# STITES & HARBISON PLLC

ATTORNEYS

September 30, 2011

#### HAND DELIVERED

Jeff R. Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602-0615

## PECEVED

SEP 3 0 2011

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2011-00300

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed please find the original and ten copies of Kentucky Power Company's responses to the Commission Staff's First Information Request to the Company.

A copy of the responses is being served on the Attorney General.

Very truly yours, TES & MAREISON, PLLC Mark'R. Overstreet

MRO Enclosure cc: Jennifer Black-Hans 421 West Main Street Post Office Box 634 Frankfort, KY 40602-0634 [502] 223-3477 [502] 223-4124 Fax www.stites.com

Mark R. Overstreet (502) 209-1219 (502) 223-4387 FAX moverstreet@stites.com

#### **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

## BEFORE THE

## PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

IN THE MATTER OF

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY ) FOR COLLABORATIVE DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT) PROGRAMS AND FOR AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT ) A TARIFF TO RECOVER COSTS AND NET LOST ) **REVENUES AND RECEIVE INCENTIVES ASSOCIATED** WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE KENTUCKY ) POWER COMPANY COLLABORATIVE DEMAND-SIDE ) MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS )

CASE NO. 2011-00300

KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS

September 30, 2011

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

Wade M. Claggett, upon being first duly sworn, hereby makes oath that if the foregoing questions were propounded to him at a hearing before the Public Service Commission of Kentucky, he would give the answers recorded following each of said questions and that said answers are true.

Wade M. Claggett

State of Ohio

County of Franklin

) Case No. 2011-00300

Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence by Wade M. Claggett, this the 27 Th day of September, 2011.

Notary Public

Martha J. Schell <sup>Public,</sup> State of Ohio My Commission Expires:

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

E.J. Clayton, upon being first duly sworn, hereby makes oath that if the foregoing questions were propounded to him at a hearing before the Public Service Commission of Kentucky, he would give the answers recorded following each of said questions and that said answers are true.

ES Uly E.J. Clayton

Commonwealth of Kentucky

County of Boyd

) Case No. 2011-00300

2444 Sworn to before me and subscribed in my presence by E.J. Clayton, this the day of September, 2011.

Debora Leigh Jones Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 3 - 20 - 2012

#### VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Lila P. Munsey, being duly sworn, deposes and says she is the Manager, Regulatory Services for Kentucky Power Company, that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the forgoing testimony and information contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief

Lila P. Mursey

Commonwealth of Kentucky

County of Franklin

) Case No. 2011-00300

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Lila P. Munsey, this the  $\frac{29^{16}}{12}$  day of September, 2011.

Hudy K Kosquist Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Anuary 23, 3013

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 1 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to the Joint Application ("Application") cover letter ("Letter"), page 2 of 3, which states, "[t]he DSM Collaborative is also requesting Commission approval in this filing, for a two-year extension of the Kentucky Power Modified Energy Fitness Program."

- a. Explain why this program is to be extended for two years while other programs evaluated in this filing are to be extended for three years.
- b. Through what month and year does Kentucky Power want this program extended?

#### RESPONSE

- a. A two year program extension is recommended because of the program's marginal costbenefit results. A new evaluation report is to be completed by June 2013 for program years 2011 and 2012. Recommendations provided in the evaluation report (tab 6, page 14) include utilizing demographic data to improve program marketing offered by the program contractor Honeywell to older customer homes. The demographic data can also be used with future evaluations to develop better statistical control groups from the AEP Customer Information System.
- b. Program is to be extended through December 2013.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 2 Page 1 of 4

#### Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 2 of 3 of the Letter, which states, "[t]he DSM Collaborative recommends 2012 Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification, or EM&V, services for 5 DSM programs to be provided by an external vendor. The EM&V services will begin October 2011 with the evaluation report to be developed through June 30, 2012."

- a. Explain whether Kentucky Power has already begun requesting bids from external vendors for EM&V services.
- b. If one has already been selected, identify the external vendor that will provide the EM&V services.
- c. Explain why Kentucky Power is seeking an external vendor to provide EM&V services when the Energy Efficiency/Demand Response ("EE/DR") Analytics Team of American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEPSC") is providing evaluations of certain programs in the current application.
- d. Explain whether an external vendor is more cost effective in providing EM&V services than the EE/DR Analytics Team of AEPSC. For the five programs, provide the projected evaluation cost by the external vendor versus the EE/DR Analytics Team of AEPSC.
- e. Explain whether the cost of the EM&V services of the EE/DR Analytics Team of AEPSC was charged directly to the Kentucky Power Demand-Side Management ("DSM") programs that it evaluated. If yes, provide the cost of the evaluations by program. If no, provide the actual evaluation costs that were incurred and explain how they were charged to Kentucky Power.
- f. Explain how the cost of an external vendor will be charged to Kentucky Power's DSM program evaluations.
- g. Who will perform the future evaluations of Kentucky Power's other DSM programs which are not being evaluated by an external vendor?
- h. Describe the process Kentucky Power will undertake to hire the external vendor.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 2 Page 2 of 4

- i. Describe the qualifications and experience Kentucky Power will require of the proposed e external vendor.
- j. Explain how and why the five programs proposed to be outsourced to an external vendor for EM&V were chosen.
- k. Explain why the programs other than the five chosen to be outsourced to an external vendor for EM&V were not included in the proposal to use an external vendor.

#### RESPONSE

- a. A request for proposal was developed and issued to 16 potential EM&V contractors on May 23, 2011.
- b. AEG Applied Energy Group.
- c. The AEPSC EE/DR Analytics Group recommended an external contractor for EM&V services because the group is not expected to be staffed at a level to efficiently provide future evaluations.
- d. A bid proposal was not provided by AEPSC EE/DR because they could not supply the services for 2012 EM&V evaluation. Because of this fact, there was no analysis done looking at cost effectiveness between an external vendor and the AEPSC EE/DR group.
- e. EM&V expenses for AEPSC EE/DR services were charged directly to the applicable DSM programs. AEPSC charges to support Kentucky Power DSM programs in general are recovered through base rates. Through June 30, 2011, the following expenses were directly charged by AEPSC EE/DR for program EM&V services and included with the evaluation category identified with each program in the status report:

<u>Program</u>	<u>*Cosť</u>
TEE	\$ 6,922
MHHP	\$ 5,748
MHNC	\$ 6,150
MEF	\$ 4,393
EEFS	\$ 6,081
COCFL	\$ 9,605
HEHP	\$ 11,849

\*Additional EM&V costs were pending and will be recorded for program reporting after June 30, 2011.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 2 Page 3 of 4

- f. The vendor will charge for the EM&V services separately by DSM program.
- g. KPCo will continue to evaluate the use of external vendors and the AEPSC EE/DR group.
- h. A request for proposal will be issued to EM&V companies approximately one year in advance of the scheduled evaluation completion date. Vendor proposals will be evaluated and a recommendation for approval will be proposed to the DSM collaborative. Upon approval, the company will secure an agreement with the vendor for the EM&V services.
- i. The following qualifications will be specified in the vendor Request for Proposal:

## 1.7 Minimum Qualifications

Bidders for this project must possess and demonstrate extensive experience with the evaluation of DSM Programs and the management of teams of evaluators to the extent the bidder proposes to use multiple firms to support the Scope of Work.

#### Section 6 – Qualifications

The ability of the bidder to manage large projects is critical, and will be a key criterion in selection. Specifically, the bidders should provide:

- A description of the primary Contractor and a summary of the bidder's strengths related to evaluation of the DSM programs.
- At least three descriptions of projects of similar magnitude and complexity, including a description of the project, its budget, duration, results achieved and client contact information. Bidders may, at their discretion, also provide client letters of reference.
- The name of the proposed project manager and a summary of his/her qualifications (including a bio);
- Names and qualifications summaries for all key staff of the primary Contractor (including bios);
- For all proposed sub-contractors (if any), the same information requested of the primary Contractor;
- A description of the resources and systems proposed for the conduct of customer surveys; and

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 2 Page 4 of 4

A description of any specialized software systems used by the Contractor and any subcontractors, such as energy analysis software and terms of use (e.g., owned, leased for continual use, leased as needed, etc.).

- j. The five programs were scheduled for evaluation based on the original program filings that were submitted and approved in Case 2010-00095 and Case 2010-0198.
- k. The proposed schedule includes evaluation of other programs to be completed by June 2014.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

.

,

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 4 of the Targeted Energy Efficiency ("TEE") evaluation report.

- a. Explain whether the Weatherization Assistance Program ("WAP") dollars were part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act ("ARRA") of 2009.
- b. If the answer to part a. of this request is yes, explain whether participation in the TEE program was affected in any way due to the WAP funds.
- c. Describe how Kentucky Power expects the TEE program to be affected once the WAP funds expire on March 31, 2012.

#### RESPONSE

- a. Yes, ARRA contributed 70 million dollars to the Weatherization program in Kentucky from April 2009 through March 2012. During this time, all 23 agencies that received ARRA allocations have significantly ramped up production and created increased capacity within the program.
- b. CAAs struggled in program years 2009-2011 to ramp up to the pace that was necessary to spend the ARRA allocations due to the time it took to purchase equipment, add administrative staff and train field crews. CAAs were given a mandate from Kentucky Housing Corporation to spend ARRA allocations first. This mandate has made it difficult to spend allocations for DSM programs during this time. However, future DSM program expenditures will be more important as the ARRA dollars will be no longer available as of March 31, 2012.
- c. As of August 2011, CAAs in Kentucky are providing retrofit services to approximately 500 homes each month across the Commonwealth. Once the ARRA funds are spent, much of this trained workforce will have to find work elsewhere in other energy retrofit capacities or other industries. Regular DOE funding for WAP will most likely continue but at a reduced amount so CAAs will be even more dependent upon having multiple funding sources, relying strongly on existing DSM partnerships to continue their weatherization programs.

WITNESS: E J Clayton / Wade Waggett

## **Kentucky Power Company**

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 6 of the TEE evaluation report. It states "KPC promoted the program solely through an established network of Community Action Agencies. Five (5) agencies are involved with the TEE program, but only three (3) participate actively."

- a. Explain whether the Community Action Agencies ("CAA") also have weatherization programs independent of Kentucky Power which use WAP or other government-supplied funds.
- b. If the answer to part a. of this request is yes, explain whether this creates any conflict of participation goals between the weatherization programs of Kentucky Power and the CAA as mentioned on page 6 under the caption Delivery Mechanism.
- c. Provide the TEE weatherization goals established by Kentucky Power and its Demand-Side Management Collaborative ("Collaborative") of each CAA for 2011 and the most current number of homes weatherized by each CAA through the Kentucky Power TEE program.
- d. Does Kentucky Power know the most current number of homes weatherized by each CAA through its own weatherization program? If yes, provide the information for each CAA.
- e. Explain whether Kentucky Power personnel interact personally with CAA weatherization personnel to encourage participation in Kentucky Power's TEE program.
- f. Explain whether Kentucky Power has explored other options to market the WAP.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 4 Page 2 of 3

#### RESPONSE

c.

- a. All five Community Action Agencies within the Kentucky Power service area have active federally funded weatherization programs.
- b. Both the TEE Program and the DOE WAP share the common goal of increased energy efficiency. Both have procedures that must be followed to ensure that the measures performed have provided Savings to Investment ratios of at least 1:1 to those households. WAP under ARRA created the unusual problem of having to operate two programs simultaneously, with the WAP receiving an almost 400% annual increase in funding. As a result, agencies struggled to reach DSM set production goals. We do not anticipate this being an issue after ARRA expires.

As Reported through August 31, 2011				
Provider	Electric Heated Home Allocation	YTD Electric Heated Home Completed	Non - Electric Heated Home Allocation	YTD Non - Electric Heated Home Completed
BIG SANDY	100	29	6	1
GATEWAY	5	Ŭ	2	0
LKLP	155	80	35	2
MIDDLE KY	5	O	2	0
NORTHEAST	. 85	51	10	7
TOTALS	350	160	55	10

d. Please see the table below for information regarding the number of homes weatherized by each CAA in 2011:

	Homes		
	Weatherized		
Agency	<u>in 2011</u>		
Big Sandy	210		
Northeast	112		
LKLP	178		
Gateway	72		
Middle	64		

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 4 Page 3 of 3

- e. CAK's Energy Programs Director, Michael Moynahan, is a member of the DSM Collaborative and attends all Quarterly meetings chaired by E.J. Clayton at Kentucky Power. Goals, production notes and current issues are discussed at these meetings. These meetings are also attended by the CAAs.
- f. KPCo has not explored any options to market WAP.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 13 of the TEE evaluation report which states, "for purposes of reporting and cost recovery in Kentucky, only costs incremental to the Company after beginning the program offerings are included in the costs. Employee labor costs are not included for recovery purposes, unless new labor was utilized incrementally and specifically for DSM program implementation. For the TEE program, all costs of the implementation of the program are considered for cost-benefit tests, even if Kentucky Power did not bear the costs. All Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) dollars were included to account for the government involvement in the program."

- a. Explain the meaning of "costs incremental to the Company."
- b. Explain whether any new labor was utilized incrementally and specifically for DSM program implementation.
- c. Explain whether any costs not borne by Kentucky Power were included when performing the cost-benefit tests.
- d. Provide the amount of WAP dollars from government involvement in the program that were included in the cost-benefit tests.
- e. If all employee dollars applicable to DSM programs were included for recovery purposes, explain whether the TEE program would still be cost effective.

#### RESPONSE

- a. "Costs incremental to the Company" are those expenditures that were not previously incurred prior to the program offering and are directly related to the program.
- b. No new labor was utilized incrementally for DSM program implementation.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 5 Page 2 of 2

- c. Costs due to Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) dollars were included for costbenefit tests wherever the evaluation report labels the test as "KPC + WAP".
- d. Refer to page 14 of the TEE Evaluation Report, table "Program Costs by Year and Type" for WAP dollars included in the cost-benefit tests.
- e. All employee dollars applicable to DSM programs, whether recoverable in the DSM adjustment factor or not, were included in the benefit/cost analysis.

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 6 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 13 of the TEE evaluation report. It states, "[u]nrecoverable administrative costs from KPC and AEPSC staff were not filed, but included for analysis."

- a. Explain what is meant by "unrecoverable" administrative costs. Does it mean not recoverable through the DSM factor, but recovered through base rates?
- b. If the costs are recovered through base rates, to what account are these costs charged?

#### RESPONSE

- a. The referred to administrative costs are those not recovered through the DSM adjustment factor but recovered through base rates.
- b. These costs are charged to account 9080009.

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett/E. J. Clayton

~

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 7 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 17 of the TEE evaluation report. It states, "KPC-only results were positive, and based solely on KPC's participation, the program should continue." The projected Total Resource Cost ("TRC") for 2012-2014 Winter Peak Cost Effectiveness Analysis is 1.95. Explain whether Kentucky Power or the CAA would ever consider not continuing the TEE program, since it is cost effective and provides a societal benefit.

#### RESPONSE

The recommendation to continue the program is primarily based on overall value, including the cost-benefit analysis. If the program was deemed to not be cost effective, then the Company would consider the societal benefit before making a final decision.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

. .

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 18 of the TEE evaluation Report. Recommendation 2 states, "[f]uture costs should be captured in a more organized and delineated manner. Each program should have its own accounting area (project ID), separate from the other KPC business. Within each project, there should be a consistent set of cost descriptions for each program to account for utility admin, implementation admin, materials, marketing, incentives, and evaluation."

- a. Explain whether Kentucky Power properly captured the cost by each program in this filing in Schedule C when calculating the proposed DSM factor.
- b. Explain whether Kentucky Power properly classified the costs applicable to each DSM program for cost recovery filed in this application.
- c. Explain how Kentucky Power captured the cost of each DSM program for cost recovery filed in this application.

#### RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power properly captured the cost of each program in the Schedule C when calculating the proposed DSM adjustment factor.
- b. Kentucky Power also properly classified the costs applicable to each DSM program for cost recovery.
- c. Kentucky Power receives booked expenses from AEPSC Utility General and Regulatory Accounting for each DSM program and reconciles the expenses to payment records on a monthly basis. The reconciled DSM expenses are recorded and allocated to specific cost categories which are reported for each program included in the Status Report.

,

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 9 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 18 of the TEE evaluation report. Recommendation 4 states, "KPC staff labor time spent on the Program should be captured so that the true cost of delivering the program can be known."

- a. Explain whether Kentucky Power staff labor time spent on the program means for cost-benefit tests or recovery purposes.
- b. If Kentucky Power staff labor time spent on the program was included for recovery purposes, explain what the labor and benefit amount would be.

#### RESPONSE

- a. The phrase means for the cost-benefits tests. The cost-benefit test analysis attempts to incorporate all appropriate costs, whether recoverable in the DSM adjustment factor or not. Because the KPC staff labor is not included in the DSM adjustment factor, it was not captured at a detail level to assign it to a specific program. Therefore, an estimate based proportion of KPC staff labor was added to each program.
- b. N/A. KPC staff labor is not included for recovery purposes in the DSM adjustment factor.

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 10 Page 1 of 2

## **Kentucky Power Company**

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 18 of the TEE evaluation report. Recommendation 6 states, "KPC should consider adding another employee to help with in-the-field audits and ride-along trips so that current KPC staff can focus on program management." The table below summarizes the TEE program weatherization goals for 2009 and actual number of homes weatherized in 2010, along with the goals for 2011 and the actual number of homes weatherized in the first six months of the 2011.

	2009		cipants 010	2011		
Targeted Energy Efficiency (TEE) Months	Goals	Actual	Goals	Actual	Goals	Actual 1st Six
All Electric Non-All Electric	210 78	259 83	415 78	346 54	350 55	110 6

- a. Provide the number of Kentucky Power full-time employees ("FTE") involved in DSM for 2009 and 2010, and for 2011 as of the date of this request.
- b. If Kentucky Power were to employ another DSM FTE, would the employee's cost be charged to base rates or the applicable DSM programs? If the answer is the applicable DSM programs, what would Kentucky Power estimate the approximate salary and benefit cost to be.
- c. If all costs, including salary, benefits, employee expense, and office supplies of the current DSM FTEs and potential additional employees were charged directly to the applicable DSM programs, explain whether the TEE program would still be cost-effective, meaning the TRC is equal to or greater than 1.0.
- d. Provide the most recently available number, as of the date of this request, of in-thefield visits to each CAA office, and audits performed by Kentucky Power staff for 2011.

#### RESPONSE

a. The Kentucky Power employee staffing is provided as follows;

Year	FTE
2009	1
2010	3
2011	3

- b. The EE/DR Analytics (EEDRA) team's recommendation was to add an incremental employee to KPC specifically for DSM purposes. If KPC were to add an additional employee, the employee's costs would be recovered through base rates.
- c. There is no way of knowing if another employee at KPC would result in a TRC greater than 1.0 in the future, as the predictive uncertainty intervals of any stochastic statistical model, such as DSMore, are very large. However, if we assume:

- labor costs from an additional employee
- 0 no net benefits (costs only) from the labor

then, since the NPV benefits of the TRC were over \$300,000 larger than the NPV costs for both the winter and summer analyses, it would be a safe assumption that at least one employee could be included and the program would still be cost-effective from KPC's perspective.

d. As of September 14, Kentucky Power staff has had the following results for in-thefield visits to each CAA office:

	Number of	Number of
Agency	<u>Visits</u>	<u>Audits</u>
Big Sandy	2	2
Northeast	0	0
LKLP	2	3
Gateway	0	0
Middle	1	0

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett/E.J. Clayton

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 11 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to Case No. 2008-00350, Targeted Energy Efficiency Program, 2006-2007 Load Impact Evaluation Report, page 6. It states, "[t]o) capture accurate temperatures, information from the Ashland, Kentucky weather station was used." Explain whether the Ashland, Kentucky weather information was used for the current analysis.

#### RESPONSE

No, data from the Ashland, Kentucky weather station was not used in this analysis. Weather from the Covington, KY station was used.

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 12 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 14 of the Mobile Home Heat Pump ("MHHP") program evaluation report. Recommendations 6 and 7 state, "KPC should request AEP add fields or processes to capture. . ." heating, ventilation, and air conditioning ("HVAC") information on their customers and building type on their customers. Explain whether these fields are in American Electric Power's ("AEP") Customer Information System or on the brochure or application that Kentucky Power customers complete for participation in the program, or both.

#### RESPONSE

HVAC related field data is not presented because it either is not available in the AEP Customer Information System (CIS) called MACSS (Marketing and Customer Services System), or the fields exist but are not being populated. Having the fields on the brochure does not provide the necessary value to the analysis as the problem lies in the data not being available for the population customers, which is used to provide a comparable control group.

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett
KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 13 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 14 of the MHHP program evaluation report. Recommendation 9 states, "KPC staff should perform on-site installation audits for a small sample of participants. This may necessitate adding another employee."

- a. Explain whether this additional employee would also be responsible for doing inthe-field audits for the TEE program.
- b. Provide the most recently available number, as of the date of this request, of onsite audits performed by Kentucky Power staff for 2011.

### RESPONSE

- a. A new employee could be used for program management in any of the programs. The program responsibilities may be shared between the existing KPCo staff based on work assignments and/or geographic work location.
- b. Kentucky Power staff currently does not perform on-site audits for the MHHP program.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 14 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 14 of the MHHP program evaluation report. Recommendation 10 states, "KPC should gather information from the dealers about customers that were interested in the program but declined to participate. Using that information, KPC should then sample the customer list and perform a non-participant survey to find any reasons for non-participation."

- a. How frequently does Kentucky Power staff personally visit HVAC dealers to promote the MHHP program and discuss marketing of the program to potential customers?
- b. Is there a brochure, other than the Exhibit 1—Fact Sheet on page 26, that is available to HVAC dealers that would aid them in educating customers as to the benefits of participating in the program?

#### RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power staff conducts site visits when the HVAC dealers make time available in their schedules. Kentucky Power staff completed 44 site visits to HVAC dealers in 2011.
- b. No. There is only one brochure offered for the program. However, Kentucky Power does provide information regarding the program on its website (Kentuckypower.com).

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 15 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Refer to page 15 of the Mobile Home New Construction ("MHNC") evaluation report. Recommendation 2 states, "[g]reater scrutiny should be applied to data collection and tracking." Explain whether Kentucky Power keeps a list, by customer, of minimal information as to what measures have been installed.

#### RESPONSE

Yes. Kentucky Power keeps a list of measures, by customer, that includes the size, make and model number of the unit installed.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 16 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 15 of the MHNC evaluation report. Recommendation 7 states, "KPC should gather information from the dealers about customers that were interested in the program but declined to participate. Using that information, KPC should then sample the customer list and perform a non-participant survey to find any reasons for non-participation."

- a. How frequently does Kentucky Power staff personally visit the manufactured housing dealers to promote the MHNC program and discuss marketing of the program to potential customers?
- b. Is there a brochure, other than the Exhibit 1—Fact Sheet on page 19, that is available to manufactured housing dealers that would aid them in educating customers as to the benefits of participating in the program?

### RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power staff schedules visits to each manufactured housing dealer at least once per year. Some dealers are visited more often as needed.
- b. No. There is only one brochure offered for the program. However, Kentucky Power does provide additional information regarding the program on its website (Kentuckypower.com).

## REQUEST

Refer to page 6 of the Modified Energy Fitness ("MEF") program evaluation report. It states, "[t]his evaluation was the second consecutive evaluation to find that the billing analysis did not support the validity of previous energy values used. The root cause of the disagreement appears to be the same as the previous evaluation indicated, mainly, that the mechanism for choosing participants is selecting homes to weatherize that do not extract the most savings from the measures installed."

- a. Explain whether Kentucky Power's implementation contractor, Honeywell International ("HI"), is working to better select homes where the electric bills can be reduced and meet corporate energy efficiency goals.
- b. Explain whether Kentucky Power believes that, until this situation is resolved, its staff should perform more than a quarterly on-site audit.
- c. Provide the most recently available number of on-site audits, as of the date of this request, even though they have been quarterly, that were performed by Kentucky Power staff for 2011.

### RESPONSE

- a. Yes. Honeywell International is working to better select homes where the electric bills can be reduced.
- b. A quarterly inspection is considered acceptable to maintain adequate quality controls with the implementation contractor.
- c. Two on-site home audits have been completed in 2011.

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 7 of the MEF evaluation report. It states, "[a]s a whole, data collection and tracking was performed adequately from Honeywell's perspective. However, the exchange of data between Honeywell and AEP is very troublesome." Describe what Kentucky Power and HI have done to improve the exchange of data.

#### RESPONSE

The data exchange problem is not between KPC and HI; it is between the evaluator and HI. Evaluators, even those at a large corporation, are limited to the toolsets they have available to them. The EEDRA team is capable of handling data in a relational database form, such as Oracle, DB2, SQL Server, or MS Access, or in a warehouse "flat file" form in SAS, comma-separated files (CSVs), or Excel. HI provides its data in relational database form in separate "dbase" files, a technology that is not supportable by the EEDRA team. The recommendation was that, in the future, HI should provide its data in a common format agreeable to the evaluator.

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 19 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 7 of the MEF evaluation report. It states, "[s]poradic pieces of data were missing that are required to produce engineering estimates."

- a. Describe what kind of pieces of information was missing.
- b. Explain whether underestimating the demand savings by 61 percent in the Collaborative reports influenced the cost-effectiveness tests results of the program.

### RESPONSE

- a. "Missing" in this context meant desired information was not available to the evaluator. Due to problems in getting usable data from HI, all engineering estimates were based on deemed savings values. If information was provided on what types of CFLs were installed, the type of heating system, heating fuel, type of air conditioning system, number, if any, of window air conditioning systems, etc... then that data could not be located by the evaluator, and was not used due to the data format used by HI.
- b. The underestimation of demand savings in the Collaborative reports is not used in any of the evaluation cost-benefit calculations.

### REQUEST

Refer to page 8 of the MEF evaluation report. It states, "one comment of dissatisfaction was "an installer cracking a door." If the door was cracked by the installer, explain whether the customer's door was repaired or replaced, and by whom.

#### RESPONSE

The door was not repaired or replaced. The customer did not report the complaint to program service provider (Honeywell), and the customer refused release of information for follow-up by utility company. The survey company reporting the customer comment called the customer to request permission for utility follow-up but the customer refused.

### REQUEST

Refer to page 13 of the MEF evaluation report. It states, "the results of the billing analysis show that the program will not be cost effective for any of the applicable tests in 2012-2014."

- a. Explain whether this is the reason for requesting only a two-year extension of the MEF program.
- b. If the applicable cost-effectiveness tests show the MEF program not to be costeffective, explain whether Kentucky Power and the Collaborative support discontinuing the program.
- c. Should the MEF program be continued after December 31, 2011, if the program is not going to be cost-effective in 2012-2014? Explain.

#### RESPONSE

- a. This statement on page 13 of the MEF evaluation report is a misstatement; the results show a positive NPV for both the PACT and the TRC. This was not the reason for requesting only a two-year extension of the MEF program. That recommendation was made in light of the negative NPV results for the 2009-2010 analysis and the low (yet positive) NPV results for the 2012-2014 results. Evaluating sooner was recommended to ensure that changes are made to improve the cost effectiveness of the program.
- b. The EEDRA team expects that the cost-effectiveness of the program may become positive if program change recommendations are adopted. If the 2013 evaluation is not cost effective, then Kentucky Power may recommend termination of the program to the DSM Collaborative.
- c. The EEDRA team expects that the cost-effectiveness of the program may become positive if the steps taken in the recommendations are adopted. Additional evaluation was recommended to ensure that changes are made to improve the cost effectiveness of the program.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 22 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 14 of the MEF evaluation report. Recommendation 10 recommends "adding another employee to help with in-the-fields audits, ride-along trips and other general work required with the MEF and other programs." Explain whether this additional employee would also do work on the TEE and MHHP programs.

#### RESPONSE

A new employee could be used for program management in any of the programs. The program responsibilities may be shared between the existing KPCo staff based on work assignments and/or geographic work location.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 23 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 17 of the High Efficiency Heat Pump ("HEHP") evaluation report. Recommendation 6 states, "KPC should request AEP add fields to the AEP CIS to capture HVAC information on their customers. This would provide a more accurate way of comparing the participant group to the population for billing purposes." Describe the means through which Kentucky Power currently gathers information for the HEHP program.

### RESPONSE

Kentucky Power staff gathers information for the HEHP program by collecting and processing HEHP incentive applications. The incentive applications are sent to Kentucky Power via fax or mail by the HVAC dealers following equipment installation and are date stamped upon receipt. The incentive applications are then reviewed, validated, and logged into a database prior to payment processing. After the application is logged into the database, the customer's account is noted with the dates of receipt and processing of the application. Dealer and customer payments are then processed.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 24 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 17 of the HEHP evaluation report. Recommendation 8 is "KPC staff should perform on-site installation audits for a small sample of participants. This may necessitate adding another employee."

- a. Provide the number of on-site audits, as of the date of this request, performed by Kentucky Power staff for 2011.
- b. Explain whether this additional employee also does work on the TEE, MHHP, and HEHP programs.

### RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power staff currently does not perform on-site audits for the HEHP program.
- b. A new employee could be used for program management in any of the programs. The program responsibilities may be shared between the existing KPCo staff based on work assignments and/or geographic work location.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 25 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 17 of the HEHP evaluation report. Recommendation 9 is "KPC should gather information from the dealers about customers that were interested in the program but declined to participate."

- a. Provide the number of field visits by Kentucky Power staff to HVAC dealers to discuss the HEHP program to date for 2011.
- b. Is there a brochure, other than the Exhibit 2—Fact Sheet on page 34, that is available to HVAC dealers that would aid them in educating customers as to the benefits of participating in the program?

### RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power staff did not keep detailed records of contacts to HVAC dealers prior to April of 2011. Between February and September 21, 2011, Kentucky Power staff completed and recorded 44 field visits with HVAC dealers.
- b. No. There is only one brochure offered for the program. However, Kentucky Power does provide information regarding the program on its website (Kentuckypower.com).

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 26 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to page 16 of the Community Outreach CFL evaluation report. Recommendation 1 is, "[t]herefore, it is our opinion that the COCFL program should continue through 2014, with periodic evaluations to ensure the program is still cost effective." Given that incandescent bulbs are to be phased out by 2014, explain whether Kentucky Power believes it should continue to spend resources on compact fluorescent light bulbs or should this be left to the consumer.

#### RESPONSE

Based on the report evaluation, the Company recommends a three year program extension. The evaluation report predicts that the program will remain cost effective through 2014. Following the spring 2014 evaluation, KPCo will be in a position to make a recommendation to continue, modify, or terminate the program.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 27 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 16 of the Energy Education for Students Program ("EEFS") evaluation report. Recommendation 6 is, "[t]o increase teacher workshop participation, consideration should be given to providing an additional incentive to the teachers related to their time requirements for attending the workshop."

- a. Explain what would be considered an additional incentive.
- b. Explain whether the cost of an additional incentive would be recovered through the DSM factor.

#### RESPONSE

- a. KPC staff indicated the greatest barrier to adoption was with teacher involvement. Any incentive, financial or otherwise, that would increase teacher interest would be considered an additional cost to the program; however, incentives to the teachers would not be considered as incentives under the definition of the costbenefit tests.
- b. Yes, any incentive that affects the participation rates of program participants would be treated as an expenditure that is recovered through the DSM adjustment factor.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 28 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

#### REQUEST

Refer to page 16 of the EEFS evaluation report. Recommendation 7 suggests an additional survey of participants. Who would be surveyed, the teachers, students, or the parents of the students to determine the persistence of the savings over the expected CFL life?

