## 1 Q. DID THE COMPANY'S CALCULATION OF PAYROLL COSTS INCLUDE A 2 VACANCY FACTOR?

3 A. No, the Company states that no vacancy factor was used.4

4

## 5 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENT?

- As the Company has averaged 3.6% vacancies for the years 2020 2024, I recommend a reduction of 3.6% to payroll expense. This is a reduction of \$610,390 as shown on Exhibit JD-1, Schedule C-1. This reduces the forecasted test period revenue requirement by \$614,211 after the gross up for uncollectibles, regulatory assessment fees, and taxes which is shown in the Summary of Adjustments Table on page 5. I have also made corresponding adjustments to benefits expense and payroll taxes, shown on Schedules C-11 and C-12.
  - If the Commission rejects my reduction of 3.6% based on vacancies, I recommend a 6.05% reduction to payroll expense based on the Company's historical record of overbudgeting.

## **Incentive Compensation**

17

16

13

14

15

- Q. What amount of incentive compensation expense has the Company
   included in the forecasted test period?
- 20 A. The Company has included \$3,171,584 in the forecasted test period (\$1,867,075 21 for its Annual Performance Plan ("APP") and \$1,304,509 for its Long-Term 22 Performance Plan ("LTPP")).<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Kentucky-American's response to the Attorney General's First Request, No. 140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Kentucky-American's response to the Attorney General's First Request, No. 116.