

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_1 Explain how Kentucky Power prioritizes the order in which to complete projects approved through the PJM Interconnection LLC (PJM) Regional Transmission Expansion Plan (RTEP) process.

RESPONSE

Projects approved through the RTEP process are baseline projects. These projects are required to meet and maintain the future reliability study requirements of the grid. The Company prioritizes baseline projects, supplemental customer service projects, and projects filed with the Commission to ensure timely construction and completion by their required or requested in-service dates. If opportunities exist to combine or complement existing baseline planning criteria driven reliability projects with supplemental solutions, including customer service or asset renewal projects, the scheduling will be aligned to the extent possible. In all other situations, AEPSC will schedule the implementation of the identified solutions in consideration of various factors. AEPSC uses its discretion and engineering judgment to determine suitable timelines for project execution.

Witness: Nicolas C. Koehler

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_2 In submitting a proposed route to the PJM RTEP process, explain the extent to which Kentucky Power evaluates different route segments for encroachments, any environmentally sensitive areas, roads, landslide prone areas, right-of-way (ROW) outage risk or any other constraints.

RESPONSE

The question assumes that route-segment evaluations of the type described are performed as part of the PJM RTEP process, but that is not the case. The Company does not submit route-segment analyses addressing encroachments, environmentally sensitive areas, roads, landslide-prone areas, right-of-way (ROW) outage risk, or similar siting considerations to PJM in the RTEP process. Those matters are evaluated through the Company's siting process and are discussed in the testimony of Company Witness Emily Hartje and the associated Siting Study.

Witness: Nicolas C. Koehler

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_3 Refer to Application, page 7, paragraphs 19–21. Explain if Kentucky Power plans to reutilize or otherwise recover its investment in the removed wooden structures and provide the remaining net book value of the removed structures.

RESPONSE

For the wooden structures listed in the Application at page 7, paragraphs 19-21 the Company plans to recover its investment through depreciation rates. Specifically, the Company utilizes the mass-asset (or “group”) convention of accounting because it is impractical to track on an individual basis and due to the relatively small value of each individual asset. Under this, there is no gain or loss upon the retirement of an asset, rather any difference between the net book value of the assets and the value realized at retirement (salvage proceeds less removal and disposal costs) are embedded in accumulated depreciation and considered in the determination of prospective depreciation rates.

Witness: Lerah M. Kahn

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026
Page 1 of 2

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_4 Refer to Application, page 7, paragraph 23. For the years 2020 through 2025, provide the following by month for each year:

- a. Number of Outages and Duration of each outage;
- b. Number of Voltage Violations in the area of the proposed project and location for each;
- c. Number of Voltage Drops in the area of the proposed project and location for each.

RESPONSE

- a. Please see the outage data for Breaks – Dorton – Elwood 46 kV Circuit for 2020 through 2025 below.

Outage Date	Start Time (EST)	Outage Duration (hour : minute)
09/04/2025	10:45	0:00
07/09/2025	13:24	0:00
05/14/2024	19:39	0:00
05/14/2024	19:43	0:00
02/10/2024	8:53	0:00
08/10/2022	13:39	0:00
08/10/2022	13:09	0:00
06/26/2022	16:39	0:00
06/26/2022	16:37	0:00
06/25/2022	13:25	0:00
06/02/2022	11:24	0:00
03/23/2022	15:28	0:00
02/22/2022	13:51	0:00
08/15/2021	19:49	0:01
08/07/2021	14:41	0:00
06/08/2021	21:11	0:00
07/21/2020	22:59	0:00
03/29/2020	8:26	0:00

The majority of these outages were Direct Momentary outages which means that they were less than one minute long, and the fault was caused on the asset (meaning the circuit itself). Permanent outages are defined as longer than a minute. Indirect outages are caused by a fault on another asset.

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026
Page 2 of 2

b. Voltage Magnitude violations are planning-defined events that are relevant to projected planning criteria and not historical operational events. The Proposed Project was approved by PJM to prevent Voltage Magnitude violations from occurring under expected 2027 conditions.

c. Voltage Drop violations are planning-defined events that are relevant to projected planning criteria rather than historical operational events. The Proposed Project was approved by PJM to prevent the Voltage Drop violations from occurring under expected 2027 conditions.

Witness: Nicolas C. Koehler

Kentucky Power Company
K02PSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_5 Refer to Application, page 9, paragraphs 29–30. Paragraph 29 states the Supplemental Project need was reviewed but paragraph 30 states a Baseline Project review and identification number. State whether these are two different projects or if the projects were moved from supplemental to baseline.

RESPONSE

It is the same project. The facilities presented to PJM were initially presented to address a supplemental need but were later incorporated into the Proposed Project to address identified baseline needs. The portions of the supplemental needs not included in this baseline project (designated as s2436) are anticipated to be addressed within a separate future supplemental project filing with the Commission that is not part of this Application.

Witness: Nicolas C. Koehler

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_6 Refer to Application, page 9, paragraph 32.

- a. Provide a breakdown of the specific cost components set forth in paragraph 32. Include, at a minimum, in that breakdown: labor, engineering estimates, transmission components by component, land acquisition, remediation.
- b. For the five highest scoring alternatives, provide an identical cost component breakdown for each alternative.
- c. Explain whether these estimates include consideration of the costs associated with identified encroachments.
- d. Identify the contingency amount included for each cost category.

RESPONSE

a-b, d. Please see KPCO_R_KPSC_1_6_Attachment1. Please note, as discussed in Paragraph 42 of the Application and Exhibit 13, a component alternative was completed for one breakdown.

c. Confirmed.

Witness: Caleb J. McAllister

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_7 Refer to Application, page 9, paragraph 33. Based on the allocation methodology provided, provide Kentucky Power's share of the project's costs.

RESPONSE

Please see KPCO_R_KPSC_1_7_Attachment1 for the requested information. The "Summary" tab provides the allocation of the \$135.3 million to the AEP zone and then the AEP East operating companies using 2025 Actuals as the baseline. Cells M28 through N40 provide the estimated allocated costs for the Proposed Project. Notably, the amount ultimately born by Kentucky Power customers is dependent on the timing of project costs going into service, the allocations in effect at those times, and when such costs are included within customer rates. As described in the testimony of Company Witness Kahn, the cost of the Proposed Project will be allocated to the AEP East PJM zone based on a 12 CP allocation. As new, large loads come online within the PJM system, there is real potential for the 12 CP allocation to shift significantly in the coming years. This will, in turn, result in a shift in cost allocation. Kentucky Power may be in the position where its allocated share of costs will decrease from prior years. The Company has advocated that cost recovery of PJM LSE OATT costs should be returned to a tracking mechanism instead of being included in base rate cases. The use of a tracking mechanism would allow such reductions to flow back to customers as they are realized.

Witness: Lerah M. Kahn

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_8 Refer to the Application, page 11, paragraph 38. Explain what is meant by “landowner input”.

RESPONSE

Landowner input includes landowner comments received from the open houses, public meetings, online submissions, mail-in comment cards, phone calls, voicemails, and emails.

Witness: Lerah M. Kahn

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

- KPSC 1_9** Refer to the Application, page 16, paragraphs 58–60. Provide any updates for environmental permitting or other studies performed or to be performed. Consider this a continuing request through the date of issuance of the final Order.

RESPONSE

The Company will continue to provide these as they become available. At this time, the Company provides the following information:

- Bat study findings: No threatened or endangered species were identified.
- Any Archeological and Architectural Study result: The Company has obtained concurrence from SHPO. This will be submitted with the Section 10 application.
- Waters of the United States evaluation for Wetland and Streams: No permanent wetland impacts greater than 0.10 are allowed. No cumulative stream impacts can exceed 300 linear feet of streams for the entire project.
- Archaeological and Architectural Surveys: The Company received concurrence from SHPO and FWS. This information will be submitted with the Section 10 application.
- Study findings performed on any federally or state-protected species: The Company received concurrence from FWS for threatened and endangered species.

Witness: Caleb J. McAllister

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_10 Refer to the Application, page 15, paragraph 54 and the Direct Testimony of Lerah Kahn (Kahn Direct Testimony), page 7. The application states the proposed project's construction timeline is approximately 28 months while the Kahn Direct Testimony states construction will take approximately 25 months. Provide an explanation for the difference in the estimated timelines.

RESPONSE

The Proposed Project's construction timeline is approximately 28 months. The discrepancy is a scrivener's error.

Witness: Lerah M. Kahn

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_11 Refer to the Direct Testimony of Nicolas Koehler (Koehler Direct Testimony), pages 6–7.

- a. State whether Kentucky Power expects to present the modified project to PJM before construction of the project.
- b. Explain whether the supplemental needs were ever presented in the Subregional RTEP Committee – Western region meetings other than the February 21, 2020 Needs Meeting referenced. If not, explain why the supplemental needs were not brought back for presentation at a Solutions Meeting.
- c. State whether Kentucky Power received any stakeholder feedback on these supplemental needs, and if so, provide such feedback.

