RECEIVED

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601

NOV 07 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012-00221 - Opposition to Kentucky Utilities' Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of KU. I write to oppose KU's rate increases on electric service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, KU already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charges. KU wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh rate by only 3.5% (from 6.987 cents to 7.253 cents).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge, KU already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly service charge:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency; _
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, _ the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation;

In short, KU's proposed allocation is bad public policy. A public utility with a grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit should not employ such a pricing structure. I pray the Commission will not allow it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours.

Signature

(Please print clearly)

Indy F. Baker Inay F. Baker 2 Durdee Drive Name Address