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## Dear Mr. Garrett:

This report encompasses the Comprehensive Depreciation Study (the Study), completed by Burns \& McDonnell Engineering Company (Burns \& McDonnell) on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation (Big Rivers), for Big Rivers' electric plant and transmission assets as of April 30, 2010. The Study was prepared in accordance with Big Rivers' Request for Quotation dated May 1, 2010 and Big Rivers' Purchase Order \#119451 dated June 29, 2010. The Study was performed for all facilities accounted for in accordance with Rural Utilities Service (RUS) Bulletin 1767B-1, Uniform System of Accounts.

Big Rivers has also committed to filing for a general review of its operations and tariffs to the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC) within three years of closing the generation plant "unwind" transaction from July, 2009. This Study was also completed as a requirement for that filing. The depreciation rates developed as part of this study must be approved by the RUS and KPSC before implementation. This Study reflects the results of Burns \& McDonnell's engineering assessment and analysis of the remaining useful lives of Big Rivers' system assets and presents our proposed electric plant and transmission system depreciation rates.

The Study presents the proposed remaining life estimates and the corresponding proposed depreciation rates for each account of Big Rivers' system. This Study also provides comparisons of Big Rivers' annual depreciation expense calculated using both the existing and the proposed depreciation rates based on the plant in service as of April 30, 2010. This comparison shows the proposed depreciation rates would result in an increase in depreciation expense of approximately $\$ 4.0$ million per year; $\$ 2.2$ million of the increase is the result of increasing the depreciation rate for Account 312 A-K Environmental Compliance.

This report represents the completion of Burns \& McDonnell's scope of services for the Comprehensive Depreciation Study on behalf of Big Rivers. Our project manager and team of engineers who participated in the project would like to extend appreciation to the staff for their assistance during the project. We also are available to discuss this report and Burns \& McDonnell's findings with you at your convenience.

Sincerely,
Burns \& McDonnell
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes the Comprehensive Depreciation Study (the Study), completed by Burns \& McDonnell Engineering Company (Burns \& McDonnell) on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation (Big Rivers; or the Cooperative), pertaining to Big Rivers’ electric and transmission plant assets in service as of April 30, 2010. The Study was prepared in accordance with Big Rivers’ Request for Proposal (RFP) dated May 1, 2010, Burns \& McDonnell’s proposal dated June 4, 2010, and Big Rivers’ Purchase Order Number 119451, dated June 29, 2010.

## INTRODUCTION

The Study desired by Big Rivers was to be performed for all facilities accounted for in accordance with Rural Utilities Service (RUS) Bulletin 1767B-1. Big Rivers completed and filed its last depreciation study with the RUS in 1998. Big Rivers requires a comprehensive depreciation study be performed in accordance with RUS Bulletin 1767B-1, Uniform System of Accounts. Big Rivers has committed to filing a general review of its operations and tariffs with the Kentucky Public Service Commission (KPSC) within three years of closing the generation plant "unwind" transaction from July, 2009. This Study was completed as a requirement for that filing with the KPSC.

Burns \& McDonnell’s approach to meeting the requirements for the Study was based substantially on performance of the physical site observations of the generating and transmission facilities by expert power plant design engineers and transmission system engineers, respectively. These engineers then applied their experience and engineering judgment in approximating the remaining lives of each of Big Rivers' generating facilities. The activities performed during the site visits at each generating station included:

- Observation of generating and transmission plant equipment and facilities
- Evaluation of equipment and facilities condition
- Interview of plant operating and maintenance staff and transmission staff
- Review of organization structure, procedures, and staffing levels
- Determination of facility operating and maintenance practices
- Assessment of facility operating and maintenance experiences
- Collection of pertinent cost and operating data and records
- Collection of environmental data
- Development of facilities descriptions

The projected remaining economic lives of the various transmission assets and generating assets for each plant were then factored into the depreciation rate analysis performed by Burns \& McDonnell's depreciation consultants. The Study included analysis of the service life characteristics; projected net salvage values; and depreciation reserves for the generating assets, as well as for the transmission and general plant assets.

The information used in the analysis of Big Rivers' depreciation rates was provided by the Cooperative's staff. This included various computer-generated accounting data, certain performance results, budgets, inspection reports, technical documents such as drawings and specifications, contracts, policies and procedure manuals, and other documents such as prior related studies. Historical data from 1965 to 2010 that was recorded in Big Rivers’ Continuing Property Records (CPR) system was used throughout the analyses. For plant categories where sufficient experience data was not available, publicly available industry data was utilized as a representative proxy.

In addition, site visits were conducted at each of Big Rivers’ production facilities, representative transmission substations, representative transmission lines, and the headquarters offices in Henderson, Kentucky. Key production, environmental, and accounting staff were interviewed and the condition of the facilities was assessed during these site visits. The physical site observations of the system facilities did not include any internal inspections or examinations, environmental testing, or completion of any performance tests on the equipment and facilities. No system, structural, pipe stress, or other mathematical modeling analysis was included in the scope of the facilities observations.

Generally accepted depreciation study procedures widely used by the utility industry were followed. Actuarial analysis of average service lives and dispersions based on historical
characteristics of the RUS account since inception were developed. Either the Whole Life procedure or the Life Span combined with the Remaining Life technique was used to calculate the proposed depreciation rate for each account, depending on the nature of the types of property units included in the account.

## ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT

Estimated remaining useful lives for Big Rivers’ generating plant assets were based, in part, on the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) guidelines for high temperature creep design. Per these guidelines, the portions of a generating facility subject to creep stress should be designed to experience at least 200,000 hours of service or 5,000 thermal cycles. Assuming 8,000 hours of full-load operation per year, this equates to 25 years of service.

Because most equipment manufacturers are quite conservative in applying these guidelines, reaching these levels of service does not mean that a generating unit cannot provide reliable service for much longer periods. It does mean that creep-susceptible portions of a generating unit that has logged this level of operation should undergo metallurgical testing to detect the beginning of creep stress damage. Once damage is detected, the affected components should be evaluated regularly and repairs or replacement performed as indicated to facilitate the unit's successful return to service.

Burns \& McDonnell recommends that Big Rivers continue to follow a comprehensive program of testing on those units approaching the service limits in the ASTM guidelines. Individual components should be either repaired or replaced as damage is identified. Since creep stress is a long-term phenomenon, there should be adequate time to procure and schedule replacement of any damaged components.

All of the Big Rivers generating units (except Wilson I) have reached the age when this testing program should be performed. This testing is currently being performed by Big Rivers (and should continue to be performed). Based on the results of these tests, there is no reason, from a mechanical engineering perspective, that all of Big Rivers’ generating units cannot remain in service as long as they are economically viable to operate.

Based on Big Rivers' records of operation, maintenance and component replacements; other service documents; and on-site inspections; approximately 250,000 hours of additional operation was assumed to calculate the remaining useful life of each unit. The annual utilization factors from the prior depreciation study for each unit were retained and assumed to continue for purposes of translating the remaining operating hours into remaining years of service. The estimated operating hours to date (2009) and the estimated remaining useful life for each facility are discussed and shown in Section II, Table II-3.

## DEPRECIATION RATE ANALYSIS

The Study was conducted to analyze the service life characteristics, net salvage indications, and depreciation reserve status based on historical data from Big Rivers' CPR system data, and then to derive appropriate depreciation rates for Big Rivers’ electric plant in service and transmission system. Actuarial analyses were performed using Big Rivers’ historical data and applied to individual accounts to estimate useful service lives and net salvage rates.

Two primary methods were used to calculate depreciation accruals: the Whole Life method (most General Plant accounts) and the Life Span method combined with the Remaining Life technique (all Production accounts, Transmission accounts, and Account 390 - Structures).

Burns \& McDonnell's engineers and depreciation consultants performed analysis of available data and information in order to assess whether specific detailed estimates of terminal removal costs for each of the Big Rivers generating stations could be developed with reasonable substantiation. The significant potential costs that could be required for environmental remediation required at the Big Rivers plant sites were not considered in developing the net salvage values.. Instead, the historical removal costs provided by Big Rivers were considered in the projected net salvage values.

Table ES-1 shows each capital plant account balance and reserve balance as of April 30, 2010. Table ES-1 also summarizes the results of the depreciation rate analysis by showing the existing depreciation rates and annual depreciation expense compared to the proposed depreciation rates
and annual depreciation expense. Detailed calculations for the proposed rates are provided in Appendix A.

Annual depreciation expense based on applying the existing depreciation rates to the April 30, 2010 balances in each account totaled $\$ 35.7$ million. The application of the proposed depreciation rates to the same April 30, 2010 account balances resulted in estimated annual depreciation expense of approximately $\$ 39.6$ million, representing an estimated increase in Big Rivers' total annual depreciation expense approximately $\$ 4.0$ million. $\$ 2.2$ million of the increase is the result of increasing the depreciation rate for Account 312 A-K Environmental Compliance.

Table ES-1: 2010 Depreciation Rate Study Summary

| Description | As of April 30, 2010 |  |  | Existing <br> Depreciation <br> Rate | Average Service Life | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Remaining } \\ \text { Service } \\ \text { Life } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Net } \\ \text { Salvage } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | ProposedDepreciationRate | Annual Depreciation Expense |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ | Reserve Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reserve } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Existing | Proposed | Variance |
|  | - \$ - | - \$ - |  | - \% - | - Years - | - Years - | - \% - | - \% - | - \$ - | - \$ - | - \$ - |
| 310 Land \& Land Improvements | 4,537,577 | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | - |
| PRODUCTION PLANT [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 Land | 475,968 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 311 Structures | 124,375,974 | 78,124,758 | 62.8 | 1.71\% | 62 | 30 | -4.5\% | 1.38\% | 2,126,829 | 1,717,828 | $(409,001)$ |
| 312 Boiler Plant | 667,206,536 | 347,026,279 | 52.0 | 1.79\% | 60 | 28 | -5.0\% | 1.88\% | 11,942,997 | 12,543,396 | 600,399 |
| 312 A-K Boiler Plant - Env Compl | 574,184,346 | 216,760,670 | 37.8 | 1.89\% | 53 | 28 | -2.0\% | 2.28\% | 10,852,084 | 13,074,185 | 2,222,101 |
| 312 L-P Short-Life Production Plant -Environmental | 3,208,938 | 165,475 | 5.2 | 1.89\% | 10 | 5 | 0.0\% | 20.22\% | 60,649 | 648,949 | 588,300 |
| 312 V-Z Short-Life Production Plant -Other | 868,755 | 210,738 | 24.3 | 1.89\% | 10 | 5 | 0.0\% | 14.39\% | 16,419 | 125,054 | 108,634 |
| 314 Turbine | 225,272,354 | 124,744,924 | 55.4 | 1.66\% | 60 | 28 | -8.2\% | 1.91\% | 3,739,521 | 4,309,293 | 569,772 |
| 315 Electric Eqpt | 60,355,721 | 35,350,377 | 58.6 | 1.60\% | 51 | 19 | 3.0\% | 1.99\% | 965,692 | 1,202,952 | 237,260 |
| 316 Misc Eqpt | 3,014,912 | 42,128 | 1.4 | 1.83\% | 58 | 26 | 0.5\% | 3.78\% | 55,173 | 113,919 | 58,746 |
| 341 CT - Structures | 154,233 | 115,766 | 75.1 | 2.31\% | 53 | 21 | 0.0\% | 1.17\% | 3,563 | 1,804 | $(1,759)$ |
| 342 CT - Fuel Holders \& Access. | 1,436,912 | 564,590 | 39.3 | 2.32\% | 53 | 21 | -134.8\% | 9.10\% | 33,336 | 130,751 | 97,414 |
| 343 CT - Prime Movers | 4,915,886 | 3,637,977 | 74.0 | 2.47\% | 53 | 21 | -38.3\% | 3.02\% | 121,422 | 148,408 | 26,986 |
| 344 CT - Generators | 1,102,964 | 984,479 | 89.3 | 2.23\% | 53 | 22 | 0.0\% | 0.50\% | 24,596 | 5,511 | $(19,085)$ |
| 345 CT - Access. Elec. Eqpt. | 317,726 | 179,425 | 56.5 | 2.23\% | 53 | 21 | 0.0\% | 2.05\% | 7,085 | 6,510 | (575) |
| Subtotal | 1,666,891,222 | 807,907,587 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,949,367 | 34,028,559 | 4,079,192 |
| TRANSMISSION [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350 Land | 558,665 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 352 Structures | 6,725,346 | 3,664,345 | 54.5 | 1.76\% | 53 | 25 | -2.4\% | 1.90\% | 118,366 | 127,998 | 9,632 |
| 353 Station Eqpt | 115,297,358 | 51,467,633 | 44.6 | 2.22\% | 53 | 25 | -0.2\% | 2.23\% | 2,559,601 | 2,573,726 | 14,125 |
| 354 Towers | 8,593,544 | 4,868,075 | 56.6 | 2.28\% | 58 | 30 | 0.0\% | 1.42\% | 195,933 | 122,186 | $(73,747)$ |
| 355 Poles | 41,558,164 | 22,321,791 | 53.7 | 3.24\% | 50 | 23 | 0.0\% | 2.06\% | 1,346,485 | 854,950 | $(491,535)$ |
| 356 Lines | 41,070,042 | 23,399,406 | 57.0 | 2.47\% | 53 | 26 | 0.0\% | 1.69\% | 1,014,430 | 692,966 | $(321,464)$ |
| Subtotal | 213,803,120 | 105,721,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,234,815 | 4,371,826 | $(862,989)$ |
| GENERAL PLANT [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 389 Land | 407,251 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 390 Structures [1] | 3,944,895 | 1,786,210 | 45.3 | 2.59\% | 43 | 12 | 21.8\% | 2.84\% | 102,173 | 111,928 | 9,755 |
| 391.0/391.6/391.7 Office Furniture \& Eqpt | 616,135 | $(282,102)$ | -45.8 | 1.11\% | 10 | 8 | 8.9\% | 17.12\% | 6,839 | 105,460 | 98,621 |
| 391.2 Computer | 7,013,902 | 436,114 | 6.2 | 1.11\% | 10 | 9 | 1.2\% | 10.29\% | 77,854 | 721,713 | 643,859 |
| 392.2 Vehicles - General | 1,699,130 | 995,277 | 58.6 | 5.62\% | 10 | 6 | 14.2\% | 4.39\% | 95,491 | 74,575 | $(20,916)$ |
| 392.3 Vehicles - Transmission | 1,257,240 | 625,460 | 49.7 | 5.62\% | 10 | 5 | 16.9\% | 6.14\% | 70,657 | 77,173 | 6,517 |
| 393 Stores Eqpt | 98,766 | 69,468 | 70.3 | 3.57\% | 16 | 6 | 4.4\% | 4.40\% | 3,526 | 4,349 | 823 |
| 394 Tools | 717,086 | 385,947 | 53.8 | 2.85\% | 16 | 9 | 2.7\% | 4.61\% | 20,437 | 33,072 | 12,635 |
| 395 Lab Eqpt | 221,279 | 160,195 | 72.4 | 2.86\% | 16 | 6 | 2.1\% | 4.41\% | 6,329 | 9,768 | 3,440 |
| 396 Power Operated Eqpt [3] | 504,739 | 392,925 | 77.8 | 3.70\% | 16 | 5 | 24.9\% | 3.70\% | 18,675 | 18,675 | - |
| 397 Communication Eqpt [4] | 1,639,437 | 1,640,029 | 100.0 | 4.35\% | 16 | 1 | -0.1\% | 4.35\% | 71,316 | 71,316 | - |
| 398 Miscellaneous Eqpt | 163,645 | 3,925 | 2.4 | 5.44\% | 16 | 8 | 3.2\% | 11.80\% | 8,902 | 19,309 | 10,407 |
| Subtotal | 18,283,504 | 6,213,447 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 482,199 | 1,247,338 | 765,140 |

[1] Life Span Method depreciation
[2] Whole Life Method depreciation
[3] This rate $w$ as left unchanged because the calculated rate $w$ as negative.
[4] Depreciation rate is equal to the previous rate due to Big Rivers current $\$ 7$ million Replacement Program.

## SUMMARY \& CONCLUSIONS

Based on our analysis of the information provided by Big Rivers and the results of our on-site observations of the Big Rivers system facilities, Burns \& McDonnell has formulated estimates of the remaining useful service lives for each plant and the transmission system assets. From this, proposed depreciation rates have been developed for all of the Cooperative's generation, transmission, and general plant in service, utilizing historical accounting records data, other published depreciation survey information, and generally-accepted depreciation analysis methodologies.

Assuming that the recommended equipment testing on the generating plant assets is continued and assuming that any damaged components of the equipment are either repaired or replaced, Burns \& McDonnell finds that there should be no reason, from a mechanical engineering perspective, that all of Big Rivers' generating units could not remain in reliable operating service well into the future. This conclusion is conditioned by the forthcoming statement of limiting conditions.

Therefore, Burns \& McDonnell recommends to Big Rivers that it consider pursuing approval and implementation of the proposed depreciation rates for each RUS account as presented in this report. These proposed depreciation rates are projected to increase the total annual depreciation expense of Big Rivers by approximately 11 percent.

## STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS

The analysis and results of the Study developed and presented herein by Burns \& McDonnell are based on sound engineering and economic theory. However, certain factors and parameters affecting the performance of the Study must be clearly stated. The estimated remaining useful lives, net salvage rates, and proposed depreciation rates are provided subject to the following limiting conditions:

1. All existing information and facts known to Big Rivers were assumed to have been made available.
2. Assessments of the condition of the assets were based solely on casual observations. No detailed testing of any of the equipment or facilities was performed by Burns \& McDonnell.
3. Continuation of generally accepted levels of and procedures for operation and maintenance of the plant in service throughout the remaining life was assumed.
4. Emphasis on the engineering assessment of the generating assets and transmission assets was assumed. No physical inspection of transmission and general plant assets was made.

In the preparation of this report, the information provided to us by Big Rivers was used by Burns \& McDonnell to make certain assumptions with respect to conditions that may exist in the future. While we believe the assumptions made are reasonable for the purposes of this report, we make no representation that the conditions assumed will, in fact, occur. In addition, while we have no reason to believe that the information provided to us by Big Rivers, and on which we have relied, is inaccurate in any material respect, we have not independently verified such information and cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness. To the extent that actual future conditions differ from those assumed herein or from the information provided to us, the actual results will vary from those projected.

PART I - INTRODUCTION

## PART I

## INTRODUCTION

This report describes the Comprehensive Depreciation Study completed by Burns \& McDonnell Engineering Company for Big Rivers Electric Corporation (as of April 30, 2010). The Study was prepared in accordance with Big Rivers' Request for Quotation, dated May 1, 2010, Burns \& McDonnell’s proposal, dated June 4, 2010, and Big Rivers’ Purchase Order Number 119451, dated June 29, 2010. The Study desired by Big Rivers was to be performed for all facilities accounted for in accordance with RUS Bulletin 1767B-1, Uniform System of Accounts.

Part II of the Study, Engineering Assessment, is intended to address the issues identified by the RUS to be covered in the Study:

- Discussion of facility basic design and equipment
- Analysis of plant historical performance
- Review of on-site inspection and analysis of operating conditions
- Discussion of Big Rivers' operation, maintenance, and staffing
- Analysis of external and environmental factors affecting asset useful lives
- Statement of opinion regarding remaining economic lives and proper depreciation rates

Descriptions of each of Big Rivers’ generating stations are provided, along with assessments of the recent historical operations and maintenance and the current physical condition of each plant developed through the on-site observations of the facilities. The engineering assessment presented in Part II addresses each of the above areas, with the exception of the development of proposed depreciation rates.

The analyses leading to formulation of proposed new depreciation rates for Big Rivers are described in Part III. Part III provides brief descriptions of the alternative methods used in calculating depreciation rates and identifies the specific method used, as well as the various considerations and assumptions made, in developing the actuarial analyses for each account. Detailed calculations for all the accounts are provided in Appendix A.

Part IV of the Study summarizes the results of the Study and quantifies the estimated impact of the proposed depreciation rates on Big Rivers’ annual depreciation expense accrual.

## BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

Big Rivers is a generation and transmission cooperative that provides bulk wholesale electric service to its member distribution cooperatives, with delivery through high-voltage transmission facilities it owns and operates. Big Rivers was established as a cooperative and is operated under the authority of the RUS, an agency within the United States Department of Agriculture. Big Rivers is headquartered in Henderson, Kentucky and provides power for retail distribution to all or part of 22 counties in western Kentucky through its three member cooperatives:

- Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation, Paducah, KY
- Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation, Brandenburg, KY
- Kenergy Corp., Henderson, KY

Big Rivers owns and operates 1,444 MW of generating capacity in four power generating stations: Robert A. Reid (130 MW), Kenneth C. Coleman (443 MW), Robert D. Green (454 MW), and D.B. Wilson (417 MW). Total power capacity is $1,834 \mathrm{MW}$, including rights to Henderson Municipal Power and Light (HMPL) Station Two and contracted capacity from Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA).

Big Rivers also owns and operates approximately 1,260 miles of transmission lines, most of which are operated at 69 kilovolts (kV), 161 kV , or 345 kV . In addition, the Cooperative's transmission system includes electric substations with over 3,540 MVa of transformer capacity. General plant facilities of Big Rivers include its headquarters office buildings, a warehouse, the central lab, publications, and communications buildings, the vehicle and power-operated equipment fleets, and all types of equipment, furniture, computers, etc. used in the Cooperative's operations.

## PURPOSE OF STUDY

Big Rivers completed and filed its last depreciation study with the RUS in 1998. Big Rivers now requires a comprehensive depreciation study be performed in accordance with RUS Bulletin 1767B-1, Uniform System of Accounts. Big Rivers has also committed to filing a general review of its operations and tariffs with the KPSC within three years of closing the generation plant "unwind" transaction from July, 2009. The KPSC has required that a new depreciation study be submitted as part of that filing.

Big Rivers solicited proposals for and retained Burns \& McDonnell to perform the Study in accordance with the RUS’ guidelines. This Study includes:

- A discussion of each production facility's basic design and equipment
- A discussion of the composition of the transmission system
- An analysis of each production facility's historical performance
- An on-site review and analysis of each transmission system and production facility's current operating condition
- A discussion of the operating and maintenance procedures and staffing for each production facility and the transmission system
- An analysis of external and environmental factors that may impact the transmission system and each production facility's remaining useful life


## PROJECT APPROACH

Burns \& McDonnell's approach to meeting the above stated requirements for the Study was based on the performance of physical site observations of the generating facilities and transmission system by expert power plant design engineers and transmission system design engineers. These engineers then applied their experience and engineering judgment in approximating the remaining lives of each of Big Rivers’ generating facilities and the transmission system. The activities performed during the site visits at each generating station included:

- Observation of transmission and plant equipment and facilities
- Evaluation of equipment and facilities condition
- Interview of transmission and plant operating and maintenance staff
- Review of organization structure, procedures, and staffing levels
- Determination of transmission and plant operating and maintenance practices
- Assessment of transmission and plant operating and maintenance experiences
- Collection of pertinent cost and operating data and records
- Collection of environmental data
- Development of facilities descriptions

The physical site observations of the plant facilities and transmission system did not include any internal inspections or examinations, or completion of any performance tests on the equipment and facilities. No system, structural, pipe stress, or other mathematical modeling analysis was included in the scope of the facilities observations.

The significant potential costs that could be required for environmental remediation were not considered in developing the net salvage values. Instead, the historical removal costs provided by Big Rivers were considered in the projected net salvage values.

The projected remaining economic lives of the various generating and transmission assets and the estimates of terminal net salvage values were then factored into the depreciation rate analysis performed by Burns \& McDonnell’s depreciation consultants. The Study included analysis of the service life characteristics; net salvage values; depreciation reserves for the generating assets, transmission assets, and general plant assets. Raw historical plant account data from 1965 to 2010 was obtained from Big Rivers’ CPR system.

Generally accepted depreciation study procedures and actuarial analyses widely used by the utility industry were followed. Actuarial analyses of average service lives and dispersions based on historical characteristics of the plant retired for each active RUS plant account since inception were developed. Either the Whole Life method or the Life Span method with the Remaining Life technique was used to calculate the proposed depreciation rate for each account, depending on the nature of the types of property units included in an account.

## SOURCES OF DATA

Much of the information used in the analysis of Big Rivers' depreciation rates was provided by the Cooperative's staff. This included various computer-generated accounting data from Big Rivers’ CPR system, certain performance results, budgets, inspection reports, technical documents such as drawings and specifications, contracts, policies and procedure manuals, and other documents such as prior related studies reports. Historical data from 1965 to 2010 as recorded in Big Rivers’ CPR system was used throughout the analyses.

In addition, site visits were conducted at each of Big Rivers’ electric generating facilities, system transmission substations, representative transmission lines, and the headquarters offices in Henderson, Kentucky. Key production, engineering, and accounting staff were interviewed and the condition of the facilities was discussed and assessed during these site visits. The physical site observations of the system facilities did not include any internal inspections or examinations, environmental testing, or completion of any performance tests on the equipment and facilities. No system, structural, pipe stress, environmental assessment, or other mathematical modeling analysis was included in the scope of the facilities observations.

In the preparation of the Study, the information provided by Big Rivers was used by Burns \& McDonnell to make certain assumptions with respect to conditions, which may exist in the future. While Burns \& McDonnell believes the assumptions made are reasonable for the purposes of this report, it makes no representation that the conditions assumed will, in fact, occur. In addition, while Burns \& McDonnell has no reason to believe that the information provided to us by Big Rivers and on which it has relied is inaccurate in any material respect, Burns \& McDonnell has not independently verified such information and cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness. To the extent that actual future conditions differ from those assumed herein or from the information provided to Burns \& McDonnell, the actual results will vary from those projected.

