COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

_				
ln	tha	Λ/	ottor	A++
111	uic	IVI	[atter	OI.

THE ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF)	
FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE,)	
INC., FOR PASS-THROUGH OF EAST)	Case No. 2025-00224
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.'S)	
WHOLESALE RATE ADJUSTMENT)	

FLEMING-MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC.'s VERIFIED RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ENTERED SEPTEMBER 3, 2025

Comes now Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, Inc. ("Fleming-Mason Energy"), by counsel, and does hereby tender its Verified Response to the Commission Staff's First Request for Information entered September 9, 2025.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	
ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF FLEMIN MASON ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC. F PASS-THROUGH OF EAST KENTUCKY F COOPERATIVE, INC.'S WHOLESALE RA ADJUSTMENT	OR) CASE NO. POWER) 2025-00224
VERIFICATION OF	LAUREN C. FRITZ
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF FLEMING)	

Lauren C. Fritz, Chief Financial Officer of Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, Inc., being duly sworn, states that she has supervised the preparation of responses to Commission Staff's First Request for Information in the above-referenced case, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Rawew C. T

The foregoing Verification was signed, acknowledged, and sworn to before me this 22nd day of September 2025, by Lauren C. Fritz.

Jennifer L. McRoberts Commonwealth of Kentucky Notary Public Commission No. KYNP61556 My Commission Expires 12/22/2026 Commission Expiration: 12/22/26

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

John Wolfram, being duly sworn, states that he has supervised the preparation of his responses to Requests for Information in this case and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

John Wolfram

The foregoing Verification was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me this 9th day of September, 2025, by John Wolfram.

Notary Commission No. KYNP98715

Heather S. Temple

Commission expiration: April 9, 2025

Response 1 Page 1 of 1

Witness: John Wolfram

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative Corporation Case No. 2025-00224 Commission Staff's First Request for Information

Request 1: Refer to Exhibit 4 of the Application

- a. Provide the billing analysis in Excel spreadsheet format with all formulas, rows, and columns unprotected and fully accessible.
- b. Reconcile Fleming-Mason Energy's allocation of the East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. (EKPC) wholesale increase to the allocation assigned by EKPC to Fleming-Mason Energy and explain any variance shown in Exhibit 4.

Response 1(a): Please see attached electronic Excel file.

Response 1(b): See Application Exhibit 4, page 1. Also see Excel file provided in part (a), Summary tab, last three lines and last column. The slight variance is the result of rounding the proposed per unit charges to the appropriate number of decimal places.

ATTACHMENT IS AN EXCEL SPREADSHEET AND UPLOADED SEPARATELY

Witness: John Wolfram

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative Corporation Case No. 2025-00224 Commission Staff's First Request for Information

Request 2: Refer to the Direct Testimony of John Wolfram, Exhibit 7.

- a. Confirm that Fleming-Mason Energy's proposed rates reflect a strict proportional passthrough of EKPC's wholesale increase in accordance with KRS 278.455. If not confirmed, explain the response.
- b. If Fleming-Mason Energy considered any deviation from strict proportionality, identify and explain why no such deviation was proposed.
- c. Provide the class billing determinants used to support the proportional pass-through.

Response 2(a): Confirmed.

Response 2(b): Not applicable.

Response 2(c): Please see Application Exhibit 4, column Billing Units, beginning on page 2.

Commission Staff's First Request for Information

Refer to Case No. 2023-00014, which examined EKPC's fuel adjustment clause

(FAC) adjustments and recovery.

a. Provide a reconciliation between the \$0.01169 per kWh FAC roll-in incorporated in

Exhibit 4 and Fleming-Mason Energy's FAC Form A filings filed after the August 30,

2024 Order in Case No. 2023-00014.

b. Identify any differences between the billing analysis in Exhibit 4 and the FAC recovery

amounts reported in Fleming-Mason Energy's semi-annual filings.

c. Confirm that Fleming-Mason Energy will continue to apply monthly FAC adjustments

filed under 807 KAR 5:056 on customer bills following implementation of the proposed

pass-through rates. If not confirmed, explain the response.

Response 3(a): The FAC roll-in incorporated in Exhibit 4 reflects the adjustment specified in the

Commission's Order in Case No. 2023-00014. The amount shown at the bottom of Exhibit 4 in

the Present Rate column was moved from the FAC line to the base energy charge line for all rates

on Exhibit 4 which include an energy charge. The amount is annualized such that the "Present

Rate" reflects the movement of the ordered incremental energy charge from the FAC to base

energy. This is evident in the Excel file provided in response to Item 1a, by comparing the energy

charge and FAC in columns "2023 Revenue" and "Present Revenue" for each rate in Exhibit 4.

Response 3(b): The billing analysis annualizes the FAC roll-in based on test year billing

determinants, while the semi-annual filings reflect actual FAC amounts.

Response 3(c): Confirmed.

Request 4: Refer to Fleming-Mason Energy's current tariff.

- a. Describe how Fleming-Mason Energy will implement the new rates for bills with service periods that straddle the effective date, consistent with its current billing cycle and tariff provisions.
- b. State whether any portion of the bill will be prorated, and if so, identify which components (customer, energy, demand, lighting) are prorated and which are not.
- c. Describe the allocation method used to split usage/charges between the pre- and post-effective-date portions (e.g., by calendar days, meter-read splits, interval-data allocation), and provide the formulas used.
- d. Identify any tariff provisions, internal policies/procedures, or billing-system constraints relied upon in making these billing calculations.

Response 4(a)-(d): Please see Attachment 1-4. The customer charge and outdoor light rates are updated to the new rate the first day of the month/effective date. The energy charge used for billing cycles that straddle the effective date is calculated based on number of days on the old rate and number of days on the new rate. Accounts with billed demand are read and billed month beginning to month end; therefore, a proration of the demand charge is not necessary.

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative Corporation Case No. 2025-00224 Commission Staff's First Request for Information

Request 5: Refer to Exhibit 4 of the Application.

- a. Regarding the lighting schedules included in Exhibit 4 of the billing analysis, identify which are billed on a per-light, per-month basis.
- b. For each such schedule, provide the assumed monthly kWh per fixture by type used in the billing analysis and show how those assumptions translate into the proposed monthly charges.
- c. Explain how the FAC is reflected for these unmetered lighting accounts.

Response 5(a): All lights are billed on this basis.

Response 5(b): The billing analysis for lighting in Exhibit 4 is based on the number of lights, not the assumed usage. The proposed charges reflect the proportional application of the increase to the present per-unit charges (which include the FAC roll-in approved by the Commission in Case No. 2023-00014).

Response 5(c): The FAC for unmetered lighting is accounted for in the usage for the rate class assigned to the premise with which the lighting is associated.

Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative Corporation Case No. 2025-00224 Commission Staff's First Request for Information

Request 6: Refer to Exhibit 6, Customer Notice, page 26H. Refer also to Exhibit 4, Billing analysis, page 2. The table that outlines the average bill impact for each rate class shows a 4.50 percent bill impact for the Residential & Small Power class in the customer notice. However, the billing analysis lists a 4.87 percent bill impact. Explain and reconcile the discrepancy.

Response 6: In the billing analysis the percent bill impact for the Residential & Small Power class inadvertently references that for the Residential & Small Power ETS. The correct value is 4.87 percent. See Attachment 1-1.