BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 36 EAST SEVENTH STREET SUITE 1510 CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202 TELEPHONE (513) 421-2255

TELECOPIER (513) 421-2764

RECEIVED

AUG 0 6 2010 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Via Overnight Mail

August 5, 2010

Mr. Jeff Derouen, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Re: <u>Case No. 2010-00204</u>

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Please find enclosed the original and twelve (12) copies of the **DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF LANE KOLLEN on behalf of KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.** filed in the above-referenced matter. By copy of this letter, all parties listed on the Certificate of Service have been served.

Please place this document of file.

Very Truly Yours,

mil T. Kat

David F. Boehm, Esq. Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. **BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY**

MLKkew Attachment cc: Certificate of Service

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served by mailing a true and correct copy via electronic mail (when available) and by first-class postage prepaid mail, to all parties on the 5th day of August, 2010.

Honorable David Jeffrey Barberie Corporate Counsel Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government Department Of Law 200 East Main Street Lexington, KY 40507

Lonnie E Bellar E.ON U.S. LLC 220 West Main Street Louisville, KY 40202

David Brown Stites & Harbison, PLLC 1800 Providian Center 400 West Market Street Louisville, KY 40202

Tom FitzGerald P.O. Box 1070 Frankfort, KY 40602

Robert J Grey General Counsel PPL Corporation Two North Ninth Street Allentown, PA 18101

Mr. Dennis Howard Assistant Attorney General 1024 Capital Center Drive Frankfort, KY 40601

Honorable Lisa Kilkelly Attorney at Law Legal Aid Society 416 West Muhammad Ali Boulevard Suite 300 Louisville, KY 40202 Honorable Matthew R Malone Attorney at Law Hurt, Crosbie & May PLLC The Equus Building 127 West Main Street Lexington, KY 40507

Honorable Don Meade Attorney at Law Priddy, Cutler, Miller & Meade 800 Republic Bldg. 429 W. Muhammad Ali Blvd. Louisville, KY 40202

Honorable James M Miller Attorney at Law Sullivan, Mountjoy, Stainback & Miller, PSC 100 St. Ann Street, P.O. Box 727 Owensboro, KY 42302-0727

Richard Northern Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs, LLP 500 West Jefferson Street, Suite 2800 Louisville, KY 40202-2898

Honorable Kendrick R Riggs Attorney at Law Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC 2000 PNC Plaza 500 W Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202-2828

Paul E Russell Associate General Counsel PPL Corporation Two North Ninth Street Allentown, PA 18101

Honorable Iris G Skidmore 415 W. Main Street, Suite 2 Frankfort, KY 40601

. Kut

David F. Boehm, Esq. Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

RECEIVED

AUG 06 2010

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

JOINT APPLICATION OF PPL CORPORATION, E.ON AG,
E.ON US INVESTMENTS CORP., E.ON U.S. LLC,)LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, AND)CASE NO.KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF
AN ACQUISITION OF OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL OF
UTILITIES)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

AND EXHIBITS

OF

LANE KOLLEN

ON BEHALF OF THE

KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC. ROSWELL, GEORGIA

AUGUST 2010

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

JOINT APPLICATION OF PPL CORPORATION, E.ON AG,E.ON US INVESTMENTS CORP., E.ON U.S. LLC,IOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, ANDCASE NO.KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OFAN ACQUISITION OF OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL OFUTILITIES

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LANE KOLLEN

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Lane Kollen. My business address is J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc.
3		("Kennedy and Associates"), 570 Colonial Park Drive, Suite 305, Roswell, Georgia
4		30075.
5		
6	Q.	What is your occupation and by whom are you employed?
7	A.	I am a utility rate and planning consultant holding the position of Vice President and
8		Principal with the firm of Kennedy and Associates.
9		
10	Q.	Please describe your education and professional experience.
11	A.	I earned a Bachelor of Business Administration in Accounting degree and a Master of
12		Business Administration degree from the University of Toledo. I also earned a Master

1 of Arts degree from Luther Rice University. I am a Certified Public Accountant 2 ("CPA"), with a practice license, and a Certified Management Accountant ("CMA"). 3 I have been an active participant in the utility industry for more than thirty years. 4 initially as an employee of The Toledo Edison Company from 1976 to 1983 and 5 thereafter as a consultant in the industry. I have testified as an expert witness on 6 planning, ratemaking, accounting, finance, and tax issues in proceedings before regulatory commissions and courts at the federal and state levels on nearly two hundred 7 8 occasions. 9 I have testified before the Kentucky Public Service Commission on numerous 10 occasions, including the most recent Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") and Louisville 11 Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") base rate proceedings in Case Nos. 2009-00548 12 and 2009-00549, prior KU and LG&E base rate proceedings, and various KU and 13 LG&E Environmental Cost Recovery ("ECR") and fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") 14 proceedings. I also testified before the Commission in Case No. 1997-300, the proceeding in which LG&E Energy Corp. acquired KU Energy Corp. In addition, I have 15 16 testified before the Commission in numerous base rate, ECR and FAC proceedings 17 involving Kentucky Power Company, Big Rivers Electric Corporation and East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. My qualifications and regulatory appearances are 18 19 further detailed in my Exhibit___(LK-1). 20

1 Q. On whose behalf are you testifying?

2 A. I am testifying on behalf of the Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. ("KIUC"), a 3 group of large customers taking electric service from Kentucky Utilities Company and 4 Louisville Gas and Electric Company. The members of KIUC participating in this 5 matter are: Arch Chemicals, Inc., Clopay Plastics Products Co., Inc., Carbide Industries 6 LLC, Cemex, Dow Corning Corporation, E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Ford 7 Motor Company, General Electric – Appliance Park, Golden Foods, MeadWestvaco, 8 NewPage Corp, Schneider Electric USA, North American Stainless, TI Group 9 Automotive Systems, and Toyota Motor Engineering and Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc. 10 11 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony? 12 The purpose of my testimony is to make recommendations regarding the Applicants' A. 13 proposal for PPL Corporation ("PPL") to acquire E.ON U.S. from E.ON U.S.

Investments. E.ON U.S. owns Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and
Electric Company ("utilities" or "Companies").

16

17 Q. Please summarize your testimony.

18 A. KIUC does not oppose the acquisition if it is appropriately conditioned to ensure that
 19 there is no harm to ratepayers and to reflect an appropriate sharing of savings with
 20 ratepayers, both of which are necessary to ensure that the acquisition is in the public

interest. The acquisition imposes risks and potential costs on the Companies and their
 ratepayers; however, the Applicants have offered no savings or other benefits to
 ratepayers to offset these risks and potential costs.

4 The Commission should address the appropriate sharing of savings before it 5 approves the transaction, not after. The Commission should reject the Applicants' 6 proposal to file a "formal analysis" of potential savings and a plan for sharing any such 7 savings 60 days after the transaction is completed. If the savings are not addressed in 8 this proceeding, then there is little incentive for the Applicants to offer a reasonable 9 sharing of such savings in subsequent proceedings and the Commission may have 10 limited authority to impose conditions or sharing after it has approved the transaction. 11 The Commission determined in Case Nos. 2000-00095 (PowerGen plc acquisition of 12 LG&E Energy) and in Case No. 2001-00104 (E.ON US acquisition of PowerGen plc) 13 that cost savings actually achieved should be flowed through to ratepayers through the 14 operation of the LG&E and KU Earnings Sharing Mechanisms ("ESMs") then in 15 existence. Unlike in the prior proceedings, the utilities no longer have ESMs in place 16 and there are no other ratemaking mechanisms that will operate "automatically" to share 17 achieved cost savings if the Commission does not act to preserve the benefit of those 18 savings in this proceeding.

19 If the Commission approves the acquisition, it should impose certain conditions
20 in addition to adopting the Applicants' "regulatory commitments" as well as clarify the

1	circumstances or scope of certain of the Applicants' regulatory commitments. These					
2	conditions and clarifications are necessary to ensure that ratepayers are held harmless					
3	from the negative effects of the transaction and to ensure that actual achieved savings					
4	are shared with ratepayers in an objective and timely manner. KIUC recommends that					
5	the Commission adopt the following conditions and clarifications:					
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 The Applicants shall hold harmless ratepayers from any and all risks resulting from and costs incurred in or caused by the acquisition and change in ownership and control. This includes the effects of conditions imposed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Trade Commission, Tennessee Regulatory Authority, Virginia State Corporation Commission or any other regulatory entities that must approve the transaction. The Applicants shall not defer, shall not seek authority to defer and shall not seek ratemaking recovery of the Kentucky retail portion of any transaction costs, other costs resulting from the transaction, or costs incurred to achieve savings, unless specifically authorized in this proceeding. 					
20 21 22 23	3. The Applicants shall separately account for transaction costs, other costs caused by the acquisition, and costs incurred to achieve savings.					
23 24 25 26 27 28	4. The Applicants shall hold harmless ratepayers from the effects of push down accounting for the acquisition premium and all transaction costs. This hold harmless includes all effects of these costs on revenue, expenses and capitalization.					
29	In addition, KIUC recommends that the Commission establish a ratemaking					
30	mechanism for ratepayers and shareholders to share in actual achieved savings as a					
31	condition to its approval of the transaction. An initial report prepared for PPL by Booz					

Allen indicated that there could be significant savings after initial costs to achieve in the
 first three years subsequent to the transaction. However, the Applicants intentionally
 avoided further study of potential savings prior to completing the transaction and claim
 that potential savings will be mitigated by their numerous regulatory commitments.

5 KIUC proposes that the Commission adopt a five-year Acquisition Savings 6 Sharing Deferral for KU and LG&E that will capture actual achieved savings in the form 7 of a regulatory liability. The Acquisition Savings Sharing Deferral will operate 8 conceptually in the same manner that the ESMs previously operated to share savings 9 after the PowerGen and E.ON US acquisitions, except that there will be a deferral in lieu 10 of an immediate base rate reduction if earnings exceed the threshold return on equity. 11 The regulatory liability will accumulate and be used to lower rates in the utilities' future 12 base rate cases. Consistent with the Commission's reasoning in the two prior merger 13 proceedings, the premise for the deferral is that earnings in excess of a threshold return 14 on equity represent a reasonable proxy for actual achieved savings in excess of the costs 15 incurred to achieve those savings. KIUC proposes that the deferral be equal to 60% of 16 the revenue equivalent of the actual earned return on equity in excess of 10.75%, the 17 upper end of the return on equity set forth in the Commission's order in Case Nos. 2009-18 00548 and 2009-00549, the utilities' most recent base rate proceedings. This will allow 19 shareholders to retain 40% of excess earnings above the 10.75% threshold for the next 20 five years.

