

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC)
CORPORATION FOR A GENERAL) CASE NO.
ADJUSTMENT IN RATES) 2011-00036

O R D E R

On July 6, 2011, Kenergy Corp. ("Kenergy") filed a motion requesting authority to file rebuttal testimony. Kenergy states that the procedural schedule adopted by the Commission's March 17, 2011 Order provided that Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") could file rebuttal testimony by July 6, 2011, but no provision was made for any other party to file rebuttal. Further, Kenergy states that it did not file intervenor testimony because it did not have any testimony to file in response to Big Rivers' application, but that it now desires to rebut a portion of the intervenor testimony filed by Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers ("KIUC"). A copy of Kenergy's rebuttal was appended to its motion.


On July 12, 2011, KIUC filed a response in opposition to Kenergy's motion, along with a motion to strike Kenergy's rebuttal. KIUC claims that the rebuttal filed by Kenergy is contrary to the procedural schedule, prejudices KIUC and other intervenors, and is not really rebuttal because it addresses issues raised in the application, rather than issues raised by KIUC's intervenor testimony. Finally, KIUC states that, if Kenergy's rebuttal is accepted for filing, KIUC should be afforded an opportunity to conduct discovery and file sur-rebuttal testimony.

Based on the motions and being otherwise sufficiently advised, the Commission finds that Kenergy's rebuttal addresses both the Big Rivers' application and the KIUC intervenor testimony. While it would have been preferable for Kenergy to have raised some of its issues by filing intervenor testimony in accordance with the procedural schedule, we find sufficient cause to grant Kenergy's motion and accept its rebuttal. Although we will deny KIUC's motion to strike, we will allow KIUC an opportunity to conduct discovery and file sur-rebuttal.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Kenergy's motion for authority to file rebuttal testimony is granted, and the rebuttal attached to its motion is accepted for filing as of the date of this Order.
2. KIUC's motion to strike the Kenergy rebuttal is denied.
3. Kenergy shall fully cooperate with KIUC regarding discovery, and Kenergy shall respond expeditiously to any reasonable discovery requests.
4. Any KIUC sur-rebuttal testimony in response to the Kenergy rebuttal shall be in verified prepared form, shall be served by KIUC electronically on all parties no later than 11:00 a.m. on July 25, 2011, and shall be filed with the Commission no later than 9:00 a.m. on July 26, 2011.

By the Commission



ATTEST:


Executive Director

Mark A Bailey
President CEO
Big Rivers Electric Corporation
201 Third Street
Henderson, KY 42419-0024

Sanford Novick
President and CEO
Kenergy Corp.
P. O. Box 18
Henderson, KY 42419

Douglas L Beresford
Hogan Lovells US LLP
Columbia Square
555 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20004

Melissa D Yates
Attorney
Denton & Keuler, LLP
555 Jefferson Street
P. O. Box 929
Paducah, KENTUCKY 42002-0929

David Brown
Stites & Harbison, PLLC
1800 Providian Center
400 West Market Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

Albert Yockey
Vice President Government Relations
Big Rivers Electric Corporation
201 Third Street
Henderson, KY 42419-0024

J. Christopher Hopgood
Dorsey, King, Gray, Norment & Hopgood
318 Second Street
Henderson, KENTUCKY 42420

Mr. Dennis Howard
Assistant Attorney General
1024 Capital Center Drive
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601

Honorable Michael L Kurtz
Attorney at Law
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

Honorable James M Miller
Attorney at Law
Sullivan, Mountjoy, Stainback & Miller, PSC
100 St. Ann Street
P.O. Box 727
Owensboro, KENTUCKY 42302-0727