

RECEIVED

SEP 29 2011

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

September 28, 2011

Mr. Jeff DeRouen, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40601

#### RE: Request to modify and extend Demand Side Management Program and Cost Recovery Mechanism

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Atmos Energy Corporation (Company) herewith submits an original and three (3) copies of an application and supporting schedules to request to modify and extend the Company's current Demand Side Management (DSM) program. Per the Commission's Order in Case No. 2010-00305, the Company's next DSM application was to be filed no later than October 1, 2011. The current DSM program expires on December 31, 2011. The Company requests to renew its modified program for a period of five (5) years.

The Company's current DSM program and cost recovery mechanism was last approved by Commission Order in Case No. 2008-00499 on September 2, 2009 and modified in Case NO. 2010-00305 on June 21, 2011. The Company's initial program was designed to provide annual funding for weatherization services to eligible, low-income households served by the Company. Day to day administration of the program (applicant screening, energy audits, contractor hiring, etc.) is conducted by various community action agencies and invoiced back to the Company on a per household basis. The Company then reimburses the agency from the funds it has collected under tariffs for this purpose. The Company's existing program has been in effect for approximately ten (10) years.

In 2008, the Company proposed to continue the weatherization component, and to include a rebate component and an education component. The Company proposes to continue all existing programs as modified herein. Also, the Company proposes to continue a lost sales component as well as an incentive component.

Atmos Energy Corporation 3275 Highland Pointe Drive, Owensboro, KY 42303-2114 P 270-685-8000 F 270-685-8052 atmosenergy.com Included in this filing, the Company is submitting supporting schedules for the cost recovery, and the proposed Fourth Revised Sheet No. 39 canceling Third Revised Sheet No. 39, the existing First Revised Sheet No. 40 canceling Original Sheet No. 40 and the proposed Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 41 canceling Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 41.

The Company would greatly appreciate the Commission's expedited review of the proposed tariff extension. If the Commission is unable to render approval by the current expiration date of December 31, 2011, the Company respectfully requests the Commission to allow the current benefits and funding of the DSM program to continue until final action by the Commission on this request.

Please contact myself at 270.685.8024 if the Commission or Staff has any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

al A. Mat.

Mark A. Martin Vice President, Rates & Regulatory Affairs

Enclosures

cc: Collaborative Board Members Mr. Mark R. Hutchinson Mr. Bill Greer Mr. Victor Edwards

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

APPLICATION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION TO EXTEND ITS DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, AS AMENDED, AND COST RECOVERY MECHANISM, AS AMENDED FOR FIVE (5) YEARS

Case No.

### APPLICATION

Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") or ("Applicant") by counsel, hereby applies to the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") for an Order authorizing it to extend its Demand-Side Management Program ("DSM Program") and its DSM Cost Recovery Mechanism ("DSMCR") for five (5) years, through December 31, 2016.

In support of this application, Atmos states as follows:

1. Atmos is a corporation duly qualified under the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky to carry on its business in the Commonwealth.

2. Atmos is an operating public utility engaged in the business of supplying natural gas to the public in numerous cities, towns, and communities in Western and South Central Kentucky.

3. A certified copy of Applicant's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation is already

on file with the Commission in the Matter of: The Application of Atmos Enemy Corporation for An Order

Authorizing a\_\$900,000,000 Universal Shelf Registration, Case No. 2006-00387.

4. This Application is filed pursuant to KRS 278.285 which authorizes the Commission to determine the reasonableness of demand-side management plans proposed by utilities subject to its jurisdiction.

5. Atmos' DSM Program and Cost Recovery Mechanism were initially approved as a three (3) year pilot program, to run through 2002, as part of Atmos' general rate case proceeding in <u>Case No. 1999-</u>

<u>00070</u>. In <u>Case No. 2002-00405</u>, it was approved for an additional three (3) years, to run through 2005. Additionally, in <u>Case No. 2005-00515</u>, Atmos' DSM Program, as modified, was approved for three (3) more years to run through December 31, 2008. Finally, in <u>Case No. 2008-00499</u>, Atmos' DSM Program, as modified, was approved for three (3) more years to run through December 31, 2011. In <u>Case No. 2010-00305</u>, the Commission approved a settlement between Atmos and the Attorney General's office, but the settlement did not alter the termination date.

Accordingly, the current DSM Program is scheduled to expire as of December 31, 2011. If the Commission will be unable to take final action on this Application prior to the tariffs' proposed effective date of January 1, 2012, Atmos requests the Commission to allow the current benefits and funding of the DSM Program to continue until final action by the Commission.

6. Attached under Tab #1 is a summary of Atmos' proposed DSM Program, as modified, including a description of the new proposed rebate component and education component.

7. The DSM program was designed originally to provide annual funding for weatherization services to eligible, low income households served by the Company. Day to day administration of the program (applicant screening, energy audits, contract hiring, etc.) is conducted by various community action agencies and invoiced back to the Company on a per household basis. The Company then reimburses the agency from the funds it has collected under tariffs for this purpose.

8. As reflected in the attached summary, the Company is proposing to continue the weatherization component and to expand the rebate component and the education component. Atmos proposes to also increase the average funding available per qualifying low income household from \$2,500.00 to \$3,000.00. Atmos also proposes to increase the cap from \$350,000 to \$375,000. The cost of weatherization has continued to increase since the last renewal of the program and Atmos believes it is imperative to provide more to the customers that needs the assistance the most. Also, increasing the average funding to \$3,000.00 per qualifying household would bring our Kentucky weatherization program

more in line with our Missouri and Iowa weatherization programs. Finally, since the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds are set to expire, additional funding will be necessary to continue and to sustain weatherization programs.

9. Atmos proposes to maintain the existing residential appliances that are available for rebates, but proposes to tier the rebates so that the higher the efficiency of the appliance, the higher the rebate amount. Also, Atmos proposes to expand the rebate program to its commercial class as well. The amount of rebates and the guidelines for qualifying and disbursing the rebates are set forth in more detail in the attached summary.

10. Atmos proposes to expand its targeted elementary school education program to an overall education program. The proposed education program would encompass any class room level as well as include any requested adult literacy. The intent will be to educate the students as well as adults concerning the importance of energy conservation and to introduce ways to reduce their family's energy consumption through various low or no cost efficiency measures. The program will be administered by Company personnel. Atmos proposes to continue to recover the expenses associated with this program as well as expenses associated with customer awareness, program administration, supplies, program overhead as well as lost sales and incentive components. For additional detail, see the attached summary attached under the heading "Cost Recovery".

 There is further attached to this Application under Tab #2, the supporting schedules for Atmos' proposed cost recovery. Under Tab #3 are attached the proposed Fourth Revised Sheet No. 39 canceling Third Revised Sheet No. 39, the proposed First Revised Sheet no. 40 canceling the Original Sheet No. 40 and the proposed Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 41 canceling the Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 41. Lastly, Atmos' most recent Atmos Cares Report is attached under Tab #4.

12. Correspondence and communications with respect to this Application should be directed to:

Mark A. Martin Atmos Energy Corporation 3275 Highland Pointe Drive Owensboro, Kentucky 42303

Douglas C. Walther Atmos Energy Corporation PO Box 650205 Dallas, Texas 75265

Mark R. Hutchinson 611 Frederica Street Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated herein, Atmos respectfully requests the Commission to enter

an Order modifying and extending Atmos' DSM program and Costs Recovery Mechanism as herein

requested for a period of five (5) years; for an order approving the tariffs attached under Tab #3; and, for an

order continuing the current DSM Program and funding until the Commission has entered an order taking

final action in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted this  $\frac{28}{10}$  day of September, 2011.

122

Mark R. Hutchinson 611 Frederica Street Owensboro, Kentucky 42301

Douglas Walther ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION PO Box 650250 Dallas, Texas 75265

#### VERIFICATION

I, Mark A. Martin, being duly sworn under oath state that I am Vice President of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for Atmos Energy Corporation, Kentucky/Midstates Division, and that the statements contained in the foregoing Petition are true as I verily believe.

lash A Martin

Mark A. Martin

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

l hereby certify that on the 25<sup>7</sup><sup>ft</sup> day of September, 2011, the original of this Application, together with eleven (11) copies were filed with the Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Blvd., P.O. Box 615, Frankfort, Kentucky 40206 and upon Dennis Howard, Office of Attorney General, 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

2

Mark R. Hutchinson

DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT APPLICATION

# **Demand-Side Management Program**

**Atmos Energy** 

# **Table of Contents**

Program Overview	3
Furnace Rebate Program	7
Water Heater Rebate Program	9
Commercial Cooking Rebate Program	11
Cost Recovery	13

# **Program Overview**

#### **Program Mission**

It is the desire of Atmos Energy (Atmos/Company) to promote the prudent use of natural gas as one of our most valued domestic natural resources. The promotion and implementation of conservation measures by the consumer are an intricate part of our strategy and a sound national energy policy. In accordance with that policy and philosophy, we would prefer to expand our existing program to benefit our customers and bring attention to the importance of conservation.

#### **Discussion**

The Company has had a Demand-Side Management (DSM) program in place for at least twelve (12) years. Throughout the program's history, the Company is unaware of any customer being turned down for any component of the program. The program was designed to benefit our low-income customer base. The only tenant of our historical program was a weatherization component. The weatherization program was capped at \$200,000 annually and \$1,500 per qualifying household. The weatherization program was administered through a partnership with our local help agencies. In Case No. 2008-00499, the Commission approved the Company's request to eliminate the cap and to increase the funds available per qualifying household from \$1,500 to \$3,000. In Case No. 2010-00305, the Commission approved a settlement between the Company and the Attorney General's office of a cap of \$350,000 and to decrease the funds available per qualifying household from \$3,000 to \$2,500. Our existing program is set to expire on December 31, 2011. The Company would like to continue its existing DSM program as modified herein for a period of five years.

The proposed program remains a demand-side management program which aligns the interest of the Company with that of the customer. The proposed program encourages customers to conserve and efficiently use natural gas while not acting as a detriment to the financial performance of the Company. The Company proposes to increase the available funds per qualifying low-income household while modifying the existing rebate and educational programs.

The Company proposes to increase the average funding available per qualifying lowincome household from \$2,500 to \$3,000. The Company also proposes to increase the cap from \$350,000 to \$375,000. The cost of weatherization has continued to increase since the last renewal of the Company's program and the Company believes that it is imperative to provide more to the customers that need assistance the most. Also, increasing the average funding to \$3,000.00 per qualifying household would bring our Kentucky weatherization program more in line with our Missouri and Iowa weatherization programs. Finally, since the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds are set to expire, additional funding will be necessary to continue and to sustain weatherization programs.

While Atmos is in business to sell natural gas and make a profit from those sales, the trend of customers going off service to use alternative fuels serves as a reminder to the Company of its commitment to service and to maintain long term customers. The investment of facilities to bring gas service to a community is contingent on those customers remaining satisfied consumers for an extended period of time to properly recover the investment.

Over the last several years, Atmos has fielded consumer inquiries concerning possible heating equipment upgrade incentives and information related to lowering natural gas consumption through conservation and increased insulation measures. To meet the public interest and assist our customer base, Atmos in turn developed its rebate program. The Company also has helpful links and conservation tips on its website. Customers can also conduct a home energy audit on-line as well.

The existing rebate program is available to any new or existing residential customer. The Company has rebates for furnaces, boilers and water heaters. The Company proposes to maintain the existing residential appliances that are available for rebates, but proposes to tier the rebates so that the higher the efficiency of the appliance, the higher the rebate amount. Also, the Company proposes to expand the rebate program to its commercial class as well. These rebate programs will be discussed in greater detail in later sections.

The Company has an education program. The Company currently targets elementary aged (either 4<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> graders) children within the Company's service territory. The intent has been to educate the students concerning the importance of energy conservation, and to introduce ways to reduce their family's energy consumption through various low or no-cost efficiency measures. The program has been administered by Company personnel. Atmos proposes to expand its targeted elementary school education program to an overall education program. The proposed education program would encompass any class room level as well as include any requested adult literacy. The Company proposed to continue to administer the program with Company personnel.

In addition to the programs identified above, the Company is also proposing to recover expenses associated with customer awareness, program administration, supplies, program overhead as well as lost sales and incentive components. The lost sales and incentive components will be discussed in more detail in the Cost Recovery section.

#### **Program Benefits**

When considering energy efficiency from natural resource to end use, natural gas at the wellhead has 10 BTUs and arrives at the consumer's home around 9 BTUs of energy. Whereas electricity requirements at a power plant of 10 BTUs of coal or oil through the

generation process only produce 3 BTUs of electricity to the consumer. As a resource, natural gas is more efficient.

Atmos has designed its Program to proactively address the concerns of its residential customer base related to decreasing consumption. The Program's mission is to decrease consumption through conservation and the efficient use of natural gas.

The decrease in gas usage of many of these customers through conservation or more efficient equipment will benefit Atmos by having more satisfied customers. It will benefit the general population by preserving for future use more natural gas.

#### Conservation

The Program promotes energy conservation through a home weatherization component for low-income customers as well as an education component for school children as well as adults. As a result of the weatherization program, the participant's home will become more efficient so that the customer can conserve natural gas. The education program was initially designed to target elementary age children. The goal was two fold. The first part was to encourage conservation at an early age. The second part was the desire that children take the material home to their parents/guardians. The Company believes that expanding its education program to all grade levels as well as any adult audience, will only help expand awareness and hopefully change usage patterns. Additionally, conservation tips are posted on the Company's website and are periodically mailed to Atmos' residential customers which give them facts and tips to promote overall conservation.

#### Efficiency

A key component of Atmos' DSM Program is the transition from older, antiquated gas fired equipment to newer technologies with higher efficiencies. This is an important step for many consumers to better the use of natural gas.

The program allows for rebate incentives for both the installation of a high efficiency natural gas appliance in new construction and the upgrade of existing Atmos customers from their existing appliances to high efficiency models. Program rebates are currently available for high efficiency gas furnaces, boilers and water heaters.

#### **Rate Recovery**

The Program has a Demand-Side Management Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC) which is a billing adjustment to recover all direct and indirect costs associated with the program. To align the interest of the Company with that of the customer, the DSMRC

also recovers the demand charges associated with the lost margin on the program participants, as well as an incentive based on the commodity savings generated through the Program.