1

2 Q. Why are additional conditions and/or clarifications necessary?

A. Additional conditions and/or clarifications are necessary because of the risks imposed by
the transaction, the potential costs of those risks, and the need to address a timely
reduction in rates for actual achieved savings.

6 The Applicants' have repeatedly declined to agree to the general principle that 7 they will hold ratepayers harmless for the risks and potential costs of the transaction. If 8 the Commission is to find the acquisition in the public interest, it should ensure that 9 ratepayers are held harmless for these risks and costs. In KIUC 1-14, the Applicants 10 were asked to "confirm that the Companies agree as a matter of principle that ratepayers 11 should not be harmed from the transaction through increases in rates due to the 12 acquisition." In their response, the Applicants did not provide a yes or no answer and 13 instead referred to their Regulatory Commitments 5 and 8. In KIUC 2-7, the Applicants 14 again were asked to provide a yes or no response to the question of whether ratepayers 15 should be held harmless. The Applicants again failed to provide a yes or no response on the basis that "assertions of adherence to generic, abstract principles are not helpful to 16 17 the Commission because they can be interpreted to mean whatever a particular party 18 wants in subsequent litigation or disputes." Such a response instills no confidence that 19 the Applicants indeed intend to hold ratepayers harmless from any potential costs of the 20 transaction or resulting from the transaction. I have attached a copy of the Applicants'

response to KIUC 1-14 as my Exhibit___(LK-2) and their response to KIUC 2-7 as my
 Exhibit___(LK-3).

3 In addition, the Applicants were asked in KIUC 1-13 if they would oppose the Commission conditioning its approval of the acquisition on the avoidance of 4 5 requirements that might be imposed "by the FERC, US DOJ or FTC ... to join an RTO, 6 divest utility operating assets, or require KU and LG&E to decline to use their generating facilities to serve native load customers." Initially, the Applicants responded 7 that they "do not believe such a requirement is necessary." When asked again for a yes 8 9 or no answer to that question in KIUC 2-6(a), the Applicants responded "yes," they 10 would oppose such a condition. When asked whether the Applicants would hold 11 ratepayers harmless from any increased costs or reductions in revenues resulting from 12 the imposition of such requirements in KIUC 2-6(b), the Applicants responded "no." I 13 have attached a copy of the Applicants' response to KIUC 1-13 as my Exhibit (LK-4) 14 and their response to KIUC 2-6 as my Exhibit (LK-5).

Further, the Applicants were asked in KIUC 1-11 to confirm that they would not seek an accounting order from the Commission to defer and subsequently recover the costs to achieve savings in the absence of a comprehensive plan to ensure that savings exceed the costs to achieve. Initially, the Applicants did not respond yes or no to the question, instead stating that they did not expect significant savings or costs to achieve savings. When asked again to agree to such a commitment in KIUC 2-5, the Applicants

1		stated that they "decline to make the commitment requested in KIUC 1-11" and
2		specifically stated that they "could and/or would seek to obtain such an accounting order
3		depending on the results of the formal analysis" that they propose to provide 60 days
4		after the transaction is completed. I have attached a copy of the Applicants' response to
5		KIUC 1-11 as my Exhibit(LK-6) and their response to KIUC 2-5 as my
6		Exhibit(LK-7).
7		Finally, the Applicants have offered no plan to share achieved savings with
8		ratepayers and there is no ratemaking mechanism in place to capture and share those
9		savings. Despite retaining Booz Allen to perform an initial study that quantified
10		significant savings from the transaction, the Applicants intentionally decided not to
11		further study opportunities to achieve savings prior to the completion of the transaction.
12		
13	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should ensure that the Applicants hold
14		harmless ratepayers from any and all risks resulting from and costs incurred in or
15		caused by the acquisition and change in ownership and control, including the
16		effects of conditions imposed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, U.S.
17		Department of Justice, Federal Trade Commission, Tennessee Regulatory
18		Authority, Virginia State Corporation Commission or any other regulatory entities
19		that must approve the transaction.
20	A.	The Applicants have not offered any tangible benefits from this transaction. Yet the

ratepayers are exposed to harm from conditions imposed by other regulators, harm that
would not be visited upon them but for the transaction. Thus, the Commission should
endeavor to protect ratepayers from the lost revenues or costs through a hold harmless
on the ratemaking effects of such conditions or, alternatively, through a condition that
requires the Applicants to demonstrate that any conditions imposed by other regulators
do not or will not harm Kentucky retail ratepayers.

7 In addition, the ratepayers are exposed to harm from additional costs resulting 8 from the transaction. The transaction requires the utilities to repay and refinance all 9 their outstanding debt with E.ON US affiliate, Fidelia, to enter into a new credit facility, 10 and to register and to make filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 11 as well as comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley requirements applicable to SEC registrants. 12 The Applicants would not agree to hold harmless ratepayers from the increased costs of complying with Sarbanes-Oxley, according to its response to AG 2-63. In their 13 14 response, the Applicants stated: "Cost of compliance with SOX [Sarbanes-Oxley] 15 requirements are appropriately recovered by a utility as a part of its on-going operating 16 expense." The transaction also will subject PPL and the Companies to regulation by the 17 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to the requirements of PUHCA 2005, 18 although the Applicants have "not undertaken any review of the incremental cost," 19 according to their response to AG 2-62. The transaction also will subject the utilities to 20 the allocation of overhead and other costs from PPL Service Corporation and may result

1

in increased interest rates if the utilities' bond ratings are downgraded.

Further, in their Application to the FERC for approval of the transaction, the Applicants have agreed to "hold harmless all transmission and current wholesale customers from any costs associated with the transaction (e.g. transaction costs) for a period of five years to the extent that such costs exceed savings related to the transaction," according to their response to AG 1-67. In other words, the Applicants have agreed to a form of hold harmless for FERC-regulated wholesale customers, but have refused to make a similar hold harmless commitment for Kentucky retail ratepayers

9

10

11

12

Q. Please explain why the Commission should ensure that the Applicants do not defer, seek authority to defer or seek ratemaking recovery of the Kentucky retail portion of any transaction costs or costs incurred to achieve savings.

Kentucky ratepayers should not be worse off than if the transaction did not occur. 13 A. 14 Applicants have not proposed any savings or any sharing of achieved savings. Yet the 15 Applicants have exposed the ratepayers to risks and potential costs. The Applicants 16 have committed in general not to seek recovery of transaction costs incurred to achieve the transaction, but have refused to commit that they will not seek recovery of ongoing 17 costs resulting from or caused by the transaction, such as directors' and officers' tail 18 19 insurance coverage, FERC regulation and reporting requirements pursuant to PUHCA 2005, costs to comply with Sarbanes-Oxley, and PPL overhead and other costs. 20

In addition, costs incurred to achieve savings may be greater than the actual savings. The Commission cannot determine this in advance because actual costs to

1		achieve savings necessarily must be incurred before the savings can be achieved, even
2		assuming that they are or will be achieved. Thus, the Commission would be confronted
3		with the certainty of the actual costs to achieve accumulated and deferred as a regulatory
4		asset, but the uncertainty of ratepayer savings, which will not be accumulated or
5		deferred in any account, cannot be measured with certainty on an actually achieved
6		basis, and until rates are reset in the next base rate case, any net savings will not be
7		preserved or flowed through to ratepayers unless there is some ratemaking mechanism to
8		do so.
9		
10	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should ensure that the Applicants separately
11		account for transaction costs, other costs resulting from or caused by the
12		transaction, and costs incurred to achieve savings.
12 13	A.	transaction, and costs incurred to achieve savings. This is necessary to ensure that ratepayers are no worse off than if the transaction did not
	A.	
13	A.	This is necessary to ensure that ratepayers are no worse off than if the transaction did not
13 14	A.	This is necessary to ensure that ratepayers are no worse off than if the transaction did not occur when the utilities file for base rate increases after the transaction is completed.
13 14 15	A.	This is necessary to ensure that ratepayers are no worse off than if the transaction did not occur when the utilities file for base rate increases after the transaction is completed. The Commission and parties will have the information necessary to determine the
13 14 15 16	A.	This is necessary to ensure that ratepayers are no worse off than if the transaction did not occur when the utilities file for base rate increases after the transaction is completed. The Commission and parties will have the information necessary to determine the amounts of such costs included in the test year in those cases and to determine the
13 14 15 16 17	А. Q .	This is necessary to ensure that ratepayers are no worse off than if the transaction did not occur when the utilities file for base rate increases after the transaction is completed. The Commission and parties will have the information necessary to determine the amounts of such costs included in the test year in those cases and to determine the

premium and all transaction costs, including all effects of these costs on revenues, expenses and capitalization.

The ratepayers should not be worse off than if the transaction did not occur. Reductions 3 A. in revenues and increases in costs that are caused by the transaction represent harm to 4 ratepayers, all else equal. The Commission should clarify that the Applicants' 5 6 Regulatory Commitments extend to all effects of push-down accounting. The Applicants agreed to this clarification in response to KIUC 2-2, in which they stated: 7 8 "LG&E and KU also commit to exclude expenses, such as depreciation or amortization, 9 if any, associated with other push-down accounting adjustments when determining amounts to be recovered from ratepayers." I have attached a copy of the response to 10 KIUC 2-2 as my Exhibit (LK-8). 11

12

13Q.In Case No. 1997-300 (LG&E Energy Corp. acquisition of KU Energy Corp.), the14Applicants proposed and the Commission adopted a savings sharing surcredit15rider for each utility. Do you recommend that the Commission do so in this16proceeding?