PART II - ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT

## PART II

## ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT

## OVERVIEW

This section of the report provides a review of the engineering assessment of the Big Rivers plant assets in service as of April 30, 2010. The KPSC mandated that Big Rivers conduct a new depreciation rate study as part of its submission in connection with the its intent to file for a general review of its operations and tariffs within three years. During the Study, the following activities were conducted to examine Big Rivers’ plant in service from an engineering perspective:

- A discussion of each production facility's basic design and equipment
- An on-site review and analysis of each production facility's current operating condition
- An analysis of each production facility's historical performance
- A discussion of the operating and maintenance procedures and staffing for each production facility
- An analysis of external and environmental factors that may impact on each facility's useful life.
- An opinion, based on the study's findings, regarding the remaining economic life of each facility and the proper depreciation rate schedule to be used prospectively
- A discussion of the composition of the transmission system

The engineering assessment presented in this section addresses each of the above areas. The analyses leading to formulation of proposed new depreciation rates for Big Rivers are described in Part III.

## Generation Facilities

Table II-1 below provides a description of each unit of Big Rivers’ fleet of generating facilities, including the commercial operation date, years in operation, net capacity, heat rate, fuel type, boiler and turbine manufacturer, and emission control equipment.

Table II-1: Big Rivers Power Plant Data

|  | Commercial Operation Date | Years in Operation | Net Capacity | Heat Rate | Fuel Type | Boiler <br> Manufacturer | Turbine <br> Manufacturer | Emission Control Equipment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit |  |  | (MW) | (Btu/kWh) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Control | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ Control | Particulate Control |
| Coleman 1 | 1969 | 41 | 150 MW | 10,923 | Pulverized Coal | Foster Wheeler | Westinghouse | FGD | Low $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ Burners/ Overfire Air | Precipitator |
| Coleman 2 | 1970 | 40 | 138 MW | 10,923 | Pulverized Coal | Foster Wheeler | Westinghouse | FGD | Low $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Burners/ Overfire Air | Precipitator |
| Coleman 3 | 1972 | 38 | 155 MW | 10,923 | Pulverized Coal | Riley Stoker | General Electric | FGD | Low NOX Burners/ Overfire Air | Precipitator |
| Green 1 | 1979 | 31 | 231 MW | 11,202 | Pulverized Coal | Babcock \& Wilcox | General Electric | FGD | Low NOx Burners | Precipitator |
| Green 2 | 1981 | 29 | 223 MW | 11,202 | Pulverized Coal | Babcock \& Wilcox | Westinghouse | FGD | Low $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ Burners | Precipitator |
| HMP \& L 1 | 1973 | 37 | 153 MW | 10,993 | Pulverized Coal | Riley Stoker | General Electric | FGD | SCR | Precipitator |
| HMP \& L 2 | 1974 | 36 | 159 MW | 10,993 | Pulverized Coal | Riley Stoker | Westinghouse | FGD | SCR | Precipitator |
| Reid 1 | 1966 | 44 | 65 MW | 13,805 | Pulverized Coal <br> Natural Gas | Riley Stoker | General Electric | Uses Medium Sulfur Coal | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Burns Natural Gas } \\ \text { to reduce } \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Precipitator |
| Reid CT | 1976 | 34 | 65 MW | 11,750 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \#2 Oil } \\ \text { Natural Gas } \end{gathered}$ | NA | General Electric Gas Turbine | NA | NA | NA |
| Wilson 1 | 1986 | 24 | 417 MW | 11,333 | Pulverized Coal | Foster Wheeler | Westinghouse | FGD | SCR | Precipitator |

## Remaining Useful Life

Estimated remaining useful lives for Big Rivers' generating plant assets were based, in part, on the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) guidelines for high temperature creep design. Per these guidelines, the portions of a generating facility subject to creep stress should be designed to experience at least 200,000 hours of service or 5,000 thermal cycles. Assuming 8,000 hours of full-load operation per year, this equates to 25 years of service.

Because most equipment manufacturers are quite conservative in applying these guidelines, reaching these levels of service does not mean that a generating unit cannot provide reliable service for longer periods. It does mean that creep-susceptible portions of a generating unit that has logged this level of operation should undergo metallurgical testing to detect the beginning of creep stress damage. Once damage is detected, the affected components should be evaluated regularly and repairs or replacement performed as indicated to facilitate the unit's successful return to service.

Burns \& McDonnell recommends that Big Rivers continue to follow a comprehensive program of testing on those units approaching the service limits in the ASTM guidelines. Individual components should be either repaired or replaced as damage is identified. Since creep stress is a
long-term phenomenon, there should be adequate time to procure and schedule replacement of any damaged components.

All of the Big Rivers generating units (except Wilson I) have reached the age when this testing program should be performed. This testing is currently being performed by Big Rivers and there is no reason, from a mechanical engineering perspective, that all of Big Rivers' generating units cannot remain in service as long as they are economically viable to operate. The following table provides a summary of the most recent testing performed for each generation unit.

Table II-2: Big Rivers Recent Generation Testing Results

| Plant | Last Test | Problems Found | Description | Action Taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coleman 1 | May 2008 | 1 | Hot reheat hanger attachment. | Addressed immediately through appropriate repairs. |
| Coleman 2 | Oct. 2010 | 0 | No deficiencies found. |  |
| Coleman 3 | June 2009 | 1 | Indication of early stage creep. | No operational limits, per EPRI guidelines. Retest in 3-5 years. |
| Green 1 | Oct. 2008 | 0 | No deficiencies found. |  |
| Green 2 | May 2009 | 0 | No deficiencies found. | - |
| HMP\&L 1 | March 2009 | 0 | No relevant indications. |  |
| HMP\&L 2 | April 2010 | 0 | No evidence of micro cracking or creep damage. | - |
| Reid 1 | June 2008 | 1 | Operating stress well within limits. | Retest in 5-10 years. |
| Wilson 1 | Nov. 2009 | 0 | No indications found. | - |

Based on Big Rivers' records of operation, maintenance and component replacements; approximately 250,000 hours of additional operation was assumed as the remaining useful life of each unit. The annual utilization factors from the prior depreciation study for each unit were retained and assumed to continue for purposes of translating the remaining operating hours into remaining years of service. Table II-3 below shows the estimated operating hours to date (2009) and the estimated remaining useful life for each facility.

## Table II-3: Big Rivers Power Plant Estimated Remaining Life

| Name | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net Capacity (MW) | Date in Service | Typical <br> Lifetime <br> Availability | Typical Operating Hours per Year | 5 Year <br> Average <br> \% On <br> Line | Operating <br> Hrs Based <br> on 5 Yr <br> Avg | Years in Service | Total Est. <br> Hours to Date (Jan 2009) | Typical <br> Estimated <br> Remaining <br> Unit Life |
| COLEMAN 1 | 150 | 1969 | 80.0\% | 7,008 | 87.3\% | 7,648 | 40 | 280,320 | 25 |
| COLEMAN 2 | 138 | 1970 | 80.0\% | 7,008 | 93.1\% | 8,154 | 39 | 273,312 | 25 |
| COLEMAN 3 | 155 | 1972 | 80.0\% | 7,008 | 89.5\% | 7,843 | 37 | 259,296 | 25 |
| GREEN 1 | 231 | 1979 | 85.0\% | 7,446 | 93.9\% | 8,225 | 30 | 223,380 | 32 |
| GREEN 2 | 223 | 1981 | 85.0\% | 7,446 | 92.0\% | 8,056 | 28 | 208,488 | 32 |
| HMP\&L-1 | 153 | 1973 | 85.0\% | 7,446 | 85.6\% | 7,497 | 36 | 268,056 | 25 |
| HMP\&L - 2 | 159 | 1974 | 85.0\% | 7,446 | 91.4\% | 8,005 | 35 | 260,610 | 25 |
| REID 1 | 65 | 1966 | 70.0\% | 6,132 | 40.3\% | 3,529 | 43 | 263,676 | 26 |
| WILSON 1 | 417 | 1986 | 89.5\% | 7,840 | 88.2\% | 7,724 | 23 | 180,325 | 41 |

The life of these individual units can vary based on a number of factors including but not limited to operating hours and maintenance experience. The Green, HMP\&L Station Two and Coleman facilities have multiple units, but are forecasted to retire in the same year, This is reasonable for three reasons. First, the units were installed within two to three years of each other. Second, most plant accounts are assigned to the entire generating station, not to individual units of the facility. Most importantly, it is realistic to assume that the entire facility would shut down before significant demolition activities begin to occur. Piecemeal removal at an operating facility would be costly and much of the plant infrastructure would need to remain in service in order to maintain the last unit's ability to function. Big Rivers would maintain and continue to operate each individual unit until such time as the decision was made to retire the entire generating station. Burns \& McDonnell further considered the results of the on-site assessments of each of the Big Rivers generating stations in the estimation of the remaining useful lives.

## GENERATION ASSETS

## SEBREE SITE

The Sebree site is common to three plants owned and/or operated by Big Rivers: the Robert A. Reid Plant, the Robert D. Green Plant, and the Henderson Municipal Power \& Light (HMP\&L) Station Two. Although the plants are located on a common site, HMP\&L Station Two is actually owned by the City of Henderson, Kentucky. Big Rivers operates HMP\&L Station Two
for the City. Contractual operations agreements between Big Rivers and the City of Henderson require that Big Rivers maintains separate plant operations, including operating and maintenance staffs (management staff and some specialists are common) and financial budgets/records, for the HMP\&L Station Two and Reid stations, from the operations of the Green station.

The Sebree site is generally adequate for the operation of the three plants; however, the configuration of the units necessitates substantial coordination of activities among the plant staff when large areas of common space are required. This has not appeared to be a severe handicap to the site. This sharing of common facilities has produced a degree of operational and capital investment savings. For example, the river water intake structure for the Reid steam turbine unit is also used to provide river water supplies to the Green and HMP\&L Station Two stations. Another example of this sharing of facilities relates to the barge unloading system used at the Reid station. When the original unloader was replaced at the time of construction of HMP\&L Station Two, with a more conventional barge unloader, the new unloading system and coal handling served both Reid and HMP\&L Station Two. Also, when the new flue gas desulfurization system was added to the HMP\&L Station Two units the lime supply and sludge disposal systems of the Green units were used. There is also some coordination among the three generating plants in ash storage; however, this is limited by the difference in the nature of the ash handling requirements for the different types of units.

The Sebree site is located on the banks of the Green River. The main plant area is located at a sufficient elevation to ensure that 100-year floods should not affect the units’ generation capabilities. Although a flood in excess of 100-year levels potentially could cause temporary interruptions of generating capability, no significant operational impact is anticipated.

## ROBERT D. GREEN PLANT

## Facility Description

The Robert D. Green Plant is located on the Sebree site near Sebree, Kentucky, along with the Robert A. Reid Plant and HMP\&L Station Two. The Green Plant includes two units that are significantly larger than the units at either the Reid Plant or the HMP\&L Station Two. Green

Unit 1 is rated for net continuous capacity of 231 MW and Green Unit 2 has a rated net capacity of 223 MW. Unit 1 began commercial operation in 1979 and Unit 2 became operational in 1981. Both units at the Green Plant are coal-fired steam generating units with Babcock \& Wilcox boilers providing maximum steam capacity of $1,930,000$ pounds per hour. Green 1 is equipped with a General Electric turbine-generator with a nameplate rating of $242,105 \mathrm{~kW}$. Green 2 includes a Westinghouse turbine-generator rated at $242,133 \mathrm{~kW}$.

## Steam Turbines

Green 1 turbine generator was supplied by General Electric, while the Green 2 turbine generator was supplied by Westinghouse. Both turbines appear to be in good condition. Turbine 1 underwent a major turbine overhaul in 2007. The unit is on a regular turbine outage schedule of every two years for valves and every eight years for major turbine overhaul. Turbine 2 was last overhauled in 2009, with a generator retaining ring replacement included in the overhaul. The unit is on a regular turbine outage schedule of every two years for valves and every eight years for major turbine overhaul. All evidence and inspections indicate that both turbines are being well maintained.

## Boilers

The two Babcock \& Wilcox boilers were installed after the initial effects of the regulations limiting $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ emissions from coal-fired power plant boilers were promulgated. As such, the boilers are equipped with B\&W's dual register burners and multiple wind boxes.

Boiler 1 appears to be in excellent condition. The tubes in the secondary superheater were replaced in 2001. Weld overlays were installed on the East and West walls, and reheat tubes were replaced in 2007. Sootblower lanes are shielded and shields are replaced as deficiencies are found. Several hangers had deteriorated and were replaced in 2008. Tube samples of the waterwalls, superheat, and reheat collected in 2008 showed no significant deficiencies. Boiler 2 appears to be in excellent condition. The tubes in the secondary superheater were replaced in 2001. Weld overlays were installed on the East and West walls in 2005 and 2009. Tubes in the reheat outlet bank were replaced in 2009. Sootblower lanes are shielded and shields are replaced as deficiencies are found. Several hangers had deteriorated and were replaced in
2009. Tube samples of the waterwalls, superheat, and reheat collected in 2009 showed no significant deficiencies.

## Draft System

The two Green units are constructed with high efficiency precipitators and wet lime scrubbers. The precipitators appear to be in good condition and currently remove enough particulate to comply with the limit of 0.1 pounds per million Btu. Two precipitator fields were replaced in 2007 and two more in 2009. The FGD scrubbers appear to be in good condition and remove enough $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ to comply with the limit of 0.8 pounds per million Btu. The boilers were purchased with the earlier series of low $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ burners from Babcock \& Wilcox Company. Both units were retrofit in 2004 with a coal reburn technology designed by GE-EER. The combination reduces the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ emissions below the limit of 0.7 pounds per million Btu. The Ljungstrom air preheaters have had cold end baskets replaced in both units and are currently in good operating condition.

## Waste Disposal

The primary water discharge is from the cooling tower blowdown. The blowdown from the cooling towers and other plant drains discharge to the ash ponds. The waste water is pH adjusted and metals are precipitated. Discharge from these ponds is sent to a plant common pond, which then discharges indirectly to the Green River. Due to the multiple-pond system, accidental discharges reaching the river are considered unlikely. Bottom ash is impounded in the pond. The Green plant’s fly ash is used for flue gas desulfurization waste sludge fixation. Excess fly ash is marketed.

## Water Supply Systems

The makeup water supply from the Green River to the plant is provided from the intake structure which was originally constructed as part of the circulating water system for Reid Unit 1. Separate water supply pumps serve the Green units. Of all the water requirements of the Green units, the largest user is makeup supply for the cooling towers. Regardless of its end use, all this water is run through a conventional water clarification and treatment facility. The Green station maintains its own chemistry lab and personnel, using common supervision with the HMP\&L Station Two units. Plant management provided no indications that plant chemistry control was inadequate.

## Fuel Supply and Handling

The primary fuel supply for the Green units has been from nearby Kentucky mines and is delivered by truck and/or barge. The fuel supply for the Green units is delivered separately from the other coal-fired units on the site, and is kept segregated throughout the storage and handling process. This is due to the differing fuel quality requirements as well as contractual issues between Big Rivers and the City of Henderson. There is adequate space on the plant site for fuel storage for the Green units of up to 60 days. The normal fuel inventory is substantially less than the site capacity. A barge unloading facility located on the Green River (separate from the HMP\&L Station Two barge unloader) is capable of unloading and delivering coal to the Green units. Lime for use in the scrubbers is delivered by barge. The barge unloader conveyor system is set up to permit transfers of materials from the Green barge unloader to either the coal pile or the lime storage silos. Plant management provided no indication of fuel supply or handling issues during the site visit.

## Historical Operating Performance

Burns \& McDonnell reviewed the plant’s historical operating performance to verify that the generating units have competitive heat rates and are capable of providing the level of reliability to meet Big Rivers' electric production requirements. A summary of the last four years' historical data is provided below in Table II-4.

Table II-4: Robert D. Green Historical Operating Performance Data

|  | Unit | Green Unit 1 | Green Unit 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Generation Capacity | (MW) | 250 MW | 242 MW |
| Net Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 231 MW | 223 MW |
| Net Capacity Factor | $(\%)$ | $88.28 \%$ | $87.09 \%$ |
| Heat Rate | $(\mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{kWh})$ | 11,097 | 11,299 |
| Equivalent Availability Factor | $(\%)$ | $91.73 \%$ | $91.99 \%$ |
| Equivalent Forced Outage Rate | $(\%)$ | $2.28 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ |

Both Green units have been performing well. Combined they have had a five year net heat rate of 11,202 Btu per kWh which is competitive with other coal fired power plants in the region. The availability of the units has also been good. Green Unit 1 had an EFOR of 1.9 percent in

2009 and 1.4 percent in 2010. Green Unit 2 had an EFOR of 0.81 percent in 2009 and 0.44 percent in 2010.

## Remaining Useful Life

The Green Unit 1 and Unit 2 are in excellent condition for their age and service requirements. Provided that operations and maintenance continue as is, these units are estimated to be suitable for ongoing service through the year 2042. Of particular note is the Boiler Condition Spreadsheet that contains a status report on all of the major components in the boiler as well as the High Energy Piping (HEP) and hangers. A consistent program like this for monitoring status and identifying areas to address in future budgets is very good. The HEP and hanger review addresses the concern over creep damage with an aging plant. This program is critical and is currently being performed on all the units. The spreadsheet does indicate that a HEP and hanger review occurs on all the units.

## HENDERSON MUNICIPAL POWER \& LIGHT STATION TWO

## Facility Description

HMP\&L Station Two is also located on the plant site near Sebree, Kentucky, along with the Robert A. Reid Plant and the Robert D. Green Plant. HMP\&L Station Two is owned by the City of Henderson, Kentucky through its municipal utility, Henderson Municipal Power \& Light (HMP\&L). Big Rivers operates HMP\&L Station Two on behalf of the City. HMP\&L Station Two includes two units similar in size to the three units at the Coleman Plant. HMP\&L Unit 1 is rated for net continuous capacity of 153 MW and HMP\&L Unit 2 has a rated net capacity of 159 MW. Unit 1 began commercial operations in 1973 and Unit 2 began commercial operations 1974. Both HMP\&L Station Two units are coal-fired steam generating units with Riley boilers having steam flow capacity of $1,180,000$ pounds per hour. Unit 1 is equipped with a General Electric turbine-generator with nameplate rating for the turbine of $175,984 \mathrm{~kW}$. Unit 2 includes a Westinghouse turbine-generator rated at $178,724 \mathrm{~kW}$.

## Steam Turbines

HPM\&L Unit 1 is equipped with a General Electric turbine-generator, and HMP\&L Unit 2 is equipped with a Westinghouse turbine-generator. Both units appear to be in good condition.

Turbine 1 was last overhauled in 2008, and Turbine 2 was last overhauled in 2004. Both units are on a regular outage schedule of every 4 years for valves and every 8 years for major overhauls.

## Boilers

The two boilers of the HMP\&L Station Two appear to be well maintained. A program of monitoring boiler tube failures and tube wear has been activated. This has resulted in replacement of some sections of the reheaters, and similar monitoring and replacement programs should result in minimizing forced outages due to boiler tube failure.

Boiler 1 appears to be in good condition. The radiant superheat inlet and outlet elements were replaced in 2003. The front WW release header was replaced in 2005. A low water event occurred in 2007 causing some tubes to rupture and others to warp. The ruptured tubes were replaced with dutchmen and samples were removed for metallurgical analysis. No damage was detected. The boiler was hydro tested and returned to service. Tube samples were taken from the waterwalls, superheater, and reheat in 2009. No degradation was found in the waterwall. The radiant superheater outlet was suffering from severe coal ash corrosion. These tubes are scheduled to be replaced in 2017. The high temperature reheater was replaced during the 2009 outage. Hangers are being replaced as inspections dictate.

Boiler 2 appears to be in good condition. The radiant superheater inlet and outlet elements were replaced in 2004. The high temperature reheater elements were replaced in 2007. Tube samples taken in 2008 show the tubes to be in good condition. No significant deficiencies were found. Feedwater corrosion products were almost at the criterion for chemical cleaning. Hangers are being replaced base on the prioritization list.

## Draft System

Precipitators are currently used for particulate emission removal with a limit of 0.21 pounds per MMBtu. The units both have an FGD system in service which is able to achieve a 95 percent $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ removal rate. This allows the Plant to meet the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ limit of 5.2 pounds per MMBTu. Both
units were retrofit in 2004 with Alstom designed SCR's capable of 90 percent $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ removal which allow the plant to meet the $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ limit of 0.5 pounds per MMBtu.

## Waste Disposal

All the plant water discharges go through the ash pond. This includes neutralized demineralizer wastes, boiler blowdown, cooling tower blowdown, and miscellaneous plant drains. The ash ponds indirectly discharge to the Green River. Water discharges are monitored in the final pond, and water quality is reported to the state. Due to the multiple pond system, accidental discharges reaching the river are considered unlikely.

## Water Supply Systems

The makeup water supply to the HMP\&L Station Two units is from the circulating water system of Reid 1. This system, with operating and standby pumps at the river, is capable of delivering far more water than is normally needed by the two HMP\&L Station Two units. The river intake was constructed in the 1960s, and is grandfathered for any Corps of Engineers river discharge permits. River water is delivered untreated to the cooling towers, which are equipped with side stream filters. Renovation of the cooling tower water chemistry control system and side stream filters to the circulating water system has apparently been successful.

## Fuel Supply and Handling

The primary fuel supply for the HMP\&L Station Two units has been from Kentucky mines and is delivered by truck and by barge. The fuel purchasing is in proportion to the utilization of the units. Big Rivers secures enough fuel to produce the unit capacity controlled by the cooperative. The City of Henderson procures enough fuel to produce their portion of the HMP\&L Station Two capacity which varies as load growth occurs in Henderson. The supply has been from both Kentucky and Indiana mines, and is generally delivered by barge. Once either fuel is received on site, it is delivered either directly to the unit or to the HMP\&L Station Two common storage. The coal for the Reid unit is purchased separately, and segregated in storage and use since the HMP\&L Station Two units are capable of utilizing higher sulfur, less expensive coal, than the non-scrubbed Reid unit. Fuel for the Green Plant units is handled completely separately, since it is of a different quality. Maintenance of the coal handling systems appears to be adequate.

## Historical Operating Performance

Burns \& McDonnell reviewed the plant’s historical operating performance to verify that the generating units have competitive heat rates and are capable of providing the level of reliability to meet Big Rivers’ electric production requirements. A summary of the last five years historical data is provided below in Table II-5.

## Table II-5: HMP\&L Station Two Historical Operating Performance Data

|  | Unit | HMP\&L Unit 1 | HMP\&L Unit 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 165 MW | 172 MW |
| Net Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 153 MW | 159 MW |
| Net Capacity Factor | $(\%)$ | $80.83 \%$ | $79.52 \%$ |
| Heat Rate | $(\mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{kWh})$ | 10,865 | 11,147 |
| Equivalent Availability Factor | $(\%)$ | $86.09 \%$ | $88.95 \%$ |
| Equivalent Forced Outage Rate | $(\%)$ | $10.46 \%$ | $3.77 \%$ |

Both HMP\&L units have been performing well. Combined they have had a five year net heat rate of 10,993 Btu per kWh which is competitive with other coal fired power plants in the region. The availability of the units has also been reasonable with the exception of a turbine blade failure on Unit 1 in 2009 which resulted in 1,247 forced outage hours yielding an EFOR of 14.2 percent for the year. The Unit 1 EFOR was back down to 1.7 percent in 2010. HPM\&L 2 had an EFOR of 1.1 percent in 2009 and 1.5 percent in 2010.

## Remaining Useful Life

Of particular note is the Boiler Condition Spreadsheet that contains a status report on all of the major components in the boiler as well as the High Energy Piping and hangers. A consistent program like this for monitoring status and identifying areas to address in future budgets is consistent with sound maintenance practices. The HEP and hanger review addresses the concern over creep damage with an aging plant. This program is critical and is currently being performed on all the units. The spreadsheet does indicate that a HEP and hanger review occurs on all the units. The HMP\&L Units are in excellent condition for their age and service requirements.

Provided that operations and maintenance continue as is, these units are estimated to be suitable for ongoing service through the year 2035.

## ROBERT A. REID PLANT

## Facility Description

The Reid steam turbine generating unit is currently 44 years old. The equipment in this unit includes a Riley boiler with a steam flow capacity of 690,000 pounds per hour and a General Electric turbine-generator with nameplate capacities of 66,000 kilowatts ( $\mathrm{kW)}$ for the turbine and $96,000 \mathrm{kVA}$ for the generator. The unit is currently rated at 65 MW (see Table II-1).

## Steam Turbine

Reid is equipped with a General Electric turbine-generator. The steam turbine was last overhauled in 2000 and does not have another major overhaul scheduled until 2017. The unit has historically been on a regular outage schedule of every four years for valves and every twelve years for major overhauls; however due to its low capacity factor (CF) it is able to run longer without a major overhaul.

## Boilers

Reid 1 has a Riley Stoker boiler with two levels of burners on the front wall. The unit has had a waterwall tube replacement in 2006 with no major upgrades since. The boiler appears to be in good operating condition. The boiler is a pressurized furnace, with no induced draft fan.

## Draft System

Precipitators are currently used for particulate emission removal with a limit of 0.28 pounds per MMBtu. The unit uses medium sulfur coal in order to meet the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ limit of 5.2 pounds per MMBTU. In 2000, four of the boiler's eight burners were converted to burn natural gas to reduce $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ emissions.

## Waste Disposal

The fly ash of the Reid unit is used in the Green Plant's flue gas desulfurization waste sludge fixation. The bottom ash from the unit is impounded in the ponds.

