

**Archived:** Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:40:32 PM  
**From:** [Bob Berry](#)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2012 7:35:42 AM  
**To:** [Tom Shaw](#); [Eric M. Robeson](#); [Albert Yockey](#)  
**Cc:** [Mark Bailey](#); [Jim Garrett](#); [Wayne O'Bryan](#); [Ron Gregory](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Mercury Rule  
**Importance:** Normal

---

Tom, When should we start the process of requesting the one year extension to comply? Also I have read some articles stating the EPA has outlined a procedure for utilities to request an additional year for a total of 5 years to comply.

Bob

**From:** Tom Shaw  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 16, 2012 3:54 PM  
**To:** [Mark Bailey](#); [Eric M. Robeson](#); [Bob Berry](#); [Jim Garrett](#); [Ron Gregory](#); [Wayne O'Bryan](#); [Albert Yockey](#)  
**Subject:** Mercury Rule

To All:

In case you have not already heard, EPA published in today's Federal Register the Mercury and Air Toxics (MATs) rule. This means the compliance time clock begins today and we will need all of the control equipment in place and operating no later than February 16, 2016 (assuming we get the promised 1 year extension). If I find any changes from the pre-published rule I will let the group know. If you have any questions please do not hesitate to ask.

Tom