COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

AN ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC)
SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL) O N-
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS) Case No.
ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR) 2025-00317
BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2025.)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

REBECCA (BECKY) L. SHELTON

ON BEHALF OF

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION,
JACKSON PURCHASE ENERGY CORPORATION,
KENERY CORP., AND
MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

FILED: December 4, 2025

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JULY 31, 2025 CASE NO. 2025-00317

VERIFICATION

I, Rebecca L. (Becky) Shelton, verify, state, and affirm that I prepared or supervised the preparation of the Direct Testimony filed with this Verification and that Direct Testimony is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Rebecca & Shellon	
Rebecca L. Shelton	

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF DAVIESS)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Rebecca L. Shelton on this the day of December, 2025.

Notary Public, Kentucky State at Large

Notary ID KYNP 43026

My Commission Expires

1-14-2026

1 2 3 4		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF REBECCA L. SHELTON
5	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and position.
6	A.	My name is Rebecca L. (Becky), Shelton and my business address is Big
7		Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers"), 710 West Second Street,
8		Owensboro, Kentucky, 42301. I am the Manager Finance at Big Rivers.
9		
10	Q.	Please summarize your education and professional experience.
11	A.	I earned a Bachelor of Science in Finance from Western Kentucky
12		University in 1997. I have held my current position since July 2023.
13		Prior to my current position from January 2023 to July 2023, I was a
14		Finance Analyst at Big Rivers. Before joining Big Rivers, I was employed
15		in the role of bank branch manager from 1995 until May 2022, with PNC
16		Bank, National Association, and German American Bank.
17		
18	Q.	Please summarize your duties at Big Rivers.
19	A.	As Finance Manager, I am responsible for managing the debt and cash at
20		Big Rivers which includes debt covenant compliance. I am also responsible
21		for the preparation of the monthly rider filings with the Commission.
22		

1	Q.	Have you	previously	testified	before	\mathbf{the}	Commi	ission	?
---	----	----------	------------	-----------	--------	----------------	-------	--------	---

- 2 A. Yes. I sponsored responses to information requests in Case No. 2025-
- 3 000521 (review of Big Rivers' ES mechanism) and Case No. 2025-000782
- 4 (review of Big Rivers' FAC mechanism).

6 Q. On whose behalf are you filing this testimony?

- 7 A. I am filing this testimony on behalf of Big Rivers and its three member
- 8 distribution cooperatives, who are Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation
- 9 ("Jackson Purchase"), Kenergy Corp. ("Kenergy"), and Meade County
- Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Meade County") (collectively, the
- 11 "Members").

12

13

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

- 14 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the application of Big Rivers'
- ES mechanism as billed from August 1, 2023 through July 31, 2025, which
- 16 corresponds to Big Rivers' expense months of June 2023 through May
- 17 2025. Additionally, I have coordinated with Big Rivers' Members in the
- 18 preparation of this testimony and prepared responses to the Commission

¹ See In the Matter of: An Electric Examination of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for the Six-Month Billing Periods Ending January 31, 2024, July 31, 2024, and January 31, 2025, Case No. 2025-00052.

² See In the Matter of: An Electric Examination of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Big Rivers' Electric Corporation from November 1, 2023 through April 30, 2024, Case No. 2025-00078

Staff's First Request for Information in this case ("Staff's First Requests"),
which accompany this testimony. This testimony also includes information
the Members have provided me in support of their pass-through
mechanisms that are also under review in this proceeding, and that the
Members use to pass through to their retail members the costs Big Rivers
charges to them under Big Rivers' ES mechanism.

The review periods for the Members' pass-through mechanisms, which correspond to Big Rivers' August 2023 through July 2025 billing months, are the billing months of September 2023 through August 2025 for retail non-dedicated delivery point customers (*i.e.*, there is a one-month lag for non-dedicated delivery point customers), and August 2023 through July 2025 for the retail dedicated delivery point customers (*i.e.*, there is no billing lag for dedicated delivery point customers).

A.