If the commercial rebate program is approved, the Company will need to have two recovery components. One factor would only be for the residential class and the other factor would only be for the commercial class. The Company proposes two recovery factors so that one class does not subsidize the other. The costs of the residential programs should be borne by the residential class and the costs of the commercial program should be borne by the commercial class.

# **High Efficiency Heating Program**

### **Program**

Existing or new conversion customers that change their current heating system (natural gas, propane, electric) to a high efficiency forced air gas furnace or high efficiency gas boiler are eligible for rebates under the Program. New homes shall be eligible for the same program if a high efficiency model is installed. Rebate amounts are determined per heating unit.

### **Product Information**

High efficiency gas furnaces operate without a standing pilot that burns gas continuously. This saves the customer money. Ninety percent plus efficiency gas furnaces offer the consumer optional multiple stage burners and variable speed fan packages to improve their efficient use of natural gas. It is possible that a high efficiency furnace could save up to 40% of the energy cost over older technology units.

Equipment Type	Efficiency Level	BTU Input	Rebate Amount
Forced Air Furnace	AFUE 90-93%	30,000 or greater	\$200.00
	AFUE 94-95%	30,000 or greater	\$325.00
	AFUE 96% or >	30,000 or greater	\$400.00
Boiler	AFUE > 85%	30,000 or greater	\$250.00
Programmable			\$25.00
Thermostat			

### Product Requirement, Qualifications, Rebate

### <u>Guidelines</u>

High efficiency gas heating equipment installation must have occurred after the program inception date of January 1, 2012. If a Commission Order has not been issued prior to January 1<sup>st</sup>, the existing rebate levels will be remitted. Equipment must meet the above stated qualifications and be EnergyStar approved or other similar organization. All equipment must be properly installed and meet the code requirements as stated by the NFPA 54 handbook and all State and local code requirements. Rebates must be redeemed through the Administrator outlined below. Participating Retailers and rebate forms will available at all of the Company's Kentucky office locations as well as on the Company's website, or by calling 1-xxx-xxxx. Each participant will receive a rebate after the completed rebate form is submitted with proper information. Upon receipt of a properly completed rebate form and associated documents, the Administrator will issue a check to the Participant within eight (8) to ten (10) weeks.

#### **<u>Rebate Disbursement</u>**

The Company will continue to utilize a third party vendor for the rebate disbursement. The Company has used Energy Federation, Inc. (EFI) to administer its KY rebate programs as well as similar rebate programs in Iowa and Missouri. The success of those programs and the existing relationship with EFI seemed like a natural fit to continue for this Program.

# **High Efficiency Water Heater Program**

#### **Program**

Existing or new conversion customers that change their current water heater (natural gas, propane, electric) to a high efficiency natural gas tank model or tankless model are eligible for rebates. New homes shall be eligible for rebates if a high efficiency model is installed. Rebate amounts are determined per heating unit.

#### **Product Information**

High efficiency gas water heaters are constructed with increased insulation along the outer shell and the addition of heat retention baffles inside the flue. Most power vent gas water heaters incorporate submerged combustion chambers and their burner configurations actually heat a greater area of water. Tankless water heaters have no standing pilot light and typically utilize around 25 % less fuel than those with pilot lights. Natural gas water heaters have a higher recovery rate since there is not an electric element to heat up like on the electric models. Gas water heaters typically have a longer life due to the simplistic nature of a gas burner and over time will not lose their efficiency as tends to happen with electric heating elements. Conventionally vented or direct vent gas water heaters are not affected by power outages. Gas water heaters will lessen summer electric load and, therefore, decrease peak electric demand issues on the hottest of summer days. As the cleanest burning of all the fossil fuels, natural gas fired water heaters offer benefits to the environment and can lessen the pollution concerns of electric power generation by lowering the load requirements.

Equipment Type	Efficiency Level	Unit Requirement	Rebate Amount
High Efficiency	Energy Factor (EF)	40 gallon or greater	\$200.00
Tank Model	0.62-0.66		
High Efficiency	EF 0.67 or greater	40 gallon or greater	\$300.00
Tank Model			
Tankless Model	EF > 0.82		\$400.00

#### Product Requirement, Qualifications, Rebate

#### **Guidelines**

Water heater installation must have occurred after the program implementation date of January 1, 2012. If a Commission Order has not been issued prior to January 1<sup>st</sup>, the existing rebate levels will be remitted. Equipment must meet the above stated qualifications and be EnergyStar approved or other similar organization. All equipment must be properly installed and meet the code requirements as stated by the NFPA 54

handbook and all State and local code requirements. Rebates must be redeemed through the Administrator outlined below. Participating Retailers and rebate forms will available at all of the Company's Kentucky office locations as well as on the Company's website, or by calling 1-xxx-xxx. Each participant will receive a rebate after the completed rebate form is submitted with proper information. Upon receipt of a properly completed rebate form and associated documents, the Administrator will issue a check to the Participant within eight (8) to ten (10) weeks.

#### **Rebate Disbursement**

The Company will continue to utilize a third party vendor for the rebate disbursement. The Company has used Energy Federation, Inc. (EFI) to administer its KY rebate programs as well as similar rebate programs in Iowa and Missouri. The success of those programs and the existing relationship with EFI seemed like a natural fit to continue for this Program.

# **Commercial Cooking Program**

### **Program**

Existing or new commercial customers that change their current cooking equipment (natural gas, propane, electric) to a high efficiency natural gas models are eligible for rebates under the Program. New businesses shall be eligible for the same program if a high efficiency model is installed. Rebate amounts are determined per heating unit.

### **Product Information**

Buildings with restaurants and other food service operations are very energy intensive, consuming roughly 2.5 times the energy per square foot as other commercial buildings. Utility cost savings of 10 to 30 percent are achievable without sacrificing service, quality, style or comfort – all while making significant contributions to a cleaner environment. Aside from gas savings, installation of EnergyStar steamers could also provide water savings up to 90% over standard models.

Equipment Type	Efficiency Level	Rebate Amount
Fryer	EnergyStar	\$500.00
Griddle	EnergyStar	\$500.00
Oven	EnergyStar	\$500.00
Steamer	EnergyStar	\$500.00

#### Product Requirement, Qualifications, Rebate

### <u>Guidelines</u>

High efficiency gas cooking equipment installation must have occurred after the program inception date of January 1, 2012. If a Commission Order has not been issued prior to January 1<sup>st</sup>, no rebate will be remitted. Equipment must meet the above stated qualifications and be EnergyStar approved or other similar organization. All equipment must be properly installed and meet the code requirements as stated by the NFPA 54 handbook and all State and local code requirements. Rebates must be redeemed through the Administrator outlined below. Participating Retailers and rebate forms will available at all of the Company's Kentucky office locations as well as on the Company's website, or by calling 1-xxx-xxx. Each participant will receive a rebate after the completed rebate form is submitted with proper information. Upon receipt of a properly completed rebate form and associated documents, the Administrator will issue a check to the Participant within eight (8) to ten (10) weeks.

### **<u>Rebate Disbursement</u>**

The Company will continue to utilize a third party vendor for the rebate disbursement. The Company has used Energy Federation, Inc. (EFI) to administer its KY rebate programs as well as similar rebate programs in Iowa and Missouri. The success of those programs and the existing relationship with EFI seemed like a natural fit to continue for this Program.

# **Cost Recovery**

The Company will recover its costs associated with the residential programs through the DSM Cost Recovery Mechanism-Residential (DSMRCR) which is a tariff rate that is applicable to all residential customers. The Company will recover its costs associated with the commercial program through the DSM Cost Recovery Mechanism-Commercial (DSMRCC) which is a tariff rate that is applicable to all commercial customers. Both tariff rates can be broken down into the following four specific components:

- DSM Cost Recovery-Current (DCRC)
- DSM Lost Sales Adjustment (DLSA)
- DSM Incentive Adjustment (DIA)
- DSM Balance Adjustment (DBA)

### **DCRC**

Under the tariff, the DCRC shall include all actual costs, direct and indirect, under this program which has been approved by the Commission. This includes all direct costs associated with the program including rebates paid under the program, the cost of educational supplies, and customer awareness related to conservation/efficiency. In addition, indirect costs shall include the costs of planning, developing, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating DSM programs. In addition, all costs incurred by or on behalf of the program, including but not limited to costs for consultants, employees and administrative expenses, will be recovered through the DCRC.

### <u>DLSA</u>

To effectively promote and execute the program, the Company shall recover the annual lost sales attributable to customer conservation/efficiency created as a result of the Program. This aligns the Company's interest with that of its customers by reducing the correlation between volume and revenue for those customers who elect to participate in the program. The lost sales are the estimated conservation, per participant, times the base rate for the applicable customer. The goal is to make the Company whole for promoting the program. Lost sales are based on the cumulative lost sales since the program inception and will reset when the Company completes a general rate case.

### <u>DIA</u>

As a result of the program, the customers who participate in the program will save on their gas bills due to decreased usage, which results in decreased commodity charges. As an incentive for the Company to devote the necessary monetary and physical resources to promote and administer the program, the Company will earn a fifteen percent (15%) incentive based on the net resource savings of the Program participants.

Net resource savings are defined as Program benefits less utility Program costs and participant costs where Program benefits will be calculated on the basis of the present value of Atmos' avoided commodity costs over the expected life of the Program. For the purpose of calculating

the Program benefits, a ten year Program life is assumed with future gas costs over the ten-year period based on projection in the Department of Energy's *Annual Energy Outlook*. The present value is calculated based on Atmos' discount rate used for financial reporting purposes which is based on the rates of high-quality fixed-income investment.

## <u>DBA</u>

The DBA is a balancing adjustment to adjust the current rates for any over-(under-) collections of the previous year's DSM rates. An interest factor is applied to any over-(under-) collections based on the Average 3-Month Commercial Paper Rate for the Program year.

### **Table of Contents**

<u>Sheet Name</u>	Page #
TOC	 i
Summary	 1
Atmos Variable Data	 2
Deemed Savings	 3
Billing Factor 2012	 4
Schedule A	 .5
Annual Savings	 6
EFI	 7
	 8
Eguipment Cost	 9
Schedule B	 9 10
Schedule C	
Participant Test Summary	 11
Participant Test B	 12
Participant Test BR	 13
<u>Participant Test TC</u>	 14
Participant Test INC	 15
Participant Test C	 16
Participant Test PC	17
Program Admin Summary	18
Program Admin B	 19
Program Admin C	 20
RIM Test Summary	 21
RIM Test B	 22
RIM Test UAC	 23
RIM Test C	 24
TRC Test Summary	 25
	 25
TRC Test B	
<u>TRC Test C</u>	 27

### Program Summary

ann o'annan y				
-		Yea	ar 1	
	G-1 I	Residential	G-1	Commercial
California Tests	\$	294,672	\$	183,925
DCRC	\$	507,246	\$	54,628
DLSA	\$	44,588	\$	15,797
DIA	\$	155,200	\$	113,500
<u>DBA</u>	\$	(412,363)		0
DSMRC	\$	5.17	\$	26.83
	California Tests DCRC DLSA DIA DBA	G-1 I California Tests \$ DCRC \$ DLSA \$ DIA \$ DBA \$	Yea           G-1 Residential           California Tests         \$ 294,672           DCRC         \$ 507,246           DLSA         \$ 44,588           DIA         \$ 155,200           DBA         \$ (412,363)	Year 1           G-1 Residential         G-1           California Tests         \$ 294,672         \$           DCRC         \$ 507,246         \$           DLSA         \$ 44,588         \$           DIA         \$ 155,200         \$           DBA         \$ (412,363)         \$

	Benefit/ Cost Ratio		
Participant Test	2.40		
Program Admin Test	2.65		
Ratepayer Impact Test (RIM)	0.67		
Total Resource Cost Test (TRC)	1.41		

### **Atmos Energy's Demand Side Management Application October 2011**

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Atmos Energy Variable Data

	Atmo	os Data		based on 12 mor	ths f	rom May 2010	thru April 201	1
1.		#	•	idential Custome		153,261		
2.			Residential Sa	ales Volumes (Co	cf)	105,470,435		
1a.			•	mercial Custome		17,245		
2a.				ales Volumes (Co	cf)	47,754,931		
3		Estima	ated Participa			Total		Commercial
	a)			nace AFUE 90 - 9		900	600	300
	b)			nace AFUE 94 - 9		600	400	200
	c)			nace AFUE 96 or		300	200	100
	d)			Boiler AFUE 85 -8		15	10	5
	f)			leater EF .626		100	75	25
	g)			Heater EF .67 or		200	150	50
	h)		-	ter Heater EF >.8		200	150	50
	k)	Pro	ogrammable Th	ermostat (manua	•	900	600	300
	I)			Weatherizatio		125	125	0
	m)			Commercial Fry		25	0	25
	n)		C	ommercial Gridd		25	0	25
	0)			Commercial Ove		25	0	25
	p)			mmercial Steam		25	0	25
4.				Distribution Charg	-	0.110		
5.	_			(ccf) per custom		466.00		
6.	F	Average wat		(ccf) per custom	er	196.00		
7			Proposed				-	
				Furnace AFUE 9				
				Furnace AFUE 94		325		
				Furnace AFUE 9		400		
				Boiler AFUE > 85	•			
				Tank Water Heat Tank Water Heat		200		
				Tankless/Conder				
				Programmable T				
				Commercial Frye				
				Commercial Grid				
				Commercial Ove				
				Commercial Stea				
8.				Weatherization P				
9.		Increm		0-93 AFUE furna		654		
0.				1-95 AFUE furna		973		
				or > AFUE furnad		1,467		
				85-89 AFUE boil		1,000		
	Inc			mable Thermost		14		
			-	of .62 EF tank W		71		
				of .67 EF tank W		634		
				0 EF tankless W		836		
				Cost for Gas Fry		50		
				ost for Gas Grido		60		
				Cost for Gas Ove				
		-		st for Gas Steam				
10. E								