A. No. First, the Applicants have not quantified estimated costs to achieve or savings and
have not proposed savings sharing surcredit riders. Thus, there is no quantifiable basis
to establish such riders. Second, the Applicants have not proposed such riders and the
Commission may not have the authority to order such riders without the Applicants'

agreement. Third, the savings sharing surcredit riders adopted by the Commission in 1 Case No. 1997-00300 resulted in proforma adjustments in the subsequent KU and 2 3 LG&E base rate proceedings that increased the utilities' actual expenses for their share 4 of the savings estimated in Case No. 1997-00300, despite the fact that there never was a 5 quantification of actual savings and despite the fact that actual costs continued to 6 increase rather than decline after the original merger as well as the subsequent two 7 mergers. In those base rate proceedings, the entirety of the net savings estimated in Case 8 No. 1997-300 were added to the utilities' base revenue requirements through a 9 combination of reflecting the surcredit rider revenues in the revenue requirement 10 computations (negative revenues increased the revenue requirement) and the proforma expense increases. In other words, the utilities' revenue requirements in the subsequent 11 12 base ratemaking proceedings assumed that the utilities had achieved the entirety of their estimated savings with no evidence that they had done so. If the savings were not 13 14 achieved, then the rate payers effectively paid the utilities' actual expenses plus 50% of 15 the savings estimated in Case No. 1997-00300. That ratemaking protocol was flawed, 16 as it may have resulted in the recovery of "phantom expenses" for savings not actually 17 achieved. Even if the Commission determines that it has the authority to do so, it should 18 not re-establish this flawed methodology.

19

20 Q. Is there another approach that would avoid the recovery of "phantom expenses"

and ensure that ratepavers share in actual achieved savings? 1 2 Yes. The approach that I recommend is similar to the Commission's approach in the A. 3 PowerGen and E.ON US merger proceedings and is patterned after the ESMs that were The Acquisition Savings Sharing Deferral will operate 4 in place at that time. conceptually in the same manner that the ESMs operated to share savings actually 5 6 achieved after the PowerGen and E.ON US acquisitions, except that earnings in excess of the 10.75% return on equity threshold will be deferred rather than reflected in annual 7 8 base rate reductions. 9 10 **Q**. Please describe how the Acquisition Savings Sharing Deferral would operate. The Commission would require the Companies to compute their earned return on equity 11 A. 12 for each twelve months ended period subsequent to completion of the acquisition. The Commission then would direct the Companies to defer 60% of the revenue equivalent of 13 the earned return on equity in excess of 10.75%, the upper threshold of the return on 14 15 equity range set forth in the utilities' most recent base rate proceedings. The excess 16 earnings represent a reasonable proxy for the net savings actually achieved because the 17 return on equity computation encompasses all revenue, expense, and capital costs, presumably some of which will increase and some of which will decline. 18 The 19 Acquisition Savings Sharing Deferral would operate for the shorter of five years or the effective date of new rates resulting from the next base rate case. In the latter situation, 20

1		the 60%/40% sharing would end on the effective date of new base rates because at that
2		point 100% of actual synergy savings will be flowed through to ratepayers through the
3		actual cost of service.
4		
5	Q.	Why is this approach reasonable?
6	A.	First, it is essential that there be a reasonable sharing of savings and that the
7		methodology to accomplish this objective be determined prior to approval of the
8		transaction. Approval of the transaction without all conditions established upfront may
9		diminish the Commission's ability or discretion to fashion a reasonable sharing of
10		savings in a subsequent proceeding. In addition, the Applicants may reiterate their
11		position in this proceeding that they do not estimate significant savings regardless of the
12		results of their "formal analysis," and as a result, may simply propose no sharing of
13		savings whatsoever. The Commission should resolve this issue in this proceeding as a
14		condition of approval.
15		Second, an approach that relies on the utilities' actual earned return on equity as
16		a measure of successfully achieving savings is superior to merely guessing at the
17		estimated savings in a proceeding commencing 60 days after the transaction is approved.
18		The KIUC proposal is the best way to capture savings actually achieved compared to
19		some hypothetical computation that may harm ratepayers through the utilization of a
20		surcredit rider and the treatment of the rider revenue reduction and the estimated savings

1		("phantom expenses") as increases in the revenue requirements in base rate proceedings.
2		In addition, the KIUC proposal (which is patterned on prior Commission precedent) is
3		the best way to capture all the effects of the transaction, including revenues, expenses,
4		and capital costs.
5		Third, similar to the protections afforded the ratepayers, the utilities will not be
6		harmed. The utilities will not be required to implement surcredit riders for estimated
7		savings prior to actually achieving those savings. The utilities also will retain the right
8		to file for base rate increases if their earned returns on equity are less than their
9		authorized returns. In addition, the utilities will have certainty regarding the ratemaking
10		treatment of savings actually achieved before the transaction is completed.
11		Fourth, the KIUC proposal is balanced. If there are no excess profits, then there
12		will be no sharing of synergy savings.
13		Fifth, the KIUC proposal is administratively efficient. It requires no action by
14		the Commission during the post-transaction period until the utilities file for base rate
15		increases. At the same time, it preserves the ratepayers' share of savings actually
16		achieved for their benefit in subsequent base rate proceedings. The Commission will be
17		able to review the deferral amounts in the subsequent base rate proceedings and
18		determine the appropriate period over which to amortize these deferred liabilities.
19		
20	Q.	Please describe the mechanics of the Acquisition Savings Sharing Deferral.

1	A.	The computation of the earned return on equity and the quantification of actual achieved
2		savings measured on this basis will be performed every twelve months starting with the
3		twelve months ended one year after the month immediately after the transaction is
4		completed. If the earned return on equity using average capitalization is in excess of
5		10.75%, then each utility will compute the revenue requirement equivalent of the excess
6		return and defer that amount as a regulatory liability. If the utility earns less than the
7		10.75% return on equity in any twelve months ended period, then there will be no
8		deferral for that period. In other words, the utilities will not be authorized to defer a
9		regulatory asset (or a reduction in the prior period regulatory liability). This is
10		consistent with the need to condition the approval of the transaction to preclude deferral
11		and recovery of the costs to achieve savings so that the utilities are not allowed to
12		accomplish indirectly what the Commission determines that are not allowed to do
13		directly. This also is consistent with the discrete annual operation of the ESMs that
14		were in effect when the Commission approved the last two acquisitions.
. ~		

The computations of the earned return on equity computations will use the format of the utilities' computations of their revenue requirements as detailed in Rives Exhibits 1 and 2 in Case Nos. 2009-00548 and 2009-00549, as adjusted for the Commission's Orders on specific issues those cases. This is the same approach the Commission used and the Companies followed to compute their earnings and adjust their rates annually when their ESMs were in effect. The benefits of this approach are

10	Q.	Does this complete your testimony?
9		
8		equity computations.
7		so that only the net savings actually achieved will be factored into the earned return on
6		only, the utilities will be allowed to include their actual costs incurred to achieve savings
5		corrections to the deferral amounts in the next base rate proceedings. For this purpose
4		adjustments, although the parties will reserve their rights to review and propose
3		various issues. The parties will be precluded from proposing new ratemaking
2		computations, and reflects the Commission's most recent ratemaking decisions on
1		that it is administratively simple, minimizes potential disagreements over the

11 A. Yes.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

JOINT APPLICATION OF PPL CORPORATION, E.ON AG,
E.ON US INVESTMENTS CORP., E.ON U.S. LLC,)LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, AND)CASE NO.KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF
AN ACQUISITION OF OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL OF)2010-00204UTILITIES

EXHIBITS

OF

LANE KOLLEN

ON BEHALF OF THE

KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC. ROSWELL, GEORGIA

AUGUST 2010

EXHIBIT ____(LK-1)

RESUME OF LANE KOLLEN, VICE PRESIDENT

EDUCATION

University of Toledo, BBA Accounting

University of Toledo, MBA

Luther Rice University, MA

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Certified Public Accountant (CPA)

Certified Management Accountant (CMA)

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Georgia Society of Certified Public Accountants

Institute of Management Accountants

More than thirty years of utility industry experience in the financial, rate, tax, and planning areas. Specialization in revenue requirements analyses, taxes, evaluation of rate and financial impacts of traditional and nontraditional ratemaking, utility mergers/acquisition and diversification. Expertise in proprietary and nonproprietary software systems used by utilities for budgeting, rate case support and strategic and financial planning.

RESUME OF LANE KOLLEN, VICE PRESIDENT

EXPERIENCE

1986 to

Present: J. Kennedy and Associates, Inc.: Vice President and Principal. Responsible for utility stranded cost analysis, revenue requirements analysis, cash flow projections and solvency, financial and cash effects of traditional and nontraditional ratemaking, and research, speaking and writing on the effects of tax law changes. Testimony before Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, West Virginia and Wisconsin state regulatory commissions and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

1983 to

1986: Energy Management Associates: Lead Consultant.

Consulting in the areas of strategic and financial planning, traditional and nontraditional ratemaking, rate case support and testimony, diversification and generation expansion planning. Directed consulting and software development projects utilizing PROSCREEN II and ACUMEN proprietary software products. Utilized ACUMEN detailed corporate simulation system, PROSCREEN II strategic planning system and other custom developed software to support utility rate case filings including test year revenue requirements, rate base, operating income and pro-forma adjustments. Also utilized these software products for revenue simulation, budget preparation and cost-of-service analyses.

1976 to 1983:

The Toledo Edison Company: Planning Supervisor.

Responsible for financial planning activities including generation expansion planning, capital and expense budgeting, evaluation of tax law changes, rate case strategy and support and computerized financial modeling using proprietary and nonproprietary software products. Directed the modeling and evaluation of planning alternatives including:

Rate phase-ins. Construction project cancellations and write-offs. Construction project delays. Capacity swaps. Financing alternatives. Competitive pricing for off-system sales. Sale/leasebacks.

