



Zielke Law Firm PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LAWRENCE J. ZIELKE*
JOHN H. DWYER, JR.
JANICE M. THERIOT**

NANCY J. SCHOOK
LESTER I. ADAMS, JR.
HAYS LAWSON

OF COUNSEL
BONNIE M. BROWN
KEITH B. HUNTER

*ALSO ADMITTED FLORIDA
**ALSO ADMITTED INDIANA

1250 MEIDINGER TOWER • 462 SOUTH FOURTH AVENUE • LOUISVILLE, KY 40202-2555
(502) 589-4600 • FAX (502) 584-0422 • WWW.PZGP.COM

RECEIVED

MAR 12 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

TO Mr. Jeb Finney

FAX NO. 502 564-3460

FROM Larry Zielke

DATE 3/12/09

PAGES SENT (3) (including cover sheet)

SUBJECT Windstream / Insight 2008 - 00335

MESSAGE See attached

HARD COPY TO FOLLOW _____ YES NO

OPERATORS INITIALS JL

The material transmitted and communicated herein ("communication") is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that constitutes work product, or is subject to attorney client privilege, or is confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return original message to us at the above address via the U.S Postal Service. Thank you.



Zielke Law Firm PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1250 MEIDINGER TOWER
462 SOUTH FOURTH AVENUE
LOUISVILLE, KY 40202-3465
(502) 589-4600 • FAX (502) 584-0422
WWW.ZIELKEFIRM.COM

March ¹² 11, 2009

VIA FACSIMILE (502)564-3460

Mr. Jeb Pinney
Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602

**Re: Insight Phone of Kentucky, LLC, v. Windstream
Kentucky East, LLC, and Windstream Kentucky West, LLC, Case
Number 2008-00335**

Dear Mr. Pinney:

At the informal conference held in the above referenced case we discussed outstanding issues and the procedures for efficient procedures for their resolution.

Insight would like the Public Service Commission to bifurcate the issues and decide the issues contained in Insight's Formal Complaint as a matter of law. No hearing is necessary on these issues; the facts are not in dispute. The parties would simply brief them according to a schedule set by the Commission.

The issues in the Formal Complaint are

- (1) Windstream's requirement that Insight provide customer account numbers before obtaining access to customer service records;
- (2) Windstream's failure to provide Customer Proprietary Network Information, including account number and freeze information, when Insight accesses the customer service records; and


ZIELKE LAW FIRM PLLC

(3) despite not providing account numbers, Windstream's requirement that Insight include account numbers on all local service requests/port orders.

Insight and Windstream will pursue dispute resolution under their Interconnection Agreements regarding the other issues raised at the informal conference.

Will you be providing a briefing schedule or should we confer and offer a draft for the Commission's approval?

Sincerely,



Laurence J. Zielke
Janice M. Theriot

cc: Mark Overstreet, Counsel for Windstream
Douglas Brent, Counsel for Big River