BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. PH-1: Refer to BREC's response to Joint Intervenors' First

Request for Information, Item 9, Attachment (RFP Shortlisted Proposal Summary).

Identify what type of thermal resources were bid as included in the RFP a.

Shortlisted Proposal Summary.

b. Provide any documents reflecting evaluation of all-source RFP bids prior to

passage of the Inflation Reduction Act.

RESPONSE:

Thermal resource types bid and shortlisted in the RFP included natural gas-fired a.

simple cycle and combined cycle technologies.

Please see the attached CONFIDENTIAL file identifying the shortlisted All-Source b.

RFP bids and reflecting pricing both prior to and following the enactment of the Inflation

Reduction Act. The attachment is filed subject to a motion for confidential treatment.

Witness: John Christensen (1898 & Co.)

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-1

Witness: John Christensen

PSC PH-1 ATTACHMENT

This attachment, in its entirety, has been submitted under seal with an accompanying request for confidential treatment.

ELECTRONIC 2023 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. PH-2: Refer to Hearing Testimony (HVT) of Jason Burden, HVT

at 11:09:42. Explain what additional maintenance would be needed if the hypothetical Green

Station Natural Gas Combined Cycle (NGCC) unit was used consistently for energy generation

as opposed to fulfilling MISO capacity obligations for the integrated resource planning period.

RESPONSE: The projected maintenance included in the IRP modeling for the

hypothetical self-build NGCC reflected the unit's consistent use for energy generation throughout

the year. Please see the CONFIDENTIAL "BREC IRP Master Assumptions Workbook,"

previously provided as a confidential attachment to Big Rivers' Response to Kentuckians for the

Commonwealth/Kentucky Resources Council Request No. 1-1.

However, the referenced Hearing Testimony of Jason Burden was in response to a line of

questions related to Green Station's existing natural gas-fired units. At HVT 11:09:42, Mr. Burden

testified that the existing Green Station units would require "a lot different maintenance routines"

subsequent to 2029, "if we are going to depend on it like we do Wilson." The IRP modeling

assumptions include these additional post-2029 maintenance costs, including turbine and boiler

outages expenses, as well as repairs to other major equipment. Please see the CONFIDENTIAL

"BREC IRP Master Assumptions Workbook," previously provided as a confidential attachment to

Big Rivers' response to Kentuckians for the Commonwealth/Kentucky Resources Council Request

No. 1-1. The idea that the existing Green Station units could continue beyond 2029 corresponds

Case No. 2023-00310

Response to PSC PH-2

Witness: Jason Burden

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

to Big Rivers' representations in Case No. 2021-00079 that, "[i]f economical, the useful life of the

Green units could be extended, likely to 2043."1

With respect to Green Station maintenance expenses estimated through 2029, the IRP

modeling reflects the projected use of the existing Green units as a short-term capacity resource

projected to be dispatched less than ten percent of the time.² If the existing Green Station units

generate significantly more than projected in the coming years, then the maintenance routine

would likewise need to change, conceivably requiring the acceleration of the projected post-2029

maintenance routines described above.

Witness: Jason Burden

¹ In the Matter of: Electronic Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for a Certificate of Public

Convenience and Necessity Authorizing the Conversion of the Green Station Units to Natural Gas-Fired Units and an Order Approving the Establishment of a Regulatory Asset, Case No. 2021-00079, Big Rivers' Response to Item No. 7 of the Commission Staff's First Request for Information. See also id., Big Rivers' Response to Item No. 17 of the

Commission Staff's First Request for Information ("Assuming the Green converted units operate based upon the hours submitted within the Big Rivers' model, and parts are available for continuous maintenance, its expected lifespan

exceeds the full planning period covered by Big Rivers' 2020 IRP.").

² In the Matter of: Electronic Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing the Conversion of the Green Station Units to Natural Gas-Fired Units and an

Order Approving the Establishment of a Regulatory Asset, Case No. 2021-00079, Application at Exhibit A, Direct Testimony of Michael T. Pullen, at page 17, ("Based on the energy market price projections in Big Rivers' 2020 IRP, the conversion of Green Station to natural gas will not substantially increase the number of hours that Green Station runs. The conversion project is needed to satisfy Big Rivers' projected capacity needs, but Big Rivers does not have

a projected energy deficit"). See also id., Big Rivers' Response to Attorney General's Request No. 2-2 ("The Green units (NG) will be offered into MISO economic commit. The Green units being dispatched less than ten percent of the time compared to the current thirty percent, would imply that the MISO market is lower than the Green units'

dispatch costs and, therefore, making it beneficial for purchase load from MISO.").

Case No. 2023-00310

Response to PSC PH-2 Witness: Jason Burden

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. PH-3: Refer to Hearing Testimony of Dr. Talina Matthews, HVT

at 12:04:20. Provide a calculation of the estimated cost for carbon capture (\$4 billion) at Wilson

Station and provide any documentation generated or relied upon in the calculation or decision-

making process that BREC used to decide not to adopt potential carbon capture technology.