CLIENTS SERVED

Industrial Companies and Groups

Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. Airco Industrial Gases Alcan Aluminum Armco Advanced Materials Co. Armco Steel **Bethlehem Steel Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers** ELCON Enron Gas Pipeline Company Florida Industrial Power Users Group Gallatin Steel General Electric Company **GPU** Industrial Intervenors Indiana Industrial Group Industrial Consumers for Fair Utility Rates - Indiana Industrial Energy Consumers - Ohio Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Kimberly-Clark Company

Lehigh Valley Power Committee Maryland Industrial Group Multiple Intervenors (New York) National Southwire North Carolina Industrial **Energy Consumers** Occidental Chemical Corporation Ohio Energy Group Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers Ohio Manufacturers Association Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group **PSI** Industrial Group Smith Cogeneration Taconite Intervenors (Minnesota) West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors West Virginia Energy Users Group Westvaco Corporation

Regulatory Commissions and Government Agencies

Cities in Texas-New Mexico Power Company's Service Territory Cities in AEP Texas Central Company's Service Territory Cities in AEP Texas North Company's Service Territory Georgia Public Service Commission Staff Kentucky Attorney General's Office, Division of Consumer Protection Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff Maine Office of Public Advocate New York State Energy Office Office of Public Utility Counsel (Texas)

RESUME OF LANE KOLLEN, VICE PRESIDENT

Utilities

Allegheny Power System Atlantic City Electric Company Carolina Power & Light Company Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company Delmarva Power & Light Company Duquesne Light Company General Public Utilities Georgia Power Company Middle South Services Nevada Power Company Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Otter Tail Power Company Pacific Gas & Electric Company Public Service Electric & Gas Public Service of Oklahoma Rochester Gas and Electric Savannah Electric & Power Company Seminole Electric Cooperative Southern California Edison Talquin Electric Cooperative Tampa Electric Texas Utilities Toledo Edison Company

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
10/86	U-17282 Interim	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Cash revenue requirements financial solvency.
11/86	U-17282 Interim Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Cash revenue requirements financial solvency.
12/86	9613	KY	Attorney General Dív. of Consumer Protection	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Revenue requirements accounting adjustments financial workout plan.
1/87	U-17282 Interim	LA 19th Judicial District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Cash revenue requirements, financial solvency.
3/87	General Order 236	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986.
4/87	U-17282 Prudence	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Prudence of River Bend 1, economic analyses, cancellation studies.
4/87	M-100 Sub 113	NC	North Carolina Industrial Energy Consumers	Duke Power Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986.
5/87	86-524-E- SC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Revenue requirements. Tax Reform Act of 1986.
5/87	U-17282 Case In Chief	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, River Bend 1 phase-in plan, financial solvency.
7/87	U-17282 Case In Chief Surrebutta	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements River Bend 1 phase-in plan, financial solvency.
7/87	U-17282 Prudence Surrebutta		Louislana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Prudence of River Bend 1, economic analyses, cancellation studies.
7/87	86-524 E-SC Rebuttal	WV	West Virginia Energy Users' Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Revenue requirements, Tax Reform Act of 1986.

Date	Case J	urisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/87	9885	KY	Attorney General Div. of Consumer Protection	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Financial workout plan.
8/87	E-015/GR- 87-223	MN	Taconite Intervenors	Minnesota Power & Light Co.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
10/87	870220-EI	FL	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Florida Power Corp.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
11/87	87-07-01	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986.
1/88	U-17282	LA 19th Judicial District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, River Bend 1 phase-in plan, rate of return.
2/88	9934	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Economics of Trimble County completion.
2/88	10064	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, capital structure, excess deferred income taxes
5/88	10217	КY	Alcan Aluminum National Southwire	Big Rivers Electric	Financial workout plan. Corp.
5/88	M-87017 -1C001	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery.
5/88	M-87017 -2C005	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery.
6/88	U-17282	LA 19th Judicial District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Prudence of River Bend 1 economic analyses, cancellation studies, financial modeling.
7/88	M-87017- -1C001 Rebuttal	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery, SFAS No. 92

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
7/88	M-87017- -2C005 Rebuttal	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Nonutility generator deferred cost recovery, SFAS No. 92
9/88	88-05-25	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	Excess deferred taxes, O&M expenses.
9/88	10064 Rehearing	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Premature retirements, interest expense.
10/88	88-170- EL-AIR	ОН	Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers	Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in, excess deferred taxes, O&M expenses, financial considerations, working capital.
10/88	88-171- EL-AIR	ОН	Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers	Toledo Edison Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in, excess deferred taxes, O&M expenses, financial considerations, working capital.
10/88	8800 355-EI	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Florida Power & Light Co.	Tax Reform Act of 1986, tax expenses, O&M expenses, pension expense (SFAS No. 87).
10/88	3780-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Pension expense (SFAS No. 87).
11/88	U-17282 Remand	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Rate base exclusion plan (SFAS No. 71)
12/88	U-17970	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	AT&T Communications of South Central States	Pension expense (SFAS No. 87).
12/88	U-17949 Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell	Compensated absences (SFAS No. 43), pension expense (SFAS No. 87), Part 32, income tax normalization.
2/89	U-17282 Phase II	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, phase-in of River Bend 1, recovery of canceled plant.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
6/89	881602-E 890326-E		Talquin Electric Cooperative	Talquin/City of Tallahassee	Economic analyses, incremental cost-of-service, average customer rates.
7/89	U-17970	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	AT&T Communications of South Central States	Pension expense (SFAS No. 87), compensated absences (SFAS No. 43), Part 32.
8/89	8555	ТХ	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Houston Lighting & Power Co.	Cancellation cost recovery, tax expense, revenue requirements.
8/89	3840-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Georgia Power Co.	Promotional practices, advertising, economic development.
9/89	U-17282 Phase II Detailed	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements, detailed investigation.
10/89	8880	TX	Enron Gas Pipeline	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Deferred accounting treatment, sale/leaseback.
10/89	8928	ТХ	Enron Gas Pipeline	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Revenue requirements, imputed capital structure, cash working capital.
10/89	R-891364	4 PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.
11/89 12/89	R-891364 Surrebutt (2 Filings	tal	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, sale/leaseback.
1/90	U-17282 Phase II Detailed Rebuttal	LA	Louísiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements , detailed investigation
1/90	U-17282 Phase III		Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Phase-in of River Bend 1, deregulated asset plan.
3/90	890319-8	51 FL	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	Florida Power & Light Co.	O&M expenses, Tax Reform Act of 1986.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

· -- --

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
4/90	890319-EI Rebuttal	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	Florida Power & Light Co.	O&M expenses, Tax Reform Act of 1986.
4/90	U-17282	LA 19뽜 Judicial District Ct.	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities	Fuel clause, gain on sale of utility assets.
9/90	90-158	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, post-test year additions, forecasted test year.
12/90	U-17282 Phase IV	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Revenue requirements.
3/91	29327, et. al.	NY	Multiple Intervenors	Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.	Incentive regulation.
5/91	9945	ŤΧ	Office of Public Utility Counsel of Texas	El Paso Electric Co.	Financial modeling, economic analyses, prudence of Palo Verde 3.
9/91	P-910511 P-910512	PA	Allegheny Ludlum Corp., Armco Advanced Materials Co., The West Penn Power Industrial Users' Group	West Penn Power Co.	Recovery of CAAA costs, least cost financing.
9/91	91-231 -E-NC	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power Co.	Recovery of CAAA costs, least cost financing.
11 <i>1</i> 91	U-17282	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Asset impairment, deregulated asset plan, revenue require- ments.
12/91	91-410- EL-AIR	ОН	Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Armco Steel Co., General Electric Co., Industrial Energy Consumers	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in plan.
12/91	10200	ТХ	Office of Public Utility Counsel of Texas	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Financial integrity, strategic planning, declined business affiliations.

Date	Case Ju	risdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
5/92	910890-El	FL	Occidental Chemical Corp.	Florida Power Corp.	Revenue requirements, O&M expense, pension expense, OPEB expense, fossil dismantling, nuclear decommissioning.
8/92	R-00922314	PA	GPU Industrial Intervenors	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Incentive regulation, performance rewards, purchased power risk, OPEB expense.
9/92	92-043	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Consumers	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
9/92	920324-EI	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Tampa Electric Co.	OPEB expense.
9/92	39348	IN	Indiana Industriał Group	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
9/92	910840-PU	FL	Florida Industrial Power Users' Group	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
9/92	39314	IN	Industrial Consumers for Fair Utility Rates	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	OPEB expense.
11/92	U-19904	LA	Louislana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities/Entergy Corp.	Merger.
11/92	8649	MD	Westvaco Corp., Eastalco Aluminum Co.	Potomac Edison Co.	OPEB expense.
11/92	92-1715- Au-Coi	OH	Ohio Manufacturers Association	Generic Proceeding	OPEB expense.
12/92	R-00922378	PA	Armco Advanced Materials Co., The WPP Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Incentive regulation, performance rewards, purchased power risk, OPEB expense.
12/92	U-19949	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	South Central Bell	Affiliate transactions, cost allocations, merger.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party		Subject
12/92	R-0092247	79 PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users' Group	Philadelphia Electric Co.	OPEB expense.
1/93	8487	MD	Maryland Industrial Group	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co., Bethlehem Steel Corp.	OPEB expense, deferred fuel, CWIP in rate base
1/93	39498	IN	PSI Industrial Group	PSI Energy, Inc.	Refunds due to over- collection of taxes on Marble Hill cancellation.
3/93	92-11-11	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	Connecticut Light & Power Co.	OPEB expense.
3/93	U-19904 (Surrebut	LA tal)	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities/Entergy	Merger. Corp.
3/93	93-01 EL-EFC	OH	Ohio Industrial Energy Consumers	Ohio Power Co.	Affiliate transactions, fuel.
3/93	EC92- 21000 ER92-800	FERC 6-000	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Ublitites/Entergy Corp.	Merger.
4/93	92-1464- EL-AIR	ОН	Air Products Armco Steel Industrial Energy Consumers	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, phase-in plan.
4/93	EC92- 21000 ER92-80 (Rebuttal		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Gulf States Utilities/Entergy Corp.	Merger.
9/93	93-113	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Kentucky Utilities	Fuel clause and coal contract refund.
9/93	92-490, 92-490A, 90-360-C	KY	Kentucky industrial Utility Customers and Kentucky Attorney General	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Disallowances and restitution for excessive fuel costs, illegal and improper payments, recovery of mine closure costs.
10/93	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	Revenue requirements, debt restructuring agreement, River Bend cost recovery.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

