SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND FIVE ADDITIONAL COPIES, UNLESS FILING ELECTRONICALLY
APPLICATION FOR RATE ADJUSTMENT BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For Small Utilities Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076
(Alternative Rate Filing)
Graves County Water District (Name of Ulifity)
P. O. Box 329
(Busingss Mailing Address - Number ond Street or PO. Box)

Mayfield. KY 42066
(Bushess Malling Addicss - Cily State ond Zip)
270-247-4661 (Telephone Number)

## BASICINFORMATION

NAME, TITLE, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER and E-MAIL ADDRESS of the person to whom correspondence or communications concerning this application should be directed:

| (Name) |
| :---: |
| P.O. Box 329 |
| (Address- Numberand Stret orpo Box) |
| Mayfield. KY 42066 |
|  |
| 270-247-4661 |
| (Teiphtone Number) |
| N/A |

(For each statement below, the Applicant should check either "YES" or "NO".)

1. an In its immediate past calendar year of operation, Applicant had $\$ 5,000,000$ or less in gross annual revenue.
b. Applicant operates two or more divisions that provide different types of utility service. In its immediate past calendar year of operation, Applicant had $\$ 5,000,000$ or less in gross annual revenue from the division for which a rate adjustment is sought.
2. a. Applicant has filed an annual report with the Public Service Commission for the past year
b. Applicant has filed an annual report with the Public Service Commission for the two previous years.
3. Applicant's records are kept separate from other commonly-owned enterprises.
4. a Applicant is a corporation. A certified copy of its articles of incorporation and all amendments are attached to this application or were filed with the Public Service Commission in Case No. $\qquad$
b. Applicant is a limited liability company. A certified copy of its articles of organization and all amendments are attached to this application or were filed with the Public Service Commission in Case No. $\qquad$ -
c. Applicant is a limited partnership. A certified copy of its limited partnership agreement and all amendments thereto are attached to this application or were filed with the Public Service Commission in Case No. $\qquad$ .
d. Applicant is a sole proprietorship or partnership.
e. Applicant is a water district organized pursuant to KRS Chapter 74.
f. Applicant is a water association organized pursuant to KRS Chapter 273.
5. a. A paper copy of this application has been mailed to Office of Rate Intervention, Office of Attorney General, 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-8204.
b. An electronic copy of this application has been electronically mailed to Office of Rate Intervention, Office of Attorney General at rateintervention@ag.ky.gov.
6. a. Applicant has 20 or fewer customers or is a sewer utility and has mailed written notice of the proposed rate adjustment to each of its customers no later than the date this application was filed with the Public Service Commission. A copy of this notice is attached to this application. (Attach a copy of customer notice.)
b. Applicant has more than 20 customers, is not a sewer utility, and has included written notice of the proposed rate adjustment with customer bills that were mailed by the date on which the application was filed. A copy of this notice is attached to this application. (Attach a copy of customer notice.)
c. Applicant has more than 20 customers, is not a sewer utility, and has made arrangements to publish notice once a week for three (3) consecutive weeks in a prominent manner in a newspaper of general circulation in its service area, the first publication having been made by the date on which this Application was filed. A copy of this notice is attached to this application. (Attach a copy of customer notice.)
7. Applicant requires a rate adjustment for the reasons set forth in the attachment entitled "Reasons for Application." (Attach completed "Reasons for Application" Attachment.)
8. Applicant proposes to charge the rates that are set forth in the attachment entitled "Current and Proposed Rates." (Attach completed "Current and Proposed Rates" Attachment.)
9. Applicant proposes to use its annual report for the immediate past year as the test period to determine the reasonableness of its proposed rates. This annual report is for the 12 months ending December 31, 2010 .
10. Applicant has reason to believe that some of the revenue and expense items set forth in its most recent annual report have or will change and proposes to adjust the test period amount of these items to reflect these changes. A statement of the test period amount, expected changes, and reasons for each expected change is set forth in the attachment "Statement of Adjusted Operations." (Attach a completed copy of appropriate "Statement of Adjusted Operations" Attachment and any invoices, letters, contracts, receipts or other documents that support the expected change in costs.)
11. Based upon test period operations, and considering any known and measurable adjustments, Applicant requires additional revenues of \$_Attacl E.p2__ and total revenues from service rates of $\$$ Attach E.p2. The manner in which these amounts were calculated is set forth in "Revenue Requirement Calculation" Attachment. (Attach a completed "Revenue Requirement Calculation" Attachment.)
12. As of the date of the filing of this application, Applicant had $\qquad$ 3.164 customers
13. A billing analysis of Applicant's current and proposed rates is attached to this application. (Attach a completed "Billing Analysis" Attachment.)
14. Applicant's depreciation schedule of utility plant in service is attached. (Attach a schedule that shows per account group: the asset's original cost, accumulated depreciation balance as of the end of the test period, the useful lives assigned to each asset and resulting depreciation expense.)
15. a. Applicant has outstanding evidences of indebtedness, such as mortgage agreements, promissory notes, or bonds.
b. Applicant has attached to this application a copy of each outstanding evidence of indebtedness (e.g., mortgage agreement, promissory note, bond resolution).
c. Applicant has attached an amortization schedule for each outstanding evidence of indebtedness.
16. a. Applicant is not required to file state and federal tax returns.
b. Applicant is required to file state and federal tax returns.
c. Applicant's most recent state and federal tax returns are attached to this Application. (Attach a copy of returns.)
17. Approximately _ _ Insert dollar amount or percentage of total utility plant) of Applicant's total utility plant was recovered through the sale of real estate lots or other contributions.

I am authorized by the Applicant to sign and file this application on the Applicant's behalf, have read and completed this application, and to the best of my knowledge all the information contained in this application and its attachments is true and correct.


## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

county of Graves
Before me appeared__JOHNY DOw DH_, who after being duly sworn, stated that he/she had read and completed this application, that he/she is authorized to sign and file this application on behalf of the Applicant, and that to the best of his/her knowledge all the information contained in this application and its attachments is true and correct.


## LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

 (Indicate all documents submitted by checking box)$\square$ Applicant's Articles of Incorporation, Articles of Organization, or Limited Partnership Agreement.
$\square$ All amendments to Applicant's Articles of Incorporation, Articles of Organization, or Limited Partnership Agreement.
(V) Customer Notice of Proposed Rate Adjustment $A$
(7) "Reasons for Application" Attachment B
$\square$ "Current and Proposed Rates" Attachment No Attachment C
( "Statement of Adjusted Operations" Attachment D
(.) "Revenue Requirements Calculation" Attachment $E$
$\nabla$ "Billing Analysis" Attachment $F$
( Depreciation Schedule $G$
$\square$ Outstanding Debt Instruments (i.e, Bond Resolutions, Mortgages, Promissory Notes, Amortization Schedules.) $H$
$\square$ State Tax Return
$\square$ Federal Tax Return

## NOTICE



|  |  | Current |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer Bill | Phase 1 <br> Proposed | Rate <br> South | \% | Current Rate | \% |  | \% | Current Rate | \% |
| Usage level | Rate | Graves | Decrease | Hardeman | Increase | Farm | Increase | Consumers | Increase |
| 2,000 gallons | \$13.30 | \$14.25 | -7.14\% | \$13.10 | 1.5\% | \$13.10 | 1.5\% | \$12.01 | 9.70\% |
| 5,000 gallons | \$27.49 | \$34.86 | -21.1\% | \$21.35 | 28.8\% | \$23.15 | 18.7\% | \$20.86 | 31.8\% |
| 10,000 gallons | \$51.14 | \$67.96 | -24.7\% | \$31.95 | 60.1\% | \$39.05 | 31.0\% | \$35.61 | 43.6\% |
| 100,000 gallons | \$372.14 | \$553.96 | $\begin{gathered} -32.8 \% \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \$197.15 | 88.8\% | \$284.85 | 30.6\% | \$244.81 | 52.0\% |
| WHOLESALE RATE |  |  | Increase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| per 1,000 gallon | \$2.52 | \$2.17 | 16.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Phase 2 | Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Bill | Proposed | Proposed | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Usage level | Rate | Rate | Increase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,000 gallons | \$13.53 | \$13.30 | 1.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5,000 gallons | \$29.37 | \$27.49 | 6.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 gallons | \$55.77 | \$51,14 | 9.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^0]Graves County Water District was created in 2008 by the merger of South Graves Water District, Fancy Farm Water District, Hardeman Water District, and Consumers Water District. The Kentucky Public Service Commission ("KPSC") approved the merger by Order dated May 21, 2008, in Case No. 2007-00496. ${ }^{1}$ As noted in the KPSC's Order, the Commissioners of the Districts to be merged agreed that a unified rate design, to be charged to all customers of the merged district, would be created and summited to the KPSC for approval ${ }^{2}$

In this Application, Graves requests a two-phase adjustment to rates. Phase 1 rates are necessary to unify the rates of the four former districts and were designed on a cost basis, to recover current operating costs necessary to provide safe and reliable potable water service to all customers. The unified rate was developed by allocating the cost of service to a five-step declining block rate design to be charged to retail customers. The rate design has a customer charge with minimum usage that escalates for meters larger than $5 / 8$-inch. Also, a single volumetric rate for Graves' single wholesale customer is requested

The cost of service study includes the calculation of pro forma operating revenues and pro forma operating expenses (Attachment D), the calculation of the overall revenue requirement and required revenue increase (Attachment E), and the

[^1]classification of each component of the revenue requirement as either customer cost, demand cost, or commodity cost (Attachment F).

