57.6621	per ton
		Quality B 2014	_	\$ 55.6405	- per ton
		Quality C 2014	_	\$ 53.8706	per ton
		Quality D 2014	-	\$ 50.5978	per ton
		Quality A 2015	_	\$ 61.6911	per ton
		Quality B 2015	_	\$ 59.5694	per ton
		Quality C 2015	_	\$ 57.7116	per ton
		Quality D 2015	-	\$ 54.2146	per ton
		Quality A 2016	-	No Price	
		Quality B 2016	-	\$ 62.4897	per ton
		Quality C 2016	_	\$ 60.7085	per ton
		Quality D 2016	-	\$ 57.8600	per ton
			Sten	<u>import Dock</u>	
		Quality A 2012	<u>–</u>	\$ 51.9551	per ton
		Quality B 2012	-	\$ 50.0269	per ton
		Quality C 2012	_	\$ 48.3943	per ton
		Quality D 2012	-	\$ 45.4102	per ton
		Quality A 2013	_	\$ 54.3204	per ton
		Quality B 2013	-	\$ 53.1133	per ton
		Quality C 2013	-	\$ 51.4303	per ton
		Quality D 2013	-	\$ 48.4308	per ton
		Quality A 2014	_	\$ 57.0672	per ton
		Quality B 2014	_	\$ 55.0415	per ton
		Quality C 2014	_	\$ 53.2703	per ton
		Quality D 2014	-	\$ 49.9994	per ton
		Quality A 2015	-	\$ 61.0512	per ton
		Quality B 2015	-	\$ 58,9399	per ton
		Quality C 2015	-	\$ 57.0745	per ton
		Quality D 2015	-	\$ 53.5832	per ton
		Quality A 2016			
		Quality B 2016	-	\$ 61.1910	per ton
		Quality C 2016	-	\$ 60.4422	per ton
		Quality D 2016	-		
J.	ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	None			

J. ESCALATIONS TO DATE:

None

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 5 of 7

Sebree Mining 11002 (continued)

K. CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:

	<u>Sebr</u>	<u>ree Complex</u>			
Quality A 2013	-	\$ 54.9153 per ton			
Quality B 2013	-	\$ 53.8668 per ton			
Quality C 2013	-	\$ 52.1824 per ton			
Quality D 2013	-	\$ 49.1854 per ton			
Quality A 2014	_	\$ 57.6621 per ton			
Quality B 2014	-	\$ 55.6405 per ton			
Quality C 2014	-	\$ 53.8706 per ton			
Quality D 2014	-	\$ 50.5978 per ton			
Steamport Dock					

	Sieu	IMPORT DOCR	
Quality A 2013	-	\$ 54.3204	per ton
Quality B 2013	-	\$ 53.1133	per ton
Quality C 2013		\$ 51.4303	per ton
Quality D 2013		\$ 48.4308	per ton
Quality A 2014	_	\$ 57.0672	per ton
Quality B 2014	_	\$ 57.0672 \$ 55.0415	per ton per ton
Quality B 2014 Quality C 2014	-	\$ 55.0415 \$ 53.2703	-
Quality B 2014	-	\$ 55.0415	per ton

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 6 of 7

Armstrong Coal 11023

А.	NAME / ADDRESS:	Armstrong Coal Company, Inc. 7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1625 St. Louis, MO 63105
B.	PRODUCTION FACILITY:	Parkway, Big Run, Ceralvo, East Fork, Kronos, Lewis Creek, and Equality Boot Mines
		Muhlenberg and Ohio Counties, Kentucky
C.	CONTRACT EXECUTED DATE:	July 4, 2011
D.	CONTRACT DURATION:	January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2015
E.	CONTRACT AMENDMENTS:	None
F.	ANNUAL TONNAGE REQUIREMENTS:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
G.	ACTUAL TONNAGE:	2012 – 351,376 tons 2013 – 352,756 tons (through October)
H.	PERCENT OF ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS:	2012 – 100.39 % 2013 – 88.19 %
I.	BASE PRICE:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
J.	ESCALATIONS TO DATE:	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
K.	CURRENT CONTRACT PRICE:	2013 – \$ 48.5875 per ton 2014 – \$ 50.6437 per ton

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 8 Page 7 of 7

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 9)		
2		а.	State whether Big Rivers regularly compares the price of
3			its coal purchases to those paid by other electric utilities.
4		b.	If yes, state:
5			(1) How Big Rivers' prices compare with those of other
6			utilities for the review period. Include all prices
7			used in the comparison in cents per MMbtu.
8			(2) The utilities that are included in this comparison
9			and their locations.
10			
11	Response)		
12		a.	Yes, Big Rivers has compared the price of its coal purchases
13			with those paid by other electric utilities.
14		b.	
15			(1) Big Rivers' coal pricing is competitive with that of its
16			comparison group for the review period. Attached hereto
17			are tables and charts, on both a ϕ per MMBTU and a \$ per
18			ton basis plus showing Big Rivers with and without
19			petcoke, providing the relevant supporting information.
20			(2) Utilities that are included in this comparison are Kentucky-
21			based companies. These utilities are identified on the
22			attached tables and charts.
23			
24			
25	Witness)	Mar	k W. McAdams

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 9 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

Cents per MMBTU (Big Rivers w/o Petcoke)						
Company	May-13	Jun-13	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	218.88	221.05	221.38	220.25	222.02	220.55
Duke Energy - Kentucky	219.35	228.53	220.71	223.55	222.67	225.46
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	234.05	236.32	230.54	230.31	232.38	227.77
Kentucky Utilites Company	236.44	234.01	232.62	232.73	229.56	239.66
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	260.30	259.10	255.50	252.60	247.80	246.80
Kentucky Power	331.72	329.59	332.33	328.43	344.98	337.83

Dollars per Ton (Big Rivers w/o Petcoke)						
Company	May-13	Jun-13	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	\$50.08	\$50.86	\$50.96	\$50.88	\$51.30	\$50.85
Duke Energy - Kentucky	\$50.49	\$52.46	\$50.70	\$51.24	\$51.56	\$51.84
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	\$53.51	\$53.97	\$52.96	\$53.27	\$53.95	\$52.22
Kentucky Utilites Company	\$53.41	\$52.79	\$51.74	\$52.11	\$51.20	\$53.69
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	\$59.62	\$59.46	\$58.02	\$57.91	\$56.51	\$55.83
Kentucky Power	\$79.58	\$80.42	\$79.66	\$78.7 9	\$84.90	\$81.35

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 1 of 6



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 2 of 6



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 3 of 6

Cents per MMBTU (Big Rivers w/ Petcoke)						
Company	May-13	Jun-13	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	217.59	216.89	215.06	217.88	218.04	216.58
Duke Energy - Kentucky	219.35	228.53	220.71	223.55	222.67	225.46
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	234.05	236.32	230.54	230.31	232.38	227.77
Kentucky Utilites Company	236.44	234.01	232.62	232.73	229.56	239.66
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	260.30	259.10	255.50	252.60	247.80	246.80
Kentucky Power	331.72	329.59	332.33	328.43	344.98	337.83

Dollars per Ton (Big Rivers w/ Petcoke)						
Company	May-13	Jun-13	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13
Big Rivers Electric Corporation	\$50.14	\$50.95	\$51.15	\$50.84	\$51.13	\$50.71
Duke Energy - Kentucky	\$50.49	\$52.46	\$50.70	\$51.24	\$51.56	\$51.84
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	\$53.51	\$53.97	\$52.96	\$53.27	\$53.95	\$52.22
Kentucky Utilites Company	\$53.41	\$52.79	\$51.74	\$52.11	\$51.20	\$53.69
East Kentucky Power Cooperative	\$59.62	\$59.46	\$58.02	\$57.91	\$56.51	\$55.83
Kentucky Power	\$79.58	\$80.42	\$79.66	\$78.79	\$84.90	\$81.35

Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 4 of 6



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 5 of 6



Source: Utilities' Monthly FAC Filings with Kentucky Public Service Commission

Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Attachment for Response to Staff Item 9 Page 6 of 6

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 10)	Sta	ate the percentage of Big Rivers' coal, as of the date of this
2	Order, tha	t is e	delivered by:
3			
4		а.	Rail;
5		b.	Truck; or
6		с.	Barge.
7			
8	Response)		
9		a.	Rail – None;
10		b.	Truck – 38%;
11		c.	Barge – 62%.
12			
13			
14	Witness)	Ma	rk W. McAdams
15			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 10 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 11)		
2		а.	State Big Rivers' coal inventory level in tons and in
3			number of days' supply as of October 31, 2013. Provide
4			this information by generating station and in the
5			aggregate.
6		b .	Describe the criteria used to determine number of days'
7			supply.
8		с.	Compare Big Rivers' coal inventory as of October 31, 2013
9			to its inventory target for that date for each plant and for
10			total inventory.
11		d.	If actual coal inventory exceeds inventory target by 10
12			days' supply, state the reasons for excessive inventory.
13		е.	(1) State whether Big Rivers expects any significant
14			changes in its current coal inventory target within
15			the next 12 months.
16			(2) If yes, state the expected change and the reasons for
17			this change.
18			
19	Response)		
20		a.	As of October 31, 2013, Big Rivers' generating stations
21			individually and in the aggregate had the following inventory
22			levels and days' supply.
23			
24			
25			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

Total System/Station	Inventory Level (In Tons) ¹	Number of Days' Supply ²	Duration (In Days)	Preceding 6 Months Burn (In Tons)
Big Rivers	517 610 71	30	153	9 604 979 90
Electric System	517,610.71	30	105	2,604,872.80
Reid Station ³	6,364.70	11	19	10,939.35
Station II ⁴	144,035.15	71	156	315,491.52
Green Station ⁵	127,409.75	28	179	812,157.59
Coleman Station ⁶	126,833.20	30	169	724,400.42
Wilson Station ⁷	112,967.91	27	179	741,883.92

- b. Days Burn = [{ Current Inventory (In Tons) / Preceding 6 Months Burn (In Tons) }] x Duration (In Days)
- c. Big Rivers Electric Corporation's Target Supply is the following range for each Station in days.

