

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC.)	
FOR AN ADJUSTMENT TO RIDER NM RATES AND)	CASE NO.
FOR TARIFF APPROVAL)	2023-00413

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
MATTHEW KALEMBA
ON BEHALF OF
DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC.

December 11, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II. DISCUSSION	2
III. CONCLUSION	8

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Matthew Kalemba, and my business address is 525 South Tryon Street,
3 Charlotte, North Carolina.

4 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5 A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC (DEBS) as Managing
6 Director IRP and Analytics – Midwest for Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. (Duke
7 Energy Kentucky or the Company) and Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. DEBS provides
8 various administrative and other services to Duke Energy Kentucky and other
9 affiliated companies of Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy).

10 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND**
11 **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

12 A. I received a Bachelor of Science in Chemical Engineering from North Carolina
13 State University in 2000 and a Master of Business Administration from Lake Forest
14 Graduate School of Management in Chicago in 2012. From 2000 to 2014, I held
15 various roles in the petroleum refining and petrochemical industry including
16 process engineering, feedstock and supply chain management, and short-term, mid-
17 term, and long-term strategy development. I joined Duke Energy in 2014 as an
18 analyst in the Carolinas Integrated Resource Planning team and became Director of
19 Distributed Energy Technologies Planning and Forecasting in March of 2020. In
20 March of 2023, I became Managing Director IRP & Analytics for Duke Energy's
21 Midwest regulated utilities.

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS MANAGING**
2 **DIRECTOR INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLANNING AND ANALYTICS.**

3 A. I oversee the development of the long-term resource plans for the Company's
4 Midwest utilities. The overriding objective of those plans is to provide customers
5 with a generating system that is mindful of costs and risks, is increasingly diverse
6 and environmentally sustainable.

7 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE KENTUCKY**
8 **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION?**

9 A. Yes.

10 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THESE**
11 **PROCEEDINGS?**

12 A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain and provide support for certain prices,
13 cost data, and assumptions, as detailed below, that serve as starting points and/or
14 inputs for the calculations performed by Duke Energy Kentucky witness Bruce L.
15 Sailors in his Direct Testimony.

II. DISCUSSION

16 **Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE INTEGRATED RESOURCE**
17 **PLANNING PROCESS FOR DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY?**

18 A. Yes. Duke Energy Kentucky files its integrated resource plan (IRP) approximately
19 every three years. The Company filed its last IRP with the Commission in Case No.
20 2021-00245. Although this IRP provided a snapshot of Duke Energy Kentucky's
21 resource planning at that point in time, IRP planning is a dynamic process that is
22 periodically updated.

1 **Q. PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE IRP PLANNING PROCESS.**

2 A. The IRP planning process assesses various supply-side, demand-side and emission
3 compliance alternatives to develop a long-term, cost-effective portfolio to provide
4 customers with reliable service at reasonable costs. The IRP planning process
5 involves various assumptions such as future energy prices, future environmental
6 compliance requirements and reliability constraints. Among other things, the
7 Company develops a number of forecasts to generate inputs for the IRP planning
8 process.

9 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AND DESCRIBE THE PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING**
10 **AVOIDED CAPACITY, ENERGY AND ANCILLARY SERVICES**
11 **FORECASTS.**

12 A. The Company uses the Peaker Methodology to develop avoided capacity and
13 avoided energy values. This methodology assumes that when a utility's generating
14 system, or in this case the PJM market, is operating at equilibrium, the installed
15 fixed capacity cost of a simple-cycle combustion turbine (CT) generating unit (a
16 "peaker") plus the variable marginal energy cost of running the system will produce
17 a reasonable proxy for the marginal capacity and energy costs that the utility avoids
18 (*i.e.*, "avoided costs") from power generated from net energy metered ("NEM")
19 resources. Forecasts for avoided energy, capacity, and ancillary services are derived
20 from the models used in the development of the IRP:

- 21 • Avoided energy costs represent an estimate of the system's marginal
22 variable operating costs that are avoided and otherwise would have been
23 incurred by the utility but for the addition of another resource, in this case

1 NEM resources. These costs are the company's forecast of the PJM hourly
2 Locational Marginal Prices (LMPs). This power price forecast is created
3 by modeling the Eastern Interconnection and includes a data base of
4 existing units, planned retirements and additions as well as fuel prices,
5 reagent costs and emission allowances.

6 • Avoided Capacity Costs represent fixed costs associated with the
7 construction, financing and staffing of a Combustion Turbine facility.
8 These fixed costs are not dependent on the actual use of the CT but rather
9 the costs to build the CT and have it available to meet customer demand.

10 • Avoided Ancillary Services are an output of the Eastern interconnect
11 modeling done to develop avoided energy prices.