## Water Supply Systems

Circulating water for the Reid unit comes directly from, and returns to, the Green River. This direct river cooling was established before introducing changes to river water temperature was regarded as environmentally degrading and, therefore, the Reid unit is a grandfathered installation. The two 100-percent circulating water pumps are adequate for the Reid unit; however, one of these pumps is run almost continuously since the Reid unit circulating water system also provides the water supplies for HMP\&L Station Two. The water supply pumps for the Green units are also installed in the Reid intake structure. The significance of this water supply system is far greater than that of the Reid unit alone, since a loss of the intake structure could shut down both HMP\&L Station Two units and both Green units, a total of over 700 MW of generating capacity. However, proper maintenance reduces the probability of this occurrence to a minimum level of concern.

## Historical Operating Performance

Burns \& McDonnell reviewed the plant's historical operating performance to verify that the generating units have competitive heat rates and are capable of providing the level of reliability to meet Big Rivers’ electric production requirements. A summary of the last four years historical data is provided below in Table II-6.

## Table II-6: Robert A. Reid Historical Operating Performance Data

|  | Unit | Reid Unit 1 | Reid Unit 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Generation Capacity | (MW) | 72 MW | 65 MW |
| Net Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 65 MW | 64 MW |
| Net Capacity Factor | $(\%)$ | $18.77 \%$ |  |
| Heat Rate | $(\mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{kWh})$ | 13,966 |  |
| Equivalent Availability Factor | $(\%)$ | $86.26 \%$ |  |
| Equivalent Forced Outage Rate | $(\%)$ | $25.01 \%$ |  |

The plant has performed commendably over the years. However, the unit had one of the highest heat rates on Big Rivers' system. The five-year average heat rate for the unit was reported to be 13,805 Btu per kWh. This is relatively high for coal fired power plants in the region of the country which is why the unit is dispatched primarily as a peaking unit only. In addition, the
average EFOR of 25.0 percent is considerably high when compared to other coal fired power plants in the region.

## Remaining Useful Life

Of particular note is the Boiler Condition Spreadsheet that contains a status report on all of the major components in the boiler as well as the HEP and hangers. A consistent program like this for monitoring status and identifying areas to address in future budgets is consistent with sound maintenance practices. The HEP and hanger review addresses the concern over creep damage with an aging plant. This program is critical and is currently being performed on all the units. The spreadsheet does indicate that a HEP and hanger review occurs on all the units. The Reid Plant has not been run as many hours per year as other facilities and is in excellent condition for its age. If operations and maintenance continue and the plant is run at the same level as it has been run, this unit is estimated to be suitable for ongoing service through the year 2036.

## D.B. WILSON STATION PLANT

## Facility Description

The D. B. Wilson Plant is located at Island, Kentucky, approximately 55 miles from Henderson, Kentucky. This station consists of a single 417 MW unit commercialized in 1986. It is the newest and largest generating unit on the Big Rivers electric system. The plant site is configured for installation of one or more additional units and, therefore, the plant facilities, such as coal handling, water supply, ash handling, and sludge disposal, all have more than adequate capacity for the operating requirements.

## Steam Turbine

The unit went commercial in 1986, and was given its first major overhaul in November 1990. The unit has typically been on a regular outage schedule of every 4 years for valves and every 8 years for major overhauls. The most recent major overhaul was in 2009 and the next is planned for 2016.

## Boilers

Wilson 1 is a Foster Wheeler boiler capable of producing 3,484,000 lbs / hr of steam. The boiler appears to be in good condition. The last major boiler outage was in 2009. Tube samples were taken of the waterwalls and superheater. A map was created of the waterwall thickness readings to determine where future overlays should be installed. Tube analysis indicated a chemical clean was needed. The chemical clean is scheduled for the 2011 outage. Holes in the downcomers and cracks in the shelf under the cone-topped canisters were repaired in 2009. The A platen superheater showed no significant indications of corrosion, thinning, or creep. The B platen superheater tubes were replaced. Cracks were found in the inlet and outlet headers. The cracks were ground down and re-examined. All of them passed the WFMT examination after being ground down. Tubes were replaced in the finish superheater and alignment castings were installed. Major pitting, metal loss, and corrosion were found in the DA tank. The high energy piping was inspected with Fluorescent Mag Particle testing or UT Shear Wave testing. There were some indications of creep in the piping. The hangers are inspected regularly and adjusted or replaced as needed. Safety valves are cleaned, inspected, and lapped regularly.

## Draft System

The Wilson unit is equipped with a precipitator for particulate emission removal and has a limit of 0.03 pounds per MMBtu. The unit is equipped with a FGD which has a 90 percent $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ removal efficiency. The unit has a NOx limit of 0.6 pounds per MMBtu, however, the unit was retrofit in 2004 with a Babcock Borsig designed SCR capable of 90 percent $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ removal efficiency.

## Waste Disposal

The solid waste from the FGD, fly ash, and lime is sent to the on-site landfill. The site waste water is pH adjusted and metals are precipitated out. The bottom ash is dewatered and incorporated into FGD waste. The excess fly ash is marketed and sold in the region.

## Water Supply Systems

The water supply for the plant is from an independent water intake structure located on the Green River. It appears unlikely that there should ever be an interruption of water supply to the plant. Green River water requires pretreatment before use in the cooling tower or other potable water systems in the plant. This pretreatment system is sized for two operational units so there should be adequate capacity.

## Fuel Supply and Handling

The redundant coal delivery systems for the plant, barge, and truck, permit supplying the full capacity of the plant from any one of the delivery systems.

## Historical Operating Performance

Burns \& McDonnell reviewed the plant’s historical operating performance to verify that the generating units have competitive heat rates and are capable of providing the level of reliability to meet Big Rivers’ electric production requirements. A summary of the last five years historical data is provided below in Table II-7.

Table II-7: D.B. Wilson Historical Operating Performance Data

|  | Unit | Wilson Unit 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 440 MW |
| Net Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 417 MW |
| Net Capacity Factor | $(\%)$ | $82.46 \%$ |
| Heat Rate | $(\mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{kWh})$ | 11,387 |
| Equivalent Availability Factor | $(\%)$ | $85.00 \%$ |
| Equivalent Forced Outage Rate | $(\%)$ | $5.36 \%$ |

## Remaining Useful Life

Of particular note is the Boiler Condition Spreadsheet that contains a status report on all of the major components in the boiler as well as the HEP and hangers. A program like this for monitoring status and identifying areas to address in future budgets is consistent with sound maintenance practices. The HEP and hanger review addresses the concern over creep damage with an aging plant. This program is critical and is currently being performed on all the units. The spreadsheet does indicate that a HEP and hanger review occurs on all the units. The details
provided for the Wilson unit is the most comprehensive and complete. The Wilson Plant is in excellent condition for its age and service requirements. Provided that operations and maintenance continue as is, this unit is estimated to be suitable for ongoing service through the year 2051.

## KENNETH C. COLEMAN PLANT

## Facility Description

The Kenneth C. Coleman Plant consists of three coal-fired, steam turbine generating units located near Hawesville, Kentucky, approximately 60 miles east of Henderson, Kentucky. The plant is located on the west bank of the Ohio River. The land to the south is owned by Century Aluminum and is the site of an aluminum reduction plant, a primary customer of power from the Coleman Plant. The plant is located on the flood plain of the Ohio River and operation could be affected by extreme flood levels. In the past, the plant has experienced temporary isolation due to flooding of local access roads. However, the main plant area is located at a sufficient elevation to ensure that 100-year floods should not affect the plant's generation capabilities. Although a flood in excess of 100-year levels potentially could cause temporary interruptions of generating capability, this would not be anticipated to result in major disaster.

Coleman 1 was commercialized in 1969 and is rated for 150 MW of net capacity. The unit is equipped with a Foster Wheeler boiler capable of producing 1,220,000 pounds per hour of steam, and a Westinghouse turbine-generator with nameplate capacity of $160,000 \mathrm{~kW}$. Coleman 2 was commercialized in 1970 and is rated for 138 MW of net capacity. The unit is equipped with a Foster Wheeler boiler capable of producing 1,220,000 pounds per hour of steam, and a Westinghouse turbine-generator with nameplate capacity of $160,000 \mathrm{~kW}$. Coleman 3 was commercialized in 1972 and is rated for 155 MW of net capacity. The unit is equipped with a Riley boiler capable of producing 1,160,000 pounds per hour of steam, and a General Electric turbine-generator with nameplate capacity of $160,000 \mathrm{~kW}$.

## Steam Turbines

Turbines are being overhauled on a regular schedule, and the description of the maintenance activities required for the turbine appears to be normal for the age and type of machine. Turbinegenerator 1 was last overhauled in 2008. At that time several of the L-2 blades required replacement. The turbine reheat stop valve bonnet studs were replaced. The turbine shaft was ruggedized and L-O turbine-generator end blades repaired. Turbine-generator 2 was last overhauled in 2007. During the overhaul they installed thermocouples in the turbine bearing and pedestals, restored the turbine-generator valve seats, and repaired the online filtration system. Turbine-generator 3 is scheduled to be overhauled in 2012. The turbines at the Coleman station appear to be maintained in satisfactory condition. The turbine overhaul schedules are typical for utility stations.

## Boilers

Boiler 1 appears to be in reasonably good condition. Waterwall and arch tube samples taken during the 2008 outage proved the tubes to be in good condition, with waterside deposits limited, only minor pitting, and insignificant wall loss. Superheater tubes assessed during the 2008 outage showed significant wall loss due to fireside coal-ash corrosion. Creep analysis indicated that the tubes are below the minimum curve for creep. A repeat assessment of the superheater tubes has been recommended for 2013. All soot blower lanes are shielded, and the shields are replaced when deficiencies are found. All piping supports appear to be in good condition and operating properly.

Boiler 2 appears to be in good condition. Waterwall and arch tube samples taken during to 2007 outage showed no significant deficiencies. The economizer life assessment reported the tubes to be in excellent condition and showed negligible corrosion and no evidence of microstructural degradation. The superheater and reheater showed no evidence of overheating or creep. All soot blower lanes are shielded, and all piping supports appear to be in good condition.

Boiler 3 appears to be in good condition. Economizer, Waterwall, and arch tube samples taken during the 2009 outage showed minimal wall thinning, typical microstructure, and no thermal
degradation. The stainless steel tubes in the reheater showed no evidence of creep or overheat, and none of the measured wall thickness values were below Minimum Wall Thickness (MWT). Ultrasonic Testing and Magnetic Testing of the welds on the high energy piping showed no relevant indications. All supports were found to be in good condition and did not require service.

## Draft System

Low $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ burners were installed and resulted in $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}$ levels for all three units of below 0.5 lbs per MMBtu. In 2004 all three boilers were retrofitted with over fire air combustion equipment to further reduce $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ emissions. In 2006 the Station was retrofitted with a Wheelabrator Air Pollution Control designed limestone scrubber that combines all three generation units into a single FGD absorber capable of 95 percent $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ removal.

## Waste Disposal

Aside from the circulating water, all plant discharges, including the coal pile runoff, are directed to a newer ash pond. This newer ash pond is a clay-lined structure, which was designed to meet NPDES requirements at the time of its construction in 1980. The bottom ash system sluices directly into the ponds. The required operating time appears to have adequate margin for reliable operation. The site is large enough to accommodate the waste disposal requirements for quite a few years, as long as the plant continues the current practice of dredging the ash pond and disposing of ash off site. The fly ash system is conventional sluice water driven hydrovactor that discharges to an air-separating tank. The fly ash is then ponded with the bottom ash.

## Water Supply Systems

The plant cooling water system is a direct, once-through cooling design supplied by the Ohio River. This system was in existence before restrictions on temperature rise or discharge requirements were placed in effect for the Ohio River. Because these units are grandfathered, it is not anticipated that the circulating water supply system design will have to be changed in the future. The plant water supply for service water, demineralizer makeup, and other clear water surfaces originally came from wells located fairly close to the Coleman Plant. As time passed, those wells began to show high mineral content and, therefore, new wells were constructed further out toward the perimeter of the property. These newer wells also began to show high
mineral content. The source of the elevated mineral content in the groundwater is believed to have been at least partially derived from an adjacent superfund site. This deteriorating plant service water quality has caused the plant to make two modifications within the last few years. First, a reverse osmosis (RO) unit was installed to act as a pre-filter for the demineralizers. This has brought the demineralizers within normal operating capability to supply water to the system, since the (RO) unit removes about 90 percent of the total dissolved solids in the input water. The second modification was to bring in rural water district potable water into the plant. A sizable water main was installed from the main supply near the access highway to bring potable water to the plant. The well system is still used to supply all the plant service water requirements except potable water.

## Fuel Supply and Handling

The Coleman Plant burns coal as the main fuel. Propane and natural gas are available as ignition fuels only. These fuels cannot generate enough steam to accomplish anything more than to start up the units. With the addition of the FGD in 2006 the plant now has the ability to burn high sulfur coal. The majority of the plant's coal supply is purchased on short-term contracts (less than five years), supplemented by spot-market purchases. There appears to be adequate coal supply available to accommodate operation of the Coleman Plant for the foreseeable future. The mills have had gear reducer replacements and liner replacements on an as needed basis.

## Historical Operating Performance

Burns \& McDonnell reviewed the plant's historical operating performance to verify that the generating units have competitive heat rates and are capable of providing the level of reliability to meet Big Rivers’ electric production requirements. A summary of the last five years historical data is provided below in Table II-8.

Table II-8: Kenneth C. Coleman Historical Operating Performance Data

|  | Unit | Coleman Unit 1 | Coleman Unit 2 | Coleman Unit 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 160 MW | 160 MW | 165 MW |
| Net Generation Capacity | $(\mathrm{MW})$ | 150 MW | 138 MW | 155 MW |
| Net Capacity Factor | $(\%)$ | $71.64 \%$ | $74.14 \%$ | $70.61 \%$ |
| Heat Rate | $(\mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{kWh})$ | 10,738 | 11,622 | 10,606 |
| Equivalent Availability Factor | $(\%)$ | $86.61 \%$ | $91.25 \%$ | $86.33 \%$ |
| Equivalent Forced Outage Rate | $(\%)$ | $4.79 \%$ | $2.54 \%$ | $7.94 \%$ |

All three Coleman units have been performing well. Combined they have had a 5 year net heat rate of 10,923 Btu per kWh . The availability of the units has also been good. Coleman 1 had an EFOR of 2.5 percent in 2009 and 1.6 percent in 2010. Coleman 2 had an EFOR of 0.99 percent in 2009 and 2.8 percent in 2010. Coleman 3 had an EFOR of 2.6 percent in 2009 and 1.5 percent in 2010.

## Remaining Useful Life

Coleman Units 1, 2, and 3 are in good condition for their age and type. Provided that the inspections and maintenance activities continue as they have been, then the units can be expected to give satisfactory service for at least another 25 years. Of particular note is the Boiler Condition Spreadsheet that contains a status report on all of the major components in the boiler as well as the HEP and hangers. A consistent program like this for monitoring status and identifying areas to address in future budgets is very good. The HEP and hanger review addresses the concern over creep damage with an aging plant. This program is critical and is currently being performed on all the units. The spreadsheet does indicate that a HEP and hanger review occurs on all the units.

## ROBERT A. REID COMBUSTION TURBINE

## Facility Description

This General Electric Frame 7 combustion turbine was placed in operation in 1976, with a net output rating of 65 MW. It is capable of firing \#2 fuel oil or natural gas. Considered part of the

Reid station, this unit is also located at the Sebree, Kentucky site with the HMP\&L Station Two and Green stations.

## Remaining Useful Life

The relatively low number of operating hours for the Reid combustion turbine indicates that, with continued maintenance it should provide reasonably available capacity for a number of years into the future. There currently are enough similar units being operated in a similar manner throughout the country to ensure that replacement and maintenance parts will continue to be available.

## TRANSMISSION ASSETS

This section of the report on the Study provides a review of the engineering assessment of the major electric substation assets of Big Rivers that were in service as of April 30, 2010. The Kentucky Public Service Commission mandated that Big Rivers conduct a new depreciation study as part of its submission in connection with the its intent to file for a general review of its operations and tariffs within three years. During the Study, the following efforts were conducted to examine Big Rivers’ substations in service from an engineering perspective:

1. Review of Big Rivers' retirement records and history
2. Analysis of current operating and maintenance programs as well as each facility's current operating conditions
3. Analysis of the external or environmental factors that may impact the depreciation rates
4. Estimation of the remaining service life of major transmission facilities

The engineering assessment presented in this part of the Study report addresses each of the above areas. The analyses leading to formulation of proposed new depreciation rates for Big Rivers are described in Part III.

## Remaining Unit Life

Estimated remaining useful lives for Big Rivers' transmission assets were based primarily on national industry standards regarding the expected useful life of major electric substation equipment.

Burns \& McDonnell recommends that Big Rivers continue to follow a comprehensive program of testing on all major equipment approaching the manufacturer service limits. Individual components should be either repaired or replaced as damage is identified. Certain tests should continue to be performed on an annual basis, such as analysis of oil samples retrieved from transformers. Other tests, such as thermal imaging of electrical connections, can be done less frequently.

Electrical insulation is subject to loss of dielectric capability, particularly when subjected to heat. Testing programs are generally able to determine the capability of the components, so replacement or repairs can be initiated before the component affects the plant capability or availability. These programs must be implemented and the frequency increased as the equipment ages.

Several of the Big Rivers transmission substations are approaching the age when an electrical insulation testing program should be performed. Assuming the testing recommended is conducted and assuming any damaged components are either repaired or replaced, there would be no reason, from an electrical engineering perspective, that all of Big Rivers' transmission substations cannot remain in service as long as they are economically viable to operate.

Burns \& McDonnell further considered the results of the on-site assessments of the major Big Rivers transmission substations in the estimation of the remaining useful lives. The assessments of the major transmission substations are presented in the remainder of this part of the Study.

## ROBERT A. REID EHV SUBSTATION

## Facility Description

The Reid EHV Substation is a 345 kV to 161 kV electric substation. The substation contains two $345 / 161 \mathrm{kV}$ transformers, two 345 kV circuit switchers and seven 161 kV circuit breakers. The substation also contains a 161 kV circuit breaker that is owned by the City as part of the City's transmission loop.

A control building located within the substation contains all of the electrical controls associated with the both the circuit switchers and breakers. The control building also houses all of the protection equipment needed to provide adequate electrical protection for both the substation transformers and the associated transmission lines that enter and exit the substation.

## Condition Assessment

Physical observation of the Reid EHV substation was made on August 23, 2010. The nameplates on the major substation equipment state the equipment was constructed and installed in 1982. The substation appears to be in good working condition. There are no signs of deterioration or rust located on the steel structures or any of the major equipment. Also, there are no signs of current or past oil leaks from any of the oil insulated equipment.

## Maintenance

Based on all observations of the electric substation, maintenance of the major equipment appears to have been performed on a regular basis. The transformers and circuit breakers will need to continue to have regular maintenance in order to maintain good working order.

## Remaining Life Assessment

The Reid EHV substation is approximately 28 years old. Assuming a continued level of maintenance on the substation, the Reid substation as a whole can expect to be still functioning properly for an additional 30 years. This results in a projected retirement year for the substation of 2040. For the major equipment located within the substation, such as the transformers, circuit breakers, and control building, this equipment requires a greater level of care and maintenance in order to function for an additional 30 years. Typically, substation transformers and circuit breakers begin being replaced within the electric industry once they have achieved 40 years of useful life. However, given regular and proper maintenance, this equipment can last 60 years.

Associated equipment, such as steel structures, concrete foundations, chain link fences, etc, are subject to weather conditions and deteriorate at the same speed as those same types of structures located in other types of facilities.

## KENNETH C. COLEMAN EHV SUBSTATION

## Facility Description

The Coleman EHV Substation is located near Hawesville, Kentucky, approximately 60 miles east of Henderson, Kentucky. The electric substation is located adjacent to the Kenneth C. Coleman Generating Facility. The Coleman EHV Substation is a 345 kV to 161 kV electric substation. The substation contains two $345 / 161 \mathrm{kV}$ transformers, two 345 kV circuit switchers and eight 161 kV circuit breakers.

A control building located within the substation contains all of the electrical controls associated with the both the circuit switchers and breakers. The control building also houses all of the protection equipment needed to provide adequate protection for both the substation transformers and the associated transmission lines that enter and exit the substation.

## Maintenance

Based on all observations of the electric substation, maintenance of the major equipment appears to have been performed on a regular basis. The transformers and circuit breakers will need to continue to have regular maintenance performed on these devices in order to maintain good working order.

## Condition Assessment

Physical observation of the Coleman EHV substation was made on August 23, 2010. The nameplates on the major substation equipment state the equipment was constructed and installed in 1987. The substation appears to be in good working condition. There are no signs of deterioration or rust located on the steel structures or equipment. Also, there are no signs of current or past oil leaks from any of the oil insulated equipment.

## Remaining Life Assessment

The Coleman EHV substation is approximately 23 years old. Assuming a continued level of maintenance on the substation, the Coleman substation as a whole can expect to be still functioning properly for an additional 35 years. This resulted in a projected retirement year for the unit of 2045. For the major equipment located within the substation, such as the transformers, circuit breakers, and control building, this equipment requires a greater level of care and maintenance in order to function for an additional 35 years. Typically, substation transformers and circuit breakers are replaced within the electric industry any time after 40 years of useful life has passed. However, given regular and proper maintenance, this equipment can last 60 years. Associated equipment, such as steel structures, concrete foundations, chain link fences, etc, are subject to weather conditions and deteriorate at the same speed as those same types of structures located in other types of facilities.

## D. B. WILSON STATION EHV SUBSTATION

## Facility Description

The Wilson EHV Substation is located at Island, Kentucky, approximately 55 miles from Henderson, Kentucky. This station is located through the entrance to the D.B. Wilson Generating Plant, and is a 345 kV to 161 kV electric substation. The station currently has two $345 / 161 \mathrm{kV}$ transformers, four 345kV circuit breakers and five 161 kV circuit breakers.

A control building located within the substation contains all of the electrical controls associated with the both the circuit switchers and breakers. The control building also houses all of the protection equipment needed to provide adequate protection for both the substation transformers and the associated transmission lines that enter and exit the substation.

## Maintenance

Based on all observations of the electric substation, maintenance of the major equipment appears to have been performed on a regular basis. One of the 161 kV circuit breakers has been replaced, thus eliminating one of the original oil circuit breakers and installing the newer SF6 type gas
circuit breakers. The transformers and circuit breakers will need to have regular maintenance continued on these devices in order to maintain good working order.

## Condition Assessment

Physical observation of the Wilson EHV substation was made on August 23, 2010. The nameplates on the major substation equipment state the equipment was constructed and installed in 1982. The substation appears to be in good working condition. There are no signs of deterioration or rust located on the steel structures or equipment. Also, there are no signs of current or past oil leaks from any of the oil insulated equipment.

## Remaining Life Assessment

The Wilson EHV substation is approximately 28 years old. Assuming a continued level of maintenance on the substation, the Wilson substation as a whole can expect to be still functioning properly for an additional 30 years. This resulted in a projected retirement year for the unit of 2040. For the major equipment located within the substation, such as the transformers, circuit breakers, and control building, this equipment requires a greater level of care and maintenance in order to function for an additional 30 years. Typically, substation transformers and circuit breakers are replaced within the electric industry any time after 40 years of useful life. However, given regular and proper maintenance, this equipment can last 60 years. Associated equipment, such as steel structures, concrete foundations, chain link fences, etc, are subject to weather conditions and deteriorate at the same speed as those same types of structures located in other types of facilities.

## HANCOCK SUBSTATION

## Facility Description

The Hancock Substation is located near Hawesville, Kentucky, approximately 60 miles east of Henderson, Kentucky. This substation is located within five miles of the Kenneth C. Coleman Generating Station, and is a 161 kV to 69 kV electric substation. The station currently has two $161 / 69 \mathrm{kV}$ transformers, five 161 kV circuit breakers and four 69 kV circuit breakers.

A control building located within the substation contains all of the electrical controls associated with the both the circuit switchers and breakers. The control building also houses all of the protection equipment needed to provide adequate protection for both the substation transformers and the associated transmission lines that enter and exit the substation.

## Condition Assessment

Physical observation of the Hancock substation was made on August 23, 2010. The 161kV circuit breakers contained nameplates that state the breakers were manufactured in 2001. However, the substation is far greater in age than the circuit breakers. Located throughout the substation were brown colored glass insulators. This particular style of insulator has not been manufactured by major electric manufacturers since the 1960’s. The existing steel structures were beginning to show signs of rust and deterioration, which is expected given the estimated age of the substation.

## Maintenance

All of the 161 kV circuit breakers had been replaced in 2001, eliminating the original oil circuit breakers and installing newer SF6 type gas circuit breakers. Based on the estimated age of the substation, additional maintenance will need to be performed on the transformers and the remaining oil circuit breakers will need to have regular maintenance continued on these devices in order to maintain good working order. Also, there are no signs of current or past oil leaks from any of the oil insulated equipment.

## Remaining Life Assessment

The Hancock Substation is approximately 40 years old. Typically, substation transformers and circuit breakers are replaced within the electric industry any time after 40 years of useful life. However, given regular and proper maintenance, this equipment can last between 50 and 60 years. Brown insulators are considered obsolete by industry standards, and may need to be considered as part of future maintenance work. However, assuming a continued level of maintenance on the substation, the Hancock substation appears to be in good working order and could continue to function properly for an additional 20 years. This resulted in a projected retirement year for the unit of 2030. For the major oil filled equipment located within the
substation, such as the transformers and circuit breakers, this equipment requires a greater level of care and maintenance in order to function for an additional 20 years.