			Electric Corporation tory Target Ranges
		Reid Station	16 – 20 Days
		Station II	60 – 80 Days
		Green	30 – 60 Days
		Coleman	25 – 45 Days
		Wilson	30 – 60 Days
		Total System	30 – 60 Days
8			
9	d.	The actual inventory, for	each station and in the aggregate, does
10		not exceed the inventory t	arget by ten (10) days.
11	e.		
12		(1) Yes. Big Rivers anti	cipates a change in coal inventory held
13		and modification of	its current inventory target(s) within

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1		the next twelve (12) months.
2	(2)	Inventory may be reduced at one or more of Big Rivers'
3		generating stations as a result of the Notice of Termination
4		from Century Aluminum-Hawesville effective August 20,
5		2013, and the notice of termination from Century-Sebree,
6		formerly Alcan Aluminum, effective January 31, 2014.
~		

7

Footnotes –

- 1. Green Station had a petcoke inventory level of 23,178.98 tons as of October 31, and has a target blend of 20% with Green coal. Wilson Station had a petcoke inventory level of 3,750.70 tons as of October 31, and has a target blend of 25% with Wilson coal.
- 2. Number of days' supply is rounded to reflect whole days. Including petcoke would results in 29 days at Green Station and in 30 days at Wilson Station.
- 3. Reid Station Unit 1 was in standby reserve 154 days. Reid 1 was out of service 11 days due to unscheduled and scheduled outages. The duration of generation was reduced to 19 days and Reid had an 11 day supply of compliance coal at October 31, 2013.
- 4. Station II Units 1 and 2 had unscheduled maintenance of 689.6 hours and Unit 2 had a planned outage of 641 hours in May 2013. These hours were equivalent to 28 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 156 days.
- 5. Green Station Units 1 and 2 had unscheduled and maintenance hours of 221.6 hours. These hours were equivalent to 5 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 1790 days.
- 6. Coleman Station Units 1, 2 and 3 had 968.5 unscheduled hours during the review period and 113.3 hours of scheduled maintenance hours for a total of 1,081.8 hours out-ofservice. These hours were equivalent to 15 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 169 days.
- 7. Wilson Unit 1 had unscheduled and schedule maintenance hours for a total of 123.0 hours out of service. These hours were equivalent to 5 days. The duration of generation was reduced to 179 days.

9

10 Witness) Mark W. McAdams

11

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 11 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 3 of 3

⁸

•

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 12)		
2		<i>a</i> .	State whether Big Rivers has audited any of its coal
3			contracts during the period from May 1, 2013, through
4			October 31, 2013.
5		b.	If yes, for each audited contract:
6			(1) Identify the contract;
7			(2) Identify the auditor;
8			(3) State the results of the audit; and
9			(4) Describe the actions that Big Rivers took as a result
10			of the audit.
11			
12	Response)		
13		a.	Big Rivers has audited one of its coal supply agreements during
14			the period from May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.
15		b.	
16			(1) Patriot Coal Sales, LLC (BRE-11-021);
17			(2) Integrity Development Consultants, Inc.;
18			(3) The audit was performed pursuant to a governmental
19			imposition claim made by Patriot in regard to the phase out
20			of the SR-100 Self-Contained Self-Rescuer (SCSR) due to
21			mechanical failure (failure of the oxygen starter). The SR-
22			100 model was to be replaced not later than December 31,
23			2013 (MSHA Bulletin No. P12-09); and
24			(4) Upon review by an independent mine engineering
25			consultant, Integrity Development, the governmental

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1		imposition claim was determined valid (accurate and
2		reasonable) and substantially supported. Pursuant to the
3		findings of the independent mine engineer's audit, and
4		obligations within the contractual agreement between Big
5		Rivers and Patriot Coal Sales, LLC, the claim for
6		governmental imposition of \$7,596.54 was paid in full.
7		
8		
9	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
10		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 12 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 13)		
2		а.	State whether Big Rivers has received any customer
3			complaints regarding its FAC during the period from May
4			1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.
5		b.	If yes, for each complaint, state:
6			1. The nature of the complaint; and
7			2. Big Rivers' response.
8			
9	Response)		
10		a.	Big Rivers has received no customer complaints regarding
11			its FAC during the period from May 1, 2013, through October
12			31, 2013.
13		b.	Not Applicable.
14			
15			
16	Witness)	Nicł	nolas R. Castlen
17			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 13 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 14)		
2		а.	State whether Big Rivers is currently involved in any
3			litigation with its current or former coal suppliers.
4		b .	If yes, for each litigation:
5			(1) Identify the coal supplier;
6			(2) Identify the coal contract involved;
7			(3) State the potential liability or recovery to Big
8			Rivers;
9			(4) List the issues presented; and
10			(5) Provide a copy of the complaint or other legal
11			pleading that initiated the litigation and any
12			answers or counterclaims. If a copy has previously
13			been filed with the Commission, provide the date on
14			which it was filed and the case in which it was filed.
15		с.	State the current status of all litigation with coal
16			suppliers.
17			
18	Response)		
19		a.	Yes.
20		b.	
21			(1) Oxford Mining Company - Kentucky, LLC ("Oxford").
22			(2) The contract involved in the litigation was executed
23			October 31, 2007, and assumed by Big Rivers July 17, 2009.

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1 After Big Rivers assumed the contract, Big Rivers and 2 Oxford entered into an amended and restated contract 3 effective as of July 1, 2010. 4 (3) - (4)Oxford filed suit against Big Rivers alleging breach of 5 6 contract. Big Rivers counterclaimed, alleging Oxford 7 breached the contract. The issues are whether Big Rivers 8 and/or Oxford breached the contract, and if so, the damages 9 that should be awarded Oxford and/or Big Rivers. Big Rivers denies that it breached the contract. For damages, 10 Oxford is claiming lost profits in an as yet undetermined 11 12 amount; \$640,869.21 in the form of employee severance 13 payments and health insurance benefits; losses associated 14 with the disposal of assets and equipment in an as yet undetermined amount; \$1,629,235.00 in the form of 15 accelerated reclamation costs; and (alternatively) an as yet 16 undetermined amount representing differential 17 the 18 between the market price and the contract price. Big Rivers is claiming additional maintenance costs, additional 19 trucking expense, additional fuel purchases, and costs, 20 expenses and damages in obtaining Oxford's assurances, in 21 an amount in excess of the minimum dollar amount 22 necessary to establish the jurisdiction of the Ohio Circuit 23 24 Court, Ohio County, Kentucky.

> Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 3
AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1		(5) This information was previously provided as an attachment
2		to Big Rivers' response, dated September 11, 2012, to Item
3		14b.(5) of the Commission Staff's Request for Information,
4		dated August 22, 2012, in Case No. 2012-00323.
5		c. Since the prior report concerning this litigation, the parties have
6		engaged in the taking of depositions and the exchange of
7		additional documents. In November 2013, Oxford Mining
8		Company – Kentucky, LLC asked the Ohio Circuit Court to
9		grant it a summary judgment with respect to liability.
10		Following the submission of briefs and oral arguments, the
11		Court denied this motion on January 6, 2014. The case now is
12		scheduled for trial commencing November 12, 2014, in the Ohio
13		Circuit Court. In the meantime the parties will continue with
14		discovery and trial preparation, and, in addition, will engage in
15		a mediation which is to be conducted on or before July 15, 2014.
16		
17		
18	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
19		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 14 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 3 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 15)		
2	(Durin	ng the period from May 1, 2013, through October 31,
3		<i>2013</i> ,	have there been any changes to Big Rivers' written
4		polici	ies and procedures regarding its fuel procurement?
5	i	If yes,	,
6		(1)	Describe the changes;
7		(2)	Provide the written policies and procedures as
8			changed;
9		(3)	State the date(s) the changes were made; and
10		(4)	Explain why the changes were made.
11	(If no	o, provide the date Big Rivers' current fuel
12		procu	rement policies and procedures were last changed,
13		when	they were last provided to the Commission, and
14		ident	ify the proceeding in which they were provided.
15			
16	Response)		
17	8	No. H	Iowever, Big Rivers has discovered that its response, filed
18		Augus	t 29, 2013, to Item 15 of the Commission Staff's Request
19		for In	formation, dated August 8, 2013, in Case No. 2013-00266
20		inadve	ertently omitted a change to its Fuel Procurement Policies
21		and P	rocedures – Policy No. 120. Big Rivers notes, however,
22		that tl	he change, a change in title, had no material impact on its
23		fuel pr	ocurement operations and staffing.
24			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 15 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams and Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	b.	
2		(1) Big Rivers revised its Fuel Procurement Policies and
3		Procedures – Policy No. 120 to change the phrase Vice
4		President Production' to 'Chief Operating Officer.'
5		(2) Clean and redline versions of Big Rivers' Fuel Procurement
6		Policies and Procedures – Policy No. 120 are attached
7		hereto.
8		(3) The aforementioned change was made on March 13, 2013.
9		(4) The aforementioned change was made to reflect the change
10		in the job title of Robert W. Berry, formerly Big Rivers' Vice
11		President Production and now Big Rivers' Chief Operating
12		Officer.
13	с.	Big Rivers has provided the policies listed in the table below to
14		the Commission. Big Rivers provided Policy No. 111 – Hedge
15		Policy in its response, filed September 11, 2012, to Item 15 of
16		the Commission Staff's Request for Information, dated August
17		22, 2012, in Case No. 2012-00323. Big Rivers provided Policy
18		No. 105 – Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy and
19		Appendix A to Policy No. 105 – Energy Related Transaction
20		Authority Policy in its response, filed August 29, 2013, to Item
21		15 of the Commission Staff's Request for Information, dated
22		August 8, 2013, in Case No. 2013-00266.
23		
24		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 15 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams and Wayne O'Bryan Page 2 of 3

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

	Big Rivers Electri Policies and Procedures	-		
Number	Name	Date Last Change	Provided In	Date Provided
105	Energy Related Transaction Authority	2013-02-27	Case No. 2013-00266	2013-08-29
111	Hedge Policy	2012-03-16	Case No. 2012-00323	2012-09-1
Appendix A	Energy Related Transaction Authority	2013-03-12	Case No. 2013-00266	2013-08-29

2

3

4 Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams and

Wayne O'Bryan

5 6

J

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 15 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams and Wayne O'Bryan Page 3 of 3

Big Rivers	BOARD P	
	Policy Number	Page <u>1 of 9</u>
Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	Original Effective Date 07/16/2005 Original Approval Date 12/21/2007	

Date Last Revised

03/14/2013

The purpose of the Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures guidelines is to present the principles that govern the procurement of fuel, reagent, and associated transportation. This document is not intended to provide a step-by-step procedural flow, but place an emphasis on procurement policies and a concise overview of appropriate procurement practices. The awarding of Contracts and Purchase Orders will comply with business controls including corporate governance, authority limit matrices, auditing recommendations, and other established practices and limitations.

