

**From:** Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** your comments in case 2009-00549 - Louisville Gas & Electric Co. rates  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 06, 2010 10:11:00 PM

---

Dear Mr. Booker:

Thank you for your comments regarding the rate increase proposed by Louisville Gas & Electric Co. Your comments will be placed into the case file for the Commission's review as it considers this matter. For your future reference, the case number in this matter is 2009-00549. Please cite it in any future correspondence regarding this case so that your comments may be readily directed to the case file.

Thank you again for your interest.

**Andrew Melnykovych**  
Director of Communications  
Kentucky Public Service Commission  
502-564-3940 x208

**RECEIVED**

*By Public Service Commission at 10:14 pm, Apr 06, 2010*

---

**From:** PSC - Public Information Officer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 06, 2010 9:52 PM  
**To:** Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)  
**Subject:** FW: LG&E's Rate Increase Proposal

-----  
**From:** S [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 06, 2010 9:51:45 PM  
**To:** PSC - Public Information Officer  
**Subject:** LG&E's Rate Increase Proposal  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

This email is to express my disagreement with LG&E's proposed rate increase. Due to how this increase is structured, it will increase my monthly electric and gas bill, on average, over 50%! I'm totally opposed to this increase. I've spoken with Mr. Bellar at LG&E and expressed my concern. LG&E is punishing those residents who actually conserve energy. Over the past 10 years, I've spent almost \$10,000 upgrading my home with added attic insulation, new windows, etc. The only thing I have left is to insulate the walls. I've cut my utility bill by \$25 per month on average due to energy conservation upgrades, and now LG&E wants to raise my bill? I've done every single item LG&E says to do in their newsletters to save energy. I've even had two LG&E energy audits. It's just really discouraging. The rate structure needs to be looked into because there is no incentive to conserve energy. The rate structure should be set up so that the lower amount of energy used equals a lower cost per kwh or ccf, but now it's exactly the opposite. See you at the public meetings.  
Thanks.  
Mark Booker, Louisville, cell [REDACTED]

