

**Archived:** Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:59:36 PM  
**From:** [John Talbert](#)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 2:50:27 PM  
**To:** [Lindsay Barron](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sargent & Lundy Environmental Review  
**Response requested:** No  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Attachments:** [S&LEnvironmental Regulatory Review -Final.pdf](#) ;

---

[The timeline is in the executive summary.](#)

---

**From:** Mark Bailey  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 2:22 PM  
**To:** John Talbert  
**Cc:** Albert Yockey  
**Subject:** FW: Sargent & Lundy Environmental Review

**John, FYI. Mark**

---

**From:** Eric M. Robeson  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 18, 2011 1:45 PM  
**To:** Bob Berry; Bill Blackburn; Albert Yockey; David Crockett; Marty Littrel; Mark Hite; Ron Gregory; Jim Garrett; Wayne O'Bryan; Ken Daniel; Tom Shaw; Mark Bertram  
**Cc:** Mark Bailey  
**Subject:** Sargent & Lundy Environmental Review

Attached for your review is Sargent & Lundy's review of Environmental Regulations and the impact to Big Rivers.

Within the next month they will issue the other 2 parts of their study: a technological assessment including costs and the final recommendations.

The environmental review contains a 6 page executive summary.

Highlights include:

CSAPR

Minimal (<20%) NOx reductions

50% SO2 reductions in 2014

Potential for additional 20% further reductions following NAAQS update

HAPS/MACT

Mercury            50% reduction required for all units except HMPL

Acid Gases        all in compliance

TPM                Green and Wilson in compliance, HMPL close and Coleman out of compliance