## HARDINSBURG SUBSTATION

## Facility Description

The Hardinsburg Substation is located near Hardinsburg, Kentucky, approximately 80 miles east of Henderson, Kentucky. This substation is a 161 kV to 69 kV electric substation. The station currently has two $161 / 69 \mathrm{kV}$ transformers, five 161 kV circuit breakers and seven 69 kV circuit breakers.

A control building located within the substation contains all of the electrical controls associated with the both the circuit switchers and breakers. The control building also houses all of the protection equipment needed to provide adequate protection for both the substation transformers and the associated transmission lines that enter and exit the substation.

## Condition Assessment

Physical observation of the Hardinsburg substation was made on August 23, 2010. The equipment located within the substation contained nameplates stating their construction in 1968. The steel structures were beginning to show signs of rust and deterioration, which is expected given the estimated age of the substation. However the concrete foundations, ground and conduit connections appeared to be in good operating shape.

## Maintenance

Based on the age of the substation, maintenance will need to be performed on the transformers and oil circuit breakers in order to maintain good working order. There were no signs of past or current oil leaks from existing equipment. This demonstrates that the equipment is being properly inspected and maintained on a regular basis.

## Remaining Life Assessment

The Hardinsburg Substation is 42 years old. Typically, substation transformers and circuit breakers are replaced within the electric industry any time after 40 years of useful life. However, given regular and proper maintenance, this equipment can last between 50 and 60 years. Several of the insulators are considered obsolete by industry standards, and may need to be considered as part of future maintenance work. However, assuming a continued level of maintenance on the substation, the Hardinsburg substation appears to be in good working order and could continue to function properly for an additional 20 years. This resulted in a projected retirement year for the unit of 2030. For the major oil filled equipment located within the substation, such as the transformers and circuit breakers, this equipment requires a greater level of care and maintenance in order to function for an additional 20 years.

PART III - DEPRECIATION RATE ANALYSIS

## PART III DEPRECIATION RATE ANALYSIS

Part III of this report on the Comprehensive Depreciation Study (the Study) describes the methodology and presents the results of the analysis performed in the formulation of proposed new depreciation rates for the electric generation and transmission assets of Big Rivers. The depreciation rate analysis was performed based on the electric generation and transmission historical plant records of Big Rivers as of April 30, 2010. The methodologies and basis for completing this Study is similar to the process utilized in completing the 1998 Depreciation Rate Study.

## STUDY SCOPE \& PURPOSE

This depreciation rate analysis was conducted to analyze the service life characteristics, net salvage indications, and depreciation reserve status based on historical data from Big Rivers' CPR system data, and then to derive appropriate depreciation rates for Big Rivers' system plant in service.

The procedures used to analyze Big Rivers’ historical data pertaining to useful service lives and net salvage rates are discussed for the assets represented by each plant account. This narrative description of the depreciation rate analysis completed for Big Rivers includes a variety of concepts related to common utility depreciation terminology and study techniques. Various reference materials are readily available that provide thorough explanations of these concepts. ${ }^{1}$

For plant assets in certain accounts there was found to be an insufficient amount of historical plant additions and retirement data in the CPR system on which to perform statistically valid actuarial studies. In these cases, engineering estimates were made based on the historical data from similar accounts and the Engineer's Assessment in Section II. This data, combined with the engineering judgment of the depreciation consultants, was relied upon in the completion of the analysis of those accounts with limited historical data. In addition, consideration to extending

[^0]useful lives can be given based on an engineering assessment of proper maintenance, overhauls and replacements.

## DEPRECIATION RATE STUDY METHODS

Two primary methods have been used to calculate depreciation accruals: the Whole Life method (most General Plant accounts) and the Life Span method combined with the Remaining Life technique (all Transmission accounts and all Production accounts and Account 390 - Structures).

## Whole Life Method

For each account where used, the Whole Life method uses the account average service life (ASL) and the average net salvage percentage (NS) for the account to calculate the annual depreciation rate according to the following formula:

$$
1-\mathrm{NS}
$$

ASL
Whole life depreciation rates are appropriate for mass property type of accounts where there are a large number of relatively small property units with no definite or planned final retirement, retirements of individual units are independent of each other, and additions are generally independent of existing units. Typical property falling in this category includes tools, vehicles, computers, and furniture.

Estimates of average service life and dispersion were studied using the retirement rate method of actuarial analysis based upon the historical nature of the characteristics of the plant retired from each account since inception. Accounts for which insufficient retirement activity had occurred on which to conduct actuarial analysis, or the results of such an analysis were inconclusive, other publicly available industry information and the engineering judgment of the depreciation consultant were relied upon to estimate reasonable average service lives and/or average net salvage values.

## Life Span Method

The Life Span method calculates lives for an asset group or account based on the assumption that all property units in the group will retire concurrently at a single forecasted point in time,
whether the units are part of the initial installation or later additions. Typical property falling in this category includes poles, transformers, conductors, power production facilities and buildings. Forecasting reasonable retirement dates is the most critical aspect of the Life Span method.

During the life of an operational power plant and building, portions of the facility are retired and replaced. These items typically include roofs, HVAC equipment, boiler tubes and walls, pumps, piping, and parking lots allocated to the cost of the facility. Because not all items of plant live the entire length of time a power plant or building remains in service, these so-called interim retirements tend to decrease the life of the dollars in the group or account. Therefore, it is important in a depreciation study to analyze the historical interim retirement amounts and whether the interim retirement rates are expected to continue at the same pace over the remaining life of the unit. Interim retirements can be studied mathematically using the system of Iowa curves, the Gompertz-Makeham formula, or derived interim retirement rate curves. As the information was readily available, interim retirement life tables were developed separately for each of the accounts under the Life Span method.

Although detailed interim retirement records are maintained for each Cooperative building and production facility, interim retirements for most locations are relatively few and little applicable life knowledge would be derived from attempting an analysis on such a thin available data set. Therefore, to improve the validity of the interim retirement rate analysis, an interim retirement rate calculation was performed for each account as a whole, rather than by account and then by location.

Technical engineering experts assessed the Big Rivers electric plant facilities regarding their design, performance, operation and maintenance, and condition, and provided estimates of final retirement dates for each production plant and each general plant structure to the depreciation consultant as input to the depreciation model. The Engineering Assessment of the major system facilities are detailed in Part II of the Study. For each production account and buildings account, an average year of final retirement (AYFR) was calculated for each major facility using the direct weighted average of individual retirement years and plant balances to retire. This AYFR
and the aforementioned interim retirement rates are inputs to the remaining life (RL) calculation for each account.

The Remaining Life depreciation rate automatically adjusts for past under- and over-accruals by building those amounts into the depreciation rate calculation using the reserve ratio (RR). The $R R$ is the depreciation reserve amount divided by the plant balance at the point in time of the study, (April 30, 2010 for this study). The net salvage parameter in the Remaining Life rate equation is the future net salvage rate (FS). The Remaining Life depreciation rate is expressed mathematically as:

$$
1-\mathrm{FS}-\mathrm{RR}
$$

Remaining Life

## Sources of Industry Information

Actuarial methods are most accurate and applicable to determination of historic trends for assessing average service lives and salvage specific to a plant account when there is significant annual turnover of plant in that account. However, the limited activity in several accounts prevented actuarial analysis.

Accounts for which insufficient retirement activity had occurred on which to conduct actuarial analysis, or for which the results of such an analysis were inconclusive, other publicly available industry information, the Engineer’s Assessment in Section II and the engineering judgment of the depreciation consultant were relied upon to estimate reasonable average service lives. Three engineering publications that provide electric industry information were also considered as a resource for making certain assumptions or for the evaluation of lifespan and salvage value parameters:

## 1. "Depreciation Statistics from 100 Large United States Electric Utilities - FERC

Jurisdiction", Society of Depreciation Professionals Journal, Mougin, Clarence, 1992. (hereinafter "SDP report").
2. "A Survey of Depreciation Statistics", Edison Electric Institute, Robinson, Earl, 1995. (hereinafter "EEI report").
3. "Power Plant Removal Costs Revisited", Society of Depreciation Professionals Journal, Ferguson, John, 1997. (hereinafter "Ferguson report").

## Net Salvage Analysis

The net salvage value for each transmission and general plant account was calculated as an average of the available historical data by system account provided by Big Rivers. The net salvage figures used in the depreciation rate formula for production and the building account are for final net salvage, i.e. the gross proceeds realized less any removal cost to raze the structures represented in the account, if any.

Burns \& McDonnell's engineers and depreciation consultants performed analysis of available data and information provided by Big Rivers in order to assess whether specific detailed estimates of non-legal terminal removal costs for each of the Big Rivers generating stations could be developed with reasonable substantiation. Sufficient data was provided by Big Rivers such that the historical removal costs could be utilized in the development of projected non-legal terminal net salvage values. Accordingly, the net salvage values in the depreciation study were developed exclusive of any engineering estimates of potential legal asset retirement obligations for substantial environmental remediation based upon future, unknown environmental regulatory requirements. Instead the historical removal costs provided by Big Rivers were considered in the projected net salvage values.

## Removal Costs

From mid 1998 until July of 2009 (lease period) removal costs associated with plant additions were capitalized by Western Kentucky Energy (WKE) and then reported as capital additions to Big Rivers. Big Rivers had no control over this methodology. Going forward, Big Rivers will record removal costs according to RUS guidelines as they did previously from 1965 to mid 1998. Removal costs have a direct and significant effect on depreciation rates. With the knowledge
that in the future Big Rivers will record removal costs as they did previously from 1965 to 1998, removal costs from 1998 to 2010 need to be included in the analysis. Since there is no actual data available for the Production Plant removal costs from 1998 to 2010, removal costs were estimated based on 33 years of actual removal costs incurred from 1965 to mid 1998 for each Production Plant account.

Sufficient data was provided by Big Rivers such that the historical removal costs could be utilized in the development of projected non-legal terminal net salvage values. Accordingly, the net salvage values in the depreciation study were developed exclusive of any engineering estimates of potential legal asset retirement obligations for substantial environmental remediation based upon future, unknown environmental regulatory requirements.

Actual removal costs for Big Rivers for the period 1965 to 1998 totaled $\$ 1.6$ million. The estimated removal costs for the period 1998 to 2010 totaled $\$ 4.8$ million (which is 0.25 percent of Big Rivers' $\$ 1.9$ billion of utility plant in service). Big Rivers has concluded, and Burns \& McDonnell concurs, that the effect of capitalizing such estimated $\$ 4.8$ million of removal cost is immaterial to Big Rivers’ financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, Big Rivers will forego making an adjustment to its continuing property records.

## DEPRECIATION RATE ANALYSIS

Table III-1 summarizes the results of the depreciation rate analysis by capital plant account balance as of April 30, 2010. Table III-1 summarizes the results of the depreciation rate analysis by showing the existing depreciation rates and annual depreciation expense compared to the proposed depreciation rates and annual depreciation expense. Table III-1 also shows the yearend plant account balances, reserve ratios, average service lives, remaining service lives and net salvage factors.

Table III-1: 2010 Depreciation Rate Study Summary

| Description | As of April 30, 2010 |  |  | ExistingDepreciationRate | Average Service Life | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Remaining } \\ & \text { Service } \\ & \text { Life } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Salvage } \\ \text { Factor } \end{gathered}$ | Proposed Depreciation Rate | Annual Depreciation Expense |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plant Balance | Reserve Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reserve } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Existing | Proposed | Variance |
|  | - \$ - | - \$ - |  | - \% - | - Years - | - Years - | - \% - | - \% - | - \$ - | - \$ - | - \$ - |
| 310 Land \& Land Improvements | 4,537,577 | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | - | - |
| PRODUCTION PLANT [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 Land | 475,968 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 311 Structures | 124,375,974 | 78,124,758 | 62.8 | 1.71\% | 62 | 30 | -4.5\% | 1.38\% | 2,126,829 | 1,717,828 | $(409,001)$ |
| 312 Boiler Plant | 667,206,536 | 347,026,279 | 52.0 | 1.79\% | 60 | 28 | -5.0\% | 1.88\% | 11,942,997 | 12,543,396 | 600,399 |
| 312 A-K Boiler Plant - Env Compl | 574,184,346 | 216,760,670 | 37.8 | 1.89\% | 53 | 28 | -2.0\% | 2.28\% | 10,852,084 | 13,074,185 | 2,222,101 |
| 312 L-P Short-Life Production Plant -Environmental | 3,208,938 | 165,475 | 5.2 | 1.89\% | 10 | 5 | 0.0\% | 20.22\% | 60,649 | 648,949 | 588,300 |
| 312 V-Z Short-Life Production Plant -Other | 868,755 | 210,738 | 24.3 | 1.89\% | 10 | 5 | 0.0\% | 14.39\% | 16,419 | 125,054 | 108,634 |
| 314 Turbine | 225,272,354 | 124,744,924 | 55.4 | 1.66\% | 60 | 28 | -8.2\% | 1.91\% | 3,739,521 | 4,309,293 | 569,772 |
| 315 Electric Eqpt | 60,355,721 | 35,350,377 | 58.6 | 1.60\% | 51 | 19 | 3.0\% | 1.99\% | 965,692 | 1,202,952 | 237,260 |
| 316 Misc Eqpt | 3,014,912 | 42,128 | 1.4 | 1.83\% | 58 | 26 | 0.5\% | 3.78\% | 55,173 | 113,919 | 58,746 |
| 341 CT - Structures | 154,233 | 115,766 | 75.1 | 2.31\% | 53 | 21 | 0.0\% | 1.17\% | 3,563 | 1,804 | $(1,759)$ |
| 342 CT - Fuel Holders \& Access. | 1,436,912 | 564,590 | 39.3 | 2.32\% | 53 | 21 | -134.8\% | 9.10\% | 33,336 | 130,751 | 97,414 |
| 343 CT - Prime Movers | 4,915,886 | 3,637,977 | 74.0 | 2.47\% | 53 | 21 | -38.3\% | 3.02\% | 121,422 | 148,408 | 26,986 |
| 344 CT-Generators | 1,102,964 | 984,479 | 89.3 | 2.23\% | 53 | 22 | 0.0\% | 0.50\% | 24,596 | 5,511 | $(19,085)$ |
| 345 CT - Access. Elec. Eqpt. | 317,726 | 179,425 | 56.5 | 2.23\% | 53 | 21 | 0.0\% | 2.05\% | 7,085 | 6,510 | (575) |
| Subtotal | 1,666,891,222 | 807,907,587 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,949,367 | 34,028,559 | 4,079,192 |

$\frac{\text { TRANSMISSION }_{[1]}}{350 \text { Land }}$

352 Structure
353 Station Eqpt
354 Towers
354 Towers
355 Poles
356 Lines

GENERAL PLANT [2]
389 Land
391.0/391.6/391.7 Office Furniture \& Eqpt
391.2 Computer
392.2 Vehicles - General
392.3 Vehicles - Transmission

393 Stores Eqpt
394 Tools
396 Power Operated Eqpt [3]
397 Communication Eqpt [4]
Subtotal

| 558,665 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6,725,346 | 3,664,345 | 54.5 | 1.76\% | 53 | 25 | -2.4\% | 1.90\% | 118,366 | 127,998 | 9,632 |
| 115,297,358 | 51,467,633 | 44.6 | 2.22\% | 53 | 25 | -0.2\% | 2.23\% | 2,559,601 | 2,573,726 | 14,125 |
| 8,593,544 | 4,868,075 | 56.6 | 2.28\% | 58 | 30 | 0.0\% | 1.42\% | 195,933 | 122,186 | $(73,747)$ |
| 41,558,164 | 22,321,791 | 53.7 | 3.24\% | 50 | 23 | 0.0\% | 2.06\% | 1,346,485 | 854,950 | $(491,535)$ |
| 41,070,042 | 23,399,406 | 57.0 | 2.47\% | 53 | 26 | 0.0\% | 1.69\% | 1,014,430 | 692,966 | $(321,464)$ |
| 213,803,120 | 105,721,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,234,815 | 4,371,826 | $(862,989)$ |

ubtotal

| 213,803,120 | 105,721,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,234,815 | 4,371,826 | 862,989) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 407,251 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3,944,895 | 1,786,210 | 45.3 | 2.59\% | 43 | 12 | 21.8\% | 2.84\% | 102,173 | 111,928 | 9,755 |
| 616,135 | $(282,102)$ | -45.8 | 1.11\% | 10 | 8 | 8.9\% | 17.12\% | 6,839 | 105,460 | 98,621 |
| 7,013,902 | 436,114 | 6.2 | 1.11\% | 10 | 9 | 1.2\% | 10.29\% | 77,854 | 721,713 | 643,859 |
| 1,699,130 | 995,277 | 58.6 | 5.62\% | 10 | 6 | 14.2\% | 4.39\% | 95,491 | 74,575 | $(20,916)$ |
| 1,257,240 | 625,460 | 49.7 | 5.62\% | 10 | 5 | 16.9\% | 6.14\% | 70,657 | 77,173 | 6,517 |
| 98,766 | 69,468 | 70.3 | 3.57\% | 16 | 6 | 4.4\% | 4.40\% | 3,526 | 4,349 | 823 |
| 717,086 | 385,947 | 53.8 | 2.85\% | 16 | 9 | 2.7\% | 4.61\% | 20,437 | 33,072 | 12,635 |
| 221,279 | 160,195 | 72.4 | 2.86\% | 16 | 6 | 2.1\% | 4.41\% | 6,329 | 9,768 | 3,440 |
| 504,739 | 392,925 | 77.8 | 3.70\% | 16 | 5 | 24.9\% | 3.70\% | 18,675 | 18,675 | - |
| 1,639,437 | 1,640,029 | 100.0 | 4.35\% | 16 | 1 | -0.1\% | 4.35\% | 71,316 | 71,316 | - |
| 163,645 | 3,925 | 2.4 | 5.44\% | 16 | 8 | 3.2\% | 11.80\% | 8,902 | 19,309 | 10,407 |
| 18,283,504 | 6,213,447 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 482,199 | 1,247,338 | 765,140 |

[1] Life Span Method depreciation
[2] Whole Life Method depreciation
[3] This rate was left unchanged because the calculated rate was negative.
[4] Depreciation rate is equal to the previous rate due to Big Rivers current $\$ 7$ million Replacement Program.
TOTAL $\quad \$ 1,903,515,423 \quad \$ 919,842,284$

The existing depreciation rates in effect for Big Rivers' system assets were developed in the previous depreciation study based on the year-end 1997 plant in service and were implemented effective July 1, 1998.

The annual depreciation expense calculated in Table III-1 based on the application of the existing depreciation rates to the April 30, 2010 plant balances is approximately $\$ 35.7$ million.

The application of the proposed depreciation rates to the April 30, 2010 plant balances resulted in calculated total annual depreciation expense of approximately $\$ 39.6$ million, representing an estimated increase in Big Rivers' total annual depreciation expense of approximately \$4.0 million.

Discussion of the depreciation analysis performed on each Big Rivers plant category or account that resulted in the information shown in Table III-1 is presented below. Detailed calculations for all the accounts shown in Table III-1 are provided in Appendix A.

## Steam Production Plant Accounts: 311 to 316

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Accounts 311 to 315. Insufficient plant additions prior to retirement activity prevented a reliable actuarial analysis of Account 316 (Miscellaneous Equipment).

The current best estimates of future retirement dates for each generating station as described in Part II: Engineering Assessment were also used as inputs to the Life Span model along with the actuarial analysis and engineers' judgment for each plant account. The life of these individual units can vary based on a number of factors including but not limited to operating hours and maintenance experience. The Green, HMP\&L Station Two and Coleman facilities have multiple units, but are forecasted to retire in the same year, This is reasonable for three reasons. First, the units were installed within two to three years of each other. Second, most plant accounts are assigned to the entire generating station, not to individual units of the facility. Most importantly, it is realistic to assume that the entire facility would shut down before significant demolition
activities begin to occur. Piecemeal removal at an operating facility would be costly and much of the plant infrastructure would need to remain in service in order to maintain the last unit's ability to function.

Due to the caustic nature of scrubber operations, scrubber equipment dealing with sulfur dioxide removal and related piping will be expected to have a shorter life than that expected for the vast majority of the production plant. That life expectancy is directly related to the design, wear and tear from variable amounts of daily operation, and the levels of removal based on the particular coal mix being burned.

Account 312 contains some much newer environmental compliance assets such as scrubber equipment that have a shorter expected life than the other assets in Account 312. These assets were broken out into Account 312 A-K. In addition, assets such as mist eliminator panels and slag grinders with even shorter useful lives were subdivided into Account $312 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{Z}$ and to Account 312 L-P (if they were related to environmental compliance). Despite having a shorter useful life than other assets in Account 312, the remaining life of these environmental assets is still constrained by the remaining life of the plant as a whole because the environmental assets would be retired when the overall plant is retired.

The D. B. Wilson Station is significantly newer than the other facilities. As such, its Plant Balance is significantly larger in comparison to the other facilities. A simple average of the Remaining Service Life of each facility is 28 years. An average of the Remaining Service lives of each facility weighted by size (MW) is also 28 years. If the Remaining Service Life of each facility is weighted by the Plant Balances in Account 311 -Structures, Account 312 -Boiler Plant, and Account 314 -Turbine the weighted average Remaining Service Life increases to 30 years. As such, the Remaining Service Life for Account 311 -Structures was assumed to be 30 years and the Remaining Service Life for Account 312 -Boiler Plant and Account 314 -Turbine was assumed to be 28 years.

Big Rivers sold personal property to WKE at the inception of the lease in July, 1998. This transaction was recorded as salvage value. Therefore, the salvage values associated with the
transaction have been subtracted from the overall balance of salvage value for the purpose of determining depreciation rates.

Insufficient plant additions prior to retirement activity prevented a reliable actuarial analysis of Account 316 (Miscellaneous Equipment). As a result, other publicly available industry information, the Engineer's Assessment in Section II and the engineering judgment of the depreciation consultant were relied upon to estimate a reasonable average service life for this account.

The net salvage rates for Accounts 311 to 316 were calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Other Production (Combustion Turbine) Accounts: 341 to 346

The investment in Other Production accounts is related to the one 65 MW combustion turbine (CT) located at the Reid plant. These accounts were studied in a method identical to the Steam Production accounts (except Account 316): actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Accounts 341 to 346.

The net salvage rates for Accounts 341 to 346 were calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Transmission Accounts: 352 to 356

The investment in Transmission Accounts is derived from Big Rivers’ structures, substations and substation equipment, transmission towers, poles and transmission lines. These accounts were studied in a method identical to the Other Production accounts: actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Accounts 352 to 356 .

The net salvage rates for Accounts 352 to 356 were calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers. However, the
retirement and salvage data for Account 354 -Towers is extremely limited. This results in an unrealistically high Net Salvage Factor of 56\%. After removing the outlying values, the Net Salvage Factor for Account 354 -Towers is $0 \%$. As of April 30, 2010 there was little or no retirement activity for RUS Account 353 - Station Equipment (transformers), Account 354 Towers, Account 355 -Poles, and Account 356 -Lines in Big Rivers’ property records. Therefore, the Life Span Method was used to develop depreciation rates for these accounts.

## General Plant Accounts: 390 to 398

## Structures - Account: 390

This account contains the investment for Cooperative buildings identified as Headquarters, Transmission Office/Warehouse, Publications, Communication, Central Laboratory, and $4^{\text {th }}$ Street Warehouse. Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Account 390.

The net salvage rate of 21.8 percent for Account 390 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Office Furniture \& Equipment: Accounts 391.0, 391.6 \& 391.7

These accounts contain the investment for items typically found in a business office, including desks, tables, bookcases, chairs, copiers, and fax machines. Due to the similarity of content, the three sub-accounts were analyzed together.

Retirement activity was greater than additions and prevented a reliable actuarial analysis of these accounts. As a result, other publicly available industry information, the Engineer's Assessment in Section II and the engineering judgment of the depreciation consultant were relied upon to estimate a reasonable average service life for this account.

The net salvage rate of 8.9 percent for Accounts 391.0, 391.6 and 391.7 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Computer Equipment: Account 391.2

This account contains the investment for the Big Rivers computer system, software, personal computers, tape drives, peripherals, printers, and the facilities management system.

Insufficient plant additions prior to retirement activity prevented a reliable actuarial analysis of these accounts because system additions were marginally greater than retirements. As a result, other publicly available industry information, the Engineer’s Assessment in Section II and the engineering judgment of the depreciation consultant were relied upon to estimate a reasonable average service life for this account.

The net salvage rate of 1.2 percent for Account 391.2 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Vehicles, General: Account 392.2

This account contains investment for Cooperative cars, vans, light and medium duty trucks, truck mounted tool cabinets, and a variety of air compressor, generator, and equipment trailers.

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Account 392.2.

The net salvage rate of 14.2 percent for Account 392.2 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Vehicles, Transmission: Account 392.3

This account contains investment for heavy-duty trucks, a crane, a lowboy, and a digger derrick.

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Account 392.3.

The net salvage rate of 16.9 percent for Account 392.3 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Stores Equipment: Account 393

This account contains investment for items typically found in a warehouse, predominantly shelves and bins. Other items include lockers, pallet movers, and a forklift.

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Account 393.

The net salvage rate of 4.4 percent for Account 393 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Tools, Shop \& Garage Equipment: Account 394

This account title is most descriptive of the investment in the account. Typical items found in account 394 include non-expensed line truck tools, test equipment, ladders, chain saws, tampers, lifts, tanks, air compressors, and an oil purification unit.

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Account 394.

The net salvage rate of 2.7 percent for Account 394 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Laboratory Equipment: Account 395

This account contains a variety of electrical and material laboratory tools, including power supplies, test gear, oscilloscopes, microscopes, analyzers, a gas chromatograph, a solvent extraction system, and a spectrophotometer.

