

**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:

Electronic Application Of Kentucky Power)	
Company For A Certificate Of Public Convenience)	
And Necessity To Replace and Upgrade Portions of)	Case No. 2024-00343
the Bellefonte Station In Boyd County, Kentucky)	
(Bellefonte Station Upgrade Project))	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

NICOLAS C. KOEHLER

ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
NICOLAS C. KOEHLER ON BEHALF OF
KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY**

CASE NO. 2024-00343

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>TESTIMONY INDEX</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION		1
II. BACKGROUND		1
III. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY		2
IV. PROJECT NEED AND SOLUTION.....		2
V. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT.....		6

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
NICOLAS C. KOEHLER ON BEHALF OF
KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY**

CASE NO. 2024-00343

I. INTRODUCTION

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Nicolas C. Koehler. My position is Director of East Transmission Planning for
3 American Electric Power Service Corporation (“AEPSC”). AEPSC supplies engineering,
4 financing, accounting, planning, advisory, and other services to the subsidiaries of the
5 American Electric Power (“AEP”) system, one of which is Kentucky Power Company (the
6 “Company”). My business address is 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

II. BACKGROUND

7 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**
8 **BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.**

9 A. I received a Bachelor of Science – Electrical Engineering degree from Ohio Northern
10 University in Ada, Ohio. In 2008, I joined AEP as a Planning Engineer where I advanced
11 through increasing levels of responsibility. I received my Professional Engineer license in
12 the state of Ohio in 2012 (license number 76967). In May 2019, I assumed my current
13 position.

14 **Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS DIRECTOR OF EAST**
15 **TRANSMISSION PLANNING?**

16 A. My responsibilities include organizing and managing all activities related to assessing the
17 adequacy of AEP's transmission network to meet the needs of its customers in a reliable,

1 safe, cost effective, and environmentally compatible manner. I participate in planning
2 activities with Kentucky Power to address overall system performance.

3 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE**
4 **KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION?**

5 A. Yes. I previously submitted testimony in support of three of Kentucky Power's previous
6 transmission Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CPCN") cases, Case No.
7 2020-00062, Case No. 2021-00346, and Case No. 2023-00040.

III. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

8 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

9 A. I am testifying in support of Kentucky Power's application for a CPCN authorizing
10 Kentucky Power to construct the Bellefonte Station Upgrade Project (the "Project") located
11 in Boyd County just northwest of Ashland. I will provide information related to the need
12 for the Project.

IV. PROJECT NEED AND SOLUTION

13 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NEED FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT.**

14 A. This Project is driven by baseline thermal and short circuit violations at the Bellefonte
15 Station identified by AEP and PJM during the 2026 Regional Transmission Expansion Plan
16 ("RTEP"), as well as supplemental asset renewal needs identified by AEP within the
17 Station. During the 2026 RTEP planning process, an N-1-1 violation was identified on the
18 station conductors ("risers") between 138/69 kV Transformer #3 and the 69kV causing a
19 thermal overload due to loss of the 138/69kV transformer and associated buses at Kenova
20 Station and the Bellefonte 138/69kV Transformer #2. These risers will need to be
21 upgraded. Also during the 2026 RTEP, six 69 kV breakers, C, G, I, Z, AB, and JJ, were

1 identified as overdutied. Addressing this baseline violation has the additional benefit of
2 upgrading these 1970's vintage oil-filled breakers, which are increasingly difficult and
3 expensive to maintain. After these upgrades are complete, some minor remote end
4 upgrades must also be completed at associated stations, Pleasant Street Station and Coalton
5 Station, to adjust for the upgrades.

6 For supplemental needs, the 138/69 kV Transformer #2 is 1970's vintage and has
7 nitrogen and oil leaks, along with failed fans, and it lacks high-side protection. The oil
8 filled 69kV circuit breakers H and T are 1960's vintage and are increasingly difficult and
9 expensive to maintain and will be upgraded as part of the Project. The 69kV capacitor bank
10 KK is installed on the Raceland 69kV line instead of the 69kV bus. The Company is also
11 using this opportunity to electrically reterminate the Raceland 69kV line from bus #2 to
12 bus #1 and the capacitor bank from the Raceland 69kV line to bus #1. After these upgrades
13 are complete, some minor remote end upgrades must also be completed at an associated
14 station, Raceland Station, to adjust for the upgrades.