Phase 1 rates will generate revenues from water sales totaling \$1,048,259, an increase of $\$ 145,526$, or 16.12 percent, over normalized test-year water sales As shown in the financial exhibits attached to the Application, this level of revenue is necessary to:

1) pay annual principal and interest payment to the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority ("KIA") for the two loans currently outstanding;
2) pay pro forma operation and maintenance expenses totaling $\$ 837,466$; and
3) provide depreciation funds necessary to pay for recurring renewals and replacements of assets in the amount of $\$ 201,857$.

Phase 2 rates are to become effective on the date of closing of a third KIA loan that was approved by Order of the KPSC dated November 3, 2011, in Case No. 2011$00390^{3}$ This loan is to be in the original amount of $\$ 1,000,000$; however, KIA will forgive a portion of the loan so that the total principal repaid over the 20 -year life of the loan is equal to $\$ 780,000$. The loan will be used to pay for the installation of an Automated Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") project in the area of Graves formerly served

[^2]by South Graves Water District, Consumers Water District, and Fancy Farm Water District ${ }^{4}$

Phase 2 rates are necessary to produce revenue sufficient to repay the third KIA loan and provide for recovery of depreciation on the portion of the AMI project in those three service areas. As shown in the financial exhibits attached to the Application, Phase 2 rates will produce additional revenues of $\$ 69,883$, or 6.67 percent, over and above the revenues produced by Phase 1 rates. Phase 2 rates were developed by increasing the Phase 1 rates "across-the-board" evenly by 6.7 percent

[^3]
## Adjusted Operations

As shown in Table D1, Graves made adjustments to test-year revenues and expenses to account for known and measurable changes that are applicable to Phase 1 operations. Adjustments to Phase 1 operations were then made that are applicable to Phase 2 operations. All adjustments are explained following the table.

Table D1
Pro forma Operating Statement

| Operating Revenue | Test Year <br> December 31, 2010 |  | Phase 1 |  |  |  |  | Phase 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adjusimenis |  | Ref. | Proforma |  | Adjustments | Ref. | Proforma |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waier Sales | $\$$ | 894.270 | \$ | 8.463 | A | \$ | 902,733 | \$ 145.526 | $E$ | \$1.048.259 |
| Other Operaling Revenue |  | 55.913 |  |  |  |  | 55,913 |  |  | 55.913 |
| Total Water Sales |  | 950,183 |  | 8.463 |  |  | 958,646 | 145,526 |  | 1,104,172 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation and Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and Wages - Officers |  | 15,750 |  |  |  |  | 15.750 |  |  | 15,750 |
| Purchased Water |  | 142.994 |  | $(8,851)$ | B |  | 134.143 |  |  | 134,143 |
| Purchased Power |  | 65.813 |  | $(4,074)$ | B |  | 61.739 |  |  | 61,739 |
| Chemicals |  | 65.880 |  | $(4,078)$ | B |  | 61.802 |  |  | 61,802 |
| Materials and Supplies |  | 29.115 |  |  |  |  | 29,115 |  |  | 29,115 |
| Contractual Services |  | 486.994 |  | $(4,848)$ | C |  | 482,146 | (35.016) | F | 447,130 |
| Rental of Equipment |  | 21.435 |  |  |  |  | 21,435 |  |  | 21.435 |
| Insurance General Liabillty |  | 11,056 |  |  |  |  | 11,056 |  |  | 11,056 |
| Bad Debt |  | 10,613 |  |  |  |  | 10,613 |  |  | 10,613 |
| Miscellaneous Expense |  | 9,667 |  |  |  |  | 9,667 |  |  | 9,667 |
| Total Operation and Maintenance |  | 859,317 |  | (21.851) |  |  | 837.466 | $(35,016)$ |  | 802,450 |
| Depreciation Expense |  | 244,839 |  | $(42,982)$ | D |  | 201.857 | 55.909 | G | 257,765 |
| Amortization |  | 1.475 |  |  |  |  | 1.475 |  |  | 1.475 |
| Total Operaing Expenses |  | 1,105,631 |  | (64.833) |  |  | 1,040,798 | 20,893 |  | 1,061,690 |
| Net Operating Income |  | (155.448) |  | 73.296 |  |  | $(82,152)$ | 124,634 |  | 42.482 |
| Interest and Dividend Income |  | 6,899 |  |  |  |  | 6,899 |  |  | 6,889 |
| Income Available to Service Debl | 5 | (148.549) | 5 | 73,296 |  | $\$$ | (75,253) | \$ 124,634 |  | \$ 49,381 |

(A) Water Sales. Graves reported test-year water sales in the amount of $\$ 894,270$. Graves proposes to increase this amount by $\$ 8,463$ to match reported
revenues to the amount calculated using a billing analysis and to account for the addition of ten new residential customers.

A billing analysis was performed to verify the amount of water sales reported for the test year. To complete the billing analysis, each customer's bill was recalculated for each month of the test year by applying the rates in effect during the year to the monthly usages. The sum of these recalculations was compared to reported water sales. The billing analysis demonstrates that test-year water sales should have been reported at $\$ 900,230$ Accordingly, the test-year amount was increased by $\$ 5,960$

Subsequent to the test year, Graves added 10 new residential customers to its distribution system. It is appropriate to add the revenue collected from these customers to test-year water sales when calculating pro forma operations. To account for the additional revenue, test-year water sales were increased by $\$ 2,503$, or 28 percent $(\$ 2,503$, new revenue / $\$ 894,270$, test-year sales) The amount was calculated by applying Graves' current residential rates to the average residential usage.
(B) Purchased Water, Purchased Power, and Chemicals. Kentucky Administrative Regulation 807 5:066 Section 6 (3) limits water loss to 15 percent. The regulation allows for an alternative level if it is found to be reasonable. In its 2010 annual report, Graves reported a 21.19 percent water loss, exceeding the allowable limit by 6.19 percent.

Graves will not request that the Commission approve a level alternative to the 15 percent stated in the regulation. Instead, it proposes to remove 6.19 percent of the direct variable costs to purchase, treat, and deliver the excess water loss. Accordingly,
test-year Purchased Water, Purchased Power, and Chemicals were decreased as shown below.

| Purchased Water | 142,994 | $-6.19 \%$ | $(8,851)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Purchased Power | 65,813 | $-619 \%$ | $(4,074)$ |
| Chemicals | 65,880 | $-6,19 \%$ | $(4,078)$ |

Graves recognizes that an adjustment could be made to these three expense accounts to correspond with the customer growth revenue adjustment, however, Graves did not propose an adjustment as it is not material to its operations.
(C) Contractual Services. Graves has no employees. It contracts with Mayfield Electric and Water Systems ("MEWS") to perform all duties necessary to complete its daily operations. The amount charged against revenues during the test year for these services totaled $\$ 486,994$

By Order dated November 3, 2011, in Case No. 2011-00233 ${ }^{3}$ Graves received Commission approval to construct a $\$ 1,330,491$ Advance Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") project. ${ }^{4}$ A portion of the project is to be funded with a $\$ 1,000,000$ loan from the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority ("KIA"). This financing was also approved by Order dated November 3, 2011, in Case No 2011-00390. ${ }^{5}$

Prior to the issuance of the Order approving the construction and financing of the AMI project, Graves completed a construction project that was separate from the AMI

[^4]project This project was funded by a KIA grant. The amount of the KIA grant unexpectedly exceeded the final cost of the project Graves received permission from KIA to use the excess funds to begin construction of its AMI project. With this funding, Graves completed the installation of AMI assets for its 385 customers residing in the territory formerly served by Hardeman Water District. In Phase 1 rates, Graves requests recovery of the cost of this portion of the AMI project through depreciation. These assets total $\$ 212,319$ and are included in the calculation of Phase 1 depreciation shown in Attachment G-2. Accordingly, Graves requests to adjust all other test-year expenses that will be affected by this portion of the AMI project to calculate Phase 1 rates.

