

Archived: Thursday, May 31, 2012 4:00:30 PM
From: [Ron Gregory](#)
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2012 7:28:34 PM
To: [Bob Berry](#)
Subject: FW: Wilson FGD
Response requested: No
Importance: Normal

Bob

The email you and I talked about.

Ron

From: Ken Daniel
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2012 8:31 AM
To: Ron Gregory
Subject: FW: Wilson FGD

From: Eric M. Robeson
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 8:32 AM
To: Ken Daniel
Subject: Re: Wilson FGD

You're probably down in the weeds a little bit. Just wanting big picture of how much write off could be. Suspect we may keep thickener either way as emergency back up. Thanks for looking at it

On Feb 22, 2012, at 8:08 AM, "Ken Daniel" <Kenneth.Daniel@bigrivers.com> wrote:

Eric,

In reviewing the document I am in agreement with most of your highlighted items. However, I am unsure of the status of the thickener and associated equipment. Some of the proposed FGD modifications indicated reuse of the thickener and others replace it with hydroclones. What assumption should I make? Or are you

looking at a high level review and I am mired in the details?

Ken

From: Eric M. Robeson
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2012 3:21 PM
To: Ken Daniel
Subject: Wilson FGD

Ken

Mark Hite sent me the CPR for the Wilson FGD

I made first pass at what I thought would probably be demo'd out (in red) and what I thought might be demo'd (in yellow)

Would appreciate you going thru my take on it and mark it up as you see fit

Call if you have questions 6185

Thanks

Eric