

KyPSC Case No. 2023-0413
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DATA REQUEST</u>	<u>WITNESS</u>	<u>TAB NO.</u>
STAFF-DR-02-001	Jacob Colley.....	1
STAFF-DR-02-002	Bruce Sailers	2

Duke Energy Kentucky
Case No. 2023-00413
STAFF's Second Set Data Requests
Date Received: February 21, 2024

STAFF-DR-02-001

REQUEST:

Refer to Duke Kentucky's response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information, Item 1, which states that there are 110 customers that have applied for net metering service whose eligible generating facility has not yet been put into place.

- a. Provide how many of the 110 customers have had their application for net metering service approved by Duke Kentucky.
- b. Provide the number of net metering applications received by Duke Kentucky since public notice of this application was made and indicate how many of those have been approved.
- c. Provide the number of applications for additional net metering facilities received by Duke Kentucky since public notice of this application was made and how many of those have been approved.

RESPONSE:

a. From the 110 applications pending, 13 applications have been approved at this time. The reasons for non-approval of applications include, but are not limited to, applications still in progress, applications pending customer response, and applications open due to customer inactivity.

b. Since the notification date of December 11, 2023 through February 28, 2024, the Renewable Service Center has received a total of 28 applications; 7 of the 28 applications have already been approved.

c. The data is not available. The Company does not separately track applications required due to expansion of a facility.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Jacob Colley

Duke Energy Kentucky
Case No. 2023-00413
STAFF's Second Set Data Requests
Date Received: February 21, 2024

STAFF-DR-02-002

REQUEST:

Refer to Duke Kentucky's response to the Attorney General's First Request for Information, Item 1, Attachment 1. For the "Net Metering II Bill," explain why the avoided cost excess generation credit would be netted against the HEA Charge and the Rider ESM charge, neither of which is a per kWh charge.

RESPONSE:

The Company reviewed the tariff sheets for Rate RS and Rate DS and proposed to remain consistent with the minimum charge as stated on the tariff sheets. The minimum charge is the customer charge. However, subject to the Commission's preference, the Company would not object to applying the ACEGC credits only to energy-based charges (i.e., \$/kWh charges) for base rate and rider charges.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Bruce L. Sailors