### RESPONSE

The participants of any program are defined as the KPC customers, in this case the parents. They would need to be surveyed to determine the persistence of the CFL installations.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 29 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Refer to page 16 of the EEFS evaluation report. Recommendation 8 states that "[e]ducation materials should be reexamined to ensure that the bulbs are recommended to be installed in an area to gain the maximum savings."

- a. Is this Kentucky Power's educational material or the National Energy Education Development ("NEED") educational material?
- b. Who would best know how to revise educational materials for middle school students, Kentucky Power or NEED?

### RESPONSE

- a. The education material provided is NEED's material. However, the supplemental education recommended can be provided in the NEED packet, or by KPC directly. The supplemental education would involve informing the students about which rooms in a home have the highest number of lighting hours in a given day.
- b. NEED knows best how to revise their material. However, KPC reviews all educational material to ensure that the material is in line with KPC's goals.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 30 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

## REQUEST

Provide a comparison of 2011 participant goals by program and actual participation by each program for 2011, as of the date of this request, and explain whether Kentucky Power expects the 2011 goals for each program will be met by the end of the year, and if not, why.

## RESPONSE

Participant goals for most programs are expected to be achieved based on end of the year projections. However, the small commercial High Efficiency HP/AC may end 2011 at lower than expected levels due to dealer and customer response to the program. A four week newspaper promotion was recently completed for HVAC programs, and the net effect for increased customer participation is undetermined at this time. Also, the Mobile Home New Construction program may end the year at lower than expected levels due to weak home sales.

The following table includes actual participant data reported through September 14, 2011.

PROGRAM	ACTUAL PARTICIPANTS YTD	PARTICIPANT GOALS	
Target Energy Efficiency - All Electric	160	350	
Target Energy Efficiency - Base Load	10	55	
High Efficiency Heat Pump - Mobile Home	136	230	
Mobile Home New Construction	110	205	
Modified Energy Fitness Program	906	· 1,200	
Energy Education for Students	938	2,000	
Community Outreach Compact Fluorescent Lamp	4,089	4,800	
High Efficiency Heat Pump	493	772	
Residential Efficient Products - units	108,225	136,745	
Small Commercial High Efficiency HP/AC	20	65	
Residential Commercial HVAC Diagnostic and Tune-up	722	<b>680</b>	
Commercial Incentive	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Residential Commercial Load Management - switches	0	550 ÷	
KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 31 Page 1 of 5

### Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Refer to tab Schedule C of the Application. Page 17A-2 shows the following lost revenue factors:

Program	Lost Revenue Factor per kWh
Commercial High Efficiency Heat Pump/Air Conditioner - Air Conditioner	\$0.14803
Commercial High Efficiency Heat Pump/Air Conditioner - Heat Pump Replacement	\$0.58599
Commercial Incentive Program	\$0.25657

- a. Confirm that the factors are correct.
- b. If the answer to part a. of this request is no, provide the correct lost revenue factors for each program.

### RESPONSE

- a. The lost revenue factors for the Commercial High Efficiency Heat Pump Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Replacement Programs and the Commercial Incentive Program as listed above are incorrect. This error was originally noted and corrected in the Second Set of Data Request Responses for Case No. 2011-00055, Item No. 2. The wrong file was inadvertently picked up when creating the file for the current review period and therefore resulted in the incorrect lost revenue factors being supplied in the current Schedule C page 17A-2.
- b. The correct lost revenue factors for the Commercial High Efficiency Heat Pump Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Replacement Programs and the Commercial Incentive Program as previously noted in Case No. 2011-00055 are:

Commercial High Efficiency Heat Pump / Air Conditioner - Air Conditioner	\$0.06482
Commercial High Efficiency Heat Pump / Air Conditioner - Heat Pump Replacement	\$0.06482
Commercial Incentive Program	\$0.06603

Attached please find an updated copy of the lost revenue factors for the above listed programs. An updated Schedule C with corrected lost revenue factors on Exhibit C, Page 17A-2 is located on the enclosed CD and titled "DSM 186 Month - Year 2011 - 1st half + 3rd & 4th Qtrs.xls".

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

## Kentucky Power Power Twelve Months Ended 12/31/2010 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

Monthiy Lost Revenue	(12) = (4X10X11)			\$296,150 \$575 \$372 \$377 \$1,997 \$11,177 \$11,177 \$1159 \$137 \$159 \$159 \$159 \$159 \$159 \$159 \$159 \$159		S212,727 5143 5143 52,715 52,256 513,448 568 568 5350 5350 5350 5350 5350 5350 5350 535		\$22,483 \$0 \$67 \$14,914 \$114 \$114 \$37 \$50		S55,798 S320 5320 51476 51479 55,049 54,049 54,049 54,049 54,049 54,05 55 55 55 55 5173 5173 5173 555 555 555 556	\$650,459	\$82.29 \$987.48
Average Monthly Reduction	(11) = Annual kWH/12 (1			1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333		1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333		1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333		1,246,3333 1,246,33333 1,246,456,333333 1,246,33333 1,246,456,456,456,456,456,456,456,456,456,4		14,956
Net Realization	(10) = (9/2)			\$0.06762 \$0.05771 \$0.0576 \$0.05169 \$0.05545 \$0.05533 \$0.05533 \$0.05533 \$0.05533 \$0.05533 \$0.03789 \$0.03285 \$0.032465 \$0.032465 \$0.032465 \$0.032465		\$0.05749 \$0.05722 \$0.05313 \$0.05657 \$0.05657 \$0.05626 \$0.05628 \$0.05428 \$0.04683 \$0.04683 \$0.07882 \$0.02882 \$0.02882 \$0.03495		\$0.06731 \$0.00000 \$0.05358 \$0.05866 \$0.04583 \$0.04583 \$0.03985 \$0.03985		\$0.06627 \$0.06626 \$0.056426 \$0.05635 \$0.02995 \$0.05335 \$0.05335 \$0.05549 \$0.05549 \$0.05549 \$0.05647 \$0.05474 \$0.04467 \$0.04467 \$0.044052 \$0.034748 \$0.0347487 \$0.0347487 \$0.034768	\$0.05690	\$0.06603
Net Revenue	(9) = (6-8)			\$17,011,557 \$79,769 \$79,769 \$9,647 \$7,397,770 \$9,647 \$7,397,770 \$9,86,344 \$186,344 \$186,344 \$186,344 \$186,344 \$183,343,755 \$557,098		\$12,552,075 \$32,526 \$60,277 \$133,867 \$102,842 \$102,842 \$3102,842 \$326,214 \$522,059 \$85,036 \$368,727 \$368,727		\$1,725,154 \$89 \$1,796 \$9,424,755 \$9,424,755 \$127,813 \$81,078 \$81,078 \$185,500		S4,413,562 \$22,095 \$17,784 \$854 \$854 \$854 \$854,500 \$354,500 \$354,500 \$354,500 \$354,500 \$351,50 \$37,225 \$30,901 \$37,225 \$30,901 \$37,7164 \$317,164	\$74,639,953	
Total Customer Revenue	(B) = (4X7)X12			\$569,268 \$2,400 \$5,320 \$5,320 \$2,184 \$165,240 \$225,704 \$225,704 \$33,832 \$33,832 \$23,832		\$409,598 \$600 \$1,476 \$1,476 \$199,920 \$1,020 \$5,491 \$5,424 \$5,426 \$5,426 \$5,426 \$5,426 \$5,524		\$43,416 \$300 \$306 \$308,080 \$3,060 \$6,426 \$3,312 \$3,312		\$117,288 \$1,200 \$555 \$545 \$33,432 \$74,460 \$6,120 \$4,590 \$1,530 \$1,600 \$1,530\$20 \$1,530\$\$1,530	\$1,988,325	
Customer Charge	(2)			\$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30 \$14.30 \$14.30 \$12.00 \$127.50 \$127.50 \$127.50 \$255.50 \$255.50 \$276.00 \$276.00 \$276.00 \$276.00		\$13.50 \$25.00 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$127.50 \$635.50 \$81.80 \$81.80 \$81.80 \$81.80		\$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$85.00 \$127.50 \$535.50 \$276.00 \$276.00		\$13.50 \$25.00 \$10.80 \$13.50 \$13.50 \$14.30 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$127.50 \$87.75 \$87.60 \$87.60 \$22.50 \$22.50		
Revenue Excl. All Fuel	(6) = (3-5)			S17,580,825 S82,169 S2,874 S86,088 S8,831 S1,009,248 S187,7048 S187,7048 S187,7048 S187,7048 S187,7048 S187,7048 S187,7048 S187,7048 S187,703 S581,730		\$12,961,773 \$33,126 \$61,753 \$139,358 \$139,358 \$139,358 \$139,362 \$335,394 \$398,485 \$89,944 \$375,351		\$1,768,570 \$389 \$1,832 \$1,832 \$1,832 \$1,832 \$1,832 \$130,873 \$87,504 \$188,812		\$4,530,850 \$23,295 \$18,043 \$15,02 \$38,325 \$38,325 \$38,325 \$35,720,960 \$357,280 \$157,280 \$157,280 \$157,340 \$357,280 \$153,456 \$11,049,766 \$331,048,766 \$337,1,651	\$76,628,278	
Base Fuel Revenue	(5) = (2) X \$0.02840			57,144,850 539,257 51,280 543,829 52,884 52,896,702 5151,086 5151,086 5151,086 5151,086 5151,086 5151,086 5151,086 5152,088 5702,308		S5,281,929 S16,144 S32,219 S57,211 S4,881,302 S53,809 S197,816 S90,714 S45,622 S299,643		\$727,856 \$052 \$952 \$952,716 \$79,200 \$77,104 \$132,204		\$1,891,408 \$9,765 \$7,561 \$1,667 \$16,667 \$1,866,297 \$18,665,297 \$18,66,297 \$18,66,297 \$18,66,297 \$182,262 \$51,605 \$7,6405 \$2,6405 \$2,7605 \$2,7605 \$2,7605 \$2,7605 \$2,7605 \$2,7605 \$2,7605 \$2,775 \$2,6405 \$2,775 \$2,6405 \$2,775 \$2,775 \$2,6405 \$2,775\$\$2,775\$\$2,775\$\$2,775\$\$2,775\$\$2,775\$\$2,775\$\$2,775\$\$2,7	\$37,255,610	
Average No. of Customers	(4)			ເງ 4 ສ ຫ ເ 2 ແ 4 4 ຄ ຫ ເ 2 ແ 4 4 6 ຫ 4 4 6 ຫ 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		2,529 2,22 4,1 4,1 4,1 4,1 4,2 6 6 7 2,5 5 7		200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		72 4 4 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 4 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	7,904	
Tariff Summary Revenue Excl. 	(3)			224,725,675 \$121,426 \$121,426 \$121,917 \$11,715 \$11,715 \$11,359,212 \$1,650,311 \$338,762 \$338,762 \$56,264,060 \$51,284,038 \$51,284,038		\$18,243,702 \$49,270 \$93,972 \$206,569 \$14,542,945 \$14,542,945 \$15,671 \$533,210 \$135,566 \$135,566 \$135,566 \$135,566		\$2,496,426 \$389 \$2,784 \$2,784 \$2,784 \$2,784 \$2,708 \$2,70,073 \$164,608 \$321,016 \$321,016		\$6,422,348 \$33,060 \$25,624 \$55,022 \$55,027 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,022 \$55,027 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$19,527 \$10,527 \$10,557\$\$10,557\$\$10	\$113,883,888	
Billed & Accrual kWH	(2)			251,579,223 1,382,278 1,545,003 1,543,277 101,541 133,686,696 22,572,669 5,319,919 101,779,819 101,779,819 24,729,155 24,729,155		185,983,403 568,454 1,134,455 2,366,566 1,1876,838 1,894,675 6,594,675 3,194,175 3,194,175 3,194,175 1,606,400 10,550,819		25,628,748 0 33,520 160,659,021 2,788,735 2,788,735 2,788,735 2,788,735 2,788,735 2,788,735		66,602,050 343,840 266,349 28,514 58,7,918 65,77,672 6,417,672 6,417,672 3,350,631 845,264 269,022 29,839,168 6,346,528	1,311,817,288	
Tariff	(1)	COMMERCIAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM	<u>211 - Commercial</u>	215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Sec 223 MGSL PN & 220 MGSCC - P 229 MGS - TOD 229 MGSCC - S 226 MGSCC - S 224 LGS - Sec 244 LGS - Sub 368 QP - Sub 358 QP - Sub 359 QP - Sub	212 - Commercial - Space Heating	215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 221 MGS - Pri 228 MGS - TOD 229 MGS - TOD 240 LGE - Sec - M 244 LGS - Pri 248 LGS - Sub 261 LGS - LM 358 QP - Pri	213 - Public Authority - Schools	215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 221 MGS - LM 240 LGE - Sec 244 LGS - Pri 248 LGS - Sub 358 QP - Pri 358 QP - Pri	216 - Public Authority - Other	215 MGS.Sec&216 MGSCC.P 217 MGS.Pri 218 MGS.M.S 228 MGS.LM 229 MGS.LM 229 MGS.LM 229 MGS.Ec 240 LGS.Sec 240 LGS.Sec 244 LGS.Pri 244 LGS.Pri 244 LGS.Pri 244 LGS.Pri 246 LGS.M.P 264 LGS.Pri 264 MW	Total Commercial	Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 31 Page 3 of 5

Monthiy ·Lost Revenue	(12)
Average Monthly Reduction	(11) = Алпиа kWH/12
Net Realization	(10) = (9/2)
Net Revenue	(9) = (6-8)
Total Customer Revenue	(8) = (4X7)X12
Customer Charge	(2)
Revenue Excl. All Fuel	(6) = (3-5)
Base Fuel Revenue	(5) = (2) X \$0.02840 NDITIONER
Average No. of Customers	(4) 3RAM -AIR COI
Tariff Summary Revenue Excl. Fuel	(3) DITIONER PROC
Billed & Accrual ItWH	(2) T PUMP / AIR CONI
Tariff	<ul> <li>(5) = (2)</li> <li>(1)</li> <li>(2)</li> <li>(3)</li> <li>(4)</li> <li>(5, 2) (2)</li> <li>(4)</li> <li>(5, 2)</li> <li>(6, 2)</li> <li>(7, 2)</li> <li>(</li></ul>

