COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	the	M	atter	Λf٠
			attei	171.

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF ITS ELECTRIC RATES AND APPROVAL OF CERTAIN REGULATORY AND ACCOUNTING TREATMENTS)) CASE NO. 2025-00113))
In the Matter of:	
ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF ITS ELECTRIC AND GAS RATES, AND APPROVAL OF CERTAIN REGULATORY AND ACCOUNTING TREATMENTS)) CASE NO. 2025-00114)

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY'S AND LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S JOINT MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY REGARDING STIPULATION MECHANISMS AND MILL CREEK 2 ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE

Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU") and Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") (collectively, the "Companies") hereby respectfully move for leave to file the written Joint Supplemental Testimony of Robert M. Conroy and Christopher M. Garrett and the exhibits thereto.

On October 20, 2025, the Companies filed a Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") reached by the majority of the parties to these proceedings. The Stipulation includes two tariff mechanisms: (1) a Generation Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause ("Adjustment Clause GCR"); and (2) a Sharing Mechanism Adjustment Clause ("Adjustment Clause SM").

The Companies also proposed new tariff mechanisms as part of the Stipulation and Recommendation in Case No. 2025-00045 (the "CPCN Case"). On October 28, 2025, the Commission issued an Order in the CPCN Case in which the Commission found that the Companies had not met their burden of proof regarding the proposed mechanisms in the CPCN Case regarding Mill Creek 6 and Mill Creek 2. Specifically, the Commission stated that it "did not have an opportunity to thoroughly investigate the proposals, and that LG&E/KU failed to provide substantial evidence that the proposed Mechanisms should be approved." The Commission also stated:

The Commission encourages LG&E/KU to provide more evidence in support of the Mill Creek 6 Mechanism and Mill Creek 2 Mechanism in a separate proceeding, allowing the Commission an opportunity to thoroughly investigate the proposals. In the event that LG&E/KU and/or other Parties anticipate introducing the Mill Creek 6 Mechanism and Mill Creek 2 Mechanism in LG&E/KU's pending rate cases in Case Nos. 2025-00113 and 2025-00114 as indicated in this proceeding, the Commission encourages LG&E/KU and any party to provide further evidence in support of the proposed mechanisms in those proceedings.²

¹ Electronic Application of Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Site Compatibility Certificates, Case No. 2025-00045, Order at 153-54 (Ky. PSC Oct. 28, 2025).

² *Id.* at 154 (emphasis added).

Given the Commission's concerns as stated in the Commission's October 28, 2025 Order in the CPCN case regarding the opportunity to review the proposed mechanisms, and the Commission's "encouragement" to provide further evidence specifically in these rate cases, the Companies have prepared the accompanying Supplemental Testimony with additional information regarding mechanisms. Naturally, the Commission's CPCN Order does not address the mechanisms proposed in these rate proceedings as part of the Stipulation, but because of the Commission's holding regarding mechanisms in the CPCN Case, the Supplemental Testimony provides additional information regarding the mechanisms proposed in the Stipulation in these rate proceedings (Adjustment Clause GCR and Adjustment Clause SM) and the Mill Creek 2 Adjustment Clause in the CPCN Case. The Supplemental Testimony and its attachments explain and provide the additional information, which consists of preliminary bill impact analyses and illustrative reporting forms related to these three mechanisms. It also consists of the proposed tariff sheets for the Mill Creek 2 Adjustment Clause. The Companies believe this additional information will be helpful to the Commission as it considers these mechanisms.³

WHEREFORE, Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company respectfully ask the Commission to grant the Companies leave to file the Joint Supplemental Testimony of Robert M. Conroy and Christopher M. Garrett, and the exhibits thereto, in the record of these proceedings.

³ For the Mill Creek 6 Adjustment Clause proposed in the CPCN Case, because costs are not proposed to be recovered through it until Mill Creek 6 enters service in 2031, it is not as time-sensitive as the Mill Creek 2 Adjustment Clause and the Companies will accept the Commission's invitation to provide more information about that mechanism "in a separate proceeding." Indeed, the Commission has opened a monitoring case for Mill Creek 6, Case No. 2025-00313, and the Companies anticipate providing additional information about the Mill Creek Adjustment Clause in that proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 31, 2025

Lindsey W. Ingram II I Stoll Keenon Ogden PLLC 300 West Vine Street, Suite 2100 Lexington, Kentucky 40507

Telephone: (859) 231-3000

Fax: (859) 253-1093 l.ingram@skofirm.com

Allyson K. Sturgeon Vice President and Deputy General Counsel – Regulatory Sara V. Judd Senior Counsel PPL Services Corporation 2701 Eastpoint Parkway Louisville, Kentucky 40223 Telephone: (502) 627-2088

Fax: (502) 627-3367 ASturgeon@pplweb.com SVJudd@pplweb.com

Counsel for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8 as modified by the Commission's Order of July 22, 2021 in Case No. 2020-00085 (Electronic Emergency Docket Related to the Novel Coronavirus COVID-19), this is to certify that the electronic filing has been transmitted to the Commission on October 31, 2025; and that there are currently no parties in this proceeding that the Commission has excused from participation by electronic means.

Counsel for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company

landsey W. Ing The