As noted in the Order dated November 30, 2011, the AMI project will likely produce many savings; however, the only savings immediately identifiable are those related to meter reading. The meter reading savings were estimated to be $\$ 1.05$ per meter per month. ${ }^{6}$ Graves reduced test-year contractual services by $\$ 4,848$ ( $\$ 105$, savings per meter $\times 385$ customers $\times 12$ months) to account for these savings in Phase 1. Savings related to costs other than meter reading will only be identifiable after the AMI system has been in service for a reasonable length of time. Since AMI project had not been in place long enough to identify and quantified other savings at the time this application was being prepared, no other adjustments were made.
(D) Depreciation Expense. Graves reported test-year depreciation expense of $\$ 244,839$. This amount was calculated using the remaining-life method. The

[^5]calculation is shown in Attachment G-1. As shown in Attachment G-2, Graves proposes to decrease the test-year amount by $\$ 42,982$ when calculating Phase 1 revenue requirements to account for:

1. a change from the remaining-life depreciation method to the whole-life depreciation method;
2. new whole-life depreciable lives assigned to each asset account group, and
3. depreciation taken on assets placed into service subsequent to the test year.

Change in Depreciation Methods. When the water districts merged in 2008 to create Graves, Graves reported the assets of each district at net book value, original cost less accumulated depreciation, as of August 31, 2008. This represented a "writedown" of assets in excess of $\$ 45$ million, approximately 46 percent of the plant's original cost. The journal entry making this recording was submitted to the KPSC by letter dated September 8, 2008. After recording the assets at net book value, Graves adopt the remaining-life method to calculate annual depreciation expense. Prior to the merger, each of the former districts used the whole-life method.

After using the remaining life method for the two years subsequent to the merger, Graves is of the opinion that the whole-life method is more appropriate for calculating its annual depreciation expense. Graves requests that the KPSC allow it to restate its assets, and related accumulated depreciation, to their original balances at the time of merger, with adjustments made for additions subsequent to the merger, and begin calculating depreciation using the whole-life method. This method was used in

Attachment G-2 to calculate pro forma depreciation expense for Phase 1 operations and Phase 2 operations. As discussed in complete detail later, the depreciable life applied to each account group in Attachment G-2 was selected using guidance from a study performed by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC").

In support of its request, Graves argues that Accounting Instruction 21 of the Uniform System of Accounts ("USoA") was violated when it first recorded its assets using net plant values. Instruction 21 requires the accounts for plant, accumulated depreciation, and donated capital reported by a merged utility be stated at the balances reported by the former utilities at the time of merger. This ensures that the requirements of Accounting Instruction 18 of the USOA are met. Instruction 18 requires that all assets be stated at their original cost when first devoted to public service. To adhere to the requirements of the USoA, Graves must restate its balances for plant and accumulated depreciation; otherwise, plant and accumulated depreciation will remain understated in future reporting periods by a material amount and the original cost principal will remain violated.

After restating its plant balances, it only seems fitting that Graves be allowed to begin applying the whole-life depreciation method as was used prior to the merger. The whole-life method is far less cumbersome to apply than the remaining-life method and, in Graves' opinion, is a superior method for a "small" utility with a less sophisticated fixed asset accounting system when compared to a "large" utility which often has an accounting department dedicated solely to asset management practices.

For these reasons, Graves requests approval to restate its assets to their original cost and to begin applying the whole-life method of depreciation as applied in Attachment G-2.

Change to Depreciable Lives Generally, the Commission requires a "large" utility to perform a depreciation study to determine the appropriate depreciable lives to be assigned to each plant account group. Detailed property records specific to historic plant additions, plant retirements, and salvage practices are required to complete a depreciation study. Generally, "small" water utilities, such as Graves, do not maintain property records with enough detail to properly complete a formal study. Furthermore, even if adequate records were maintained, "small" utilities do not have the financial resources to fund a formal study. Therefore, to evaluate the reasonableness of the depreciation practices of small utilities, the Commission has historically relied upon the report published in 1979 by NARUC entitled Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities ("NARUC Study").

Graves referenced to the NARUC study to determine the appropriate depreciable whole-life to be assigned to each asset group except for the AMI project. Graves selected lives for each group that are at, or near, the mid-point of the recommended ranges. The middle of the ranges is representative of the depreciation practices of an "average" small water utility. Graves requests that the KPSC approve the lives selected using the NARUC study.

The NARUC study is not applicable to the infrastructure used for the installation of the AMI project. The NARUC study was prepared long before this infrastructure was designed and developed. Relying on information obtain from the manufacturer of the

AMI components, Graves requests that a 20 -year depreciable live be assigned to these assets.

Post Test-Year Plant Additions. As shown on Attached G-2, subsequent to the end of the test year, Graves placed additional plant into service with a total cost of $\$ 933,027$. These assets consist of $\$ 655,777$ for an interconnection with the city of Mayfield, $\$ 157,414$ for the AMI project in the Hardeman area, and $\$ 119,836$ for locating and mapping transmission and distribution mains, Graves is requesting recovery of the cost of these assets through Phase 1 rates with adjustments to test-year depreciation expense as discussed below.

In 2011 Graves completed the construction of pumping and main facilities that were necessary to connect its transmission and distribution system to the water system of the city of Mayfield. This interconnection provides Graves with an alternative source of finished water and was paid for through KIA grant funds. Graves requests that depreciation on this asset be included in the calculation of Phase 1 revenue requirements.

As discussed at Reference Item C , Graves completed installation of the AMI project in the area formerly served by Hardeman Water District in 2011. The total cost of this portion of the AMI project was $\$ 212,319, \$ 54,905$ was capitalized in 2010 while the remaining $\$ 157,414$ was capitalized in 2011. Graves requests to recover this entire amount over a 20 -year depreciable life.

Subsequent to the test year, in years 2011 and 2012, Graves incurred significant costs for mapping the location of its existing transmission and distribution mains As of

May 31, 2012, these costs totaled $\$ 119,836$. Graves capitalized and depreciated this amount over the 65-year depreciable life assigned to mains.
(E) Water Sales As shown in Table E1 of Attachment $E$, Graves requested rates in Phase 1 that will produce additional annual revenues in the approximate amount of $\$ 145,526$ This additional revenue should be included in normalized revenues when calculating the required revenue increase for Phase 2.
(F) Contractual Services. The Phase 2 rates requested in this application are necessary after accounting for all known and measurable changes to operating costs that will result from completion of the AMI project As previously discussed in Reference Item C, in Phase 1 Contractual Services were reduced by $\$ 4,848$ to account for savings in meter reading expenses resulting from the installation of the AMI project in the Hardeman area. Following this principal, Contractual Services were reduced in Phase 2 by an additional $\$ 35,016$ (3,164 total customers -385 Hardeman Customers $=$ $2779 \times \$ 1.05 \times 12$ months) to account for the meter reading savings that will result from completion of the remaining portion of the AMI project
(G) Depreciation. In Phase 2, Graves is requesting recovery of annual depreciation expense in the amount of $\$ 66,525$ for the entire estimated cost of the AMI project, $\$ 1,330,491$. Through the AMI project Graves will replace all of its mechanical meters. As previously discussed, Graves was granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct the AMI project by Commission Order dated November 30, 2011 in Case No 2011-00233. The manufacturer of the AMI meters estimates their life to be equal to 20 years. Based on this estimate, the AMI project has been depreciated over a 20-year period. As shown on Attachment G-2, the additional
depreciation adjustment required in Phase 2 is $\$ 55,909$. Depreciation in the amount of $\$ 10,616$ was included in the calculation of Phase 1 depreciation for the Hardeman area.

Graves notes that, in addition to depreciating the AMI project on Attachment G-2, it also continues to depreciate the cost of the old mechanical meters even though they will be removed from service. Although this action is in violation of Accounting Instruction 27 B. (2) of the USOA, it is reasonable. It does not have a material effect on depreciation in the years immediately following the removal of the assets and has no effect on depreciation taken in the long term.