S203.629         496         S39.067         S16,462         S7.50         S44,820         S11,8742           S215,444         505         S377,648         57.70         S2,277,772         S8,003,003         \$17.50         \$5,516,943         \$17,2142           S215,444         505         S377,508         513.50         \$5,2266,600         \$5,516,943         \$12,200           S11,715         1         S14,805         \$17,508         \$13,500         \$5,220         \$57,776         \$12,701           S11,715         1         \$22,666,70         \$2,277,732         \$36,016,913         \$12,300         \$55,940         \$17,011,57           \$12,1,715         1         \$22,029         \$55,016         \$12,300         \$55,120         \$22,066         \$5,130         \$5,130         \$5,130         \$5,130         \$5,130         \$5,130         \$5,141         \$13,400         \$5,130,906												
\$2,265,765         2,099         \$635,137         \$1,630,628         \$11,50         \$289,662         \$1,340,966           \$18,243,702         2,529         \$5,211,929         \$12,961,773         \$13,50         \$909,668         \$12,552,075           \$849,270         2         \$5,211,929         \$12,961,773         \$31,50         \$909,668         \$12,552,075           \$849,270         2         \$5,114         \$333,125         \$5,500         \$10,966         \$52,526           \$839,377         32         \$5,114         \$313,967         \$5,491         \$113,405           \$206,569         236         \$45,592         \$13,00,04         \$11,50         \$5,491         \$133,467           \$2,496,426         236         \$5,721,165         \$13,00,04         \$11,50         \$323,568         \$51,756,154           \$2,496,426         21,768,570         \$13,30,07         \$13,30,07         \$5,491         \$133,467           \$2,496,426         23         \$5,491         \$13,00,04         \$11,50         \$5,491         \$133,467           \$2,496,426         23         \$5,491         \$13,50         \$5,413,35         \$1,726         \$5,413,35           \$2,496,426         23         \$5,491,394,44         \$7,50         \$	22 - 65 17		\$203,629 \$11,551,775 \$215,444 \$21,255,675 \$121,426 \$4,154 \$4,154 \$128,917 \$11,715			\$164,562 \$4,805,603 \$177,848 \$177,860,825 \$82,169 \$2,874 \$85,088 \$8,831	\$7.50 \$11.50 \$7.50 \$13.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30 \$142.00 \$142.00	\$44,820 \$2,286,660 \$45,540 \$569,268 \$2,400 \$7180 \$5,320 \$2,184	\$119,742 \$6,516,943 \$132,308 \$17,011,557 \$79,769 \$2,694 \$79,768 \$79,768	\$0.08705 \$0.06546 \$0.06546 \$0.06762 \$0.06771 \$0.05976 \$0.05976 \$0.05976 \$0.05169	11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167	\$12,383 \$577 \$577 \$2,713 \$2,713 \$2,713 \$5 \$5 \$18 \$18
\$175,596         236         \$45,592         \$130,004         \$11,50         \$32,568         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$97,436         \$17,25,154         \$9380         \$1         \$932,500         \$93,416         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$930         \$5,800         \$330         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,725,154         \$1,726         \$5,156         \$1,726,154         \$1,726         \$1,726         \$1,776         \$5,157         \$1,776         \$5,1766         \$1,776         \$5,1766         \$41,139         \$5,1766         \$41,139         \$5,150         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1766         \$5,1735         \$5,2709         \$5,2706         \$5,1735         \$5,1735         \$5,1735         \$5,1736         \$5,1736         \$5,1736         \$5,1736         \$5,1736         \$5,1736         \$5,1736 </td <td>18</td> <td></td> <td>\$2,265,765 \$18,243,702 \$49,270 \$\$3,972 \$206,569</td> <td>2,099 2,529 2 41 32</td> <td>\$635,137 \$5,281,929 \$16,144 \$32,219 \$57,211</td> <td>\$1,630,628 \$12,961,773 \$33,126 \$61,753 \$61,753 \$139,358</td> <td>\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30</td> <td>\$289,662 \$409,698 \$500 \$1,476 \$5,491</td> <td>\$1,340,966 \$12,552,075 \$32,526 \$60,277 \$133,867</td> <td>\$0.05996 \$0.06749 \$0.05722 \$0.05313 \$0.05657</td> <td>11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167</td> <td>\$1,437 \$1,949 \$25 \$21</td>	18		\$2,265,765 \$18,243,702 \$49,270 \$\$3,972 \$206,569	2,099 2,529 2 41 32	\$635,137 \$5,281,929 \$16,144 \$32,219 \$57,211	\$1,630,628 \$12,961,773 \$33,126 \$61,753 \$61,753 \$139,358	\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30	\$289,662 \$409,698 \$500 \$1,476 \$5,491	\$1,340,966 \$12,552,075 \$32,526 \$60,277 \$133,867	\$0.05996 \$0.06749 \$0.05722 \$0.05313 \$0.05657	11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167	\$1,437 \$1,949 \$25 \$21
\$10,112         31         \$1,671         \$8,441         \$7,50         \$2,790         \$5,651           \$1,406,373         1,733         \$368,567         \$1,037,806         \$11,50         \$239,154         \$798,652           \$7,406,373         1,733         \$368,567         \$1,037,806         \$11,50         \$239,154         \$798,652           \$7,406,373         1,733         \$3268,567         \$1,037,806         \$11,50         \$239,154         \$798,652           \$76,617         \$1,406         \$11,606         \$12,060         \$13,47,139         \$41,139           \$6,422,346         724         \$1,891,498         \$4,530,850         \$13,50         \$11,728         \$41,135           \$56,422,346         724         \$1,891,498         \$53,205         \$11,728         \$51,771           \$55,624         2         \$7,581         \$1,6043         \$13,56         \$52,005         \$51,771           \$225,624         5         \$51,502         \$11,728         \$51,771         \$52,855         \$51,771           \$22,312         4         \$51,502         \$51,607         \$51,607         \$51,771           \$22,616         \$4,13,562         \$53,325         \$51,617         \$51,495         \$51,771	N	1,605,360 25,628,748 33,520 33,520	\$175,596 \$2,496,426 \$389 \$2,784	236	\$45,592 \$727,856 \$0 \$952	\$130,004 \$1,768,570 \$389 \$1,832	\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00	\$32,568 \$43,416 \$300 \$36	\$97,436 \$1,725,154 \$89 \$1,796	\$0.06069 \$0.06731 \$0.00000 \$0.05358	11.4167 11.4167 11.4167 11.4167	\$164 \$206 \$0 \$1
-	6 9 19	58,830 12,977,720 797,638 66,607,638 343,840 343,840 286,949 286,949 286,949 286,949 576,999,363	\$10,112 \$10,112 \$75,852 \$5,452 \$25,624 \$25,624 \$23,060 \$25,624 \$187 \$55,022 \$55,022	1,733 1,733 1,734 724 2 2 2 1 1,421	\$1,671 \$368,567 \$2568,567 \$2563 \$1,891,499 \$9,765 \$7,581 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$16,597 \$16,358,382 \$16,358,382	86,441 \$1,037,606 \$53,199 \$4,530,319 \$23,295 \$18,043 \$1,502 \$1,503 \$1,502 \$1,503 \$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,502\$1,	\$7.50 \$11.50 \$7.50 \$13.50\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$	\$2,790 \$239,154 \$12,065 \$117,288 \$117,288 \$1200 \$524 \$144 \$144 \$181 \$181 \$3,432 \$117 \$1,739,172	\$5,651 \$798,652 \$41,139 \$41,139 \$47,719 \$17,719 \$1,358 \$31,358 \$38,459,696 \$38,459,696	\$0.09605 \$0.06154 \$0.06154 \$0.05158 \$0.0627 \$0.06627 \$0.06638 \$0.06638 \$0.07762 \$0.061577 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.07777 \$0.077777 \$0.077777 \$0.077777 \$0.077777 \$0.077777 \$0.057777 \$0.057777 \$0.0577777777777777777777777777777777777	7914,11 7914,11 7914,11 7914,11 7914,11 7914,11 7914,11 7914,11 7914,11 7914,11	\$34 \$1,218 \$79 \$548 \$33 \$548 \$23 \$25 \$25 \$244 \$514 \$38,444

\$0.74 \$8.88

137

\$0.06482

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Monthly Lost		(12) = (4X10X11)
Average Monthly	Reduction	(11) = Annuar kWH/12
Net	Realization	(10) = (9/2)
	Net Revenue	(9) = (6-8)
Total Customer	Revenue	(8) = (4X7)X12
Customer	Charge	(2)
Revenue Excl.	All Fuel	(6) = (3-5)
Base Fuel	Revenue	(5) = (2) X \$0.02840
Average No. of	Customers	(4)
Tariff Summary Revenue	Excl. Fuel	(3)
Billed & Accrual	КМН	(2)
	Tariff	(1)

COMMERCIAL HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP / AIR CONDITIONER PROGRAM - HEAT PUMP REPLACEMENT

211 - Commercial											
	1,375,595 99,562,381	\$203,629 \$11,631,175 \$245,444	498 16,570 506	\$39,067 \$2,827,572 \$37 506	\$164,562 \$8,803,603 \$177 848	\$7.50 \$11.50 \$7.50	\$44,820 \$2,286,660 \$45,540	\$119,742 \$6,516,943 \$132 308	\$0.08705 \$0.06546 \$0.09995	11.4167 11.4167 11.4167	\$495 \$12,383 \$577
	251,579,223	\$24,725,675	3,514	\$7,144,850	\$17,580,825	\$13.50	\$569,268	\$17,011,557	\$0.06762	11.4167	\$2,713 \$5
217 MGS - Pri & 220 MGSCC - P 223 MGS I M ON	1,382,278 45 083	\$121,426 \$4,154	ഗന	\$39,257 \$1.280	\$82,109 \$2.874	00'62¢	\$180 \$180	\$19,694 \$2,694	\$0.05976	11.4167	\$3 \$3
	1.543.277	\$128,917	31	\$43,829	\$85,088	\$14.30	\$5,320	\$79,768	\$0.05169	11.4167	\$18
	101,541	\$11,715		\$2,884	\$8,831	\$182.00	\$2,184	\$6,647	\$0.06546	11.4167	<del>6</del>
212 - Commercial - Space Heating											
211 SGS	22.363.964	\$2.265.765	2,099	\$635,137	\$1,630,628	\$11.50	\$289,662	\$1,340,966	\$0.05996	11.4167	\$1,437
	185,983,403	\$18,243,702	2,529	\$5,281,929	\$12,961,773	\$13.50	\$409,698	\$12,552,075	\$0.06749	11.4167	\$1,949
	568,454	\$49,270	CU 7	\$16,144 *** 240	\$33,126 *64 752	\$25.00 \$3.00	\$600 \$1 476	\$32,526 \$60.277	\$0.05/22 \$0.05313	11.4167	\$1 \$25
223 MGS - LM 229 MGS - TOD	1,134,430	\$206,569	32	\$67,211	\$139,358	\$14.30	\$5,491	\$133,867	\$0.05657	11.4167	\$21
213 - Public Authority - Schools											
	1,605,360	\$175,596	236	\$45,592	\$130,004	\$11.50	\$32,568	\$97,436	\$0.06069	11.4167	\$164 \$206
215 MGS - Sec	25,628,748 0	\$2,496,426 *380	268	\$727,856 \$0	\$1,/68,5/U \$389	\$13.5U \$75.00	\$40,410 \$300	401'07'1¢	100000-0S	11.4167	0\$
21/ MGS - FII 223 MGS - LM	33,520	\$2,784		\$952	\$1,832	\$3.00	\$36	\$1,796	\$0.05358	11.4167	\$1
216 - Public Authority - Other											
204 SGS - MTRD	58,830	\$10,112	31	\$1,671	\$8,441	\$7.50	\$2,790	\$5,651	\$0.09606	11.4167	\$34
	12,977,720	\$1,406,373	1,733	\$368,567	\$1,037,806	\$11.50	\$239,154	\$798,652	\$0.06154	11.4167	\$1,218
	797,638	\$75,852	134	\$22,653	\$53,199	\$7.50	\$12,060	\$41,139	\$0.05158	11.4167	\$79
	66,602,050	\$6,422,348	724	\$1,891,498	\$4,530,850	\$13.50	\$117,288	\$4,413,562	\$0.06627	11.4167	\$548
217 MGS - Pri	343,840	\$33,060	4	\$9,765	\$23,295	\$25.00	\$1,200	\$22,095	\$0.06426	11.4167	23
218 MGS - M - S	266,949	\$25,624	2	\$7,581	\$18,043	\$13.50	\$324	\$17,719	\$0.06638	11.416/	74
	28,515	\$2,312	4	\$810	\$1,502	\$3.00	S144	\$1,358	\$0.04762	11.416/	22
225 SGS - TOD - ON	0	\$187		\$0	\$187	\$15.10	\$181	26	\$0.000U	11.410/	0.0
	587,918	\$55,022	20	\$16,697	\$38,325	\$14.30	\$3,432	\$34,893	\$0.05935	11.4167	\$14
Total Commercial	575,999,363	\$56,557,250	11,421	\$16,358,382	\$40,198,868		\$1,739,172	\$38,459,696	\$0.06677		\$8,444
Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer									\$0.06482	137	\$0.74 \$8.88

Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 31 Page 5 of 5

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 32 Page 1 of 3

Kentucky Power Company

\$48.39	\$871	757	\$0.83	\$625

### REQUEST

Refer to the following table and explain, by program, the variances in average estimated program cost per participant from the third quarter to the fourth quarter of 2011

	Third (	Quarter				Four Averag	th Quarter		
Program		Est. Program New <u>Participants</u>	Costs Pa	Per rticipant	Total Est. Program <u>Costs</u>	New Participar	Cos	Est. Program ts Per Participant	Pro
Residential Efficient Products - LED Lights	18								
Residential		\$1,294	4.90 \$3	38,847	220	:	\$415.81	\$91,4	78
Load Management - Air Conditioning - Water Heating	30 30	\$1,294	4.90 \$3	38,847	220	5	\$415.81	\$91,4	¥78
Commercial A/C & Heat Pump Program - Heat Pump Replacement	15	\$1,32	3.33 \$	19,925	10	Ş	\$521.00	\$5,21	0
HVAC Diagnostic & Up - Heat Pr 14		\$127.2	29	\$1,782	2 8	\$	67.00	\$536	

### RESPONSE

The monthly total program expense was allocated based on the projected participant levels. The specific EE measures for these programs (i.e. LED, Air Conditioning, Water Heating) were allocated based on the percentage of the specific EE measures annual budget to the program total budget.

As shown on Page 2 of this response, the total projected expenses for the programs does not change but is re-allocated between the 3rd quarter and 4th quarter based on the projected participant levels that are specific to the EE measure (i.e. LED, Air Conditioning, Water Heating).

An updated Schedule C with re-allocated program expenses for the 3rd & 4th Qtrs are recorded on Exhibit C, Page 17B-1, 17B-2, 17C-1 and 17C-2 on the enclosed CD and titled "DSM 186 Month - Year 2011 - 1st half + 3rd & 4th Qtrs.xls".

Programs affected by the re-allocated expenses include HEHP Resistance and Non-Resistance, Residential Efficient Products CFL, Specialty, and LED, Small Commercial AC / HP, Residential HVAC Diagnostic AC / HP, Commercial HVAC Diagnostic AC / HP, Residential Load Management AC and Water Heating, and Commercial Load Management AC and Water Heating.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

	TOTAL	\$1,496	\$130,325 \$130,325	\$25,135	\$2,318
	TOTAL EST. PROGRAM COSTS	\$1,461	\$114,686 \$114,686 \$114,686	\$10,054	\$843
4th Qtr	AVERAGE EST. PROGRAM COSTS PER PARTICIPANT	\$1.93	\$521.30 \$521.30	\$1,005.40	\$105.38
	NEW PARTICIPANT	757	220 220	0	ω
	TOTAL EST. PROGRAM COSTS	\$35 \$	\$15,639 \$15,639	\$15,081	\$1,475
	AVERAGE EST. PROGRAM COSTS PER PARTICIPANT	\$1.94	\$521.30 \$521.30	\$1,005.40	\$105.36
3rd Qtr	NEW PARTICIPANT	6	30 30	15	4
	PROGRAM	Residential Efficient Products > LED lights	Residential Load Management > Air Conditioning > Water Heating	Commercial A/C & Heat Pump > Heat Pump	Commercial HVAC Diagnostic & Tune-Up > Heat Pump

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 33 Page 1 of 1

### Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Explain why the Residential Load Management and the Commercial Load Management programs have no Lost Revenues and Efficiency or Maximizing Incentives.

### RESPONSE

As a pilot program, no participant impact assumptions were included with the original filing. Therefore, KPCo has no lost revenues or maximizing incentives.

Please see the response to Item No. 7 of the data requests in Case No. 2010-00198.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 34 Page 1 of 1

### Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Provide Exhibit C in an electronic format with formulas intact and unprotected.

### RESPONSE

Enclosed is a CD providing Exhibit C in an electronic format with formulas intact and unprotected. Exhibit C has been updated to include the changes mentioned in the responses to Item No. 31 and 32.

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 35 Page 1 of 1

### Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Provide in electronic format with formulas intact and unprotected the assumptions as to kWh savings and efficiency incentives per participant by program.

### RESPONSE

Please see enclosed CD for the excel files with formulas intact and unprotected containing the assumptions as to kWh savings and efficiency incentives per participant by program.