FUEL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. <u>Definitions</u>:

- 1. "Agreement" means a legally binding document, in which one party agrees to sell and the other agrees to buy fuel, reagent, or transportation services for such, which is executed by both Buyer and Seller.
- 2. "Award Recommendation" means the Company's approval process for the review and approval by Senior Management of a recommended fuel, reagent, or transportation purchase that fall outside the limits established in the Company's granted authority limits.
- 3. "Company" means Big Rivers Electric Corporation.
- 4. "Contract" is an Agreement, Letter Agreement, Purchase Order, or Spot Contract for fuel supply, reagent, or such transportation with certain terms and conditions that describe the business transaction under which the Company procures fuel, reagent, and related transportation.
- 5. "Contract purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or transportation on behalf of the Company under a contract, typically more than one year's duration.
- 6. "Department" means the Company's Fuels Department.
- 7. "Director" means the Company's Director of Fuels.
- 8. "Emergency" means extraordinary conditions affecting fuel production, transportation, or usage, including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other labor problems, embargoes, mining impediments and other problems affecting the production or transportation of fuel, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that could be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel to Company facilities.
- 9. "Environmental standards" mean the legal requirements for compliance with emission levels or other environmental requirements applicable to one or more of the Company's generating units.
- 10. "Fuel" means combustibles purchased by the Company for one or more of its generating stations.
- 11. "Chief Operating Officer" means the Company's principal individual responsible for power generation and fuel procurement, among other duties.



"Solicitation" means the process of soliciting bids (written or oral) for the supply of fuel, reagent,

"Spot Contract" is a type of agreement that may be issued by the Company for the supply of fuel,

"Spot Purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or related transportation on behalf of the

12/21/2007

03/14/2013

Board

Originai Approvai Date

Date Last Revised

Company where the terms and conditions are incorporated in the Letter Agreement, Purchase Order or Spot Contract and the term is typically of one year or less.
"Station" means one of the Company's generating facilities.

reagent, or related transportation of such with a term of typically one year or less.

- 16. "Supplier" means the seller or counterparty to an agreement who is obligated to comply with and fulfill the agreement's terms and conditions.
- 17. "Unit" means a generating unit at a station.

and/or related transportation services.

18. "Unit bus bar cost" is the total variable production cost including the maintenance cost associated with burning the fuel.

B. <u>Fuel Procurement Policies</u>:

12.

13.

14.

15.

The Company's fuel procurement policy is to obtain an adequate supply of fuel and reagent of sufficient quality at the most competitive overall evaluated cost on a unit bus bar basis consistent with the Company's obligations to provide adequate and reliable service to its customers, to meet operational and environmental standards, and to meet any other applicable legal requirements. The Company will use its best efforts to secure its fuel and reagent supply at competitive prices through solicitation for such.

Implementation of this policy is of highest priority to the Company. The Fuels Department shall be organized and staffed, and fuel procurement procedures and administration shall be conducted, in an efficient and practical manner consistent with this policy. Fuel, reagent, and related transportation shall be purchased at competitive prices considering all material factors. The factors include but are not limited to: quantity needed to maintain an adequate supply, quality necessary to ensure generating unit operating and maintenance characteristics and environmental standards, reliability of the supplier, creditworthiness, and forward planning to meet projected system requirements, and meeting emergency or other unusual circumstances that might affect operating conditions. From time to time, the Director of Fuels will review the Company's Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures and recommend updates as appropriate.

C. Organization:



03/14/2013

Date Last Revised

- 1. <u>Department Structure.</u> The Department shall be organized and staffed to effectively administer the Company's fuel procurement function.
- 2. <u>Organizational Responsibility</u>. The Chief Operating Officer to whom the Director reports, has the responsibility for fuel procurement. The Director is responsible for the Department. Other departments may be called upon by the Department to the extent the Director or Chief Operating Officer considers advisable in the execution of the functions of the Department.
- 3. <u>Approval Authority (Award Recommendation)</u>. An Award Recommendation will be prepared for all fuel purchases that exceed the term, tenor, or notional amount of authority of the Director of Fuels which is specified in the single transaction authority limits by the Company. The Award Recommendation will be drafted by the Director, reviewed by Fuels legal counsel, and executed by the Chief Operating Officer within the authority granted by the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy. Greater expenditures shall require the signature of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and within his trading authority as established by the Board of Directors. These levels of authority may be amended, supplemented, or superseded as dictated by the Company.
- 4. <u>Reports.</u> The Director will instruct the Department to prepare, maintain and distribute reports to management and others as deemed necessary for business operations and regulatory requirements.
- 5. Records. The Department shall maintain the following records:
 - a. <u>Open Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain the following on open status for at least one-year or longer as the contract term or other conditions warrant:
 1. For each current contract supplier, the files will contain:
 - a) Contract documents, amendments, purchase orders and escalation documentation;
 - b) General correspondence;
 - c) Invoices and invoice verification data;
 - d) Delivery records and quality analyses data;
 - e) Inspection reports and other data.
 - 2. A record of transportation equipment owned or leased by the Company (as applicable).
 - 3. A list containing current suppliers and known potential Suppliers of fuel.
 - b. <u>Closed Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain its files according to the Company's record retention plan.
- 6. <u>General Administrative Duties.</u>



Date Last Revised

03/14/2013

The Department shall subscribe to and have membership in appropriate trade and industry publications and/or associations, to include reports of governmental or consulting agencies concerning fuel, reagent, and related transportation market information, to include fuel prices and/or projections. Department personnel shall use their best efforts to keep current with fuel market conditions, prices and availability, and other developments relating to fuel procurement.

D. <u>Fuel Supply Procedures</u>:

- 1. <u>Projections.</u> In conjunction with other departments of the Company, the Department shall prepare annually a projection of fuel usage and cost at each Station for the number of years required for use in the Company's planning process.
- 2. <u>Contract/Spot Mix.</u> Subject to the approval of the Chief Operating Officer, the Director shall determine whether a contract purchase is advisable, considering the following factors: (a) the availability of adequate supplies from qualified suppliers, (b) the advisability or need to have an adequate supply committed for an existing or planned unit (subject to inventory limits specified by the Company), (c) the desire to maintain practical flexibility as to market conditions and other factors affecting price and availability, (d) existing and anticipated environmental standards, (e) such other factors as may reasonably affect the implementation of the Company's Fuel Procurement Policy and (f) fuel impact on generation facilities' operation and maintenance.
- 3. <u>Current Requirements.</u> The Department shall review and analyze the data available to the Department for purposes of conducting fuel and reagent purchases in a timely manner to meet the requirements of the Company.
- 4. <u>Supplier Qualifications.</u> The Company shall select potential suppliers on the basis of evaluation, market intelligence, performance information (as available), industry research, and creditworthiness, as determined by the Director and his staff. No potential qualified supplier shall be preferred or discriminated against because of race, religion, color, sex, age or marital status of the supplier or any of its representatives.

A supplier evaluation (to include site visit and mine engineering and/or performance report) may be performed to determine if a supplier has the ability to deliver in the time frame requested the quantity and quality of coal or reagent bid at the offered price.

5. <u>Solicitations.</u> The Department shall maintain a current list of Suppliers and shall review that list from time to time to ensure that it remains current. Normally, the Company shall purchase its fuel and reagent through sealed bid solicitations; however, the Company reserves the right to utilize its market intelligence to seize opportunity purchases of fuels and reagent, request oral, written, or electronic offers, potentially followed by negotiations, when in its judgment market conditions provide an opportunity to obtain fuel or reagent more advantageously than through mailed bid solicitations and usual procedures. When the Company foregoes the solicitation process, documentation shall be appended to the resulting purchase order file describing the conditions.

A notice of a request for quotation ("RFQ") shall be provided to normal industry newsletters and information postings. The normal solicitation process shall require that potential suppliers be



BOARD POLICY

Policy Number <u>120</u> Page <u>5 of 9</u>

|--|

notified in writing as to the general quantities, terms and quality specifications required. An RFQ number will be assigned to for the quotation package. An RFQ will include: instructions to bidders (date and time due); scope of supply (quantity and quality); potential term; standard terms and conditions of typical agreements.

Offers from potential suppliers shall be returned by the requested date and time or they will be rejected. A bid log shall be kept for logging in receipt of bid offers. Attendees viewing the opening of the bid shall initial the bid document as opened and the log as at the completion of the opening. Offers shall be opened and logged in the presence of the Chief Operating Officer and Director of Fuel or their representative in their absence, and another selected representative outside of the Fuels Department.

All appropriate bid data shall be documented and electronically categorized for the process of evaluation of the various offerings of fuel and reagent. The documents shall be maintained in a secured area and shall be kept pursuant to normal record keeping practices.

6. <u>Contract Awards.</u> The Department shall review and analyze each Contract offer. The Director, or his/her representative, may engage in preliminary negotiations to determine which offers warrant further consideration. The Director and/or representative shall investigate the potential supplier and proposed source of supply; and, as to any offer for fuel, the Department shall verify the adequacy of the proposed source of supply as to quantity, quality, and timely deliverability.

The evaluation shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the response to the RFQ (items required by the RFQ for satisfactory operational, environmental, and economic criteria); diversity of supply; supplier credit assessment; transportation mode and cost; and diversity of suppliers to provide the lowest evaluated cost of electrical energy to the Unit bus bar over the long term.

From this initial evaluation, a select group of potential suppliers (a "short-list") of suppliers shall be developed for more in-depth evaluation. The Department may then engage in preliminary discussions to ensure that the offer warrants further evaluation and consideration. The objective of the negotiating discussions is to ensure that the Company achieves balanced terms and conditions and the lowest evaluated electrical energy delivered to the Unit bus bar and reliable supply consistent with other qualifiers related to supplier reliability, environmental restraints, transportation options, etc.

The recommended Supplier(s) shall be selected by the negotiating team based upon the evaluation criteria and the results of the negotiating discussions. The Department shall prepare a detailed Award Recommendation for approval. The Award Recommendation shall document the selection criteria and pertinent factors, and in circumstances where more than one company is selected, the recommendation shall describe the tonnage requirements and other responsibilities of each of the other recommended Suppliers.

All contracts for which the term, tenor or notional amount exceed the limits specified for the Director of Fuels must be approved and signed by individual(s) authorized per the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy.



7.	Spot Purchases. Spot purchases may be made by the Company whenever considered advisable by
	the Director in furtherance of the Company's fuel and reagent needs, subject to the limit of
	authority as outlined by the Company.

