

October 9, 2009

RECEIVED

OCT 1 2 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

RE: Case No. 2009-00295

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed are the original and six copies of the notarized Affidavit of Mailing of the official notice of hearing of Fuel Adjustment Case No. 2009-00295 and a copy of the notice published.

Please contact the office if further information is required.

Sincerely,

Joni K. Hazelrigg

CFO

Enclosures

Cc: Attorney General's Office

puik Hazelrigg

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING OF HEARING NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that the October issue of *KENTUCKY LIVING*, bearing the official notice of hearing of PSC Case No. 2009-00295, concerning the application of the fuel adjustment clause from November 1, 2008, through April 30, 2009, for FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE, was entered as direct mail at Danville, Kentucky, on September 29, 2009.

Anita Travis Richter Managing Editor Kentucky Living

havis Richter

County of Jefferson

State of Kentucky

Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public,

this 6th day of October, 2009.

My commission expires __

Notary Public, State of Kentucky

P.O. Box 32170 Louisville, Kentucky 40232

4515 Bishop Lane Louisville, Kentucky 40218

> (502) 451-2430 (800) KY-LIVING (800) 595-4846 Fax: (502) 459-1611

www.kentuckyliving.com

HEARING NOTICE

A public hearing will be held on Tuesday, October 13, 2009, at 10 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at the offices of the Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky, to examine the application of the fuel adjustment clause of the following corporations for the period November 1, 2008, through April 30, 2009. Individuals interested in attending this hearing shall notify the Public Service Commission in writing of their intent to attend no later than October 9, 2009. If no notices of intent to attend are received by this date, this hearing will be cancelled. Written notice of intent to attend this hearing should be sent to: Executive Director, Kentucky Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 615, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Big Sandy RECC

Case No 2009-00290

Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp.

Case No. 2009-00291

Clark Energy Cooperative

Case No. 2009-00292

Cumberland Valley Electric

Case No. 2009-00293

Farmers RECC

Case No. 2009-00294

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative

Case No. 2009-00295

Grayson RECC

Case No. 2009-00296

Inter-County Energy Cooperative

Case No. 2009-00297

Jackson Energy Cooperative

Case No. 2009-00298

Licking Valley RECC

Case No. 2009-00299

Nolin RECC

Case No. 2009-00300

Owen Electric Cooperative

Case No. 2009-00301

Salt River Electric Cooperative

Case No. 2009-00302

Shelby Energy Cooperative

Case No. 2009-00303

South Kentucky RECC

Case No. 2009-00304

Taylor County RECC

Case No. 2009-00305

ON THE GRID

ENERGY

Making sense of cap and trade

The leading proposals in Congress to control global warming propose a "cap-and-trade" system to reduce emissions of greenhouse gas. Here are answers to the frequently asked questions.

ENERGY BASICS

What is cap and trade?

It's a plan to control greenhouse gas emissions,

including carbon dioxide, from power plants, vehicles, refineries, and factories.

How would it work?

Emissions would be required to stay below a set limit—the cap. If an industry couldn't meet those caps through efficiency or renewable energy, it could buy emissions credits from an industry that emits less than the cap—the trade. For example, a coal power plant that exceeded the carbon dioxide cap could trade (buy) emissions credits from a hydroelectric or nuclear power plant that emits no carbon dioxide.

Will it reduce greenhouse gas?

A cap-and-trade system aimed at reducing acid rain was established as part of the federal Clean Air Act of 1990. It required producers of sulfur dioxide, such as coal-fired power plants, to cut emissions by investing in readily available technologies. This system worked. However, sulfur



dioxide is vastly different from carbon dioxide: no cost-effective means of cutting carbon emissions currently exist.

What are the pros and cons?

PROS: It gives industry a choice in how it meets global warming guidelines—either reduce emissions through (a) efficiency, renewable fuels, and new technology, or (b) through the buying of emissions credits from industries that produce very little emissions.

CONS: The caps might be impossible to meet, technology to reduce emissions might not be developed in time, and the uncertainties of how Wall Street might implement the cap-and-trade marketplace could end up being very expensive. States that depend heavily on coal, like Kentucky, say the current cap-and-trade limits would penalize people in those states with large and unfair increases in electricity and other costs.