



STOLL · KEENON · OGDEN
P L L C

1700 PNC PLAZA
500 WEST JEFFERSON STREET
LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY 40202-2874
502-582-1601
FAX: 502-581-9564
WWW.SKOFIRM.COM

W. DUNCAN CROSBY III
DIRECT DIAL 502-560-4263
DIRECT FAX 502-627-8754
duncan.crosby@skofirm.com

April 17, 2006

VIA HAND DELIVERY

RECEIVED

APR 17 2006

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Elizabeth O'Donnell
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

RE: *Patricia Conner Young v. Louisville Gas and Electric Company*
Case No. 2004-00425

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

On April 11, 2006, Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") received by mail a copy of the Commission's Intra-Agency Memorandum ("Memorandum") concerning the March 15, 2006 informal conference in this case. Your letter accompanying the Memorandum requested comments thereon within five days of receipt of the letter. LG&E appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Memorandum and makes several comments below.

First, the third full paragraph of the Memorandum states, "Mrs. Connor-Pratt claimed that the first disconnection occurred despite her having received winter hardship funds from a local community action group." LG&E's representatives do not recall Mrs. Conner-Pratt as describing the funds as "winter hardship funds," though she did claim to have received funds from a community action agency.

Second, the fourth paragraph of the Memorandum states, "LG&E states that it never received notice that Mrs. Connor-Pratt had received a winter hardship certificate or funds." Again, LG&E's representatives do not recall that Mrs. Conner-Pratt characterized funds as "winter hardship funds." More importantly, LG&E's representatives do not recall denying having received notice of community action funds for Mrs. Conner-Pratt; rather, they denied having received such funds (or notice thereof) at a time that would have affected the disconnection of which Mrs. Conner-Pratt complained.

Third, the fourth paragraph of the Memorandum states, "LG&E stated that its records indicated Mrs. Conner-Pratt's service was disconnected on dates different from those she alleged -- on January 13, 2006 for non-payment and again on January 30, 2006 because of alleged

Elizabeth O'Donnell
April 17, 2006
Page 2

damage to the electric meter base.” LG&E’s representatives recall stating that the disconnection dates were January 10, 2006, for non-payment and January 20, 2006, because of damage to Mrs. Conner-Pratt’s electric meter base.

Fourth and finally, the fourth paragraph of the Memorandum states, “Mrs. Conner-Pratt denies that the meter base was damaged.” LG&E’s representatives do not recall that Mrs. Conner-Pratt denied that her meter base was damaged. LG&E’s representatives do recall Mrs. Conner-Pratt arguing that her meter base was not sufficiently damaged to justify disconnecting her service on safety grounds.

Again, LG&E appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Memorandum. Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please contact me at your convenience.

Yours very truly,
W. Duncan Crosby III
by [Signature]

W. Duncan Crosby III

WDC/ec
Enclosures
cc: J.E.B. Pinney
Patricia Conner Pratt