

P.O. Box 14241 Lexington, KY 40512-4241

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Information dated September 28, 2014.

Broke E. Leslie (gmc)

October 3, 2014

RECEIVED

RE: Case No. 2012-00428

OCT 03 2014

Dear Mr. Derouen:

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Please find enclosed an original and fourteen (14) copies of the Responses of Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc., to the Commission Staff's Second Request for

If you have any questions, please call me at (859) 288-0242 or email jmcoop@nisource.com. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Brooke E. Leslie, Counsel

200 Civic Center Drive

P.O. Box 117

Columbus, Ohio 43216-0117

Telephone: (614) 460-4648

Richard S. Taylor 225 Capital Avenue Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Attorneys for COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC.

Enclosures

Allen Anderson President & CEO South Kentucky R.E.C.C. 925-929 N Main Street P. O. Box 910 Somerset, KY 42502-0910 Mark David Goss Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B325 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40504 Honorable Michael L Kurtz Attorney at Law Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

John B Brown Chief Financial Officer Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc. 3617 Lexington Road Winchester, KY 40391 Ted Hampton Manager Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. Highway 25E P. O. Box 440 Gray, KY 40734 Mark Martin VP Rates & Regulatory Affairs Atmos Energy Corporation 3275 Highland Pointe Drive Owensboro, KY 42303

Judy Cooper Manager, Regulatory Services Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. 2001 Mercer Road P. O. Box 14241 Lexington, KY 40512-4241 Jennifer Black Hans Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204 Debbie J Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc. 620 Old Finchville Road Shelbyville, KY 40065

Rocco D'Ascenzo Senior Counsel Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. 139 East Fourth Street P. O. Box 960 Cincinnati, OH 45201 Joni K Hazelrigg CEO Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, Inc. 1449 Elizaville Road P. O. Box 328 Flemingsburg, KY 41041 Burns E Mercer President & CEO Meade County R.E.C.C. P. O. Box 489 Brandenburg, KY 40108-0489

Gregory T Dutton
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate
1024 Capital Center Drive
Suite 200
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

Roger Hickman Regulatory Affairs Manager Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street P. O. Box 24 Henderson, KY 42420 Michael L Miller President & CEO Nolin R.E.C.C. 411 Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701-6767

Paul G Embs President & CEO Clark Energy Cooperative, Inc. 2640 Ironworks Road P. O. Box 748 Winchester, KY 40392-0748 Larry Hicks
President & CEO
Salt River Electric Cooperative Corp.
111 West Brashear Avenue
P. O. Box 609
Bardstown, KY 40004

Barry L Myers Manager Taylor County R.E.C.C. 625 West Main Street P. O. Box 100 Campbellsville, KY 42719

David Estepp President & General Manager Big Sandy R.E.C.C. 504 11th Street Paintsville, KY 41240-1422 Kerry K Howard CEO Licking Valley R.E.C.C. P. O. Box 605 271 Main Street West Liberty, KY 41472 G. Kelly Nuckols President & CEO Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation 2900 Irvin Cobb Drive P. O. Box 4030 Paducah, KY 42002-4030

Carol Ann Fraley President & CEO Grayson R.E.C.C. 109 Bagby Park Grayson, KY 41143 James L Jacobus
President & CEO
Inter-County Energy Cooperative Corporation
1009 Hustonville Road
P. O. Box 87
Danville, KY 40423-0087

Bill T Prather President & CEO Farmers R.E.C.C. 504 South Broadway P. O. Box 1298 Glasgow, KY 42141-1298 David S Samford Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B325 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40504 Patrick C Woods Director, Regulatory & Compliance East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. P. O. Box 707 Winchester, KY 40392-0707

Honorable Iris G Skidmore 415 W. Main Street Suite 2 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601 Carol Wright
President & CEO
Jackson Energy Cooperative Corporation
115 Jackson Energy Lane
McKee, KY 40447

Donald Smothers Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. 1201 Lexington Road P. O. Box 990 Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990

Mark Stallons President & CEO Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc. 8205 Highway 127 North P. O. Box 400 Owenton, KY 40359