RESPONSE

- a. Kentucky Power does plan to take a revision of the Proposed Project to PJM before starting construction of the Proposed Project.
- b. The supplemental need for Breaks – Dorton – Elwood (AEP-2020-AP012), which was presented on February 21, 2020, is not required to be presented at a Supplemental Solutions Meeting or added to the Local Plan because the needs are being addressed in their entirety with the baseline component of the Proposed Project.
- c. Kentucky Power did not receive stakeholder feedback on these supplemental needs.

Witness: Nicolas C. Koehler

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026
Page 1 of 3

DATA REQUEST

- KPSC 1_12** Refer to the Direct Testimony of Caleb McAllister (McAllister Direct Testimony), page 6.
- a. Explain in detail the process of removing existing lines and removing stations for the Project including any other existing structures to be removed.
 - b. Explain the costs associated with the removal and decommissioning of the existing lines, stations, and structures, including the proposed use of helicopters, and whether all these associated costs are included in the estimated \$2.4 million for station removals and \$23.7 million for transmission line removals.
 - c. Explain what will happen to the existing ROW, or how Kentucky Power plans to utilize the existing ROW, that will no longer be used after completion of the proposed Project.
 - d. Explain any environmental remediation measures Kentucky Power plans to take with the existing ROW that will no longer be used.
 - e. Explain whether Kentucky Power plans to reuse or repurpose any existing lines or structures it plans to remove or decommission to offset costs associated with the project.
 - f. Provide any cost-mitigating measures Kentucky Power will utilize in removing or decommissioning existing lines, stations, or structures from the existing ROW proposed to be disused.

RESPONSE

- a. Most of the wire and structure removal will be done via helicopter with minimal access road construction to limit disturbance and potential landslides on the existing corridors. A temporary landing zone in the vicinity of the Proposed Project will be established. Wood poles will be removed in their entirety, and the resulting excavations will be backfilled with suitable compacted material. Steel pole bases may remain in the ground with the top of the base section removed down to two feet below grade. Acceptable disposal locations will be determined for all salvaged and scrap material.

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026
Page 2 of 3

Project construction activities include the installation and maintenance of soil erosion and sedimentation control measures; forestry clearing and access road construction; removal of the existing transmission line wire, structures, and foundations; foundation, structure, and wire installation; and the subsequent rehabilitation of all areas disturbed during construction. All required environmental compliance permits and studies will be completed, and a stormwater pollution prevention plan will be developed and implemented under the Commonwealth's "General Permit for Discharges of Stormwater from Construction Activities."

- b. Based on the current scope, the estimated cost includes approximately \$23.7 million associated with the removal of existing transmission line with telecom and \$2.4 million associated with the removal of station assets. For the transmission and telecom removal, costs include labor, equipment, access, and restoration to remove conductors, shield wire, structures, hardware, and to remove facilities. The use of a helicopter provides access to areas where terrain conditions make transmission line removal activities more difficult due to a greater possibility of slippage. For station facilities, costs include labor, equipment, and restoration to remove things such as structures, bus, switches, breakers, transformers, insulators, conductors, control equipment, grounding, foundations, and other associated station equipment as required.
- c. The Company has not yet determined the purpose of any existing ROW. Nonetheless, the Company typically does not release its rights to the ROW, but such determinations are made on a case-by-case basis.
- d. Any exposed sediment or disturbed areas within the existing ROW would be seeded and strawed to protect from erosion. All vegetation management activities inhibiting or preventing vegetation growth would cease. Following completion of these vegetation management activities, native vegetation would be re-established on the retired ROW.
- e. The Company is retiring these assets and does not plan to re-utilize them.

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026
Page 3 of 3

- f. Using a helicopter to remove existing transmission line reduces additional costs by reducing the need to build access roads and reduces the potential for site slips. As provided in the Application, the current Henry Clay Substation will be retired and removed and a new Henry Clay Substation will be built at the existing site. Most of the construction will be in the clear behind the existing Substation. This mitigates the need to acquire new property for a Greenfield substation and the need to get new line asset to a new location.

Witness: Caleb J. McAllister

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_13 Refer to the McAllister Direct Testimony, pages 8–9, providing areas that require a ROW greater than 100 ft. Witness McAllister states these are typically long spans that cause conductors to sway outside of the typical 100 ft ROW. Provide any factors other than length of the span that Kentucky Power considers when determining if a span could require a larger ROW.

RESPONSE

Generally, span length is the primary factor determining ROW width. Terrain conditions are also considered. The width of ROW clearing usually coincides with the ROW widths needed for conductor sway. Landowner or land use considerations may also factor in; however, those factors were not relevant to this Proposed Project.

Witness: Caleb J. McAllister

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_14 Refer to the McAllister Direct Testimony, pages 8–9. State whether there are any spans Kentucky Power intends to clear less than the recommended 100 ft ROW range. If yes, provide a description of the span and an explanation for why that span has a lower ROW range.

RESPONSE

Kentucky Power does not intend to clear less than 100 feet in any span.

Witness: Caleb J. McAllister

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026
Page 1 of 2

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_15 Refer to the Direct Testimony of Emily Hartje (Hartje Direct Testimony), page 7, describing the methodology used in this proceeding.

- a. Explain whether Kentucky Power has ever employed the siting methodology used in this application in any other previous applications before the Commission.
- b. If known, provide a list of any other utilities in Kentucky utilizing this siting methodology.
- c. Compare the various evaluation steps in the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) / Kentucky EPRI methodology to the “traditional and accepted multi-step methodology” employed by Kentucky Power.

RESPONSE

- a. Yes, Kentucky Power has employed the siting methodology used in this application in other previous applications. The most recent being the Commission approved Prestonsburg – Thelma Transmission Line Rebuild Project (Case 2025-00346).
- b. Kentucky Power is unaware as to whether any other utilities in Kentucky use the same siting methodology.
- c. The Kentucky Power and EPRI methodologies consider the same evaluation factors/siting criteria and route development stages but apply different decision frameworks. Both methods define the project endpoints and study area, collect constraint and opportunity data based on siting criteria, develop route options to connect Project endpoints, and evaluate the route options using the siting criteria to compare route options and ultimately identify a preferred route. Where the methods differ is in route option evaluation. Kentucky Power does not use the EPRI approach of assigning weighted numerical values to the natural environment, built environment, and engineering considerations (siting criteria). The Kentucky Power methodology uses an iterative multidisciplinary review process that combines quantitative data from the categories listed previously and qualitative input from the public and the Project team with expertise in areas such as engineering, geohazard suitability, and the environment.

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026
Page 2 of 2

This combined approach allows the Project team to consider additional parameters that may not otherwise be captured within a weighted criteria model.

Witness: Emily Hartje

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_16 Refer to Hartje Direct Testimony, page 15. Provide a copy of, or summary of, all public comments made at the public meetings, as part of the Outreach or received by Kentucky Power regarding this proposed project.

RESPONSE

Kentucky Power received 36 total comments. Of the comments received, 29 were received from unique commenters/households during the public comment period which concluded on February 14, 2025. Key concerns included route conflicts with homes or future development, incorrect parcel information, property value depreciation, acquisition requests, environmental/site constraints, and outage or health concerns. Several commenters preferred particular routes or use of the existing ROW. Please see KPCO_R_KPSC_1_16 Attachment1, which includes a complete summary of all public comments received by Kentucky Power from public meetings, online submissions, mail in comments, phone calls, voicemails, and emails.