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Deemed Savings for Measures

		Kentuc	Kentucky			
			Savings			
Measure	Efficiency Level	Savings (CCF)	(Therm)			
Forced Air Furnace	92% AFUE	126.6	130.3			
Forced Air Furnace	94% AFUE	141.6	145.8			
Forced Air Furnace	96% AFUE	156.0	160.6			
Boiler	85% AFUE	49.0	50.4			
Boiler	90% AFUE	92.5	95.1			
Tank Water Heater	0.62 EF or greater	8.7	8.9			
Tank Water Heater	0.67 EF or greater	23.4	24.1			
Tankless Water Heater	0.8290 EF	56.9	58.6			
Tankless Water Heater	0.91 EF or greater	71.7	73.8			
Condensing Water Heater	0.90 EF or greater	70.2	72.3			
Programmable Thermostat	Manual	26.7	27.4			
Weatherization	30% Savings	252.9	275.7			
Fryer	EnergyStar	490.8	505.0			
Griddle	EnergyStar	143.8	148.0			
Oven	EnergyStar	297.4	306.0			
Steamer	EnergyStar	1,036.0	1,066.0			

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Billing Factor Calculation

Program Begins:	January 1, 2012
Program Year End:	December 31, 2012
Rate Effective:	January 1, 2012

#### DCRC = DSM Cost Recovery-Current

Program Costs	G	1 Residential		G-1 (	Commercial
Rebates	\$	497,500		\$	278,750
Program Costs (Weatherization & Education)	\$	395,000		\$	-
Customer Awareness	\$	50,000		\$	25,000
Program Administration	\$	46,903		\$	22,071
Supplies	\$	6,700		\$	3,300
Program Overhead	\$	8,643		\$	4,257
Total Program Costs	\$	1,004,746	-	\$	333,378
Excluding Rebates	\$	507,246		\$	54,628
TOTAL DCRC G-	1 Residential \$	507,246	G-1 Commercial	\$	54,628

#### DLSA = DSM Lost Sales Adjustment

#### Current Year Program Participation (Schedule A)

Rate	# of Participants	CCF Conserved	 stribution Charge	Lost Sales
G-1 Residential Customers	2,310	224,660	\$ 0 1100	\$ 24,713
G-1 Commercial Customers	1,130	143,605	\$ 0.1100	\$ 15,797
Total Current Year Lost Sales	3,440	368,265		\$ 40,510
Cumulative Prior Years Participation (Schedule B)	1,756	180,685	\$ 0 1100	\$ 19,875
TOTAL DLSC	5,196	548,950		\$ 60,400

#### DIA = DSM Incentive Adjustment

	G-1 Re	esidential	G-1	Commercial
Program Benefits (Schedule C)	\$	1,542,183	\$	811,466
Less: Program Costs	\$	(507,246)	\$	(54,628)
Net Resource Savings	\$	1,034,937	\$	756,838
Incentive Percentage		15%		15%
DIA	\$	155,200	\$	113,500

DBA = DSM Balance Adjustment	G-1 Res	idential	 	G-1 Commercial
	Under/(Over) Recovery	Estimated Residential Sales	Balancing Adjustment	
\$	(412,362.61)	105,470,435	\$ (0 00391)	New program; hence no balancing adjustment.

DSMRC = DSM Cost Recovery Component

	G-1 Residential			
dential Sa	ales		105,470,435	Ccf
dential Cu	ustomers		153,261	
	Recovery Amount	Rat	e, per Ccf	-
\$	1,004,746	\$	0.0095	
\$ \$	1,004,746 44,588	\$ \$	0.0095 0.0004	
		dential Sales dential Customers Recovery Amount	dential Sales dential Customers Recovery Amount Rat	dential Sales 105,470,435 dential Customers 153,261 Recovery Amount Rate, per Ccf

DBA	\$	(412,363)	\$	(0.0039)	
TOTAL DSMRC	\$	792,172	\$	0.00749	
Annual Cost Reco	overy per G-1 Residential C	Sustomers	\$	5.17	
	G-1 Commerc	cial			
				17 754 004	
Estimated Comm	ercial Sales			47,754,931	Ccf
				47,754,931 17,245	Ccf
		unt	R		Ccf
	ercial Customers	unt 333,378	Ri \$	17,245	Ccf
Estimated Comm	ercial CustomersRecovery Amo			17,245 ate, per Ccf	Ccf
	ercial Customers Recovery Amo	333,378	\$	17,245 ate, per Ccf 0.0070	Ccf
Estimated Comm DCRC DLSA	ercial Customers Recovery Amo \$ \$	333,378 15,797	\$ \$	17,245 ate, per Ccf 0.0070 0.0003	Ccf

Annual Cost Recovery per G-1 Commercial Customers \$ 26.83

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Schedule A - Current Year Participation Detail

Program Year End: December 31, 2012

	Program	CCF Conser				bate			easure
G-1 Residential Efficiency Heating Savings	Participants	Per Participant	Total		mount		Total	Life	Source
Furnace AFUE 92 - 93	600	126.64	75,983	\$	250	\$	150,000	18	DEER
Furnace AFUE 94 - 95	400	141.65	56,660	\$	325		130,000	18	DEER
Furnace AFUE 96 or >	200	156.04	31,207	\$	400	\$	80,000	18	DEER
Boiler AFUE > 85	10	48.95	490	\$	250	\$	2,500	18	DEER
Programmable Thermostat	600	26.67	16,004	\$	25	\$	15,000	15	DEER
Totals	1,810	NA	180,343		NA	\$	377,500		
	Program	CCF Conse	rvation		Re	bate	)	M	easure
G-1 Commercial Efficiency Heating Savings	Participants	Per Participant	Total	A	mount		Total	Life	Source
Furnace AFUE 92 - 93	300	126.64	37,991	\$	250	\$	75,000	18	DEER
Furnace AFUE 94 - 95	200	141.65	28,330	\$	325	\$	65,000	18	DEER
Furnace AFUE 96 or >	100	156.04	15,604	\$	400	\$	40,000	18	DEER
Boiler AFUE >85	5	48.95	245	\$	250	\$	1,250	18	DEER
Programmable Thermostat	300	26.67	8,002	\$	25	\$	7,500	15	DEER
Totals		NA	90,171		NA	\$	188,750		
	Program	CCF Conse	rvation		Re	bate	•	м	easure
G-1 Residential Water Heating Savings	Participants	Per Participant	Total	Ā	mount		Total	Life	Source
Tank Water Heater EF .6266	75	8.66	650	\$	200	\$	15,000	13	DEER
Tank Water Heater EF .67 or >	150	23.43	3,515	\$	300	Ť	45,000	13	DEER
Tankless/Condensing Water Heater EF >.82	150	56.94	8,541	\$	400	\$	60,000	20	DEER
Tankiess/Condensing Water Heater Er 2.62	f and the second s	<u>56,94</u>	12,705	φ	NA 400	\$	120,000	20	DEEN
	_				_				
G-1 Commercial Water Heating Savings	Program Participants	CCF Conse Per Participant	Total		mount	bate	Total	Life	easure Source
Tank Water Heater EF 62 - 66	25	8.66	217		200	\$	5,000	13	DEER
Tank Water Heater EF .67 or >	25 50	23.43	1,172	ф \$	300	ф \$	15,000	13	DEER
			,	э \$	400	ф \$	20,000	20	DEER
Tankless/Condensing Water Heater EF > 82 Totals	50 50 125	56.94 NA	2,847		400	<u>.</u> \$	40,000		DEEK
	_				_				
G-1 Commercial Cooking Equipment Savings	Program	CCF Conse				bate		Life	easure Source
G-1 Commercial Cooking Eduloment Savings	Participants	Per Participant	Total	A	mount		Total		
		100 77	10.000			-	40 500		
Fryer EnergyStar Rated	25	490.77	12,269	\$	500	\$	12,500	8	Energy St
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated	25	143.83	3,596	\$	500 500	\$	12,500	8 12	Energy St Energy St
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated	25 25	143.83 297.38	3,596 7,434	\$ \$	500 500 500	\$ \$	12,500 12,500	8 12 10	Energy Si Energy Si NEEP
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated	25 25 25	143.83 297.38 1,035.96	3,596 7,434 25,899	\$	500 500 500 500	\$ \$ \$	12,500 12,500 12,500	8 12	Energy Si Energy Si NEEP
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated	25 25 25	143.83 297.38	3,596 7,434	\$ \$	500 500 500	\$ \$	12,500 12,500	8 12 10	Energy St Energy St NEEP
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Totals	25 25 5 100 Program	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA CCF Conse	3,596 7,434 <u>25,899</u> 49,198 rvation	\$ \$ \$	500 500 500 500 NA Re	\$ \$ \$	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000	8 12 10 10 <b>M</b>	Energy St Energy St NEEP Energy St easure
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated	25 25 25 100 Program Participants	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA <u>CCF Conse</u> Per Participant	3,596 7,434 <u>25,899</u> 49,198 rvation Total	\$ \$ 	500 500 500 500 NA Re mount	\$ \$ \$ bate	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000	8 12 10 10 <u>M</u> Life	Energy St Energy St NEEP Energy St easure Source
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Totals	25 25 5 100 Program	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA CCF Conse	3,596 7,434 <u>25,899</u> 49,198 rvation	\$ \$ \$	500 500 500 500 NA Re	\$ \$ \$	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000	8 12 10 10 <b>M</b>	Energy St Energy St NEEP Energy St easure
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Totals	25 25 25 100 Program Participants	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA <u>CCF Conse</u> Per Participant	3,596 7,434 <u>25,899</u> 49,198 rvation Total	\$ \$ 	500 500 500 500 NA Re mount	\$ \$ \$ bate	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000	8 12 10 10 <u>M</u> Life	Energy St Energy St NEEP Energy St easure Source
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Totals	25 25 25 100 Program Participants 125	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA <u>CCF Conse</u> Per Participant 252.9	3,596 7,434 25,899 49,198 rvation Total 31,613	\$ \$ 	500 500 500 NA Re mount 3,000	\$ \$ \$ bate \$ \$	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000 <b>50,000</b> <b>Total</b> 375,000 20,000	8 12 10 10 <u>M</u> Life	Energy St Energy St NEEP Energy St easure Source
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Totals Weatherization Education Program	25 25 25 100 Program Participants	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA <u>CCF Conse</u> Per Participant 252.9 CCF Conse	3,596 7,434 25,899 49,198 rvation Total 31,613	\$ \$ \$ \$	500 500 500 NA Re mount 3,000	\$ \$ \$ bate	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000 <b>50,000</b> <b>Total</b> 375,000 20,000	8 12 10 10 <u>M</u> Life	Energy S Energy S NEEP Energy S easure Source
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Weatherization Education Program	25 25 25 100 Program Participants 125 - Program	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA <u>CCF Conse</u> Per Participant 252.9 CCF Conse	3,596 7,434 25,899 49,198 rvation Total 31,613 rvation	\$ \$ \$ A \$	500 500 500 NA Re .mount 3,000	\$ \$ bate \$ bate	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000 <b>9</b> <b>Total</b> 375,000 20,000	8 12 10 10 <u>M</u> Life	Energy S Energy S NEEP Energy S easure Source
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Totals	25 25 25 100 Program Participants 125 Program Participants	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA CCF Conse Per Participant 252.9 CCF Conse Per Participant	3,596 7,434 25,899 49,198 rvation Total 31,613 rvation Total	\$ \$ \$ A \$ Varie	500 500 500 NA Re mount 3,000 Re mount	\$ \$ bate \$ bate	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000 20,000 20,000 20,000 20,000	8 12 10 10 <u>M</u> Life	Energy Si Energy Si NEEP Energy Si easure Source
Fryer EnergyStar Rated Griddle EnergyStar Rated Oven EnergyStar Rated Steamer EnergyStar Rated Totals Weatherization Education Program otals by Customer Class G-1 Residential Totals	25 25 25 100 Program Participants 125 Program Participants 2,310	143.83 297.38 1,035.96 NA CCF Conse Per Participant 252.9 CCF Conse Per Participant Varies see above	3,596 7,434 25,899 49,198 rvation Total 31,613 rvation Total 224,660	\$ \$ \$ A \$ Varie	500 500 500 NA Re mount 3,000 Re mount es see abov	\$ \$ bate \$ bate	12,500 12,500 12,500 50,000 3 7 Total 20,000 20,000 3 7 Total 892,500	8 12 10 10 <u>M</u> Life	Energy Si Energy Si NEEP Energy Si easure Source

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Annual Savings

					VINGS				
				G-1	G-1 Comm.				
	G-1 Res.	G-1 Comm.	G-1 Res.	Comm.	Cooking	Weather-		Comm.	
Year	Heating	Heating	Water	Water	Equipment		Res. Total		Total
1	180,343	90,171		4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
2	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
3	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
4	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
5	180,343	90,171		4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
6	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
7	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
8	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	49,198	31,613	224,660	143,605	368,265
9	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	36,929	31,613	224,660	131,335	355,996
10	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	36,929	31,613	224,660	131,335	355,996
11	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	3,596	31,613	224,660	98,002	322,663
12	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	3,596	31,613	224,660	98,002	322,663
13	180,343	90,171	12,705	4,235	-	31,613	224,660	94,406	319,067
14	180,343	90,171	8,541	2,847	-	31,613	220,496	93,018	313,514
15	180,343	90,171	8,541	2,847	-	31,613	220,496	93,018	313,514
16	164,339	82,170	8,541	2,847	-	31,613	204,492	85,016	289,508
17	164,339	82,170	8,541	2,847	-	31,613	204,492	85,016	289,508
18	164,339	82,170	8,541	2,847	-	31,613	204,492	85,016	289,508
19	-	-	8,541	2,847	-	31,613	40,153	2,847	43,000
20	-	-	8,541	2,847	-	31,613	40,153	2,847	43,000
21	-	-	-	-	-	31,613	31,613	-	31,613
22	-	-	-		-	31,613	31,613	-	31,613
23	-	i   ~	-	-		31,613	31,613	-	31,613
24	-	-	-		-	31,613	31,613	-	31,613
25	-	-	-	-	-	31,613	31,613	-	31,613

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Energy Federation, Inc. Administrative Costs