Gregory Starheim President & CEO Kenergy Corp. 6402 Old Carydon Road P. O. Box 18 Henderson, KY 42419

Ed Staton VP - State Regulation and Rates Kentucky Utilities Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40202

Ed Staton VP - State Regulation and Rates Louisville Gas and Electric Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40202

Ranie Wohnhas Managing Director, Reg & Finance Kentucky Power Company 101 A Enterprise Drive P. O. Box 5190 Frankfort, KY 40602 I hereby certify that each response to Staff's Second Request for Information is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Judy M. Cooper

Director, Regulatory Affiars Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 06

Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

6. In the Report, the Joint Utilities state that no opt-outs should be permitted

from AMR deployments. Explain why the Joint Utilities believe that there should

be no opt-outs for AMR meters (that only provide for one-way communication).

Response:

The characteristics of the devices installed for an AMR system are distinctly dif-

ferent than for an AMI or smart meter system. Please see Columbia's Response to

Staff's First Request for Information Dated February 27, 2013, No. 113. A simple

AMR device affords the capability for meter readings to be collected with a

hand-held or mobile mounted collection unit that picks up the index reading of

the meter. It is a one-way transmission that includes no unencrypted data. The

only data included in the message is AMR ID number, AMR type, the index

reading, and tamper information No customer information or meter data is

transmitted. Because there is no collection or transfer of this information, cus-

tomer privacy concerns and protection of sensitive data are simply not issues.

The benefits derived from installation of AMR devices, such as that authorized by the Commission for Columbia in Case No. 2013-00167, Order dated December 29, 2013, are increased meter reading accuracy, customer convenience, security for customers and employees, and meter reading cost savings. Columbia developed a customer education program to inform customers about the AMR installations and how and when they would occur. Columbia has worked with individual customers to address concerns by providing additional information. Most of these concerns have been about potential health impacts. Columbia purchased an electromagnetic field detector and is able to show a customer that the AMR has no impact on the customer's environment or exposure to radio signals. The concern about adverse health impacts from an AMR device is misplaced.

Columbia's Commission approved and nearly completed AMR installation program does not provide for opt-outs and no opt-outs should be permitted. To allow an individual opt-out would negatively impact the cost savings that are being provided across the board to all customers. Those savings, which are already recognized in Columbia's rates, would potentially be completely erased and additional cost created by the need to develop a system to track individual customer preferences, the labor and inventory costs to address the churn and turn of meter changes, meter reading routes, and customer preferences, and customer education about opt-outs.

In Summary, opt-outs should not be permitted for AMR deployments because the AMR is a one-function and one-way communication device to obtain a meter reading. It is not capable of any other function and does not contain any customer information or impact the customer's environment or exposure to ambient electromagnetic fields.

Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 07

Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

7. The Report includes the following statements: "This section does not ad-

dress opt-outs from AMR metering. The Joint Utilities believe no opt-outs should

be permitted from AMR deployments, and a number of utilities have already

deployed AMR system-wide" and "...[t]he Joint Utilities oppose any across-the-

board, one-size-fits-all opt-out requirement for smart-meter deployments, but

support each utility's ability to propose opt-outs appropriate for their customers

and systems." Do you agree that opt-outs should not be permitted for AMR me-

ters (that only provide for one-way communication)? If not, explain why.

Response: Yes

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 08 Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

8. Do you believe that opt-outs should be allowed for AMI or smart meters? Has your response changed from your original position which may have been set forth in your testimony or in response to earlier data requests? If so, explain.

Response:

Columbia's response has not changed from that provided in its Response to the Staff's First Request for Information Dated February 27, 2013, No. 116, that is, Columbia Gas of Kentucky is not currently installing smart meters and has no plans to install smart meters in the future.

Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 09

Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

9. If opt-outs are granted, should the customer electing to opt out be

required to bear the cost of the opt-out? Explain your response.

