

**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**  
**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**In the Matter of:**

<b>AN ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION OF THE</b>	)	
<b>APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT</b>	)	
<b>CLAUSE OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY</b>	)	<b>CASE NO. 2025-00340</b>
<b>FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2022 THROUGH</b>	)	
<b>OCTOBER 31, 2024</b>	)	

**RESPONSE OF**  
**KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY**  
**TO**  
**COMMISSION STAFF'S FOURTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**  
**DATED APRIL 16, 2026**

**FILED: MAY 1, 2026**

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY )  
 )  
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

The undersigned, **Andrea M. Fackler**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is Manager - Revenue Requirement/Cost of Service for Kentucky Utilities Company, an employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which she is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge, and belief.

Andrea M. Fackler  
**Andrea M. Fackler**

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of April 2026.

Tammy J. Ely  
Notary Public

Notary Public ID No. KYNP61560

My Commission Expires:

November 9, 2026



**KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY**

**Response to Commission Staff's Fourth Request for Information  
Dated April 16, 2026**

**Case No. 2025-00340**

**Question No. 1**

**Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler**

- Q-1. Refer to the Direct Testimony of Andrea M. Fackler, page 6 lines 5-11. If the Commission were to deny KU's proposal to use the weighted average actual per unit fuel cost for the February 2023 expense month for the base period and based on the information currently in the record, explain whether KU would select February 2023 actual fuel cost of \$0.03067 per kWh as the representative fuel cost for its two-year base fuel rate.
- A-1. As noted in Ms. Fackler's Direct Testimony, KU's actual per unit fuel cost for the February 2023 expense month of \$0.03067 per kWh approximates the \$0.03034 per kWh average projected per unit fuel cost for calendar years 2026 and 2027. KU would still select this as the representative fuel cost if the Commission were to deny KU's proposal to use the weighted average actual per unit fuel cost proposed for KU and LG&E in their respective review proceedings. The \$0.03034 per kWh is the closest actual fuel cost to the \$0.03067 per kWh forecasted fuel cost.

However, the Companies still recommend the Commission approve the proposed weighted average actual per unit fuel cost as it is based on historical actual FAC costs for both Companies on an individual basis but also helps transition the base fuel rate to be the same for both Companies. It is also consistent with 807 KAR 5:056, Section 1(2) because, when the rates are in effect in 2026 and 2027, the resultant FAC billing factor adjustments are expected to be close to zero on average.<sup>1</sup> Additionally, even if the proposed base fuel rate is approved for the Companies and put in effect prior to the effective date of the merger and the financial books being combined, customers of each utility will still pay actual fuel costs for the individual utilities due to the current operation of the FAC (i.e., if the base fuel rate is higher than one or both of the individual utility's actual fuel cost, the applicable customers will receive a credit through the FAC billing factor and vice versa if the base fuel rate is lower).

---

<sup>1</sup> 807 KAR 5:056, Section 1(2) states, "F(b)/S(b) shall be determined so that on the effective date of the commission's approval of the utility's application of the formula, the resultant adjustment shall be equal to zero."