RESPONSE: The projected cost of the project was estimated based on the costs of other

known projects pursuing similar technologies. (See IRP § 7.3.2 at p. 145 ("Specific [a]ssumptions

for the ACR Portfolio were developed using the latest projections from EIA's public technology

assessment, developed by Sargent & Lundy, along with EPA estimates for carbon capture

technologies.²").) Notably, those other projects are not anticipated to remove the 90% of carbon

required by the NewEra program. The inability to purchase a system today to meet the high

percentage capture requirements, along with the very tight timeline and the possibility of having

to pay back any funding received if NewERA requirements are not met, presented risks too great

for a generation & transmission cooperative of Big Rivers' size. Given this risk to our Member-

¹ See, https://www.eia.gov/analysis/studies/powerplants/capitalcost/pdf/capital cost AEO2020.pdf,

previously referenced in Big Rivers' Response to Item No. 42 of the Joint Intervenors' First Request for

Information.

² See, https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-03/Attachment%206-

1%20CO2%20Reduction%20Retrofit%20Cost%20Development%20Methodology%20in%20EPA%20Platform%20 v6%20Post-IRA%202022%20Reference%20Case.pdf, previously referenced in Big Rivers' Response to Item No.

21 of the Joint Intervenors' Supplemental Request for Information.

Case No. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Owners, the Big Rivers Board of Directors made the determination not to move forward with this funding opportunity.

Witness: Talina R. Mathews

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-3 Witness: Talina R. Mathews Page **2** of **2**

ELECTRONIC 2023 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. PH-4: Refer to BREC's response to Commission Staff's First

Request for Information, Item 9 Table, the IRP, Table 7.1.6(a) page 132 and confidential

Table 7.4.1(a), page 152.

a. Confirm that the member peak CP used in IRP Table 7.1.6(a) is in part derived

by subtracting the BREC CP to MISO CP Coincidence Factor used in the table

provided in BREC's response to Staffs First Request, Item 9.

b. Explain whether the Base Case Member Peak from 7.1.6(a) in the table is the

same peak used when calculating the figures in Table 7.4.1(a). If not, explain what data was used to construct the table. If yes, explain what effect including

the BREC CP to MISO CP factor would have on capacity surplus or deficits.

RESPONSE:

a. Big Rivers' peak coincident to MISO's peak, including transmission losses, is

shown in the table provided in Big Rivers' response to PSC Request No. 1-9 as "Base Case

Member Peak." Big Rivers' System Coincident Peak with Transmission Losses is shown in that

table as "Total Annual Big Rivers CP." To calculate the Base Case Member Peak, which is the

value used in IRP Table 7.1.6(a), the Coincident Peak Factor ("BREC CP to MISO CP Factor"),

is applied to Big Rivers' System Coincident Peak with Transmission Losses ("Total Annual Big

Rivers CP"). To illustrate: In 2024, the Big Rivers' System Coincident Peak with Transmission

Losses is 839,930 MWs, and the BREC CP to MISO CP Factor is 94.98%. Consequently, the

Base Case Member Peak would be 797,766 MWs (839,930 x .9498).

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-4

Witness: Terry Wright, Jr.

ELECTRONIC 2023 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

b. The values used in IRP Table 7.4.1(a) are the BREC Delivered Peak and the BREC

Delivered Peak + Reserve Margin. The BREC Delivered Peak is almost identical (small rounding

variances) to the Base Case Member Peak shown in IRP Table 7.1.6(a). The BREC Delivered

Peak + Reserve Margin is equivalent to the Base Case Member Peak (BREC's Coincident Peak to

MISO, including Transmission Losses) plus Big Rivers' MISO Planning Reserve Margin

Requirement. The BREC CP to MISO CP factor reduces Big Rivers' capacity requirement as

BREC's peak does not always occur at the same time as the MISO peak.

Witness: Terry Wright Jr.

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-4

Witness: Terry Wright, Jr.

Page 2 of 2

> BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. PH-5: Refer to Hearing Testimony of John Christensen, HVT at

09:35:27. Provide a calculation demonstrating how BREC set its desired level of capacity compared

to reserve margins and provide any documentation generated or relied upon in the calculation

or decision-making process that BREC used to set capacity levels.

RESPONSE: The desired level of capacity reflected in the IRP modeling was Big Rivers'

Seasonal Peak plus Seasonal Planning Reserve Margin.

Witness: John Christensen (1898 & Co.)

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-5

Witness: John Christensen

ELECTRONIC 2023 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. PH-6: Refer to Hearing Testimony of John Christensen, HVT at

09:57:45.

a. Explain how capital environmental compliance costs were modeled for thermal

resources. If there were multiple components to the capital costs, provide a

breakdown of the individual components.

b. Explain what the model assumes as a proxy or proxies for future environment

compliance capital costs. Provide any inputs or data related to these assumptions.