The cost of the mechanical meters is reported in the same account group as their installation costs. The total combined cost of the group is $\$ 501,283$ (annual depreciation totals $\$ 10,616$ ). There is no way to accurately separate the meter's cost to record their retirement

Although the cost of meters cannot be accurately identified and separated, the majority of the cost of the account group is attributable to installations. This is evidenced by comparing the cost of a mechanical meter to the cost of installing a meter. The majority of Graves' meters are $5 / 8$-inch meters. The current average combined cost of a meter and meter installation is assumed to be equal to the current tap fee charged for a $5 / 8$-inch connection, $\$ 450$. Of this amount, the cost of the mechanical meter is roughly $\$ 35$. The installation is then assumed to represent approximately $\$ 415$, or over 92 percent of the combined cost.

Since the installations represent such a significant amount of the combined costs and will remain in service even after the mechanical meters are removed, it is appropriate and necessary to continue depreciation on this component of the asset
group. Given the relatively small amount of meter's cost in the asset group, their inclusion is of no material consequence to the annual depreciation expense.

Furthermore, this accounting treatment will result in the same amount charged to depreciation expense in the later years. If the cost of the meters could be separated and were accounted for in accordance with Accounting Instruction 27, a loss in the amount of the undepreciated balance of the meters would be reported. This loss would flow through the meter and meter installations accumulated depreciation account. This would increase the depreciable basis of the account group by an amount equal to the loss. This loss would ultimately flow through to the income statement as a component of depreciation expense in future periods.

As explained, no matter which of the two accounting treatments discussed herein is used, the "stranded costs" of the mechanical meters will be included in the calculation of future depreciation expense taken on the meter and meter installation account. The proposed method is of no material consequence in the immediate reporting periods and is of no consequence, whatsoever, in the long term. It is therefore reasonable.

## Calculation of Revenue Requirements

As shown in Table E1, Graves calculated the overall revenue requirement for Phase 1 to be $\$ 1,111,071$. The overall revenue requirement was determined by adding pro forma operating expenses to the three-year average principal and interest payments payable on KIA for Loan No B05-04 and KIA Loan No. B07-03. By reducing the overall revenue requirement by pro forma other revenues and interest income, the revenue required from rates was determined to be $\$ 1,048,259$. This represents an increase of $\$ 145,526$, or 16.12 percent, over normalized test-year water sales revenue of $\$ 902,773$.

All of the components of these calculations are shown and explained in Attachment $D$ of the Application except for the payments to KIA. The calculation of the debt payments are shown Table E2 and are explained following the table. The rates shown in Attachment F (Page 13 and Page 17-Page 19) are the unified rates that were designed to meet the Phase 1 revenue requirement.

Also shown in Table E1 is the calculation of the overall revenue requirement for Phase 2 in the amount of $\$ 1,180,954$. This amount was determined by adjusting the Phase 1 revenue requirement for known and measurable changes that will result from the installation of the Automated Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") project. This project could be completed as soon as December 31,2013. The most critical adjustment for this project is for the future debt payments to KIA for the loan to be assumed to finance the project. The calculation of this adjustment is shown on Table E2. The remaining adjustments are shown and explained in Attachment $D$

The first payment on the KIA loan will be due one year after the loan is closed Therefore, Graves requests that the Phase 2 rates, as shown in Attachment F (Page 22 and Page 26 - Page 28), be made effective on the closing date of the loan This will allow Graves the opportunity to accumulate enough funds to make the first loan payment when it becomes due

Table E1
Calculation of Overall Revenue Requirement and Required Revenue Increase

|  | Phase 1 | Phase 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Expenses, Refer to Attachment D | \$ 1,040,798 | \$ 1,061,690 |
| Three-Year Average Debt Service Payments, See Table E2 | 70,274 | 119,264 |
| Total Revenue Requirement | 1,111,071 | 1,180,954 |
| Less: Other Operating Revenue | $(55,913)$ | $(55,913)$ |
| Interest Income | $(6,899)$ | $(6,899)$ |
| Revenue Required from Rates | 1,048,259 | 1,118,142 |
| Less: Normalized Water Sales | (902,733) | $(1,048,259)$ |
| Required Revenue Increase | \$ 145,526 | \$ 69,883 |
| Percentage Increase | 16.12\% | 6.67\% |

The calculation of the three-year average debt service payments shown above for Phase 1 and Phase 2 are shown in Table E2.

Table E2
Calculation of Three-Year Average Debt Payments

## KIA Loan No.

B05-04, Calculation of Averages Shown Below
B07-03

| Average Debt Payment |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phase 1 | Phase 2 |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 17,223 | $\$$ |
|  | 53,051 |  |
|  |  | 53,223 |
|  |  | 48,990 |

Total
$\underline{\$ \quad 70,274 \quad \$ \quad 119,264}$

| Loan No. B05-04, See Amo. Sch at Attachment H, Page 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Principal | Interest | Ser | ng Fee |  | Total |
| 2012 | \$19,500 | \$ 1,379 | \$ | 574 | \$ | 21,453 |
| 2013 | 19,593 | 1,285 |  | 535 |  | 21,414 |
| 2014 | 19,688 | 1,391 |  | 496 |  | 21,575 |
| Three-Year Average |  |  |  |  |  | 21,480 |
| Percent Allocated to Water Division |  |  |  |  |  | 80.18\% |
| Three-Year Average Allocated to Water Division |  |  |  |  | \$ | 17,223 |
| Loan No. B07-03, See Amo. Sch at Attachment H, Page 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Principal | Interest | Ser | ng Fee |  | Total |
| 2012 | \$48,855 | \$ 2,863 | \$ | 1,431 | \$ | 53,149 |
| 2013 | 49,051 | 2,667 |  | 1,334 |  | 53,051 |
| 2014 | 49,247 | 2,471 |  | 1,235 |  | 52,953 |
| Three-Year Average |  |  |  |  |  | 53,051 |
| Loan No. B11-02, See Amo. Sch. at Attachment H, Page 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Principal | Interest | Ser | ng Fee |  | Total |
| 1 | \$32,070 | \$15,440 | \$ | 1,544 | \$ | 49,055 |
| 2 | 32,715 | 14,796 |  | 1,480 |  | 48,990 |
| 3 | 33,372 | 14,138 |  | 1,414 |  | 48,925 |
| Three-Year Average |  |  |  |  |  | 48,990 |

The debt service amount requested for Phase 1 is equal to the three-year average principal and interest payments due to KIA on Loan No. B05-04 and Loan No. B07-03.

Loan B05-04 originated from Fancy Farm's former service area where Fancy Farm provided water service and sewer service. Immediately prior to its merger into Graves, Fancy Farm had long-term bonds payable to the United States Department of Agriculture's Rural Development ("RD") in the total amount of $\$ 690,896$. The water division's portion was $\$ 553,976$ or 80.18 percent of the total The sewer division's portion was $\$ 136,920$ or 1982 percent of the total (See Finding 12 Commission Order dated May 21, 2008, approving merger in Case No. 2007-00496). Immediately upon merger into Graves, Fancy Farm refinanced the entire amount of the debt using "20/20" grant funds and KIA Loan No. B05-04. The original KIA loan amount was $\$ 596,776$. ${ }^{1}$ The grant funds and loan funds should be split between the water division and sewer division based on the percentage of the RD bonds outstanding at the time of refinancing. Therefore, the water division has been allocated 80.18 percent of the total debt service requirements for this loan.

Loan No, B07-03 was secured by Graves to refinance a KIA loan that had been originally awarded to South Graves Water District in 1994. This refinancing was approved by the Commission in Case No. 2008-00448 ${ }^{2}$

[^6]To calculate the debt service requirement for Phase 2, Graves added to the Phase 1 requirement, the anticipated three-year average principal and interest payments that will become due to KIA on Loan No. B11-02 This loan was approved by the KPSC in Case No. 2011-00390 for the purpose of financing a portion of the AMI project. The approved loan amount is $\$ 1,000,000$ with principal forgiveness from KIA of 20 percent. This loan has not yet been closed. It is expected to be closed on, or around, December 31, 2013, the anticipated completion date of the AMI project. The principal and interest payment included for this loan were taken from the loan amortization schedule provided by KIA on September 7, 2011.