Date Last Revised

03/14/2013

- 8. <u>Documentation</u>. Contracts shall be signed by a duly appointed officer of the Supplier and an Officer of the Company. A purchase order may be issued for a spot purchase. A purchase order shall contain all terms of that purchase. Further, the Department shall maintain documentation of the final list (log) of bidders, a copy of the entire bid package; bidder's responses; and the bid evaluation summary used for decision support.
- 9. <u>Fuel Oil</u>. Fuel Supply Procedures principally address procurement of solid fuel. Fuel oil is procured on an "as-needed" basis due to the infrequency of use of this fuel and the nature of the oil markets. When the need for oil arises, the Fuels Department shall act to solicit vendors for offers. Orders are assigned on the basis of lowest delivered cost per mmBTU and ability to fill the order. Solicitation results shall be documented and purchase orders issued in the Fuels Department for those purchases initiated and completed by the Department.

E. <u>Fuel Supply and Reagent Agreement Administration</u>:

- 1. <u>Compliance.</u> The Department shall review and analyze daily business and operational reports to properly administer all fuel and transportation agreements.
- 2. <u>Coal weights.</u> Coal weights shall be obtained by either the Company or by Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal weight is obtained by scale or draft method, depending upon Company site or methodology employed by Supplier to ascertain weights. In either event, coal weights are obtained by industry-accepted standards, and in cases where scales are utilized, are duly tested and maintained in proper order for such purpose. In cases where draft weights are utilized, the Company employs processes to verify actions to obtain draft weights and that such measures are by industry-accepted standards. Coal quantity is obtained by Station personnel and reported through the fuels information system or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 3. <u>Coal sampling.</u> Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed by either the Company or the Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed according to procedures adopted by the Company's laboratory in accordance with A.S.T.M. standards for coal sampling, coal sample preparation, coal sample identification, handling of sample, and coal analysis. Coal quality is assessed and reported through the fuels information system by the Company's laboratory personnel or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 4. <u>Amendments.</u> A contract shall not be materially amended except after analysis by the Department and recommendation of the Director of Fuels or the Chief Operating Officer. No material contract or purchase order addendum shall be made except upon recommendation of the Director and subject to the approval limits of the Company.
- 5. <u>Contract Administration</u>. The Director and the Department shall remain informed as to the terms and conditions of each current contract, and maintain the necessary data to administer the

BigRivers	BOARD	
	Policy Number <u>120</u>	Page7 of 9
Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	Original Effective Date 07/16/20 Original Approval Date 12/21/20	007
	Date Last Revised 03/14/20	— i Boaro i

contracts. Every supplier request for a change in terms, conditions, or prices must be written and supported by adequate data in conformity with the contract. Each such request shall be analyzed by the Department against the contract provisions, and reported with recommendations to the

Director. After review by the Director, the supplier request and Department's recommendations shall be approved as required by the Company. If any request is not approved in whole or in part, the Director shall advise the supplier, specifying the Company's objections with an adequate explanation. If the supplier's request is not approved, negotiation between the supplier and Company as dictated by contract terms shall be the primary method of resolving the issue.

- 6. <u>Force Majeure.</u> A supplier's claim for relief from compliance with fuel supply agreement terms due to force majeure conditions must be in writing with an adequate description of conditions warranting nonperformance. Each force majeure claim shall be reviewed by the Director and the company's legal counsel.
- 7. <u>Inspections.</u> The Director shall request inspections of mining and other facilities of a contract fuel and/or reagent supplier or other facilities as required or deemed necessary to manage the performance and contractual relationship (Contract Administration).

F. Fuel and Reagent Supply Agreement Enforcement:

- 1. <u>General Enforcement Policy.</u> Supplier obligations under Fuel or Reagent Supply Agreements shall be enforced by the Company in a reasonable, fair, and practical manner to achieve supplier compliance with the Company's overall procurement policy and the continuing supply of fuel to meet current and anticipated system requirements.
- 2. <u>Director Responsibility</u>. Whenever it is determined that a shipment does not meet Fuel Supply or Reagent Agreement terms, the Director, or his/her designee, shall inform the supplier and direct that subsequent shipments be in compliance. When necessary the Chief Operating Officer and the Director may determine, or receive advice, as to further action needed to assure fuel or reagent supply agreement compliance.
- 3. <u>Legal Assistance.</u> The Department shall have access to, and shall receive advice from, legal counsel as provided by the Company on any matter relating to fuel, reagent, and related transportation procurement, contracts and amendments thereto, administration, and enforcement. Should a dispute as to a supplier's performance fail to be satisfactorily resolved by the Director, the matter shall be referred to legal counsel. Legal counsel may consider further negotiation, arbitration (if provided by the contract), or litigation. No arbitration or litigation shall commence except on the advice of said counsel with approval by senior management.

G. <u>Inventory Levels</u>:

The Company has an obligation to ensure continuous low cost, reliable service to its members. Decisions affecting fuel inventory shall consider these obligations.

The Company shall maintain an adequate inventory while allowing for enough flexibility to permit inventory levels to be responsive to known and anticipated changes in conditions in an



Date Last Revised

Board

03/14/2013

labor	matters, logistical issues and concerns, and generation requirements and dispatch. The	,
	al level of inventory shall be monitored for such matters and recommendations to adjust	
invent	tory to meet anticipated conditions shall be made from time to time. Such inventory	
_	mendations shall be made by the Director for approval by the Senior Management of the	3
Comp	any.	

attempt to avoid risks and stoppages due to unforeseen conditions. Inventory shall be

recommended based upon, but not limited to, supplier performance, environmental conditions.

Coal inventories and reagent shall be monitored and reported regularly via the Company's fuel information system(s).

H. <u>Emergency Procurement</u>:

Any one or more of the procedures described herein may be waived by the Chief Operating Officer, when, in the informed judgment of the Director, and on his recommendation, fuel must be purchased without complying with one or more of such provisions due to extraordinary conditions including strikes, lockouts or other labor problems affecting fuel production, embargoes, mining or other problems affecting production or transportation, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that can be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel and reagent to the Company from its existing suppliers. When such a purchase is made, documentation of circumstances will be appended to the purchase order and/or contract file.

I. <u>Transportation Services Contracts</u>:

Transportation services bids shall be requested and Contracts negotiated whenever appropriate. Consideration shall be given to plant requirements, supplier loading capabilities, relative location of supplier to Stations, transportation mix, unloading capabilities and capacities at Stations, logistic constraints, transportation provider economics, Station material handling economics, and any other factor which might affect the delivery of Fuel and reagent to the Company's Stations.

Unless otherwise dictated by Emergency situations, the Solicitation process will be utilized for transportation services. The selection of transportation provider will generally be based upon, but not necessarily limited to cost, reliability, insurance, past / current performance, container availability and suitability for purpose, material handling capacities and constraints, transportation mix, and any other mitigating factors in terms of logistics.

All transportation service agreements shall be in written contractual form duly executed by an authorized supplier of service and the Company.

J. <u>Ethics and Conduct</u>:

The Company recognizes the importance of following appropriate business ethics to guide the conduct of the Fuels Department in the performance of its duties and responsibilities. Fuels staff shall adhere to the ethical standards and policies of the Company including, but not limited to, the Business Ethics Policy and the Conflicts of Interest Policy for Big Rivers' Employees.

BigRivers	BOARD	
	Policy Number <u>120</u>	Page <u>9 of 9</u>
Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	Original Effective Date07/16/2Original Approval Date12/21/2Date Last Revised03/14/2	007 Board

Also, each contractual document shall denote that the contract was prepared and executed in ethical dealing.

Fuels staff shall endeavor to serve the best interests of the Company, its Members, and stakeholders in the performance of their duties and responsibilities.

.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by
Original	12/21/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board
Rev. 1	03/16/2012	Update out of date language and staffing changes	Board
Rev. 2	03/14/2013	Update due to title change	



	Policy Number	20	Page <u>1 of 9</u>
ruer rioculement rolicles and riocedures	Original Approval Date	07/16/2009 12/21/2007 03/16/2012	Approved By: Board

The purpose of the Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures guidelines is to present the principles that govern the procurement of fuel, reagent, and associated transportation. This document is not intended to provide a step-by-step procedural flow, but place an emphasis on procurement policies and a concise overview of appropriate procurement practices. The awarding of Contracts and Purchase Orders will comply with business controls including corporate governance, authority limit matrices, auditing recommendations, and other established practices and limitations.

FUEL PROCUREMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. <u>Definitions</u>:

- 1. "Agreement" means a legally binding document, in which one party agrees to sell and the other agrees to buy fuel, reagent, or transportation services for such, which is executed by both Buyer and Seller.
- 2. "Award Recommendation" means the Company's approval process for the review and approval by Senior Management of a recommended fuel, reagent, or transportation purchase that fall outside the limits established in the Company's granted authority limits.
- 3. "Company" means Big Rivers Electric Corporation.
- 4. "Contract" is an Agreement, Letter Agreement, Purchase Order, or Spot Contract for fuel supply, reagent, or such transportation with certain terms and conditions that describe the business transaction under which the Company procures fuel, reagent, and related transportation.
- 5. "Contract purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or transportation on behalf of the Company under a contract, typically more than one year's duration.
- 6. "Department" means the Company's Fuels Department.
- 7. "Director" means the Company's Director of Fuels.
- 8. "Emergency" means extraordinary conditions affecting fuel production, transportation, or usage, including but not limited to strikes, lockouts or other labor problems, embargoes, mining impediments and other problems affecting the production or transportation of fuel, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that could be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel to Company facilities.
- "Environmental standards" mean the legal requirements for compliance with emission levels or other environmental requirements applicable to one or more of the Company's generating units.
- 10. "Fuel" means combustibles purchased by the Company for one or more of its generating stations.
- 11. <u>"Chief Operating Officer" means the Company's principal individual responsible for power</u> Deleted: "Vice President Production" generation and fuel procurement, among other duties.

Deleted: 1

BOARD POLICY



BOARD POLICY

Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures Original Effective Date 07/16/2009 Approved By: Original Approval Date 12/21/2007 Board			Policy Number	Fage	
	Subject:	Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures			

- 12. "Solicitation" means the process of soliciting bids (written or oral) for the supply of fuel, reagent, and/or related transportation services.
- 13. "Spot Contract" is a type of agreement that may be issued by the Company for the supply of fuel, reagent, or related transportation of such with a term of typically one year or less.
- 14. "Spot Purchase" means any purchase of fuel, reagent, or related transportation on behalf of the Company where the terms and conditions are incorporated in the Letter Agreement, Purchase Order or Spot Contract and the term is typically of one year or less.
- 15. "Station" means one of the Company's generating facilities.
- 16. "Supplier" means the seller or counterparty to an agreement who is obligated to comply with and fulfill the agreement's terms and conditions.
- 17. "Unit" means a generating unit at a station.
- 18. "Unit bus bar cost" is the total variable production cost including the maintenance cost associated with burning the fuel.