Q. Please provide a brief overview of Big Rivers' ES mechanism.

Big Rivers' ES mechanism was approved by Order of the Commission dated June 25, 2008, in Case No. 2007-00460, which was part of the Unwind Transaction that the Commission approved by Order dated March 6, 2009, in Case No. 2007-00455. Big Rivers' ES went into effect immediately following the July 16, 2009, closing of the Unwind Transaction for service commencing July 17, 2009.

Big Rivers' initial environmental compliance plan was approved by
the Commission in Case No. 2007-00460 (the "2007 Plan") and consists of
programs and the related costs for controlling sulfur dioxide (SO ₂),
nitrogen oxide (NO_x), and sulfur trioxide (SO_3). The environmental
compliance costs, which Big Rivers may recover under KRS 278.183 and its
2007 Plan, include the costs of reagents, sludge and ash disposal, and
emission allowances. For the SO ₂ program, Big Rivers recovers through its
ES mechanism the costs of reagents, the costs for the disposal of coal
combustion byproducts (fly ash, bottom ash, and scrubber sludge), and the
costs of SO_2 emission allowances used. For the NO_x program, Big Rivers
recovers the costs of reagents and the costs of $NO_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ emission allowances
used. For the SO_3 program, Big Rivers recovers reagent costs. Due to
generating unit design differences and Big Rivers' compliance plan, each of
Big Rivers' generating units utilizes a different combination of reagents.
Depending on the unit facilities, various reagents are used to treat the flue
gas, thereby removing the three targeted emissions. The reagents used to
$control \ SO_2 \ include \ emulsified \ sulfur, \ lime, \ fixation \ lime, \ limestone,$
dibasic acid, and sodium bisulfite. The reagents used to control $N\mathrm{O}_{x}$
include anhydrous ammonia and emulsified sulfur. The reagent used to
control SO_3 is hydrated lime. Note that the 2007 Plan included only
variable operations and maintenance (O&M) costs.

In its Order dated October 1, 2012, in Case No. 2012-00063, the
Commission approved environmental compliance projects relating to the
Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) rule. The additions (the " 2012
Plan") included installing activated carbon injection and dry sorbent
injection systems and emission control monitors at Big Rivers' Coleman,
Wilson, and Green generating stations. The 2012 Plan also included the
installation of emission control monitors at Henderson Municipal Power $\&$
Light's ("HMP&L") Station Two generating station, which Big Rivers
previously operated and maintained under a series of contracts with the
City of Henderson, Kentucky, and the City of Henderson Utility
Commission (d/b/a HMP&L) until January 2019, when the Station Two
units were retired from service. The 2012 Plan includes both capital and
O&M costs associated with the projects, as well as recovery of Big Rivers'
actual costs incurred in Case No. 2012-00063, which were amortized over
three years.

Due to the terminations of retail power contracts with two aluminum smelters in 2013 and 2014, Big Rivers idled its Coleman generating station in May 2014. As a result, Big Rivers did not complete the MATS projects for the Coleman station. Big Rivers has since decommissioned the Coleman station and moved the FGD System to its Wilson generating station.

1		In its Order dated August 6, 2020, in Case No. 2019-00435, the
2		Commission approved projects included in Big Rivers 2020 Environmental
3		Compliance Plan (the "2020 Plan"), including moving the Coleman FGD to
4		Wilson and upgrading the FGD, closing ash ponds at Big Rivers' Green
5		Station and at HMP&L's Station Two generating station, installing a
6		landfill cover at Wilson and a perimeter drainage system at Green, and
7		completing other CCR projects Wilson, Green, and Station Two. In that
8		Order, the Commission also conditionally approved closing the Coleman
9		Station ash ponds, should those legacy ponds become subject to the CCR
10		Rule.
11		Big Rivers' ES mechanism allows Big Rivers to recover costs related
12		to its 2007 Plan (which includes only variable O&M costs) and its 2012 and
13		2020 Plans (which include both O&M and capital costs, as well as a rate of
14		return on the unrecovered portion of its capital costs), less proceeds from
15		by-product and emission allowance sales, plus or minus an ongoing
16		cumulative under-or over-recovery.
17		
18	Q.	Please provide a brief overview of the Members' pass-through
19		mechanisms.