#### EFI Budget Estimates for Administration of Kentucky DSM Appliance Rebate Program

#### Annual Budget

	Ur	nit Cost	R	esidential Costs	Co	mmercial Costs	 otal Cost
Estimated Rebates				2,185		1,130	
Processing fee	\$	9.00	\$	19,665	\$	10,170	\$ 29,835
"Cost of Money" Charge		1%	\$	8,925	\$	2,788	\$ 11,713
Reservation Fee	\$	4.00	\$	9,240	\$	4,520	\$ 13,760
Customer e-mails (EFI to cust.)	\$	2.50	\$	1,093	\$	565	\$ 1,658
Customer Service Phone Chg (hours)	\$	39.00	\$	1,775	\$	918	\$ 2,693
Program Management fee	\$	1,500	\$	4,020	\$	1,980	\$ 6,000
Totals			\$	46,903	\$	22,071	\$ 65,658

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program DSM APPLIANCE INFORMATION

	FURNACES		1					
Contractor Location	Brand	Unit Sizing		g. 80% ficiency		g. 90% iciency		rementa
Bowling Green	York	2,000 sq. ft	\$	1,155	\$	1,598	\$	Cost 443
Danville	Carrier	2,000 sq. ft.	Գ \$	2,300	э \$	3,000	э \$	700
Danville	Trane	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	1,700	\$	2,500	\$	800
Owensboro	York	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	500	\$	1,000	\$	500
Owensboro	Rheem	2,000 sq. ft.	ф \$	740	φ \$	964	ф \$	224
Owensboro	Carrier	2,000 sq. ft.	\$ \$	800	\$	1,500	ф \$	700
Owensboro	Gamer			nental Cost	<u></u>	1,500	\$	561
Contractor				g. 80%	٨٠	ı. 92%	Tner	ementa
Location	Brand	Unit Sizing		ficiency		iciency		Cost
Danville	Carrier	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	2,300	\$	3,200	\$	900
Danville	Trane	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	1,700	\$	2,500	\$	800
Owensboro	Heil	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	800	\$	1,376	\$	576
Owensboro	Carrier	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	800	\$	1,700	\$	900
		<u></u>		Average I		ental Cost	\$	794
		Ave	rage li	ncremental	Cost 9(	0-92 AFUE	\$	654
Contractor				<b>g. 80</b> %		<b>j. 9</b> 4%	Incr	ementa
Location	Brand	Unit Sizing	Ef	ficiency	Effi	ciency		Cost
Danville	Carrier	2,000 sq. ft	\$	2,300	\$	3,400	\$	1,100
Danville	Trane	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	1,700	\$	2,900	\$	1,200
Owensboro	Heil	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	800	\$	1,418	\$	618
				Average I	ncrem	ental Cost	\$	973
Contractor			Αv	g. 80%	Avg	<b>J. 96</b> %	Incr	ementa
Location	Brand	Unit Sizing	Ef	ficiency	Effi	ciency		Cost
Danville	Carrier	2,000 sq. ft	\$	2,300	\$	3,900	\$	1,600
Danville	Trane	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	1,700	\$	3,000	\$	1,300
Owensboro	Carrier	2,000 sq. ft.	\$	800	\$	2,300	\$	1,500
				Average I	ncrem	ental Cost	\$	1,46
	Boilers							
	pollers							
					-	0.00/	-	
Contractor				g. 80%		<b>J. 85%</b>	rucu	ementa
Location	Brand	Unit Sizing		g. 80% ficiency		j. 85% iciency		Cost
	Brand Weil-McLain	2,000 sq. ft.	Ef \$	ficiency 8,000			\$	Cost 1,000
Location		2,000 sq. ft.	Ef \$	ficiency	Effi	ciency		Cost 1,000
Location Danville	Weil-McLain	2,000 sq. ft. Average	Ef \$	ficiency 8,000	Effi	ciency	\$	Cost 1,000
Location Danville		2,000 sq. ft. Average	Ef \$ Increr	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost	Effi \$	<b>ciency</b> 9,000	\$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing	Eff \$ Increr	ficiency 8,000	Effi \$ Avg	ciency	\$ \$ Incr	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing	Eff \$ Increr	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58%	Effi \$ Avg	ciency 9,000	\$ \$ Incr	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing	Eff \$ Increr	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency	Effi \$ Avg Effi	ciency 9,000	\$ \$ Incr	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing	Eff \$ Increr Av Ef	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I	Effi \$ Avg Effi ncrem	ciency 9,000 9. 62% ciency	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor	Weil-McLain <b>HEATERS - TANK</b> <b>Brand</b> Energy Efficiency Stud	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58%	Effi \$ Avg Effi ncrem	9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency ental Cost 9,67%	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ Incr	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 rementa
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency	Effi \$ Avg Effi ncrem Avg Effi	ciency 9,000 g. 62% ciency ental Cost g. 67% ciency	\$ Incr \$ \$ Incr	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 rementa Cost
Location Danville Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency 394	Effi \$ Avg Effi ncrem Avg Effi \$	ciency 9,000 9,62% (ciency ental Cost 9,67% (ciency 1,114	\$ \$ Incr \$ Incr \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 rementa Cost 720 720
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff	iciency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency 394 379	Effi \$ Avg Effi ncremo Avg Effi \$ \$	ciency 9,000 9,62% ciency ental Cost 9,67% ciency 1,114 926	\$ \$ Incr \$ Incr \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 543
Location Danville Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Location Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency 394 379	Effi \$ Avg Effi ncremo Avg Effi \$ \$	ciency 9,000 9,62% (ciency ental Cost 9,67% (ciency 1,114	\$ \$ Incr \$ Incr \$ \$	1,000 1,000 rementa <u>Cost</u> 7 71 rementa
Location Danville Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Location Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon	Efi S Increr Av Efi \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency 394 379	Effi Avc Effi ncremo Avc Effi \$ \$ ncremo	ciency 9,000 9,62% ciency ental Cost 9,67% ciency 1,114 926	\$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Lowes Lowes	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon	Efi Increr Av Efi \$ \$	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I 394 379 Average I	Effi Avg Effi ncrem Avg Effi s ncrem 82	ciency 9,000 g. 62% ciency ental Cost g. 67% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ Incr	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon	Efi Increr Av Efi \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I rg. 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I 6 Eff Tank	Effi Avg Effi ncrem Avg Effi s ncrem 82	ciency 9,000 ciency ental Cost ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Contractor Location Location	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem RHEATERS - TANKI Brand Comparison	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon	Eff Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58%	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 29, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I Average I 5 Eff Tank Type	Effi Ave Effi ncrem Ave Effi s ncrem 82 Ta	ciency 9,000 9,62% iciency ental Cost 9,67% iciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 eementa Cost 7 rementa Cost 720 547 634 634 rementa Cost 720 547 634 720 547 634 720 547 634 720 720 720 721 721 721 721 721 721 721 721
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot	Weil-McLain R HEATERS - TANK Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon LESS Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379	Effi Avg Effi ncrem Avg Effi \$ ncrem 822 Tai \$	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 ementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 543 634 ementa Cost 720 543 634 Cost 720 543 634 Cost
Location Danville Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Contractor Location Location Location Location Location Location Location Location	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 20 gallon 40 gallon 10 gallon 10 gallon 175,000 Btu 199,900 Btu	Eff \$ increr Avv Eff \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388	Effi Ave Effi ncrem Ave Effi S ncrem 82: Tai \$ \$	ciency 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 54 63 rementa Cost 720 54 970
Location Danville Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot Owensboro	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem	2,000 sq. ft. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff increr Avv Eff \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Ficiency         8,000           8,000         nental Cost           g. 58%         ficiency           Average I         394           379         Average I           Eff Tank         379           388         379           388         379           388         329           388         329           388         329           380         320	Effi \$ Avg Effi norem & S \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 rementa Cost 720 547 634 634 634 720 547 634 1,000 721 721 634 1,000 721 1,000
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2008 Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff increr Avv Eff \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Ficiency         8,000           8,000         nental Cost           g. 58%         ficiency           Average I         394           379         Average I           Eff Tank         379           388         379           388         379           388         329           388         329           388         329           380         320	Effi \$ Avg Effi norem & S \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ciency 9,000 ciency ental Cost <b>9,67%</b> ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 rementa Cost 720 547 634 634 634 720 547 634 1,000 721 721 634 1,000 721 1,000
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2008 Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$ \$ \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I	Effi \$ Avg Effi s crem \$ \$ \$ s s norem 82 Ta \$ \$ \$ norem	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 rementa Cost 720 54' 63- 63- rementa Cost 720 54' 63- 720 54' 63- 83- 83- 83- 83- 83- 83- 83- 8
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes Home Depot Dowesboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brath	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2008 Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$ \$ \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I	Effi \$ Avg Effi s crem \$ \$ \$ s s norem 82 Ta \$ \$ \$ norem	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 rementa Cost 720 547 632 720 547 720 547 632 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 72
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Lowes WATEH Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot Dowensboro Bowling Green Faken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Griddle	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brath	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2008 Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$ \$ \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I	Effi \$ Avg Effi s crem \$ \$ \$ s s norem 82 Ta \$ \$ \$ norem	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 ementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 541 634 rementa Cost 720 542 634 720 543 634 720 543 634 720 543 634 720 543 634 720 543 634 720 543 634 720 543 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Location Comme Depot Owensboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Griddle Gas Oven	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brath	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2008 Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$ \$ \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I	Effi \$ Avg Effi s crem \$ \$ \$ s s norem 82 Ta \$ \$ \$ norem	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 543 634 rementa Cost 720 543 634 634 720 543 634 505 505 505 505 505 505 505 50
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Location Comme Depot Owensboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Griddle Gas Oven	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brath	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2008 Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$ \$ \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I	Effi \$ Avg Effi s crem \$ \$ \$ s s norem 82 Ta \$ \$ \$ norem	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 543 634 rementa Cost 720 543 634 634 720 543 634 505 505 505 505 505 505 505 50
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Fryer Gas Coddle Gas Oven	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brath	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2008 Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$ \$ \$ \$	iciency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I	Effi \$ Avg Effi s crem \$ \$ \$ s s norem 82 Ta \$ \$ \$ norem	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 543 634 rementa Cost 720 543 634 634 720 543 634 505 505 505 505 505 505 505 50
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Steamer Contractor	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brand Iculator for EnergyStar Equipn Iculator for EnergyStar Equipn THERMOSTATS Brand	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 2.ESS Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ 58% \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Ficiency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I 5 Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I 8 Average I 6 Strank	Effi \$ Avg Effi ncrem Avg Effi \$ \$ ncrem <b>82</b> Tal \$ \$ \$ \$ ncrem d Januar	ciency 9,000 9,000 ciency ental Cost 9,67% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost y2011	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 77 rementa Cost 720 541 634 rementa Cost 720 542 634 rementa 542 634 rementa 550 660 500 500 500 500 500 500
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Lowes WATEI Contractor Lowes WATEI Contractor Lowes WATEI Contractor Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green Comme Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Griddle Gas Steamer Contractor Location Contractor Location	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith ERCIAL GAS EQUIP Iculator for EnergyStar Equipn Iculator for EnergyStar E	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 40 gallon 2.ESS Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Ficiency 8,000 nental Cost 9, 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I 5 Eff Tank Type 379 342 379 Average I 8 DOE - Update Non- rammable	Effi S Avg Effi ncrem Avg Effi S S S S S Avg Effi Effi Effi Effi Avg Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi	ciency 9,000 9,000 ciency ental Cost 9,67% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,190 1,600 ental Cost y 2011	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 rementa Cost 720 547 634 634 720 547 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 634 720 637 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 72
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Griddle Gas Oven Gas Steamer Contractor Location Home Depot	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brand Comparison Honeywell	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 40 gallon LESS Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I 8,000-Update Non- rammable 40	Effi \$ Avc Effi norem \$ \$ \$ \$ norem avc Effi \$ avc Effi Avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi avc Effi Bot Effi avc Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Effi Effi Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Eff	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency ental Cost 9,67% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost y 2011 ammable 62	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes Lowes WATER Contractor Location Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Griddle Gas Oven Gas Steamer Contractor Location Home Depot Home Depot Home Depot Home Depot	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Bosch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 40 gallon LESS Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 190,000 Btu 190,000 Btu 190,000 Btu 190,0	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Prog Prog	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I 8 DOE - Update Non- rammable 40 40	Effi S Avc Effi ncrem Avc Effi s s s s s avc Effi Avc Effi Avc Effi Avc Effi Avc Effi S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,000 9,000 ental Cost 9,67% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost y 2011 ammable 62 40	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 720 547 632 rementa Cost 1,210 836 550 600 550 720 600 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 7
Location Danville WATER Contractor Location Consortium for E Contractor Location Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes WATER Contractor Lowes Home Depot Owensboro Bowling Green COMME Taken from Savings Ca Gas Fryer Gas Griddle Gas Oven Gas Steamer Contractor Location Home Depot	Weil-McLain Brand Brand Energy Efficiency Stud Brand Rheem Rheem Rheem Rheem Brand Comparison Brasch Rheem Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Bradford White/Noritz A.O. Smith Brand Comparison Honeywell Honeywell Honeywell	2,000 sq. fl. Average TYPE Unit Sizing dy 2008 Unit Sizing 50 gallon 40 gallon 40 gallon LESS Unit Sizing 175,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu 199,000 Btu	Eff \$ Increr Av Eff \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ficiency 8,000 nental Cost g. 58% ficiency Average I g. 58% ficiency 394 379 Average I b Eff Tank Type 379 388 422 390 Average I 8,000-Update Non- rammable 40	Effi \$ Avc Effi norem \$ \$ \$ \$ norem avc Effi \$ avc Effi Avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi \$ avc Effi avc Effi Bot Effi avc Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Effi Effi Effi Bot Effi Bot Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Effi Eff	ciency 9,000 9,000 9,62% ciency ental Cost 9,67% ciency 1,114 926 ental Cost % Eff. nkless 1,099 1,199 1,400 1,600 ental Cost y 2011 ammable 62	\$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Incr \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Cost 1,000 1,000 rementa Cost 77 71 rementa Cost 720 547 632 rementa Cost 720 547 632 rementa 547 632 rementa Cost 720 547 632 rementa Cost 720 547 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa Cost 720 632 rementa 200 632 rementa 200 632 rementa 200 632 rementa 200 632 rementa 200 632 rementa 200 632 rementa 200 632 rementa 200 642 rementa 200 720 632 rementa 200 720 632 rementa 200 720 632 rementa 200 720 720 720 720 720 720 720

Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Schedule B - Cumulative Prior Years Program Participation	ation										
Program Year End: December 31, 2012											Cumulative
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Program Participants <u>A. High Efficiency Appliances</u> <u>B. Weatherization Program</u> Total Participants	20 105 125	1,071 136 1,207	401 23 424								1,492 264 1,756
Total Conservation in Ccf <u>A. High Efficiency Appliance Savings</u> D. Mostherization Program	2,187 17,381	99,087 22,512	35,711 3,807								136,985 43,700 180,685
Total Lost Sales	19,568 \$ 2,152		39,518 \$ 4,347								\$ 19,875

#### **Atmos Energy** Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Schedule C - Calculation of Program Benefits

Program Year End: December 31, 2012

**Current Year Conservation (Ccf)** 

		G	G-1 Residential				G-1 Commercial				
	Pre	ojected	Annual	С	ommodity	Pre	ojected	Annual	Со	mmodity	
Year	Ga	s Cost*	Savings		Savings	Ga	s Cost*	Savings	5	Savings	
2012	\$	1.044	224,660	\$	234,546	\$	0.903	143,605	\$	129,675	
2013	\$	1.039	224,660	\$	233,422	\$	0.880	143,605	\$	126,372	
2014	\$	1.028	224,660	\$	230,951	\$	0.852	143,605	\$	122,351	
2015	\$	1.039	224,660	\$	233,422	\$	0.860	143,605	\$	123,500	
2016	\$	1.050	224,660	\$	235,893	\$	0.868	143,605	\$	124,64	
2017	\$	1.061	224,660	\$	238,365	\$	0.874	143,605	\$	125,51	
2018	\$	1.074	224,660	\$	241,285	\$	0.884	143,605	\$	126,94	
2019	\$	1.090	224,660	\$	244,880	\$	0.896	143,605	\$	128,670	
2020	\$	1.116	224,660	\$	250,721	\$	0.919	131,335	\$	120,69	
2021	\$	1.138	224,660	\$	255,664	\$	0.937	131,335	\$	123,06	
2022	\$	1.155	224,660	\$	259,483	\$	0.951	98,002	\$	93,20	
2023	\$	1.175	224,660	\$	263,976	\$	0.968	98,002	\$	94,86	
2024	\$	1.196	224,660	\$	268,694	\$	0.988	94,406	\$	93,27	
2025	\$	1.215	220,496	\$	267,902	\$	1.003	93,018	\$	93,29	
2026	\$	1.231	220,496	\$	271,430	\$	1.016	93,018	\$	94,50	
2027	\$	1.251	204,492	\$	255,820	\$	1.032	85,016	\$	87,73	
2028	\$	1.263	204,492	\$	258,273	\$	1.043	85,016	\$	88,67	
2029	\$	1.274	204,492	\$	260,523	\$	1.049	85,016	\$	89,18	
2030	\$	1.285	40,153	\$	51,597	\$	1.057	2,847	\$	3,00	
2031	\$	1.299	40,153	\$	52,159	\$	1.067	2,847	\$	3,03	
2032	\$	1.314	31,613	\$	41,539	\$	1.079	_	\$	-	
2033	\$	1.333	31,613	\$	42,139	\$	1.093	-	\$	-	
2034	\$	1.352	31,613	\$	42,740	\$	1.108	-	\$	-	
2035	\$	1.376	31,613	\$	43,499	\$	1.128	-	\$	-	
2036	\$	1.400	31,613	\$	44,271	\$	1.148	-	\$	-	
Total Commodity	v Savin	gs		\$	4,823,194				\$	1,992,21	
Discount Rate					8.81%	)				8.81	
Program Benefits	5				\$1,542,183					\$811,46	

(present value of commodity savings)

\*Based on Department of Energy 2011 "Annual Energy Outlook", converted to per ccf residential and commercial costs.

#### Atmos Energy's Demand Side Management Application October 2011

Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program **Participant Test** 

#### $NPV_P = B_P - C_P$

B <sub>F</sub>	, =	\$ 4,318,407
CF	, =	1,797,386
$\mathbf{NPV}_{\mathbf{P}}$	=	\$ 2,521,021

2.40

### Benefit-Cost Ratio

#### Conclusion:

Since the net present value is greater than zero, the program will benefit the participants

#### Where:

NPV <sub>P</sub> B <sub>P</sub> C <sub>P</sub>	<ul> <li>Net present value to all participants</li> <li>NPV of benefit to all participants</li> <li>NPV of cost to all participants</li> </ul>
B <sub>P</sub> =	$\sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{BR_t + TC_t + INC_t}{(1+d)^{t-1}}$
C <sub>P</sub> =	$= \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{PC_t + BI_t}{(1+d)^{t-1}}$
BR <sub>t</sub> BI <sub>t</sub> TC <sub>t</sub> INC <sub>t</sub> PC <sub>t</sub>	= Tax credits in year t

The following calculations are based on the budgeted participation levels for year one of the program.

# Atmos Energy's Demand Side Management Application October 2011

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Participant Test

 $\mathbf{B}_{\mathsf{P}} = \sum_{t=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \frac{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{R}_{t} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{C}_{t} + \mathbf{I}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{C}_{t}}{(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{d})^{t-1}}$ 

.

t	BRt	TCt	INCt	B <sub>P</sub>
1	404,730		776,250	1,180,980
2	400,304	-	-	400,304
3	393,812	-	-	393,812
4	397,432	-	-	397,432
5	401,051	-	-	401,051
6	404,384		-	404,384
7	408,741	-	-	408,741
8	414,059	-	-	414,059
9	410,578	-	-	410,578
10	417,884	-	-	417,884
11	388,175	-	-	388,175
12	394,335	-		394,335
13	397,065	-	-	397,065
14	395,686	-	-	395,686
15	400,423	-	-	400,423
16	375,403	-	-	375,403
17	378,792	-	-	378,792
18	381,551	-	-	381,551
19	59,335	-	-	59,335
20	59,927	-	-	59,927
21	45,016	-	-	45,016
22	45,617	-	-	45,617
23	46,217	-	-	46,217
24	46,976	-	-	46,976
25	47,748	-	-	47,748
	7,515,242	-	776,250	8,291,492

8.810% Discount Rate

\$4,318,407 NPV

 $BR_t$  = Bill reductions in year t

 $TC_t$  = Tax credits in year t

INC<sub>t</sub> = Incentives paid to the participant by the Utility

# Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Participant Test

BRt = Bill reductions in year t

G-1 Residential											
	(4)	(0)			(2)	(4)			(1) (4)		
	(1) Ccf	(2) Decident			(3) Demand		(2) + (3) Combined		(1) x (4)		
t	Conserved	Projected Gas Cost*			Charge			Rate			
				<i>•</i>				<i>*</i>	BRt		
1	224,660	\$	1.044	\$	0.1100	\$		\$	259,258		
2	224,660	\$	1.039		0.1100		1.15		258,135		
3	224,660	\$	1.028		0.1100		1.14		255,664		
4	224,660	\$	1.039		0.1100		1.15		258,135		
5	224,660	\$	1.050		0.1100		1.16		260,606		
6	224,660	\$	1.061		0.1100		1.17		263,077		
7	224,660	\$	1.074		0.1100		1.18		265,998		
8	224,660	\$	1.090		0.1100		1.20		269,593		
9	224,660	\$	1.116		0.1100		1.23		275,434		
10	224,660	\$	1.138		0.1100		1.25		280,376		
11	224,660	\$	1.155		0.1100		1.27		284,195		
12	224,660	\$	1.175		0.1100		1.29		288,689		
13	224,660	\$	1.196		0.1100		1.31		293,407		
14	220,496	\$	1.215		0.1100		1.33		292,157		
15	220,496	\$	1.231		0.1100		1.34		295,685		
16	204,492	\$	1.251		0.1100		1.36		278,314		
17	204,492	\$	1.263		0.1100		1.37		280,768		
18	204,492	\$	1.274		0.1100		1.38		283,017		
19	40,153	\$	1.285		0.1100		1.40		56,013		
20	40,153	\$	1.299		0.1100		1.41		56,576		
21	31,613	\$	1.314		0.1100		1.42		45,016		
22	31,613	\$	1.333		0.1100		1.44		45,617		
23	31,613	\$	1.352		0.1100		1.46		46,217		
24	31,613	\$	1.376		0.1100		1.49		46,976		
25	31,613	\$	1.400		0.1100		1.51		47,748		

\$ 5,286,671

G-1 Commercial										
	(1) Ccf	Der	(2)	(3) d Demand		(4) (2) + (3) Combined		(1) × (4)		
t	Conserved		Projected Gas Cost*		Charge		Rate		BRt	
1	143,605	\$	0.903	\$	0.1100	\$	1.01	\$	145,472	
2	143,605	\$	0.880	\$	0.1100	\$	0.99	\$	142,169	
3	143,605	\$	0.852	\$	0.1100	\$	0.96	\$	138,148	
4	143,605	\$	0.860	\$	0.1100	\$	0.97	\$	139,297	
5	143,605	\$	0.868	\$	0.1100	\$	0.98	\$	140,445	
6	143,605	\$	0.874	\$	0.1100	\$	0.98	\$	141,307	
7	143,605	\$	0.884	\$	0.1100	\$	0.99	\$	142,743	
8	143,605	\$	0.896	\$	0.1100	\$	1.01	\$	144,466	
9	131,335	\$	0.919	\$	0.1100	\$	1.03	\$	135,144	
10	131,335	\$	0.937	\$	0.1100	\$	1.05	\$	137,508	
11	98,002	\$	0.951	\$	0.1100	\$	1.06	\$	103,980	
12	98,002	\$	0.968	\$	0.1100	\$	1.08	\$	105,646	
12	94,406	\$	0.988	\$	0.1100	\$	1.10	\$	103,658	
12	93,018	\$	1.003	\$	0.1100	\$	1.11	\$	103,529	
12	93,018	\$	1.016	\$	0.1100	\$	1.13	\$	104,738	
12	85,016	\$	1.032	\$	0.1100	\$	1.14	\$	97,089	
12	85,016	\$	1.043	\$	0.1100	\$	1.15	\$	98,024	
12	85,016	\$	1.049	\$	0.1100	\$	1.16	\$	98,534	
12	2,847	\$	1.057	\$	0.1100	\$	1.17	\$	3,322	
12	2,847	\$	1.067	\$	0.1100	\$	1.18	\$	3,351	
12	-	\$	1.079	\$	0.1100	\$	1.19	\$	-	
12	*	\$	1.093	\$	0.1100	\$	1.20	\$	-	
12	~	\$	1.108	\$	0.1100	\$	1.22	\$	-	
12	-	\$	1.128	\$	0.1100	\$	1.24	\$	-	
12	-	\$	1.148	\$	0.1100	\$	1.26	\$	-	
								\$	2,228,571	

Total projected Ccf savings, based on budgeted participation levels in year one of the program.
 Based on Department of Energy "Annual Energy Outlook", converted to per ccf residential cost; where t = 1 = 2012
 Volumetric charge for residential customers per Sheet No. 8 of the Company's tariff.

#### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Participant Test

 $TC_t$  = Tax credits in year t (presently no federal tax credits are available in 2012)

A. High Efficiency Heating Savings	(1) Program Participants	(2) Residential Energy Credits	(1) x ( TC,	
B. High Efficiency Water Heating Savings				
Total			\$	

Note: participants are eligible for tax credits in the year they incur expenditures for high-efficiency appliances, since this is an analysis of participation in a single year, the tax credit is applicable only where t = 1
Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Participant Test

 $INC_t$  = Incentives paid to the participant by the Utility, for t = 1

Energy Savings by Customer Class	INC <sub>t</sub>
G-1 Residential Customers	\$ 497,500
G-1 Commercial Customers	 278,750
Total	\$ 776,250

Note: rebates are given to participant in the year they elect to participate, since this is an analysis of participation in a single year, the rebate is applicable only where t = 1

Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Participant Test

$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_{t=1}^{N}$	<u>PC, +Bl</u> , (1+d) <sup>t⋅1</sup>			
	t	(1) Bl <sub>t</sub>	(2) <b>PC</b> t	(1) + (2) <b>C</b> P
-	1	-	1,955,735	1,955,735
	2	-	-	-
	3	-	-	-
	4	-	-	-
	5	<b></b>		-
	6	-	-	-
	7	-	-	-
	8	-	-	-
	9	-	-	-
	10	-	-	-
		-	1,955,735	1,955,735

8.810% Discount Rate

\$1,797,386 NPV

- $BI_t$  = Bill increases in year t (not accounted for in participant cost test).
- $PC_t$  = Participant costs in year t, which include

incremental capital costs

Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Participant Test

### $PC_t$ = Participant costs for t = 1

		(1) Program	Inc	(2) remental		(1) x (2)
A. High Efficiency Heating Savings	F	Participants	me	Cost		PCt
Furnace AFUE 90 - 93		900	\$	654	\$	588,870
Furnace AFUE 94 - 95		600		973		583,600
Furnace AFUE 96 or >		300		1,467		440,000
Boiler AFUE 85 -89		15		1,000		15,000
Programmable Thermostat		900		14		12,668
Tot	al	2,715				1,640,138
Tank W/H .67 or > EF Tankless W/H .82 - 90 EF		200 200		634 836		126,73 167,26
·	Total	500			\$	301,098
					•	
C. High Efficiency Commercial Kitche	<u>n Equipment</u>				·	·
Gas Fryer	<u>n Equipment</u>	25	\$	50	\$	1,250
	<u>n Equipment</u>	25	\$	60	·	1,250 1,500
Gas Fryer	<u>n Equipment</u>	25 25	\$	60 50	·	1,250 1,500 1,250
Gas Fryer Gas Griddle Gas Oven Gas Steamer	n Equipment	25	\$	60	·	1,250 1,500 1,250 10,500 <b>14,50</b> 0

(1) Based on budgeted participation levels in year one of the CEP.

### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Program Administrator Cost Test

 $NPV_{pa} = B_{pa} - C_{pa}$ 

Benefit-Cost Ratio	2.65
$NPV_{pa} = $ \$	2,028,232
C <sub>pa</sub> =	1,229,780
B <sub>pa</sub> = \$	3,258,012

### Conclusion:

Since the net present value is greater than zero, the program would decrease costs to the utility

### Where:

NPV<sub>pa</sub> = Net present value of total cost of the resource  $B_{pa}$ = NPV of benefits of the program  $C_{pa}$ = NPV of costs of the programs  $B_{pa} = \Sigma$ UAC, (1+d)<sup>t-1</sup> t=1  $C_{pa} = \Sigma PRC_t + INC_t + UIC_t$ (1+d)<sup>t-1</sup> t = 1  $UAC_t$  = Utility avoided supply costs in year t PRCt = Program Administrator Costs in year t INC, = Incentives paid to the participant by the Utility

UICt = Utility increased supply costs in year t

The following calculations are based on the budgeted participation levels for year one of the program.

Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Program Administrator Cost Test

 $B_{pa} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{UAC_{t}}{(1+d)^{t-1}}$ 

(1)

t	UACt				
1	\$	364,221			
2	\$	359,794			
3	\$	353,302			
4	\$	356,922			
5	\$	360,542			
6	\$	363,875			
7	\$	368,232			
8	\$	373,550			
9	\$	371,418			
10	\$	378,725			
11	\$	352,683			
12	\$	358,842			
13	\$	361,968			
14	\$	361,199			
15	\$	365,936			
16	\$	343,557			
17	\$	346,945			
18	\$	349,705			
19	\$	54,606			
20	\$	55,197			
21	\$	41,539			
22	\$	42,139			
23	\$	42,740			
24	\$	43,499			
25	\$	44,271			
	\$	6,815,407			

8.810% Discount Rate

\$3,258,012 NPV

### (1) UACt scheduled per calculation performed for RIM test

 $UAC_t = Utility$  avoided supply costs in year t

### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Program Administrator Cost Test

$\mathbf{C}_{pa} = \sum_{t=1}^{N}$	PRO	<u>C, + INC, + UIC,</u> (1+d) <sup>t-1</sup>			
	t	(1) PRC <sub>t</sub>	(2) INC <sub>t</sub>	(3) UIC <sub>t</sub>	C <sub>pa</sub>
	1	561,873	776,250	-	1,338,123
	2	-	-	-	-
	3	-	-	-	-
	4	-	-	-	-
	5	-	-	-	
	6	-	-	-	-
	7	-	-	-	-
	8	-	-	-	-
	9	-	-	-	-
	10	-	-	-	-
		561,873	776,250		1,338,123
					8.810% Disco

<sup>8.810%</sup> Discount Rate

- PRC<sub>t</sub> = Program Administrator Costs in year t
- $INC_t$  = Incentives paid to the participant by the Utility
- UIC<sub>t</sub> = Utility increased supply costs in year t
- (1) Program costs scheduled from PRC<sub>t</sub> which was calculated for the RIM Test
- (2) Incentives scheduled from INC<sub>t</sub> which was calculated for the Participant test
- (3) No known increased supply costs as a result of operating the CEP

<sup>\$1,229,780</sup> NPV

### Atmos Energy

Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM) Test

Ratepayer impact measure (Rim) rest

### NPV<sub>RIM</sub> = B<sub>RIM</sub> - C<sub>RIM</sub>

(1,010,110)
(1,576,775)
4,834,787
3,258,012

Conclusion: Since the net present value is negative, the program will cause an increase customer rates.

### Where:

NPV<sub>RIM</sub> = Net present value levels B<sub>RIM</sub> = Benefits to rate levels or customer bills C<sub>RIM</sub> = Costs to rate levels or customer bills N  $B_{RIM} \Sigma$ UAC<sub>t</sub> (1+d)<sup>t-1</sup> t =1 N  $C_{RIM} \Sigma UIC_t + RL_t + PRC_t + INC_t$ (1+d)<sup>t-1</sup> t =1 UAC<sub>t</sub> = Utility avoided supply costs in year t UICt = Utility increased supply costs in year t RLt = Revenue loss from reduced sales in year t PRCt = Program administrator costs in year t = Incentives paid to the participant by the sponsoring utility in year t INC,

The following calculations are based on the budgeted participation levels for year one of the program.

Atmos Energy
Demand Side Management (DSM) Program
Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM) Test

B <sub>RIM</sub>	N ∑: =1	<u>UAC,</u> (1+d) <sup>t-1</sup>
	t	UACt
	1	364,221
2	2	359,794
	3	353,302
	4	356,922
	5	360,542
	6	363,875
	7	368,232
	8	373,550
:	9	371,418
1	0	378,725
1	1	352,683
	2	358,842
1	3	361,968
1	4	361,199
1	5	365,936
1	6	343,557
1	17	346,945
1	8	349,705
1	9	54,606
	20	55,197
	21	41,539
	22	42,139
	23	42,740
	24	43,499
2	25	44,271
		6,815,407

8.810% Discount Rate

\$3,258,012 NPV

 $UAC_t$  = Utility avoided supply costs in year t

### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM) Test

UAC<sub>t</sub> = Utility avoided supply costs in year t

			G-1 Residential				G	1 Commerci	al		
	Pr	ojected	Annual	С	ommodity	Pr	ojected	Annual	Со	mmodity	
t	Ga	as Cost*	Savings		Savings	Ga	s Cost*	Savings		Savings	UACt
1	\$	1.044	224,660	\$	234,546	\$	0.903	143,605	\$	129,675	\$ 364,221
2	\$	1.039	224,660	\$	233,422	\$	0.880	143,605	\$	126,372	\$ 359,794
3	\$	1.028	224,660	\$	230,951	\$	0.852	143,605	\$	122,351	\$ 353,302
4	\$	1.039	224,660	\$	233,422	\$	0.860	143,605	\$	123,500	\$ 356,922
5	\$	1.050	224,660	\$	235,893	\$	0.868	143,605	\$	124,649	\$ 360,542
6	\$	1.061	224,660	\$	238,365	\$	0.874	143,605	\$	125,510	\$ 363,875
7	\$	1.074	224,660	\$	241,285	\$	0.884	143,605	\$	126,947	\$ 368,232
8	\$	1.090	224,660	\$	244,880	\$	0.896	143,605	\$	128,670	\$ 373,550
9	\$	1.116	224,660	\$	250,721	\$	0.919	131,335	\$	120,697	\$ 371,418
10	\$	1.138	224,660	\$	255,664	\$	0.937	131,335	\$	123,061	\$ 378,725
11	\$	1.155	224,660	\$	259,483	\$	0.951	98,002	\$	93,200	\$ 352,683
12	\$	1.175	224,660	\$	263,976	\$	0.968	98,002	\$	94,866	\$ 358,842
13	\$	1.196	224,660	\$	268,694	\$	0.988	94,406	\$	93,274	\$ 361,968
14	\$	1.215	220,496	\$	267,902	\$	1.003	93,018	\$	93,297	\$ 361,199
15	\$	1.231	220,496	\$	271,430	\$	1.016	93,018	\$	94,506	\$ 365,936
16	\$	1.251	204,492	\$	255,820	\$	1.032	85,016	\$	87,737	\$ 343,557
17	\$	1.263	204,492	\$	258,273	\$	1.043	85,016	\$	88,672	\$ 346,945
18	\$	1 274	204,492	\$	260,523	\$	1.049	85,016	\$	89,182	\$ 349,705
19	\$	1.285	40,153	\$	51,597	\$	1.057	2,847	\$	3,009	\$ 54,606
20	\$	1.299	40,153	\$	52,159	\$	1.067	2,847	\$	3,038	\$ 55,197
21	\$	1.314	31,613	\$	41,539	\$	1.079	-	\$	-	\$ 41,539
22	\$	1.333	31,613	\$	42,139	\$	1.093	-	\$	-	\$ 42,139
23	\$	1.352	31,613	\$	42,740	\$	1.108	-	\$	-	\$ 42,740
24	\$	1.376	31,613	\$	43,499	\$	1.128	-	\$	-	\$ 43,499
25	\$	1.400	31,613	\$	44,271	\$	1.148	-	\$	-	\$ 44,271
Total Cor	nmodit	y Savings		\$	4,823,194				\$	1,992,213	\$ 6,815,407

Total projected Ccf savings, based on budgeted participation levels in year one of the program (1)

These amounts continue to be saved year after year. Based on Department of Energy 2011 "Annual Energy Outlook", converted to per ccf residential cost; where t = 1 = 2012 (2)

Note: the above analysis is based on the CCF conserved from a single year of participation in the CEP

### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM) Test

 $C_{RIM} \quad \Sigma \qquad \qquad \underline{UIC_t + RL_t + PRC_t + INC_t}$ 

t =1

(1+d)<sup>t-1</sup>

t	(1) UIC <sub>t</sub>	(2) RL <sub>t</sub>	(3) PRC <sub>t</sub>	(4) INC <sub>t</sub>	(1) + (2) C <sub>RIM</sub>
1	-	404,730	561,873	776,250	1,742,853
2	-	400,304		_	400,304
2 3	-	393,812		-	393,812
4	-	397,432		-	397,432
5	-	401,051		-	401,051
6	-	404,384		-	404,384
7	-	408,741		-	408,741
8	-	414,059		-	414,059
9	-	410,578		-	410,578
10	-	417,884		-	417,884
11	-	388,175		-	388,175
12	-	394,335		-	394,335
13	-	397,065		-	397,065
14	-	395,686		-	395,686
15	~	400,423		-	400,423
16	-	375,403		-	375,403
17	-	378,792		-	378,792
18	-	381,551		-	381,551
19	-	59,335		-	59,335
20	-	59,927		-	59,927
21	-	45,016		-	45,016
22	-	45,617		-	45,617
23	-	46,217		-	46,217
24	-	46,976		-	46,976
25	-	47,748			47,748
	<u></u>	7,515,242	561,873	776,250	8,853,365

8.810% Discount Rate

\$4,834,787 NPV

- UIC<sub>t</sub> = Utility increased supply costs in year t
- $RL_t$  = Revenue loss from reduced sales in year t
- PRC<sub>t</sub> = Program administrator costs in year t
- INCt = Incentives paid to the participant by the sponsoring utility in year t

(1) No known increased supply costs

(2) see RIM Test RG; column (2)

(3) see RIM Test RG; column (3)

(4) Scheduled per calculation performed for Participant Test

### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Total Resource Cost (TRC) Test

### $NPV_{TRC} = B_{TRC} - C_{TRC}$

Benefit-Cost Ratio	1.41
$NPV_{TRC} = $ \$	944,246
$C_{\text{TRC}} =$	2,313,766
B <sub>TRC</sub> = \$	3,258,012

### Conclusion:

Since the net present value is greater than zero, the program is a less expensive resource than the supply option upon which the marginal costs are based.

### Where:

$NPV_{TRC}$	=	Net present value of total cost of the resource
B <sub>TRC</sub>		NPV of benefits of the program
$C_{TRC}$	=	NPV of costs of the programs

$$B_{TRC} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{UAC_t + TC_t}{(1+d)^{t-1}}$$

$$C_{TRC} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{PRC_t + PCN_t + UIC_t}{(1+d)^{t-1}}$$

$$UAC_t = Utility avoided supply costs in year t$$

$$TC_t = Tax credits in year t$$

$$UIC_t = Utility increased supply costs in year t$$

$$PRC_t = Program administrator costs in year t$$

$$PCN_t = Net particpant costs$$

The following calculations are based on the budgeted participation levels for year one of the program.

### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Total Resource Cost (TRC) Test

Ν

B <sub>TRC</sub> =	Σ t =1	ļ	<u>JAC, +TC,</u> (1+d) <sup>t-1</sup>			
	t		(1) UAC <sub>t</sub>		(2) TC <sub>t</sub>	B <sub>TRC</sub>
•	1	\$	364,22		-	\$ 364,221
	2		359,794		-	359,794
	3		353,302	2	-	353,302
	4		356,922	2	-	356,922
	5		360,542	2	-	360,542
	6		363,875	5	-	363,875
	7		368,232	2	-	368,232
	8		373,550	)	-	373,550
	9		371,418	3	-	371,418
	10		378,725	5	-	378,725
	11		352,683	3	-	352,683
	12		358,842	2	-	358,842
	13		361,968	3	-	361,968
	14		361,199	9	-	361,199
	15		365,936	3	-	365,936
	16		343,557	7	-	343,557
	17		346,94	5	-	346,945
	18		349,70	5	-	349,705
	19		54,600	3	-	54,606
	20		55,197	7	-	55,197
	21		41,539	Э	-	41,539
	22		42,13	9	-	42,139
	23		42,740	C	-	42,740
	24		43,499	9	-	43,499
	25		44,27	1	-	 44,271
		\$	6,815,40	7	-	\$ 6,815,407
						8.810%

8.810% Discount Rate

\$3,258,012 NPV

 $UAC_t$  = Utility avoided supply costs in year t

 $TC_t$  = Tax Credits in year t

- (1) Scheduled per calculation performed for RIM Test
- (2) Scheduled per calculation performed for Participant Test

### Atmos Energy Demand Side Management (DSM) Program Total Resource Cost (TRC) Test

	N	
C <sub>TRC</sub> =	Σ	PRC <sub>t</sub> + PCN <sub>t</sub> + UIC <sub>t</sub>
	t =1	(1+d) <sup>t-1</sup>

t	(1) PRC <sub>t</sub>	(2) PCN <sub>t</sub>	(3) UIC <sub>t</sub>	C <sub>TRC</sub>
1	561,873	1,955,735	-	2,517,609
2		-	-	-
3	-	-	•	-
4	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	•	-
6	-	-		-
7	-	-	-	-
8	-	77	-	-
9	•	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	-
	561,873	1,955,735		2,517,609

8.810% Discount Rate

\$2,313,766 NPV

- PRCt = Program administrator costs in year t
- PCN<sub>t</sub> = Net particpant costs
- UIC<sub>t</sub> = Utility increased supply costs in year t
- (1) Scheduled per calculation performed for RIM Test
- (2) Represents net participant costs which is the incremental cost to the participant of purchasing a high-efficiency appliance versus one with standard efficiency. Amount scheduled from PC<sub>t</sub> from the Participant Test.
- (3) No known increased supply costs as a result of operating the CEP

