

Archived: Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:41:11 PM
From: Eric M. Robeson
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2011 4:49:00 PM
To: Adam Landry (adam.c.landry@sargentlundy.com)
Subject: FW: S&L Report BREC Comments #3
Response requested: No
Importance: Normal

=

From: Ken Daniel
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2011 10:03 AM
To: Eric M. Robeson
Cc: Ron Gregory
Subject: RE: S&L Report

Eric,

I have reviewed and offer the following corrections/comments: (I wish Mr. Landry would send documents for review in Word format, much easier to edit!)

Page 2-10 Table 2-8 Wilson Pyrites Handling *Sluiced to Bottom Ash SSC* should read "Handled Dry"

Modifications Required *Eliminate Ash Storage Ponds and install Dewatering Equipment* should read "Dewatering Equipment in Place, Ash Handled Dry"

Page 3-4 Table 3-1 Wilson Increase L/G Comment: This is the premise for URS proposed modifications for Kellogg scrubbers; however, field experience at other utilities does not support their theoretical removal rates; therefore I question the validity of offering this as a viable control strategy. Past experimentation leads me to believe that changes in L/G do not produce results of the anticipated magnitude primarily due to inherent inefficiencies in the cross current design.

Additives *Either DBA or Sodium Formate could be used* should read "Currently using both DBA and Sodium Bisulfite"

Page 3-18 3.2.7.2 CCR Strategies
not have an ash pond.

Comment: Wilson Station does

Page 4-3 4.1.3 Additives *In the past, this organic acid*
should read "Wilson Station currently uses organic acid to enhance FGD performance."

Page 4-8 4.4.3 Sorbent Injection Comment: Wilson Station currently
has a DSI system, are we already obtaining some amount of CPM reduction? If so is
the estimated 50% reduction realistic?

If clarification or additional information is required, please let me know.

Ken

From: Ron Gregory
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2011 8:11 AM
To: Ken Daniel
Subject: FW: S&L Report
Importance: High

From: Eric M. Robeson
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2011 11:19 AM
To: Bob Berry; Ron Gregory; Jim Garrett; Wayne O'Bryan; Tom Shaw; Larry
Baronowsky
Subject: S&L Report
Importance: High

Gentlemen:

Attached is the most recent draft of the S&L study

I would appreciate you reviewing this document to see if it makes sense based on your
knowledge and experience with your facilities

The environmental regulation review is included as Appendix 1 of the report. WE have already signed off on this portion of the review

I would appreciate any comments by noon Thursday December 1 so I can accumulate and send them to S&L that day (I am out of office beginning December 2)

Your support is appreciated

Eric

From: ADAM.C.LANDRY@sargentlundy.com
[\[mailto:ADAM.C.LANDRY@sargentlundy.com\]](mailto:ADAM.C.LANDRY@sargentlundy.com)
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2011 4:21 PM
To: Eric M. Robeson
Subject: Fw: BREC Report

Eric,

Attached is the latest draft.

Regards,

Adam C. Landry
Professional Engineer of Indiana, Illinois, Alberta
Project Manager

Sargent & Lundy, LLC
55 East Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Phone: 312-269-7292
Cell: 312-656-2464
Fax: 312-269-9602