Response:

Please refer to Columbia's response to Staff's Second Request For Information

No. 6. The cost of providing an opt-out would be significant and all customers

that would have the right to any opt-out should share in the cost of providing the

capability to opt-out. This could be opportunity cost in the form of lost cost

savings and/or and actual cost. In addition, and consistent with the ratemaking

theory of assigning cost to the cost causer, a customer that actually elects to opt-

out should be responsible for the additional incremental costs associated with the

cost of the trip, changing the meter, manual meter reading and associated

expenses.

Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 10

Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

10. Describe and estimate the costs that would be incurred to provide cus-

tomer opt-out.

Response:

Please see Columbia's responses to Staff's Second Set Request For Information

Questions 6 and 9. The current average cost of changing a meter is \$67.33. This

amount is for the cost of the trip. It does not include any costs associated with

developing systems to track customer preferences, handle the manual read ex-

ceptions, the labor and inventory costs to address the churn and turn of meter

changes, meter reading routes, customer education and other support programs,

which costs have not been ascertained.

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 11 Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

11. Are there any circumstances under which utilities should have the right to refuse to honor a customer's request to opt-out of AMI meters? Explain your response.

Response:

Please refer to Columbia's response to Staff's Second Request For Information No. 8.

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 12 Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

12. Refer to page 21 of the Report, paragraph 10. Describe how smart meters identify their malfunctioning early.

Response:

Columbia does not have this information about smart meters.

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 13 Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

13. Refer to page 24 of the Report which gives the example of a customer's finding that daily meter reading is a privacy problem. State whether daily meter reading is the default or the normal occurrence.

Response:

Columbia reads its meters monthly or bi-monthly.

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 14 Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

14. Refer to page 26, paragraph 5. Confirm whether smart meters measure demand for residential customers.

Response:

Columbia does not know this information about smart meters.

Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 15

Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

15. Refer to CAC's comments on page 28 of the Report regarding the

instantaneous remote disconnects. Do you believe that the ability to

instantaneously and remotely disconnect a customer for non-payment is an

advantage only to the utility, or does it also benefit other customers? Explain

your response.

Response:

Columbia installs only AMR devices, and AMR devices do not provide the

ability to remotely disconnect service. A two-way communication device would

be required to remotely disconnect service. Were this means of disconnection

feasible, utilities would still need to follow the Commission's rules regarding

disconnection of service, including provision of the required 10-day notice to

customers prior to termination. Any advantage that might occur to a utility from

the ability to remotely disconnect service would inure to the benefit of all

customers in the form of cost savings from an avoided trip to the customer

premise.

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 16 Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

16. If the Commission does not require the adoption of the EISA 2007 Smart Grid Investment Standard or a derivative thereof, do you anticipate submitting an application for a CPCN for any smart grid or smart meter deployment? Explain your answer.

Response:

No.

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 17 Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

17. Are there any smart-grid deployments for which the Commission should require the submission of a request for a CPCN?

Response:

Because Columbia does not currently plan to deploy smart meters it takes not position with respect to this question.

Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 18

Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

18. Refer to Appendix B of the Report. For each utility that currently does not

offer residential dynamic pricing tariffs, or for those whose only dynamic tariff

offerings are Electric Thermal Storage marketing rates, state whether such tariffs

are being considered for future implementation subject to Commission approval.

If so, state what type(s) of dynamic pricing tariffs are being considered. If not,

state what factors caused the utility to decide against proposing to implement

such tariffs or cause it to be otherwise unable to implement such tariffs.

Response:

Not applicable to gas utilities such as Columbia.

KY PSC Case No. 2012-00428 Commission Staff Data Request Set 2 No. 19

Respondent: Judy Cooper

COLUMBIA GAS OF KENTUCKY, INC. RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2014

19. In the Distribution Smart-Grid Components chapter of the Report, Owen

Electric Cooperative mentions the Green Button initiative. In its direct testimony,

Kentucky Power Company ("Kentucky Power") notes its commitment to the

Green Button initiative. Indicate whether you participate in the Green Button ini-

tiative. If you participate in similar but different information efforts, identify

those efforts.

Response:

Columbia does not participate in the Green Button initiative or any information

efforts similar to the Green Button initiative.