B. <u>Fuel Procurement Policies</u>:

The Company's fuel procurement policy is to obtain an adequate supply of fuel and reagent of sufficient quality at the most competitive overall evaluated cost on a unit bus bar basis consistent with the Company's obligations to provide adequate and reliable service to its customers, to meet operational and environmental standards, and to meet any other applicable legal requirements. The Company will use its best efforts to secure its fuel and reagent supply at competitive prices through solicitation for such.

Implementation of this policy is of highest priority to the Company. The Fuels Department shall be organized and staffed, and fuel procurement procedures and administration shall be conducted, in an efficient and practical manner consistent with this policy. Fuel, reagent, and related transportation shall be purchased at competitive prices considering all material factors. The factors include but are not limited to: quantity needed to maintain an adequate supply, quality necessary to ensure generating unit operating and maintenance characteristics and environmental standards, reliability of the supplier, creditworthiness, and forward planning to meet projected system requirements, and meeting emergency or other unusual circumstances that might affect operating conditions. From time to time, the Director of Fuels will review the Company's Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures and recommend updates as appropriate.

C. Organization:



Į

	Policy Number _	120	Page <u>3 of</u>
Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	Original Effective Date Original Approval Date	07/16/2009	Approved By:
	Date Last Revised	03/16/2012	Board

- 1. <u>Department Structure.</u> The Department shall be organized and staffed to effectively administer the Company's fuel procurement function.
- 2. <u>Organizational Responsibility</u>. The <u>Chief Operating Officer to whom the Director reports</u>, has <u>Deleted</u>: Vice President Production the responsibility for fuel procurement. The Director is responsible for the Department. Other <u>departments</u> may be called upon by the Department to the extent the Director or <u>Chief Operating</u> <u>Officer</u> considers advisable in the execution of the functions of the Department.

BOARD POLICY

- 3. <u>Approval Authority (Award Recommendation)</u>. An Award Recommendation will be prepared for all fuel purchases that exceed the term, tenor, or notional amount of authority of the Director of Fuels which is specified in the single transaction authority limits by the Company. The Award Recommendation will be drafted by the Director, reviewed by Fuels legal counsel, and executed by the <u>Chief Operating Officer</u> within the authority granted by the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy. Greater expenditures shall require the signature of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and within his trading authority as established by the Board of Directors. These levels of authority may be amended, supplemented, or superseded as dictated by the Company.
- 4. <u>Reports</u>. The Director will instruct the Department to prepare, maintain and distribute reports to management and others as deemed necessary for business operations and regulatory requirements.
- 5. Records. The Department shall maintain the following records:
 - <u>Open Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain the following on open status for at least one-year or longer as the contract term or other conditions warrant:
 For each current contract supplier, the files will contain:
 - a) Contract documents, amendments, purchase orders and escalation documentation;
 - b) General correspondence;
 - c) Invoices and invoice verification data;
 - d) Delivery records and quality analyses data;
 - e) Inspection reports and other data.
 - A record of transportation equipment owned or leased by the Company (as applicable).
 - 3. A list containing current suppliers and known potential Suppliers of fuel.
 - b. <u>Closed Contract Files.</u> The Department shall maintain its files according to the Company's record retention plan.
- 6. <u>General Administrative Duties</u>,

Big Rivers	BOARD I	
ELECTRIC CORPORATION	Policy Number <u>120</u>	Page <u>4 of 9</u>
Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	Original Effective Date 07/16/20 Original Approval Date 12/21/20 Date Last Revised 03/16/20	07 Board

The Department shall subscribe to and have membership in appropriate trade and industry publications and/or associations, to include reports of governmental or consulting agencies concerning fuel, reagent, and related transportation market information, to include fuel prices and/or projections. Department personnel shall use their best efforts to keep current with fuel market conditions, prices and availability, and other developments relating to fuel procurement.

D. <u>Fuel Supply Procedures</u>:

- 1. <u>Projections.</u> In conjunction with other departments of the Company, the Department shall prepare annually a projection of fuel usage and cost at each Station for the number of years required for use in the Company's planning process.
- 2. <u>Contract/Spot Mix.</u> Subject to the approval of the <u>Chief Operating Officer</u>, the Director shall determine whether a contract purchase is advisable, considering the following factors: (a) the availability of adequate supplies from qualified suppliers, (b) the advisability or need to have an adequate supply committed for an existing or planned unit (subject to inventory limits specified by the Company), (c) the desire to maintain practical flexibility as to market conditions and other factors affecting price and availability, (d) existing and anticipated environmental standards, (e) such other factors as may reasonably affect the implementation of the Company's Fuel Procurement Policy and (f) fuel impact on generation facilities' operation and maintenance.
 - 3. <u>Current Requirements</u>. The Department shall review and analyze the data available to the Department for purposes of conducting fuel and reagent purchases in a timely manner to meet the requirements of the Company.
 - 4. <u>Supplier Qualifications.</u> The Company shall select potential suppliers on the basis of evaluation, market intelligence, performance information (as available), industry research, and creditworthiness, as determined by the Director and his staff. No potential qualified supplier shall be preferred or discriminated against because of race, religion, color, sex, age or marital status of the supplier or any of its representatives.

A supplier evaluation (to include site visit and mine engineering and/or performance report) may be performed to determine if a supplier has the ability to deliver in the time frame requested the quantity and quality of coal or reagent bid at the offered price.

5. <u>Solicitations</u>. The Department shall maintain a current list of Suppliers and shall review that list from time to time to ensure that it remains current. Normally, the Company shall purchase its fuel and reagent through sealed bid solicitations; however, the Company reserves the right to utilize its market intelligence to seize opportunity purchases of fuels and reagent, request oral, written, or electronic offers, potentially followed by negotiations, when in its judgment market conditions provide an opportunity to obtain fuel or reagent more advantageously than through mailed bid solicitations and usual procedures. When the Company foregoes the solicitation process, documentation shall be appended to the resulting purchase order file describing the conditions.

A notice of a request for quotation ("RFQ") shall be provided to normal industry newsletters and information postings. The normal solicitation process shall require that potential suppliers be



İ

BOARD POLICY

ELECTRIC CORPORATION		Policy Number	120	Page <u>5 of 9</u>	
^{Subject:} Fuel Procurement Policles a	and Procedures	Original Effective Date Original Approval Date Date Last Revised	07/16/2009 12/21/2007 03/16/2012	Approved By: Board	

notified in writing as to the general quantities, terms and quality specifications required. An RFQ number will be assigned to for the quotation package. An RFQ will include: instructions to bidders (date and time due); scope of supply (quantity and quality); potential term; standard terms and conditions of typical agreements.

Offers from potential suppliers shall be returned by the requested date and time or they will be rejected. A bid log shall be kept for logging in receipt of bid offers. Attendees viewing the opening of the bid shall initial the bid document as opened and the log as at the completion of the opening. Offers shall be opened and logged in the presence of the <u>Chief Operating Officer and</u> Director of Fuel or their representative in their absence, and another selected representative outside of the Fuels Department.

All appropriate bid data shall be documented and electronically categorized for the process of evaluation of the various offerings of fuel and reagent. The documents shall be maintained in a secured area and shall be kept pursuant to normal record keeping practices.

6. <u>Contract Awards.</u> The Department shall review and analyze each Contract offer. The Director, or his/her representative, may engage in preliminary negotiations to determine which offers warrant further consideration. The Director and/or representative shall investigate the potential supplier and proposed source of supply; and, as to any offer for fuel, the Department shall verify the adequacy of the proposed source of supply as to quantity, quality, and timely deliverability.

The evaluation shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the response to the RFQ (items required by the RFQ for satisfactory operational, environmental, and economic criteria); diversity of supply; supplier credit assessment; transportation mode and cost; and diversity of suppliers to provide the lowest evaluated cost of electrical energy to the Unit bus bar over the long term.

From this initial evaluation, a select group of potential suppliers (a "short-list") of suppliers shall be developed for more in-depth evaluation. The Department may then engage in preliminary discussions to ensure that the offer warrants further evaluation and consideration. The objective of the negotiating discussions is to ensure that the Company achieves balanced terms and conditions and the lowest evaluated electrical energy delivered to the Unit bus bar and reliable supply consistent with other qualifiers related to supplier reliability, environmental restraints, transportation options, etc.

The recommended Supplier(s) shall be selected by the negotiating team based upon the evaluation criteria and the results of the negotiating discussions. The Department shall prepare a detailed Award Recommendation for approval. The Award Recommendation shall document the selection criteria and pertinent factors, and in circumstances where more than one company is selected, the recommendation shall describe the tonnage requirements and other responsibilities of each of the other recommended Suppliers.

All contracts for which the term, tenor or notional amount exceed the limits specified for the Director of Fuels must be approved and signed by individual(s) authorized per the Energy Related Transaction Authority Policy.



Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures

 Spot Purchases. Spot purchases may be made by the Company whenever considered advisable by the Director in furtherance of the Company's fuel and reagent needs, subject to the limit of authority as outlined by the Company.

BOARD POLICY

12/21/2007

03/16/2012

<u>120</u> 07/16/2009

Policy Number

Original Effective Date

Original Approval Date

Date Last Revised

Page 6 of 9

Approved By:

Board

- 8. <u>Documentation</u>. Contracts shall be signed by a duly appointed officer of the Supplier and an Officer of the Company. A purchase order may be issued for a spot purchase. A purchase order shall contain all terms of that purchase. Further, the Department shall maintain documentation of the final list (log) of bidders, a copy of the entire bid package; bidder's responses; and the bid evaluation summary used for decision support.
- 9. <u>Fuel Oil</u>. Fuel Supply Procedures principally address procurement of solid fuel. Fuel oil is procured on an "as-needed" basis due to the infrequency of use of this fuel and the nature of the oil markets. When the need for oil arises, the Fuels Department shall act to solicit vendors for offers. Orders are assigned on the basis of lowest delivered cost per mmBTU and ability to fill the order. Solicitation results shall be documented and purchase orders issued in the Fuels Department for those purchases initiated and completed by the Department.