Applicable files on CD:

Attachment 1: DSM 186 Month - Year 2011 - 1st half + 3rd & 4th Qtrs.xls Attachment 2: KPC Assumption Sheet 2012-2014.xlsx

Attachment 3: Assumption Sheet Small Commercial HP AC2010.xls

Attachment 4: Assumption Sheet for new programs July 16 2010.xls

Attachment 5: Assumption Sheet HVAC Tune-Up2010.xls

Attachment 6: Assumption Sheet Residential Efficient Products APT Bid.xls

WITNESS: E J Clayton

### Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Provide in electronic format with formulas intact and unprotected the lost revenue factor calculations for all residential and commercial programs.

### RESPONSE

Please see enclosed CD for the excel files with formulas intact and unprotected containing the lost revenue factor calculations for all residential and commercial programs.

Attachment 1: Commercial - Lost Revenue Factors - June 2011.xls Attachment 2: Residential - Lost Revenue Factors - June 2011.xls

WITNESS: Lila P Munsey

Targeted Energy Efficiency - All Electric

# Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

TARGETED ENERGY EFFICIENCY - ALL ELECTRIC

Tariff	Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>	Average No. of Customers	Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>	KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>	Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>	Applicable Energy Rate 3/	KWH Consumption	Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Heat</u>								
011 RSW-LMWH 1/	2,103,652	74	2,369	2,119	175.1667	\$0.05750	175.1667	\$745.33
012 RSW-A 1/	434,345	15	2,413	2,113	175.1667	\$0.05750	175.1667	\$151.08
013 RSW-B 1/	127,790	4	2,662	2,262	175.1667	\$0.05750	175.1667	\$40.29
014 RSW-C 1/	19,828	<del>~~</del>	1,652	1,152	175.1667	\$0.05750	175.1667	\$10.07
015 RS	423,756,140	21,526	1,640	1,640	175.1667	\$0.05750	175.1667	\$216,811.71
017 RS EMP	12,221,803		1,872	1,872	175.1667	\$0.05750	175.1667	\$5,479.21
022 RSW-RS	1,255,428,135	63,218	1,655	1,655	175.1667	\$0.05750	175.1667	\$636,737.09
028 AORH-W ON/OFF 2/	117,103		1,394	1,394	175.1667	\$0.04509	175.1667	\$55.29
030 RSW-ON/OFF 2/	1,792,763	20	2,134	2,134	175.1667	\$0.05188	175.1667	\$636.08
032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/	3,087,967	102	2,523	2,523	175.1667	\$0.04622	175.1667	\$825.82
034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/	25,375	2	1,057	1,057	175.1667	\$0.04793	175.1667	\$16.79
036 RS-TOD-ON/OFF 2/	11,630	-	696	969	175.1667	\$0.05743	175.1667	\$10.06
	1,699,126,531	85,564						\$861,518.82
Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer					2,102			\$10.07 \$120.84
Realization								\$0.05749

Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 31

Targeted Energy Efficiency - Non All Electric

# Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

# TARGETED ENERGY EFFICIENCY - NON ALL ELECTRIC

Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$176.03 \$8.50	\$178,984.85	\$65,202.88	\$244,372.35	\$4.29 \$51.48	\$0.05746
KWH Consumption		74.6667	74.6667	74.6667			
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 2/</u>		\$0.05750 \$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750			
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		74.6667	74,6667	74.6667		896	
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255	1.107	1,255			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505	1,107	1,255			
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		4	ے 41.689	15,187	56,919		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597	553.593.925	228,760,928	783,128,191		
Tariff	<u>Non-Heat</u>	011 RSW-LMWH 1/	015 RS 015 RS	022 RSW-RS	Total	Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer	Realization

Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh C - 500 KWh Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 1

21

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 16

High Efficiency Heat Pump - Mobile Home

## Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Wonths Ended 6/30/2011

HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP - MOBILE HOME

Tariff	Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>	Average No. of <u>Customers</u>	Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>	KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>	Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>	Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>	KWH Consumption	Monthly Lost Revenue
Heat								
011 RSW-LMWH 1/	2,103,652	74	2,369	2,119	233.9167	\$0.05750	233.9167	\$995.32
012 RSW-A 1/	434,345	15	2,413	2,113	233.9167	\$0.05750	233.9167	\$201.75
013 RSW-B 1/	127,790	4	2,662	2,262	233.9167	\$0.05750	233.9167	\$53.80
014 RSW-C 1/	19,828	<del>~~</del>	1,652	1,152	233.9167	\$0.05750	233.9167	\$13.45
015 RS	423,756,140	21,526	1,640	1,640	233.9167	\$0.05750	233.9167	\$289,529.23
017 RS EMP	12,221,803	544	1,872	1,872	233.9167	\$0.05750	233.9167	\$7,316.91
022 RSW-RS	1,255,428,135	63,218	1,655	1,655	233.9167	\$0.05750	233.9167	\$850,295.39
028 AORH-W ON/OFF 2/	117,103	7	1,394	1,394	233.9167	\$0.04509	233.9167	\$73.83
030 RSW-ON/OFF 2/	1,792,763	70	2,134	2,134	233.9167	\$0.05188	233.9167	\$849.41
032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/	3,087,967	102	2,523	2,523	233.9167	\$0.04622	233.9167	\$1,102.79
034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/	25,375	2	1,057	1,057	233.9167	\$0.04793	233.9167	\$22.42
036 RS-TOD-ON/OFF 2/	11,630	-	969	969	233.9167	\$0.05743	233.9167	\$13.43
	1,699,126,531	85,564						\$1,150,467.73
Monthly Per Customer					200 C			\$13.45 \$161 10
Annual Per Customer					2,807			04.101¢
Realization								\$0.05750

1/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh.

3/ 3/

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 16

Mobile Home New Construction - Heat Pump

## Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company

MOBILE HOME NEW CONSTRUCTION - HEAT PUMP

Monthly /H Lost <u>nption Revenue</u>		21.7500 \$518.05	21.7500 \$105.01	21.7500 \$28.00	21.7500 \$7.00	121.7500 \$150,695.45		121.7500 \$442,565.51		121.7500 \$442.11	21.7500 \$573.99	21.7500 \$11.67	21.7500 \$6.99	\$598,800.55	\$7.00 \$84.00	
Applicable Energy KWH <u>Rate 3/</u> Consumption		~	~~~	-	•	\$0.05750 121					~	\$0.04793 121	\$0.05743 121			
Average A Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500	121.7500		1,461	
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		74	15	4	<del></del>	21,526	544	63,218	7	70	102	2	-	85,564		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		2,103,652	434,345	127.790	19,828	423,756,140	12,221,803	1,255,428,135	117,103	1,792,763	3,087,967	25,375	11,630	1,699,126,531		
Tariff	<u>Heat</u>	011 RSW-LMWH 1/		013 RSW-B 1/	014 RSW-C 1/	015 RS	017 RS EMP	022 RSW-RS	028 AORH-W ON/OFF 2/	030 RSW-ON/OFF 2/	032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/	034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/	036 RS-TOD-ON/OFF 2/		Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer	

1/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 3

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 16

Mobile Home New Construction - Air Conditioner

# Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

MOBILE HOWE NEW CONSTRUCTION - AIR CONDITIONER

				I			
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.0000
KWH Consumption		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 2/</u>		\$0.05750 \$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750			
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0	
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255 864	1,107	1,255			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505 1 364	1,107	1,255			
Average No. of Customers		41	41.689	15,187	56,919		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597	553.593.925	228,760,928	783,128,191		
Tariff	<u>Non-Heat</u>	011 RSW-LMWH 1/		022 RSW-RS	Total	Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer	Realization

1/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 21

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 16

Average Applicable Monthly Monthly Energy KWH Lost Reduction Rate 3/ Consumption Revenue		121.5000 \$0.05750 121.5000 \$516.98	121.5000 \$0.05750 121.5000 \$104.79	_	121.5000 \$0.05750 121.5000 \$6.99	121.5000 \$0.05750 121.5000 \$150,386.02		\$0.05750 121.5000 \$441	\$0.04509 121.5000	\$0.05188 121.5000	_	121.5000 \$0.04793 121.5000 \$11.65	121.5000 \$0.05743 121.5000 \$6.98	\$597,570.99		1,458
KWh Excl. Av Storage WH M <u>KWH</u> <u>Re</u> i		~	<b>~</b>	2,262 1	ę	τ-	~	<u></u>	·	Ţ	<i>4</i>	·	~			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		2.369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average No. of Customers		74	15	4	~	21.526	544	63,218	7	20	102	0	-	85,564		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		2.103.652	434.345	127.790	19.828	423.756.140	12.221.803	1.255.428.135	117,103	1 792 763	3.087,967	25,375	11,630	1,699,126,531		
Tarifi	Heat	011 RSW-LMWH 1/	012 RSW-A 1/	013 RSW-B 1/	014 RSW-C 1/	015 RS	017 RS EMP	022 RSW-RS	028 AORH-W ON/OFF 2/	RSW-ON/OFF 2/	032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/	034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/	036 RS-TOD-ON/OFF 2/		Monthly Per Customer	

Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh 1

A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh

C - 500 KWh

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 35

Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

High Efficiency Heat Pump - Resistance Heat

Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP - RESISTANCE HEAT

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1 Page 6 of 16

### Modified Energy Fitness

## Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

MODIFIED ENERGY FITNESS

													Att Pa	ach ge	nme 7 o	ent f 1(	1 3		
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$111.39 \$5.43	\$113,263.80	\$41,Z01.18		\$201.05	\$40.75	\$10.87	\$2.72	\$58,483.45	\$1,477.98	\$171,755.40	\$14.91	\$171.58	\$222.76	\$4.53	\$2.71	\$387,030.51	\$2.72 \$32.64
KWH Consumption		47.2500 47.2500	47.2500	47.2500		47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500		
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750 \$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743		
Average Monthly Reduction		47.2500 47.2500	47.2500	47.2500		47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500	47.2500		567
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255 864	1,107	1,255		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969		
Average Mionthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505 1,364	1,107	1,255		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	696		
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		41	41,689	15,187		74	15	4	~						102		-	142,483	
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597 32 741	553,593,925	228,760,928		2,103,652	434,345	127,790	19,828	423.756,140	12,221,803	1.255.428.135	117,103	1,792,763	3,087,967	25,375	11,630	2,482,254,722	
Tariff	Non-Heat	011 RSW-LMWH 1/ 014 RSW-C 1/	015 RS	022 RSW-RS	Heat	011 RSW-LMWH 1/				015 RS						-			Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Realization

- I/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM 250 KWh A 300 KWh B 400 KWh C 500 KWh 11
- 3 5
- Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KVVh.

### KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1

	Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$654.21	\$132.61	\$35.36	\$8.84	\$190,303.29	\$4,809.30	\$558,886.63	\$48.53	\$558.31	\$724.85	\$14.74	\$8.83	\$756,185.50	\$8.84	\$106.08	\$0.05750
			0	0	0					0	0	0	0		\$75			
	KWH Consumption		153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500				
	Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743				
	Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500	153.7500			1,845	
	KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969				
	Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	696				
	Average No. of Customers		74	15	4	<del></del>	21.526	544	63,218	2	70	102	0	~	85,564			
REPLACEMENT	Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		2,103,652	434,345	127.790	19.828	423.756.140	12.221.803	1.255.428.135	117,103	1,792,763	3,087,967	25,375	11,630	1,699,126,531			
HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP - REPLACEMENT	Tariff	<u>Heat</u>	011 RSW-LWWH 1/		013 RSW-B 1/	014 RSW-C 1/	015 RS	017 RS FMP	022 RSW-RS		RSW-ON/OFF 2/		034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/			Monthly Per Customer	Annual Per Customer	Realization

High Efficiency Heat Pump - Replacement

Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

I/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

11

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 3/ 2/

Energy Education for Students Program

### Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Kentucky Power Company

# ENERGY EDUCATION FOR STUDENTS PROGRAM

												F	Atta Pag	chr e 9	nei of	nt 1 16		
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$18.86 \$0.92 \$19.176.94	\$6,986.02		\$34.04	\$6.90	\$1.84	\$0.46	\$9,901.96	\$250.24	\$29,080.28	\$2.52	\$29.05	\$37.72	\$0.77	\$0.46	\$65,528.98	\$0.46 \$5.52
KWH Consumption		8.0000 8.0000 8.0000	8.0000		8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000		
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750 \$0.05750 \$0.05750	\$0.05750		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743		4/
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		8.0000 8.0000 8.0000	8.0000		8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000	8.0000		96 4
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255 864 107	1,255		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	696		
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505 1,364	1,107		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	696		
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		41 2 680	15,187		74	15	4	~	21,526	544	63,218	7	20	102	2	-	142,483	
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597 32,741 663 603 026	228,760,928		2,103,652	434,345	127,790	19,828	423,756,140	12,221,803	1,255,428,135	117,103	1,792,763	3,087,967	25,375	11,630	2,482,254,722	
Tariff	<u>Non-Heat</u>	011 RSW-LMWH 1/ 014 RSW-C 1/ 016 BS		<u>Heaî</u>	011 RSW-LMWH 1/		013 RSW-B 1/		015 RS	017 RS EMP		028 AORH-W ON/OFF 2/	030 RSW-ON/OFF 2/	032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/	034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/			Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Realization

- 1/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM 250 KWh A 300 KWh B 400 KWh C 500 KWh

- 2/ Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak.
  3/ Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh.
  4/ 4 CFL Bulbs X 36.75 KWH per Bulb = 147 KWH

### KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36

Community Outreach Compact Fluorescent Lighting (CFL) Program

## Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company

COMMUNITY OUTREACH COMPACT FLUORESCENT LIGHTING (CFL) PROGRAM

													А F	tta Pag	chr e 1	ner 0 o	nt 1 f 16	5			
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$20.04 \$0.98	\$20,375.50	\$7,422.65		\$36.17	\$7.33	\$1.96	\$0.49	\$10,520.83	\$265.88	\$30,897.80	\$2.68	\$30.87	\$40.07	\$0.81	\$0.49	\$69,624.55	:	\$0.49 \$5.88	
KWH <u>Consumption</u>		8.5000 8.5000	8.5000	8.5000		8.5000	8,5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000				
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750 \$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743			4/	
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		8.5000 8.5000	8.5000	8.5000		8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000	8.5000			102 4	
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255 867	1,107	1,255		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	696				
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505	1.107	1,255		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	696				
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		41 L	41.689	15,187		74	15	4	~			63,218			102		~	142,483			
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597	553.593.925	228,760,928		2.103.652	434,345	127,790	19,828	423,756,140	12,221,803	1,255,428,135	117.103	1,792,763	3,087,967	25,375	11,630	2,482,254,722			
Tariff	<u>Non-Heat</u>	011 RSW-LMWH 1/	014 RSW-C 1/ 015 RS		<u>Heat</u>	011 RSW-I MWH 1/		013 RSW-B 1/	014 RSW-C 1/						032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/					Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer	

Realization

1/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

2/ Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak.
3/ Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh.
4/ 4 CFL Bulbs X 45.25 KWH per Bulb = 181 KWH

### KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1

Residential Efficient Products Program - CFL (Proposed)

## Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

RESIDENTIAL EFFICIENT PRODUCTS PROGRAM - CFL

														At Pa	lac ige	hm 11	ent of '	1 16		
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$6.48 \$0.32	\$6,592.07	\$2,401.44		\$11.70	\$2.37	\$0.63	\$0.16	\$3,403.80	\$86.02	\$9,996.35	\$0.87	\$9.99	\$12.96	\$0.26	\$0.16	\$22,525.58	\$0.16 \$1.92	
KWH Consumption		2.7500 2.7500	2.7500	2.7500		2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500 _			
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750 \$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743			
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		2.7500 2.7500	2.7500	2.7500		2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500	2.7500		33	
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255 864	1,107	1,255		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	696			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505 1 364	1,107	1,255		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		41	41,689	15,187		74	15	4	<del>~~</del>	21,526	544	63,218	7	70	102	0	~	142,483		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597 32 741	553,593,925	228,760,928		2,103,652	434,345	127,790	19,828	423,756,140	12,221,803	1,255,428,135	117,103	1,792,763	3,087,967	25,375	11,630	2,482,254,722		
Tariff	<u>Non-Heat</u>	011 RSW-LMWH 1/ 014 RSWLC 1/	015 RS	022 RSW-RS	Heat	011 RSW-LMWH 1/				015 RS		022 RSW-RS			032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/	034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/	036 RS-TOD-ON/OFF 2/		Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer	

