

**Archived:** Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:40:19 PM  
**From:** [Albert Yockey](#)  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 08, 2011 9:14:51 PM  
**To:** [Tom Shaw](#); [Bill Blackburn](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Review of language  
**Response requested:** No  
**Importance:** Normal

---

---

=

Thanks Tom. We will check with Bob.

Sent from my Windows Phone

---

From: Tom Shaw  
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2011 9:10 PM  
To: Albert Yockey; Bill Blackburn  
Subject: Review of language

Al, Bill:

The only issue that I found (I made this comment on the first review) is the statement on page F-8 paragraph starting with "Big Rivers is required to comply..... The statement is: "Big Rivers has \$30 million of planned environmental additions through 2013." I do know what the \$30 million is for. I nor Bob Berry (you can check with Bob tomorrow) were aware of any projects of new environmental additions during the first review of this document so I am not sure why this statement stayed in the document. I do not have any additional issues with the document. If you have additional questions do not hesitate to call me.  
Tom