E. Fuel Supply and Reagent Agreement Administration:

- 1. <u>Compliance</u>, The Department shall review and analyze daily business and operational reports to properly administer all fuel and transportation agreements.
- 2. <u>Coal weights.</u> Coal weights shall be obtained by either the Company or by Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal weight is obtained by scale or draft method, depending upon Company site or methodology employed by Supplier to ascertain weights. In either event, coal weights are obtained by industry-accepted standards, and in cases where scales are utilized, are duly tested and maintained in proper order for such purpose. In cases where draft weights are utilized, the Company employs processes to verify actions to obtain draft weights and that such measures are by industry-accepted standards. Coal quantity is obtained by Station personnel and reported through the fuels information system or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 3. <u>Coal sampling</u>. Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed by either the Company or the Supplier, upon agreement by Company. Coal sampling and analysis shall be performed according to procedures adopted by the Company's laboratory in accordance with A.S.T.M. standards for coal sampling, coal sample preparation, coal sample identification, handling of sample, and coal analysis. Coal quality is assessed and reported through the fuels information system by the Company's laboratory personnel or is provided by the Supplier pursuant to the contract agreement.
- 4. <u>Amendments.</u> A contract shall not be materially amended except after analysis by the Department and recommendation of the Director of Fuels or the <u>Chief Operating Officer</u>. No material contract or purchase order addendum shall be made except upon recommendation of the Director and subject to the approval limits of the Company.
- 5. <u>Contract Administration</u>. The Director and the Department shall remain informed as to the terms and conditions of each current contract, and maintain the necessary data to administer the



Subj

BOARD POLICY

B ELECTRIC CORPORATION	Policy Number	20 Page7 of 9	•
^{Ject:} Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	Original Approval Date 1	Approved By: 12/21/2007 Board 13/16/2012 Board	

contracts. Every supplier request for a change in terms, conditions, or prices must be written and supported by adequate data in conformity with the contract. Each such request shall be analyzed by the Department against the contract provisions, and reported with recommendations to the

Director. After review by the Director, the supplier request and Department's recommendations shall be approved as required by the Company. If any request is not approved in whole or in part, the Director shall advise the supplier, specifying the Company's objections with an adequate explanation. If the supplier's request is not approved, negotiation between the supplier and Company as dictated by contract terms shall be the primary method of resolving the issue.

- 6. <u>Force Majeure</u>, A supplier's claim for relief from compliance with fuel supply agreement terms due to force majeure conditions must be in writing with an adequate description of conditions warranting nonperformance. Each force majeure claim shall be reviewed by the Director and the company's legal counsel.
- 7. <u>Inspections</u>, The Director shall request inspections of mining and other facilities of a contract fuel and/or reagent supplier or other facilities as required or deemed necessary to manage the performance and contractual relationship (Contract Administration).

F. <u>Fuel and Reagent Supply Agreement Enforcement:</u>

- 1. <u>General Enforcement Policy</u>, Supplier obligations under Fuel or Reagent Supply Agreements shall be enforced by the Company in a reasonable, fair, and practical manner to achieve supplier compliance with the Company's overall procurement policy and the continuing supply of fuel to meet current and anticipated system requirements.
- 2. <u>Director Responsibility</u>. Whenever it is determined that a shipment does not meet Fuel Supply or Reagent Agreement terms, the Director, or his/her designee, shall inform the supplier and direct that subsequent shipments be in compliance. When necessary the <u>Chief Operating Officer and</u> the Director may determine, or receive advice, as to further action needed to assure fuel or reagent supply agreement compliance.
- 3. Legal Assistance. The Department shall have access to, and shall receive advice from, legal counsel as provided by the Company on any matter relating to fuel, reagent, and related transportation procurement, contracts and amendments thereto, administration, and enforcement. Should a dispute as to a supplier's performance fail to be satisfactorily resolved by the Director, the matter shall be referred to legal counsel. Legal counsel may consider further negotiation, arbitration (if provided by the contract), or litigation. No arbitration or litigation shall commence except on the advice of said counsel with approval by senior management.

G. Inventory Levels:

The Company has an obligation to ensure continuous low cost, reliable service to its members. Decisions affecting fuel inventory shall consider these obligations.

The Company shall maintain an adequate inventory while allowing for enough flexibility to permit inventory levels to be responsive to known and anticipated changes in conditions in an



ł

Subject:	Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures		Original Effective Date	
			、	Original Approval Date
				Date Last Revised

attempt to avoid risks and stoppages due to unforeseen conditions. Inventory shall be recommended based upon, but not limited to, supplier performance, environmental conditions, labor matters, logistical issues and concerns, and generation requirements and dispatch. The general level of inventory shall be monitored for such matters and recommendations to adjust inventory to meet anticipated conditions shall be made from time to time. Such inventory recommendations shall be made by the Director for approval by the Senior Management of the Company.

Coal inventories and reagent shall be monitored and reported regularly via the Company's fuel information system(s).

H. <u>Emergency Procurement</u>:

Any one or more of the procedures described herein may be waived by the <u>Chief Operating</u> <u>Officer</u>, when, in the informed judgment of the Director, and on his recommendation, fuel must be purchased without complying with one or more of such provisions due to extraordinary conditions including strikes, lockouts or other labor problems affecting fuel production, embargoes, mining or other problems affecting production or transportation, existing and/or forecasted extreme weather conditions, or any other conditions or circumstances that can be reasonably foreseen as impairing the continued supply of fuel and reagent to the Company from its existing suppliers. When such a purchase is made, documentation of circumstances will be appended to the purchase order and/or contract file.

I. <u>Transportation Services Contracts</u>:

Transportation services bids shall be requested and Contracts negotiated whenever appropriate. Consideration shall be given to plant requirements, supplier loading capabilities, relative location of supplier to Stations, transportation mix, unloading capabilities and capacities at Stations, logistic constraints, transportation provider economics, Station material handling economics, and any other factor which might affect the delivery of Fuel and reagent to the Company's Stations.

Unless otherwise dictated by Emergency situations, the Solicitation process will be utilized for transportation services. The selection of transportation provider will generally be based upon, but not necessarily limited to cost, reliability, insurance, past / current performance, container availability and suitability for purpose, material handling capacities and constraints, transportation mix, and any other mitigating factors in terms of logistics.

All transportation service agreements shall be in written contractual form duly executed by an authorized supplier of service and the Company.

J. <u>Ethics and Conduct</u>:

The Company recognizes the importance of following appropriate business ethics to guide the conduct of the Fuels Department in the performance of its duties and responsibilities. Fuels staff shall adhere to the ethical standards and policies of the Company including, but not limited to, the Business Ethics Policy and the Conflicts of Interest Policy for Big Rivers' Employees.

Deleted: 1

BOARD POLICY

07/16/2009

12/21/2007

03/16/2012

Page _

8 of 9

Approved By:

Board

120

Policy Number

Big Rivers	BOARD POLICY
	Policy Number <u>120</u> Page <u>9 of 9</u>
Subject: Fuel Procurement Policies and Procedures	Original Effective Date 07/16/2009 Approved By: Original Approval Date 12/21/2007 Board Oate Last Revised 03/16/2012 Board

. •

Also, each contractual document shall denote that the contract was prepared and executed in ethical dealing.

Fuels staff shall endeavor to serve the best interests of the Company, its Members, and stakeholders in the performance of their duties and responsibilities.

Number	Date	Notes	Approved by	
Original	12/21/2007	Approved to be effective at close of unwind	Board	
Rev. 1	03/16/2012	Update out of date language and staffing changes	Board	
<u>Rev. 2</u>	03/14/2013	Update due to title change	De	leted

.

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 16)		
2		а.	State whether Big Rivers is aware of any violations of its
3			policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement that
4			occurred prior to or during the period from May 1, 2013,
5			through October 31, 2013.
6		b .	If yes, for each violation:
7			(1) Describe the violation;
8			(2) Describe the action(s) that Big Rivers took upon
9			discovering the violation; and
10			(3) Identify the person(s) who committed the violation.
11			
12	Response)		
13		a.	Big Rivers is unaware of any violations of its policies and
14			procedures regarding fuel procurement that occurred during the
15			period May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013. Big Rivers is also
16			unaware of any previously unreported violations of its policies
17			and procedures regarding fuel procurement.
18		b.	Not applicable.
19			
20			
21	Witness)	Maı	k W. McAdams
22			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 16 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1 Item 17) Identify and explain the reasons for all changes in the 2 organizational structure and personnel of the departments or divisions 3 that are responsible for Big Rivers' fuel procurement activities that 4 occurred during the period from May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013. 5

6 **Response)** The Manager of Power Supply and Market Operations in Big Rivers' Energy Service group accepted a position with another utility and left Big Rivers 7 in September 2013. As a result, Wayne O'Bryan was hired in September 2013 as 8 Big Rivers' Director of Power Supply and Market Operations. Mr. O'Bryan 9 currently has administrative responsibilities for Big Rivers' natural gas 10 procurement. Mr. O'Bryan was previously the General Manager of Big Rivers' 11 12 Coleman Station; he reports to Ms. Lindsay Barron, Big Rivers' Vice President 13 Energy Services. An organizational chart reflecting this change is attached 14 hereto.

15 There have been no other changes in the organizational structure 16 and personnel of the departments responsible for Big Rivers' fuel procurement 17 activities during the period from May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.

- 18
- 19

20 Witnesses) Mark W. McAdams and

- 21 Wayne O'Bryan
- 22

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 17 Witnesses: Mark W. McAdams and Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 1 Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2013-00449 Natural Gas Fuel Procurement Organization September 2013



Case No. 2013-00449 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Attachment for Response to Staff Item 17 Page 1 of 1

.

.