Witness: Emily Hartje

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
MON	11/11/2024	14:23	Phone	[REDACTED NAME] shared the following email summary of her call from Ms. [REDACTED NAME]: I received a call from [REDACTED NAME] who resides at [REDACTED ADDRESS] Can you guys fill me in on landowner contacts around the Draffin Station / Ratliff site and if we do have plans to buy any additional property?	11/12/2024 @ 10:00: The inquiry was discussed on the project team call 11/12/2024 @ 15:17: [REDACTED NAME] send the following summary of her discussion w/ Ms. [REDACTED NAME]: Just wanted to follow up: I called [REDACTED NAME] and let her know we are buying property for the new substation but that it would be about 450 feet away from her property. Let her know we are having an open house early next year and she can come learn more then. She didn't have any follow up questions or concerns. SEE additional entries dated 01/29/2024 and 01/06/2025.
THU	12/5/2024		US Mail	Project Announcement Postcard Mailing 293 Recipients	
MON	12/9/2024	9:58	Phone	"Yes, hi, this is [REDACTED NAME]. My phone is [REDACTED PHONE]. I was calling in regards of the upgrade to the Ratliff substation. If you could give me a call back at the number I give you, I'd appreciate it. Thank you. Have a great day."	12/9/2024 @ 4:00pm: [REDACTED NAME] ([REDACTED NAME]) talked with Mr. [REDACTED NAME]. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] relayed a long ownership history of property / trust dispute. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] is a trustee and mentioned the pending [REDACTED NAME] law suit; feels he could be an asset for Kentucky Power in the lawsuit re: Ratliff Substation properties. He thinks [REDACTED NAME] started out wanting to purchase 5 tracts and ended up only needing two. Willing to sell his interest - feels that he could help [REDACTED NAME]/Kentucky Power's interests. [REDACTED NAME] will relay Mr. [REDACTED NAME] comments to the project team. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] will also fill out a comment card when he receives the LO packet. [REDACTED NAME] asked Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to call back when he receives the LO packet if he has additional questions or comments. 12/9: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] also sent an email to the KY Power project inbox outlining his interest in the above mentioned trust. 12/10: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] sent an additional email outlining the history of his family's dealings with land in the area. 12/12: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] sent a third email referencing his family's history in the area. 12/12: [REDACTED NAME] sent Mr. [REDACTED NAME] an email to confirm we received all of his submittals and that the information has been shared with the project team. [REDACTED NAME] forwarded Mr. [REDACTED NAME] materials to the project team. 12/20/2024: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] left [REDACTED NAME] a voicemail asking for confirmation that the emails were received. 12/30/2024: [REDACTED NAME] called Mr. [REDACTED NAME] back to verify receipt of materials, thank him for the information and let him know the project team will review and get back to him with any questions. Project team will meet to discuss Mr. [REDACTED NAME] submittals and determine next steps (Meeting 01/06/2025) UPDATE: 01/16/2025: BD Project team meeting: team discussed review of Mr. [REDACTED NAME] claims. Team will continue on the current path and dig deeper into this when seeking land titles. [REDACTED NAME] will keep the project team informed if Mr. [REDACTED NAME] reaches out again. We do not anticipate Mr. [REDACTED NAME] will be able to attend Open House - he lives in Ohio and claims his [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] if he returns to Pike County. Project Manager/ROW will revisit during title work SEE ADDITIONAL ENTRY DATED 03/14/2025
MON	12/16/2024		US Mail	Project Announcement Packet Mailing 293 Recipients	
MON	12/16/2024	9:10	Email	[REDACTED ADDRESS], KY Ms. [REDACTED NAME] submitted the following comments via the project inbox: Hello, Can you tell me if this will cause power outage(es)? Two people who live with me use [REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL] when sleeping. Also one of the people [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] virtually during inclement weather. Your help is greatly appreciated. Thank you	12/17/2024: [REDACTED NAME] shared the email with [REDACTED NAME] and recommended that [REDACTED NAME] seek outage information from PM [REDACTED NAME]. [REDACTED NAME] emailed [REDACTED NAME] and incorporated comments from [REDACTED NAME] and [REDACTED NAME] in the following response to Ms. [REDACTED NAME] on 12/18/2024: Hello Ms. [REDACTED NAME], I am writing on behalf of Kentucky Power in response to the comments you submitted regarding the Breaks-Dorton Transmission Improvements Project. The project is in the early planning stages. At this time, we do not have an outage plan in place however, customer impacts are one of a variety of factors we consider closely when building the plan. We will work diligently to eliminate or minimize any customer impacts. Please contact Customer Service to make sure the items you listed in your email are recorded on your account. You can edit notifications on your account here: Notifications or by calling [REDACTED PHONE]. Thank you for your interest in the project. UPDATE: See additional entry dated 01/20/2025 below
SAT	12/21/2024	16:01	Voicemail	Caller did not leave a name, address or number to return the call. The voicemail system logged the phone number [REDACTED PHONE] as the callback number.	12/30/2024: [REDACTED NAME] left a message on the callback number requesting a response. Will make two more attempts if the caller does not respond. (Called and left a second message on 12/30/2024) 01/08/2025 @ 13:06: [REDACTED NAME] left a third message No further action needed at this time

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
SAT	12/28/2024	13:47	Email	[REDACTED ADDRESS], [REDACTED LOCATION], 41522 Message: Route and optional route needs to be negotiated on a new route due to landowner is using current property and has future use of property for housing projects and business!! Route line no. 6 and 7. Property no. 53, 53, north 72, and future owner (in process) of 999, 132, 98 by DOT. Please call to set up meeting to discuss options. [REDACTED PHONE] . Real estate Attorney is aware of situation!!!	12/28/2024 @ 14:12: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] submitted a second email with the following message: On the map you provided I have a business called Space Mountain Aerospace , and a small house and permanent camper right under where you will be putting the lines no. 6 and 7. We need to discuss a new route please. I have a plan for you that will save 200 feet of line and will not interfere with my business or homes 859-4473615 01/08/2025 @ 12:56: [REDACTED NAME] sent an email to Mr. [REDACTED NAME] acknowledging receipt of both his messages and that the project team will review his feedback. Siting and ROW to review UPDATE: See additional entry dated 01/14/2025 below

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
THU	1/2/2025	10:37	Voicemail	Mr. [REDACTED NAME] left a message on the project voicemail requesting a call back.	01/08/2025 @ 13:12: [REDACTED NAME] returned Mr. [REDACTED NAME] call and left a voice mail. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] called back to say he was in negotiations with the land team ([REDACTED NAME]) for a property near the Ratliff Substation. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] mentioned a purchase offer had been made, which he had countered. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] indicated it has been a couple of weeks since then and he was just curious about the status. He does not have any further questions. [REDACTED NAME] let Mr. [REDACTED NAME] know she would let [REDACTED NAME] and the project team know he had called. ROW may want to provide an update to Mr. [REDACTED NAME]
MON	1/6/2025	16:55	Email	[REDACTED ADDRESS], Draffin, KY 41522 [REDACTED PHONE] [REDACTED PHONE] Ms. [REDACTED NAME] submitted the following comments via the project inbox to the attention of [REDACTED NAME]: Thank you for your willingness to discuss the Breaks-Dorton Transmission Improvement Project with local landowners. My name is [REDACTED NAME] and a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] and I ([REDACTED NAME]) live on the property [REDACTED PROPERTY] the proposed Draffin substation. a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] and I are both [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] and spend a lot of time with our family in this home. We are worried about the proposed substation and its impact on our property and home. Specifically we have concerns about the decrease in our property value due to its proximity to the substation; the noise and light associated with building and operating a substation; and the safety of living beside a substation with many young [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] playing outside. We have spoken to a lawyer and I understand that we may be able to bring a lawsuit against the power company to address these issues. We would prefer, however, to directly sell the property to the power company given the significant time, cost, and delay associated with litigation. We look forward to hearing from you soon.	01/09/2025 @ 9:24: [REDACTED NAME] forwarded the comment to [REDACTED NAME] and asked that the information be forwarded to PM [REDACTED NAME] and ROW team for review prior to follow up with the Wrights. [REDACTED NAME] forwarded the email with the tracking summary for the week. UPDATE: 01/16/2025 BD Project meeting: project team asked that [REDACTED NAME] reach out to encourage [REDACTED NAME] to attend the OH and see if they are amenable to a discussion about the substation placement and orientation. [REDACTED NAME] will let the project team know how the [REDACTED NAME] react. 01/17/2025 @ 11:26: [REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail for Ms. [REDACTED NAME] 01/22/2025 @ 14:39: [REDACTED NAME] left a second voicemail for Ms. [REDACTED NAME]. @ 15:15 Ms. [REDACTED NAME] returned the call and asked about staffing at the Open House - without a formal presentation, will there be enough time for everyone to get their questions answered. [REDACTED NAME] explained the Open House format and let Ms. [REDACTED NAME] know that we will have SEMs on site who will connect directly with landowners about their specific questions. [REDACTED NAME] also explained that the project team would be able to show her the proposed location of the substation in relation to her property and answer any questions she might have about the construction and orientation. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] was pleasant, said the open house "sounds like a good process" and she plans to attend. PM met with [REDACTED NAME] at the January 29 open house; additional follow up may be needed
TUE	1/7/2025	11:41	Voicemail	Ms. [REDACTED NAME] owns property on Seng Branch Road and is calling with some questions about the project - would like a call back.	01/08/2025 @ 9:28: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] left another voicemail on the project hotline. 01/08/2025 @ 15:41: [REDACTED NAME] returned Ms. [REDACTED NAME] call. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] had heard about the project and was just wondering if her property was affected. [REDACTED NAME] let her know that two mailings had gone out to property owners along the study segments (postcard and packet). Ms. [REDACTED NAME] is not on the landowner mailing list and [REDACTED NAME] let her know that we are early in the process, but at this time, her property is not directly aligned with an existing study segment. [REDACTED NAME] also reiterated that we are early in the process and if something should change, she would be notified accordingly. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] was pleasant and appreciated the call back. No further action needed at this time
THU	1/9/2025	9:19	Voicemail	Calling to check if the Open House scheduled for today was still going to take place.	01/09/2025 @ 14:00: [REDACTED NAME] left Mr. [REDACTED NAME] a voicemail to confirm the event has been postponed and that additional information will be sent out soon regarding the new date. No further action needed at this time