It should be noted that there is no provision for a Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") in the calculations shown in Table E1. KIA only requires its borrowers to maintain a DSC when its loans are subordinate to long-term indebtedness owed by its borrows to other funding agencies. Since Graves has no other long-term indebtedness, the KIA loans are not subordinate and, therefore, require no DSC.
Normalized Revenue:
As Graves County was created by a merger of 4 separate operating utilities to compute the normalized revenue
each former utility's rate schedule has been used so as to assign revenues to the customers that formerly were served by
these separate utilities. The normalized revenue is shown for the entire system in the table titled Current Rate Summary.
Each individual system Billing Analysis with their current rates follows the summary table.
The Cost of Service Study has been utilized to unify the rates of the entire system and to allocate the pro forma expenses in manner that has been accepted by the Commission in the past. Graves County has completed a Cost of
Service Study for Phase I and Phase II that is being requested in this case.
The Wholesale Customer's rate was adjusted using a percentage across the board calculation, which has been
accepted by the Commission in the past, and the increase in revenue has been used to reduce the Revenue Requirement
from Rates for the Retail Customers. We calculated the adjustment to the Commodity costs and Demand costs by the
percentage of these two components for what would have been allocated to the wholesale customer. The Retail rates
have been adjusted to meet the revenue requirement for each phase and a Billing Analysis is provided for each phase.

CONSUMERS AREA OF GRAVES COUNTY WD

## 1 inch

|  | USAGE | BILLS | GALLONS | FIRST $100$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { NEXT } \\ & 100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & 300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OVER } \\ & 500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FIRST | 100 | 101 | 3,609 | 3,609 |  |  |  | 3,609 |
| NEXT | 100 | 30 | 3,794 | 3,000 | 794 |  |  | 3,794 |
| NEXT | 300 | 7 | 2,309 | 700 | 700 | 909 |  | 2,309 |
| OVER | 500 | 22 | 16,327 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 6,600 | 5,327 | 16,327 |
| TOTALS | allons $\times 100$ | 160 | 2,603,900 | 950,900 | 369,400 | 750,900 | 532,700 | 2,603,900 |

CONSUMERS AREA OF GRAVES COUNTY WD
REVENUE BY RATE INCREMENT


4
2 inch
CONSUMERS AREA OF GRAVES COUNTY WD

| USAGE | BILLS | GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIRST } \\ & 200 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { NEXT } & \\ & 300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & \quad 500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 30 | 2,149 | 2,149 |  |  | 2,149 |
| 300 | 18 | 6,885 | 3,600 | 3,285 |  | 6,885 |
| 500 | 5 | 4,574 | 1.000 | 1,500 | 2,074 | 4.574 |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { CONSUMERS AREA OF GRAVES COUNTY WD } \\ \text { REVENUE BY RATE INCREMENT }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | BILLS |  |  |  |
|  | GALLONS | RATE | REVENUE |  |  |
|  |  | 50,000 | 53 | 674,900 | $\$ 62.91$ |
| FIRST | 30,000 |  | 478,500 | 2.53 | $1,310.23$ |
| NEXT | 50,000 |  | 207,400 | 2.12 | 439.69 |
| OVER | TOTAL | 53 | $1,360,800$ |  | $\$ 4,984.52$ |


HARDEMAN AREA OF GRAVES COUNTY WD
Hardeman

| NEXT | OVER |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 150 | 200 | TOTAL |

OTAL
12,575
65,890
109,211
SOUTH GRAVES AREA OF GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

| USAGE | BILLS | GALLONS | ${ }^{\text {FIRST }}{ }_{20}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | NEXT $50$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & 300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & 500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & \quad 1000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 2,487 | 23,267 | 23,267 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,267 |
| 30 | 3,294 | 113,756 | 65,880 | 47,876 |  |  |  |  |  | 113.756 |
| 50 | 1,649 | 112,074 | 32,980 | 49,470 | 29,624 |  |  |  |  | 112,074 |
| 100 | 301 | 39,246 | 6,020 | 9,030 | 15,050 | 9,146 |  |  |  | 39,246 |
| 300 | 65 | 16,327 | 1,300 | 1,950 | 3,250 | 6,500 | 3,327 |  |  | 16,327 |
| 500 | 5 | 3,341 | 100 | 150 | 250 | 500 | 1,500 | 841 |  | 3,341 |
| 1,000 | 3 | 7,491 | 60 | 90 | 150 | 300 | 900 | 1,500 | 4,491 | 7.491 |
| Gallons $\times 100$ | 7,801 | 31,550,200 | 12,960,700 | 10,856,600 | 4,832,400 | 1,644,600 | 572,700 | 234,100 | 449,100 | 31,550,200 |

Meter
FIRST
NEXT
NEXT
NEXT
NEXT
NEXT
OVER
TOTALS
REVENUE BY RATE

GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT ALLOCATION OF PLANT VALUE

PHASE 1

|  | TOTAL | COMMODITY | DEMAND | CUSTOMER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Treatment Equipment | \$188.425 |  | \$188,425 |  |
| Land \& Land Rights | 25,015 |  | 25,015 |  |
| Structures and Improvements | 146,582 |  | 146,582 |  |
| Pumping Equipment | 915,047 |  | 915,047 |  |
| Wells and Springs | 1,400,000 |  | 1,400,000 |  |
| Transmıssion \& Distribution Mains | 2,414,274 |  | 2,414,274 |  |
| Power Operated Equipment | 7,172 |  | 7,172 |  |
| Meters \& Meter Installations | 471,321 |  |  | 471,321 |
| Services |  |  |  | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$5,567,836 | \$0 | \$5,096,515 | \$471.321 |
| PERCENT | 100.00\% |  | 91.53\% | 8.47\% |
| General Plant (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation Equipment |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Office Furniture \& Equipment |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Other Plant and Misc. Equipment | 145 |  | 133 | 12 |
| total value | \$5,567,981 | \$0 | \$5,096,648 | \$471,333 |

(1) General Plant allocated based on overall weighted allocation of all other plant. Note: Figures used were derved from 2010 annual

GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT
allocation of depreciation expense


Note: Figures used were derived from 2010 annual report

## GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT

allocation of operation and maintenance expense
PHASE 1

|  | HASE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | COMMMODITY | DEMAND | CUSTOMER |
| Contractual services - Labor | 294,070 |  | 294,070 |  |
| Contractual Services-Adm. Fee | 146,846 |  |  | 146,846 |
| Purchased Water | 134,143 | 134,143 |  |  |
| Purchased Water | 61,739 | 61,739 |  |  |
| Purchased Power | 61,802 | 61,802 |  |  |
| Chemicals | 10,613 |  |  | 10,513 |
| Bad Debt Expense subtotal | 709,213 | 257,684 | 294,070 | 157,459 |
| SUBTOTAL LESS COMMMODITY | -257,684 |  |  |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 451,529 |  | 294,070 | 157,459 |
| PERCENT | 100.00\% |  | 65.13\% | 34.87\% |
|  |  |  | 10,258 | 5.492 |
| Empioyee Salaries-Commissioners | 15,50 1,475 |  | 961 | 514 |
| Amortization Expense | 21,435 |  | 13,960 | 7,475 |
| Equipment Rental | 21,435 |  | 17,476 | 9,357 |
| Contractual Services- Eng. | 14,397 |  | 9,376 | 5,021 |
| Contractual Services - Materials | 29,115 |  | 18,962 | 10,153 |
| Materials \& Supplies | +11,056 |  | 7,201 | 3,855 |
| Insurance General Liability | 11,066 9667 |  | 6,296 | 3,371 |
| Miscellaneous Expense | 838,941 | 257,684 | 378,559 | 202,698 |

## GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT

| PHASE1 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | COMMODITY | DEMAND | CUSTOMER |
| TOTAL | $91.53 \%$ | $8.47 \%$ |  |
| $100.00 \%$ |  | $\$ 64,325$ | $\$ 5,949$ |
| $\$ 70,274$ |  | $91.21 \%$ | $8.79 \%$ |
| $100.00 \%$ |  | 184,123 | 17,734 |
| 201,857 |  | 378,559 | 202,698 |
| 838,941 | $\$ 257,684.00$ | $37,007.37$ | $226,380.63$ |
| $1,111,072.00$ | $257,684.00$ | 627,007 |  |
|  |  |  | $-6,899.00$ |
|  |  |  | $-55,913.00$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[^7] anuәnay bupejado laypo:ssa7
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[^8]> Plant Percentages Debt Service Payments Depreciation Percentages Total Deprecation Total Operation \& Mantenance Total Revenue Requirement

sales
GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT

Plant Percentages
SUMMARY OF ALLOCATIONS FOR RETAIL CUSTOMERS
PHASE 1

|  | TOTAL | COMMODITY | DEMAND | CUSTOMER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100.00\% |  | 91.53\% | 8.47\% |
| Plant Percentages | \$70,274 |  | \$64,325 | \$5,949 |
| Debt Service Payment Deprecration Percentages | 100.00\% |  | 91.21\% | 8.79\% |
| Total Deprecration | 201,857 |  | 184,123 | 17,734 |
| Total Operation \& Maintenance | 838,941 | 257,684 | 378,559 | 202,698 |
| Total Revenue Requirement | 1,111,072 | 257,684 | 627,007 | 226,381 |
| Tota Ress: Other income | -6,899 |  |  | -6.899 |
| Less: Other Operating Revenue | -55,913 |  |  | -55,913 |
| Less: Revenue from Wholesale Customer | -20,813 | -6,063 | -14,750 |  |
| Revenue Requirement from retail water sales | \$1,027,447 | \$251,621 | \$612,257 | \$163,569 |