-

Date	Case J	urisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
1/94	U-20647	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Audit and investigation into fuel clause costs.
4/94	U-20647 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities	Nuclear and fossil unit performance, fuel costs, fuel clause principles and guidelines.
5/94	U-20178	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Louisiana Power & Light Co.	Planning and quantification issues of least cost integrated resource plan.
9/94	U-19904 Initial Post- Merger Earni Review	LA ngs	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	River Bend phase-in plan, deregulated asset plan, capital structure, other revenue requirement issues.
9/94	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	G&T cooperative ratemaking policies, exclusion of River Bend, other revenue requirement issues.
10/94	3905-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Incentive rate plan, earnings review.
10/94	5258-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Alternative regulation, cost allocation.
11/94	U-19904 Initial Post- Merger Eami Review (Rebuttal)	LA ings	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	River Bend phase-in plan, deregulated asset plan, capital structure, other revenue requirement issues.
11/94	U-17735 (Rebuttal)	ĹĂ	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	G&T cooperative ratemaking policy, exclusion of River Bend, other revenue requirement issues
4/95	R-00943271	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Revenue requirements. Fossil dismantling, nuclear decommissioning.
Date	Case Ju	urisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
-------	---	-----------------------------	--	--	---
6/95	3905-U Rebuttai	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Southern Bell Telephone Co.	Incentive regulation, affiliate transactions, revenue requirements, rate refund.
6/95	U-19904 (Direct)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Gas, coal, nuclear fuel costs, contract prudence, base/fuel realignment.
10/95	95-02614	TN	Tennessee Office of the Attorney General Consumer Advocate	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	Affiliate transactions.
10/95	U-21485 (Direct)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Nuclear O&M, River Bend phase-in plan, base/fuel realignment, NOL and AltMin asset deferred taxes, other revenue requirement issues.
11/95	U-19904 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co. Division	Gas, coal, nuclear fuel costs, contract prudence, base/fuel realignment.
11/95	U-21485 (Supplementa 12/95 (Surrebuttal)	LA Il Direct) U-21485	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Gulf States Utilities Co.	Nuclear O&M, River Bend phase-in plan, base/fuel realignment, NOL and AltMin asset deferred taxes, other revenue requirement issues
1/96	95-299- EL-AIR 95-300- EL-AIR	ОН	Industrial Energy Consumers	The Toledo Edison Co. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co.	Competition, asset writeoffs and revaluation, O&M expense, other revenue requirement issues.
2/96	PUC No. 14965	ТХ	Office of Public Utility Counsel	Central Power & Light	Nuclear decommissioning.
5/96	95-485-LCS	NM	City of Las Cruces	El Paso Electric Co.	Stranded cost recovery, municipalization.
7/96	8725	MD	The Maryland Industrial Group and Redland Genstar, Inc.	Baltimore Gas & Electric Co., Potomac Electric Power Co. and Constellation Energy Corp.	Merger savings, tracking mechanism, earnings sharing plan, revenue requirement issues.

Date	Case Ji	urisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
9/96 11/96	U-22092 U-22092 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	River Bend phase-in plan, base/fuel realignment, NOL and AltMin asset deferred taxes, other revenue requirement issues, allocation of regulated/nonregulated costs.
10/96	96-327	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Environmental surcharge recoverable costs.
2/97	R-00973877	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Stranded cost recovery, regulatory assets and liabilities, intangible transition charge, revenue requirements.
3/97	96-489	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	Environmental surcharge recoverable costs, system agreements, allowance inventory, jurisdictional allocation.
6/97	TO-97-397	MO	MCI Telecommunications Corp., Inc., MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc.	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.	Price cap regulation, revenue requirements, rate of return.
6/97	R-00973953	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
7 <i>1</i> 97	R-00973954	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
7/97	U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Depreciation rates and methodologies, River Bend phase-in plan.
8/97	97-300	КY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co. and Kentucky Utilities Co.	Merger policy, cost savings, surcredit sharing mechanism, revenue requirements, rate of return.

Date	Case Ju	risdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
8/97	R-00973954 (Surrebuttal)	PA	PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Power & Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
10/97	97-204	KY	Alcan Aluminum Corp. Southwire Co.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Restructuring, revenue requirements, reasonableness
10/97	R-974008	PA	Metropolitan Edison Industrial Users Group	Metropolitan Edison Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements.
10/97	R-974009	PA	Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, tiabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements.
11/97	97-204 (Rebuttal)	KY	Alcan Aluminum Corp. Southwire Co.	Blg Rivers Electric Corp.	Restructuring, revenue requirements, reasonableness of rates, cost allocation.
11/97	U-22491	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, other revenue requirement issues.
11/97	R-00973953 (Surrebuttal)	PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning.
11/97	R-973981	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements, securitization.
11/97	R-974104	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements, securitization.

Date	Case Ji	urisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
12/97	R-973981 (Surrebuttał)	PA	West Penn Power Industrial Intervenors	West Penn Power Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements.
12/97	R-974104 (Surrebuttał)	PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Restructuring, deregulation, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities, nuclear and fossil decommissioning, revenue requirements, securitization.
1/98	U-22491 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, other revenue requirement issues.
2/98	8774	MD	Westvaco	Potomac Edison Co.	Merger of Duquesne, AE, customer safeguards, savings sharing.
3/98	U-22092 (Allocated Stranded Cos	LA t Issues)	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Restructuring, stranded costs, regulatory assets, securitization, regulatory mitigation.
3/98	8390-U	GA	Georgia Natural Gas Group, Georgia Textile Manufacturers Assoc.	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded costs, incentive regulation, revenue requirements.
3/98	U-22092 (Allocated Stranded Cos (Surrebuttal)	LA t Issues)	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Restructuring, stranded costs, regulatory assets, securitization, regulatory mitigation.
10/98	97-596	ME	Maine Office of the Public Advocate	Bangor Hydro- Electric Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded costs, T&D revenue requirements.
10/98	9355-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Co.	Affiliate transactions.
10/98	U-17735	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cajun Electric Power Cooperative	G&T cooperative ratemaking policy, other revenue requirement issues.

Date	Case Ju	risdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
11/98	U-23327	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO, CSW and AEP	Merger policy, savings sharing mechanism, affiliate transaction conditions.
12/98	U-23358 (Direct)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gutf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
12/98	98-577	ME	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Maine Public Service Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded cost, T&D revenue requirements.
1/99	98-10-07	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	United Illuminating Co.	Stranded costs, investment tax credits, accumulated deferred income taxes, excess deferred income taxes.
3/99	U-23358 (Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
3/99	98-474	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, alternative forms of regulation.
3/99	98-426	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements, alternative forms of regulation.
3/99	99-082	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.
3/99	99-083	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements.
4/99	U-23358 (Supplemental Surrebuttal)	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
4/99	99-03-04	CT	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers	United Illuminating Co.	Regulatory assets and liabilities, stranded costs, recovery mechanisms.
4/99	99-02-05	CT	Connecticut Industrial Utility Customers	Connecticut Light and Power Co.	Regulatory assets and liabilities stranded costs, recovery mechanisms.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
5/99	98-426 99-082 (Additiona	KY al Direct)	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.
5/99	98-474 99-083 (Additiona Direct)	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements.
5/99	98-426 98-474 (Respons Amendeo	KY e to d Applications)	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co. and Kentucky Utilities Co.	Alternative regulation.
6/99	97-596	ME	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Bangor Hydro- Electric Co.	Request for accounting order regarding electric industry restructuring costs.
6/99	U-23358	LA	Louisiana Public Public Service Comm. Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Affiliate transactions, cost allocations.
7/99	99-03-35	СТ	Connecticut Industrial Energy Consurners	United Illuminating Co.	Stranded costs, regulatory assets, tax effects of asset divestiture.
7/99	U-23327	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Southwestern Electric Power Co., Central and South West Corp, and American Electric Power Co.	Merger Settlement and Stipulation.
7/99	97-596 Surrebutt	ME	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Bangor Hydro- Electric Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded cost, T&D revenue requirements.
7/99	98-0452- E-Gl	wv	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power, Potomac Edison, Appalachian Power, Wheeling Power	Regulatory assets and liabilities.
8/99	98-577 Surrebutt	ME al	Maine Office of Public Advocate	Maine Public Service Co.	Restructuring, unbundling, stranded costs, T&D revenue requirements.
8/99	98-426 99-082 Rebuttal	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Revenue requirements.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.		Utility	Subject
8/9 9	98-474 98-083 Rebuttal	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements.
8/99	98-0452- E-Gl Rebuttal	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Monongahela Power, Potomac Edison, Appalachian Power, Wheeling Power	Regulatory assets and liabilities.
10/99	U-24182 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, affiliate transactions, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
11/99	21527	тх	Dallas-Ft Worth Hospital Council and Coalition of Independent Colleges and Universities	TXU Electric	Restructuring, stranded costs, taxes, securitization.
11/99	U-23358 Surrebutta Affiliate Transactio	LA al ons Review	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Service company affiliate transaction costs.
04/00	99-1212-8 99-1213-8 99-1214-8		Greater Cleveland Growth Association	First Energy (Cleveland Electric Illuminating, Toledo Edison)	Historical review, stranded costs, regulatory assets, liabilities.
01/00	U-24182 Surrebutta	LA al	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, affiliate transactions, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.
05/00	2000-107	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	ECR surcharge roll-in to base rates.
05/00	U-24182 Suppleme	LA ental Direct	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Affiliate expense proforma adjustments.
05/00	A-110550	F0147 PA	Philadelphia Area Industrial Energy Users Group	PECO Energy	Merger between PECO and Unicom.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
07/00	22344	ТХ	The Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council and The Coalition of Independent Colleges and Universities	Statewide Generic Proceeding	Escalation of O&M expenses for unbundled T&D revenue requirements in projected test year.
05/00	99-1658- EL-ETP	OH	AK Steel Corp.	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co.	Regulatory transition costs, including regulatory assets and liabilities, SFAS 109, ADIT, EDIT, ITC.
07/00	U-21453	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO	Stranded costs, regulatory assets and liabilities.
08/00	U-24064	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	CLECO	Affiliate transaction pricing ratemaking principles, subsidization of nonregulated affiliates, ratemaking adjustments.
10/00	PUC 223 SOAH 47	50 TX '3-00-1015	The Dallas-Ft. Worth Hospital Council and The Coalition of Independent Colleges And Universities	TXU Electric Co.	Restructuring, T&D revenue requirements, mitigation, regulatory assets and liabilities.
10/00	R-00974 Affidavit	104 PA	Duquesne Industrial Intervenors	Duquesne Light Co.	Final accounting for stranded costs, including treatment of auction proceeds, taxes, capital costs, switchback costs, and excess pension funding.
11/00	P-000018 R-009740 P-000018 R-009740	008 338	Metropolitan Edison Industrial Users Group Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co. Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Final accounting for stranded costs, including treatment of auction proceeds, taxes, regulatory assets and liabilities, transaction costs.
12/00	U-21453, U-20925, (Subdock Surrebutt	U-22092 (et C)	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO	Stranded costs, regulatory assets.
01/0 1	U-24993 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, tax issues, and other revenue requirement issues.