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
THU	1/9/2025	11:35	Voicemail	Calling because the maps that we sent have her property incorrectly listed, says that Land ID 32 does not belong to her. Would like us to correct the map info.	01/09/2025 @ 14:10: [REDACTED NAME] returned Ms. [REDACTED NAME] call. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] wanted to inform us that there are several properties in the [REDACTED NAME] family and it appears we have incorrectly identified them. - Ms. [REDACTED NAME] actually owns MAP ID #21 - Ms. [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] [REDACTED NAME] owns MAP ID #32 (we have these reversed on the LO list) - a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] [REDACTED NAME] owns MAP ID #31, which we have correctly identified, but the property lines are incorrect Ms. [REDACTED NAME] was planning to attend the open house tonight, but found out it was postponed. I mentioned that we are rescheduling and would be sending updated information out soon. She mentioned that she would bring hard copies of [REDACTED FAMILY DETAIL] boundaries/ownership to the event. She also mentioned she had seen a lot of chatter on the FB ad about rate increases and worried that the Open House would end up being about that instead of the project as several people mentioned they would be attending the event to discuss rates. I assured her that the Open House would be focused on the project, however, we would have someone available to help answer questions if other topics arise. I also explained that there is not a formal presentation or group QandA session. Instead, it is designed for more one on one interaction with subject matter experts on a variety of topics related to the project. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] plans to attend the open house and bring copies of parcel information. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] asked that we re-send the cover letter with the correct Map ID # and associated maps to her (#21: Maps 6, 7, 8) and [REDACTED NAME] Norman (#32: Maps 7,8). [REDACTED NAME] forwarded the call summary and letter/map request to the project team and asked for feedback/confirmation OK to resend. 01/14/2025 @ 8:03: [REDACTED NAME] sent [REDACTED NAME] the following email: Hi [REDACTED NAME], We received a voicemail from a woman saying her property is listed incorrectly for Breaks-Dorton – the phone number is [REDACTED PHONE]. Is this the number you have listed for [REDACTED NAME] (mentioned below)? If not, can you call back and find out more information? Thank you! [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED NAME] confirmed this is Ms. [REDACTED NAME] phone number. PM [REDACTED NAME] (01/09/2025) and [REDACTED NAME] (01/14/2025) confirmed they prefer we hold off on sending any updates to the landowner until we talk with her at the open house. (Note that Ms. [REDACTED NAME] was unable to attend the rescheduled open house due to [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL].)
THU	1/9/2025	11:35	Voicemail		[REDACTED NAME] COMMENTS ABOVE CONTINUED HERE UPDATE: 01/22/2025: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] left [REDACTED NAME] a voicemail saying she received the postcard announcing the updated open house for "tonight" and is unable to attend due to [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL]. [REDACTED NAME] called Ms. [REDACTED NAME] back to note the OH is next week. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] has a couple of Dr. appts next Wednesday ([REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL]). She will send in property maps to show parcel lines. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] said we can reach out anytime with questions. UPDATE: 01/27/2025: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] mailed corrected property maps from the PVA for her property and the property of [REDACTED NAME] and [REDACTED NAME] ([REDACTED NAME]) [REDACTED NAME]. [REDACTED NAME] sent the maps to the project team 02/03/2025 with the outreach summary. UPDATE: 02/03/2025: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] called [REDACTED NAME] to confirm receipt of the maps. [REDACTED NAME] confirmed the maps were received. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] also requested updated packets to all [REDACTED FAMILY DETAIL] again with updated LO ID and property map locations. [REDACTED NAME] mentioned that the project team is reviewing the property information and will be in contact. Project team will need to reach out to Ms. [REDACTED NAME] and other [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED FAMILY DETAIL] to confirm property lines and correct ownership of parcels. NOTE that Ms. [REDACTED NAME] has asked that updated packets be sent to all [REDACTED FAMILY DETAIL] including maps showing corrected property lines and parcel ownership. She has asked several times and [REDACTED NAME] explained that the team is working to correct the data we received and will provide additional information as soon as we can. UPDATE: 02/13/2025: [REDACTED NAME] returned Ms. [REDACTED NAME] call as she had left a voicemail again requesting updated packets. She never received map #6, which is one of the maps showing her actual property (#21, not 32 as our records show). [REDACTED NAME] called back to ask for an email address so she could email Ms. [REDACTED NAME] map #6. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] provided an email address, but stated she is unhappy she has not received an updated packet. She reiterated that there are some internal [REDACTED FAMILY DETAIL] and the updated packets need to be delivered soon. She stated "this is not a good way to start" and mentioned she has "a long history dealing with the gas company" and "they know she is not an easy landowner to deal with". [REDACTED NAME] shared Ms. [REDACTED NAME] comment with the project team on the 02/13/2025 Siting call.

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
THU	1/2/2025	14:36	Voicemail	[REDACTED NAME] from [REDACTED COMPANY] calling to see if the Open House on Jan. [REDACTED ADDRESS]ill happening.	1/10/2025 @ 13:50: [REDACTED NAME] returned Mr. [REDACTED NAME] call. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] represents the coal company - just signed letter approving access for [REDACTED NAME]. The land is either wooded or previously strip mined. ARC is not planning to oppose anything and is OK with any of the proposed route options. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] was wondering if they even needed to attend the "Public Hearing". I told him it was an informational open house and we are not collecting public testimony. There is no formal presentation or QandA session. I let him know we would welcome him, but that attendance is certainly not required. He indicated he anticipates there will be additional discussion between the project team and [REDACTED COMPANY] as the route is narrowed down and he mentioned as a mining company, they conduct similar public notice actions. He is aware of the purpose and need and looks forward to talking with the project team again in the future. No further action needed at this time
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/14/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - checked house, shed or other structure: no details/comments - no additional comments	01/17/2025 @ 11:27: [REDACTED NAME] talked with Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to let her know we received her comments. Asked if she had any additional comments or questions about the project (No), and encouraged her to attend the Open House on January 29. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] responded that she had seen the new OH date and thanked [REDACTED NAME] for the call. No further action needed at this time

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/14/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - checked house, shed or other structure: no details/comments - checked springs, streams...with comments: we get water for our well from drainage coming out of the mountain - checked historical or archaeological feature with comments: home, garage, well for water - additional comments: I'm on [REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL]	01/17/2025 @ 11:49: [REDACTED NAME] talked with someone at Ms. [REDACTED NAME] home. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] was out. [REDACTED NAME] mentioned she was calling to let Ms. [REDACTED NAME] know we received and will review her comments, to see if she had any additional feedback and to encourage her to attend the OH on January 29. The individual said she would relay the message and that Ms. [REDACTED NAME] will return the call if she has any additional comments or questions about the project. No further action needed at this time.
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/14/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - commented N/A for all sections - additional comments: I'm willing to discuss right-of-way purchase	01/17/2025 @ 11:51: [REDACTED NAME] called Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to confirm we received her comment card and the project team would review. [REDACTED NAME] also encouraged her to attend the Open House on January 29. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] asked for OH details and then asked if she was required to attend. [REDACTED NAME] let her know it is a voluntary event and an opportunity for her to meet with project team members to discuss the project and get any questions answered. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] thanked [REDACTED NAME] for the call. Land/ROW to review comment re: ROW purchase
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/14/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - additional comments: Contact me	01/17/2025 @ 12:03: [REDACTED NAME] called Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to let him know we received his comment card and find out what questions he might have. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] said all of his questions had been resolved. Someone had been to the house within the last week or so to explain the project and request permission to survey his land, which he granted. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] was pleasant and appreciative of the visit from the land team and the follow up phone call. No further action needed at this time
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/14/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - no additional comments	01/17/2024 @ 12:10: [REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail for Mr. and Ms. [REDACTED NAME] confirming that we received their comment card and asking them to call back with any additional questions. No further action needed at this time
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/14/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes with comments - 2 houses, camper, gas well and gas line on top of mountain from residence of 383 which I own the land Property features: - checked house, shed or other with comments: 2 houses, camper, gas well and gas line on top of mountain from residence of 383 which I own the land - checked springs, streams...with comments: protected species (grouse) living on top of mountain from residence of 383 which I own the land - checked cave, sinkhole...with comments: mines and caves - checked approved or documented planned project with comments: building of housing project on top of mountain from residence 383, adjacent to new 4 lane future US 460; planned [REDACTED ADDRESS] - checked conservation easement with comments: No! But I will get one if I have to - checked historical or archeological feature with comments: homestead land from [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] been in family 64 years - checked underground utilities with comments: gas well and gasline across property on top of mountain from 383, also coal mines - checked agricultural features with no comments - checked other land use with comments: private airstrip - additional comments: route and optional route needs to be negotiated on new route due to current use by landowner and future use. [REDACTED NAME] routes and unacceptable! Landowner ([REDACTED NAME]) of land ID 53, 53, North 71 and future owner of 999, 132, 98 by DOT. Please call to set up a meeting so I can provide documents of land ownership, current plans, future plans and propose new route. legal counsel is aware of situation.	See initial feedback dated 12/28/2024 above UPDATE: on 01/16/2025 BD Project call team asked [REDACTED NAME] to reach out to Mr. [REDACTED NAME] and encourage him to attend the OH on January 29 to discuss his comments 01/17/2025 @ 12:14: [REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail for Mr. [REDACTED NAME] requesting a call back. 01/22/2025 @ 14:40: [REDACTED NAME] left a second voicemail for Mr. [REDACTED NAME] requesting a call back. 01/24/2025 @ 11:49: [REDACTED NAME] left a third voicemail message asking for a call back, reminding Mr. [REDACTED NAME] of the open house coming up next week and indicating she would also attempt to contact him via email. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] called back, call summary as follows: - Mr. [REDACTED NAME] has recently [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] from [REDACTED COMPANY] (spent 20+ years working for [REDACTED NAME]); he is also a [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] - He is preparing to open the [REDACTED COMPANY] on the property as part of his retirement plan - There are also a couple of small homes on the property - Mr. [REDACTED NAME] is "certain we can work something out"; he is "all for progress and the future" - Mr. [REDACTED NAME] plans to attend the open house on Wednesday 01/29 - he will check in with [REDACTED NAME] and [REDACTED NAME] will escort him to the map tables for discussion with the project team