- mechanisms.
- 20 The Members' pass-through mechanisms allow each Member to bill its 21 retail customers for the portion of Big Rivers' environmental surcharge

1		that it was billed. Jackson Purchase's pass-through mechanism was
2		approved by the Commission in Case No. 2008-00010; Kenergy's pass-
3		through mechanism was approved by the Commission in Case No. 2008-
4		00009; and Meade County's pass-through mechanism was approved by the
5		Commission in Case No. 2007-00470.
6		
7	Q.	Have there been any changes to Big Rivers' ES mechanism since
8		the prior review?
9	A.	No.
10		
11	Q.	Has Big Rivers' ES mechanism been accurately compiled, and is it
12		operating as intended?
13	A.	Yes.
14		
15	Q.	Did Big Rivers sell any SO ₂ or NO _x emission allowances during the
16		expense months corresponding to the billing periods under review
17		in this case?
18	A.	No.
19		
20		

1	Q.	Did Big Rivers recover any capital costs through its ES mechanism
2		during the periods under review?
3	A.	Yes. Pursuant to the Commission's October 1, 2012, Order in Case No.
4		2012-00063 and the Commission's August 6, 2020, Order in Case No. 2019-
5		00435, Big Rivers recovered capital costs through the monthly depreciation
6		of its 2012 and 2020 Plan projects included in the calculation of Total E(m)
7		in its monthly ES filings during the periods under review.
8		
9	Q.	Did Big Rivers earn a rate of return on any capital expenditures
10		through its ES mechanism during the periods under review?
11	A.	Yes. Pursuant to the Commission's October 1, 2012, Order in Case No.
12		2012-00063 and the Commission's August 6, 2020, Order in Case No. 2019-
13		00435, Big Rivers earned a rate of return on its Environmental
14		Compliance Rate Base (calculated on Form 2.00 of its monthly ES filing)
15		equal to its monthly weighted average cost of debt plus the application of a
16		Times Interest Earned Ratio (TIER) of 1.24. Detailed calculations of Big
17		Rivers' monthly Rates of Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base,
18		for the expense months during the periods under review, are provided as
19		an attachment to Big Rivers' response to Item 4 of Staff's First Request.
20		
21		

1	Q.	What Base Environmental Surcharge Factor (BESF) cost did Big
2		Rivers use during the review periods?
3	A.	Big Rivers had no environmental surcharge related costs included in its
4		base rates during the review periods (i.e., Big Rivers' BESF during the
5		periods under review was 0.000000%)
6		
7	Q.	Have the Members' pass-through mechanisms been accurately
8		compiled, and are they operating as intended?
9	A.	The Members believe their pass-through mechanisms have been accurately
10		compiled and are operating as intended.
11		
12	Q.	Were the amounts charged under Big Rivers' ES mechanism
13		during the review periods fair, just, and reasonable?
14	A.	Yes.
15		
16	Q.	Were the amounts charged under the Members' pass-through
17		mechanisms during the review periods fair, just, and reasonable?
18	A.	The Members believe the amounts charged under their pass-through
19		mechanisms are fair, just and reasonable.
20		

1	Q.	Do Big Rivers and its Members have additional over-or under-
2		recovery amounts they believe need to be recognized?
3	A.	No. Big Rivers and its Members are not requesting any additional over-or
4		under-recovery amounts. The normal over-/under-recovery carry-forward
5		element of Big Rivers' ES mechanism is operating as intended.
6		
7	Q.	Is Big Rivers proposing to incorporate an environmental
8		surcharge amount into its existing base rates at this time?
9	A.	No. Big Rivers is not proposing to incorporate an environmental surcharge
10		amount into its existing base rates at this time.
11		
12	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
13	А	Yes it does