

David R. Bryant
353 Crandall Dr
Worthington, OH
43085-3201

September 29, 2008

Executive Directors Office
Public Services Commission of Kentucky
PO Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40402

RECEIVED
SEP 30 2009
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

REF: Case # 2009-00363--My Previous Request For Intervention
Dated 9-25-09--An Additional Objection
For Your Consideration

To Whom It May Concern:


In my previous letter to you I objected to the proposed tower for numerous reasons, and in that process I mentioned that the Bluegrass map provided to you failed to show I have a house on my property. I forgot to mention, however, that I also believe how they measured from the "center" point of the proposed tower to my property makes it appear that the tower will be further from my property than it really will be. I believe my property is much closer than they show.

Since a tower is not just a center, or center point, but rather the entire physical structure, including the tower base and all that goes with it, the visual effect on my property won't be the "center" of the tower, it will instead be the entire mass and area the structure occupies. Since the largest and closest point to me, or to anyone else, would be the base of the tower, the distance should have been measured from the tower base to my property, and this would have shown that the tower will be much closer to me than the 500 or so feet shown on their map.

To go one step further, it might even be more representative for the measurement to have been made from the closest point of their "facility" to my property. As I understand it, they have requested to build a "facility" which is made up of more than just a tower--it includes any outbuilding, driveways, parking, fencing, etc., and the tower itself is only a part of the larger facility. If distance were measured from their proposed facility line to my property, then they would be even closer yet that if measured from the tower base.

In summary, measuring from only the center point of a tower creates a false picture, and to the extent that a proposed tower being too close to residential property influences your decision on whether to approve it, then please consider my above objections on how distance was measured as part of your review.


Sincerely,



David Bryant

Whose property in this matter is at 2777 Stilesville Rd, Science Hill, KY

Site: Dabney II
500-Foot Radius Map for Structures and
Pulaski County, Kentucky



Reduced C