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card		[REDACTED NAME] COMMENTS FROM ABOVE CONTINUED HERE Mr. [REDACTED NAME] was pleasant and is looking forward to talking with the team regarding his property and his plans for the future. UPDATE 01/29/2025: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] attended the open house and talked with PM and project team about his plans for the property. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] will supply blueprints for property development. UPDATE 01/30/2025 @ 12:38: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] emailed a line drawing to [REDACTED NAME] with the following note: Space Mountain Aerospace and Robotic Technologies (SMART) This is a Rough (very rough) blueprint that was sent to Tri-City blueprint and supply Inc a long with all and any dimensions, distances and sizes and hope to get the new version this spring !! But this gives you some idea of what will be up there and where things will be. This company along with the apartments will bring hundreds of new and extremely high tech jobs to this area !!!!!!! [REDACTED NAME] thanked Mr. [REDACTED NAME] for the information and forwarded the line drawing to the project team with he 02/03/[REDACTED ADDRESS]ay in contact with Mr. [REDACTED NAME]

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
TUE	1/14/2025	13:06	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/14/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - checked springs, streams: underlined both but no additional comments - checked underground utilities with comments: I think gas line - no additional comments	01/17/2025 @ 12:15: [REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail for Mr. [REDACTED NAME] confirming we received his comment card, that the project team will review his comments and encouraging him to attend the January 29 Open House. [REDACTED NAME] asked Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to call back if he has any additional comments or questions. No further action needed at this time
WED	1/16/2025		US Mail	Open House Rescheduled Postcard mailing 293 Recipients	OH Rescheduled two weeks due to weather; end of comment period also extended to 02/14
MON	1/20/2025	13:01	US Mail Comment Card	[REDACTED NAME] emailed this comment card on 01/20/25 Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Contact person: [REDACTED NAME] ([REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP]) Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - checked cave, sinkhole, mine or portal with comments: mine opening left open by Office of Mine and Mineral for Indiana Bats. - additional comments: The landowner ID #147 you have listed as our property line is not correct. Looking at the map of #7 & #8 our property is #233 [REDACTED LOCATION DETAIL]. Also, one person in the home [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] at times a list if or when there will be outages would be nice.	SEE additional entry dated 12/16/2024: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] requested outage plan 01/22/2025 @ 14:43: [REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail for Mr. [REDACTED NAME] and requested a call back. NOTE: Mr. [REDACTED NAME] is claiming property lines are incorrect and he is attached to the wrong parcel within our system. He claims to own the property assigned to Mr. [REDACTED NAME] (see entry dated 12/9/2024 above) on our landowner list. 01/24/2025 @ 12:07: [REDACTED NAME] left a second voicemail asking for a call back and encouraging Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to attend the Open House next week. 01/29/2025 @ 12:00: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] left the following voicemail for [REDACTED NAME]: Hi Miss [REDACTED NAME], My name is [REDACTED NAME] and this is in regards to the property a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] owns, [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED NAME] at [REDACTED ADDRESS], [REDACTED LOCATION]. I was the one that returned the card for the [REDACTED NAME] company regarding the electrical line project. We were just had some general questions make sure in the property lines cause bananas that they were incorrect on the map and also about outages as I do at times [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] and if you don't care to give me a call back here at [REDACTED PHONE]. Thank you. 02/03/2025 @ 12:05: [REDACTED NAME] left Ms. [REDACTED NAME] a voicemail requesting a return call. [REDACTED NAME] also emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to let her know she can respond that way as well and informing her again that the outage plan has not been completed at this time. As with her inquiry on 12/16/2024, [REDACTED NAME] encouraged her to update her account information in advance of that outage plan development to make sure any issues are noted. Project team will need to follow up regarding incorrect property lines and parcel ID numbers.
MON	1/20/2025	18:05	Voicemail	Ms. [REDACTED NAME] was calling about the Breaks-Dorton transmission project. She is the property owner of map #10. She has some questions and would like a call back.	01/22/2025 @ 14:53: [REDACTED NAME] spoke with Ms. [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP]. He asked that [REDACTED NAME] call back when Mr. [REDACTED NAME] gets home from work (16:30 Eastern). [REDACTED NAME] will call back. @ 15:07: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] returned the call and asked if she needed to retain an attorney to work through the process. She asked if all of the lines on the map she received will be built (multiple rights-of-way?). [REDACTED NAME] explained that we are early in the process and that we are seeking feedback on a number of options, but ultimately only one line route will be selected for the final route. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] plans to attend the OH and will share additional comments at that time. She appreciated the call back. UPDATE: SEE entry dated 01/29/2025: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] attended the January 29 open house an submitted a comment card.

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
FRI	1/24/2025	13:28	Voicemail	[REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail after the inbox was checked on Friday requesting a callback and mentioned he knew the last day of the comment period was Friday. He stated he has several questions about the project.	01/24/2025 @ 14:39: [REDACTED NAME] left a second message. 01/27/2025 @ 11:38: [REDACTED NAME] left a third message. 01/27/2025 @ 14:20, 13:45 and 16:40: [REDACTED NAME] attempted to reach [REDACTED NAME] to let him know the comment period has been extended to February 14, find out what questions he has about the project and encourage him to attend the open house on Wednesday, January 29. [REDACTED NAME] voicemail has not been set up, his line rings 4 times and a recorded message comes on to indicate his voicemail has not been set up. There is no way to leave him a message. Will attempt to reach him again prior to the OH on Wednesday. 1/27/25 @ 16:14: [REDACTED NAME] left a fourth message. 01/29/2025 @ 8:30: [REDACTED NAME] attempted to reach [REDACTED NAME] 4 times and he picked up on the 5th attempt. [REDACTED NAME] explained that she was returning his call on behalf of Kentucky Power. [REDACTED NAME] noted that he normally does not pick up calls from numbers he does not recognize, he does not have voicemail and he does not text. He picked up expecting a spam call but was appreciative of the call back. [REDACTED NAME] notified [REDACTED NAME] that she was about to get in the car to drive to the open house location, but wanted to schedule time to call [REDACTED NAME] back and to discuss the ProJet. [REDACTED NAME] also asked if [REDACTED NAME] was planning to attend the open house to which he responded that he lives about 7 hours away. [REDACTED NAME] indicated he would be available later that evening or the following (Thursday) evening. [REDACTED NAME] said she would give hi a call back when in a better position to discuss the project. 01/30/2025 @ 15:09: [REDACTED NAME] called [REDACTED NAME] and he picked up. [REDACTED NAME] stated lives in Pennsylvania and [REDACTED PERSONAL DETAIL] on his home property. He is splitting his time between recovery at the property and living elsewhere - when he is in transit, he does not have cell reception. [REDACTED NAME] explained that he got his mail late and he was concerned that the comment period deadline had passed for the project. [REDACTED NAME] explained that the comment period had been extended to February 14 due to postponement of the open house. [REDACTED NAME] was pleased to hear that and indicated he will mail a comment card in the next few days. His main concerns for the KY property include: he already has a power line on the property at the top of the ridge and does not want another one; the current line is not invasive and does not bother him "unless he looks up on the mountain top". [REDACTED NAME] is in the planning stages for an eco-tourism company associated with the wooded lot he owns in Kentucky and does not want his future plans to be disrupted by this construction. He will provide additional details on his comment card. The conversation was pleasant and [REDACTED NAME] thanked [REDACTED NAME] for his feedback and told him we look forward to receiving his comment card. No further action needed at this time, [REDACTED NAME] will submit a comment card next week SEE Entry Dated 02/14/2025