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

 	DSM
Applicab	le
Applicabl	e to Rate G-1 Sales Service, residential and commercial classes only.
increased December	ibution Charge under Residential and Commercial Rate G-1 Sales Service, shall be or decreased for nine annual periods beginning January 2012 and continuing through 31, 2014 by the DSM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC) at a rate per Mcf in se with the following formula:
	DSMRC = DCRC + DLSA + DIA + DBA
Where:	
DCRC =	DSM Cost Recovery-Current. The DCRC shall include all actual costs, direct and indirect, under this program which has been approved by the Commission. This includes all direct costs associated with the program including rebates paid under the program, the cost of educational supplies, and customer awareness related to conservation/efficiency. In addition, indirect costs shall include the costs of planning, developing, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating DSM programs. In addition, all costs incurred by or on behalf of the program, including but not limited to costs for consultants, employees and administrative expenses, will be recovered through the DCRC.
DLSA =	DSM Lost Sales Adjustment. To effectively promote and execute the program, the Company shall recover the annual lost sales attributable to customer conservation/efficiency created as a result of the Program. This aligns the Company's interest with that of its customers by reducing the correlation between volume and revenue for those customers who elect to participate in the program. The lost sales are the estimated conservation, per participant, times the base rate for the applicable customer. The goal is to make the Company whole for promoting the program. Lost sales are based on the cumulative lost sales since the program inception and will reset when the Company completes a general rate case

**ISSUED:** September 28, 2011

**EFFECTIVE:** January 1, 2012

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

	Demand-Side Management Cost Recovery Mechanism
	DSM
DIA =	DSM Incentive Adjustment. As a result of the program, the customers who participate in the program will save on their gas bills due to decreased usage, which results in decreased commodity charges. As an incentive for the Company to devote the necessary monetary and physical resources to promote and administer the program, the Company will earn a fifteen percent (15%) incentive based on the net resource savings of the Program participants.
	Net resource savings are defined as Program benefits less utility Program costs and participant costs where Program benefits will be calculated on the basis of the present value of Atmos' avoided commodity costs over the expected life of the Program. For the purpose of calculating the Program benefits, a ten year Program life is assumed with future gas costs over the ten-year period based on projection in the Department of Energy's <i>Annual Energy Outlook</i> . The present value is calculated based on Atmos' discount rate used for financial reporting purposes which is based on the rates of high-quality fixed-income investment.
DBA =	DSM Balance Adjustment. The DBA shall be calculated on a calendar year basis and be used to reconcile the difference between the amount of revenues actually billed through the DSMRC and the revenues which should have been billed.
	The DBA for the upcoming twelve-month period shall be calculated as the sum of the balance adjustments for the DCRC, DLSA and DIA. For the DCRC, DLSA and DIA, the balance adjustment shall be the difference between the amount billed in a twelve-month period and the actual cost of the DSM Program during the same twelve-month period.
	The balance adjustment amounts calculated will include interest to be calculated at a rate equal to the average of "3-month Commercial Paper Rate" for the immediately preceding twelve-month period.
prior to t annual fil well as d calculatio	pany will file modifications to the DSMRC on an annual basis at least two months he beginning of the effective upcoming twelve-month period for billing. This ing shall include detailed calculations of the DCRC, DLSA, DIA and the DBA, as lata on the total cost of the DSM Program over the twelve-month period. The ns plus interest shall be divided by the expected Mcf sales for the upcoming onth period to determine the DSMRC.

ISSUED: December 1, 2008EFFECTIVE: September 2, 2009(Issued by Authority of an Order by the Public Service in Case No. 2008-00499 dated September 2, 2009).ISSUED BY: Mark A. Martin - Vice President of Rates & Regulatory Affairs, Kentucky/Mid-States Division

### FOR ENTIRE SERVICE AREA P.S.C. NO. 1 Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 41 Canceling Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 41

### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

2SM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC-R):         2SM Cost Recovery – Current:       \$0.0950 per M         2SM Lost Sales Adjustment       \$0.0040 per M         2SM Incentive Adjustment       \$0.0150 per M         2SM Balance Adjustment:       \$0.0391) per         2SMRC Residential Rate G-1       \$0.0749 per M         2SM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC-C):       \$0.0700 per M	<sup>.</sup> Mcf r Mcf	<u>RC-R):</u>
PSM Lost Sales Adjustment       \$0.0040 per N         PSM Incentive Adjustment       \$0.0150 per N         PSM Balance Adjustment:       (\$0.0391) per         PSMRC Residential Rate G-1       \$0.0749 per N         PSM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC-C):       \$0.0749 per N	<sup>.</sup> Mcf r Mcf	
PSM Incentive Adjustment       \$0.0150 per I         PSM Balance Adjustment:       (\$0.0391) per         PSMRC Residential Rate G-1       \$0.0749 per N         PSM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC-C):       \$0.0749 per N	r Mcf	
PSM Balance Adjustment:       (\$0.0391) per         PSMRC Residential Rate G-1       \$0.0749 per N         PSM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC-C):		
SMRC Residential Rate G-1       \$0.0749 per N         SM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC-C):	er Mcf	
SM Cost Recovery Component (DSMRC-C):		
	Mcf	
SM Cost Recovery – Current: \$0.0700 per N		<u>RC-C):</u>
	Mcf	
SM Lost Sales Adjustment \$0.0030 per N	Mcf	
SM Incentive Adjustment \$0.0240 per 1	r Mcf	
SM Balance Adjustment: (\$0.0000) per	er Mcf	
SMRC Commercial Rate G-1 \$0.0970 per	er Mcf	

**ISSUED:** September 28, 2011

**EFFECTIVE:** January 1, 2012

										Ľ	Education		Monthly Totals	Totals
	Watherization	uo				Re	Rebates				aucauon			2000
					Rebates	Ccf					10 #			
1		Cef Savinge Hourse	0001100	Rehate Exnenses	Issued	Savings F	Savings Promo & Misc.	Otly. Fees	Monthly Totals	Presentations	Students	Expenses	Expenditures	Ccf Savings
Payment Month	Expenses	oci gaviiga	Cachon Doubes	contradium preserve			10 0.0		20 000 00	~	201	\$ 79.26	\$ 48,850.70	11.895
14	€ 21 062 79	1 490	σ	\$ 25.989.00	112	10,405  \$	819.65		0 20'000'02	-	3 1	÷ • •		000 01
		000		20 020 50	127	11 810 5	21.174.50		51.114.00	<b>4</b>	20	\$ 1,393.72	4 28'220'1/	cno'71
Feb-11	\$ 7,023.05	688	Ø	¢ 28,839.00	171		000000		0 40 468 80	e.	156	\$ 47.97	\$ 62.085.86	9,532
Mar-11	\$ 21.569.00	1.324	Ø	\$ 20,774.00	06	8,208 \$	10,233.20	, 0,401.00 ¢	10,001,01				e 75 8/3 87	6 447
		1 150	۲	16 094 00	72	5.288 \$	3 1,667.09		\$ 17,761.09	D	5	10.21 4	0.010.07 ¢	
HI-11	17.con'o ¢	2	- (			0 1 0	E EAA 76		\$ 27 134 26	0	0	י ש	\$ 56,268.13	C42,01
Mav-11	\$ 29,133.87	1,986	12	06.886,12 \$	5	1 007'0	07.440.0				c	e	R 69.557.20	9.326
	. 6	4 304	26	\$ 15.667.50	69	5,022 \$	•	\$ 3,615.30 3	2 19,282.8U	2	5	•		
	9				02	A CC3 A	1 920 00		\$ 18.403.00	0	0	\$ 60.68	39,908.64	0,040
Jul-11	\$ 21,444.96	1,821		4 10'402'00 ¢	2				11 505 00	C	C	, t	S 11.586.00	4,580
Aun-11	ť	0	0	\$ 11,586.00	20	4,580 \$	•		00.000,11 \$			÷ (		-
- And			6		- -	C	1	, ,	•	0	5	י ק	۱ <del>۵</del>	
Sep-11	, ,		2		5 0	- 6 - (				0	0	، ج	۰ د	0
Oct-11	۰ ب	0	0	•	5	5	;		÷ •		C	. 4		0
Nov-11	i H	0	0	, Ю	ō	<del>••</del>	•		יייי י		2	• •	• •	
				. 6	C	÷		د		0	5	י א	۰ A	2
Dec-11	-	D		ı A				e 040 03	C 247 EE8 EG	<u>с</u>	256	\$ 1.601.20	\$ 373.631.17	73,171
2011 Totals	\$ 159.471.28	13,077	79	\$ 158,122.50	681	60,094 \$		1, U 10.33	co-occ'717 d				ч ч	390.627
Cum Totals		229,259	1,385	\$ 401,130.00	1,772	161,368 \$		08,664.86 \$ 22,157.83	\$ 531,952.69	92		•		
00001 10000														

# ATMOS CARES ANNUAL TOTALS

# ATMOS CARES MONTHLY REPORT January 2011 Weatherization

VCENCY		FUNDS		AVG/HOME		HOMES		AUDITS
	Bedin	.lanuarv	Program Year		Begin	Jan	Prog Year	Prog Year
	Balance	(	2011		Balance		2011	2011
Audubon	\$0.00	\$19.662.79			0	8	8	
Blue Grass	\$0.00			\$0.00	0		0	
Central	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Lake Cumberland	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Multi-Durnose	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Pannvrila	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Southern KY	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
West KV	80.00	\$2.300.00	\$2,300.00	\$2,300.00	0	4	1	
Grand Total	\$0.00	\$21,962.79	\$21,962.79	\$2,440.31	0	6	ი	0
Ccf Savings						1,489.77		

			Rebates	S				
		Ccf	Rebate	Total	Processing C.O.M.	C.O.M.	Total	Total
Rehate Tvpe	Quantity	Savings	Amount	Rebate	Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee Costs
Boiler	2	220.70 \$		\$ 400.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 19.00	\$ 419.00
	- 65	7 172 75 \$		5 13.000.00	\$ 487.50	\$ 130.00	\$ 617.50	\$13,617.50
Tonk Water Heater	8 6	502.00 \$		6 4,000.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 190.00	\$ 4,190.00
Tankless Water Heater	25	2.509.75 \$	300.00	5 7,500.00	\$ 187.50	187.50 \$ 75.00 \$	\$ 262.50	\$ 7,762.50
	F	10.405.20		\$ 24,900.00	\$ 840.00	840.00 \$ 249.00	\$ 1,089.00	\$25,989.00
Promotional & Misc				•				\$ 819.65
Monthly Grand Total								\$26,808.65
8								
			Education	on				

1011		Expenses	71.97 school supplies 7.29 Tax accural on Rad Graphics Dec10	79.26
Luucauoi		Age Group		Total .
	Number of	Students		
	Number of	Presentations Students Age Group Expenses		

		Expenses		
	Age	Group		10-Sep
		County		Warren
Education Detail	School/	Institution	Warren	Elementary
Educa		Date		31-Jan-11
	Approximate	# of Attendees		50
	Event	Number		-

### ATMOS CARES MONTHLY REPORT February 2011 Weatherization

			Wealt	Weatherization				01.0
					T	HOMES		AUDIS
A OFNOV		FUNDS		AVGINOINL	Γ	Lob	Drod Year	Prog Year
AGENCI		L-6	Drogram Year	Program Year	Begin	Len		
	Begin	repruary	2044	2011	Balance		2011	1.1.02
	Balance		2011				14	
		\$7 023 05	\$26,685,84	\$1,906.13	8	٥		
Audubon	\$19,002./9	00.020,10		80.00	0			
	\$0.00	\$0.00					0	
Blue Glass	00.00	00 0\$	\$0.00	\$0.04	>			
Central	00.0¢		00.08	00 05	0			
	\$0.00	\$0.00					0	
Lake Cumpertain			S0.00	20.00	-			
Wulti-Purnose	\$0.00	00.04		00.04	C			
······································	80.00	\$0.00	20.00				0	
Pennyrile	00.00		00 U\$	\$0.00	0			
Couthorn KV	\$0.00	\$0.00			+		-	
southern	CO 000 C3	\$0.00	\$2,300.00			ľ	15	
West KY	00.000,24	10 000 -0	C20 005 84	\$2.066.46	6	٥		
Grand Total	\$21,962.79	\$7,023.05				993.18		
Cct savings			œ	Rehates				
				0			Totol	Total
		Jo C	Rebate	Total	Processing	C.O.M.		, contro

				VCDQ100				Totol
					Drococeind	N C C	Total	10141
		Cef	Rebate	lotal	Rinceannia		С С Ц	Costs
ļ		Savinds	Amount	Rebate	Fee	ree	÷ AAL	
Rehate Type	Quantury	JAVILIES			, I	1 CF	љ , ()	I
		0.00	200.00 \$				\$ 684 00 \$	15,084.00
Boiler	62	7 945 20 \$		14,400.00	\$ 540.00	Ð,		4 609 00
Furnace	71			4 400 00	\$ 165.00	ю		
Tonk Water Heater	22	¢ 02.266			¢ 247.50	¢.	\$ 346.50 \$	10,240.30
Taily vater room	33	3,312.87 \$	300.00	8,300.00		A 207 00	¢ 1 239 50 \$	29.939.50
	101	11 840 27	\$	28,700.00	NG.268 \$	<del>n</del>		21 174 50
Totals	171	14.010511					•	
Promotional & Misc.							<del>ን</del>	21,114.00
Monthly Grand Total								
			Educ	Education				

1
1
١.
1
L
١.
1

	2/15/2011 DVD reorder
1	Expenses 1393.72
	Age Group
Number of	Students
Number of	Presentations

	Age Group Expenses 10-Sep
	County y Warren
Education Detail	School/ te Institution 2/1/2011 Warren Elementary
	pproximate of Attendees Date 50 2/1
	Event A Number # (