Date	Case Ji	urisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
01/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-2 (Subdocket B Surrebuttal		Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, inc.	Industry restructuring, business separation plan, organization structure, hold harmless conditions, financing.
01/01	Case No. 2000-386	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Recovery of environmental costs, surcharge mechanism.
01/01	Case No. 2000-439	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Recovery of environmental costs, surcharge mechanism.
02/01	A-110300F00 A-110400F00		Met-Ed Industrial Users Group Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	GPU, Inc. FirstEnergy Corp/	Merger, savings, reliability.
03/01	P-00001860 P-00001861	PA	Met-Ed Industrial Users Group Penelec Industrial Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co. and Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Recovery of costs due to provider of last resort obligation.
04 /01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B Settlement Te		Louisiana Public Public Service Comm. Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: settlement agreement on overall plan structure.
04 /01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B Contested Iss		Louisiana Public Public Service Comm. Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: agreements, hold harmless conditions, separations methodology.
05 /01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 (Subdocket B Contested Iss Transmission Rebuttal		Louisiana Public Public Service Comm. Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: agreements, hold harmless conditions, Separations methodology.

Date	Case	Jurisdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
07/01	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092 Subdocket Transmissi	LA B ion and Distributio	Louisiana Public Public Service Comm. Staff n Term Sheet	Entergy Gutf States, Inc.	Business separation plan: settlement agreement on T&D issues, agreements necessary to implement T&D separations, hold harmless conditions, separations methodology.
10/01	14000-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Company	Revenue requirements, Rate Plan, fuel clause recovery.
11/01	14311-U Direct Panel with Bolin Killir		Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Revenue requirements, revenue forecast, O&M expense, depreciation, plant additions, cash working capital.
11/01	U-25687 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, capital structure, allocation of regulated and nonregulated costs, River Bend uprate.
02/02	25230	ТХ	Dallas FtWorth Hospital Council & the Coalition of Independent Colleges & Unive	TXU Electric	Stipulation. Regulatory assets, securitization financing.
02/02	U-25687 Surrebutta	LA al	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, River Bend uprate.
03/02	14311-U Rebuttal Panel with Bolin Killir		Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Revenue requirements, earnings sharing plan, service quality standards.
03/02	14311-U Rebuttal Panel with Michelle L		Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Revenue requirements, revenue forecast, O&M expense, depreciation, plant additions, cash working capital.
03/02	001148-E	I FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Co.	Revenue requirements. Nuclear life extension, storm damage accruals and reserve, capital structure, O&M expense.
04/02 (Supple	U-25687 mental Surre	LA buttal)	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, River Bend uprate.
04/02	U-21453, and U-22(Louisiana Public Service Commission	SWEPCO	Business separation plan, T&D Term Sheet, separations methodologies, hold harmless

Date	Case Jur	isdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
	(Subdocket C)		Staff		conditions.
08/02	EL01- 88-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and The Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement, production cost equalization, tariffs.
08/02	U-25888	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. and Entergy Louislana, Inc.	System Agreement, production cost disparities, prudence.
09/02	2002-00224 2002-00225	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co. Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Line losses and fuel clause recovery associated with off-system sales.
11/02	2002-00146 2002-00147	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co. Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Environmental compliance costs and surcharge recovery.
01/03	2002-00169	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utilities Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	Environmental compliance costs and surcharge recovery.
04/03	2002-00429 2002-00430	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co. Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Extension of merger surcredit, flaws in Companies' studies.
04/03	U-26527	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, Capital structure, post test year Adjustments.
06/03	EL01- 88-000 Rebuttal	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	System Agreement, production cost equalization, tariffs.
06/03	2003-00068	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Environmental cost recovery, correction of base rate error
11/03	ER03-753-000	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Unit power purchases and sale cost-based tariff pursuant to System Agreement.

Date	Case Ju	risdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
11/03	ER03-583-000 ER03-583-001 ER03-583-002 ER03-681-000 ER03-681-001	, and 2	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc., the Entergy Operating Companies, EWO Market- Ing, L.P, and Entergy Power, Inc.	Unit power purchase and sale agreements, contractual provisions, projected costs, levelized rates, and formula rates.
	ER03-682-000 ER03-682-001 ER03-682-002	, and			
	ER03-744-000 ER03-744-001 (Consolidated)				
12/03	U-26527 Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, Capital structure, post test year adjustments.
12/03	2003-0334 2003-0335	KΥ	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co. Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Earnings Sharing Mechanism.
12/03	U-27136	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	Purchased power contracts between affiliates, terms and conditions.
03/04	U-26527 Supplemental Surrebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Revenue requirements, corporate franchise tax, conversion to LLC, capital structure, post test year adjustments.
03/04	2003-00433	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	Revenue requirements, depreciation rales, O&M expense, deferrals and amortization, earnings sharing mechanism, merger surcredit, VDT surcredit.
03/04	2003-00434	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Revenue requirements, depreciation rates, O&M expense, deferrals and amortization, earnings sharing mechanism, merger surcredit, VDT surcredit.
03/04	SOAH Docket 473-04-2459, PUC Docket	ΤX	Cities Served by Texas- New Mexico Power Co.	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Stranded costs true-up, including including valuation issues, ITC, ADIT, excess earnings.

Date	Case Juri	sdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
05/04	29206 04-169- EL-UNC	ОН	Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	Columbus Southern Power Co. & Ohio Power Co.	Rate stabilization plan, deferrals, T&D rate increases, earnings.
06/04	SOAH Docket 473-04-4555 PUC Docket 29526	тх	Houston Council for Health and Education	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric	Stranded costs true-up, including valuation issues, ITC, EDIT, excess mitigation credits, capacity auction true-up revenues, interest.
08/04	SOAH Docket 473-04-4556 PUC Docket 29526 (Suppl Direct)	ТХ	Houston Council for Health and Education	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric	Interest on stranded cost pursuant to Texas Supreme Court remand.
09/04	Docket No. U-23327 Subdocket B	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO	Fuel and purchased power expenses recoverable through fuel adjustment clause, trading activities, compliance with terms of various LPSC Orders.
10/04	Docket No U-23327 Subdocket A	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	SWEPCO	Revenue requirements.
12/04	Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372	KΥ	Gallatin Steel Co.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., Big Sandy Recc, etal.	Environmental cost recovery, qualified costs, TIER requirements, cost allocation.
01/05	30485	тх	Houston Council for Health and Education	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC	Stranded cost true-up including regulatory Central Co. assets and liabilities, ITC, EDIT, capacity auction, proceeds, excess mitigation credits, retrospective and prospective ADIT.
02/05	18638-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Revenue requirements.
02/05	18638-U Panel with Tony Wackerly	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Comprehensive rate plan, pipeline replacement program surcharge, performance based rate plan.
02/05	18638-U Panel with Michelle Theber	GA t	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Co.	Energy conservation, economic development, and tariff issues.

Date	Case Jur	isdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
03/05	Case No. 2004-00426 Case No. 2004-00421	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co. Louisville Gas & Electric	Environmental cost recovery, Jobs Creation Act of 2004 and § 199 deduction, excess common equity ratio, deferral and amortization of nonrecurring O&M expense.
06/05	2005-00068	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	Environmental cost recovery, Jobs Creation Act of 2004 and §199 deduction, margins on allowances used for AEP system sales.
06/05	050045-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Heallthcare Assoc.	Florida Power & Light Co.	Storm damage expense and reserve, RTO costs, O&M expense projections, return on equity performance incentive, capital structure, selective second phase post-test year rate increase.
08/05	31056	ТХ	Alliance for Valley Healthcare	AEP Texas Central Co.	Stranded cost true-up including regulatory assets and liabilities, ITC, EDIT, capacity auction, proceeds, excess mitigation credits, retrospective and prospective ADIT.
09/05	20298-U	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Revenue requirements, roll-in of surcharges, cost recovery through surcharge, reporting requirements.
09/05	20298-U Panel with Victoria Taylor	GA	Georgia Public. Service Commission Adversary Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Affiliate transactions, cost allocations, capitalization, cost of debt.
10/05	04-42	DE	Delaware Public Service Commission Staff	Artesian Water Co.	Allocation of tax net operating losses between regulated and unregulated.
11/05	2005-00351 2005-00352	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Utilities Co. Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	Workforce Separation Program cost recovery and shared savings through VDT surcredit.
01/06	2005-00341	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Co.	System Sales Clause Rider, Environmental Cost Recovery Rider. Net Congestion Rider, Storm damage, vegetation management program, depreciation, off-system sales, maintenance normalization, pension and OPEB.
03/06 05/06	31994 31994 Supplemental	тх	Citles	Texas-New Mexico Power Co.	Stranded cost recovery through competition transition or change. Retrospective ADFIT, prospective ADFIT.