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Account 395.

The net salvage rate of 2.1 percent for Account 395 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Power Operated Equipment: Account 396

The investment in this account includes tractors, trenchers, mowers, go-tracts, a bulldozer, and a boat and trailer.

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were used to develop the depreciation rates and remaining life for Account 396. The calculated depreciation rate for this account is negative. However, when considering actual account activity and anticipated account additions, the depreciation rate for this account should remain at its current rate of $3.70 \%$.

The net salvage rate of 24.9 percent for Account 396 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Communications Equipment: Account 397

The investment in this account included Motorola mobile and hand radios, mobile base radio system with console and related towers, telephone systems and upgrades, data circuits, antennas, and pagers.

Actuarial analyses based on historical data obtained from Big Rivers CPR system were performed and the resulting depreciation rate was 0.53 percent. Similar to Account 396 -Power Operated Equipment, a large purchase ( $\$ 7$ million in new equipment) is going to be made soon to replace old equipment. Therefore, the depreciation rate for this account remains unchanged from the prior rate of 4.35\%.

The net salvage rate of -0.1 percent for Account 397 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

## Miscellaneous Equipment: Account 398

The investment in this account includes equipment not categorized into other accounts including video equipment, cameras, kitchen equipment, vacuum cleaners, and a mobile office trailer.

Insufficient plant additions prior to retirement activity prevented a reliable actuarial analysis of these accounts because system additions were marginally greater than retirements. As a result, other publicly available industry information, the Engineer's Assessment and the engineering judgment of the depreciation consultant were relied upon to estimate a reasonable average service life for this account.

The net salvage rate of 3.2 percent for Account 398 was calculated from the available historical data from 1965 to 2010 in the Big Rivers CPR system provided by Big Rivers.

Detailed calculations for all the accounts shown in Table III-1 are provided in Appendix A.

PART IV - SUMMARY \& CONCLUSIONS

## PART IV

## SUMMARY \& CONCLUSIONS

Burns \& McDonnell has completed its assessment and analysis of the remaining useful lives and the depreciation rates pertaining to the electric plant assets of Big Rivers Electric Corporation as reflected in this Comprehensive Depreciation Study. The Study was prepared in accordance with, and satisfies the requirements of, the Rural Utilities Service as issued to Big Rivers subsequent to its last depreciation study.

The proposed depreciation rates have been developed for all of Big Rivers’ generation, transmission, and general plant in service assets based on historical plant accounting records provided by Big Rivers CPR system, other published depreciation survey information, and generally-accepted depreciation analysis methodologies. Based on the analysis of the information provided by Big Rivers and the results of the on-site observations of the Big Rivers generation and transmission facilities, Burns \& McDonnell has formulated estimates of the remaining useful service lives for each plant.

Table III-1 presented the proposed remaining life estimates and the corresponding proposed depreciation rates for each plant account balance of Big Rivers’ electric and transmission plant in service as of April 30, 2010. Table III-1 also provided comparison calculations of Big Rivers’ annual depreciation expense, calculated using the existing depreciation rates and the proposed depreciation rates. That comparison showed that the proposed depreciation rates, if implemented by Big Rivers, would result in an estimated increase in depreciation expense of approximately \$4.0 million per year based on April 30, 2010 account balances.

Assuming that the recommended equipment testing on the generating plant assets is conducted and assuming that any damaged components of the equipment are either repaired or replaced, Burns \& McDonnell finds that from a mechanical engineering perspective, all of Big Rivers’ generating units could remain in reliable operating service well into the future. This conclusion is conditioned by the limiting conditions previously identified.

Therefore, Burns \& McDonnell recommends to Big Rivers that it consider pursuing approval and implementation of the proposed depreciation rates for each RUS plant account as presented in this report. These proposed depreciation rates are projected to increase total annual depreciation expenses of Big Rivers by approximately 11 percent.

In the preparation of this report, the information provided by Big Rivers was used by Burns \& McDonnell to make certain assumptions with respect to conditions that may exist in the future. Burns \& McDonnell believes the assumptions made are reasonable for the purposes of this report and makes no representation that the conditions assumed will, in fact, occur. In addition, while Burns \& McDonnell has no reason to believe that the information provided by Big Rivers, and on which was relied upon, is inaccurate in any material respect, it has not been independently verified and its accuracy or completeness cannot be guaranteed. To the extent that actual future conditions differ from those assumed herein or from the information provided, actual results may vary from those projected.

APPENDIX A

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

| Production | Structures | Account: |  |  | 311 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  |  | 2037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  |  | 0.00066 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study Date, Year-End: |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: |  |  | 28.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining Life ( $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{E}+.5$ ) $=$ |  |  | 28.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | Interim Retirement Rate | Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2009 | 0.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99967 | 27.72578 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99901 | 27.70757 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99836 | 27.68937 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99770 | 27.67119 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99705 | 27.65301 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99639 | 27.63485 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99574 | 27.61669 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99508 | 27.59855 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99443 | 27.58043 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99378 | 27.56231 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99312 | 27.54421 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99247 | 27.52612 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99182 | 27.50803 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1996 | 13.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99117 | 27.48997 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.99052 | 27.47191 |
| 1965 | 2,387,104 | 0 | 6,879 | \$ | 2,393,983 | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98987 | 27.45387 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,393,983 | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98922 | 27.43583 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,393,983 | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98857 | 27.41781 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,393,983 | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98792 | 27.39980 |
| 1969 | 5,316,911 | 0 | 4,040 | \$ | 7,714,934 | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98727 | 27.38181 |
| 1970 | 3,088,656 | 0 | 5,000 | \$ | 10,808,590 | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98662 | 27.36382 |
| 1971 | 4,646,588 | 0 | 357 | \$ | 15,455,536 | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98597 | 27.34585 |
| 1972 | 15,076 | 9,237 | 0 | \$ | 15,461,375 | 0.00060 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98533 | 27.32788 |
| 1973 | 37,913 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 15,499,289 | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98468 | 27.30993 |
| 1974 | 27,452 | 49,315 | 537 | \$ | 15,477,963 | 0.00319 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98403 | 27.29200 |
| 1975 | 466,603 | 10,019 | 298 | \$ | 15,934,844 | 0.00063 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98338 | 27.27407 |
| 1976 | 89,169 | 51,378 | 0 | \$ | 15,972,635 | 0.00322 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98274 | 27.25615 |
| 1977 | 126,318 | 404 | 0 | \$ | 16,098,549 | 0.00003 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98209 | 27.23825 |
| 1978 | 293,082 | 9,807 | 0 | \$ | 16,381,824 | 0.00060 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98145 | 27.22036 |
| 1979 | 12,146,870 | 6,495 | 3,651 | \$ | 28,525,850 | 0.00023 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98080 | 27.20248 |
| 1980 | 514,964 | 4,484 | 0 | \$ | 29,036,329 | 0.00015 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.98016 | 27.18461 |
| 1981 | 13,836,470 | 0 | 1,079 | \$ | 42,873,879 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97952 | 27.16676 |
| 1982 | 380,544 | 6,724 | 0 | \$ | 43,247,698 | 0.00016 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97887 | 26.18789 |
| 1983 | 591,717 | 582 | 0 | \$ | 43,838,833 | 0.00001 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97823 | 25.20966 |
| 1984 | 383,328 | 209,902 | 1,891 | \$ | 44,014,150 | 0.00477 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97759 | 24.23207 |
| 1985 | 410,671 | 26,160 | 429 | \$ | 44,399,089 | 0.00059 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97694 | 23.25512 |
| 1986 | 72,148,221 | 22,532 | 5,414 | \$ | 116,530,192 | 0.00019 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97630 | 22.27882 |
| 1987 | 60,368 | 15,673 | 0 | \$ | 116,574,887 | 0.00013 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97566 | 21.30316 |
| 1988 | 297,810 | 10,603 | 0 | \$ | 116,862,094 | 0.00009 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97502 | 20.32814 |
| 1989 | 183,496 | 15,906 | 0 | \$ | 117,029,684 | 0.00014 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97438 | 19.35376 |
| 1990 | 293,938 | 5,170 | 0 | \$ | 117,318,452 | 0.00004 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97374 | 18.38002 |
| 1991 | 160,650 | 1,284 | 0 | \$ | 117,477,818 | 0.00001 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97310 | 17.40692 |
| 1992 | 152,276 | 19,338 | 0 | \$ | 117,610,756 | 0.00016 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97246 | 16.43446 |
| 1993 | 112,866 | 141,852 | 0 | \$ | 117,581,771 | 0.00121 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97182 | 15.46263 |
| 1994 | 100,775 | 32,440 | 0 | \$ | 117,650,105 | 0.00028 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97118 | 14.49145 |
| 1995 | 9,584 | 292 | 0 | \$ | 117,659,398 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.97055 | 13.52090 |
| 1996 | 0 | 1,677 | 0 | \$ | 117,657,720 | 0.00001 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96991 | 12.55099 |
| 1997 | 3,083 | 1,701 | 0 | \$ | 117,659,102 | 0.00001 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96927 | 11.58172 |
| 1998 | 12,000 | 4,884 | 0 | \$ | 117,666,218 | 0.00004 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96864 | 10.61309 |
| 1999 | 104,892 | 130,509 | 0 | \$ | 117,640,601 | 0.00111 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96800 | 9.64509 |
| 2000 | 329,091 | 594,813 | 0 | \$ | 117,374,879 | 0.00507 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96736 | 8.67773 |
| 2001 | 749,931 | 32,702 | 0 | \$ | 118,092,108 | 0.00028 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96673 | 7.71100 |
| 2002 | 504,946 | 260,690 | 0 | \$ | 118,336,364 | 0.00220 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96609 | 6.74490 |
| 2003 | 751,888 | 100,439 | 0 | \$ | 118,987,813 | 0.00084 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96546 | 5.77945 |
| 2004 | 253,068 | 87,316 | 0 | \$ | 119,153,566 | 0.00073 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96482 | 4.81462 |
| 2005 | 169,285 | 30,893 | 0 | \$ | 119,291,958 | 0.00026 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96419 | 3.85043 |
| 2006 | 288,443 | 7,200 | 0 | \$ | 119,573,201 | 0.00006 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96356 | 2.88688 |
| 2007 | 299,533 | 19,441 | 0 | \$ | 119,853,293 | 0.00016 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96292 | 1.92395 |
| 2008 | 341,876 | 184,086 | 0 | \$ | 120,011,083 | 0.00153 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96229 | 0.96166 |
| 2009 | 2,356,108 | 39,450 | 0 | \$ | 122,327,741 | 0.00032 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00066 | 0.99934 | 0.96166 | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 124,443,565 | \$ 2,145,397 | \$ 29,573 | \$ | 3,266,238,105 | 0.00066 | ] Unrealize | dife = Sum | Table from ( n | for (Future Li | .5) values |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis | McDonnell <br> since 1398 |


| Production Boiler Plant | Account: | 312 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2035 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  | 0.00308 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 26.0 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E +.5$)=$ | 25.4 |  |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 3,916,288 | 0 | 29,615 | \$ 3,945,902 | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,945,902 | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,945,902 | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,945,902 | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 7,858,376 | 6,000 | 190,953 | \$ 11,989,231 | 0.00050 |
| 1970 | 6,220,732 | 5,360 | 293,878 | \$ 18,498,481 | 0.00029 |
| 1971 | 9,980,100 | 0 | 159,041 | \$ 28,637,622 | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 182,490 | 35,260 | 1,019 | \$ 28,785,871 | 0.00122 |
| 1973 | 84,361 | 47,785 | 0 | \$ 28,822,448 | 0.00166 |
| 1974 | 135,999 | 980 | 0 | \$ 28,957,466 | 0.00003 |
| 1975 | 40,000 | 72,300 | 0 | \$ 28,925,167 | 0.00250 |
| 1976 | 7,336 | 807 | 771 | \$ 28,932,467 | 0.00003 |
| 1977 | 1,095,499 | 193,134 | 0 | \$ 29,834,832 | 0.00647 |
| 1978 | 477,024 | 18,000 | 0 | \$ 30,293,856 | 0.00059 |
| 1979 | 66,406,550 | 2,559 | 23,021 | \$ 96,720,868 | 0.00003 |
| 1980 | 2,717,381 | 325,053 | 2,119 | \$ 99,115,315 | 0.00328 |
| 1981 | 67,373,001 | 41,201 | 235,173 | \$ 166,682,289 | 0.00025 |
| 1982 | 739,077 | 234,532 | 5,315 | \$ 167,192,149 | 0.00140 |
| 1983 | 1,102,532 | 110,071 | 3,604 | \$ 168,188,215 | 0.00065 |
| 1984 | 3,424,227 | 713,794 | 5,987 | \$ 170,904,636 | 0.00418 |
| 1985 | 566,092 | 345,044 | 700 | \$ 171,126,384 | 0.00202 |
| 1986 | 384,348,232 | 44,591 | 5,994 | \$ 555,436,019 | 0.00008 |
| 1987 | 776,001 | 449,385 | 11,952 | \$ 555,774,587 | 0.00081 |
| 1988 | 280,438 | 163,385 | 5,342 | \$ 555,896,982 | 0.00029 |
| 1989 | 1,396,615 | 853,365 | 360 | \$ 556,440,592 | 0.00153 |
| 1990 | 2,154,435 | 729,927 | 113 | \$ 557,865,213 | 0.00131 |
| 1991 | 839,541 | 430,079 | 160 | \$ 558,274,835 | 0.00077 |
| 1992 | 2,194,697 | 771,819 | 0 | \$ 559,697,713 | 0.00138 |
| 1993 | 170,138 | 2,547,906 | 0 | \$ 557,319,945 | 0.00457 |
| 1994 | 1,084,716 | 953,892 | 0 | \$ 557,450,769 | 0.00171 |
| 1995 | 914,144 | 455,049 | 0 | \$ 557,909,864 | 0.00082 |
| 1996 | 255,860 | 118,764 | 0 | \$ 558,046,960 | 0.00021 |
| 1997 | 427,596 | 1,098,445 | 0 | \$ 557,376,111 | 0.00197 |
| 1998 | 1,219,719 | 6,723,594 | 0 | \$ 551,872,236 | 0.01218 |
| 1999 | 2,031,435 | 2,387,306 | 0 | \$ 551,516,365 | 0.00433 |
| 2000 | 10,112,631 | 1,740,646 | 0 | \$ 559,888,350 | 0.00311 |
| 2001 | 9,846,079 | 4,009,239 | 0 | \$ 565,725,190 | 0.00709 |
| 2002 | 4,734,655 | 2,524,814 | 0 | \$ 567,935,031 | 0.00445 |
| 2003 | 7,219,552 | 6,319,165 | 0 | \$ 568,835,419 | 0.01111 |
| 2004 | 7,970,539 | 1,256,416 | 0 | \$ 575,549,541 | 0.00218 |
| 2005 | 7,816,847 | 1,901,318 | 0 | \$ 581,465,070 | 0.00327 |
| 2006 | 7,689,092 | 1,890,342 | 0 | \$ 587,263,821 | 0.00322 |
| 2007 | 11,599,504 | 986,959 | 0 | \$ 597,876,366 | 0.00165 |
| 2008 | 10,508,691 | 3,467,092 | 0 | \$ 604,917,965 | 0.00573 |
| 2009 | 22,475,295 | 1,987,827 | 0 | \$ 625,405,433 | 0.00318 |
| TOTAL | \$ 670,393,520 | \$ 45,963,205 | \$ 975,118 | \$ 14,945,131,282 | 0.00308 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.99846 | 24.90931 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.99539 | 24.83270 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.99233 | 24.75633 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.98928 | 24.68020 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.98624 | 24.60429 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.98320 | 24.52862 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.98018 | 24.45319 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.97716 | 24.37798 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.97416 | 24.30301 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.97116 | 24.22826 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.96818 | 24.15375 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.96520 | 24.07947 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.96223 | 24.00541 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.95927 | 23.93158 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.95632 | 23.85798 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.95338 | 23.78461 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.95045 | 23.71146 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.94752 | 23.63854 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.94461 | 23.56584 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.94171 | 23.49336 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.93881 | 23.42111 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.93592 | 23.34908 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.93304 | 23.27727 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.93017 | 23.20568 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.92731 | 23.13431 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.92446 | 23.06316 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.92162 | 22.99223 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.91878 | 22.92152 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.91596 | 22.85103 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.91314 | 22.78075 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.91033 | 22.71069 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.90753 | 22.64084 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.90474 | 22.57121 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.90196 | 22.50179 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.89919 | 21.60261 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.89642 | 20.70619 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.89366 | 19.81252 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.89091 | 18.92161 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.88817 | 18.03344 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.88544 | 17.14799 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.88272 | 16.26527 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.88001 | 15.38527 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.87730 | 14.50797 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.87460 | 13.63337 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.87191 | 12.76146 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.86923 | 11.89223 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.86656 | 11.02567 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.86389 | 10.16178 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.86123 | 9.30054 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.85859 | 8.44196 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.85595 | 7.58601 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.85331 | 6.73270 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.85069 | 5.88201 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.84807 | 5.03394 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.84546 | 4.18848 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.84286 | 3.34561 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.84027 | 2.50534 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.83769 | 1.66765 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.83511 | 0.83254 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00308 | 0.99692 | 0.83254 | - |
| 1] Unrealiz | Life $=$ Sum Lif | Table from | ) for (Future L | .5) values |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis | McDonnell <br> since 1898 |



| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Ret |
|  |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate <br> $F=C / E$ |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2002 | 185,953 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 185,953 | 0.00000 |
| 2003 | 394,231 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 580,184 | 0.00000 |
| 2004 | 0 | 44,130 | 0 |  | \$ 536,054 | 0.08232 |
| 2005 | 246,373 | 124,232 | 0 |  | \$ 658,195 | 0.18875 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 658,195 | 0.00000 |
| 2007 | 413,100 | 414,060 | 0 |  | \$ 657,235 | 0.63000 |
| 2008 | 0 | 137,386 | 0 |  | \$ 519,849 | 0.26428 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 519,849 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | 1,239,656 | \$ 719,807 |  | - \$ | \$ 4,315,513 | 0.16680 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | Life <br> Table | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.91660 | 3.84041 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.76372 | 3.19985 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.63633 | 2.66613 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.53020 | 2.22143 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.44176 | 1.85091 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.36808 | 1.54219 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.30668 | 1.28496 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.25553 | 1.07063 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.21291 | 0.89205 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.17740 | 0.74326 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.14781 | 0.61929 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.12315 | 0.51600 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.10261 | 0.42993 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.08550 | 0.35822 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.07124 | 0.29847 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.05935 | 0.24869 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.04945 | 0.20721 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.04121 | 0.17265 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.03433 | 0.14385 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.02861 | 0.11986 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.02383 | 0.09986 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.01986 | 0.08321 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.01655 | 0.06933 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.01379 | 0.05777 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.01149 | 0.04813 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00957 | 0.04010 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00797 | 0.03341 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00664 | 0.02784 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00554 | 0.02320 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00461 | 0.01933 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00384 | 0.01610 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00320 | 0.01342 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00267 | 0.01118 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00222 | 0.00932 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00185 | 0.00776 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00154 | 0.00647 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00129 | 0.00539 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00107 | 0.00449 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00089 | 0.00374 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00074 | 0.00312 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00062 | 0.00260 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00052 | 0.00216 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00043 | 0.00180 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00036 | 0.00150 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00030 | 0.00125 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00025 | 0.00104 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00021 | 0.00087 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00017 | 0.00072 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00014 | 0.00060 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00012 | 0.00050 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00010 | 0.00040 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00008 | 0.00032 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00007 | 0.00025 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00006 | 0.00019 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00005 | 0.00014 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00004 | 0.00010 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00003 | 0.00007 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00003 | 0.00004 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00002 | 0.00002 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.16680 | 0.83320 | 0.00002 | - |
| 1] Unrealiz | Life = Sum Li | Table from ( n | for (Future L | 5) values |  |


