

**Archived:** Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:40:48 PM  
**From:** Eric M. Robeson  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 22, 2012 1:57:00 PM  
**To:** Rob Toerne  
**Subject:** RE: Wilson FGD Proposal Talking Points  
**Importance:** Normal

---

[See comments](#)

**From:** Rob Toerne  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 22, 2012 12:21 PM  
**To:** Eric M. Robeson  
**Subject:** Wilson FGD Proposal Talking Points

#### **BOTH VENDORS**

We would like your proposal to more specifically establish a specification and estimate the cost for only the replacement equipment for the Wilson Station FGD equipment. This should include necessary equipment from the limestone preparation equipment through the dewatering facility. We recognize the scrubber island as the basic replacement criteria however, we want to be sure the limestone preparation facility has the capacity and proper equipment to supply adequate reagent to the scrubber. We also need to be sure that the dewatering facility can handle the scrubber waste product and flow rates.

There are other ancillary or balance-of-plant (BOP) systems that will need an engineering review prior to final design but we would prefer these not be part of this scope. We believe BOP systems should not be included in this scope. This includes the following:

- Scrubber Solid Waste Conversion Facility
- Solid Waste Transportation and Storage (Landfill)
- FD/Booster Fan (including Boiler, ESP, ESP, and ductwork pressure study)
- Auxiliary Power
- Existing Control Systems
- Chimney/Liner
- CEMS

**We would like your opinion on our limiting the scope at this time**

This allows the focus of this study to be the FGD Island and FGD Process. We will seek BOP evaluations and cost estimates upon completion of the FGD study/cost assessment.

We believe the study should also include a Material/Water Balance study based on the proposed FGD system.

What BREC support will you need?  
Number of people?  
Hours per day?

#### **BURN & MAC ONLY**

Codes Review Study - Is this necessary at this time? **What exactly is meant by code review**  
Studies and Evaluations – Burns & Mac assumes many investigations and evaluations have been developed by Big Rivers. Big Rivers will require a cooperative effort from Burns & Mac to review and assist in obtaining any deficient or insufficient data or information **In addition, BR has concerns that Burns and Mac not pass the buck back to BR**

When would you put together a capital estimate for the overall project

**SARGENT & LUNDY ONLY**

Performance Guarantees - Should this be included at this time?

Complete Construction Project Schedule - Should this be included at this time? Burns and Mac has a higher level schedule in their proposal; each is acceptable

Robert F. Toerne  
Director, Supply Chain  
Big Rivers Electric Corporation  
P. O. Box 24  
201 Third Street  
Henderson, KY 42419-0024

Email: [rob.toerne@bigrivers.com](mailto:rob.toerne@bigrivers.com)  
Office Phone: 270.844.6029  
Cell Phone: 270.993.1604  
Fax Line: 888.268.6219

