

Archived: Thursday, May 31, 2012 3:59:50 PM
From: [Mark Bailey](#)
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2011 11:57:06 AM
To: [Bob Berry](#)
Subject: RE: Burns & McDonnell
Response requested: No
Importance: Normal

Could you hold off for a few weeks? Thanks....

Sent from my Samsung Jack™, a Windows Mobile® smartphone from AT&T

From: Bob Berry <Bob.Berry@bigrivers.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2011 11:55 AM
To: Mark Bailey <Mark.Bailey@bigrivers.com>
Subject: RE: Burns & McDonnell

Mark, I have a hard copy of Sargent & Lundy's proposal to evaluate our compliance options regarding the new EPA regulations, which addresses the comments and suggestions you provided last week. Their price is \$189,000 compared to Burns and McDonnell's price of \$230,000 for the same deliverables. We intend to proceed with Sargent & Lundy unless you have objections.

Bob

From: Mark Bailey
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2011 10:45 AM
To: Bob Berry
Subject: FW: Burns & McDonnell

Bob, FYI. Mark

Sent from my Samsung Jack™, a Windows Mobile® smartphone from AT&T

From: Bill Blackburn <Bill.Blackburn@bigrovers.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2011 10:43 AM
To: Mark Bailey <Mark.Bailey@bigrovers.com>
Subject: Burns & McDonnell

Just met with Scott Strawn and Kiah Harris who wanted to discuss how things are going and future business. I unloaded on both of them on how poorly the depreciation study went. I suggested they review with Ted Kelly and respond to their poor quality of work. I also indicated it would be difficult to move forward with a new project with B&M until we could get comfortable this poor quality of work has been corrected.

I also discuss the PSC process and their participation in data request and hearing. They assures me that we would get their full attention
Sent from my Windows Phone