NOTES:
Wholesale Customer Revenues allocated based on percentage of the total of the Commodity and Demand

GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT
calculation of retail water rates

PHASE 1

|  | TOTAL | FIRST 2,000 | NEXT 8,000 | NEXT 10,000 | NEXT 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OVER } \\ \mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM BILLING ANALYSIS: COMMODITY PERCENTS | 100.00\% | 37.14\% | 50.73\% | 6.59\% | 3.72\% | 1.82\% |
| RETAIL COMMODITY SALES | 179,277,700 | 66,587,500 | 90,943,200 | 11,819,600 | 6,661,700 | 3,265,700 |
| PEAK DEMAND WEIGHTED FACTOR |  | 2 | 1.75 | 1.5 | 1.25 | 1 |
| PEAK DEMAND WEIGHTED SALES | 321,647,825 | 133,175,000 | 159,150,600 | 17,729,400 | 8,327,125 | 3,265,700 |
| DEMAND PERCENTS | 100.00\% | 41.40\% | 49.48\% | 5.51\% | 2.59\% | 1.02\% |
| COMMODITY COSTS | \$251,621.05 | \$93,457.34 | \$127,641.21 | \$16,589.12 | \$9,349.87 | \$4,583.50 |
| DEMAND COSTS | \$612,256.89 | \$253,498.72 | \$302,943.29 | \$33,747,93 | \$15,850.69 | \$6,216.26 |
| CUSTOMER COSTS | \$163,568.63 | \$163,568.63 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL COSTS | \$1,027,446.56 | \$510,524.68 | \$430,584.51 | \$50,337.05 | \$25,200.56 | \$10,799.76 |
| DIVIDE BY BILLS/GALLONS |  | 38,404 | 90,943,200 | 11,819,600 | 6,661,700 | 3,265,700 |
| CALCULATED RATES |  | \$13.2935 | \$4.7347 | \$4.2588 | \$3.7829 | 3.3070 |
| RECOMMENDED RATES |  | FIRST 2,000 | NEXT 3,000 | NEXT 5,000 | NEXT 10,000 | Over 20,000 |
|  |  | \$13.30 | \$4.73 | \$4.26 | \$3.78 | \$3.30 |


5/8 inch

| USAGE | BILLS | GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIRST } \\ & \quad 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NEXT 80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & \quad 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT } \\ & \quad 300 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OVER } \\ & 500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 9741 | 93,407 | 93,407 |  |  |  |  | 93,407 |
| 80 | 25924 | 1,217,246 | 518,480 | 698.766 |  |  |  | 1,217,246 |
| 100 | 1986 | 260,328 | 39,720 | 158,880 | 61,728 |  |  | 260,328 |
| 300 | 425 | 118,679 | 8,500 | 34,000 | 42,500 | 33,679 |  | 118,679 |
| 500 | 68 | 59,256 | 1,360 | 5,440 | 6,800 | 20,400 | 25,256 | 59,256 |
| Gallons $\times 100$ | 38144 | 174,891,600 | 66,146,700 | 89,708,600 | 11,102,800 | 5,407,900 | 2,525,600 | 174,891,600 |
|  | REVENUE BY RATE INCREMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BILLS | GALLONS | RATE | REVENUE |  |  |  |  |
| 2,000 | 38144 | 66,146,700 | \$13.30 | \$507,315.20 |  |  |  |  |
| 8,000 |  | 89,708,600 | 4.73 | 424,321.68 |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000 |  | 11,102,800 | 4.26 | 47,297.93 |  |  |  |  |
| 30,000 |  | 5,407,900 | 3.78 | 20,441.86 |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 2,525,600 | 3.30 | 8,334.48 |  |  |  |  |
| total | 38144 | 174,891,600 |  | \$1,007,711.15 |  |  |  |  |

Meter Size: 1 inch

|  | USAGE | BILLS | FIRST |  | NEXT ${ }_{50}$ | NEXT | NEXT | OVER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | GALLONS | 50 |  | 100 | 300 | 5007 | AL 1,915 |
| FIRST | 50 | 79 | 1,915 | 1,915 |  |  |  |  | 1,915 |
| NEXT | 50 | 30 | 2,360 | 1,500 | 860 |  |  |  | 2,360 |
| NEXT | 100 | 34 | 4,283 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 883 |  |  | 4,283 |
| NEXT | 300 | 7 | 2,309 | 350 | 350 | 700 | 909 |  | 2,309 |
| OVER | 500 | 22 | 16,327 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 2,200 | 6,600 | 5,327 | 16,327 |
| TOTALS | Gallons x 100 | 172 | 2,719,400 | 656,500 | 401,000 | 378,300 | 750,900 | 532,700 | ,719,400 |
|  | REVENUE BY RATE INCREMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | BILLS | GALLONS | RATE | REVENUE |  |  |  |  |
| FIRST | 5,000 | 172 | 656,500 | \$27 49 | \$4,728.28 |  |  |  |  |
| NEXT | 5,000 |  | 401,000 | 4.73 | 1,896.73 |  |  |  |  |
| NEXT | 10,000 |  | 378,300 | 4.26 | 1,611.56 |  |  |  |  |
| NEXT | 30,000 |  | 750,900 | 3.78 | 2,838.40 |  |  |  |  |
| OVER | 50,000 |  | 532,700 | 3.30 | 1,757,91 |  |  |  |  |
| total |  | 172 | 2,719,400 |  | \$12,832.88 |  |  |  |  |

2 inch


| USAGE | FIRST |  |  | NEXT | OVER 500 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BILLS | GALLONS | 200 | 300 | 500 | TOTAL |
| 200 | 50 | 4,364 | 4,364 |  |  | 4,364 |
| 300 | 21 | 7,729 | 4,200 | 3,529 |  | 7,729 |
| 500 | 5 | 4,574 | 1,000 | 1.500 | 2,074 | 4,574 |
| Gallons $\times 100$ | 76 | 1,666,700 | 956,400 | 502,900 | 207,400 | 1,666,700 |


FIRST
NEXT
OVER

## GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT

|  | PHASE 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEMAND } \\ & 294,070 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { 294,070 } \end{aligned}$ | COMMODITY |  | CUSTOMER |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Contractual services - Labor | 111,830 |  |  | 111,830 |
| Contractual Services-Adm. Fee | 134,143 | 134,143 |  |  |
| Purchased Water | 61,739 | 61,739 |  |  |
| Purchased Power | 61,802 | 61,802 |  |  |
| Chemicals | 10,613 |  |  | 10,613 |
| Bad Debt Expense |  |  |  |  |


| SUBTOTAL | 674,197 | 257,684 | 294,070 | 122,443 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LESS COMMODITY | -257,684 |  |  | 122.443 |
| SUBTOTAL | 416.513 |  | 294,07\% | 29.40\% |
| PERCENT |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11,120 | 4,830 |
| Employee Salaries - Commissioners | 15,750 |  | 1,041 | 434 |
| Amortization Expense |  |  | 15,134 | 6,301 |
| Equipment Rental | 21,435 |  | 18,945 | 7,888 |
| Contractual Services- Eng. | 26,833 |  | 10,165 | 4,232 |
| Contractual Services - Materials | 29,115 |  | 20,556 | 8,559 |
| Materials \& Supplies |  |  | 7,806 | 3,250 |
| Insurance General Lrability | 11,056 |  | 6,825 | 2,842 |
| Miscellaneous Expense | 803,925 | 257,684 | 385,662 | 160,579 |
| TOTAL | 803,925 |  |  |  |

GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT

| PHASE 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | COMMMODITY | DEMAND | CUSTOMER |
| Plant Percentages | 100.00\% |  | 91.53\% | 8.47\% |
| Debt Service Payments | \$119,264 |  | \$109, | 10,096 |
| Depreciation Percentages | 100.00\% |  | 91.21\% | .79\% |
| Total Depreciation | 257,765 |  | 235,120 | 22,645 160.579 |
| Total Operation \& Mantenance | 803,925 | \$257,684.00 | 385,662 | r 160,579 |
| Total Revenue Requirement | 1,180,954.00 | 257,684.00 | 729,949.60 | $193,320.40$ $-6,899.00$ |
| Less: Other income |  |  |  | -55.913.00 |
| Revenue Requirement from retail water sales | \$1,118,142.00 | \$257,684.00 | \$729,949.60 | \$130,508.40 |

GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT

| PHASE 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wholesale Gallons Sold | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate } \\ \text { per } 1,000 \end{gathered}$ | Revenue |
| -_- Gallons 8 8,259,300 | \$2.52 | \$20,813.44 |
| Revenue Requirement increase | 6.67\% |  |
| Revenue Requrement $8,259,300$ | \$2.69 | \$22,217.52 |

GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT

| SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PHASE 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & 100.00 \% \end{aligned}$ | COMMMODITY | $\begin{array}{r} \text { DEMAND } \\ 91.53 \% \end{array}$ | CUSTOMER 8.47\% |
| Plant Percentages | \$119,264 |  | \$109, 168 | \$10,096 |
| Debt Service Payment | 100.00\% |  | 91.21\% | 8.79\% |
| Deprecration Percentages | 257,765 |  | 235, 120 | 22,645 |
| Total Depreciation | 803,925 | 257,684 | 385,662 | 160,579 |
| Total Operation \& Mantenance | 1,180,954 | 257,684 | 729,950 | 193,320 |
| Total Revenue Requirement Less: Other income | - $-6,899$ |  |  | $-6,899$ $-55,913$ |
| Less: Other Operating Revenue | $-55,913$ -22218 | -6,472 | -15.746 | -55,913 |
| Less: Revenue from Wholesale Customer Revenue Requirement from retail water sales | \$1.095,924 | \$251,212 | \$714,204 | \$130,508 |

NOTES: $\quad$ Commodity and Demand
NOTES.
components.
GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTIRICT
CALCULATION OF RETAIL WATER RATES
PHASE 2


GRAVES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
Meter Size: $\quad 5 / 8$ inch


$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { MENT } \\
& \\
\text { RATE } & \text { REVENUE } \\
\hline \$ 13.53 & \$ 516,088.32 \\
5.28 & 473,661.41 \\
4.73 & 52,516.24 \\
4.18 & 22,605.02 \\
3.62 & 9,142.67 \\
\hline & \$ 1,074,013.67 \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
26 &
\end{array}
$$

1 inch

|  |  |  |  | FIRST | NEXT | NEXT | NEXT 300 | OVER 500 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USAGE | BILLS | GALLONS | $50$ | 50 |  |  |  | $\frac{1.915}{}$ |
| FIRST | 50 | 79 | 1,915 | 1,915 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEXT | 50 | 30 | 2,360 | 1,500 | 860 |  |  |  | 2,360 |
| NEXT | 100 | 34 | 4,283 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 883 |  |  | 4,283 |
| NEXT | 300 | 7 | 2,309 | 350 | 350 | 700 | 909 |  | 2,309 |
|  |  | 22 | 16,327 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 2.200 | 6,600 | 5,327 | 16,327 |
| OVER | Gallons $\times 100$ | 172 | 2,719,400 | 656,500 | 401,000 | 378,300 | 750,900 | 532,700 | 2,719,400 |

\[

\]

2 inch

|  |  |  |  | FIRST | NEXT 300 | OVER 500 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USAGE | BILLS | GALLONS | 200 | 300 | 500 | TOTAL |
| FIRST | 200 | 50 | 4,364 | 4,364 |  |  | 4,364 |
| NEXT | 300 | 21 | 7,729 | 4,200 | 3,529 |  | 7,729 |
| OVER | 500 | 5 | 4,574 | 1.000 | 1,500 | 2,074 | 4,574 |
|  |  | 76 | 1,666,700 | 956,400 | 502,900 | 207,400 | 1,666,700 | | REVENUE BY RATE INCREMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BILLS |  |  |  |  |  | GALLONS | RATE | REVENUE |
| 20,000 | 76 | 956,400 | $\$ 103.07$ | $\$ 7,833.32$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30,000 |  | 502,900 | 4.18 | $2,102.12$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50,000 |  | 207,400 | 3.62 | 750.79 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 76 | $1,666,700$ |  | $\$ 10,686.23$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Attachment G．2 $2 / 2$

