

BATH COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
CASE NO. 2025-00132
KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Witness: Christy Creech

1. Refer to Bath District's response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information, Item 10. Bath District did not provide all the information requested. Provide documentation from the Fiscal Court that authorizes each commissioner's compensation. If Bath District unable to provide the information, provide an explanation as to why.

Response: See electronic file named "1 Bath District Response to PSC DR2, Item 1, Fiscal Count" that has been filed via electronic submittal.

Witness: Christy Creech

2. Refer to Application, Statement of Adjusted Operations (SAO), Credit Card Fee.
 - a. Provide a detailed explanation of the nature, purpose and occurrence of the credit card fees recorded during the test year.
 - b. State whether Bath District receives reimbursement from its customers for the credit card fees.
 - c. If the credit card fees are recovered from customers, identify and cite to the specific provision(s) in the Bath District's filed tariff that authorizes such recovery.

Response:

- a. Bath District accepts payments for service from customers paying with credit cards. Bath District pays the credit card processing fee. The "Credit Card Fee" reported as an expense by Bath District represents the amount paid for credit card processing fees.
- b. Bath District does not receive reimbursement of credit card fees from customers.
- c. N/A.

Witness: Christy Creech

3. Refer to Application, SAO, Adjustment D, Salaries and Wages – Employees, which indicates that a total of 388 overtime hours were incurred during the test year. State whether the Bath District expects reduced overtime hours upon the hiring of a new employee and the addition of a field employee.

Response: Bath District does not expect overtime hours to be reduced as a result of personnel changes.

Witness: Christy Creech and Jack Scott Lawless

4. Refer to Application, SAO, Adjustment H, Purchased Water, Charts, pages 11-12. Of note, on page 11, gallons purchased from Morehead are reported as 471,653,510 but on page 12 when calculating the Pro Forma amount, Bath County reported 482,361,000 gallons purchased.

a. Provide an explanation for the difference between the two numbers for the reported gallons purchased from Morehead.

b. Provide the accurate number of gallons purchased from Morehead during the test year.

Response:

a. The 31,895,510 gallons reported as purchased from Morehead for December in the table on page 11 is incorrect. The correct amount for December is 42,603,000 gallons.

b. The corrected table is provided below.

BATH COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
CASE NO. 2025-00132
KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

	Morehead	Mt. Sterling	Frenchburg	Owingsville	Total
January	45,441,000	3,251,354	115,500	1,126,000	49,933,854
February	36,499,000	2,841,448	244,700	832,000	40,417,148
March	32,197,000	1,602,824	108,700	1,239,000	35,147,524
April	38,016,000	1,575,651	98,600	652,000	40,342,251
May	40,747,000	2,508,355	418,700	2,145,000	45,819,055
June	39,151,000	2,027,936	199,100	929,000	42,307,036
July	41,424,000	4,553,516	138,900	371,000	46,487,416
August	43,279,000	2,657,614	170,600	1,370,000	47,477,214
September	43,100,000	2,320,324	175,000	1,396,000	46,991,324
October	40,876,000	2,915,818	157,200	1,199,000	45,148,018
November	39,028,000	1,906,936	130,200	1,311,000	42,376,136
December	42,603,000	1,781,816	120,800	574,000	45,079,616
	<u>482,361,000</u>	<u>29,943,592</u>	<u>2,078,000</u>	<u>13,144,000</u>	<u>527,526,592</u>

BATH COUNTY WATER DISTRICT
CASE NO. 2025-00132
KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Witness: Christy Creech

5. Refer to Application, SAO, Interest Income. State the amount of realized income and unrealized income/expense as separate items. Also, state any other items that are included in the test year amount of \$2,931

Response: The amount reported as Interest Income on the SAO is interest Bath District was paid on cash accounts. The entire amount is realized interest income. The amount includes no other items.

Witness: Christy Creech

6. Refer to Application, Exhibit_4_-_Billing_Analysis.xlsx and Bath District's current tariff, Wholesale Water Rates. The city of Frenchburg is listed in the current tariff as a customer under the Wholesale Water Rates section but is not listed in the Billing Analysis with the other wholesale customers. Explain the absence of the city of Frenchburg in the billing analysis document.

Response: The city of Frenchburg did not purchase water from Bath District during the test year. There were no sales to Frenchburg to be reported in the billing analysis.

Witness: Christy Creech

7. Refer to Application, Exhibit_4_-_Billing_Analysis.xlsx. Provide a detailed breakdown of the billing adjustments listed in the Billing Analysis.

Response: See electronic file named "7 Bath District Response to PSC DR2, Item 7, Billing Analysis Adjustments" that has been filed via electronic submittal.

Witness: Christy Creech

8. Provide proof of publication of the customer notice pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076, Section 5(3).

Response: See electronic file named "8 Bath District Response to PSC DR2, Item 8, Proof of Publication" that has been filed via electronic submittal.