

Archived: Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:41:16 PM
From: [Eric M. Robeson](#)
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 2:14:00 PM
To: ADAM.C.LANDRY@sargentlundy.com
Subject: RE: Capital Cost estimates
Importance: Normal

If scenario 2 is more likely than scenario 1, how hard would it be to reverse the numbering of them?

Also, on scenario 5, there is no SO2 options included. Curious as to why.

Eric

From: ADAM.C.LANDRY@sargentlundy.com
[\[mailto:ADAM.C.LANDRY@sargentlundy.com\]](mailto:ADAM.C.LANDRY@sargentlundy.com)
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2011 12:57 PM
To: Eric M. Robeson
Subject: Capital Cost estimates

Eric,

Attached is the results of our capital cost estimates for the modifications, based on the technology matrices provided earlier.

As discussed, we are awaiting info on the Rotomix for Coleman 1 SNCR. Also, after further investigation, we do not believe the combinations of mods for the Wilson FGD will achieve the desired overall system results.

All costs are net present day costs.

Regards,

Adam C. Landry

***Professional Engineer of Indiana, Illinois, Alberta
Project Manager***

Sargent & Lundy, LLC
55 East Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Phone: 312-269-7292
Cell: 312-656-2464
Fax: 312-269-9602