Date	Case Juri	isdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
03/06	U-21453, U-20925, U-22092	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Jurisdictional separation plan.
3/06	NOPR Reg 104385-OR	IRS	Alliance for Valley Health Care and Houston Councit for Health Education	AEP Texas Central Company and CenterPioint Energy Houston Electric	Proposed Regulations affecting flow- through to ratepayers of excess deferred income taxes and investment Tax credits on generation plant that Is sold or deregulated.
4/06	U-25116	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, Inc.	2002-2004 Audit of Fuel Adjustment Clause Filings. Affiliate transactions.
07/06	R-00061366, Et. al	PA	Met-Ed Ind. Users Group Pennsylvania Ind. Customer Alliance	Metropolitan Edison Co. Pennsylvania Electric Co.	Recovery of NUG-related stranded costs, government mandated programs costs, storm damage costs.
07/06	U-23327	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Southwestern Electric Power Co	Revenue requirements, formula rate plan, banking proposal.
08/06	U-21453, U-20925 U-22092 (Subdocket J)	LA	Staff Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Jurisdictional separation plan.
11/06	05CVH03-3375 Franklin Count Court Affidavit		Various Taxing Authorities (Non-Utility Proceeding)	State of Ohio Department of Revenue	Accounting for nuclear fuel assemblies as manufactured equipment and capitalized plant.
12/06	U-23327 Subdocket A Reply Testimor	LA ny	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Southwestem Electric Power Co.,	Revenue requirements, formula rate plan, banking proposal.
03/07	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Jurisdictional allocation of Entergy System Agreement equalization remedy receipts
03/07	33309	тх	Cities	AEP Texas Central Co.	Revenue requirements, including functionalization of transmission and distribution costs.
03/07	33310	тх	Cities	AEP Texas North Co.	Revenue requirements, including functionalization of transmission and distribution costs.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

-

Date	Case Juri	isdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
03/07	2006-00472	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative	Interim rate increase, RUS loan covenants, credit facility requirements, financial condition.
03/07	U-29157	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Cleco Power, LLC	Permanent (Phase II) storm damage cost recovery.
04/07	U-29764 Supplemental And Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Louisiana, LLC	Jurisdictional allocation of Entergy System Agreement equalization remedy receipts.
04/07	ER07-682-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Allocation of intangible and general plant and A&G expenses to production and state income tax effects on equalization remedy receipts
04/07	ER07-684-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Fuel hedging costs and compliance with FERC USOA.
05/07	ER07-682-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Allocation of Intangible and general plant and A&G expenses to production and account 924 effects on MSS-3 equalization remedy payments and receipts.
06/07	U-29764	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Gulf States, Inc.	Show cause for violating LPSC Order on fuel hedging costs.
07/07	2006-00472	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative	Revenue requirements, post test year adjustments, TIER, surcharge revenues and costs, financial need.
07/07	ER07-956-000 Affidavit	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Storm damage costs related to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and effects of MSS-3 equalization payments and receipts.

Date	Case Juri	isdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
10/07	05-UR-103 Direct	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Electric Power Company Wisconsin Gas, LLC	Revenue requirements, carrying charges on CWIP, amortization and return on regulatory assets, working capital, incentive compensation, use of rate base in lieu of capitalization, quantification and use of Point Beach sale proceeds.
10/07	05-UR-103 Surrebuttai	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Electric Power Company Wisconsin Gas, LLC	Revenue requirements, carrying charges on CWIP, amortization and return on regulatory assets, working capital, incentive compensation, use of rate base in lieu of capitalization, quantification and use of Point Beach sale proceeds.
10/07	25060-U Direct	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Public Interest Adversary Staff	Georgia Power Company	Affiliate costs, incentive compensation, consolidated income taxes, §199 deduction.
11/07	06-0033-E-CN Direct	WV	West Virginia Energy Users Group	Appalachian Power Company	IGCC surcharge during construction period and post-in-service date.
11/07	ER07-682-000 Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Functionalization and allocation of intangible and general plant and A&G expenses.
01/08	ER07-682-000 Cross Answerir		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Fuctionalization and allocation of intangible and general plant and A&G expenses.
01/08	07-551-EL-AIR Direct	OH	Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	Ohio Edison Company, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, Toledo Edison Company	Revenue Requirements.
02/08	ER07-956-000 Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Functionalization of expenses in account 923; storm damage expense and accounts 924, 228.1, 182.3, 254 and 407.3; tax NOL carrybacks in account 165 and 236; ADIT; nuclear service lives and effect on

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

depreciation and decommissioning.

Date	Case Jur	isdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
03/08	ER07-956-000 FERC Cross-Answering		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc. and the Entergy Operating Companies	Functionalization of expenses in account 923; storm damage expense and accounts 924, 228.1, 182.3, 254 and 407.3; tax NOL carrybacks in account 165 and 236; ADIT; nuclear service lives and effect on depreciation and decommissioning.
04/08	2007-00562 2007-00563	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility	Kentucky Utilities Co.	Merger surcredit.
	2007-00505	Customers		Electric Co.	
04/08	26837 Direct Panel with Thomas K. Bor Cynthia Johnst Michelle Thebe	on,	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc.	Rule Nisi complaint.
05/08	26837 Rebuttal Panel with Thomas K. Bor Cynthia Johnso Micheile Thebo	, nc	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc.	Rule Nisi complaint.
05/08	26837 Supplemental Rebuttal Panel with Thomas K. Bou Cynthia Johnso Michelle Thebe	on,	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	SCANA Energy Marketing, Inc.	Rule Nisi complaint.
06/08	2008-00115	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Environmental surcharge recoveries, incl costs recovered in existing rates, TIER
07/08	27163 Direct	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Public Interest Advocacy Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Revenue requirements, incl projected test year rate base and expenses.
07/08	27163 Panel with Victoria Taylor	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Public Interest Advocacy Staff	Atmos Energy Corp.	Affiliate transactions and division cost allocations, capital structure, cost of debt.
08/08	6680-CE-170 Direct	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	Nelson Dewey 3 or Colombia 3 fixed financial parameters.

Date	Case J	lurisdict.		Utility	Subject
08/08	6680-UR-11 Direct	6 WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	CWIP in rate base, labor expenses, pension expense, financing, capital structure, decoupling.
08/08	6680-UR-11 Rebuttal	6 WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	Capital structure.
08/08	6690-UR-11 Direct	9 WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	Prudence of Weston 3 outage, incentive compensation, Crane Creek Wind Farm incremental revenue requirement, capital structure.
09/08	6690-UR-11 Surrebuttal	9 WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group, Inc.	Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	Prudence of Weston 3 outage, Section 199 deduction.
09/08	08-935-EL-5 08-918-EL-5		Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	First Energy	Standard service offer rates pursuant to electric security plan, significantly excessive earnings test.
10/08	08-917-EL-5	890 OH	Ohio Energy Group, Inc.	AEP	Standard service offer rates pursuant to electric security plan, significantly excessive earnings test.
10/08	2007-564 2007-565 2008-251 2008-252	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Co., Kentucky Utilities Company	Revenue forecast, affiliate costs, depreciation expenses, federal and state income tax expense, capitalization, cost of debt.
11/08	EL08-51	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Spindletop gas storage facilities, regulatory asset and bandwidth remedy.
11/08	35717	тх	Cities Served by Oncor Delivery Company	Oncor Delivery Company	Recovery of old meter costs, asset ADFIT, cash working capital, recovery of prior year restructuring costs, levelized recovery of storm damage costs, prospective storm damage accrual, consolidated tax savings adjustment.
12/08	27800	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission	Georgia Power Company	AFUDC versus CWIP in rate base, mirror CWIP, certification cost, use of short term debt and trust preferred financing, CWIP recovery, regulatory incentive.
01/09	ER08-1056	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, inc.	Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations, including depreciation expense, ADIT, capital structure.

Date	Case Ju	risdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
01/09	ER08-1056 Supplemental Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Blytheville leased turbines; accumulated depreciation.
02/09	EL08-51 Rebuttal	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Spindletop gas storage facilities regulatory asset and bandwidth remedy.
02/09	2008-00409 Direct	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.	Revenue requirements.
03/09	ER08-1056 Answering	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations, including depreciation expense, ADIT, capital structure.
03/09	U-21453,U-20925 U-22092 (Subdocket J)		Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC	Violation of EGSI separation order, ETI and EGSL separation accounting, Spindletop regulatory asset.
04/09	U-21453, U-20925 U-22092 (Subdocket J) Rebuttal		Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC	Violation of EGSI separation order, ETI and EGSL separation accounting, Spindletop regulatory asset.
04/09	2009-00040 Direct-Interim (Oral)	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Emergency interim rate increase; cash requirements.
04/09	36530	TX	State Office of Administrative Hearings	Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC	Rate case expenses.
05/09	ER08-1056 Rebuttal	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations, including depreciation expense, ADIT, capital structure.
06/09	2009-00040 Direct- Permanent	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Big Rivers Electric Corp.	Revenue requirements, TIER, cash flow.
07/09	080677-EI	FL	South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association	Florida Power & Light Company	Multiple test years, GBRA rider, forecast assumptions, revenue requirement, O&M expense, depreciation expense, Economic Stimulus Bill, capital structure.
08/09	U-21453, U-20 U-22092 (Subo Supplemental	docket J)	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC	Violation of EGSI separation order, ETI and EGSL separation accounting, Spindletop regulatory asset.

Date	Case Jur	isdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
08/09	8516 and 29950	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atlanta Gas Light Company	Modification of PRP surcharge to include infrastructure costs.
09/09	05-UR-104 Direct and Surrebuttal	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Electric Power Company	Revenue requirements, incentive compensation, depreciation, deferral mitigation, capital structure, cost of debt.
09/09	09AL-299E	CO	CF&I Steel, Rocky Mountain Steel Mills LP, Climax Molybdenum Company	Public Service Company of Colorado	Forecasted test year, historic test year, proforma adjustments for major plant additions, tax depreciation.
09/09	6680-UR-117 Direct and Surrebuttal	WI	Wisconsin Industrial Energy Group	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	Revenue requirements, CWIP in rate base, deferral mitigation, payroll, capacity shutdowns, regulatory assets, rate of return.
10/09	09A-415E	CO	Cripple Creek & Victor Gold Mining Company, et al.	Black Hills/CO Electric Utility Company	Cost prudence, cost sharing mechanism.
10/09	EL09-50 Direct	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Waterford 3 sale/leaseback accumulated deferred income taxes, Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations.
10/09	2009-00329	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Company, Kentucky Utilities Company	Trimble County 2 depreciation rates.
12/09	PUE-2009- 00030	VA	Old Dominion Committee for Fair Utility Rates	Appalachian Power Company	Return on equity incentive.
12/09	ER09-1224 Direct	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Hypothetical v. actual costs, out of period costs, Spindletop deferred capital costs, Waterford 3 sale/leaseback ADIT.
01/10	ER09-1224 Cross-Answerir	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Hypothetical v. actual costs, out of period costs, Spindletop deferred capital costs, Waterford 3 sale/leaseback ADIT.
01/10	EL09-50 Rebuttal	LA	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Waterford 3 sale/leaseback accumulated deferred income taxes, Entergy System Agreement bandwidth remedy calculations.
02/10	ER09-1224 Final	FERC	Louisiana Public Service Commission	Entergy Services, Inc.	Hypothetical v. actual costs, out of period costs, Spindletop deferred capital costs, Waterford 3 sale/leaseback ADIT.