## Weatherization

			Weat	Weatherization				
				AVG/HOME		HOMES		AUDITS
V CN CV		FUNDS				Mar	Prod Year	Prog Year
AGEINOI	Begin	March	Year	Program Year	Belance		2011	2011
	Balance		2011	1.1.07	Datative			
	¢26.685.84	\$12.369.00	\$39,054.84	\$2,055.52	14	<u>م</u>	<u>م</u>	
Audubon	10.000,000		80 D0		0		0	
Blue Grass	\$0.00		20.00		0		0	1
Control	\$0.00		\$0.00				c	
	\$0.00		\$0.00		-			
			\$0.00		0		0	
Multi-Purpose	\$0.00					с С	ო	
Donnyrile	\$0.00	\$9,200.00	\$9,200.00	10.000,64		)	0	
r cilliyinc	\$0.00		\$0.00		5		, ,	
Southern KY	00.0 <del>4</del>		00 000 04	\$2 300 00			1-	
West KY	\$2,300.00		24,300.00			α	23	0
Grand Total	\$28.985.84	\$21,569.00	\$50,554.84	\$2,198.04	CI			
Cialu i Otal						1,324.24		
Ccf Savings			Rebates	es				
				1			Total	Total
		Ccf	Rebate	Total	Processing			Crete
	Ouantity	Savings	Amount	Rebate	Fee	гее	-ee	2000 e
Kepate I ype			\$ 200.00	۰ ب	ہ ج	י ש	, . , . , .	÷ , ,02 EO
Boiler	53	5 848.55	Э	\$ 10,600.00	\$ 397.50	\$ 106.00	\$ 203.30 * 171.00	- • •
Furnace		451.80	. <del>6</del> 5	\$ 3,600.00	\$ 135.00	\$ 30.00		9 E
Tank Water Heater	0		<del>)</del> (	. 6	e 142 50	\$ 57.00	S 199.50	D.000.00

e v		\$ 200.00 \$ 10,600.00 \$ 35.00 \$ 171.00 \$	200.00 \$ 3,600.00 \$ 133.00 \$ 57.00 \$ 199.50 \$	300.00 \$ 5,700.00 \$ 142.30 \$ 31.30 \$ 132.00 \$	<b>3</b> 19300.00 \$ 675.00 \$ 193.00 \$ 014.00 \$	\$ 16,293.26	\$ 40,468.89		Education		Age Group Expense	22 40/44 Vr olde 47 97 school supplies
50	0.00	5,848.55	451.80	1,907.41 \$	8,207.76					ber of Number of	Students	2
Cuality		53	18	19	06					Number of	Presentations	
Rehate IVDE	Boiler		ruillace Took Motor Heater	Tank water reater Tankon woter Heater	I ankiess water rieater ≡ Totals	Ouarterly Management Fee	Promotional & Misc.	Monthly Grand Total	6			1

Age Group Expenses	11/12 yr olds 6-15 yr olds 6-7 yr olds
County	ı Barren Boyle Daviess
Education Detail Schooll Date Institution	3/24/2011 Hiseville Elemen Barren 3/30/2011 Sunrise Boyle 3/31/2011 DeerPark Elem Daviess
Approximate # of Attendees	
Event	Totals

			CORK	CORRECTED Weatherization	-	NOMES		AUDITS
VCENCY		FUNDS		AVG/HOME		TOIMES	Prod Year	Prod Year
AGENCI	Begin	April	Program Year 2011	Program Year 2011	Balance	ide	2011	2011
	Balance	\$1 854 13	\$43,908.97	\$1,829.54	19	2	24	
Audubon	\$39,U34.64	01.100,10	\$0.00	\$0.00			0	
Blue Grass	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Central	\$0.00		\$0.00	\$0.00			0	
Lake Cumberland	\$0.0¢		\$0.00	\$0.00			0	
Multi-Purpose	00.04		89 200 00	\$3,066.67	с		3	
Pennyrile	\$9,200.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Southern KY	20.04	an 000 ca	\$5 509 08	\$1.836.36	1	2	e	
	\$2,300.00	\$3,2U3-U0 \$8 063 21	\$58,618.05	\$1,953.94	23	7	30	0
Grand Total	\$50,554.04	17.000,00				1,158.71		
Ccf Savings		-	Rebates	0				
				Total	Drocessing	C.O.M.	Total	Total
		Ccf	Rebate	I OLAI	Runcesson		Eoo	Costs
Dobate Tune	Quantity	Savings	Amount	Rebate	Fee	Lee		
Reliate 1 ype	(	8			\$ 240.00	\$ 64.00	\$ 304.00	e ee
Elimace	32				<del>)</del> 6			θ
Tank Water Heater	30	753.00 \$	300.00		<del>о</del> <del>(</del> )	\$ 30.00		
ωr	10	5.288.10		\$ 15,400.00	\$ 540.00	\$ 154.00	\$ 694.00	\$ 16,094.00 \$ 1 667 09
lotais								
Promotional & Misc. Monthly Grand Total								\$ 17,761.09
			Education	uo				
	Number of	Number of	Aco Group	Fxnenses				
•	Presentations	SILIANDIC	Age group	19.5	19.57 Treats for DeerPark Elementary Students	Park Elemei	ntary Students	
				Education Detail				
			Euuva	C-hool		Ade		
	Event Number	Approximate # of Attendees	Date	scnool	County	Group	Expenses	1

ATMOS CARES MONTHLY REPORT CORRECTED

	AUDITS	2011 2011								0			Total	Conte	COSIS	\$ • 10.056.00		\$ 7,762.50		\$ 5,544.75 \$ 27,134.26								1
		Prog Year 2011	31	00			4	0	2	42			Tatal	10141	Fee	ч 9	<del>сэ</del> (		\$ 889.50								Expenses	
F	HOMES	May	7					-	4	12	1,986.36			C.O.M.	Fee	، ب		\$ 36.00									Age	1000
REPOR	Ť		Balance 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 00				Processing	Fee	-	\$ 360.00		\$ 187.50									County
ATMOS CARES MONTHLY REPORT May 2011	Weatherization	AVG/HOIME Program Year	2011 ©1 802 14	91,004				\$3,162.50		\$2,349.37	\$2,089.33		Rebates	Total	1 ULAI	Кераце		ት ዓ	÷ 49	11			Education	Expenses		Education Detail	School	Institution
DS CARE	We	Dronram Year	2011	\$58,656.34	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	@10 BED 00	\$0.00	\$16,445.58	\$87,751.92		Ret		Rebate	Amount		200.00					Edu	Age Group		70		Date
ATMO		S	May	\$14,747.37					\$3,450.00	#10 036 FU	\$10,330.30 \$20 133.87				Ccf	Savinds	0.00	5,296.80 \$	451.80 \$ 2 500 75 \$	8,258.35				Number of Students				Approximate # of Attendees
			Begin	Balance	\$40,300.00	80.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,200.00	\$0.00	\$5,509.08	\$58,618.05				Oundity	Qualitity	48	18	25 91				Number of	Fresemanous			Event Number
		ACENCY	AGEITA		Audubon	Blue Grass	Central	Lake Cumberlanu	Multi-Purpose	Pennynie Southern KV	West KY	Grand Total	Cef Savinds				Rebate Type	Boiler	Furnace Took Mater Heater	Tankless Water Heater	Promotional & Misc.	Monthly Grand Total			_			

### ATMOS CARES MONTHLY REPORT June 2011 Weatherization

VCENCY		FUNDS		AVG/HOME		HOMES		AUDITS
	Badin	-line	Program Year	Program Year	Begin	June	Prog Year	Prog Year
	Balance		2011	2011	Balance		2011	2011
Auduban	\$58,656,34	\$27,066,40	\$85,722.74	\$1,749.44	31	18	49	
Auduboit Bling Grace	80.00		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Diue di ass	00.02		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Velual Labo Cumborland	00.02		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
	00.08		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Deserveile	\$12 650 00		\$12.650.00	\$3,162.50	4		4	
Pennyrile	00.000,21 %		\$0.00	\$0.00	0		0	
Southern NT	\$15 AA5 58	\$73 20R 00	\$39,653,58	\$2.643.57	7	8	15	
West NT	\$87 754 02	\$50 274 40	\$138.026.32	\$2.029.80	42	26	68	0
GIAIU LOUAL	30.101.100	21-1-1-1-1-2-2-				4.303.78		
Cct Savings								
			Rebates	S				
							1	1-1-1

			Rebates					
		Ccf	Rebate	Total	Processing C.O.M.	C.O.M.	Total	Total
Rehate Tvne	Quantity	Savings	Amount	Rebate	Fee	Fee	Fee	Costs
		8 UU U	200.00 \$	1		، ب	æ	۰ ب
Boiler	28	3 08980 \$	200.00 \$	5,600.00	\$ 210.00	\$ 56.00	\$ 266.00	\$ 5,866.00
Furnace Tark Writer Honton	2 2	2022.00	200.00 \$	5,800.00	\$ 217.50	\$ 58.00	æ	\$ 6,075.50
Tankless Water Heater	12	1.204.68 \$	300.00	3,600.00	90.00	\$ 36.00		\$ 3,726.00
	69	5,022.38	\$	15,000.00	\$ 517.50	\$ 150.00 \$	\$ 667.50	\$ 15,667.50
Quarterly Management Fee								\$ 3,615.30
Promotional & Misc.							u	000000
Monthly Grand Total								\$ 19,282.8U
			Education					
	Number of	Number of						
	Presentations	Students	Age Group	Expenses				

	Expenses
	Age Group
	County
Education Detail	School/ Institution
Educat	Date
	Approximate # of Attendees
	Event Number

# ATMOS CARES MONTHLY REPORT July 2011 Weatherization

			Weatherization	zation				
		ET INDO		AVG/HOME		HOMES		AUDITS
AGENCY		LUNDS				Indv	Prod Year	Prog Year
	Beain	July	Program Year	Pro	IIIĥad			1110
		•	2011	2011	Balance		LLOZ	1102
	Balance		1	e 1764.19	49	7	56	
Audubon	\$ 85,722.74	\$ 13,067.75	5 AQ' 1 AO. 4 A				0	
Dire Grace	۰ ee		ۍ ۲	۰ ج			c	
Dide Glass			۰ دە	<del>،</del>				
Central	~		•	۰ ب	0		>	
Lake Cumberland	•		÷ •		0		0	
Multi Durnoco	•		۰ ۶	€			4	
Multi-r ul pose			\$ 12.650.00	3,162.50	4		r	
Pennyrile	00.000,21 \$			ч	0		0	
Couthorn KV	e e		•		L.		19	
Southern M.		¢ 837791 \$	\$ 48,030.79	S 2,527.94	0	T		
West KY	\$ 38,000.00	ľ		¢ 2018.62	68	11	79	0
Grand Total	\$ 138,026.32 \$	21,444.96	102.114,801 \$	•		1.820.83		
Ccf Savinds								
			Rebates	tes				
			Dohoto	Total	Processing C.O.M.	C.O.M.	Total	Total
		CCI	Levale			Ľ	u v v	Costs
0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Ouantity	Savinds	Amount	Rebate	ree	hee	LCC	8000
Repare Type	(annual)	\$ 000	\$ 200.00	У	' ዓ	י ש		- 0000 A
Roilar		22.2			6	20000 \$ 8000 \$		380.00 \$ 8.380.00

			Rebates					
				Takel	Droceeing C.O.M	MOO	Total	Total
		Ccf	Rebate	I OTAI	Frucessing			
1	Ouchtitur	Savinds	Amount	Rebate	Fee	Fee	ree	COSIS
Rebate Type	Quantury	Javings			e	e e	۰ د	ч 9
		0.00 \$	200.00 \$	•				\$ 8 380 00
Boller		5 00 2 2 2 2		8,000.00			A	
Furnace	40			00 000 6			ĥ	\$ 2,514.00
Tonk Mater Heater	12	301.20 \$			+ + CC uc 7	\$ 54.00	\$ 189.00	\$ 5.589.00
	18	1.807.02		5,4UU.UU		20.FD	1	00 007 07 0
Tankless water neater	2-	000010	Y	15 800.00		525.00 \$ 158.00 \$	\$ 683.00	
Totals	20	6,522.22	•					\$ 1,920.00
Promotional & Misc.								\$ 18,403.00
Monthly Grand Total								
•								
			Education	L				

	Expenses	60.68 order more pencils for classes
	Age Group	
Number of	Students	
Number of	Presentations	

	Age Group Expenses
	County
ation Detail	School/ Institution
Educat	Date
	Approximate # of Attendees
	Event Number

## ATMOS CARES MONTHLY REPORT August 2011 Weatherization

			Weatherization	ation				0
				AVICHOME		HOMES		AUDIIS
VUENOV		FUNDS		AVGUIOUL	Decin	Aur	Prog Year	Prog Year Prog Year
- DNIDDE	Donin	August	Program Year	Program Year	IIIĥaci		PP00	2011
			2011	2011	Balance		1102	107
	Balance			1011 11 11 11		c	0	
	e	، ج	، ج	10/NIC#		,		
Audubon	÷	+			0		0	
Dine Grace		' \$	•	TUNU.	•		C	
Dide Glass		e	¥	10//IC#	0			
Central	۰ ج	•	~		C		0	
	, e	، ج	۰ ډ	:0//)/#	,			
Lake Cumberland	9		e	#DIV/0I	0		D	
B4141 D	۱ د	۰ م	e A			c	C	
INIUI-Fui pose		e	u u	10//01 #DI//01	0	5	_	
Pennvrile	۰ ه	•	•		C		0	
	6	÷	، ډ	10/AI/1#	>		•	
Southern KY	Ð		6	#DIV/01	0	0	5	
Mact KV	•	•	•		4	-	0	0
	+-		دە	#DIV/01	2		,	
Grand Tota	•	~				0.00		
CCT SAVILIES			Rebates	es				
				I	MOU Duince O M		Total	Total
		3-0	Dohoto	013	FILCEPOLL	) ) )		

CCI SAVILIYS			Rehates					
				-	2 alocated		Total	Total
		Ccf	Rebate	Total				Crete
1	Ooutity	Savinds	Amount	Rebate	Fee	гее	ааг	- -
Rehate Type	Quantity	06	e oo oo		e.	، ج	' •	•
Boiler		0.00 \$	200.00 \$	6 000 00	\$ 225.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 285.00	ው ው
	30	3,310.50 \$			¢ 67.50	\$ 18.00	\$ 85.50	\$ 1,885.50
Furnace	<b>б</b>	993.15 \$		1,800.00		e 22.00	\$ 115.50	\$ 3.415.50
Tank Water Heater		276.10 \$		3,300.00	00.20	00.00		6
Tankless Water Heater			U	11.100.00	\$ 375.00	\$ 111.00	\$ 480.00	
Totals	20	4,579.75	•					
Promotional & Misc.								\$ 11,586.00
Monthly Grand Total								
			Education	u				

	Expenses
	Age Group
	County
Education Detail	School/ Institution
Educ	Date
	Approximate # of Attendees
	Event Number

Expenses

Age Group

Number of Students

Presentations Number of