| Relocatc Main | 2008 | 17.41333 | 30 | 13.44313 | 40300 | 53733 | 403 00 | 940.33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Msins | 2008 | 131567 | 31 | 1.315 .67 | 41.00 | 54.67 | 4100 | 9567 |
| relacsue Main | 2008 | 351931 | 30 | 3.51933 | 106．00 | 14133 | 10500 | 24731 |
| Flush Hydrants | 21008 | 1.562 .00 | 20 | 156200 | 7810 | l01 10 | 7810 | 18120 |
| Lines | 2008 | 249.00 | 20 | 249.00 | 1200 | 16，00 | 1200 | 2800 |
| 1 Linas | 2008 | 84633 | 14 | 84633 | 58.00 | 77.33 | 58．00 | 135.33 |
| Cap，Exp | 2009 | 3.47967 | 28 | 2，479 67 | 86.00 | 11467 | 8600 | 20067 |
| Projea | 2008 | 8.67262 | 28 | 8，672，67 | 30200 | 402.67 | 30200 | 70467 |
| Fluth Hydants | 2008 | 115133 | 27 | ［161．33 | 43.00 | 5733 | 4300 | 100．33 |
| Baring | 2008 | 89933 | 26 | 49937 | 34.00 | 45.33 | 3×0a | 79.31 |
| Lines | 2006 | 7．564．33 | 25 | 7.564 .33 | 29800 | 197.31 | 29800 | 65533 |
| Boring | 2008 | 470．00 | 29 | 470.00 | 2100 | 2800 | 2100 | 4900 |
| Cap Exp | 2008 | 533.33 | 16 | 533.35 | 3100 | 4133 | 3100 | 72.33 |
| Cap Exp． | 2008 | 1559.67 | 14 | 1．559．67 | 10700 | \＄42．67 | 107.00 | 24967 |
| Crp．Erp | 2008 | 16.041 .67 | 13 | 16，04t 67 | 1.100 .00 | 1.46 EF | 1108.00 | 156667 |
| Casing | 2008 | 1.96500 | 13 | 1.96500 | 13500 | Isada | 135.00 | 31500 |
| Mnins | 2008 | 76，01033 | 13 | 76.010 .33 | 8，18500 | 10.654 .42 | 8185.00 | 18，839 42 |
| Projeal | 2008 | 8986733 | 23 | 89867.35 | 2.40700 | 320933 | 2.407 .00 | 5，61633 |
| Tank Cont | 2008 | 9.486 .33 | 7 | 9.48633 | 1.210 .00 | 1.615 .33 | 1210.00 | 2.82133 |
| Mehunimi 1 Tank | 2008 | 24．109．00 | 7 | 24，109．00 | 107800 | 4.10400 | 3.878 .00 | 7.18200 |
| Tark Cosit． | 2008 | 247．859．67 | 37 | 247.85367 | ¢，638．00 | 8.850 .67 | 6，638．00 | 15.488 .67 |
| Tank Conss | 2008 | 25.439 .67 | 7 | 25.43967 | 3.712 .00 | 4.782 .67 | 121200 | 7．494，67 |
| Boring | 2008 | 4.92433 | 32 | 4.224 33 | 13700 | 20933 | 157.00 | 36633 |
| pump | 2008 | 4.616 .31 | 32 | 4.636 .33 | 14800 | 19733 | 148.00 | 3453 |
| Cap Exp． | 2008 | 9.88333 | 32 | 9.8883 | 31600 | 42133 | 316.00 | 73733 |
| Nom Depraciatie Lind | 2008 | 10，02000 | 0 | 10.020 ED | S | 120\％ | － | 21027 |
| Pumps | 2008 | 17.40939 | 19 | 17.40939 | 90158 | 120120 | 90158 | 2.10278 |
| Cyp Ex | 2008 | 11768.33 | 16 | 11.26833 | 70427 | 94160 | 7042J | $1,645.87$ |
| Cop Ex | 2008 | 14，000．00 | 32 | 14.00000 | ＜3730 | \＄62s0 | 43750 | 102000 |
| Cup Ex | 2008 | 28，324，67 | 3.3 | 28.32467 | 858.32 | 114199 | 88B 32 | 2.00031 |
| Cap Ex | 3008 | 6,62000 | 34 | 6,620000 | 19471 | 25774 | 19474 | 452.48 |
| Pump | 2008 | 20667 | 26 | 206.67 | 7.5 | 10.62 | 755 | 18.57 |
| Caumpesor | 2008 | 26709 | 4 | 26700 | 6575 | 8575 | 6675 | 152.50 |
| Enginter | 2008 | 13367 | 26 | 13367 | 514 | 681 | 314 | 1195 |
| Equiprient | 2008 | 1.172 .00 | 16 | 117200 | 72 | 14025 | 73.25 | 213.50 |
| Feca | 2008 | 357767 | 2） | 3.57767 | 123.37 | 16304 | 12337 | 28641 |
| Hjuranis | 2008 | 1.58833 | 6 | 1.586 .33 | 26473 | 32805 | 26472 | 59277 |
| Hydren | 2008 | 4，419 10 | 37 | 4.41900 | 11943 | 17043 | 11943 | 28985 |
| Hydrants | 2008 | 8731 | 17 | 8733 | 514 | 647 | 514 | 11 Gl |
| Hfdrants | 2008 | 49733 | 16 | 49733 | 31.08 | 4041 | 31.08 | 7149 |
| Hydrant | 2008 | 73700 | 8 | 73700 | 9213 | 11713 | 92.13 | 20926 |
| Liner | 2008 | 495.00 | 26 | 495.00 | 10.04 | 2304 | 19.04 | 4408 |
| Lines | 2008 | 9，41200 | 36 | 9，4200 | 26144 | 37844 | $2614{ }^{4}$ | 63988 |
| Lines | 2008 | 8：086．3］ | 35 | 8，086．33 | 231.04 | 30637 | 231.04 | 53741 |
| Mains | 2008 | 235.19783 | 19 | 23519783 | 1237880 | 120.20 | 12378.83 | 12.19903 |
| Atina | 2008 | 1.69733 | 19 | 1.60733 | 8933 | 116.66 | 89．3］ | 20599 |
| Meiss | 2008 | 1.71733 | 13 | 1.71733 | 13210 | 17043 | 122.10 | 362：51 |
| Malns | 2008 | 1369.67 | 8 | 1369.67 | 171.21 | 21288 | 171.21 | 18509 |
| Maits | 2008 | 3828133 | 6 | 38，281．33 | 6．380，27 | 8，46955 | 6， 38022 | 14，84） 77 |
| Mains | 20018 | 471.67 | 32 | 471.67 | 1474 | $324\}$ | 1474 | 4715 |
| Matins | 2008 | 1.31367 | 26 | 1313.67 | 5053 | 66.20 | 5053 | 1167 |
| Maias | 2008 | 862.67 | 25 | 861.67 | 34.51 | 4518 | 315 | 7969 |
| Mains | 2008 | 289500 | 10 | 2.80500 | 289.50 | 16850 | 289.50 | 65800 |
| Mains | 2000 | 583 67 | 21 | 383.67 | 2779 | 36.46 | 2779 | 6425 |
| Meten | 2008 | 960.00 | 5 | 960.00 | 19200 | 25200 | 192.00 | 44500 |
| Meters | 2008 | 121．00 | 1 | 121.00 | 3025 | 38.25 | 30.25 | 68.50 |
| Necter | 2008 | 79.67 | 32 | 70.67 | 249 | 516 | 2.49 | 765 |
| Mctar | 2008 | 3300 | 29 | 73060 | 2517 | 3317 | 2517 | 5834 |
| Meler | 2048 | 21，403 33 | 28 | 21.40333 | 76440 | 116773 | 76410 | 1.93213 |
| Mcent | 2048 | 1.252 .00 | 27 | 1．2520a | 4637 | 61.37 | 4637 | 10774 |
| Mata | 2008 | 225.00 | 3 | 223.00 | 4500 | 4300 | 45.00 | 90.00 |
| Maxamilburn | 2008 | 26539 | 1 | 26533 | 66.33 | 8460 | 66.33 | 150.92 |
| Lund | 2008 | 14.99500 |  | 14.298 na |  | － | － | ＊ |
| Fipe | 2008 | 32732.67 | 33 | 32.732 .67 | 83930 | 1：1097 | 839.30 | 1.950 .27 |
| Pipe | 2008 | 8，83133 | 37 | 8，841 33 | 23895 | 38128 | 23895 | 620.23 |
| Pump | 2008 | 7956 | 27 | 7.996 .09 | 29515 | 39215 | 29615 | 6 BR 50 |
| Pump | 2008 | 102933 | 27 | 1.029 .33 | 3812 | 50.85 | 3812 | 㫙97 |
| Purap | 2008 | 1.933 .00 | 26 | 193100 | 7438 | 9835 | 74.35 | 17270 |
| Tanil | 2008 | 362.00 | 1 | 362.00 | 9050 | 11550 | 20.50 | 20600 |
| Tover | 2008 | 510.67 | 26 | 540.67 | 20.80 | 27047 | 2080 | 29127 |
| Tower | 2008 | 38，31100 | 9 | 38，311．00 | 4.756 .78 | 533578 | 4，256．78 | 7．592．56 |
| Tower | 2008 | 254.63230 | 20 | 254，632．50 | 12．731．63 | 18，893 56 | 12731.63 | 31，62519 |
| Well | 2008 | 3.8356 .67 | 7 | 8，878．67 | 83410 | 112477 | 83410 | 1.95887 |
| Well | 2008 | 22133 | 2 | 22133 | 110.67 | 22133 |  | 22133 |
| Well | 2008 | 10．287．67 | 10 | 10287.67 | 51438 | 6790 | 314.38 | 1．193．43 |
| Trasmixicn tz Dismbution | 2008 | 21．928 98 | 20 | 23.028 .98 | 115145 | 172718 | 1151.45 | 2878．63 |
| Trasmision de Ditrribution | 2009 | 64．4E5．00 | 20 | 6， $6,485.00$ | 3，224，25 | 322423 | 3.224 .23 | 6．44150 |
| 30 FT pole | 2009 | 14300 | 5 | 14500 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 3800 |
| Well | 2010 |  | 20 | 16，735．00 | $\xrightarrow{817.75}$ | $\square$ | 8337．75 | 837.75 |
|  |  |  |  | 5.551368 .00 | 5 246，16，42 | 5 206，09100 | 5 244．535．09 | S $5.10,926.09$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tratmussion \＆Distribution Malns | 2010 | 306，379 00 | 30 | 306.379 .00 | 10，21263 |  | 10.21263 | 10．212．43 ${ }^{\text {al }}$ |
| AMI Meters | 2010 | 34.90470 | 20 | 54.90470 | 2.74524 | ， | 2.74524 | 274524 国 |
| Tranmistion Lines | 2010 | 25.395 .30 | 30 | 25.505 .30 | 85318 | ＊ | 85318 | 85318 相 |
| Well | 2010 | 16，755．00 | 20 | 16，755．00 | 837.73 | － | 837.75 | 897．75［80 |
|  |  | 203，64．00 |  | 171，64．00 | 14．648．8n， |  | 14．6＋8．80 | 14，648．80 |
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[^0]:    Persons may also view and download a copy of the rate application through the Public Service Commission's website at psc.ky.gov.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the Matter of Joint Application of Consumers Water District, Fancy Farm Water District, Hardeman Water District, and South Graves Water District for Approval of Merger and Formation of Graves County Water District.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Commission's Order, Finding 26.g.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the Matter of Application of Graves County Water District for Authority to Enter into a Loan Agreement with the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Graves has already installed the AMI assets in its area that was formerly served by Hardeman Water District using KIA grant funds that remained in Graves' possession after the completion of a separate project. The effect on Graves' operating costs of this portion of the AMI project has been accounted for in Phase 1 rates.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the Matter of Application of Graves County Water District for Approval of Construction and Issuance of a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for the Purchase and Installation of Automated Meter Reading Equipment.
    ${ }^{4}$ Appendix $A$ to the Order incorrectly stated the estimated project cost to be $\$ 1,749,794$. This amount included the portion of the AMI project for Graves and Hickory Water District. Graves' portion of the projected costs is \$1,330,491.
    ${ }^{5}$ In the Matter of Application of Graves County Water District for Authority to Enter into a Loan Agreement with the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Commission Order dated November 30, 2011, Finding 19, Finding 20, Footnote 13

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Case No 2007-00496 Graves stated that the Commission's approval to assume this loan was never sought by Fancy Farm or Graves.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Matter of the Application of Graves County Water District for Approval of Financing.

[^7]:    $\$ 163.568 .63$
    $\$ 627,007.37$

[^8]:    from retail water Revenue Requirement from retail wate