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
TUE	1/28/2025	8:57	US Mail Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content to be informative: checked Yes Property features: - checked existing conservation easement: see the accompanying letter dated January 21, 2025. - checked other land: power lines Summary of letter submitted with the comment card: - [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] purchased the property in order to preserve natural beauty entering Elkhorn City - KY Power purchased ROW for powerlines from [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] but did so "subvertantly" - power lines currently crossing the property are an "eyesore" and decrease the property value - does not intend to sell the land unless KY Power wants to buy all 26 acres at \$1,500/acre	02/03/2025 @ 11:53: [REDACTED NAME] emailed Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to confirm receipt of his comment card and accompanying letter. [REDACTED NAME] forwarded the letter to the project team with the 02/03/2025 comment summary. Project team will want to review Mr. [REDACTED NAME]' comments re: sale of his property and his concerns re: property values 04/22/2025 @ 7:55: [REDACTED NAME] forwarded [REDACTED NAME] the following email from Kentucky Power Outreach inbox: From: Fuzz [REDACTED NAME] <[REDACTED EMAIL]> Sent: Monday, April 21, 2025 4:50 PM To: Kentucky Power Outreach <[REDACTED EMAIL]> Subject: [EXTERNAL] BREAKS-DORTON Transmission Improvements Project Please forward to [REDACTED NAME], Kentucky Power Outreach Specialist for the BREAKS-DORTON Transmission Improvements Project I sent the following hardcopy correspondence to Kentucky Power on January 21, 2025. Either purchase my entire property (~ 26 acres at \$1,500/acre) or stay off the property. ===== Kentucky Power, Jan 21, 2025 a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] purchased that property (LANDOWNER ID: 198) for the sole purpose of preserving the natural beauty of the gateway into Elkhorn City. His number one mission was to prevent signage along the highway into Elkhorn, which he felt would detract from the town's appearance. He held onto the property until his death, at which time, it was passed to a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP], [REDACTED NAME]. When she was in her latter years with [REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL], a representative of Kentucky Power traveled to Dayton, OH and subvertantly purchased the right-of-way to run power across the property. When I say, "subvertantly purchased", what I mean is, the representative of Kentucky Power led [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] to believe, she had no recourse other than to accept the deal he offered based on eminent domain; the tenants of which require just compensation for the purchase or use of the land. The current power lines are an eyesore, they are a desecration to a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP]'s intent for the property, and they have diminished, if not destroyed, any chance of selling the property. In other words, the just compensation a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] received in the original contract, did not account for the devaluation of the entire property based on how unattractive it appears now. Having said that, I have been contacted on at least three occasions by the Kentucky State Highway Department expressing an interest in purchasing the entire property for the purpose of widening the road going into Elkhorn City, but that has yet to materialize, largely because the Highway Department has higher priorities and a limited budget. My price for the (~ 26 acres) is \$1,500/acre. I am not in agreement with you crossing my property with any more power lines, . . . unless you intend to purchase the entire [REDACTED ADDRESS] [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED PHONE] [REDACTED ADDRESS], SC 29625

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
WED	1/29/2025	9:38	Voicemail	Ms. [REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail about some property she owns in Kentucky. She said she has spoken with [REDACTED NAME] about this property before. She does not know much about the property but it was given to her by a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] around 10-15 years ago.	02/03/2025 @ 11:40: [REDACTED NAME] returned Ms. [REDACTED NAME] call. She asked about filling out the comment card in the packet. She mentioned she does not know much about the property as she does not live there. The property was given to her by a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] many years ago. She had a lease for coal with someone - but he went bankrupt before the coal mine could be developed. He did not follow through on the lease and they do not know where he is at this time. She has no additional information on the property. As far as she knows there is no current least, the property is mostly forested. There is no house on it, but may be some coal development. She does not know who owns the least, the coal developer did not give her the lease back (it has been two years since she heard from him). She thinks he may have sold the least to someone else, she is not able to give additional lease to anyone else until that is figured out. Project team will need to follow up on title search and potential outstanding coal lease on the property
WED	1/29/2025	17:30	In Person	Project Open House:5:30-7:30pm Eastern - Shelby Valley High School [REDACTED LOCATION] Attendees; 6 comment cards returned (see below)	
WED	1/29/2025		In Person OH Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - additional comments: we prefer Route 6 # Lot 132	02/03/2025 @ 12:20: [REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to thank her for attending OH, confirm receipt of the comment card and let her know the information has been forwarded to the project team. No further action needed at this time.
WED	1/29/2025		In Person OH Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property Features: - comments under house, shed: call or email me! - comments under springs, streams: call or email me	02/03/2025 @ 12:22: [REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to thank her for attending OH and follow up on her request for a call or email. 02/13/2025 @ 17:26: [REDACTED NAME] email Ms. [REDACTED NAME] a second time to see if she had any additional feedback for the project team and to notify her that the comment period was coming to and end soon. @ 20:19: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] responded via email to say she does not have any questions at this time. No further action needed at this time.
WED	1/29/2025		In Person OH Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property features: - comments under house, shed: 2 gas wells + a water tower - comments under underground utilities or pipelines: gas line - Blue Flame Energy - additional comments: 19/16 impedes on new house bill 17/18 Better Route	SEE Entry dated 01/20/2025: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] left a previous voicemail and [REDACTED NAME] called her back. 02/03/2025 @ 12:27: [REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to thank her for attending OH, confirm receipt of the comment card and let her know the information has been forwarded to the project team. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] responded to the email to thank [REDACTED NAME] for forwarding the information to the team. UPDATE: 02/04/2025: On the project team call, Siting requested that [REDACTED NAME] reach out to Bowlings to see if they have blueprints or any planning documents for the house they plan to build. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] sent drone footage of the previous home that was torn down with some notes regarding the placement of the new home. It does not appear that there are official blueprints of the home at this time, however Ms. [REDACTED NAME] plans to get started on the house as soon as the ground dries up. [REDACTED NAME] sent the email string w/ Ms. [REDACTED NAME] and the videos to the project team. 02/06/2025: Multiple messages between project team and [REDACTED NAME] and [REDACTED NAME] and Ms. [REDACTED NAME] re: placement of home on lot and overall dimensions of home. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] shared information with staff at the OH and requested a meeting at the building site to better explain where the house will sit. As previously mentioned, there are house blueprints, but no blueprints for the excavation as Ms. [REDACTED NAME] fiancé will complete this work himself. [REDACTED NAME] plans to get started with the home in the next couple of weeks, as soon as the ground dries out. Project team may need to meet with landowner for site visit as construction begins on the house; Ms. [REDACTED NAME] indicates she is available the week of 2/10. This information was shared with the project team 2/6/2025. UPDATE: On the project team call 02/13/2025, team determined that a site visit is not necessary at this time as it is unlikely the study segment affecting their build site will be dismissed. [REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to state that the project team does not need to conduct a site visit at this time and will be in contact in the future, if there are any additional questions or informational needs. No further action needed at this time

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
WED	1/29/2025		In Person OH Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes, explained: maps were helpful Property features: - house, shed: worried about decrease in property value and also nuisance due to sound and light before and after construction.	SEE additional entries dated 11/11/2024 and 01/06/2025 02/03/2025 @ 12:30: [REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to thank her for attending OH, acknowledge her discussion with project team and PM, confirm receipt of the comment card and let her know the information has been forwarded to the project team. 02/06/2025: Ms. [REDACTED NAME] responded to say they will seek legal recourse if they cannot come to an agreement with [REDACTED NAME] to purchase their property. They cite issues related to property values and aesthetics. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] response was shared with the project team. Real Estate and Legal will also be notified and asked to review. Project team will likely need to make additional connections with [REDACTED NAME] to mitigate concerns w/ station placement
WED	1/29/2025		In Person OH Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content to be informative: checked Yes Property features: - additional comments: we would prefer Section 6 please [REDACTED ADDRESS]	02/03/2025 @ 12:37: [REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to thank her for attending OH, confirm receipt of the comment card and let her know the information has been forwarded to the project team. No further action needed at this time.