15 The 34.5 kV yard is obsolete and no longer serves any customers and will be retired.
16 The two 138/34.5 kV Transformers, #1 and #5, are 1950's and 1960's vintage respectively
17 and have asset health concerns such as oil and nitrogen leaks and also will be retired. The
18 34.5kV circuit breakers E, K, M, and F are 1950's-1970's vintage and have asset
19 health concerns such as being oil filled without oil containment, and will also be retired.

20 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE PROJECT ADDRESSES THE NEEDS YOU**
21 **IDENTIFIED ABOVE.**

22 A. The baseline needs will be addressed on this Project by replacing the overloaded and
23 overdutied equipment. The risers on 138/69kV Transformer #3 are overloaded to 101%.

1 This Project will increase the rating of the risers. The 69kV circuit breakers C, G, I, Z, AB,
2 and JJ are overdutied to 115%. This Project will replace these circuit breakers with 40 kA
3 circuit breakers.

4 The remaining supplemental equipment condition needs will be addressed by
5 replacing 69kV circuit breakers H and T. The 138/69kV Transformer #2 will be replaced
6 and a 138kV circuit switcher will be installed. The 69kV line to Raceland will be relocated
7 from 69kV bus #2 to 69kV bus #1 and the 69kV capacitor bank KK will be moved from
8 the Raceland line to 69kV bus #1. The 34.5 kV yard and equipment will be retired since it
9 no longer serves any customers. This includes 138/34.5kV Transformer #5 and #1, and
10 34.5kV circuit breakers E, K, M, and F.

11 **Q. HOW MANY CUSTOMERS ARE SERVED BY THIS STATION IN THE AREA?**

12 A. Bellefonte Station currently serves two industrial customers and 3,570 distribution
13 customers. The industrial customers served from transmission have a peak load of
14 approximately 26.9 MW. The distribution customers served from Bellefonte Station have
15 a peak load of approximately 17.5 MW.

16 **Q. ARE THERE FUTURE PROJECTS ANTICIPATED FOR THIS STATION?**

17 A. If the CPCN requested in this Application is granted, the Company does not anticipate
18 further projects at the Bellefonte Station at this time.

19 **Q. IS THE DESIGNATION OF A PROJECT AS “BASELINE” OR**
20 **“SUPPLEMENTAL” INDICATIVE OF WHETHER THE PROJECT IS**
21 **NECESSARY OR HOW NECESSARY IT IS?**

22 A. No, it is not. The designation of a project as a Baseline or Supplemental is not indicative
23 of the level of, or absence of, need for the project. Instead, the designations simply reflect

1 that the project addresses different system reliability and resilience needs.

2 The criteria for designation as a Supplemental or Baseline Project are not mutually
3 exclusive, and a single project can be needed under either or both. Under the existing PJM
4 RTO framework, Transmission Owners retain planning responsibility for managing the
5 maintenance and replacement of their transmission assets and planning of their local
6 transmission systems.

7 PJM planning criteria addresses the expansion and enhancement of transmission
8 facilities required to meet national and regional planning criteria. Supplemental Projects
9 improve or preserve a PJM Transmission Owner's ability to provide reliable service to its
10 customers, consistent with its obligation to serve, and are grounded in Good Utility
11 Practice.

12 **Q. DO ANY OF THE COMPONENTS OF THE PROJECT RESULT IN WASTEFUL**
13 **DUPLICATION?**

14 A. No. All components, whether baseline or supplemental, of the proposed Project are
15 required to fully address the needs described above. By performing the work inside the
16 existing station location to better take advantage of space and available outages, the
17 Company is proposing to move forward with the most cost-effective solution to address
18 the needs. The baseline components are required to address planning criteria violations at
19 the Station to avoid the risk of damaging equipment and/or not being able to serve load
20 (load drop) as a means to address thermal and short circuit issues as identified in the 2026
21 RTEP analysis. The supplemental components are needed to address deteriorating
22 infrastructure concerns that could potentially lead to failures and extended outages in the
23 future if not addressed. By performing all this work together, the Company is better able

1 to utilize available resources to complete the work instead of using a piecemeal approach
2 to replacement.

V. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT

3 **Q. WHAT ELECTRICAL ALTERNATIVES WERE EVALUATED BY THE**
4 **COMPANY?**

5 A. The Project Alternative would consist of rebuilding and relocating the existing Bellefonte
6 69kV Station facilities and seven transmission lines, plus two transformer feeds to the
7 existing Bellefonte Station 34kV yard located to the north-west of the existing 69kV yard
8 (see Exhibit 6). Instead the Proposed Project will upgrade the existing Bellefonte 69kV
9 Station facilities generally in place. Both the Proposed Project and the project alternative
10 require completing the proposed supplemental work at the existing Bellefonte 138kV
11 Station yard and retiring the obsolete 34kV equipment. The Project Alternative was
12 dismissed early since (i) it is significantly more work and cost; (ii) it would result in
13 wasteful duplication; and (iii) it does not provide additional benefits to justify the additional
14 cost. The cost of the alternative is \$49.8 million as compared to the Proposed Project
15 estimate of \$26.3 million. Please see the Direct Testimony of Company Witness Wolfram
16 for a breakdown of the estimated cost of the Proposed Project.

17 **Q. FROM AN ELECTRICAL PERSPECTIVE, IS THE ALTERNATIVE**
18 **PREFERABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT?**

19 A. While there might be slight electrical benefits to constructing specifically the ring bus
20 configuration from the alternative, the alternative configuration would not address any
21 current needs beyond those addressed by the Proposed Project and is therefore not presently
22 justified given the significant cost difference. As such, the Project represents the least-

1 cost, most reasonable option to address the baseline and supplemental needs identified
2 above.

3 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

4 A. Yes, it does.

**Koehler Verification Form.doc**

DocVerify ID: 2A548A1A-0F09-4FFE-8A2D-208C5B0AEC3A
Created: October 23, 2024 09:10:37 -8:00
Pages: 1
Remote Notary: Yes / State: KY

This document is a DocVerify VeriVaulted protected version of the document named above. It was created by a notary or on the behalf of a notary, and it is also a DocVerify E-Sign document, which means this document was created for the purposes of Electronic Signatures and/or Electronic Notary. Tampered or altered documents can be easily verified and validated with the DocVerify veriCheck system. This remote online notarization involved the use of communication technology.

Go to www.docverify.com at any time to verify or validate the authenticity and integrity of this or any other DocVerify VeriVaulted document.

E-Signature Summary**E-Signature 1: Nicolas C Koehler (NCK)**

October 30, 2024 07:00:17 -8:00 [082CF8D12602] [167.239.221.101]
nckoehler@aep.com (Principal) (Personally Known)

E-Signature Notary: Marilyn Michelle Caldwell (MMC)

October 30, 2024 07:00:17 -8:00 [DC67762AFE39] [167.239.221.105]
mmcaldwell@aep.com
I, Marilyn Michelle Caldwell, did witness the participants named above electronically sign this document.



VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Nicolas C. Koehler, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is the Director of East Transmission Planning for American Electric Power, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief after reasonable inquiry.

Nicolas C Koehler

Nicolas C. Koehler

Commonwealth of Kentucky)
)
County of Boyd)

Case No. 2024-00343

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Nicolas C. Koehler, on October 30, 2024.

Marilyn Michelle Caldwell

Notary Public

MARILYN MICHELLE CALDWELL
ONLINE NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE AT LARGE KENTUCKY
Commission # KYNP71841
My Commission Expires May 05, 2027

My Commission Expires May 5, 2027

Notarial act performed by audio-visual communication

Notary ID Number KYNP71841