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 18)		
2		<i>a</i> .	Identify all changes that Big Rivers has made during the
3			period under review to its maintenance and operation
4			practices that also affect fuel usage at Big Rivers'
5			generation facilities.
6		b .	Describe the impact of these changes on Big Rivers' fuel
7			usage.
8			
9	Response)		
10		a.	The Coleman Unit 1 outage that had been deferred from April
11			2013 until September 2013 was cancelled in anticipation of
12			idling Coleman Station when SSR requirements terminate.
13		b.	The Coleman Unit 1 deferred outage during the period under
14			review did not affect Big Rivers' fuel usage as the additional
15			twenty-eight (28) days of generation at Coleman was reported in
16			Big Rivers' response, dated August 29, 2013, to Item 18 of the
17			Commission Staff's Request for Information, dated August 8,
18			2013, in Case No. 2013-00266.
19			
20			
21	Witness)	Law	rence V. Baronowsky
22			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 18 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 19)	List each written coal supply solicitation issued during the
2	period from	n May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.
3		
4		a. For each solicitation, provide the date of the solicitation,
5		the type of solicitation (contract or spot), the quantities
6		solicited, a general description of the quality of coal
7		solicited, the time period over which deliveries were
8		requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal
9		was intended.
10		b. For each solicitation, state the number of vendors to
11		whom the solicitation was sent, the number of vendors
12		who responded, and the selected vendor. Provide the bid
13		tabulation sheet or corresponding document that ranked
14		the proposals. (This document should identify all vendors
15		who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection.
16		For each lowest-cost bid not selected, explain why the bid
17		was not selected.
18		
19	Response)	
20		BREC-13-02 (Spot and Term Solicitation)
21		a.
22		i. Date solicitation was issued: May 10, 2013.
23		Date solicitation was returned: May 31, 2013.
24		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 8

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	ii.	Type of soligitation: Spot 2013 and term (2014 2018) written		
	11.	Type of solicitation: Spot 2013 and term (2014 – 2018) written		
2		bid solicitation (web-based distribution).		
3	iii.	Quantities solicited: Spot up to 200,000 tons; Term – up to		
4		400,000 tons per year.		
5	iv.	Quality of coal solicited:		
6		(a) BTU/lb.: 10,500 to 13,500;		
7		(b) Ash (lbs/MMBTU): 0.74 to 14.29;		
8		(c) Moisture (lbs/MMBTU): 8.89 to 11.90;		
9		(d) Sulfur (lbs SO2): 5.50 to 6.50 (coal); and		
10		(e) Sulfur (lbs SO2): up to 8.50 (petroleum coke)		
11	v.	Time period over which deliveries were requested:		
12		(a) Spot solicitation: September 1 – December 31, 2013.		
13		(b) Term solicitation: Years 2014 through 2018.		
14	vi.	Generating units for which the coal was intended include:		
15		Coleman, Green, HMP&L Station Two, Reid, and Wilson.		
16	b.			
17	i.	Number of vendors solicited: The coal solicitation was posted		
18		to Big Rivers' web site for supplier review, print-out, and		
19		written bid proposal submission. Big Rivers also notified coal		
20		and petroleum coke journals for industry notification of the		
21		placement of the solicitation on the web site and referral to the		
22		web address for review and download. For bid BREC-13-02		
23		there were 85 unique page views of the bid, including 32 new		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 2 of 8

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1		visitors to the web page, and 53 returning visitors to the web		
2		page.		
3	ii.	Responding vendors: Fifteen (15) vendors replied to the spot		
4		and term bid solicitation (excluding no bid responses).		
5	iii.	Selected vendors:		
6		(a) Spot fuel supply: ExxonMobil, Foresight Coal (option		
7		exercised), TCP Pet Coke, Oxbow Carbon & Minerals,		
8		Peabody CoalSales (option exercised), and American Coal		
9		Sales / KenAmerican Resources.		
10		(b) Term fuel supply: No term contract awards were made		
11		pursuant to this bid.		
12	iv.	The bid tabulation spreadsheet is being provided under a		
13		Petition for Confidential Treatment.		
14	v.	The rationale for each selection is as follows:		
15		(a) Selected spot coal vendors:		
16		<u>ExxonMobil</u> - provided opportunity for additional tons of		
17		spot pet coke from the Joliet Refinery for the remainder of		
18		the second half of 2013 for use at Green and Wilson		
19		Stations. The offer was the most competitive offer when		
20		compared to other pet coke and coal offers and has been		
21		blended successfully at Green and Wilson Stations. The		
22		offer was accepted and an amendment was completed to		
23		the first half 2013 spot contract already executed.		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 3 of 8

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	<u>Foresight Coal Sales</u> – an existing option within the prior
2	contract was lower priced than the Company's bid offer.
3	The option was selected and Company notified by contract
4	terms.
5	<u>TCP_Pet_Coke</u> - provided an additional pet coke
6	opportunity from the CITGO refinery for the remainder of
7	2013. The pet coke has been successfully blended at
8	Wilson and Green Stations with regional coal. The TCP
9	pet coke offer was competitive, was accepted, and a spot
10	contract executed.
11	Oxbow Carbon & Minerals - presented a competitive offer
12	of petroleum coke. The pet coke was tested successfully
13	and the pricing attractive of the spot bids provided. This
14	offer was accepted and an amendment was completed to
15	their spot petroleum coke contract.
16	<u>Peabody CoalSales</u> - an existing option within the prior
17	contract was lower priced than the Company's bid offer.
18	The option was selected and Company notified by contract
19	terms.
20	American Coal Sales / KenAmerican Resources - provided
21	an offer of spot coal for delivery to Wilson Station via
22	truck. Wilson has successfully utilized KenAmerican
23	Resources coal in the past. The offer was accepted for
24	delivery of the spot coal.

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 4 of 8

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1		Selected term coal vendo	rs:		
2		No term contract awards	s were made pursuant to this bid		
3		(Bid 13-02).			
4					
5	BREC	C-13-04 (Spot and Term Solicitation)			
6	a.				
7	i.	Date solicitation was issued: October 29, 2013.			
8		e solicitation was returne	d: November 15, 2013.		
9	ii.	e of solicitation: Spot 201	4 and term (2015 – 2018) written		
10		solicitation (web-based di	stribution).		
11	iii.	ntities solicited: Spot up	to 45,000 tons per month in 2014;		
12		n – up to 500,000 tons pe	r year.		
13	iv.	lity of coal solicited:			
14		BTU/lb.: 10,500 to 13,50	00;		
15		Ash (lbs/MMBTU): 0.74	to 14.29;		
16		Moisture (lbs/MMBTU):	8.89 to 11.90;		
17		Sulfur (lbs SO2): 5.50 to	6.50 (coal); and		
18		Sulfur (lbs SO2): up to 8	.50 (petroleum coke).		
19	v.	e period over which delive	eries were requested:		
20		Spot solicitation: Month-	to-month 2014.		
21		Term solicitation: Years	2015 through 2018.		
22	vi.	erating units for which th	e coal was intended include:		
23		Coleman, Green, HMP&L Station Two, Reid, and Wilson.			
24					

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 5 of 8
AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	b.									
2	i.	Number of vendors solicited: The coal solicitation was posted								
3		to Big Rivers' web site for supplier review, print-out, and								
4		written bid proposal submission. Big Rivers also notified coal								
5		and petroleum coke journals for industry notification of the								
6		placement of the solicitation on the web site and referral to the								
7		web address for review and download. For bid BREC-13-04								
8		there were 31 unique page views of the bid.								
9	ii.	Responding vendors: Fifteen (15) vendors replied to the spot								
10		and term bid solicitation (excluding no bid responses).								
11	iii.	Selected vendors:								
12		(a) Spot fuel supply: ExxonMobil, Winn Energy, LLC,								
13		Alliance Coal (Warrior Coal), American Coal Sales /								
14		KenAmerican Resources, Alliance Coal (Hopkins County).								
15		There are continuing negotiations surrounding additional								
16		spot coal and petroleum coke supply from several								
17		suppliers pursuant to bid BRE 13-04.								
18		(b) Term fuel supply: No term contract awards were made								
19		pursuant to this bid; however, some term coal offers								
20		remain under discussion at this time.								
21	iv.	The bid tabulation spreadsheet is being provided under a								
22		Petition for Confidential Treatment.								
23	v.	The rationale for each selection is as follows:								
24										

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 6 of 8

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

Selected spot coal vendors:
<u>ExxonMobil</u> - provided opportunity for spot pet coke from
the Joliet Refinery for the remainder of the first half of
2014 for use at Green Station. The offer was one of the
more competitive offers when compared to other pet coke
and coal offers and has been blended successfully at
Green Stations. The offer was accepted and a spot
contract was completed to the first half (January through
June) 2014.
<u>Winn Energy, LLC</u> – presented a small spot offer of coal
available for the first half of 2014, given the small
remaining quantity of coal in the reserve. Big Rivers'
tested the coal during prior bid period and found the coal
to be within delivery specifications (given pet coke
blending) at Green Station via truck delivery.
<u>Alliance (Warrior Coal)</u> – provided a competitive offer for
Green Station for coal supply. The extended operation of
Wilson Station through March 2014 created a spot
opening for Green and this was a low cost coal supply to
provide to the Station via truck.
<u>American Coal Sales / KenAmerican Resources</u> - provided
a spot coal opportunity for Wilson Station, given the two
month window in which the operations were extended
(February and March). The coal meets the Wilson

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 7 of 8

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1			specifications and could be quickly trucked into the
2			Wilson Station in order to continue operations.
3			<u>Alliance (Hopkins County)</u> - presented a competitive offer
4			of coal supply that would meet operational requirements
5			for the Reid Station. The spot coal supply is designed
6			upon an as-need basis from February through December
7			2014 and will assist when Reid is operational and in
8			inventory management for the Station.
9		(b)	Selected term coal vendors:
10			At this point in time, there are no term contract awards
1			made pursuant to Bid BREC-13-04; however, discussions
12			regarding term purchases continue with selected bidders.
13			
[4			
15	Witness)	Mark W. N	AcAdams
16			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 19 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 8 of 8 In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2013

Case No. 2013-00449

)

)

)

)

)

CONFIDENTIAL RESPONSE to Item 19.b.iv of the Commission Staff's Data Request dated February 7, 2014 FILED: February 28, 2014

INFORMATION SUBMITTED UNDER PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT **`**

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 20)	List each oral coal supply solicitation issued during the
2	period fro	m May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.
3		
4		a. For each solicitation, state why the solicitation was not
5		written, the date(s) of the solicitation, the quantities
6		solicited, a general description of the quality of coal
7		solicited, the time period over which deliveries were
8		requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal
9		was intended.
10		b. For each solicitation, identify all vendors solicited and
11		the vendor selected. Provide the tabulation sheet or other
12		document that ranks the proposals. (This document
13		should identify all vendors who made offers.) State the
14		reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not
15		selected, explain why the bid was not selected.
16		
17	Response)	
18		a. and b.
19		Big Rivers issued no oral coal supply solicitations during the
20		period from May 1, 2013, through October 31, 2013.
21		
22		
23	Witness)	Mark W. McAdams
24		

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 20 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 21)		
2		a.	List all intersystem sales during the period under review
3			in which Big Rivers used a third party's transmission
4			system.
5		b .	For each sale listed above:
6			(1) Describe how Big Rivers addressed, for FAC reporting
7			purposes, the cost of fuel expended to cover any line
8			losses incurred to transmit its power across the third
9			party's transmission system; and
10			(2) State the line loss factor used for each transaction
11			and describe how that line loss factor was
12			determined.
13			
14	Response)		
15		a.	Big Rivers had no intersystem sales during the period under
16		•	review in which Big Rivers used a third party's transmission
17			system.
18		b.	
19			(1) Not Applicable.
20			(2) Not Applicable.
21			
22			
23			
24			