.

Date	Case Ju	risdict.	Party	Utility	Subject
4441 <u>000</u> 0000000000000000000000000000000	(ایر وی ۱۵ موند ا <mark>یا این در</mark> این در این	<u>2968-1974 (Kana Wildowson y 1996) y 14</u>	ann a thu a fan fan Banna Gang Gang Gang Gang Gang Gang Gang	ار ماهی از مانی اور این اور ای این اور این اور	ا المكور المعالم الحالي المراسم الموالية المكالية المحالية والمحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحالية المحا
02/10	30442 Wackerly- Kollen Panel	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atmos Energy Corporation	Revenue Requirement issues.
02/10	30442 McBride- Kollen Panel	GA	Georgia Public Service Commission Staff	Atmos Energy Corporation	Affiliate/division transactions, cost allocation, capital structure.
02/10	2009-00353	KY	Kentucky Industria) Utility Customers, Inc.	Louisville Gas and Electric Company, Kentucky Utilities Company	Ratemaking recovery of wind power purchased power agreements.
03/10	2009-00545	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Ratemaking recovery of wind power purchased power agreement.
03/10	E015/GR- 09-1151	MN	Large Power Interveners	Minnesota Power	Revenue requirement issues, cost overruns on environmental retrofit project.
04/10	2009-00459	KY	Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.	Kentucky Power Company	Revenue requirement issues.
04/10	2009-00458 2009-00459	КY	Kentucky Industriał	Kentucky Utilities Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company	Revenue requirement issues.

J. KENNEDY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

CASE NO. 2010-00204

Joint Response to First Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Dated June 23, 2010

Question No. 14

Responding Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar / William H. Spence

- Q-14. Refer to pages 24-25 of Mr. Miller's Direct Testimony wherein he concludes that the acquisition is in the "public interest."
 - a. Please explain why the Companies neither discussed nor made any commitments that will ensure that ratepayers are not harmed from the transaction through increases in rates due to the acquisition.
 - b. Please confirm that the Companies agrees as a matter of principle that ratepayers should not be harmed from the transaction through increases in rates due to the acquisition. Please explain your response

- A-14. a. PPL, E.ON U.S., LG&E and KU in fact made such commitments in Regulatory Commitment Nos. 5 and 8. In Regulatory Commitment No. 5, they committed that "the Purchase will have no impact on the base rates [...] of LG&E or KU." In Regulatory Commitment No. 8, they committed that the "ratepayers, directly or indirectly, shall not incur any additional costs, liabilities, or obligations in conjunction with the Purchase..."
 - b. Please see the above response to Question No. 14(a).

EXHIBIT (LK-3)	

CASE NO. 2010-00204

Joint Response to the Second Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Dated July 16, 2010

Question No. 2-7

Responding Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar / William H. Spence

- Q2-7. Refer to the Applicants' response to KIUC 1-14(b). Please respond to the question posed with a "yes" or "no." The question asks for an agreement as a matter of principle. If the Applicants are unable or unwilling to confirm their agreement with this principle, then please explain and provide all reasons for your position.
- A2-7. The Joint Applicants respectfully assert that their response to KIUC 1-14(b) was responsive to the request for information. In response to KIUC 1-14(a), the Joint Applicants stated:

PPL, E.ON U.S., LG&E and KU in fact made such commitments in Regulatory Commitment Nos. 5 and 8. In Regulatory Commitment No. 5, they committed that "the Purchase will have no impact on the base rates ... of LG&E or KU." In Regulatory Commitment No. 8, they committed that the "ratepayers, directly or indirectly, shall not incur any additional costs, liabilities, or obligations in conjunction with the Purchase"

The Joint Applicants referred to their response to KIUC 1-14(a) as their response to KIUC 1-14(b). The Joint Applicants did so because assertions of adherence to generic, abstract principles are not helpful to the Commission because they can be interpreted to mean whatever a particular party wants in subsequent ligation or disputes. On the other hand, commitments like those the Joint Applicants have made, which are quoted above in relevant part, are much more useful to the Commission because they are clear and unequivocal, and therefore more easily enforceable.

EXHIBIT ____ (LK-4)

.....

•

CASE NO. 2010-00204

Joint Response to First Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Dated June 23, 2010

Question No. 13

Responding Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar / William H. Spence

- Q-13. Refer to page 19 lines 3-9 of Mr. Miller's Direct Testimony regarding PPL's commitment to use its reasonable best efforts to avoid the imposition by the FERC, US DOJ or FTC of requirements to join an RTO, divest utility operating assets, or require KU and LG&E to decline to use their generating facilities to serve native load customers. Would the Applicants oppose the Commission conditioning its approval of the acquisition on the avoidance of such requirements? Please explain your response.
- A-13. The Applicants do not believe such a requirement is necessary. The Applicants ran multiple market power screens to support their application to FERC for approval of the proposed transaction, all of which demonstrate that the transaction would not increase horizontal or vertical market power concentrations. The Applicants' FERC application further demonstrates that because access to LG&E's and KU's transmission systems will continue to be independently and impartially administered by a third party (Southwest Power Pool, Inc.), the transaction will not adversely impact transmission customers. Finally, the Applicants' applications to this Commission and the FERC demonstrate that the transaction will not create cross-subsidization issues between the utilities and nonutility affiliates. For all of these reasons, the Applicants believe it is unlikely FERC, the US DOJ, or the FTC will require any of the actions described in the question, and therefore believe a condition concerning such unlikely actions would be unnecessary in an order from this Commission.

Moreover, any such condition could create possible preemption issues that could have to be litigated in the event a federal regulatory agency did require the Applicants to take such an action. The Applicants do not think it is advisable to risk creating such preemption concerns when facing unlikely actions by federal regulators

EXHIBIT ____ (LK-5)

CASE NO. 2010-00204

ť

ł,

Joint Response to the Second Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Dated July 16, 2010

Question No. 2-6

Responding Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar / William H. Spence

- Q2-6. Refer to the Applicants' response to KIUC 1-13. The request asks if the Applicants would oppose the Commission conditioning its approval of the acquisition on the avoidance of such requirements, not whether the Applicants consider it "unnecessary" or not "advisable."
 - a. Please respond "yes" or "no" to the question as it was originally posed.
 - b. Are the Applicants willing to hold ratepayers harmless from any increased costs or reductions in revenues resulting from the imposition by the FERC, US DOJ or the FTC of requirements to join an RTO, divest utility operating assets, or require KU and LG&E to decline to use their generating facilities to serve native load customers? If not, please explain and provide all reasons why the Applicants are unwilling to make this commitment.
- A2-6. a. Yes, the Joint Applicants would oppose such a condition upon the Commission's approval of the proposed acquisition. The Joint Applicants believe any such condition would create significant preemption concerns, and could ultimately prove to be unenforceable. For the reasons the Joint Applicants provided in response to KIUC 1-13, the Joint Applicants believe it is unlikely that FERC will impose any of the conditions described in the request because there is no evidence of market power or other relevant concerns to support imposing any such conditions.
 - b. No. Costs resulting from the requirements of federal law are recoverable through rates, and the Joint Applicants will not agree in the hypothetical to forego that recovery; again, for the reasons given in response to KIUC 1-13, it is not likely that FERC will require any of the actions this question contemplates. However, to the extent the imposition of any such requirements could result in higher or lower costs of service that the utilities would recover through base rates, customers would in fact not pay for such changes until the Commission approved new base rates for the utilities. To the extent facilities would not be used to serve native load customers, the utilities could not seek to recover the costs thereof through rates.



CASE NO. 2010-00204

Joint Response to First Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Dated June 23, 2010

Question No. 11

Responding Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar / Paul A. Farr

- Q-11. Please confirm that the Companies will not seek to obtain an accounting order from the Commission to defer and subsequently recover the costs to achieve savings in the absence of a comprehensive plan to ensure that savings exceed the costs to achieve.
- A-11. KU and LG&E do not expect significant savings or costs to achieve savings based on the regulatory commitments being made.

EXHIBIT ____ (LK-7)

CASE NO. 2010-00204

Joint Response to the Second Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Dated July 16, 2010

Question No. 2-5

Responding Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar / Paul A. Farr

- Q2-5. Refer to the Applicants' response to KIUC 1-11. The request seeks a commitment from the Applicants, not a statement as to the whether the Applicants expect to incur savings or costs to achieve. Please respond to the question as it was posed. If the Applicants are unable or unwilling to make this commitment, then please identify all circumstances under which the Applicants could and/or would seek to obtain an accounting order.
- A2-5. In response to KIUC 1-11, KU and LG&E stated that they do not expect significant savings or costs to achieve savings based on the regulatory commitments being made. However, because the formal analysis referenced in Regulatory Commitment No. 39 has not yet been performed, the Joint Applicants decline to make the commitment requested in KIUC 1-11. KU and LG&E could and/or would seek to obtain such an accounting order depending on the results of the formal analysis referenced in Regulatory Commitment No. 39.

CASE NO. 2010-00204

Joint Response to the Second Set of Data Requests of Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. Dated July 16, 2010

Question No. 2-2

Responding Witness: S. Bradford Rives / Paul A. Farr

- Q2-2. Refer to the Applicants' response to KIUC 1-9(b)-(d). Please confirm that LG&E and KU also will commit to not seek to recover the costs that are reflected in expenses, as opposed to rate base or capitalization, resulting from push-down accounting. Such costs may include, but are not limited to, depreciation or amortization expense resulting from write-ups of assets and deferral of transaction costs.
- A2-2. The push-down accounting adjustments included in the response to KIUC 1-9 will result in no incremental expenses to be charged to LG&E or KU ratepayers as the adjustments to goodwill and the investment in EEI will not be depreciated or amortized. Interest expense on the intercompany debt with PPL will be the same as the intercompany debt with E.ON AG affiliates since the terms will be the same, and the amortization of any fair value adjustment to debt will be recorded below net operating income. LG&E and KU also commit to exclude expenses, such as depreciation or amortization, if any, associated with other push-down accounting adjustments when determining amounts to be recovered from ratepayers.

τ.,

ί,