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
WED	1/29/2025		In Person OH Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property Features: - comments under springs, streams: we prefer Rt. 6 -[REDACTED ADDRESS]	02/03/2025 @ 12:39: [REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to thank her for attending OH, confirm receipt of the comment card and let her know the information has been forwarded to the project team. No further action needed at this time.
MON	2/10/2025	14:24	US Mail Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property Features: - additional comments: [REDACTED NAME] encourages [REDACTED NAME] to keep new route in existing ROW at the [REDACTED LOCATION INFORMATION]. We currently have a solar energy lease nearby and the plan is to tap into the grid at this location.	02/12/2025 @ 17:09: [REDACTED NAME] emailed [REDACTED COMPANY] to confirm receipt of the comment card and let them know the information will be shared with the project team. No further action needed at this time.
MON	2/10/2025	14:24	US Mail Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Property Features: - springs, streams: not sure - underground utilities: don't know - additional comments: looking forward to talking to you	02/13/2025 @ 17:00: [REDACTED NAME] talked with Ms. [REDACTED NAME] and confirmed receipt of the comment card. [REDACTED NAME] also noted that Ms. [REDACTED NAME] wrote "looking forward to talking to you" on the comment card and wanted to follow up to see if Ms. [REDACTED NAME] has any specific questions about the project. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] replied that she did not have specific questions, but thought someone would be coming to her house to discuss the route and possibly survey her property. [REDACTED NAME] explained that we are in the early stages of the project and currently seeking input on the study segments to help determine a proposed route. Once we identify a proposed route, there will be additional interaction with potentially affected landowners. Ms. [REDACTED NAME] was appreciative of the phone call and the information. She mentioned that there used to be a pond on the property, but she is not sure if it was filled in when the coal mining was happening. The coal mine has been reclaimed with trees. There was also a house on the property at one time, but it is no longer there. She does not live on the property. No further action needed at this time.
MON	2/10/2025	14:24	US Mail Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property Features: - additional comments: your map does not show all the property I own	02/13/2025 @ 17:19: [REDACTED NAME] phone number is difficult to read on the comment card. [REDACTED NAME] tried to contact Mr. [REDACTED NAME] at the number listed and at the possible alternative numbers [REDACTED PHONE] and [REDACTED NUMBER]. At [REDACTED NUMBER] - someone answered and said we have the wrong number. At [REDACTED NUMBER] - no one answered. At [REDACTED NUMBER] - the number is not in service. Will try the [REDACTED NUMBER] again. 02/14/2025: Still no answer at the [REDACTED NUMBER] Comment card contact info illegible
MON	2/10/2025	14:24	US Mail Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property Features: - checked house, shed: mountaintop, no buildings - checked springs, streams: same right away as national forest - checked cave, sinkhole: N/A - checked approved or documented planned project: N/A - checked existing conservation easement: possible property line of national forest - checked historical feature: N/A - checked underground utilities: N/A - checked agricultural features: N/A - checked other land use: N/A - additional comments: mountain forest land, ridge top included map with notes and drawings about property lines and owners: 1/3 [REDACTED NAME], 1/3 [REDACTED NAME], 1/3 [REDACTED NAME]	02/12/2025 @ 17:13: [REDACTED NAME] attempted to email [REDACTED NAME] to confirm receipt of the comment card with attached map and let him know the information will be shared with the project team. Unfortunately, the contact information is nearly illegible. Tried multiple variations of the email, none of which went through. 02/13/2025 @ 12:35: [REDACTED NAME] attempted to call both versions of this landowner's number and neither option was answered. Will try both again. 02/14/2025: [REDACTED NAME] attempted to call and email [REDACTED NAME] - no version of the email or phone number was accurate. Comment card contact info illegible
FRI	2/14/2025		US Mail Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes Property Features: - checked Springs, streams...: spring for drinking water below existing power line - Cave, sinkhole...:unknown - checked Approved, documented, planned project: unknown as yet - Historical or archaeological: unknown - Underground utilities: unknown - Agricultural: unknown - Other land use: unknown - checked Additional Comments: Proposed use of land to be eco tourism company, sustainable forestry; including hiking trails and cabins; if it follows along the existing line and is non-invasive as well, I think we can get on board	SEE entry dated 01/24/2025 [REDACTED NAME] talked to Mr. [REDACTED NAME] on 01/24 and we were anticipating his comment card. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] has very limited cell service and only for short periods of the day when he is not traveling between his home (where he is cleaning up from a recent fire) to his temporary residence. [REDACTED NAME] texted Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to confirm receipt of the comment card and notify him that it would be shared with the project team. No further action needed at this time.

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
FRI	2/14/2025			END OF PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD 29 total comments (4 LO w/ multiple entries) - 15 comment cards - 11 voicemails - 3 emails/ecomments	
TUE	2/25/2025		US Mail Comment Card	Address: [REDACTED ADDRESS] Found the content informative: checked Yes - checked Springs, streams, wetlands....natural spring water in use for several families water; gas line crosses access roads - checked Cave, sinkhole, mine...: underlined mine and mentioned not in use - checked Underground utilities or pipelines: gas, water lines - checked Other land use....landfill - airstrip - not in use	[REDACTED NAME] emailed Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to confirm receipt of the comment card and to let him know it was shared with the project team. Forwarded to project team 02/25/2025

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
TUE	2/25/2025	10:31	Email	Ms. [REDACTED NAME] sent the following email: Hello! I have a general question. Can you tell me about what time frame someone would be coming out to survey the properties for the new transmission line in the Marrowbone area? I only ask because there is a Family Based Carbon Program that has been reaching out to me and other property owners in the area about wanting us to sign up for their program. (They're trying to pay us not to harvest the trees on our land and to let them grow.) If I am going to be working with [REDACTED NAME], I just wanted to know about when they would be coming out to survey. We are currently up [REDACTED NAME] Fork as we speak, working on the property, taking out the old bridge and then building a house seat. We will be up there working for the next two weeks or so. Respectfully yours, [REDACTED NAME] ([REDACTED NAME]) [REDACTED NAME] [REDACTED PHONE] [REDACTED PHONE]	[REDACTED NAME] emailed Ms. [REDACTED NAME] to confirm she is referencing the Breaks-Dorton project and if so, that the project team is currently reviewing feedback received during the comment period and some other data to determine a final route. Once we have a route selected, landowners will be notified and the right of way team will reach out to discuss next steps. I let her know we anticipate a route determination later this spring. Forwarded to project team 02/25/2025
THU	3/13/2025	10:06	Voicemail	Mr. [REDACTED NAME] called to gather information about the Breaks-Dorton project, specifically the Ratliff substation. He is an [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] to property near the substation site. He would like to receive a call back.	SEE ADDITIONAL ENTRY date 12/09/2024 03/14/2025 @ 11:59: [REDACTED NAME] called Mr. [REDACTED NAME] back. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] reiterated the history of the site (see previous entry 12/09/2024) to see full recounting and documents sent. He is a "direct descendent of the [REDACTED NAME]". There is an unrecorded trust. The people who recorded the trust, refused to let legal counsel see the trust and have not paid any of the [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP]. Title is incorrect at the County because the land went into an unrecorded trust. Reiterated that he wrote a song and is starting a podcast about the property. Has been fighting the situation for the past 24 years and is willing to work with [REDACTED NAME] attorneys if they are interested in taking up the case... 03/17/2025 @ 8:43: [REDACTED NAME] replied that this is a civil matter and unrelated to [REDACTED NAME] or the project and asking ROW to confirm we will use information as it is recorded at the courthouse. @ 11:34: [REDACTED NAME] confirmed that we will go with what the title shows at the courthouse.
WED	4/16/2025		US Mail	Route Selection Mailing: Cover letter w/ concept map on back 293 Recipients	
WED	4/16/2025	12:26	Email	[REDACTED NAME] met with [REDACTED NAMES] re: the Ratliff Substation [REDACTED LOCATION]. His notes are as follows: All, I had a meeting with the [REDACTED NAME] this morning at [REDACTED LOCATION]. I discussed with them the plan for the Ratliff station and what we were willing to do for visual barriers. I ask if they had any preference, and they said that didn't matter to them. They again asked if we could move the station. I told them that we had looked at all the possible scenarios for the station location and the area we concluded on was the one next to their property. I explained that LOD is right around 400ft away. They ask about the direction of the transmission line coming into the station and I explained that to them. The below is the request they had. 1.[REDACTED NAME] sell the remaining portion of the property not used by [REDACTED NAME] to them. 2.Purchase their property so they can re-locate. They said their main concern was the drop in property value. I explained to them that if would not affect their property value on an appraisal. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] said if [REDACTED NAME] would sell the remaining portion of the field to them for a good price that would help their property value. We discussed the timeline of the project as well as they getting a notification card through the mail. They explained that they had a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP], [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] and two [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP] that are attorneys. They told me they had been discussing the situation with one of a [REDACTED FAMILY RELATIONSHIP]. They do not want to move but would either like one of the two options above. I told them I would follow up with them after everything was discussed and analyzed by the project team. If you have any questions let me know.	
THU	4/17/2025	12:47	Email	Mr. [REDACTED NAME] sent the following email to [REDACTED NAME]: I need to see if you or one of your team members can send a dozer up to my house please. This road that goes up to the top of the mountain got washed out by the heavy rains we got several weeks ago. We need to grade it to get it back in drivable condition. Thank you [REDACTED NAME]	[REDACTED NAME] forwarded the request to the project team and requested feedback re: response to Mr. [REDACTED NAME] 04/17/2025 @ 15:15: PM RWhitt replied as follows: [REDACTED NAME], We would not accommodate the request. If we acquired to road to support construction, we would maintain the access. However, at this point, there is nothing we can do. Thanks, 04/21/2025 @ 16:03: [REDACTED NAME] replied to Mr. [REDACTED NAME] as follows: Hello [REDACTED NAME], Thank you for reaching out. [REDACTED NAME]/Kentucky Power has not acquired any roads in the area at this time. The project team is unable to maintain access on anything they have not acquired.