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 21 Witnesses: Wayne O'Bryan [a. and b.(2)] and Nicholas R. Castlen [b.(1)] Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

2 Witnesses)

1

3 a. Wayne O'Bryan

- 4 b.(1) Nicholas R. Castlen
- 5 b.(2) Wayne O'Bryan

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 21 Witnesses: Wayne O'Bryan [a. and b.(2)] and Nicholas R. Castlen [b.(1)] Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

- 1 Item 22) Describe each change that Big Rivers made to its methodology
- 2 for calculating intersystem sales line losses during the period under
- 3 review.
- 4
- 5 Response) Big Rivers has made no changes during the period May 1, 2013,
 6 through October 31, 2013, to its methodology for calculating intersystem sales line
 7 losses.
- 8
- 9
- 10 Witness) Wayne O'Bryan
- 11

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 22 Witness: Wayne O'Bryan Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1	Item 23) State whether, during the period under review, Big Rivers has						
2	solicited bids for coal with the restriction that it was not mined through						
3	strip mining or mountain top removal. If yes, explain the reasons for the						
4	restriction on the solicitation, the quantity in tons and price per ton of the						
5	coal purchased as a result of this solicitation, and the difference between						
6	the price of this coal and the price it could have obtained for the coal if						
7	the solicitation had not been restricted.						
8							
9	Response) Big Rivers has not solicited any bids for coal with the restrictions						
10	that it was not mined through strip mining or mountain top removal.						
11							
12							
13	Witness) Mark W. McAdams						
14							

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 23 Witness: Mark W. McAdams Page 1 of 1 -

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

Item 24) Provide a detailed discussion of any specific generation
 efficiency improvements Big Rivers has undertaken during the period
 under review.

4

5 **Response)** During the period under review, Big Rivers completed an overhaul of 6 the HMP&L Station Two Unit 2 turbine in an attempt to restore some of its 7 original design efficiency. As is the normal course of business, Big Rivers retained 8 Black & Veatch to assess the turbine cycle efficiency improvement realized by the 9 overhaul. The following is an excerpt from the Black & Veatch turbine overhaul 10 assessment:

11

12 "Actual Net Turbine Heat Rate improved by about 200 Btu/kWh 13 according to normal operating data plotted in Figure 4 and as much 14 as 380 Btu/kWh according to the values wide open tests discussed in 15 Corrected Net Turbine Heat Rate improved by about 200 §2.1. 16 Btu/kWh according to the normal operating data in Figure 5 and as 17 much as 430 Btu/kWh according to the valves wide open tests. The 18 Turbine Heat Rate decrease appears to be driven mostly by the 19 improvements in turbine efficiency described below. Using estimates 20 for fuel cost, this improvement is worth about \$280,000 to \$600,000 annually in fuel savings." 21

22

23 The overall net heat rate of the generating fleet during the period was relatively 24 static. The fleet net heat rate for May 2013 was 10,714 Btu/kWh and the fleet net 25 heat rate for October 2013 was 10,722 Btu-kWh. The average net heat rate for big

> Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 24 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 1 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1 Rivers fleet during the six-month review period was 10,715 Btu/kWh. The net

2 heat rate of Big Rivers' fleet for the period under review is plotted on the graph3 below.



Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 24 Witness: Lawrence V. Baronowsky Page 2 of 2

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1 Item 25) whether any Midcontinent Independent State System 2 Operator, Inc. costs were included in Big Rivers' monthly FAC filings 3 during the period under review. If yes, provide the amount of the costs by 4 month and by type of cost. 5 6 **Response)** The only Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") 7 costs included in Big Rivers' monthly FAC filings during the period under review 8 were energy costs associated with power purchased from MISO. MISO charge 9 types, for power purchases currently included in Big Rivers' monthly FAC filings, include "Day Ahead Asset Energy", "Real Time Asset Energy", "Real Time 10 Excessive Energy", and "Real Time Non-Excessive Energy". 11 12 The costs of power purchased from MISO for Domtar back-up energy, forced outages, and inter-system sales are subtracted from the total cost of 13 14 purchased power included on page 2 (Fuel Cost Schedule) of Big Rivers' monthly 15 Form A Filings in the calculation of recoverable expenses for FAC purposes. Please see the attachment to this response for a summary of the 16 17 MISO purchased power costs included in Big Rivers' monthly FAC filings during the period under review. 18 19 20 21 Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen 22

> Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 25 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation MISO Purchased Power Costs Included in Monthly FAC Filings May 2013 through October 2013

	 nscheduled Outages	A	vailable for		Scheduled		С	over Load & Lack of]	Derates &	Ι	nter-System	τ	Unscheduled Outages		
	< 6 Hours		System		Outages	Domtar ¹		Generation	C	urtailments		Sales ²	:	> 6 Hours ³		Total
May-13	\$ _	\$	3,040,225	\$	-	\$ 140,801	\$	403	\$	_	\$	1,297,744	\$	-	\$	4,479,173
Jun-13	\$ 29,744	\$	2,261,727	\$	7,744	\$ 181,153	\$	21,103	\$	-	\$	1,237,289	\$	54,627	\$	3,793,387
Jul-13	\$ -	\$	1,972,091	\$	-	\$ 199,788	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,833,886	\$	-	S	4,005,765
Aug-13	\$ -	\$	1,153,089	\$	-	\$ 519,063	\$	-	\$	81,603	\$	1,881,874	\$	267,917	\$	3,903,546
Sep-13	\$ -	\$	566,670	\$	-	\$ 969,227	\$	-	\$	-	\$	833,400	\$	328,166	S	2,697,463
Oct-13	\$ -	\$	780,693	\$	-	\$ 820,825	\$	93,105	\$	24,334	\$	891,535	\$	593,075	\$	3,203,567
Total	\$ 29,744	\$	9,774,495	S	7,744	\$ 2,830,857	\$	114,611	\$	105,937	\$	7,975,728	\$	1,243,785	\$	22,082,901

Notes:

The "MISO costs" shown in the table above represent the cost of power purchased from MISO.

¹ The cost of power purchases for Domtar are removed from the calculation of recoverable fuel costs through the "Less Purchases for Domtar back up" amount on page 2, "Fuel Cost Schedule", of the monthly Form A Filings.

² Purchases for Inter-System Sales are removed from the calculation of recoverable fuel costs through the "Inter-System Sales" amount on page 2, "Fuel Cost Schedule", of the monthly Form A Filings.

³ Purchases for Unscheduled Outages > 6 hours are removed from the calculation of recoverable fuel costs through the "Identifiable fuel cost (substitute for Forced Outages" amount on page 2, "Fuel Cost Schedule", of the Form A Filings.

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 25 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1 · · •

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

Item 26) State the date that the Coleman Station went into System
 Support Resource status and explain the effects of this status on Big
 Rivers' FAC.

4

5 **Response)** On December 30, 2013 the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") accepted the System Support Resource ("SSR") Agreement and Rate 6 7 Schedule 43F, between Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") and the 8 Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO"), and suspended them 9 for a nominal period, to be effective September 1, 2013, subject to refund and 10 further Commission order.¹ Prior to the effective date of the SSR agreement, Big Rivers voluntarily continued operating the Coleman station from August 20, 2013, 11 12 (the date that the previous service agreement for the Century Hawesville Smelter 13 terminated) through August 31, 2013, pursuant to the electric service 14 arrangements ("Century Transactions") between and among Kenergy, Big Rivers. 15 and Century Aluminum Company, as approved by the Commission in its Order, 16 dated August 14, 2013, in Case No. 2013-00221. Beginning August 20, 2013, and 17 through the duration of the Coleman SSR Agreement, all costs, generation, sales, and purchases related to the Coleman station are excluded from Big Rivers' 18 19 monthly FAC filings.

20

21 Witness) Nicholas R. Castlen

22

¹ FERC Docket Nos. ER14-292-000 and ER14-294-000.

Case No. 2013-00449 Response to Staff Item 26 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

AN EXAMINATION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FROM MAY 1, 2013 THGOURH OCTOBER 31, 2013 CASE NO. 2013-00449

Response to Commission Staff's Request for Information dated February 7, 2014

February 28, 2014

1 Item 27) Refer to the Form B filed monthly by Big Rivers in support of

2 its FAC filings. Explain the reason for the fluctuations in the amount

3 calculated for the "Reid Combustion Turbine - Highest Cost Available

4 Unit" during the period under review.

5

6 **Response)** For reliability issues, the Midcontinent Independent System 7 Operator, Inc. ("MISO") requires Big Rivers to keep the Reid Combustion Turbine 8 ("CT") Unit in available reserve status and determines when the unit is needed. During the period under review, the Reid CT Unit was in service for a total of 54.3 9 10 hours (approximately 9 hours per month on average). Generally, because of the fuel (and related costs) required to start the unit, the fuel cost per MWH will 11 12 decrease as the period of time MISO requires the unit to run increases (i.e. higher 13 volumes to spread the start-up costs over). Additionally, fluctuations in natural 14 gas prices impact the cost per MWH associated with the Reid CT Unit. As a result, 15 the cost per MWH could still be higher during a month with higher generation 16 volumes if natural gas prices were higher, and vice-versa. For additional information, please see the attached table.

17

- 18
- 19

20 Nicholas R. Castlen Witness)

21

Case No. 2013-00449 **Response to Staff Item 27** Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Case No. 2013-00449 Summary of Reid CT Generation and Fuel Costs May 2013 to October 2013

	(B)	(A)	[(A) ÷ (B)] x 1,000	
	Net Generation		CT Actual Cost	
Mo/Yr	(kWh)	 Fuel Cost	\$/MWH	Hours in Service
May-13	100,940	\$ 18,434.13	\$ 182.62	9.9
Jun-13	214,440	\$ 23,335.80	\$ 108.82	9.2
Jul-13	138,340	\$ 22,166.70	\$ 160.23	12.9
Aug-13	117,640	\$ 26,298.24	\$ 223.55	11.0
Sep-13	47,150	\$ 11,597.36	\$ 245.97	7.3
Oct-13	38,380	\$ 7,312.93	\$ 190.54	4.0

Case No. 2013-00449 Attachment for Response to Staff Item 27 Witness: Nicholas R. Castlen Page 1 of 1