

April 1, 2010

RECEIVED

APR 01 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

HAND DELIVERED

Mark R. Overstreet
(502) 209-1219
(502) 223-4387 FAX
moverstreet@stites.com

Jeff R. Derouen
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602-0615

RE: P.S.C. Case No. 2009-459 – March 29, 2010 Informal Conference Follow-Up

Dear Mr. Derouen

At the March 29, 2010 informal conference in this matter, several questions arose in connection with the Company's responses to the Attorney General's First Set of Data Requests, Nos. 47 and 51. This letter provides the requested information.

Attorney General's First Set of Data Requests, Request No. 47. Page 13 of 39 indicates that the presentation on the following pages was provided to Fitch Ratings, yet the footer on pages 14-18 of 39 is labeled "Standard & Poor's." Please reconcile the discrepancy. Pages 13-18 of 39 are accurate copies of the presentation provided Fitch Ratings. The "Standard & Poor's" label printed in the footer of pages 14-18 of 39 was the result of a production error that appeared in the presentation provided Fitch Ratings.

Attorney General's First Set of Data Requests, Request No. 47. To whom was the presentation on pages 19-39 of 39 provided? Pages 19-39 of 39 are copies of Power Point slides used in a presentation provided to prospective private investors. The data included is historical data and none of the data has been redacted for confidential treatment. The entirety of the presentation (pages 19-39 of 39) is part of the public exhibit for which confidential treatment has not been sought.

Attorney General's First Set of Data Requests, Request No. 47. With respect to the copy of the Power Point slides used in the presentation to private investors please explain why pages 21, 23, 28, 34, 36 and 38 of 39 are labeled "Agenda"? The identified pages are the transitions breaks between the sections in the Power Point presentation. Each page labeled "Agenda" highlights the section of the presentation that follows that page.

At the informal conference, Kentucky Power agreed to provide in paper form the public (redacted) response to Request Nos. 47 and 51 of the Attorney General's First Set of Data

Jeff R. Derouen
April 1, 2010
Page 2

Requests, as amended to reflect the fact that as noted on page 2 in Kentucky Power's March 12, 2010 "Reply In Support of Motion for Confidential Treatment and Response In Opposition To Attorney General's Motion To Disclose Confidential Information," limited forecasted information relating to now historical periods in those responses was mistakenly designated as confidential. Accordingly, the Company is filing with this letter one confidential copy of the Company's revised responses to Request Nos. 47 and 51 of the Attorney General's First Set of Data Requests, as well as 10 redacted copies of the responses. In addition, the Company is filing a motion for confidential treatment with respect to the revised confidential responses to Request Nos. 47 and 51 of the Attorney General's First Set of Data Requests.

Copies of the revised public responses are being served upon counsel of record. Copies of the revised confidential responses are being served on those persons who have to date signed non-disclosure agreements.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark R. Overstreet", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Mark R. Overstreet

cc: Counsel of Record
Richard G. Raff (without enclosures)
Quang D. Nguyen (without enclosures)