| Production Short-Life Production Plant -Oth | Account: PROD 312 V-Z |
| :--- | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): | 2014 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: | 0.01622 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 5.0 |
| Remaining Life (F/E +.5$)=$ | 5.3 |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | Yr-End <br> Plant <br> Balance | Interim Retirement Rate |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 102,791 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 102,791 | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 102,791 | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 81,320 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,111 | 0.00000 |
| 1999 | 0 | 46,482 | 0 | \$ | 137,628 | 0.33774 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 137,628 | 0.00000 |
| 2001 | 29,494 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 167,122 | 0.00000 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 167,122 | 0.00000 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 167,122 | 0.00000 |
| 2004 | 135,678 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 302,801 | 0.00000 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 302,801 | 0.00000 |
| 2006 | 195,609 | 29,494 | 0 | \$ | 468,916 | 0.06290 |
| 2007 | 128,037 | 54,814 | 0 | \$ | 542,138 | 0.10111 |
| 2008 | 132,958 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 675,096 | 0.00000 |
| 2009 | 62,867 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 737,963 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | 868,755 | \$ 130,791 | \$ | \$ | 8,062,355 | 0.01622 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Placed | Age at $12 / 31 / 2009$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | Life Table | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.99189 | 4.72324 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.97580 | 4.64662 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.95997 | 4.57124 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.94440 | 4.49708 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.92907 | 4.42413 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.91400 | 4.35236 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.89918 | 4.28175 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.88459 | 4.21229 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.87024 | 4.14396 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.85612 | 4.07673 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.84223 | 4.01060 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.82857 | 3.94553 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.81513 | 3.88153 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.80190 | 3.81856 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.78890 | 3.75661 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.77610 | 3.69567 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.76351 | 3.63572 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.75112 | 3.57674 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.73894 | 3.51872 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.72695 | 3.46163 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.71516 | 3.40548 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.70355 | 3.35023 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.69214 | 3.29588 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.68091 | 3.24242 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.66987 | 3.18982 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.65900 | 3.13807 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.64831 | 3.08716 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.63779 | 3.03708 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.62745 | 2.98781 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.61727 | 2.93934 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.60725 | 2.89166 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.59740 | 2.84475 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.58771 | 2.79860 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.57818 | 2.75320 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.56880 | 2.70854 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.55957 | 2.66460 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.55049 | 2.62137 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.54156 | 2.57885 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.53278 | 2.53701 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.52413 | 2.49585 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.51563 | 2.45536 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.50727 | 2.41553 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.49904 | 2.37635 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.49094 | 2.33780 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.48298 | 2.29987 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.47514 | 2.26256 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.46743 | 2.22586 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.45985 | 2.18975 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.45239 | 2.15423 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.44505 | 2.11928 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.43783 | 2.08490 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.43073 | 2.05108 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.42374 | 2.01780 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.41687 | 1.98507 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.41011 | 1.95287 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.40345 | 1.54941 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.39691 | 1.15251 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.39047 | 0.76204 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.38413 | 0.37790 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.01622 | 0.98378 | 0.37790 | - |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation <br> 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { arns } \ell^{\circ}}{\text { cDonnell }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | urbine |  | Accou |  | 314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Retir Interim Retir Study Date, Future Life f Remaining L | ment (Mid Ye ment Rate: Year-End: om Study Date e $(F / E+.5)=$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2035 \\ 0.00226 \\ 2009 \\ 26.0 \\ 25.7 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate | Year Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life <br> of Original <br> Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2009 | 0.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.99887 | 25.19352 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.99661 | 25.13662 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.99436 | 25.07985 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.99212 | 25.02320 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.98988 | 24.96669 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.98764 | 24.91030 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.98541 | 24.85405 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.98319 | 24.79791 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.98097 | 24.74191 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.97875 | 24.68603 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.97654 | 24.63028 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.97433 | 24.57465 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.97213 | 24.51915 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1996 | 13.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.96994 | 24.46377 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | -828, | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.96775 | 24.40852 |
| 1965 | 2,796,515 | 0 | 31,664 | \$ | 2,828,179 | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.96556 | 24.35340 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,828,179 | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.96338 | 24.29840 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,828,179 | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.96121 | 24.24352 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,828,179 | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.95903 | 24.18876 |
| 1969 | 5,207,206 | 0 | 1,908 | \$ | 8,037,293 | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.95687 | 24.13414 |
| 1970 | 5,109,447 | 0 | 111,046 | \$ | 13,257,786 | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.95471 | 24.07963 |
| 1971 | 5,592,461 | 0 | 2,874 | \$ | 18,853,121 | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.95255 | 24.02525 |
| 1972 | 1,342 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 18,854,463 | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.95040 | 23.97099 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 18,854,463 | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.94825 | 23.91685 |
| 1974 | 4,504 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 18,858,967 | 0.00000 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.94611 | 23.86283 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 18,858,967 | 0.00000 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.94398 | 23.80894 |
| 1976 | 2,333 | 0 | 28 | \$ | 18,861,329 | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.94184 | 23.75517 |
| 1977 | 57,374 | 2,004 | 0 | \$ | 18,916,698 | 0.00011 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.93972 | 23.70152 |
| 1978 | 11,010 | 1,844 | 0 | \$ | 18,925,864 | 0.00010 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.93759 | 23.64799 |
| 1979 | 23,074,937 | 0 | 3,445 | \$ | 42,004,246 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.93548 | 23.59458 |
| 1980 | 7,990 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 42,012,236 | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.93336 | 23.54129 |
| 1981 | 27,432,065 | 0 | 78,282 | \$ | 69,522,583 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.93126 | 23.48813 |
| 1982 | 26,800 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 69,549,383 | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.92915 | 23.43508 |
| 1983 | 83,586 | 0 | 50 | \$ | 69,633,019 | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.92705 | 23.38215 |
| 1984 | 499,185 | 69,117 | 341 | \$ | 70,063,429 | 0.00099 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.92496 | 22.45719 |
| 1985 | 29,881 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 70,093,310 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.92287 | 21.53432 |
| 1986 | 122,282,418 | 0 | 100 | \$ | 192,375,827 | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.92079 | 20.61353 |
| 1987 | 17,819 | 5,500 | 0 | \$ | 192,388,146 | 0.00003 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.91871 | 19.69483 |
| 1988 | 429,682 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 192,817,829 | 0.00000 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.91663 | 18.77819 |
| 1989 | 1,168,803 | 293,352 | 0 | \$ | 193,693,279 | 0.00151 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.91456 | 17.86363 |
| 1990 | 37,733 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 193,731,012 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.91250 | 16.95113 |
| 1991 | 486,727 | 4,957 | 0 | \$ | 194,212,781 | 0.00003 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.91044 | 16.04070 |
| 1992 | 3,121,487 | 1,124,186 | 0 | \$ | 196,210,082 | 0.00573 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.90838 | 15.13232 |
| 1993 | 1,495,730 | 914,753 | 0 | \$ | 196,791,060 | 0.00465 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.90633 | 14.22599 |
| 1994 | 294,144 | 8,633 | 0 | \$ | 197,076,571 | 0.00004 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.90428 | 13.32171 |
| 1995 | 182,041 | 139,494 | 0 | \$ | 197,119,119 | 0.00071 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.90224 | 12.41947 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 197,119,119 | 0.00000 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.90020 | 11.51927 |
| 1997 | 33,629 | 82,124 | 0 | \$ | 197,070,624 | 0.00042 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.89817 | 10.62110 |
| 1998 | 41,614 | 100,106 | 0 | \$ | 197,012,132 | 0.00051 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.89614 | 9.72496 |
| 1999 | 1,685,960 | 35 | 0 | \$ | 198,698,057 | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.89412 | 8.83084 |
| 2000 | 336,847 | 626,847 | 0 | \$ | 198,408,056 | 0.00316 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.89210 | 7.93875 |
| 2001 | 2,732,008 | 650,720 | 0 | \$ | 200,489,344 | 0.00325 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.89008 | 7.04867 |
| 2002 | 1,777,170 | 2,332,032 | 0 | \$ | 199,934,481 | 0.01166 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.88807 | 6.16059 |
| 2003 | 3,470,385 | 1,128,858 | 0 | \$ | 202,276,009 | 0.00558 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.88607 | 5.27453 |
| 2004 | 2,901,597 | 566,547 | 0 | \$ | 204,611,058 | 0.00277 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.88406 | 4.39046 |
| 2005 | 2,306,239 | 715,673 | 0 | \$ | 206,201,624 | 0.00347 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.88207 | 3.50840 |
| 2006 | 698,755 | 202,380 | 0 | \$ | 206,697,999 | 0.00098 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.88008 | 2.62832 |
| 2007 | 2,963,416 | 823,013 | 0 | \$ | 208,838,403 | 0.00394 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.87809 | 1.75023 |
| 2008 | 1,940,927 | 1,296,832 | 0 | \$ | 209,482,498 | 0.00619 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.87611 | 0.87413 |
| 2009 | 5,760,515 | 1,115,416 | 0 | \$ | 214,127,597 | 0.00521 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00226 | 0.99774 | 0.87413 | - |
| TOTAL | \$ 226,102,282 | \$ 12,204,425 | 229,7 | \$ | 5,403,852,583 | 0.00226 | Unrealize | Life = Sum Li | Table from ( n | for (Future Lif | .5) value |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | lectric Eqpt |  | Account: |  | 315 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Ret Interim Reti Study Date, Future Life Remaining | ment (Mid Year) ment Rate: ear-End: <br> Study Date <br> $e(F / E+.5)=$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2028 \\ 0.00112 \\ 2009 \\ 19.4 \\ 19.3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate | Year Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Unrealized Life } \\ \text { of Original } \\ \text { Plant [1] }\end{array}$ |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
|  |  |  |  | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 20090.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99944 | 18.77884 |
| $1951$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99833 | 18.75788 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99721 | 18.73694 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99610 | 18.71603 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 |  | 18.69514 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.99388$ | 18.67427 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99277 | 18.65342 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99166 | 18.63260 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99055 | 18.61180 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98945 | 18.59103 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98834 | 18.57028 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98724 | 18.54955 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98614 | 18.52884 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1996 | 13.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98504 | 18.50816 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98394 | 18.48750 |
| 1965 | 806,672 | 0 | 4,197 | \$ | 810,870 | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98284 | 18.46686 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 810,870 | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.98174 \quad 18.44625$ |  |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 810,870 | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.98064 \quad 18.42566$ |  |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 810,870 | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.97955 \quad 18.40509$ |  |
| 1969 | 1,657,054 | 0 | 429 | \$ | 2,468,352 | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9784618 .38455 |  |
| 1970 | 1,211,816 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,680,168 | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9773618 .36403 |  |
| 1971 | 2,214,896 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 5,895,063 | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.97627 \quad 18.34353$ |  |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 5,895,063 | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97518 18.32305 |  |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 5,895,063 | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.97410 \quad 18.30260$ |  |
| 1974 | 563 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 5,895,627 | 0.00000 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.97301 \quad 18.28217$ |  |
| 1975 | 1,109 | 1,104 | 0 | \$ | 5,895,632 | 0.00019 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.97192 \quad 18.26176$ |  |
| 1976 | 638 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 5,896,270 | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9708418 .24138 |  |
| 1977 | 9,764 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 5,906,034 | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.96975 \quad 18.22101$ |  |
| 1978 | 51,819 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 5,957,853 | 0.00000 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.96867 \quad 18.20068$ |  |
| 1979 | 8,001,493 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 13,959,346 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9675918 .18036 |  |
| 1980 | 1,282 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 13,960,628 | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.96651 \quad 18.16007$ |  |
| 1981 | 7,135,784 | 0 | 4,685 | \$ | 21,101,097 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.96543 \quad 18.13980$ |  |
| 1982 | 124,942 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 21,226,039 | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9643518 .11955 |  |
| 1983 | 35,591 | 119,116 | 0 | \$ | 21,142,514 | 0.00563 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9632818 .09932 |  |
| 1984 | 372,343 | 393,929 | 0 | \$ | 21,120,928 | 0.01865 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.96220 \quad 18.07912$ |  |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 21,120,928 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9611318 .05894 |  |
| 1986 | 33,607,081 | 1,604 | 0 | \$ | 54,726,405 | 0.00003 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9600518 .03878 |  |
| 1987 | 2,963 | 11,228 | 872 | \$ | 54,719,012 | 0.00021 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.95898 \quad 18.01864$ |  |
| 1988 | 50,734 | 24,761 | 821 | \$ | 54,745,806 | 0.00045 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.95791 \quad 17.99853$ |  |
| 1989 | 12,496 | 2,515 | 0 | \$ | 54,755,788 | 0.00005 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95684 17.97844 |  |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,755,788 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.9557817 .95837 |  |
| 1991 | 26,492 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,782,280 | 0.00000 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.95471 \quad 17.00366$ |  |
| 1992 | 0 | 8,694 | 0 | \$ | 54,773,586 | 0.00016 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | $0.95364 \quad 16.05002$ |  |
| 1993 | 0 | 758 | 0 | \$ | 54,772,828 | 0.00001 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95258 | 15.09744 |
| 1994 | 39,463 | 17,049 | 0 | \$ | 54,795,241 | 0.00031 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95151 | 14.14593 |
| 1995 | 13,012 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,808,253 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95045 | 13.19548 |
| 1996 | 0 | 15,661 | 0 | \$ | 54,792,592 | 0.00029 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94939 | 12.24608 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,792,592 | 0.00000 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94833 | 11.29775 |
| 1998 | 11,822 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,804,414 | 0.00000 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94727 | 10.35048 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,804,414 | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94622 | 9.40426 |
| 2000 | 14,681 | 13,170 | 0 | \$ | 54,805,925 | 0.00024 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94516 | 8.45910 |
| 2001 | 144,537 | 77,933 | 0 | \$ | 54,872,529 | 0.00142 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94410 | 7.51500 |
| 2002 | 72,066 | 17,065 | 0 | \$ | 54,927,530 | 0.00031 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94305 | 6.57195 |
| 2003 | 64,918 | 37,206 | 0 | \$ | 54,955,242 | 0.00068 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94200 | 5.62995 |
| 2004 | 765,626 | 81,116 | 0 | \$ | 55,639,752 | 0.00146 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94095 | 4.68900 |
| 2005 | 539,116 | 142,019 | 0 | \$ | 56,036,850 | 0.00253 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93990 | 3.74911 |
| 2006 | 979,575 | 259,551 | 0 | \$ | 56,756,874 | 0.00457 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93885 | 2.81026 |
| 2007 | 569,965 | 166,701 | 0 | \$ | 57,160,138 | 0.00292 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93780 | 1.87246 |
| 2008 | 949,772 | 265,189 | 0 | \$ | 57,844,721 | 0.00458 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93675 | 0.93571 |
| 2009 | 885,908 | 38,948 | 0 | \$ | 58,691,681 | 0.00066 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93571 - |  |
| TOTAL | 60,375,995 | \$ 1,695,318 | \$ 11,004 | \$ | 1,518,780,323 | 0.00112 | Unrealize | Life = Sum Lif | Table from ( n | ) for (Future | -.5) valu |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |
| :--- |
| 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |
|  <br> McDonnell <br> since 1898 |




| Big Rivers Electric Corporation <br> 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | CT - Fuel Holder | S \& Access. | Account: | 342 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Retir Interim Retire <br> Study Date, <br> Future Life fr <br> Remaining | rement (Mid Year): ement Rate: Year-End: rom Study Date: Life $(F / E+.5)=$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2030 \\ 0.00007 \\ 2009 \\ 21.0 \\ 21.5 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate <br> $F=C l E$ | Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual <br> Retirement <br> Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | Life <br> Table | Unrealized Life <br> of Original <br> Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 20090.5 |  | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99996 | 20.98276 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99989 | 20.98126 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99982 | 20.97976 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99975 | 20.97826 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99968 | 20.97676 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99961 | 20.97527 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99954 | 20.97377 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99946 | 20.97227 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99939 | 20.97077 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99932 | 20.96927 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99925 | 20.96778 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99918 | 20.96628 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99911 | 20.96478 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1996 | 13.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99904 | 20.96328 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99896 | 20.96178 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99889 | 20.96029 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99882 | 20.95879 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99875 | 20.95729 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99868 | 20.95580 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99861 | 20.95430 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99854 | 20.95280 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99847 | 20.95131 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99839 | 20.94981 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99832 | 20.94831 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99825 | 20.94682 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99818 | 20.94532 |
| 1976 | 399,772 | 0 | 2,192 | \$ 401,963 | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99811 | 20.94382 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 401,963 | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99804 | 20.94233 |
| 1978 | 30,299 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99797 | 20.94083 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99789 | 20.93934 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99782 | 20.93784 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99775 | 20.93635 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99768 | 20.93485 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99761 | 20.93335 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99754 | 20.93186 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99747 | 20.93036 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99740 | 20.92887 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99732 | 20.92737 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99725 | 20.92588 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99718 | 19.92870 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99711 | 18.93159 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99704 | 17.93455 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 432,262 | 0.00000 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99697 | 16.93758 |
| 1993 | 8,958 | 1,626 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00370 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99690 | 15.94068 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00000 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.9968314 .94385 |  |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | $0.99676 \quad 13.94710$ |  |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00000 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | $0.99668 \quad 12.95041$ |  |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1961 \end{aligned}$ | 47.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 |  |  |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00000 |  | 48.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | $\begin{array}{ll}0.99661 & 11.95380 \\ 0.99654 & 10.95726\end{array}$ | 10.95726 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99647 | 9.96079 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 439,594 | 0.00000 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99640 | 8.96439 |
| 2001 | 19,473 | 0 | 0 | \$ 459,067 | 0.00000 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99633 | 7.96806 |
| 2002 | 978,410 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99626 | 6.97181 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99619 | 5.97562 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99611 | 4.97951 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99604 | 3.98346 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99597 | 2.98749 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99590 | 1.99159 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1951 | $58.5$ | 0.00007 | 0.99993 | 0.99576 |  |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,437,477 | 0.00000 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00007 | 0.99993 |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,436,912 | \$ 1,626 | \$ 2,192 | \$ 22,763,497 | 0.00007 | Unrealize | Life = Sum Lif | Table from ( n | ) for (Future | - .5) valu |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation <br> 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bu | $\frac{\text { cns }}{\text { Donnell }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production CT - Prime Movers |  |  | Account: 343 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year) Interim Retirement Rate: <br> Study Date, Year-End: <br> Future Life from Study Date: <br> Remaining Life (F/E + .5) $=$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2030 \\ 0.00085 \\ 2009 \\ 21.0 \\ 21.3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Removal } \\ & \text { Costs } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate | Year Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2009 | 0.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99957 | 20.79530 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99872 | 20.77757 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99787 | 20.75985 |
| 19520 |  | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99702 | 20.74215 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99617 | 20.72447 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99532 | 20.70680 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99447 | 20.68914 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99362 | 20.67150 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99278 | 20.65388 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99193 | 20.63627 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99108 | 20.61868 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.99024 | 20.60110 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98939 | 20.58353 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1996 | 13.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98855 | 20.56598 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98771 | 20.54845 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98687 | 20.53093 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98602 | 20.51342 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98518 | 20.49593 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98434 | 20.47846 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98350 | 20.46100 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98267 | 20.44356 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98183 | 20.42613 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98099 | 20.40871 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.98016 | 20.39131 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97932 | 20.37392 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97848 | 20.35655 |
| 1976 | 3,778,442 | 0 | 45,438 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97765 | 20.33920 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97682 | 20.32186 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97598 | 20.30453 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97515 | 20.28722 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97432 | 20.26992 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97349 | 20.25264 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97266 | 20.23537 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97183 | 20.21812 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97100 | 20.20088 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.97017 | 20.18366 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96935 | 20.16645 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96852 | 20.14926 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96769 | 20.13208 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96687 | 19.16521 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96605 | 18.19916 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96522 | 17.23394 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96440 | 16.26954 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96358 | 15.30597 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96275 | 14.34321 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,823,879 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96193 | 13.38128 |
| 1996 | 287,722 | 118,571 | 0 | \$ | 3,993,030 | 0.02969 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96111 | 12.42016 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,993,030 | 0.00000 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.96029 | 11.45987 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,993,030 | 0.00000 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95948 | 10.50039 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,993,030 | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95866 | 9.54174 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,993,030 | 0.00000 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95784 | 8.58390 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 3,993,030 | 0.00000 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95702 | 7.62687 |
| 2002 | 816,466 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,809,496 | 0.00000 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95621 | 6.67067 |
| 2003 | 18,577 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,828,073 | 0.00000 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95539 | 5.71527 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,828,073 | 0.00000 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95458 | 4.76069 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,828,073 | 0.00000 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95376 | 3.80693 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,828,073 | 0.00000 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95295 | 2.85398 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,828,073 | 0.00000 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95214 | 1.90184 |
| 2008 | 14,679 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,842,752 | 0.00000 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95133 | 0.95052 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 4,842,752 | 0.00000 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00085 | 0.99915 | 0.95052 | - |
| TOTAL | 4,915,886 | \$ 118,571 | 45,43 |  | 139,071,134 | 0.00085 | ] Unrealiz | L Life = Sum L | Table from ( | 1) for (Future | - .5) valu |  |

Big Rivers Electric Corporation
2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis

| Production CT - Generators | Account: | 344 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2030 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  | 0.00000 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 21.0 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E +.5$)=$ | 22.5 |  |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Adjustments } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Transfers } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yr-End } \\ \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Interim Retirement Rate |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 \$ - 0.00000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 1,102,964 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,102,964 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | 1,102,964 | \$ | \$ | \$ 37,500,765 | 0.00000 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Placed | Age at 12/31/2009 | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2008 | 1.5 |  | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 21.00000 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 20.00000 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 19.00000 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 18.00000 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 17.00000 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 16.00000 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 15.00000 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 14.00000 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 13.00000 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 12.00000 |
| 1961 | 48.5 |  | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 11.00000 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 10.00000 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 9.00000 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 8.00000 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 7.00000 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 6.00000 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 5.00000 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 4.00000 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 3.00000 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 2.00000 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | - |
| Unrealized Li | = Sum Life Ta | from ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) | uture Life - . 5 |  |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { rns \& } \\ & \text { Donnell } \\ & \hline \hline \text { INCE } 1898 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | - Access. Ele | c. Eqpt. | Accou |  | 345 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Retir Interim Retir Study Date, Future Life from Remaining L | ment (Mid Year): ment Rate: ear-End: Study Date: (F/E + .5) = |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2030 \\ 0.00112 \\ 2009 \\ 21.0 \\ 22.2 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Interim Retirement Rate | Year Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life <br> of Original <br> Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2009 & 0.5 & 0.00112 & 0.99888 & 0.99944 & 21.70641\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1951$ |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2008 1 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99832 | 21.68207 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2007 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99720 | 21.65777 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2006 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99608 | 21.63349 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2005 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99497 | 21.60923 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 20045.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99385 | 21.58501 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2003 6.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99274 | 21.56081 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 20027.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99162 | 21.53664 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2001 8.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.99051 | 21.51249 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2000 9.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98940 | 21.48838 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1999 10.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98829 | 21.46429 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |  | -11.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98718 | 21.44022 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1997 12.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98608 | 21.41619 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1996 13.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98497 | 21.39218 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1995 14.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98387 | 21.36820 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1994 15.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98276 | 21.34424 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1993 16.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98166 | 21.32031 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1992 17.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.98056 | 21.29641 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1991 18.5 |  | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97946 | 21.27253 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |  | 990 19.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97836 | 21.24869 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97727 | 21.22487 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1988 21.5 | 21.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97617 | 21.20107 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97508 | 21.17730 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1987 | 23.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97398 | 21.15356 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1986 | 24.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97289 | 21.12985 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1985 | 25.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97180 | 21.10616 |
| 1976 | 190,437 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.97071 | 21.08250 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96962 | 21.05886 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96854 | 21.03525 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96745 | 21.01167 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96637 | 20.98812 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96528 | 20.96459 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96420 | 20.94108 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96312 | 20.91761 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96204 | 20.89416 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.96096 | 20.87073 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95988 | 20.84734 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95881 | 20.82396 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95773 | 19.86623 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95666 | 18.90957 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95559 | 17.95398 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95452 | 16.99947 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95345 | 16.04602 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 190,437 | 0.00000 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95238 | 15.09364 |
| 1994 | 0 | 542 | 0 | \$ | \$ 189,894 | 0.00286 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95131 | 14.14233 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 189,894 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.95024 | 13.19209 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 189,894 | 0.00000 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94918 | 12.24291 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 189,894 | 0.00000 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94811 | 11.29480 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 189,894 | 0.00000 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94705 | 10.34775 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 189,894 | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94599 | 9.40176 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 189,894 | 0.00000 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94493 | 8.45683 |
| 2001 | 0 | 1,274 | 0 | \$ | \$ 188,621 | 0.00675 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94387 | 7.51296 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 188,621 | 0.00000 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94281 | 6.57015 |
| 2003 | 16,445 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 205,066 | 0.00000 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94175 | 5.62839 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 205,066 | 0.00000 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.94070 | 4.68770 |
| 2005 | 58,789 | 6,020 | 0 | \$ | \$ 257,835 | 0.02335 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93964 | 3.74805 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 257,835 | 0.00000 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93859 | 2.80946 |
| 2007 | 52,055 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 309,890 | 0.00000 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93754 | 1.87192 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 309,890 | 0.00000 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93649 | 0.93544 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ 309,890 | 0.00000 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00112 | 0.99888 | 0.93544 | - |
| TOTAL | 317,726 | \$ 7,836 |  | \$ | \$ 6,989,833 | 0.00112 | ] Unrealiz | Life = Sum L | Table from | 1) for (Future | e-.5) valu |  |


| n CT - Misc Equipment |  |  | Account: |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  |  | 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  |  | 0.00000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study Date, Year-End: |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: |  |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining Life ( $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{E}+.5$ ) = |  |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | $\begin{gathered} \text { Removal } \\ \text { Costs } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate <br> Fel | Year <br> Placed | Age at 12/31/2009 | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | Life <br> Table | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | D |  | E |  | $F=C / E$ | A | B | C | D = (1-C) | E | F |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2009 | 0.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1952 \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1996 | 13.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1985 | 24.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1984 | 25.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1981 | 28.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1975 | 34.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1972 | 37.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1971 | 38.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 21.00000 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1970 | 39.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 20.00000 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 19.00000 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1968 | 41.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 18.00000 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1967 | 42.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 17.00000 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1966 | 43.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 16.00000 |
| 1994 | 0 | 460 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1965 | 44.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 15.00000 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 14.00000 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1963 | 46.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 13.00000 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1962 | 47.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 12.00000 |
| 1998 | 0 | 45,634 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1961 | 48.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 11.00000 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 10.00000 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1959 | 50.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 9.00000 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1958 | 51.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 8.00000 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1957 | 52.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 7.00000 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1956 | 53.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 6.00000 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1955 | 54.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 5.00000 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1954 | 55.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 4.00000 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1953 | 56.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 3.00000 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1952 | 57.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 2.00000 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1951 | 58.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1950 | 59.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | - |
| TOTAL |  | - \$ 46,094 | \$ | - \$ |  | - | 0.00000 | 1] Unrealize | Life = Sum Lif | Table from ( | ) for (Future | - .5) values |  |

Big Rivers Electric Corporation


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |  <br> 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis <br> McDonell <br> simce 1898 |
| :--- | :--- |