Realization

- 1/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block
  LM 250 KWh
  A 300 KWh
  B 400 KWh
  C 500 KWh

- Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KVVh. 31

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1

Residential Efficient Products Program - Specialty Bulbs

## Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

RESIDENTIAL EFFICIENT PRODUCTS PROGRAM - Specialty Bulbs

													A	tta	chr	ner 2 o	nt 1	6				
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$5.70	\$0.28	\$5,793.11	\$2,110.39		\$10.28	\$2.08	\$0.56	\$0.14	\$2,991.26	\$75.59	\$8,784.79	\$0.76	\$8.78	\$11.39	\$0.23	\$0.14	\$19,795.48	\$0.14	\$1.68	\$0.05793
KWH Consumption		2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167		2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167				
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743				
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167		2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167	2.4167			29	
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255	864	1,107	1,255		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969				
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505	1,364	1,107	1,255		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969				
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		41	7	41,689	15,187		74	15	4	<del>4</del>	21,526	544	63,218	2	20	102	2	1	142,483			
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597	32,741	553,593,925	228,760,928	·	2.103.652	434.345	127,790	19,828	423,756,140	12,221,803	1,255,428,135	117,103	1.792.763	3,087,967	25,375	11,630	2,482,254,722			
Tariff	Non-Heat	011 RSW-LWWH 1/		015 RS		Heat	011 RSW-LWWH 1/				015 RS				RSW-ON/OFF 2/						Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer	Realization

Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 3/

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36

Residential Efficient Products Program - LED Lights (Proposed)

## Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

RESIDENTIAL EFFICIENT PRODUCTS PROGRAM - LED LIGHTS

															Att Pa	ach ge	nme 13	ent of	1 16				
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$8.05	\$0.39	\$8,190.23	\$2,983.64		\$14.54	\$2.95	\$0.79	\$0 D0	00.000.4	\$4,229.00	\$106.87	\$12,419.82	\$1.08	\$12.41	\$16.11	\$0.33	\$0.20		\$27,986.61	\$0.20	\$2.40
KWH Consumption		3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167		3.4167	3.4167	3 4167	0 1167	0.4.07	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	1			
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0 05750		nc/cn.n¢	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0 05743				
Average Monthly Reduction		3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167		3.4167	3 4167	3 4167		3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3.4167	3 4167				41
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255	864	1,107	1,255		2.119	0 113	0	707'7	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2.134	2,523	1.057	090	200			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505	1,364	1.107	1,255		2369	0.442		700'7	1,652	1,640	1.872	1.655	1.394	2 134	2.523	1 057	090	202			
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		41	2	41.689	15,187		74		<u>.</u>	4.	~	21,526	544	63.218	2 4 1 2 2	. 70	102	10	1 -	_	142,483		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740.597	32 741	553 593 925	228,760,928		0 103 650	400,004		127,790	19,828	423.756.140	12 221 803	1 255 428 135	117 103	1 702 763	3,02,02,00	0,001,001 05,275		11,030	2,482,254,722		
Tariff	Non-Heat	11 HAVIN FRANCE				Heat				013 RSW-B 1/										036 RS-TOD-UN/UFF 2/			Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Realization

1/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh.

3

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36

HVAC DIAGNOSTIC & TUNE-UP P	PROGRAM - AIR CONDITIONER	ONDITIONER						
Tariff	Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>	Average No. of <u>Customers</u>	Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>	KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>	Average Monthly Reduction	Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>	KWH Consumption	Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>
<u>Heat</u>								
	0 103 650	74	2 369	2.119	25.9167	\$0.05750	25.9167	\$110.28
	434 345	. r.	2.413	2,113	25.9167	\$0.05750	25.9167	\$22.35
	127 790	4	2,662	2,262	25.9167	\$0.05750	25.9167	\$5.96
012 K3W-D 1/	19 828		1.652	1,152	25.9167	\$0.05750	25.9167	\$1.49
	423.756.140	21.526	1,640	1,640	25.9167	\$0.05750	25.9167	\$32,078.27
	12 221 803	544	1.872	1,872	25.9167	\$0.05750	25.9167	\$810.67
UT NU EMIT	1 255 428 135	63.218	1.655	1,655	25.9167	\$0.05750	25.9167	\$94,208.11
	117 103	2	1.394	1,394	25.9167	\$0.04509	25.9167	\$8.18
DOWNON/DEF 3/	1 792 763	. 02	2.134	2,134	25.9167	\$0.05188	25.9167	\$94.11
	3 087 967	102	2.523	2,523	25.9167	\$0.04622	25.9167	\$122.18
	25.375		1.057	1,057	25.9167	\$0.04793	25.9167	\$2.48
036 RS-TOD-ON/OFF 2/	11,630	I ←	696	969	25.9167	\$0.05743	25.9167	\$1.49
								\$127.465.57
	1,699,126,531	80,004						
Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer					311			\$1.49 \$17.88
								\$0.05749
Realization								

 / Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh 1

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 3 5

HVAC Diagnostic Tune Up Program - Air Conditioner (Proposed)

## Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1 Page 14 of 16

Residential Efficient Products Program - LED Holiday Lights (Proposed)

## Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

RESIDENTIAL EFFICIENT PRODUCTS PROGRAM - LED HOLIDAY LIGHTS

														Al Pi	tta ag	chr e 1	ne 5	nt of	1 16			
Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$3.54	\$3.595.68	\$1,309.88			\$6.38	\$1.29	\$0.35	\$0.09	\$1,856.62	\$46.92	\$5,452.55	\$0.47	\$5.45	0	10.1¢	\$0.14	\$0.09	\$12,286.69	\$0.09	\$1.08
KWH Consumption		1.5000	1.5000	1.5000			1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000			nnne.1	1.5000	1.5000			
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u>		\$0.05750	\$0.05/50 \$0.05750	\$0.05750			\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	00010100 000000	001 CU.U¢	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743			
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		1.5000	1.5000	1.5000			1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000	1 5000		1.2000	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000			18
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		1,255	864 107	1,107			2,119	2,113	2,262	1.152	1,640	1 872	1 655	1 304		2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		1,505	1,364	1,107	-		2,369	2.413	2,662	1 652	1.640	1 872	1.0.1		1,004	2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average No. of <u>Customers</u>		41	1 200	41,089 15.187			74		4	• -	21.526			1,00		0/	102	0	<del>~~</del>	142,483		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		740,597	32,741	553,593,925 228 760.928			2 103 652	434 345	107 790	10 808	423 756 140	40,001,000	1 DEE 400 40E	1024,002,1	11/1/103	1,792,763	3,087,967	25.375	11,630	2,482,254,722		
Tariff	Non-Heat	011 RSW-LMWH 1/		015 RS 022 RSWLRS		Heat	11 HWW INVIA 11				014 NOV-0 1/ 016 DS				028 AORH-W ON/OFF 2/	030 RSW-ON/OFF 2/	032 RS LM-ON/OFF 2/		• • •			Monuny Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Realization

 I/ Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh 1

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 3/

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1

Monthly Lost <u>Revenue</u>		\$262.75	\$53.26	\$14.20	\$3.55	\$76,430.75	\$1,931.54	\$224,463.41	\$19.49	\$224.23	\$291.12	\$5.92	\$3.55	\$303,703.77	\$3.55 \$42.60	\$0.05749
KWH Consumption		61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500			
Applicable Energy <u>Rate 3/</u> 0		\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.05750	\$0.04509	\$0.05188	\$0.04622	\$0.04793	\$0.05743			
Average Monthly <u>Reduction</u>		61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500	61.7500		741	
KWh Excl. Storage WH <u>KWH</u>		2,119	2,113	2,262	1,152	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average Monthly <u>KWH</u>		2,369	2,413	2,662	1,652	1,640	1,872	1,655	1,394	2,134	2,523	1,057	969			
Average No. of Customers		74	15	4	~	21,526	544	63.218	2	20	102	0	-	85,564		
Billed & Accrued <u>KWH</u>		2.103.652	434.345	127,790	19.828	423.756.140	12.221.803	1.255.428.135	117,103	1.792.763	3.087.967	25.375	11,630	1,699,126,531		
Tariff	Heat	011 RSW-LWWH 1/		013 RSW-B 1/								034 AORH-ON/OFF 2/			Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer	Realization

HVAC Diagnostic Tune-Up Program - Heat Pump (Proposed)

Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue Kentucky Power Company Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011

HVAC DIAGNOSTIC & TUNE-UP PROGRAM - HEAT PUMP

 / Storage Water Heating KWh fixed block LM - 250 KWh A - 300 KWh B - 400 KWh C - 500 KWh 7

Rate is weighted average of peak/off peak. Tariff Rates excluding base fuel of \$0.02840/KWh. 3/ 2/

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 1 Page 16 of 16

### KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 2 Page 1 of 5

Monthly Lost Revenue	(12) = (4X10X11)			\$331,737 \$550 \$5416 \$2,356 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,242 \$13,27 \$13,73 \$13,53 \$13,73 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53 \$13,53\$ \$13,55\$ \$13,		5.25,505 5.5,615 5.5,855 5.77 5.45 5.45 5.77 5.45 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40 5.40		555 517,524 517,524 560 541 555 555		50,355 5190 5195 51,621 51,621 51,91 544 5197 5197 5197 5197 5197 5197 5193 51,022	\$734,097	\$93.62 \$1,123.44
	(11) = Annual kWH/12 (12			1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333		1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333		1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333 1,246.3333		1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333 1,246,3333		14,956
Net Realization 	(10) = (9/2)			\$0.07664 \$0.05767 \$0.06672 \$0.06098 \$0.07303 \$0.03362 \$0.03362 \$0.03405 \$0.03405 \$0.03405 \$0.03656 \$0.03456 \$0.03656 \$0.03416		\$0,07698 \$0,06565 \$0,06565 \$0,06567 \$0,06361 \$0,06488 \$0,05175 \$0,05177 \$0,05478 \$0,05470 \$0,05470 \$0,05701		50.07703 50.00000 50.06453 50.06760 50.05281 50.05281 50.03309 50.0413		\$0.07541 \$0.07641 \$0.07615 \$0.03703 \$0.05368 \$0.05368 \$0.05368 \$0.05368 \$0.05368 \$0.05368 \$0.05368 \$0.05368 \$0.04856 \$0.03875 \$0.03875 \$0.03875	\$0.06479	\$0.07512
Net Revenue 	(9) = (6-8)			\$18,553,707 \$55,408 \$51,408 \$3100 \$91,365 \$15,968 \$1,068 \$13,968 \$1,068 \$13,968 \$1,068 \$2,078 \$1,068 \$2,078 \$1,068 \$2,078		\$13,646,066 \$36,020 \$57,199 \$149,158 \$10,619,336 \$16,314 \$381,391 \$381,391 \$93,492 \$93,492 \$93,492 \$93,813 \$533,754		\$1,855,072 \$124 \$1,893 \$10,655,058 \$128,093 \$89,252 \$201,135		S4, B48, A87 S25, 695 S19, 370 S41, 186 S41, 186 S4, 320, 434 S109, 788 S105, 980 S40, 573 S10, 813 S10, 813 S1	\$82,633,435	
Total Customer Revenue	(8) = (4X7)X12 *			\$562,626 \$2,100 \$2,100 \$5,320 \$4,368 \$170,340 \$720,340 \$72,368 \$3,312 \$3,322 \$3		\$404,514 \$600 \$1,476 \$5,491 \$5,491 \$5,491 \$1,020 \$1,020 \$10,710 \$6,426 \$4,908 \$9,936		\$42,930 \$300 \$212,160 \$1,530 \$1,530 \$6,426 \$3,312 \$3,312		\$117,126 \$1,200 \$1,200 \$6,48 \$5,460 \$5,560 \$5,120 \$6,1200 \$5,1200 \$5,1200 \$5,1200 \$5,1200 \$5,1200 \$5,1320 \$13,248 \$13,248 \$3,3847 \$3,847	\$1,988,947	
Customer Charge	(7) (8			\$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$13.00 \$13.00 \$13.00 \$12.00 \$127.50 \$535.50 \$535.50 \$535.50 \$535.50 \$535.50 \$576.00 \$276.00 \$276.00 \$562.00		\$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$8127.50 \$8127.50 \$811.80 \$811.80 \$811.80 \$811.80		\$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$85.00 \$127.50 \$127.50 \$535.50 \$276.00 \$276.00		\$13.50 \$10.80 \$10.80 \$14.30 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$85.00 \$127.50 \$227.50 \$227.		
Revenue Excl. 0 All Fuel	(6) = (3-5)			519,116,333 557,588 557,588 586,583 586,565,741 581,555,741 51,029,129 516,715 53,573,775 53,573,775 53,573,775 53,573,775		\$14,050,600 \$37,520 \$68,675 \$154,649 \$10,823,936 \$117,334 \$392,101 \$392,101 \$392,101 \$392,101 \$392,318 \$102,722 \$543,590		\$1,898,002 \$424 \$1,929 \$10,867,218 \$129,623 \$95,678 \$204,447		\$4,965,613 \$26,895 \$12,6895 \$1,466 \$4,399,994 \$3399,994 \$3396,898 \$201,570 \$42,103 \$42,103 \$1,141,795 \$1,141,795 \$1,148,951 \$31,148,951 \$31,148,951 \$31,168,957 \$31,168,957 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$31,795 \$329,716 \$329,716 \$329,716 \$329,716 \$329,716 \$329,717 \$329,717 \$329,716 \$329,517 \$329,5170 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5175 \$320,5170 \$320,5175\$300\$300\$300\$300\$300\$300\$300\$300\$300\$30	\$84,622,382	
Base Fuel Revenue	(5) = (2) X \$0.02840			\$6,874,961 \$23,288 \$1,520 \$42,553 \$6,210 \$5,743,205 \$576,508 \$150,064 \$150,064 \$150,064 \$150,064 \$150,064 \$155,675 \$2,755,675 \$697,371		\$5,034,116 \$15,996 \$32,041 \$32,041 \$46,702 \$54,749,235 \$53,216 \$23,216 \$23,574 \$83,574 \$83,574 \$83,574 \$83,574 \$42,952 \$42,952 \$409,614		\$683,973 \$0 \$833 \$4,476,115 \$58,890 \$76,607 \$129,427		S1,826,045 \$10,299 \$10,299 \$627 \$627 \$1,220 \$17,083 \$1,924 \$17,083 \$1,926,101 \$176,116 \$106,226 \$22,614 \$106,226 \$22,514 \$5,105,226 \$143,422 \$143,422	\$36,223,124	
Average No. of Customers	(4)			у 473 767 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167		2,497 411 200 200 322 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32		265 208 208 208 208		и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	7,841	
Tariff Summary Revenue Excl. Fuel (	(3)			\$26,991,294 \$4,600 \$139,236 \$1,605,546 \$12,298,946 \$1,605,637 \$1,605,637 \$1,605,637 \$349,315 \$349,315 \$349,315 \$350,749 \$5,329,450 \$5,329,450 \$5,329,450		\$19,084,746 \$53,516 \$53,516 \$219,751 \$15,573,171 \$15,573,171 \$175,550 \$602,228 \$145,674 \$145,674 \$145,674		\$2,581,975 \$424 \$2,762 \$2,762 \$15,343,333 \$15,243,333 \$172,285 \$333,874 \$333,874		S6,791,658 S37,194 S20,774 S22,093 S61,529 S61,529 S63,226,895 S573,004 S377,796 S64,717 S14,912 S1,991,207 S443,138	\$120,845,506	
Billed & Accrual R kWH	(2)			242,076,089 820,015 46,463 1,488,359 218,651 131,803,007 218,651 218,651 218,651 210,6814 5,106,814 97,030,798 24,555,303		177,257,591 563,231 1,122,213 2,222,310 167,226,584 1,873,803 7,398,852 2,942,753 1,512,384 14,423,015		24,083,562 0 29,334 157,609,669 2,425,700 2,657,444 4,557,293		64,297,376 362,639 253,707 252,707 252,707 252,050 67,946,618 6,746,518 6,740,357 796,280 223,04,796 5,095,085 5,095,085	1,275,462,153	
Tariff	(1)	COMMERCIAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM	211 - Commercial	215 MGS-Sec 217 MGS-Ph & 220 MGSCC-P 223 MGS UM ON 236 MGS-TOD 236 MGS-TOD 236 MGSCC-S 240 LGS-Sec 244 LGS-Ph 356 QP SEC 358 QP SEC 358 QP SEC 359 QP - Sub	212 - Commercial - Space Heating	215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 229 MGS - LM 229 MGS - LOD 240 LGE - Sec 242 LGS - Sec - M 244 LGS - Sub 251 LGS - LM 358 QP - Pri 358 QP - Pri	<u> 213 - Public Authority - Schools</u>	215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 223 MGS - LM 2240 LGE - Sec 244 LGS - Sec 244 LGS - Sec 244 LGS - Sub 358 QP - Pri 358 QP - Pri	216 - Public Authority - Other	215 MGS - Sec & 216 MGSCC - P 217 MGS - Pri 218 MGS - Pri 223 MGS - IUM 229 MGS - TOD 240 LGS - Sec 244 LGS - M - P 244 LGS - M - P 246 LGS - P 246 LGS - M - P 246 LGS - P 247 LGS - P 247 LGS - P 246 LG	Total Commercial	Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Kentucky Power Power Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

