

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

JI 2_1 Please refer to the Company's response to Kentucky Public Service Commission Request 1_2. Please explain what is funded by the Company on projects that combine health- and safety-related heat pump replacements with DSM measures.

RESPONSE

The TEE Program provides up to \$3,000 toward heating system replacement when a heat pump is installed. This funding can be combined with other weatherization resources such as the DOE's Weatherization Assistance Program.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

- JI 2_2** Please refer to the Company's response to Kentucky Public Service Commission Request 1_3 and Kentucky Public Service Commission Request 1_16.
- a. Please explain why the Company believes that Community Action Agencies will be able to not only spend the projected 2026 budget but also the unspent 2025 funds.
- b. Please explain whether the Community Action Agencies are aware that they would be staffing up for a TEE program that will decrease in 2027 if spending occurs as projected by the Company.

RESPONSE

- a. The Company did not propose rolling over TEE funds from 2025 into 2026. Exhibit SNC-1 (General Inputs tab) shows the proposed 2026 TEE budget of \$370,060 (see column G, row 24).
- b. As the Company did not propose changes to the TEE program budget for 2026, any staffing adjustments made by community action would be unrelated to the TEE program budget.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

- JI 2_3** Please refer to the Company's response to Kentucky Public Service Commission 1_15.
- a. If there are increased administrative costs compared to the forecast due to the extended ramp up period, would the Company expect the cost-effectiveness of the programs to remain cost-effective?
 - b. Please provide the actual cost-effectiveness calculation for 2025.
 - c. Please provide the projected cost-effectiveness based upon the Company's proposed shifts in budget.

RESPONSE

- a. The Company objects to this request as it calls for speculation regarding an incomplete hypothetical scenario. The Company cannot properly speculate on the cost-effectiveness of any program based on a hypothetical change in only one variable. Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Company states that it does not anticipate any increase in the administrative costs.
- b. The Company has not performed the analysis as requested for HEIP and CESP. Please see the Company's response to KPSC 2_4(b) with respect to the TEE Program.
- c. Please see the Company's response to KPSC 1_15.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

- JI 2_4** Please refer to the Company's response to Kentucky Public Service Commission 1_17.
- a. Please explain why the ramp up of the program took from March 1 through September 2025 to recognize participation.
 - b. Please explain when Kentucky Power would have needed to receive Commission approval to launch the CESP by January 2025.

RESPONSE

- a. Both the Home Energy Improvement Program and Commercial Energy Solutions Program became available to customers in July 2025. Finalizing the contract with TRC and completing vendor onboarding took time before full implementation could occur. Additionally, systems and infrastructure such as websites and application portals had to be integrated before customer activity could be reliably captured. The Company also worked with TRC on developing marketing materials and finalizing customer communication plans prior to going live with the program.
- b. Given the implementation requirements the Company is unable to give a definitive answer, however, an order issued in the fall of 2024 would have materially improved the chance of meeting a January 2025 start.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

JI 2_5 Please refer to the Company's response to Joint Intervenor Request 1_1. Would the Companies agree that the performance of the programs is the responsibility of Kentucky Power regardless of who is the administrator of the program?

RESPONSE

The Company retains ultimate responsibility for the programs, while Community Action and TRC handle day-to-day administration. Recognizing that strong outcomes require close collaboration, the Company maintains frequent communication and regular meetings with program administrators to coordinate activities, monitor progress, and address issues promptly.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

JI 2_6 Please refer to the Company's response to Joint Intervenor Request 1_4.
Please provide the number of customers that have submitted an application and are waiting for an audit.

RESPONSE

The community action agencies have 74 TEE-eligible customers with active applications that have been placed on the priority waitlist.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

JI 2_7 Please refer to the Company's response to Joint Intervenor Request 1_5.

- a. Please provide the number of customers in the pipeline that are interested in a home energy assessment.
- b. Is the Company or its implementor, TRC, aware that the ramping up and down program funding can cause program dissatisfaction among potential participants and participating vendors?

RESPONSE

a. New home energy assessment requests are received daily. Sixty-eight customers who requested assessments are currently being contacted to schedule in-home visits. In February 2026 the program completed 31 home energy assessments and direct-install measures. Scheduling is underway for March and April, with a March forecast of 50 completed assessments and direct-install measures.

b. The Company objects to this request as it assumes facts not in evidence and calls for speculation regarding an incomplete hypothetical scenario. The Company is not aware as to whether ramping down or ramping up program funding affects program participant, potential program participant, or participating vendor satisfaction.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

JI 2_8 Please refer to the Company's response to Joint Intervenor Request 1_8. If there are no monthly forecasts for participation, savings, or spending, please explain how the Company will know that it is on track to meet its forecasts.

RESPONSE

The Company reviews all invoices and monitors program participation throughout the year. Additionally, the Company maintains frequent communication and regular meetings with community action and TRC to coordinate activities, monitor progress, and address issues promptly.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

Kentucky Power Company
KPSC Case No. 2025-00365
Joint Intervenors' Second Set of Data Requests
Dated February 18, 2026

DATA REQUEST

JI 2_9 Please refer to the Company's response to Joint Intervenor Request 1_10. With the projected increase in HVAC costs, does the Company plan to adjust incentive levels?

RESPONSE

No, the Company does not have any current plans to adjust incentive levels.

Witness: Stevi N. Cobern