| Transmission Station Eqpt | Account: | 353 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2035 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  | 0.00736 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 25.5 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E +.5$)=$ | 24.1 |  |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Interim Retirement Rate |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 152 | \$ 152 | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 105 | \$ 256 | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 256 | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 122 | \$ 379 | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 422 | \$ 800 | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 800 | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 161 | \$ 961 | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 234 | \$ 1,195 | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,195 | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,195 | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 419,714 | 5,035 | 4,825 | \$ 420,699 | 0.01197 |
| 1966 | 1,221,762 | 0 | 1,641 | \$ 1,644,102 | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 1,474 | 0 | 5,421 | \$ 1,650,997 | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 945,361 | 0 | 7,024 | \$ 2,603,381 | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 3,144,331 | 3,574 | 21,755 | \$ 5,765,893 | 0.00062 |
| 1970 | 934,369 | 1,556 | 4,020 | \$ 6,702,726 | 0.00023 |
| 1971 | 376,657 | 4,337 | 2,938 | \$ 7,077,984 | 0.00061 |
| 1972 | 271,870 | 6,243 | 1,011 | \$ 7,344,622 | 0.00085 |
| 1973 | 1,593,104 | 251,447 | 5,865 | \$ 8,692,144 | 0.02893 |
| 1974 | 199,178 | 24,004 | 1,244 | \$ 8,868,562 | 0.00271 |
| 1975 | 1,954,922 | 72,258 | 10,640 | \$ 10,761,865 | 0.00671 |
| 1976 | 666,720 | 13,284 | 610 | \$ 11,415,911 | 0.00116 |
| 1977 | 1,840,851 | 3,445 | 2,715 | \$ 13,256,032 | 0.00026 |
| 1978 | 2,073,381 | 9,421 | 1,194 | \$ 15,321,186 | 0.00061 |
| 1979 | 3,301,427 | 70,870 | 1,430 | \$ 18,553,174 | 0.00382 |
| 1980 | 984,231 | 23,149 | 1,678 | \$ 19,515,933 | 0.00119 |
| 1981 | 2,755,462 | 63,090 | 3,278 | \$ 22,211,583 | 0.00284 |
| 1982 | 3,757,786 | 328,828 | 1,369 | \$ 25,641,911 | 0.01282 |
| 1983 | 940,709 | 8,084 | 11,828 | \$ 26,586,364 | 0.00030 |
| 1984 | 9,650,017 | 780,185 | 4,514 | \$ 35,460,710 | 0.02200 |
| 1985 | 1,709,016 | 19,519 | 4,901 | \$ 37,155,108 | 0.00053 |
| 1986 | 42,240,181 | 253,465 | 6,594 | \$ 79,148,418 | 0.00320 |
| 1987 | 1,070,692 | 24,687 | 1,306 | \$ 80,195,728 | 0.00031 |
| 1988 | 160,672 | 41,780 | 252 | \$ 80,314,871 | 0.00052 |
| 1989 | 393,258 | 34,043 | 1,544 | \$ 80,675,631 | 0.00042 |
| 1990 | 2,389,256 | 410,741 | 1,820 | \$ 82,655,965 | 0.00497 |
| 1991 | 49,569 | 37,817 | 285 | \$ 82,668,002 | 0.00046 |
| 1992 | 732,313 | 129,609 | 655 | \$ 83,271,361 | 0.00156 |
| 1993 | 1,239,184 | 1,259,780 | 867 | \$ 83,251,632 | 0.01513 |
| 1994 | 881,759 | 239,686 | 80 | \$ 83,893,784 | 0.00286 |
| 1995 | 74,232 | 242,935 | 393 | \$ 83,725,474 | 0.00290 |
| 1996 | 508,704 | 34,148 | 1,456 | \$ 84,201,486 | 0.00041 |
| 1997 | 1,085,676 | 19,620 | 551 | \$ 85,268,093 | 0.00023 |
| 1998 | 123,115 | 182,053 | 839 | \$ 85,209,993 | 0.00214 |
| 1999 | 3,199,950 | 192,792 | 670 | \$ 88,217,822 | 0.00219 |
| 2000 | 2,487,663 | 339,531 | 58 | \$ 90,366,011 | 0.00376 |
| 2001 | 975,817 | 461,633 | 436 | \$ 90,880,630 | 0.00508 |
| 2002 | 1,028,798 | 124,490 | 84 | \$ 91,785,023 | 0.00136 |
| 2003 | 1,481,578 | 269,518 | 0 | \$ 92,997,083 | 0.00290 |
| 2004 | 2,792,932 | 7,785,162 | 19 | \$ 88,004,872 | 0.08846 |
| 2005 | 232,344 | 65,400 | 3 | \$ 88,171,820 | 0.00074 |
| 2006 | 5,571,841 | 1,165,164 | 275 | \$ 92,578,772 | 0.01259 |
| 2007 | 245,661 | 2,399,085 | 0 | \$ 90,425,347 | 0.02653 |
| 2008 | 7,444,270 | 43,008 | 0 | \$ 97,826,610 | 0.00044 |
| 2009 | 120,432 | 2,438 | 0 | \$ 97,944,604 | 0.00002 |
| TOTAL | \$ 115,272,236 | \$ 17,446,914 | \$ 119,282 | \$ 2,370,337,102 | 0.00736 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Unrealized Life } \\ \text { of Original } \\ \text { Plant [1] } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.99632 | 23.48142 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.98899 | 23.30859 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.98171 | 23.13702 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.97448 | 22.96672 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.96731 | 22.79767 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.96019 | 22.62987 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.95312 | 22.46330 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.94611 | 22.29796 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.93914 | 22.13384 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.93223 | 21.97092 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.92537 | 21.80920 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.91856 | 21.64868 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.91180 | 21.48933 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.90508 | 21.33116 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.89842 | 21.17415 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.89181 | 21.01830 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.88524 | 20.86359 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.87873 | 20.71003 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.87226 | 20.55759 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.86584 | 20.40627 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.85947 | 20.25607 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.85314 | 20.10698 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.84686 | 19.95898 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.84063 | 19.81207 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.83444 | 19.66624 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.82830 | 19.52149 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.82220 | 19.37780 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.81615 | 19.23517 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.81014 | 19.09359 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.80418 | 18.95305 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.79826 | 18.81355 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.79239 | 18.67507 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.78655 | 18.53761 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.78076 | 18.40117 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.77502 | 17.62615 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.76931 | 16.85684 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.76365 | 16.09319 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.75803 | 15.33516 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.75245 | 14.58271 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.74691 | 13.83580 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.74141 | 13.09438 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.73596 | 12.35843 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.73054 | 11.62789 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.72516 | 10.90273 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.71982 | 10.18290 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.71453 | 9.46837 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.70927 | 8.75911 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.70405 | 8.05506 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.69886 | 7.35620 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.69372 | 6.66248 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.68861 | 5.97386 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.68355 | 5.29032 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.67851 | 4.61180 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.67352 | 3.93828 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.66856 | 3.26972 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.66364 | 2.60608 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.65876 | 1.94732 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.65391 | 1.29341 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.64910 | 0.64432 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00736 | 0.99264 | 0.64432 | - |
| Unrealiz | d Life = Sum L | e Table from | 1) for (Future | e-.5) valu |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rns \& } \\ & \text { Donnell } \\ & \hline \text { Ince } 1898 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmission | Towers |  | Account: | 354 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Retirem Interim Retirem Study Date, Ye Future Life from Remaining Life | ent (Mid Year): <br> ent Rate: <br> ar-End: <br> Study Date: <br> $(F / E+.5)=$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2040 \\ 0.00002 \\ 2009 \\ 30.5 \\ 31.5 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs | Yr-End Plant Balance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Interim } \\ & \text { Retirement } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | Life <br> Table | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Unrealized Life } \\ \text { of Original } \\ \text { Plant [1] }\end{array}$ |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 1950 \$ - 0.00000 |  |  |  |  |  | 20090.5 |  | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99999 | 30.98925 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2008 1.5 |  | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99997 | 30.98860 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99995 | 30.98795 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.54.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99993 | 30.98730 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2005 |  | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99991 | 30.98665 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99988 | 30.98599 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.57.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.9998630 .98534 |  |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2002 |  | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99984 \quad 30.98469$ |  |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 2001 - 8.5 |  | 0.00002 | 0.999980.99998 | 0.9998230 .98404 |  |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | $\begin{array}{ll}2001 & 8.5 \\ 2000 & 9.5\end{array}$ |  | 0.00002 |  | $0.99980 \quad 30.98339$ |  |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | $\begin{array}{lr}2000 & 8.5 \\ 1999 & 10.5\end{array}$ |  |  | 0.99998 | $0.99978 \quad 30.98274$ |  |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | $\begin{array}{ll}1999 & 10.5 \\ 1998 & 11.5\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}.5 & 0.00002 \\ 5 & 0.00002\end{array}$ | 0.99998 | 0.9997630 .98209 |  |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1998 \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | 12.5 | . 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $\begin{array}{ll}0.99974 & 30.98144 \\ 0.99972 & 30.98079\end{array}$ |  |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1997 | 13.5 | . 0.00002 | 0.99998 |  |  |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.515.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99970 \quad 30.98013$ |  |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1994 |  | 5.50 .00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99967 \quad 30.97948$ |  |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.517.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99965 \quad 30.97883$ |  |
| 1967 | 309,097 | 0 | 0 | \$ 309,097 | 0.00000 | 1992 |  | 7.50 .00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99963 \quad 30.97818$ |  |
| 1968 | 139,879 | 0 | 0 | \$ 448,976 | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.519.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99961 \quad 30.97753$ |  |
| 1969 | 157,055 | 0 | 0 | \$ 606,032 | 0.00000 | 1990 |  | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.9995930 .97688 |  |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 606,032 | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.521.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99957 \quad 30.97623$ |  |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 606,032 | 0.00000 | 1988 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00002 \\ & 0.00002 \end{aligned}$ | 0.99998 | $0.99955 \quad 30.97558$ |  |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 606,032 | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 |  | 0.99998 | 0.99953 30.97493 <br> 0.90951  |  |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 606,032 | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | $\begin{array}{ll}3.5 & 0.00002\end{array}$ | 0.99998 | $0.99951 \quad 30.97427$ |  |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 606,032 | 0.00000 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99949 \quad 30.97362$ |  |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 606,032 | 0.00000 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99946 |  |
| 1976 | 380,892 | 0 | 0 | \$ 986,924 | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99944 \quad 30.97232$ |  |
| 1977 | 4,019 | 0 | 145 | \$ 991,089 | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | 7.5 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99942 \quad 30.97167$ |  |
| 1978 | 3,721 | 0 | 0 | \$ 994,809 | 0.00000 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99940 \quad 30.97102$ |  |
| 1979 | 78,240 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,073,049 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | $0.99938 \quad 29.97164$ |  |
| 1980 | 80,487 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,153,536 | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.9993628 .97228 |  |
| 1981 | 4,893 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,158,429 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99934 | 27.97294 |
| 1982 | 88,103 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,246,532 | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99932 | 26.97362 |
| 1983 | 14,694 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,261,226 | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99930 | 25.97433 |
| 1984 | 460,143 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,721,370 | 0.00000 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99928 | 24.97505 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,721,370 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99925 | 23.97580 |
| 1986 | 5,595,769 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,317,138 | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99923 | 22.97657 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,317,138 | 0.00000 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99921 | 21.97735 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,317,138 | 0.00000 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99919 | 20.97816 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,317,138 | 0.00000 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99917 | 19.97899 |
| 1990 | 10,759 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,327,897 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99915 | 18.97984 |
| 1991 | 0 | 3,667 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00050 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99913 | 17.98072 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99911 | 16.98161 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99909 | 15.98252 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99907 | 14.98346 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99904 | 13.98441 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99902 | 12.98539 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99900 | 11.98639 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99898 | 10.98741 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99896 | 9.98845 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,324,231 | 0.00000 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99894 | 8.98951 |
| 2001 | 0 | 445 | 0 | \$ 7,323,786 | 0.00006 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99892 | 7.99059 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,323,786 | 0.00000 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99890 | 6.99169 |
| 2003 | 6,688 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,330,474 | 0.00000 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99888 | 5.99282 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,330,474 | 0.00000 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99886 | 4.99396 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,330,474 | 0.00000 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99883 | 3.99513 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,330,474 | 0.00000 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99881 | 2.99631 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 7,330,474 | 0.00000 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99879 | 1.99752 |
| 2008 | 1,259,104 | 0 | 0 | \$ 8,589,578 | 0.00000 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99877 | 0.99875 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 8,589,578 | 0.00000 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.00002 | 0.99998 | 0.99875 | . |
| TOTAL | \$ 8,593,544 | \$ 4,112 | \$ 145 | \$ 195,626,481 | 0.00002 | Unrealized | Life $=$ Sum Life | Table from ( n | for (Future Li | .5) values |  |

Big Rivers Electric Corporation
2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis


Big Rivers Electric Corporation

| Transmission Lines | Account: | 356 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2035 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  | 0.00000 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 25.5 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E +.5 ) |  | 26.5 |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Adjustments and Transfers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | Interim Retirement Rate |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 \$ - 0.00000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 19550 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1956 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1957 0 | 1957 0 |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1958 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1959 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1960 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1961 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1962 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1963 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1964 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 19650 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1966 0 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1967 39,131 |  |  |  | \$ 39,131 | 0.00000 |
| 1968 0 |  |  |  | \$ 39,131 | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 23,026 |  |  | \$ 62,157 | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 |  |  | \$ 62,157 | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 0 |  |  | \$ 62,157 | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 |  |  | \$ 62,157 | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 0 |  |  | \$ 62,157 | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 0 |  |  | \$ 62,157 | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 |  |  | \$ 62,157 | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 24,744 |  |  | \$ 86,901 | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 0 |  |  | \$ 86,901 | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 0 |  |  | \$ 86,901 | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 0 |  |  | \$ 86,901 | 0.00000 |
| 1980 | 0 |  |  | \$ 86,901 | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 5,676,547 |  |  | \$ 5,763,448 | 0.00000 |
| 1982 | 937,496 |  |  | \$ 6,700,944 | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 210,765 |  |  | \$ 6,911,708 | 0.00000 |
| 1984 | 2,812,421 |  |  | \$ 9,724,129 | 0.00000 |
| 1985 | 45,223 |  |  | \$ 9,769,352 | 0.00000 |
| 1986 | 19,197,453 |  |  | \$ 28,966,805 | 0.00000 |
| 1987 | 180,019 |  |  | \$ 29,146,824 | 0.00000 |
| 1988 | 431,211 |  |  | \$ 29,578,035 | 0.00000 |
| 1989 | 255,513 |  |  | \$ 29,833,548 | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 396,302 |  |  | \$ 30,229,849 | 0.00000 |
| 1991 | 68,804 |  |  | \$ 30,298,653 | 0.00000 |
| 1992 | 20,895 |  |  | \$ 30,319,549 | 0.00000 |
| 1993 | 77,924 |  |  | \$ 30,397,473 | 0.00000 |
| 1994 | 817,484 |  |  | \$ 31,214,957 | 0.00000 |
| 1995 | 74,339 |  |  | \$ 31,289,296 | 0.00000 |
| 1996 | 89,079 |  |  | \$ 31,378,375 | 0.00000 |
| 1997 | 1,179,392 |  |  | \$ 32,557,768 | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 111,806 |  |  | \$ 32,669,574 | 0.00000 |
| 1999 | 672,219 |  |  | \$ 33,341,792 | 0.00000 |
| 2000 | 184,561 |  |  | \$ 33,526,354 | 0.00000 |
| 2001 | 699,346 |  |  | \$ 34,225,700 | 0.00000 |
| 2002 | 816,626 |  |  | \$ 35,042,326 | 0.00000 |
| 2003 | 432,410 |  |  | \$ 35,474,735 | 0.00000 |
| 2004 | 602,337 |  |  | \$ 36,077,073 | 0.00000 |
| 2005 | 242,723 |  |  | \$ 36,319,795 | 0.00000 |
| 2006 | 684,660 |  |  | \$ 37,004,455 | 0.00000 |
| 2007 | 137,405 |  |  | \$ 37,141,860 | 0.00000 |
| 2008 | 2,892,857 |  |  | \$ 40,034,717 | 0.00000 |
| 2009 | 0 |  |  | \$ 40,034,717 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | \$ 40,034,717 | \$ | \$ | \$ 835,921,679 | 0.00000 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life <br> of Original <br> Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 26.00000 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 25.00000 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 24.00000 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 23.00000 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 22.00000 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 21.00000 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 20.00000 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 19.00000 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 18.00000 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 17.00000 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 16.00000 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 15.00000 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 14.00000 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 13.00000 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 12.00000 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 11.00000 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 10.00000 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 9.00000 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 8.00000 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 7.00000 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 6.00000 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 5.00000 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 4.00000 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 3.00000 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 2.00000 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | - | 1.00000 | 1.00000 | - |
| Unrealized | Life = Sum L | Table from | ) for (Future L | .5) values |  |

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

| General Plant Structures | Account: | 390 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2015 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  | 0.01214 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 6.0 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E + .5) $=$ |  | 11.6 |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Interim Retirement Rate |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 213,961 | 0 | 0 | \$ 213,961 | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 213,961 | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 2,483 | 0 | 0 | \$ 216,444 | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 216,444 | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 267,258 | 0 | 0 | \$ 483,702 | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 43,988 | 0 | 269 | \$ 527,959 | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 4,598 | 0 | \$ 523,362 | 0.00878 |
| 1973 | 21,835 | 0 | 0 | \$ 545,197 | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 37,731 | 2,500 | 0 | \$ 580,428 | 0.00431 |
| 1975 | 592 | 0 | 0 | \$ 581,020 | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 1,704 | 0 | 208 | \$ 582,932 | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 3,783 | 0 | 0 | \$ 586,715 | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 4,808 | 0 | 0 | \$ 591,523 | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 29,345 | 3,716 | 0 | \$ 617,153 | 0.00602 |
| 1980 | 1,269 | 0 | 0 | \$ 618,422 | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 2,270,658 | 0 | 15,658 | \$ 2,904,737 | 0.00000 |
| 1982 | 190,816 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,095,553 | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 0 | 61,332 | 0 | \$ 3,034,221 | 0.02021 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,034,221 | 0.00000 |
| 1985 | 148,462 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,182,684 | 0.00000 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,182,684 | 0.00000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,182,684 | 0.00000 |
| 1988 | 24,337 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,207,020 | 0.00000 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,207,020 | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 1,995 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,209,015 | 0.00000 |
| 1991 | 10,168 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,219,183 | 0.00000 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,219,183 | 0.00000 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,219,183 | 0.00000 |
| 1994 | 126,550 | 5,086 | 0 | \$ 3,340,646 | 0.00152 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,340,646 | 0.00000 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,340,646 | 0.00000 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,340,646 | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 10,867 | 18,258 | 0 | \$ 3,333,255 | 0.00548 |
| 1999 | 4,389 | 0 | 0 | \$ 3,337,644 | 0.00000 |
| 2000 | 0 | 984,851 | 0 | \$ 2,352,793 | 0.41859 |
| 2001 | 3,972 | 1,737 | 0 | \$ 2,355,027 | 0.00074 |
| 2002 | 31,276 | 1,099 | 0 | \$ 2,385,204 | 0.00046 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 2,385,204 | 0.00000 |
| 2004 | 3,785 | 3,761 | 0 | \$ 2,385,228 | 0.00158 |
| 2005 | 199,739 | 36,488 | 0 | \$ 2,548,479 | 0.01432 |
| 2006 | 10,205 | 2,514 | 0 | \$ 2,556,170 | 0.00098 |
| 2007 | 10,972 | 2,873 | 0 | \$ 2,564,269 | 0.00112 |
| 2008 | 4,742 | -120 | 0 | \$ 2,569,131 | -0.00005 |
| 2009 | 263,205 | 0 | 0 | \$ 2,832,336 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | \$ 3,944,895 | \$ 1,128,693 | \$ 16,134 | \$ 92,963,936 | 0.01214 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Unrealized Life } \\ \text { of Original } \\ \text { Plant [1] } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.99393 | 11.02655 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.98186 | 10.89267 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.96994 | 10.76042 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.95816 | 10.62978 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.94653 | 10.50072 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.93504 | 10.37323 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.92369 | 10.24728 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.91247 | 10.12287 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.90139 | 9.99997 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.89045 | 9.87856 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.87964 | 9.75862 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.86896 | 9.64014 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.85841 | 9.52309 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.84799 | 9.40747 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.83769 | 9.29325 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.82752 | 9.18042 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.81747 | 9.06896 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.80755 | 8.95885 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.79774 | 8.85008 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.78806 | 8.74263 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.77849 | 8.63649 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.76904 | 8.53163 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.75970 | 8.42804 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.75048 | 8.32572 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.74137 | 8.22463 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.73236 | 8.12478 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.72347 | 8.02613 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.71469 | 7.92869 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.70601 | 7.83242 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.69744 | 7.73733 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.68897 | 7.64339 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.68061 | 7.55059 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.67234 | 7.45891 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.66418 | 7.36835 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.65612 | 7.27889 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.64815 | 7.19052 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.64028 | 7.10322 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.63251 | 7.01698 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.62483 | 6.93178 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.61724 | 6.84762 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.60975 | 6.76448 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.60235 | 6.68235 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.59503 | 6.60122 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.58781 | 6.52108 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.58067 | 6.44190 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.57362 | 6.36369 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.56666 | 6.28643 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.55978 | 6.21010 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.55298 | 5.65712 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.54627 | 5.11086 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.53963 | 4.57122 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.53308 | 4.03814 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.52661 | 3.51153 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.52022 | 2.99131 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.51390 | 2.47741 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.50766 | 1.96975 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.50150 | 1.46825 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.49541 | 0.97285 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.48939 | 0.48345 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.01214 | 0.98786 | 0.48345 | - |
| Unrealize | Life = Sum Li | e Table from | 1) for (Future | -.5) valu |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirem |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| General Plant office Furiture \& Equipment | , |
| Date of Reiement (Mi |  |
| Interm Retiement Rale |  |
|  | -5057.57 |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Removal } \\ & \text { Costs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | Interim Retirement Rate |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 1,873 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,873 | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,873 | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 1,873 | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 3,825 | 0 | 0 | \$ 5,699 | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 5,699 | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 5,699 | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 502 | 0 | 80 | \$ 6,281 | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 10,533 | 1,444 | 664 | \$ 16,034 | 0.09004 |
| 1979 | 3,276 | 6,879 | 0 | \$ 12,431 | 0.55343 |
| 1980 | 4,635 | 3,291 | 0 | \$ 13,775 | 0.23892 |
| 1981 | 18,913 | 2,175 | 0 | \$ 30,512 | 0.07128 |
| 1982 | 32,904 | 11,112 | 0 | \$ 52,305 | 0.21244 |
| 1983 | 14,814 | 12,216 | 0 | \$ 54,902 | 0.22251 |
| 1984 | 52,080 | 12,836 | 63 | \$ 94,208 | 0.13626 |
| 1985 | 617 | 9,631 | 0 | \$ 85,193 | 0.11305 |
| 1986 | 5,651 | 38,293 | 0 | \$ 52,551 | 0.72868 |
| 1987 | 44,954 | 18,352 | 0 | \$ 79,153 | 0.23186 |
| 1988 | 15,044 | 58,299 | 0 | \$ 35,898 | 1.62403 |
| 1989 | 7,003 | 48,703 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 41,091 | 74,156 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1991 | 43,689 | 86,235 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1992 | 18,617 | 79,202 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1993 | 23,789 | 9,177 | 0 | \$ 14,612 | 0.62804 |
| 1994 | 1,685 | 84,556 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1995 | 15,609 | 7,290 | 0 | \$ 8,318 | 0.87639 |
| 1996 | 1,380 | 32,731 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1997 | 5,099 | 5,122 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 5,434 | 823,912 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1999 | 1,662 | 610,952 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2000 | 5,735 | 253,451 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2001 | 970 | 164,948 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2002 | 7,514 | 98,450 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2003 | 5,377 | 22,360 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2004 | 38,804 | 59,698 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2005 | 5,183 | 60,703 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 2006 | 9,433 | 5,129 | 0 | \$ 4,304 | 1.19158 |
| 2007 | 36,882 | 22,689 | 0 | \$ 18,498 | 1.22657 |
| 2008 | 35,410 | 25,457 | 0 | \$ 28,450 | 0.89482 |
| 2009 | 96,149 | 4,748 | 0 | \$ 119,851 | 0.03961 |
| TOTAL | 616,135 | \$ 2,754,200 | 806 | \$ 749,992 | 3.67231 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | Life <br> Table | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | (1) | 4,229 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 2 | $(11,302)$ |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | (6) | 30,202 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 16 | $(80,710)$ |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | (43) | 215,683 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 114 | $(576,370)$ |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | (305) | 1,540,236 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 814 | $(4,115,982)$ |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(2,175)$ | 10,999,163 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 5,811 | $(29,393,125)$ |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(15,529)$ | 78,547,413 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 41,499 | $(209,902,694)$ |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(110,897)$ | 560,924,152 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 296,350 | (1,498,960,775) |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(791,938)$ | 4,005,681,331 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 2,116,302 | $(10,704,404,807)$ |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(5,655,405)$ | 28,605,441,321 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 15,112,970 | (76,442,482,130) |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(40,386,476)$ | 204,277,676,008 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 107,925,008 | (545,892,385,390) |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(288,408,608)$ | 1,458,791,299,424 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | 770,715,949 | (3,898,336,214,668) |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $(2,059,588,577)$ | 10,417,545,846,751 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $5.50 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $-2.78 \mathrm{E}+13$ |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-1.47 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $7.44 \mathrm{E}+13$ |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $3.93 \mathrm{E}+10$ | -1.99E+14 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -1.05E+11 | $5.31 \mathrm{E}+14$ |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $2.81 \mathrm{E}+11$ | $-1.42 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -7.50E+11 | $3.79 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+12$ | -1.01E+16 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-5.36 \mathrm{E}+12$ | $2.71 \mathrm{E}+16$ |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+13$ | -7.24E+16 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -3.83E+13 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}+17$ |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $1.02 \mathrm{E}+14$ | -5.17E+17 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -2.73E+14 | $1.38 \mathrm{E}+18$ |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $7.30 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $-3.69 \mathrm{E}+18$ |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -1.95E+15 | $9.87 \mathrm{E}+18$ |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $5.21 \mathrm{E}+15$ | -2.64E+19 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -1.39E+16 | $7.05 \mathrm{E}+19$ |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $3.72 \mathrm{E}+16$ | $-1.88 \mathrm{E}+20$ |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -9.95E+16 | $5.03 \mathrm{E}+20$ |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $2.66 \mathrm{E}+17$ | $-1.34 \mathrm{E}+21$ |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -7.10E+17 | $3.59 \mathrm{E}+21$ |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $1.90 \mathrm{E}+18$ | -9.60E+21 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-5.07 \mathrm{E}+18$ | $2.57 \mathrm{E}+22$ |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $1.36 \mathrm{E}+19$ | -6.86E+22 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -3.62E+19 | $1.83 \mathrm{E}+23$ |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $9.68 \mathrm{E}+19$ | $-4.90 \mathrm{E}+23$ |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-2.59 \mathrm{E}+20$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $6.91 \mathrm{E}+20$ | $-3.50 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-1.85 \mathrm{E}+21$ | $9.34 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $4.94 \mathrm{E}+21$ | $9.34 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-1.32 \mathrm{E}+22$ | $9.35 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $3.53 \mathrm{E}+22$ | $9.32 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-9.42 \mathrm{E}+22$ | $9.41 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $2.52 \mathrm{E}+23$ | $9.16 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $-6.73 \mathrm{E}+23$ | $9.83 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $1.80 \mathrm{E}+24$ | $8.04 \mathrm{E}+24$ |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | -4.80E+24 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+25$ |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 3.67231 | (2.67231) | $1.28 \mathrm{E}+25$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1] Unrealiz | Life = Sum | ife Table from | n-1) for (Future | ife - .5) values |  |