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
TUE	5/13/2025	8:03	Phone	Mr. [REDACTED NAME] called to get information about an [REDACTED NAME] transmission improvement project. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] owns the property on Three Mile Creek Rd. He received a letter from [REDACTED NAME] about a transmission improvement project and would like to know if the line route affects his property.	[REDACTED NAME] was able to determine that Mr. [REDACTED NAME] was calling about the Breaks-Dorton project. Mr. [REDACTED NAME] address is [REDACTED ADDRESS], but the link to the overview map was broken. according to the interactive map, it looked like this property would not be affected, but this map does not contain parcel boundaries. [REDACTED NAME] called Mr. [REDACTED NAME] and told him that she would check with the project team and get back to him with an answer. 05/19/2025 @ 15:47: [REDACTED NAME] responded to [REDACTED NAME]'s message with a map indicating that Mr. [REDACTED NAME] property would not be impacted by the final line route. 05/20/2025 @ 9:21: [REDACTED NAME] left a voicemail for Mr. [REDACTED NAME] asking him to call back 05/21/2025 @16:44: [REDACTED NAME] spoke with Mr. [REDACTED NAME] to confirm his property is not directly crossed by the project
THU	6/5/2025		US Mail	Newly identified LO mailing (ROW identified new LO after title search) 14 Recipients: cover letter, proj factsheet, t-line factsheet, comment card, parcel map	

AEP Breaks-Dorton (Pike County, KY): Outreach and Stakeholder Feedback Tracking

DAY	DATE	TIME (CT)	TYPE Phone/Voice Mail Email/US Mail In Person/Virtual Incoming/Outgoing	NOTES Feedback Comments Questions	FOLLOW UP/ACTION ITEMS
MON	5/11/2026	10:46	Email	[REDACTED NAME] reached out to note the following: We are dealing with a landowner [REDACTED NAME] on the Henry Clay-Breaks section of this project. He has stated that he has a [REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL]/[REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL] and was told by a friend at the Pikeville Medical Hospital that he cannot be around high voltage lines. We have explained to him that this should not be a problem due to the number of transmission lines he crosses daily. He has asked if [REDACTED NAME] has conducted any studies to show that it will not affect [REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL]/[REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL]? I explained that I do not think [REDACTED NAME] has conducted any such studies. He has suggested that he will shut down the project unless a study is conducted. We have made multiple offers and have him on the RISK Register as a HIGH probability for condemnation. With that all being said, do you have any information that we can pass along to [REDACTED NAME] to ease his mind on the line affecting his [REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL]/[REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL]? He is located off of the [REDACTED LOCATION]. The ROW is only overhang of the ROW, and it is in a span where it would be at least 1000' in the air above him it looks like.	05/21/2026: [REDACTED NAMES] met with [REDACTED NAME] legal counsel ([REDACTED NAME] @ Watson-Renner and [REDACTED NAME] @ [REDACTED NAME]) to discuss Mr. [REDACTED NAME] inquiry. [REDACTED NAME] explained the variation in models and manufacturers and drafted the following statement for [REDACTED NAME] to share with Mr. [REDACTED NAME]: The US Food and Drug Administration requires that [REDACTED HEALTH DETAIL] sold in the U.S. incorporate shielding against electromagnetic interference. The type and extent of the shielding can vary depending on the manufacturer, type, and age of the device. If you have any concerns about electromagnetic interference with any implanted cardiac device, you should discuss that with your cardiologist. 06/02/2026: [REDACTED NAME] confirmed the statement was OK to share. After confirmation from both [REDACTED NAMES], [REDACTED NAME] forwarded the statement to [REDACTED NAME].
THU	10/8/2026		US Mail	Filing Notification Mailing to 101 landowners affected by the filed project: cover letter, map poster	

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_17 Refer to Hartje Direct Testimony, page 17. Confirm the landowners impacted by the modification have been notified of the modification in addition to the original public announcement. If confirmed, provide copies of all communications or notifications with the landowners.

RESPONSE

Following the route adjustment near Structure 15, ROW representatives communicated directly with the owners of all but one of the affected properties, either in person or by telephone. For the remaining property owner, the Company has been unable to establish reliable contact despite its efforts to notify the owner of the route modification. Because these communications were oral, the Company does not have copies of communications or notifications.

Witness: Emily Hartje

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_18 State whether there are any existing encroachments, including habitable and non-habitable structures in the planned ROW.

RESPONSE

Based on LiDAR survey data, five encroachments have been identified within the proposed ROW; two of the five encroachments are from habitable structures.

Witness: Emily Hartje

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_19 Refer to the Application, page 14, paragraph 51. State whether all new and supplemental easements have been obtained.

RESPONSE

Some of the required easements have been secured. Acquisition of the remaining new and supplemental easements needed for the Proposed Project is ongoing through AEP's ROW Department.

Witness: Caleb J. McAllister

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2026-00184
Commission Staff's First Set of Data Requests
Dated October 6, 2026

DATA REQUEST

KPSC 1_20 If granted a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN), state whether Kentucky Power will request a rate increase as a result of the project.

RESPONSE

The Company understands the question to seek whether the Company will file a base rate case if the Commission grants the Company a CPCN to construct the Proposed Project. The Company will not file a base rate case as a direct result of this Proposed Project. As described in the testimony of Company Witness Kahn and demonstrated in the response to KPSC 1-7, the costs the Proposed Project will be allocated to the AEP East PJM zone based on a 12 CP allocation. As new, large loads come online within the PJM system, there is real potential for the 12 CP allocation to shift significantly in the coming years. This will, in turn, result in a shift in cost allocation. Kentucky Power may be in the position where its allocated share of costs will decrease from prior years. The Company has advocated that cost recovery of PJM LSE OATT costs should be returned to a tracking mechanism instead of being included in base rate cases. The use of a tracking mechanism would allow such reductions to flow back to customers as they are realized.

Witness: Lerah M. Kahn

VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Emily Hartje, being duly sworn, deposes and says she is an Environmental Project Manager for WSP, Incorporated, that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing responses and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge, and belief.

Signed by: 
F355D9350B504AF

Emily Hartje

Commonwealth of Kentucky)
)
County of Boyd) Case No. 2026-00184

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County
and State, by Emily Hartje, on 10/19/2026 | 11:47 AM EDT.

Signed by: 
E9B1BC7AC31F421...

Notary Public

My Commission Expires 05/05/2027

Notary ID Number KYNP71841

MARILYN MICHELLE CALDWELL ONLINE NOTARY PUBLIC COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY Commission #KYNP71841 My Commission Expires 5/5/2027
--

VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Lerah M. Kahn, being duly sworn, deposes and says she is the Director of Regulatory Services for Kentucky Power, that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing responses and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge, and belief.



Lerah M. Kahn

Commonwealth of Kentucky)
)
County of Boyd)


Case No. 2026-00184

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Lerah M. Kahn, on October 15, 2026.


Notary Public

My Commission Expires May 5, 2027

Notary ID Number KYNP71841



VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Nicolas C. Koehler, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is the Managing Director of Transmission Planning for American Electric Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing responses and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Signed by:

Nicolas C. Koehler

DA48030CE87542C...

Nicolas C. Koehler

Commonwealth of Kentucky)
)
County of Boyd)

Case No. 2026-00184

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County
and State, by Nicolas C. Koehler, on 10/19/2026 | 2:00 PM EDT.

Signed by:

Michelle Caldwell

E9B1BC7AC31E421...

Notary Public


MARILYN MICHELLE CALDWELL
ONLINE NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
Commission #KYNP71841
My Commission Expires 5/5/2027

My Commission Expires May 5, 2027

Notary ID Number KYNP71841


VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Caleb J. McAllister, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is the Transmission Line Engineer of Record for American Electric Power Service Corporation, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing responses and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Signed by:

6333A012988F4F6...
Caleb J. McAllister

Commonwealth of
Kentucky)
County of Boyd) Case No. 2026-00184
)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County
and State, by Caleb J. McAllister, on 10/20/2026 | 11:19 AM EDT.

Signed by:

E9B1BC7AC31E421...
Notary Public

MARILYN MICHELLE CALDWELL
ONLINE NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
Commission #KYNP71841
My Commission Expires 5/5/2027

My Commission Expires 05/05/2027

Notary ID Number KYNP71841