12 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AND DESCRIBE IN DETAIL HOW THE**
13 **FORECASTED LMPs USED IN MR. SAILERS' CALCULATIONS WERE**
14 **CALCULATED.**

15 A. Forecasted LMPs represent the hourly energy prices at which Duke Energy
16 Kentucky can purchase or sell power into the broader PJM marketplace. These
17 hourly prices are calculated through modeling in Anchor Power Solutions
18 Encompass power planning software. The Encompass model allows the Company
19 to model the entire Eastern Interconnection which includes nearly the entirety of
20 the central and eastern United States and Canada. Anchor Power Solutions includes
21 a database of existing units, planned retirements, and generation additions, as well
22 as fuel prices, reagent costs, emission allowances, and production and investment
23 tax credits (i.e., PTC and ITC). In order to calculate the LMPs, Duke Energy

1 Kentucky first uses Encompass’s capacity expansion model to determine the
2 optimized least cost mix of resources for the entire Eastern Interconnection based
3 on the inputs in the database. Then, the Company uses Encompass’s production
4 cost model to dispatch the optimized mix of resources on an hourly basis. The
5 forecasted LMPs represent the hourly dispatch price of the marginal unit in PJM
6 based on the production cost model results. These LMPs incorporate anticipated
7 environmental costs and impacts of the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 (*i.e.*, the
8 IRA).

9 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AND DESCRIBE IN DETAIL HOW THE**
10 **FORECASTED LMPS INCORPORATE ANTICIPATED**
11 **ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS.**

12 A. Environmental costs include Sulfur Oxide (SOx) and Nitrogen Oxide (NOx)
13 allowance costs. These allowance costs for years 2023 through 2032 are provided
14 to Duke Energy by a third party. Beyond 2032, the costs are escalated at 2.0%
15 annually. The allowance costs are included in the dispatch price for fossil-fuel fired
16 generators in the Eastern Interconnection in the Encompass, and they are reflected
17 in the LMPs as the marginal dispatch price that Duke Energy Kentucky can
18 purchase or sell power from/to the PJM marketplace. As explained below, the
19 forecasted LMPs incorporate the impacts of the IRA. The incentives included in the
20 IRA drive significant levels of renewable and other non-CO2 emitting resource
21 development in the expansion plan. The IRA acts like a “carrot” for reducing CO2
22 emissions. The Company did not include a Carbon Tax in the development of the

1 LMPs because the IRA is already achieving the same outcomes that a Carbon Tax
2 would promote.

3 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AND DESCRIBE IN DETAIL HOW THE**
4 **FORECASTED LMPS INCORPORATE THE ANTICIPATED IMPACTS**
5 **OF THE IRA.**

6 A. The forecasted LMPs incorporate the anticipated impacts of the IRA in two ways.
7 First, the expansion plan model in Encompass calculates the optimized least cost
8 mix of resources based on the inputs provided to the model. Those inputs include
9 the IRA tax benefits including ITCs for solar and storage resources and PTCs for
10 renewable and nuclear resources that meet the requirements of the IRA. The
11 optimized least cost mix of resources would be different had the IRA benefits not
12 been included in the capacity expansion model. Then, when the optimized mix of
13 resources is modeled in the production cost model, the dispatch price of resources
14 includes the PTC benefits for the resources that are eligible for those tax credits
15 under the IRA. The inclusion of the PTC in the production cost model can impact
16 the order resources are dispatched. This change in dispatch order can lead to a
17 different marginal unit with a different marginal dispatch price had the IRA benefits
18 not been included in the model.

1 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AND DESCRIBE IN DETAIL HOW THE COST OF A**
2 **COMBUSTION TURBINE AND FIXED OPERATIONS AND**
3 **MAINTENANCE COSTS USED IN MR. SAILERS' CALCULATIONS**
4 **WERE CALCULATED.**

5 A. As mentioned previously, the Peaker Method assumes that a distributed resource
6 added to the system allows for a marginal resource to be avoided. Duke Energy
7 Kentucky assumes that the avoided marginal resource is a natural gas fired CT. The
8 cost to construct a simple-cycle CT is developed using overnight construction costs
9 provided by a third-party consultant that, along with the Company's financing
10 costs, represent the total cost to construct a CT. The fixed operations and
11 maintenance costs, such as staffing costs, are also provided by the third-party
12 consultant for resources specific to the Duke Energy Kentucky service territory.
13 This information is provided to Mr. Sailers to develop the avoided capacity rate
14 shown in this filing.

15 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN AND DESCRIBE IN DETAIL HOW THE**
16 **FORECASTED PRICES FOR ANCILLARY SERVICES USED IN MR.**
17 **SAILERS' CALCULATIONS WERE CALCULATED.**

18 A. The Horizons Energy database within the Encompass model includes ancillary
19 services price forecasts for contingency/operating reserves and spinning reserves.
20 These values are provided to Mr. Sailers for his calculations. These forecasts also
21 have an impact on the LMP prices. In a similar manner to how the IRA impacts the
22 dispatch order of resources in the production cost model, the ancillary services
23 forecast can also influence how resources dispatch in the model. This change in

1 dispatch order can lead to a different marginal unit with a different marginal
2 dispatch price had prices for ancillary services not been included in the model.

III. CONCLUSION

3 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

4 A. Yes.


VERIFICATION

south ^(AE)
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)
York ^(AE)) SS:
COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG)

The undersigned, Matt Kalemba, Managing Director IRP and Analytics - Midwest, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony and that it is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.


Matt Kalemba Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Matt Kalemba on this 11th day of April, 2023.


NOTARY PUBLIC



My Commission Expires: August 2, 2033