Big Rivers Electric Corporation


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |  <br> 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis <br> McDonnell <br> since 1898 |
| :--- | :--- |


| General Plant Vehicles Transmission | Account: | 392.3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2014 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: | 0.12351 |  |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 5.0 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E + .5) $=$ | 5.7 |  |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Adjustments and Transfers | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate <br> $F=C / E$ |
| A | B | C | D | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 \$ - 0.00000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 13,937 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 13,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | \$ 16,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 16,937 | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 0 | 49,639 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 0.00000 |
| 1989 | 105,435 | 0 | 0 | \$ 105,435 | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 124,090 | 67,679 | 0 | \$ 161,846 | 0.41817 |
| 1991 | 30,236 | 6,228 | 0 | \$ 185,854 | 0.03351 |
| 1992 | 0 | 121,703 | 0 | \$ 64,151 | 1.89712 |
| 1993 | 29,592 | 5,000 | 0 | \$ 88,743 | 0.05634 |
| 1994 | 41,086 | 23,388 | 0 | \$ 106,442 | 0.21972 |
| 1995 | 0 | 12,865 | 0 | \$ 93,576 | 0.13749 |
| 1996 | 72,462 | 34,768 | 0 | \$ 131,270 | 0.26486 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 131,270 | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 275,403 | 186,258 | 0 | \$ 220,415 | 0.84503 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 220,415 | 0.00000 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 220,415 | 0.00000 |
| 2001 | 32,404 | 0 | 0 | \$ 252,818 | 0.00000 |
| 2002 | 251,699 | 21,313 | 0 | \$ 483,204 | 0.04411 |
| 2003 | 0 | 150,672 | 0 | \$ 332,532 | 0.45311 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 332,532 | 0.00000 |
| 2005 | 2,268 | 0 | 0 | \$ 334,800 | 0.00000 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 334,800 | 0.00000 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 334,800 | 0.00000 |
| 2008 | 275,629 | 0 | 0 | \$ 610,430 | 0.00000 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 610,430 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | 1,257,240 | \$ 679,512 | \$ | \$ 5,501,544 | 0.12351 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.93824 | 4.87650 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.82236 | 4.27419 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.72079 | 3.74627 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.63176 | 3.28356 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.55373 | 2.87800 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.48534 | 2.52253 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.42539 | 2.21096 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.37285 | 1.93788 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.32680 | 1.69853 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.28643 | 1.48874 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.25106 | 1.30486 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.22005 | 1.14369 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.19287 | 1.00243 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.16905 | 0.87862 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.14817 | 0.77010 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.12987 | 0.67498 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.11383 | 0.59161 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.09977 | 0.51854 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.08744 | 0.45449 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.07664 | 0.39836 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.06718 | 0.34916 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.05888 | 0.30603 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.05161 | 0.26823 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.04523 | 0.23510 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.03965 | 0.20606 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.03475 | 0.18061 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.03046 | 0.15830 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.02670 | 0.13875 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.02340 | 0.12161 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.02051 | 0.10659 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.01798 | 0.09343 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.01576 | 0.08189 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.01381 | 0.07177 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.01210 | 0.06291 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.01061 | 0.05514 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00930 | 0.04833 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00815 | 0.04236 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00714 | 0.03713 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00626 | 0.03254 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00549 | 0.02852 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00481 | 0.02500 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00422 | 0.02191 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00370 | 0.01921 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00324 | 0.01683 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00284 | 0.01475 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00249 | 0.01293 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00218 | 0.01133 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00191 | 0.00993 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00168 | 0.00871 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00147 | 0.00763 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00129 | 0.00634 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00113 | 0.00522 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00099 | 0.00423 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00087 | 0.00336 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00076 | 0.00260 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00067 | 0.00194 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00058 | 0.00135 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00051 | 0.00084 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00045 | 0.00039 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.12351 | 0.87649 | 0.00039 | - |
| Unrealiz | d Life $=$ Sum | ife Table from | n-1) for (Future | fe - .5) val |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |  <br> 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis <br> McDonnell <br> since 1398 |
| :--- | :--- |

2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis

| General Plant Stores Equipment | Account: | 393 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2020 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  | 0.13672 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 11.0 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E + .5) $=$ | 5.7 |  |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \end{aligned}$ | Interim Retirement Rate |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 15,170 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 15,170 | 0.00000 |
| 1980 | 2,649 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 17,818 | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 1,481 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 19,299 | 0.00000 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 19,299 | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 1,449 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 20,748 | 0.00000 |
| 1984 | 1,345 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 22,093 | 0.00000 |
| 1985 | 15,937 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 38,030 | 0.00000 |
| 1986 | 1,941 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 39,970 | 0.00000 |
| 1987 | 509 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 40,480 | 0.00000 |
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 40,480 | 0.00000 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 40,480 | 0.00000 |
| 1990 | 6,710 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 47,190 | 0.00000 |
| 1991 | 5,603 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 52,793 | 0.00000 |
| 1992 | 1,879 | 621 | 0 | \$ | 54,052 | 0.01148 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,052 | 0.00000 |
| 1994 | 0 | 491 | 0 | \$ | 53,561 | 0.00916 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 53,561 | 0.00000 |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 53,561 | 0.00000 |
| 1997 | 3,677 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 57,239 | 0.00000 |
| 1998 | 0 | 92,770 | 0 | \$ | , | 0.00000 |
| 1999 | 1,831 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 1,831 | 0.00000 |
| 2000 | 36,692 | 24,692 | 0 | \$ | 13,831 | 1.78532 |
| 2001 | 0 | 1,245 | 0 | \$ | 12,586 | 0.09890 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 12,586 | 0.00000 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 12,586 | 0.00000 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 12,586 | 0.00000 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 12,586 | 0.00000 |
| 2006 | 1,893 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 14,479 | 0.00000 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 14,479 | 0.00000 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 14,479 | 0.00000 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 14,479 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | \$ 98,766 | \$ 119,819 | \$ | \$ | 876,384 | 0.13672 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annual } \\ \text { Retirement } \\ \text { Rate } \end{gathered}$ | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Unrealized Life } \\ \text { of Original } \\ \text { Plant [1] } \end{array}$ |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.93164 | 4.87476 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.80427 | 4.20828 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.69431 | 3.63293 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.59938 | 3.13624 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.51743 | 2.70745 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.44669 | 2.33729 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.38562 | 2.01774 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.33290 | 1.74187 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.28738 | 1.50372 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.24809 | 1.29814 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.21417 | 1.12066 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.18489 | 0.96744 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.15961 | 0.83517 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.13779 | 0.72099 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.11895 | 0.62241 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.10269 | 0.53732 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.08865 | 0.46386 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.07653 | 0.40044 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.06607 | 0.34569 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.05703 | 0.29843 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.04924 | 0.25763 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.04250 | 0.22240 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.03669 | 0.19200 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.03168 | 0.16575 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.02735 | 0.14309 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.02361 | 0.12352 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.02038 | 0.10664 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.01759 | 0.09206 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.01519 | 0.07947 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.01311 | 0.06861 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.01132 | 0.05923 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00977 | 0.05113 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00844 | 0.04414 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00728 | 0.03810 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00629 | 0.03289 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00543 | 0.02840 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00469 | 0.02451 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00404 | 0.02116 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00349 | 0.01827 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00301 | 0.01577 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00260 | 0.01362 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00225 | 0.01175 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00194 | 0.01015 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00167 | 0.00876 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00145 | 0.00756 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00125 | 0.00653 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00108 | 0.00564 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00093 | 0.00487 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00080 | 0.00406 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00069 | 0.00337 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00060 | 0.00277 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00052 | 0.00225 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00045 | 0.00181 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00038 | 0.00142 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00033 | 0.00109 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00029 | 0.00081 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00025 | 0.00056 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00021 | 0.00034 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00018 | 0.00016 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.13672 | 0.86328 | 0.00016 | - |
| Unrealize | d Life $=$ Sum | ife Table from | -1) for (Future | e-.5) valu |  |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis | McDonnell <br> since 1398 |


| General Plant Tools | Account: | 394 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  | 2020 |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  | 0.03543 |
| Study Date, Year-End: | 2009 |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: | 11.0 |  |
| Remaining Life (F/E + .5) |  | 9.4 |


| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ \text { Plant } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate <br> F $=$ 左 |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ |
| 1950 \$ - 0.00000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 |
| 1967 | 2,350 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,350 | 0.00000 |
| 1968 | 555 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,905 | 0.00000 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 2,905 | 0.00000 |
| 1970 | 4,742 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 7,647 | 0.00000 |
| 1971 | 3,825 | 475 | 0 | \$ | 10,996 | 0.04323 |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 10,996 | 0.00000 |
| 1973 | 601 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 11,598 | 0.00000 |
| 1974 | 1,347 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 12,945 | 0.00000 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 12,945 | 0.00000 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 12,945 | 0.00000 |
| 1977 | 3,148 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 16,093 | 0.00000 |
| 1978 | 82,823 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 98,916 | 0.00000 |
| 1979 | 6,795 | 232 | 0 | \$ | 105,479 | 0.00220 |
| 1980 | 35,977 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 141,456 | 0.00000 |
| 1981 | 16,713 | 425 | 0 | \$ | 157,744 | 0.00269 |
| 1982 | 11,694 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 169,437 | 0.00000 |
| 1983 | 2,687 | 3,735 | 0 | \$ | 168,390 | 0.02218 |
| 1984 | 29,870 | 1,809 | 0 | \$ | 196,451 | 0.00921 |
| 1985 | 5,993 | 2,334 | 0 | \$ | 200,110 | 0.01166 |
| 1986 | 5,411 | 239 | 0 | \$ | 205,282 | 0.00117 |
| 1987 | 0 | 568 | 0 | \$ | 204,714 | 0.00277 |
| 1988 | 27,022 | 3,788 | 0 | \$ | 227,948 | 0.01662 |
| 1989 | 6,594 | 577 | 0 | \$ | 233,965 | 0.00247 |
| 1990 | 10,719 | 446 | 0 | \$ | 244,238 | 0.00183 |
| 1991 | 4,753 | 29,508 | 0 | \$ | 219,484 | 0.13444 |
| 1992 | 19,516 | 18,406 | 0 | \$ | 220,594 | 0.08344 |
| 1993 | 6,322 | 6,085 | 0 | \$ | 220,831 | 0.02755 |
| 1994 | 7,847 | 27,018 | 0 | \$ | 201,660 | 0.13398 |
| 1995 | 5,453 | 3,774 | 0 | \$ | 203,340 | 0.01856 |
| 1996 | 14,754 | 1,224 | 0 | \$ | 216,869 | 0.00564 |
| 1997 | 30,127 | 513 | 0 | \$ | 246,484 | 0.00208 |
| 1998 | 9,111 | 80,060 | 0 | \$ | 175,534 | 0.45609 |
| 1999 | 4,843 | 4,340 | 0 | \$ | 176,037 | 0.02466 |
| 2000 | 13,183 | 8,063 | 0 | \$ | 181,158 | 0.04451 |
| 2001 | 12,247 | 31,571 | 0 | \$ | 161,833 | 0.19508 |
| 2002 | 8,375 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 170,208 | 0.00000 |
| 2003 | 6,007 | 537 | 0 | \$ | 175,679 | 0.00305 |
| 2004 | 9,238 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 184,917 | 0.00000 |
| 2005 | 5,911 | 1,299 | 0 | \$ | 189,529 | 0.00685 |
| 2006 | 2,300 | 3,357 | 0 | \$ | 188,473 | 0.01781 |
| 2007 | 14,993 | 7,646 | 0 | \$ | 195,819 | 0.03905 |
|  | 275,416 | 625 | 0 | \$ | 470,610 | 0.00133 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 7,349 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 477,959 | 0.00000 |
| TOTAL | 716,614 | \$ 238,654 |  | \$ | 6,735,473 | 0.03543 |


| Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 2009 | 0.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.98228 | 8.75886 |
| 2008 | 1.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.94748 | 8.44852 |
| 2007 | 2.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.91391 | 8.14916 |
| 2006 | 3.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.88153 | 7.86042 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.85029 | 7.58191 |
| 2004 | 5.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.82016 | 7.31326 |
| 2003 | 6.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.79110 | 7.05413 |
| 2002 | 7.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.76307 | 6.80419 |
| 2001 | 8.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.73603 | 6.56310 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.70995 | 6.33055 |
| 1999 | 10.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.68480 | 6.10624 |
| 1998 | 11.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.66054 | 5.88988 |
| 1997 | 12.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.63713 | 5.68119 |
| 1996 | 13.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.61456 | 5.47989 |
| 1995 | 14.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.59278 | 5.28573 |
| 1994 | 15.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.57178 | 5.09844 |
| 1993 | 16.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.55152 | 4.91779 |
| 1992 | 17.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.53198 | 4.74354 |
| 1991 | 18.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.51313 | 4.57547 |
| 1990 | 19.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.49495 | 4.41335 |
| 1989 | 20.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.47741 | 4.25697 |
| 1988 | 21.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.46049 | 4.10613 |
| 1987 | 22.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.44418 | 3.96064 |
| 1986 | 23.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.42844 | 3.82031 |
| 1985 | 24.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.41326 | 3.68495 |
| 1984 | 25.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.39861 | 3.55438 |
| 1983 | 26.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.38449 | 3.42844 |
| 1982 | 27.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.37087 | 3.30696 |
| 1981 | 28.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.35773 | 3.18979 |
| 1980 | 29.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.34505 | 3.07676 |
| 1979 | 30.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.33283 | 2.96775 |
| 1978 | 31.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.32103 | 2.86259 |
| 1977 | 32.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.30966 | 2.76116 |
| 1976 | 33.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.29869 | 2.66333 |
| 1975 | 34.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.28810 | 2.56896 |
| 1974 | 35.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.27789 | 2.47794 |
| 1973 | 36.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.26805 | 2.39014 |
| 1972 | 37.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.25855 | 2.30545 |
| 1971 | 38.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.24939 | 2.22376 |
| 1970 | 39.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.24055 | 2.14497 |
| 1969 | 40.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.23203 | 2.06897 |
| 1968 | 41.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.22381 | 1.99566 |
| 1967 | 42.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.21588 | 1.92495 |
| 1966 | 43.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.20823 | 1.85674 |
| 1965 | 44.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.20085 | 1.79095 |
| 1964 | 45.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.19373 | 1.72749 |
| 1963 | 46.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.18687 | 1.66628 |
| 1962 | 47.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.18025 | 1.60724 |
| 1961 | 48.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.17386 | 1.55030 |
| 1960 | 49.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.16770 | 1.38259 |
| 1959 | 50.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.16176 | 1.22083 |
| 1958 | 51.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.15603 | 1.06481 |
| 1957 | 52.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.15050 | 0.91431 |
| 1956 | 53.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.14517 | 0.76914 |
| 1955 | 54.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.14002 | 0.62912 |
| 1954 | 55.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.13506 | 0.49406 |
| 1953 | 56.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.13028 | 0.36378 |
| 1952 | 57.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.12566 | 0.23812 |
| 1951 | 58.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.12121 | 0.11691 |
| 1950 | 59.5 | 0.03543 | 0.96457 | 0.11691 | . |
| Unrealize | ed Life = Sum | Life Table fro | (n-1) for (Futu | Life - .5) | alues |


| Big Rivers Electric Corporation <br> 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ <br> cDonnell <br> SINCE 1898 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Plant | ab Equipment |  | Accou |  | 395 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Retirem Interim Retirem Study Date, Ye Future Life from Remaining Life | nt (Mid Year) <br> ht Rate: <br> -End: <br> Study Date: <br> $(F / E+.5)=$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2020 \\ 0.12877 \\ 2009 \\ 11.0 \\ 5.8 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Removal } \\ & \text { Costs } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Yr-End } \\ & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Balance } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Interim <br> Retirement <br> Rate <br> $F=C / E$ | Year <br> Placed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age at } \\ 12 / 31 / 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | Life <br> Table | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Unrealized Life } \\ \text { of Original } \\ \text { Plant [1] }\end{array}$ |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19501951 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.81514 | 4.30443 |
| 1952 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.71017 | 3.75015 |
| 1953 0 0 0 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.61872 | 3.26724 |
| 1954 |  |  |  | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.53905 | 2.84652 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.46964 | 2.47998 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.40916 | 2.16063 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.35647 | 1.88241 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.31057 | 1.64001 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.27058 | 1.42883 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.23574 | 1.24484 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.20538 | 1.08454 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.17893 | 0.94489 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1996 | 13.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.15589 | 0.82321 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1995 | 14.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.13582 | 0.71721 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.11833 | 0.62485 |
| 1966 | 762 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 762 | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.10309 | 0.54439 |
| 1967 | 9,649 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 10,411 | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.08982 | 0.47429 |
| 1968 | 4,998 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 15,409 | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.07825 | 0.41322 |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 15,409 | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.06818 | 0.36001 |
| 1970 | 4,382 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 19,791 | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.05940 | 0.31365 |
| 1971 | 2,381 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 22,172 | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.05175 | 0.27326 |
| 1972 | 1,822 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 23,994 | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.04508 | 0.23807 |
| 1973 | 921 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 24,915 | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.03928 | 0.20742 |
| 1974 | 7,646 | 252 | 0 | \$ | 32,308 | 0.00781 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.03422 | 0.18071 |
| 1975 | 6,189 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 38,497 | 0.00000 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.02981 | 0.15744 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 38,497 | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.02598 | 0.13716 |
| 1977 | 977 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 39,474 | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.02263 | 0.11950 |
| 1978 | 1,304 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 40,778 | 0.00000 | 1981 | 28.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.01972 | 0.10411 |
| 1979 | 13,537 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,314 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.01718 | 0.09071 |
| 1980 | 593 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 54,908 | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.01497 | 0.07903 |
| 1981 | 5,084 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 59,991 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.01304 | 0.06885 |
| 1982 | 13,273 | 675 | 0 | \$ | 72,590 | 0.00930 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.01136 | 0.05998 |
| 1983 | 7,025 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 79,614 | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00990 | 0.05226 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 79,614 | 0.00000 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00862 | 0.04553 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 79,614 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00751 | 0.03967 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 79,614 | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00654 | 0.03456 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 79,614 | 0.00000 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00570 | 0.03011 |
| 1988 | 0 | 694 | 0 | \$ | 78,920 | 0.00879 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00497 | 0.02623 |
| 1989 | 14,936 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 93,856 | 0.00000 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00433 | 0.02285 |
| 1990 | 5,191 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 99,047 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00377 | 0.01991 |
| 1991 | 35,538 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 134,585 | 0.00000 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00329 | 0.01735 |
| 1992 | 5,548 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 140,134 | 0.00000 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00286 | 0.01511 |
| 1993 | 4,918 | 14,116 | 0 | \$ | 130,936 | 0.10781 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00249 | 0.01317 |
| 1994 | 0 | 17,089 | 0 | \$ | 113,847 | 0.15011 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00217 | 0.01147 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 113,847 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00189 | 0.00999 |
| 1996 | 3,517 | 646 | 0 | \$ | 116,718 | 0.00553 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00165 | 0.00871 |
| 1997 | 4,915 | 2,817 | 0 | \$ | 118,816 | 0.02371 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00144 | 0.00759 |
| 1998 | 0 | 138,121 | 0 | \$ |  | 0.00000 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00125 | 0.00661 |
| 1999 | 0 | 132,253 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00109 | 0.00552 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00095 | 0.00457 |
|  | 0 | 20,237 | 0 | \$ | - | 0.00000 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00083 | 0.00374 |
| 2002 | 32,841 | 1,015 | 0 | \$ | 31,826 | 0.03189 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00072 | 0.00302 |
| 2003 | 0 | -7,912 | 0 | \$ | 39,738 | -0.19910 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00063 | 0.00239 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 39,738 | 0.00000 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00055 | 0.00184 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 39,738 | 0.00000 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00048 | 0.00137 |
| 2006 | 33,333 | 5,205 | 0 | \$ | 67,865 | 0.07670 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00042 | 0.00095 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 67,865 | 0.00000 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00036 | 0.00059 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 67,865 | 0.00000 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00032 | 0.00027 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ | 67,865 | 0.00000 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.12877 | 0.87123 | 0.00027 | - |
| TOTAL | 221,279 | \$ 325,207 |  | \$ | 2,525,498 | 0.12877 | Unrealiz | d Life = Sum | fe Table from | -1) for (Future | - .5) val |  |

Big Rivers Electric Corporation 2010 Depreciation Rate Study - Interim Retirement Rate Analysis

| General Plant Power Operated Eqpt |  |  | Account: 396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Retirement (Mid Year): |  |  | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interim Retirement Rate: |  |  | 0.14909 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Study Date, Year-End: |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Future Life from Study Date: |  |  | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remaining Life ( $F / E+.5$ ) $=$ |  |  | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development of Interim Retirement Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Interim Retirement Life Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity Year | Additions | Retirements | Removal Costs |  | Yr-End Plant Balance | Interim Retirement Rate | Year <br> Placed | Age at 12/31/2009 | Annual Retirement Rate | Annual Survival Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Life } \\ \text { Table } \end{gathered}$ | Unrealized Life of Original Plant [1] |
| A | B | C | D |  | E | $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{E}$ | A | B | C | $\mathrm{D}=(1-\mathrm{C})$ | E | F |
| 1950 \$ - 0.00000 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2009 | 0.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.92546 | 4.38758 |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2008 | 1.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.78748 | 3.73344 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2007 | 2.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.67008 | 3.17682 |
| 1953 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2006 | 3.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.57018 | 2.70319 |
| 1954 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2005 | 4.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.48517 | 2.30018 |
| 1955 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2004 | 5.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.41284 | 1.95725 |
| 1956 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2003 | 6.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.35129 | 1.66544 |
| 1957 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2002 | 7.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.29891 | 1.41714 |
| 1958 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2001 | 8.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.25435 | 1.20586 |
| 1959 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 2000 | 9.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.21643 | 1.02608 |
| 1960 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1999 | 10.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.18416 | 0.87311 |
| 1961 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1998 | 11.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.15670 | 0.74294 |
| 1962 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1997 | 12.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.13334 | 0.63217 |
| 1963 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1996 | 12.5 13.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.11346 | 0.53792 |
| 1964 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1995 | 13.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.096550.08215 | 0.45772 |
| 1965 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1994 | 15.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 |  | 0.38948 |
| 1966 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1993 | 16.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.08215 0.06990 | 0.331410.28200 |
| 1967 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1992 | 17.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.05948 |  |
| 1968 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1991 | 18.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $0.05061 \quad 0.23996$ |  |
| 1969 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1990 | 19.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.04307 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.23996 \\ & 0.20419 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1970 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1989 | 20.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $0.03665 \quad 0.17374$ |  |
| 1971 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1988 | 21.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $0.03118 \quad 0.14784$ |  |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1987 | 22.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $0.02653 \quad 0.12580$ |  |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1986 | 23.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.02258 | $0.10704$ |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1985 | 24.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01921 \\ & 0.01635 \end{aligned}$ | 0.09108 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1984 | 25.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 |  | 0.07751 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1983 | 26.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 |  |  |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1982 | 27.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01391 \\ & 0.01184 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.06595 \\ & 0.05612 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 |  | 28.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $0.01007 \quad 0.04775$ |  |
| 1979 | 561 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 561 | 0.00000 | 1980 | 29.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | $0.00857$ | $0.04063$ |
| 1980 | 0 | 37,557 | 0 |  | \$ | 0.00000 | 1979 | 30.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.007290.00621 | 0.03457 |
| 1981 | 117,498 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 117,498 | 0.00000 | 1978 | 31.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 |  | 0.02942 |
| 1982 | 14,401 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 131,899 | 0.00000 | 1977 | 32.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00528 | 0.02503 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 131,899 | 0.00000 | 1976 | 33.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00449 | 0.02130 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 131,899 | 0.00000 | 1975 | 34.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00382 | 0.01813 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 131,899 | 0.00000 | 1974 | 35.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00325 | 0.01542 |
| 1986 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 131,899 | 0.00000 | 1973 | 36.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00277 | 0.01312 |
| 1987 | 85,838 | 29,478 | 0 |  | \$ 188,259 | 0.15658 | 1972 | 37.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00236 | 0.01117 |
| 1988 | 0 | 38,931 | 0 |  | \$ 149,328 | 0.26071 | 1971 | 38.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00200 | 0.00950 |
| 1989 | 2,063 | 6,017 | 0 |  | \$ 145,374 | 0.04139 | 1970 | 39.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00171 | 0.00809 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 145,374 | 0.00000 | 1969 | 40.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00145 | 0.00688 |
| 1991 | 0 | 44,939 | 0 |  | \$ 100,435 | 0.44744 | 1968 | 41.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00123 | 0.00585 |
| 1992 | 17,923 | 12,896 | 0 |  | \$ 105,462 | 0.12228 | 1967 | 42.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00105 | 0.00498 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 105,462 | 0.00000 | 1966 | 43.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00089 | 0.00424 |
| 1994 | 57,527 | 25,413 | 0 |  | \$ 137,577 | 0.18472 | 1965 | 44.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00076 | 0.00361 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 137,577 | 0.00000 | 1964 | 45.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00065 | 0.00307 |
| 1996 | 7,036 | 5,314 | 0 |  | \$ 139,298 | 0.03815 | 1963 | 46.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00055 | 0.00261 |
| 1997 | 19,536 | 124,795 | 0 |  | \$ 34,040 | 3.66616 | 1962 | 47.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00047 | 0.00222 |
| 1998 | 64,553 | 62,951 | 0 |  | \$ 35,641 | 1.76625 | 1961 | 48.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00040 | 0.00189 |
| 1999 | 4,277 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 39,919 | 0.00000 | 1960 | 49.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00034 | 0.00155 |
| 2000 | 0 | 530 | 0 |  | \$ 39,389 | 0.01346 | 1959 | 50.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00029 | 0.00126 |
| 2001 | 7,192 | 388 | 0 |  | \$ 46,192 | 0.00841 | 1958 | 51.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00025 | 0.00102 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 46,192 | 0.00000 | 1957 | 52.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00021 | 0.00081 |
| 2003 | 19,528 | 7,084 | 0 |  | \$ 58,636 | 0.12082 | 1956 | 53.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00018 | 0.00063 |
| 2004 | 44,979 | 32,447 | 0 |  | \$ 71,168 | 0.45592 | 1955 | 54.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00015 | 0.00048 |
| 2005 | 19,804 | 11,613 | 0 |  | \$ 79,359 | 0.14633 | 1954 | 55.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00013 | 0.00035 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 79,359 | 0.00000 | 1953 | 56.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00011 | 0.00024 |
| 2007 | 9,909 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 89,268 | 0.00000 | 1952 | 57.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00009 | 0.00015 |
| 2008 | 12,114 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 101,383 | 0.00000 | 1951 | 58.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00008 | 0.00007 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \$ 101,383 | 0.00000 | 1950 | 59.5 | 0.14909 | 0.85091 | 0.00007 | - |
| TOTAL | 504,739 | \$ 440,353 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,953,627 | 0.14909 | 1] Unrealiz | d Life = Sum | fe Table from | -1) for (Future | -. .5) valu |  |





[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For further information, refer to industry publications "Public Utility Depreciation Practices", National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC), August 1996 and "Depreciation Systems", Wolf, Frank and Fitch, Chester, Iowa State University Press, 1994.