### 14,956

Item No. 36 Attachment 2 Page 2 of 5 \$83 \$2,963 \$197 \$1,281 \$7 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$31 \$31 \$1.75 \$21.00 \$19,922 \$400 \$480 \$0 \$2 \$3,521 \$4,517 \$3 \$57 \$49 \$1,148 \$29,920 \$1,311 \$6,255 \$11 \$11 \$11 \$11 \$8 \$8 \$8 \$33 \$33 (12) = (4X10X11)282 23.5000 (11) = Annual kWH/12 \$0.10971 \$0.07127 \$0.06117 \$0.07541 \$0.07586 \$0.07586 \$0.07586 \$0.05984 \$0.05984 \$0.05984 \$0.05847 \$0.07447 \$0.07606 \$0.07190 \$0.07703 \$0.00000 \$0.06453 \$0.07041 \$0.07698 \$0.06555 \$0.05956 \$0.05956 \$0.09988 \$0.07635 \$0.11113 \$0.07664 \$0.066767 \$0.06672 \$0.06672 \$0.06098 (10) = (9/2)\$6,900 \$968,809 \$40,617 \$25,695 \$19,245 \$19,245 \$1,322 \$1,322 \$1,322 \$1,186 \$42,064,142 \$114,780 \$1,855,072 \$124 \$124 \$1,531,017 \$13,646,086 \$36,920 \$67,199 \$149,158 \$135,983 \$7,387,139 \$145,440 \$18,553,707 \$55,488 \$3,100 \$31,000 \$31,000 \$31,000 \$31,000 (8-9) = (6-8) \$2,880 \$244,122 \$12,330 \$117,126 \$1,200 \$324 \$181 \$3,260 \$3,260 \$1,737,878 \$32,706 \$42,930 \$300 \$36 \$293,664 \$404,514 \$600 \$1,476 \$5,491 \$44,010 \$23,301,288 \$45,180 \$562,626 \$2,100 \$180 \$5,320 \$4,368 (8) = (4X7)X12 Revenue \$7,50 \$11,50 \$7,50 \$13,50 \$13,50 \$13,50 \$13,50 \$14,30 \$14,30 \$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30 \$7.50 \$11.50 \$7.50 \$13.50 \$13.50 \$14.30 \$14.30 \$182.00 Customer Charge E \$9,780 \$1,202,931 \$52,947 \$4,965,613 \$26,895 \$19,569 \$19,569 \$1,466 \$187 \$44,446 \$43,802,020 \$147,486 \$1,898,002 \$424 \$1,929 \$1,824,681 \$14,050,600 \$37,520 \$68,675 \$154,649 \$179,993 \$9,688,427 \$190,620 \$19,116,333 \$57,588 \$3,280 \$35,683 \$36,683 \$20,335 (6) = (3-5)All Fuel \$1,786 \$382,050 \$18,856 \$1,826,045 \$10,299 \$7,205 \$627 \$627 \$03 \$15,707,195 \$45,338 \$683,973 \$0 \$833 \$617,513 \$5,034,116 \$15,996 \$32,041 \$65,102 \$38,665 \$27,747,624 \$37,168 \$6,874,961 \$23,288 \$1,320 \$42,553 \$6,210 (5) = (2) X \$0.02840 Base Fuel Revenue COMMERCIAL HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP / AIR CONDITIONER PROGRAM -AIR CONDITIONER 11,413 Ē 32 1,769 137 723 404 2,128 2,497 2 41 32 - -237 265 489 16,676 502 3,473 7 3 1 2 Customers Average No. of (4) \$11,566 \$1,584,981 \$71,803 \$6,791,658 \$37,194 \$37,194 \$26,774 \$20,093 \$187 \$51,529 \$59,509,215 \$2,442,194 \$19,084,716 \$53,516 \$100,716 \$219,751 \$192,824 \$2,581,975 \$424 \$2,762 \$218,658 \$12,436,051 \$227,788 \$25,991,294 \$80,876 \$4,600 \$139,236 \$26,546 Summary Revenue Excl. Fuel (3) 62,894 13,452,473 663,961 64,297,376 362,639 253,707 253,707 22,093 0 601,509 553,070,313 0 29,334 1,596,425 24,083,562 21,743,418 177,257,591 563,231 1,128,213 2,292,310 1,361,438 96,747,320 1,308,726 242,076,089 820,015 46,463 1,498,359 218,651 Accrual kWH 6 MGSCC - P 212 - Commercial - Space Heating 213 - Public Authority - Schools 216 - Public Authority - Other MGSCC - P Total Commercial SGS - MTRD SGS SGS - UMR SGS - UMR MGS - Pr MGS - Pr MGS - Pr MGS - LM MGS - LM MGS - LM MGS - LM 211 - Commercial SGS - MTRD SGS SGS - UMR MGS - Pn & 220 M MGS - TN M MGS - TOD MGS - TOD MGSCC - S Tariff E SGS MGS - Sec MGS - Pri MGS - LM MGS - TOD SGS MGS - Sec MGS - Pri MGS - LM 204 211 215 215 215 218 228 223 229 211 215 217 223

211 215 217 223 223 229

204 211 215 215 217 223 223 229 229

Kentucky Power Power Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

Monthiy Lost Revenue

Reduction Average Monthly

Net Realization

Revenue

Net

Total Customer

Revenue Excl.

Tariff

Billed &

Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011
# Kentucky Power Power Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

Monthly Lost Revenue	(12) = (4X10X11)
Average Monthly Reduction	kWH/12
Net Realization	(10) = (9/2)
Net Revenue	(9) = (6-8)
Total Customer Revenue	(8) = (4X7)X12
Customer Charge	(2)
Revenue Excl. All Fuel	(6) = (3-5)
Base Fuel Revenue	(5) = (2) X \$0.02840
Average No. of Customers	(4)
Tariff Summary Revenue Excl. Fuel	(3)
Billed & Accrual kWH	(2)
Tariff	(1)

COMMERCIAL HIGH EFFICIENCY HEAT PUMP / AIR CONDITIONER PROGRAM -HEAT PUMP REPLACEMENT

£

\$4,542 \$4,542	524,754 524,754 531 531 531 5176 5176 514 514	513,934 517,876 512 512 512 5194	\$1,585 \$1,898 \$0 \$0 \$6	5326 511,725 5779 5070 5070 5121 5121 5121 5121 5121 5121 5121 512	70.700
93.0000 03.0000	93,0000 93,0000 93,0000 93,0000 93,0000 93,0000 93,0000	93.0000 93.0000 93.0000 93.0000 93.0000	93.0000 93.0000 93.0000	93.0000 93.0000 93.0000 93.0000 93.0000 93.0000 93.0000 93.0000	9.1.1
\$0.09988	50.07635 50.07664 50.066767 50.066767 50.06098 50.07303	\$0.07041 \$0.07698 \$0.06555 \$0.05956 \$0.05956	\$0.07190 \$0.07703 \$0.00000 \$0.06453	\$0.10971 \$0.07127 \$0.07541 \$0.07585 \$0.07686 \$0.07686 \$0.07686 \$0.07686 \$0.07686 \$0.07606	\$0.07430
\$135,983	57,387,139 5145,440 518,553,707 555,488 53,100 591,363 515,968 515,968	\$1,531,017 \$13,646,086 \$36,920 \$57,199 \$149,158	\$114,780 \$1,855,072 \$124 \$1,893	56,900 5958,809 540,617 54,887 525,695 512,265 513,222 51,322 541,186 541,142 55	
\$44,010	\$2,301,288 545,180 545,180 52,100 51,100 54,368 54,368 54,368	\$293,664 \$404,514 \$600 \$1,476 \$5,491	\$32.706 \$42,930 \$300 \$36	\$2,880 \$244,122 \$12,320 \$177,126 \$177,126 \$177,126 \$1,200 \$1,200 \$1,200 \$1,125 \$3,144 \$3,181 \$3,181 \$3,181 \$3,1737,878	
S7.50	\$11.50 \$7,50 \$15,50 \$15,50 \$25,00 \$3,00 \$14.30 \$14.30 \$14.30 \$182.00	\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00 \$14.30	\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00	57,55 511,50 57,50 513,50 525,00 513,50 513,50 513,50 514,30 514,30	
S179,993	\$9,688,427 \$190,620 \$19,116,333 \$55,588 \$3,280 \$53,280 \$56,683 \$20,336	\$1,824,681 \$14,050,600 \$37,520 \$68,675 \$154,649 \$154,649	\$147,486 \$1,898,002 \$424 \$1,929	\$9,780 \$1,202,931 \$52,947 \$526,613 \$19,666 \$1466 \$1466 \$1466 \$1466 \$187 \$44,446 \$43,802,020	
S38,665		\$617,513 \$5,034,116 \$15,996 \$32,041 \$65,102	\$45,338 \$683,973 \$0 \$833	\$1,786 \$38,060 \$18,266 \$1,826,045 \$10,299 \$7,205 \$10,299 \$7,205 \$15,707,195 \$15,707,195	
489	16,676 502 3,473 5 31 2	2,128 2,497 2 41 32	237 265 1	32 1,769 137 723 723 723 137 137 19	
S218 658	\$12,436,051 \$227,788 \$25,991,294 \$80,876 \$4,500 \$139,456 \$139,556 \$136,545	\$2,442,194 \$19,084,716 \$53,516 \$100,716 \$219,751	\$192,824 \$2,581,975 \$424 \$2,762	\$11,566 \$1,584,981 \$71,803 \$71,803 \$37,194 \$26,774 \$26,774 \$1,529 \$69,509,215	
1 361 438		21,743,418 177,257,591 563,231 1,128,213 2,292,310	1,596,425 24,083,562 0 29,334	62,894 13,452,473 662,967 64,567,307 362,639 263,707 22,093 253,707 253,707 253,707 263,014 601,509 601,509	
	214 565 - WI KU 211 565 - UNR 213 565 - UNR 215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pr. & 220 MGSCC - P 223 MGS LM ON 229 MGS - TOD 226 MGSCC - S	212 - commercial - Space Heaund 211 SGS 215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 223 MGS - LM 229 MGS - TOD	213 - Public Authority - Schools 211 SGS 215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - LM 223 MGS - LM	216Public Authority-Other 204 SGS. MTRD 211 SGS. UMR 213 SGS. UMR 215 MGSSec & 216 MGSCC - P 217 MGS - N - S 218 MGS - N - S 228 MGS - TOD - ON 229 MGS - TOD - ON 229 MGS - TOD - ON	Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

# KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 2 Page 3 of 5

# Kentucky Power Power Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue

	(12) = (4X1UX11)
Average Monthly Reduction (11) = Annual	kWH/12
Net Realization	
Net Revenue	(9) = (6-8)
Total Customer Revenue	
Customer Charge	(2)
Revenue Excl. All Fuel	(6) = (3-5)
Base Fuel Revenue	(5) = (2) X 50.02840
Average No. of Customers	
Tariff Summary Revenue Excl. Fuel	(3)
Billed & Accrual kWH	
Tariff	(1)

			raye 4		
	\$2,796 \$7,294 \$3,194 \$15,238 \$27 \$19 \$19 \$19 \$19 \$18	S8,578 511,005 58 5140 5140 \$119	\$976 \$1,169 \$0 \$4	5201 57,218 54,218 54,21 516 514 514 574 574 574 574	\$4.25 \$51.00
	57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500	57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500	57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500	57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500 57.2500	687
	S0.09988 S0.107635 S0.11113 S0.07664 S0.066464 S0.06672 S0.06672 S0.06098 S0.07303	\$0.07041 \$0.07698 \$0.06555 \$0.05956 \$0.05956 \$0.05507	\$0.07190 \$0.07703 \$0.00000 \$0.06453	\$0.10971 \$0.07127 \$0.076417 \$0.07641 \$0.07645 \$0.07686 \$0.07686 \$0.07688 \$0.076847 \$0.066847 \$0.06647 \$0.07606	\$0.07424
	\$135,983 \$7,387,139 \$145,440 \$145,53,707 \$555,488 \$55,488 \$55,488 \$55,488 \$55,488 \$55,488 \$55,488 \$55,400 \$91,363 \$91,363 \$15,968	\$1,531,017 \$13,646,086 \$36,920 \$67,199 \$149,158	\$114,780 \$1,855,072 \$124 \$124 \$1,893	\$6,900 \$958,809 \$40,617 \$48,695 \$19,245 \$19,245 \$13,222 \$13,222 \$13,222 \$1,785 \$41,785 \$42,064,142	
	\$44,010 \$2,301,288 \$45,180 \$562,625 \$52,100 \$100 \$100 \$5,320 \$4,368	\$293,664 \$404,514 \$600 \$1,476 \$5,491	\$32,706 \$42,930 \$300 \$360	\$2,880 \$244,122 \$117,125 \$117,125 \$137,87 \$144 \$181 \$324 \$324 \$324 \$324 \$326 \$324 \$326 \$324 \$326 \$324 \$327,878	
	\$7.50 \$11.50 \$7.50 \$13.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$23.00 \$3.00 \$3.00 \$3.4.30 \$14.30 \$182.00	\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$33.00 \$14.30	\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00	57.50 511,50 57.50 513,50 513,50 513,50 513,50 513,50 514,50 514,50 514,30 514,30	
	\$179,993 \$9,688,427 \$190,620 \$116,333 \$57,588 \$57,580 \$96,683 \$596,683 \$20,336	\$1,824,681 \$14,050,600 \$37,520 \$68,675 \$154,649	5147,486 51,898,002 5424 51,929	\$9,780 \$9,780 \$52,931 \$52,947 \$52,947 \$26,895 \$19,569 \$1,466 \$1,466 \$44,446 \$44,446 \$43,802,020	
	\$38,665 \$27,747,624 \$37,168 \$6,874,961 \$23,288 \$1,320 \$42,553 \$6,210	\$617,513 \$5,034,116 \$15,996 \$32,041 \$65,102	\$45,338 \$683,973 \$0 \$833	\$1,786 \$382,050 \$18,855 \$1,826,045 \$10,229 \$10,229 \$17,203 \$27,275 \$27,5 \$17,083 \$17,083 \$15,707,195	
DNER	489 5,676 502 3,473 3,473 3,173 2 31 2 2	2,128 2,497 2,497 41 32	237 265 1	32 1,769 1377 723 723 4 1 1 11,413	
-AIR CONDITIC	\$218,658 \$12,436,051 \$227,788 \$25,991,788 \$25,991,788 \$25,991,768 \$15,00 \$139,236 \$139,236 \$139,236	\$2,442,194 \$19,084,716 \$53,516 \$100,716 \$219,751	\$192,824 \$2,581,975 \$424 \$2,762	\$11,566 \$1,564,981 \$1,564,981 \$1,504,505 \$337,194 \$55,774 \$25,774 \$194 \$56,774 \$195 \$107 \$519,215	
,-/ JNE-UP PROGRAM	1,361,438 56,147,320 56,147,320 1,308,725 242,076 820,015 46,463 1,498,359 1,498,359	21,743,418 177,257,591 563,231 1,128,213 2,292,310	1,596,425 24,083,562 0 29,334	62,894 13,452,473 663,961 64,297,376 362,639 253,707 22,093 601,609 601,609	
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	204 SGS-MTRD 211 - Commercial 213 SGS - WRR 213 SGS - UMR 213 MGS - Pri & 220 MGSCC - P 223 MGS - Pri & 220 MGSCC - P 223 MGS - TOD 226 MGS - TOD 236 MGSCC - S	212 - Commercial - Space Heating 211 SGS 215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 223 MGS - LM 229 MGS - TOD	213 - Public Authority - Schools 211 SGS 215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 223 MGS - LM	216 - Public Authority - Other 204 SGS - MTRD 211 SGS 213 SGS - UMR 215 MGS - Sec & 216 MGSCC - P 217 MGS - Fn 218 MGS - Fn 218 MGS - Fn 218 MGS - M - S 223 MGS - TOD - ON 229 MGS - TOD - ON 229 MGS - TOD	Monthly Per Customer

Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

# KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 2 Page 4 of 5

### KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 36 Attachment 2 Page 5 of 5

	Monthly Lost Revenue	(12) = (4X10X11)		100 00	\$173,794 \$7,015 \$7,015 \$32 \$36 \$46 \$26 \$26 \$258 \$20		\$20,452 \$26,238 \$18 \$333 \$284		\$2,326 \$2,786 \$0 \$9		5479 517,209 517,209 51,144 57,442 539 539 539 539 539 539 539 537 537 539 537 53 537 537 537 537 537 537 537 537	\$115,712		\$121.68 \$121.68
	Average Monthly Reduction (11) = Annual				136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000		136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000		136,5000 136,5000 136,5000 136,5000		136.5000 136.5000 136.5000 136.5000 136.5000 136.5000 136.5000 136.5000 136.5000			1,638
	Net Realization	(10) = (9/2)			\$0.07698 \$0.07635 \$0.07664 \$0.07664 \$0.05767 \$0.06672 \$0.06672 \$0.06698 \$0.07303	:	\$0.07041 \$0.07698 \$0.06555 \$0.05956 \$0.06507		\$0.07190 \$0.07703 \$0.00000 \$0.06453		S0.10971 S0.07127 S0.05117 S0.07641 S0.07086 S0.07086 S0.07686 S0.07586 S0.07586 S0.07586 S0.07586 S0.05984 S0.05984 S0.05984	\$0.07606		\$0.07429
	Net Revenue F	(9) = (6-8)			\$135,983 \$7,387,139 \$145,707 \$55,440 \$55,488 \$5,488 \$5,488 \$3,100 \$91,565 \$15,968 \$15,968		\$1,531,017 \$13,646,086 \$36,920 \$67,199 \$149,158		\$114,780 \$1,855,072 \$124 \$1,893		56,900 5958,809 50,617 54,848,487 519,487 519,245 519,245 519,245 519,245 519,245 511,245 511,245 511,245 511,186	\$42,064,142		
υ	Total Customer Revenue	(8) = (4X7)X12			544,010 52,301,288 545,180 5552,626 5552,626 5512,626 55180 5180 5180 55,320 55,320 55,320		\$293,664 \$404,514 \$600 \$1,476 \$5,491 \$5,491		\$32,706 \$42,930 \$300 \$360		\$2,880 \$244,122 \$112,126 \$117,126 \$117,126 \$1,200\$1,200 \$1	\$1,737,878		
ar 0/2011 - Lost Revenu	Customer Charge	(2)			\$7.50 \$11.50 \$7.50 \$13.50 \$13.50 \$33.00 \$33.00 \$314.30 \$14.30 \$14.30		\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25,00 \$3.00 \$14.30		\$11.50 \$13.50 \$25.00 \$3.00		\$7.50 \$11.50 \$7.55 \$13.50\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$10\$\$			
Kentucky Power Twelve Months Ended 6/30/2011 Demand Side Management Program - Lost Revenue	Revenue Excl. All Fuel	(6) = (3-5)			\$179,993 \$190,620 \$190,620 \$19,116,333 \$57,588 \$57,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$33,588 \$32,580 \$366,683 \$320,336		\$1,824,681 \$14,050,600 \$37,520 \$68,675 \$154,649		\$147,486 \$1,898,002 \$424 \$1,929		\$9,780 \$1,202,931 \$52,947 \$52,947 \$26,643 \$26,695 \$19,669 \$1466 \$146 \$187 \$587 \$587 \$587	\$43.802.020		
	Base Fuel Revenue	(5) = (2) X \$0.02840			\$38,665 \$2,747,624 \$37,168 \$6,874,961 \$5,374,961 \$1,320 \$1,320 \$42,553 \$6,210		\$617,513 \$5,034,116 \$15,996 \$32,041 \$65,102		\$45,338 \$683,973 \$0 \$833		\$1,786 \$382,050 \$18,856 \$1,826,045 \$1,299 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$7,205 \$2,050 \$1,050\$1,050 \$1,050 \$1,050\$1,	\$15,707,195		
	Average No. of Customers	(4)			489 16,676 3,473 3,473 7 7 31 2 2		2,128 2,497 2 41 32		237 265 1		1,769 137 137 723 723 723 723 19	11 413		
	Tariff Summary Revenue Excl. Fuel	(3)	и -неат римр		\$218,558 \$12,436,051 \$227,788 \$25,991,294 \$26,001 \$130,206 \$130,206 \$26,546 \$26,546		\$2,442,194 \$19,084,716 \$53,516 \$100,716 \$219,751		\$192,824 \$2,581,975 \$424 \$2,762		\$11,566 \$1,584,981 \$71,803 \$571,803 \$37,194 \$26,774 \$26,774 \$26,574 \$283,5194 \$283,5194 \$283,5193 \$283,5193 \$283,5193	CED EDG 946	o1 #1#00'800	
	Billed & Accrual R kWH	(2)	INE-UP PROGRAI		1,361,438 96,747,320 1,308,726 242,076,089 820,015 46,463 1,488,359 218,651		21,743,418 177,257,591 563,231 1,128,213 2,292,310		1,596,425 24,083,562 0 29,334		62,894 13,452,473 663,961 64,297,376 362,639 253,707 22,093 253,707 201,509 601,500	CC0 020 242	610'0'0'66G	
	Tariff	(1)	COMMERCIAL HVAC DIAGNOSTIC AND TUNE-UP PROGRAM -HEAT PUMP	211 - Commercial	204 SGS-MTRD 211 SGS 213 SGS-UMR 215 MGS-Sec 216 MGS-Sec 217 MGS-Pr & 220 MGSCC-P 223 MGS LM ON 229 MGS - TOD 236 MGSCC-S 236 MGSCC-S	<u>212 - Commercial - Space Heating</u>	211 SGS 215 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 223 MGS - LM 229 MGS - TOD	213 - Public Authority - Schools	211 SGS 216 MGS - Sec 217 MGS - Pri 223 MGS - LM	216 - Public Authority - Other	204 SGS - MTRD 211 SGS - UMR 213 SGS - UMR 215 MGS - Per 217 MGS - Pri 218 MGS - M-S 228 MGS - LM 228 SGS - TOD - ON 229 MGS - TOD - ON	000	Total Commercial	Monthly Per Customer Annual Per Customer

Base Fuel Factor: \$0.02840 / KWH

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Each of the DSM programs contained a cost-effectiveness evaluation.

- a. Explain how environmental costs were factored into the cost-effectiveness evaluations.
- b. Explain how federal and state energy income tax credits were factored into the cost-effectiveness evaluations.
- c. Explain why a societal test was not utilized in the cost-effectiveness evaluations.

### RESPONSE

- a. Costs to reduce emissions at KPC plants are embedded in the avoided capacity and avoided energy numbers used in the benefit/cost analyses. The benefit of avoided environmental damage was not considered in the cost-effectiveness evaluations. The societal test would include such benefits, but it is not considered in the four benefit cost tests utilized in the evaluation.
- b. Federal and state energy income tax credits were not factored into the costeffectiveness evaluations. Insufficient data was collected to reasonably estimate the tax credits that occurred due to KPC's consumer programs; thus to remain conservative, tax credits were excluded from the analysis. Inclusion of the tax credits would increase the net present value of both the TRC and PCT for any affected programs.
- c. The societal test was not utilized due to the associated uncertainty. Some benefits of the societal test, such as the benefit of avoided environmental effects, are difficult to objectively quantify and monetarily value. No measurement can be done to capture the benefits of a person's "well being" or "peace of mind". In light of such great uncertainty, the test was not utilized.

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett

.

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 38 Page 1 of 1

## Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Explain what options Kentucky Power has explored to increase the number of methods used to improve promotional effectiveness of its DSM programs.

### RESPONSE

Kentucky Power has explored the following new promotion options:

redesign of company webpage for residential and commercial DSM programs; on-line weblink to participating HVAC program dealers; on-line store for Residential Efficient Products program; web-based program announcements with scrolling banner display; new program fact sheets; new newspaper advertisement for HVAC programs and Commercial Incentive program; customer bill inserts; updated call center on hold messaging and scripting; media press release; employee survey; employee awareness posters for DSM programs; new branding of programs through gridSMART and tagline Saving Money and Resources; automated voice-messages to customers (DAVOX); and direct promotion to trade allies.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

The following table contains a comparison of the TRC results for 2009 and 2010 and projected 2012 to 2014 versus 2006 and 2007. Year 2006 and 2007 are the TRC evaluations from the last three-year evaluation in Case No. 2008-00350. 2009 and 2010 evaluations included a summer peak cost and a winter peak cost; 2012-2014 included only a winter peak cost; and 2006 and 2007 was not done by summer or winter peak cost, just in total.

(Click on Advanced button below to view table)

- a. Explain why there is such a difference in the TEE-Non-All Electric result of 7.86 from the last evaluation to the current result of 0.55-Summer Peak Cost and 0.50-Winter Peak Cost.
- b. Explain why there is such a difference in the MEF result of 3.37 from the last evaluation to the current 0.80-Summer Peak Cost and 1.15-Winter Peak Cost.
- c. the projected 2012-2014 TRC for the MEF is 1.37. Explain why this program is deemed not cost-effective for 2012-2014.
- d. The overall TRC for the High Efficiency Heat Pump program for 2006 and 2007 was 9.79. Explain why the current and projected TRC results for this program are substantially below 9.79.

### RESPONSE

a. A major factor contributing to the difference in the results of the benefit cost tests is the difference in avoided costs. KPC's avoided cost projections have changed significantly since 2006, and on the short-term were much lower for both avoided generation \$/MWh and avoided capacity \$/kW. Another major factor is the kWh savings per participant decrease. The billing analysis suggested a 23% decrease in kWh savings per customer. Another factor is that a lack of specific baseload cost data led to a participation-based estimate of program cost division between baseload and all-electric customers. This estimate resulted in more cost being

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 39 Page 2 of 2

in kWh savings per customer. Another factor is that a lack of specific baseload cost data led to a participation-based estimate of program cost division between baseload and all-electric customers. This estimate resulted in more cost being allocated to the baseload scenario, which could affect the baseload test results, but would leave the total program test results unaffected.

- b. A major factor contributing to the difference in the results of the benefit cost tests is the difference in avoided costs. KPC's avoided cost projections have changed significantly since 2006, and on the short-term were much lower for both avoided generation \$/MWh and avoided capacity \$/kW. Another factor is the estimated useful life of measures used in the analysis. Engineering estimates were utilized to estimate the estimated useful life of the billing analysis reported savings. This resulted in a shorter average estimated useful life than was used in the prior evaluation's analysis. A particular measure of note are compact fluorescent light bulbs; these measures are subject to diminishing savings because of federal standards affecting the baseline bulb wattage.
- c. Page 4 of the MEF Evaluation Report, "the prospective analysis of the program for 2012-2014 predicts the program could be cost-effective." However, page 13 of the evaluation report claims that the program will not be cost-effective. This contradiction is the mistake of the evaluator, and page 13 should have the same wording as page 4. The program may or may not be cost-effective in 2012-2014, but the EEDRA team believes it will be if the recommendations provided are adopted.
- d. In the Table for the 2006 and 2007 TRC results, the 9.79 value noted is the TRC result for the "Residential High Efficiency Heat Pump Mobile Home (HEHP-MH) Program", rather than the HEHP program. The HEHP program was not offered in 2006-2007.

WITNESS: Wade M Claggett

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 40 Page 1 of 3

# Kentucky Power Company

### REQUEST

Provide a list of the active members of Kentucky Power's DSM Collaborative and their respective representative(s).

### RESPONSE

A list of active DSM Collaborative members by name, including the organization that they represent and whether that person is a voting member, is provided on Pages 2 and 3 of this response.

WITNESS: E J Clayton

KPSC Case No. 2011-00300 Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests Order Dated September 14, 2011 Item No. 40 Page 2 of 3

The voting members of the Collaborative are:

## **Residential Class**

a.	Community Action Kentucky						
	Executive Director/CAK Staff Member/CAK	Primary – Rob Jones Alternate – Mike Moynahan					
<i>b</i> .	Northeast Kentucky Community Ac	tion Agency					
	Executive Director/NEKCAA Staff Member/NEKCAA	Primary – David Carroll Alternate – Kim Tackett					
с.	Big Sandy Community Action Agen	су					
	Executive Director/BSCAP Staff Member/BSCAP	Primary – Mike Howell Alternate – Wanda Thacker					
d.	Middle KY Community Action Part	tnership, Inc.					
	Executive Director/MKCAP Staff Member/MKCAP	Primary – Darrell Shouse Alternate – Trish Little					
e.	Gateway Community Action Council						
	Executive Director/GCAC Staff Member/GCAC	Primary – Wallace Rose Alternate					
f.	LKLP Community Action Council						
	Executive Director/LKLP Staff member/LKLP	Primary – Annie Thompson Alternate					
<i>с</i> .	Community Services						
	Executive Director/LINKS Representative/LINKS	Primary – Brett Davis Alternate					
	Representative/BSADD Aging Representative/BSADD Aging	Primary – Bertha Daniels Alternate					
h.	KY Housing Corporation						
	Senior Weatherization Trainer	Primary – Gary Brown					

# Staff Member KHCAlternatei.Office of the Attorney General, KentuckyAssistant Director/Atty General<br/>Staff Member/Atty GeneralPrimary – Dennis Howard<br/>Alternate – Heather Kashj.Kentucky Power CompanyDSM Program Manager<br/>Staff Member/KPCOPrimary – E. J. Clayton<br/>Alternate – Scott Bishop

### **Commercial Class**

a.	Office of the Attorney General, Kentucky					
	Assistant Director/Atty General Staff Member/Atty General	Primary – Dennis Howard Alternate – Heather Kash				
b.	Kentucky Power Company					
	DSM Program Manager Staff Member/KPCo	Primary – E. J. Clayton Alternate – Scott Bishop				
С.	Floyd County Schools					
	Director of Facilities Staff Member/Floyd Co. Schools	Primary – Greg Adams Alternate – Ralph Goble				
d.	Our Lady of Bellefonte Hospital					
	Director of Facilities Staff Member/OLBH	Primary – David Hall Alternate				