RESPONSE:

a. Planned capital environmental compliance costs were modeled for Big Rivers'

thermal resources. See the CONFIDENTIAL "BREC IRP Master Assumptions Workbook,"

previously provided as a confidential attachment to Big Rivers' response to Kentuckians for the

Commonwealth/Kentucky Resources Council Request No. 1-1. For example, the \$16.6 million of

capital costs used to complete the Wilson flue gas desulfurizer ("FGD") was included in the

modeling and is reflected in the workbook as an "Environmental Capital Cost." Other planned

capital costs related to environmental compliance that are not tracked separately are included in

the "Routine Capital Cost" or "Outage Capital Cost" figures.

b. The modeling conducted as part of Big Rivers' IRP included carbon adders in

multiple scenarios to serve as a proxy for future costs, including capital environmental compliance

costs that are presently unknown in connection with projects not yet identified or planned. The

inputs for the carbon adders were previously provided in the CONFIDENTIAL "BREC IRP

Case No. 2023-00310

Response to PSC PH-6

Witness: Christopher A. Warren

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Master Assumptions Workbook," please see Big Rivers' response to Kentuckians for the Commonwealth/Kentucky Resources Council Request No. 1-1.

Witness: Christopher A. Warren

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-6 Witness: Christopher A. Warren Page **2** of **2**

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2023-00310

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S

POST-HEARING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. PH-7:

Refer to IRP confidential Table 7.3.2(a) page 146.

Explain why the capacity penalty for the NGCC is significantly lower than for a.

Wilson Station.

b. Explain why the NGCC capital cost is significantly higher than for the

Wilson station.

RESPONSE:

The capacity reduction applied to the NGCC was computed based on an AEO

technology report for a 1x1 CC 418 MW (net) unit. Post CCS installation, the maximum capacity of

the unit was reduced to 377 MW (net). This reduction in capacity, as a percentage, was used to adjust

the capacity of the BREC NGCC in the model. The capacity reduction applied to Wilson Station was

derived from an EPA study on CCS technology implementation for the facility itself. The input metrics

were based on the best available data at the time of filing.

b. As described in subpart a., above, the information provided with respect to each

resource is derived from a unique data source. Additionally, costs were estimated on a per kW basis,

resulting in different overall capital costs for facilities differing in size. The input metrics were based

on the best available data at the time of filing.

 $^{
m l}$ Capital Cost and Performance Characteristic Estimates for Utility Scale Electric Power Generating Technologies, US Energy Information Administration (Feb. 2020),

https://www.eia.gov/analysis/studies/powerplants/capitalcost/pdf/capital cost AEO2020.pdf

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-7

Witness: John Christensen

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Witness: John Christensen (1898 & Co.)

Case No. 2023-00310 Response to PSC PH-7 Witness: John Christensen Page 2 of 2

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

I, John Christensen, verify, state, and affirm that the information request response	S
filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to	0
the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry	

John Christensen Senior Project Manager Burns & McDonnell Engineering Co., Inc.

STATE OF Minnesota) ss: COUNTY OF St. Louis

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by John Christensen on this the day of June, 2024.

My commission expires: 01-31-2027

STAR C. BICKFORD Notary Public-Minnesota My Commission Expires Jan 31, 2027

Sar Chickford
Notary Public
Notary ID: 9699 22300036

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

I, Jason Burden, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

	Jason Burden Director of Production Services Big Rivers Electric Corporation	
STATE OF KENTUCKY)	
COUNTY OF DAVIESS) ss:)	
		104

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Jason Burden on this the day of June, 2024.

My commission expires: Othber 31, 2024

Notary Public

Notary ID: KYNP16841

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

I, Terry Wright, Jr., verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

	Terry Wright, Jr. VP of Energy Services Big Rivers Electric Corporation
STATE OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF DAVIESS) ss:)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Terry Wright, Jr. on this the day of June, 2024.

My commission expires: Uctober 31, 2024

Notary Public

Notary ID: NOTARY ID:

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

I, Talina R. Mathews, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Talina R. Mathews
Chief Financial Officer
Big Rivers Electric Corporation

STATE OF	KENTUCKY)
) ss
COUNTY O	F DAVIESS)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Talina R. Mathews on this the day of June, 2024.

My commission expires: October 31, 2024

Notary Public

Notary ID: KYNP16841

BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S POST-HEARING REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

I, Christopher A. Warren, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Christopher A. Warren

Executive Director of Budgeting, Planning

and Forecasting

Big Rivers Electric Corporation

STATE OF KENTUCKY) ss: COUNTY OF DAVIESS)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Christopher A. Warren on this the day of June, 2024.

My commission expires: Utby 31, 2024

Notary Public

Notary ID: KYNP10841