COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC 2022 INTEGRATED) CASE NO.
RESOURCE PLANNING REPORT OF) 2023-00092
KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY)

* *

Transcript of June 12, 2024, hearing before Chairman Kent Chandler at the Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615.

LAURA J. KOGUT, RMR, CRR, CRC

JESSICA MYERS, RPR

McLendon-Kogut Reporting Service, LLC
2525 Nelson Miller Parkway, Suite 204

Louisville, Kentucky 40223

(502) 585-5634

lkogut@mclendon-kogut.com

www.mclendon-kogut.com

			2
1	CONTENTS		
2		Page	
3	Appearances	5	
4	Preliminary Matters	7	
5	Testimony of GARY D. SPITZNOGLE		
_	Direct Examination by Mr. Gish	12	
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Gary Cross-Examination by Mr. van Zyl	13 62	
7	Closs-Examinación by Mr. Van Zyr	02	
·	Testimony of BRIAN K. WEST		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Glass	72	
0	Cross-Examination by Mr. West	73	
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kurtz Cross-Examination by Mr. Cmar	84 98	
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. van Zyl	114	
	Examination by Vice Chair Hatton	128	
11	Examination by Commissioner Regan	133	
1 0	Examination by Chairman Chandler	135	
12	Redirect Examination by Ms. Glass	164	
13	Testimony of REID NEWMAN Direct Examination by Mr. Gish	167	
14	Cross-Examination by Ms. Lochan	168	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bellamy	171	
15			
1 (Testimony of JEFFREY HUBER	1 0 1	
16	Direct Examination by Ms. Glass Cross-Examination by Ms. Lochan	181 182	
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. van Zyl	186	
18	Testimony of GREGORY SOLLER		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Garcia-Santana	188	
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kurtz	190	
0.0	Cross-Examination by Ms. Legge	216	
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Bellamy Examination by Chairman Chandler	254 299	
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Garcia-Santana	309	
22	Testimony of THOMAS HARATYM		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Garcia-Santana	318	
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. West	319	
O 4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kurtz	330	
24	Cross-Examination by Ms. Legge Cross-Examination by Mr. Bellamy	332 339	
25	Examination by Chairman Chandler	349	
	-		

		3
1	CONTENTS (Continued)	
2	(concernada)	
3	Testimony of STEPHEN BLANKENSHIP	
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Glass 360	
5	Testimony of KAMRAN ALI Direct Examination by Mr. Garcia-Santana 362	
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kurtz 363 Cross-Examination by Mr. Gary 366	
7	Examination by Chairman Chandler 368	
8	Testimony of KELLY PEARCE Direct Examination by Mr. Gish 398	
9	Examination by Chairman Chandler 403	
	Testimony of ALEX VAUGHN	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Gish 413 Examination by Chairman Chandler 414	
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gish 422	
12	* * *	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		\neg
		4
1	EXHIBITS	
2		
3	JI Exhibit 1 62	
4	JI Exhibit 2 62 JI Exhibit 3 62	
5	JI Exhibit 4 62 JI Exhibit 5 62 JI Exhibit 6 62	
6		
7	KIUC Exhibit 198KIUC Exhibit 298	
8		
9	* * *	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
5
 1
                          APPEARANCES
 2
 3
     FOR KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY:
     Ms. Katie M. Glass.
     Stites & Harbison PLLC
 4
     421 West Main Street
     P.O. Box 634
 5
     Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0634
     (502) 223-3477
 6
     kglass@stites.com
 7
     Mr. Kenneth J. Gish, Jr.
 8
     Stites & Harbison PLLC
     250 West Main Street, Suite 2300
 9
     Lexington, Kentucky 40507-1758
     (859) 226-2300
10
     kqish@stites.com
     Mr. Hector H. Garcia-Santana
11
     American Electric Power Service Corporation
12
     1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
     Columbus, Ohio 43215
     (614) 716-3410
13
     hgarcial@aep.com
14
     FOR KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC.:
     Mr. Michael L. Kurtz
15
     Mr. Jody Kyler Cohn
     Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
16
     36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
17
     Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
     (513) 421-2255
18
     mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
     jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com
19
     FOR OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:
20
     Mr. J. Michael West
     Assistant Attorneys General
     Office for Rate Intervention
21
     700 Capital Avenue, Suite 20
     Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
22
     (502) 696-5300
23
     michael.west@ky.gov
24
2.5
```

```
6
 1
                          APPEARANCES
                          (Continued)
 2
 3
     FOR JOINT INTERVENORS:
     Mr. Byron Gary
     Mr. Thomas "Thom" Cmar
 4
     Ms. Hema Lochan
 5
     Ms. Melissa Legge
     Kentucky Resources Council
 6
     P.O. Box 1070
     Frankfort, Kentucky 40602
 7
     (502) 875-2428
    byron@kyrc.org
 8
     FOR LS POWER DEVELOPMENT, LLC:
 9
     Ms. Brittany Hayes Koenig
     Honaker Law Office PLLC
10
     1795 Alysheba Way, Suite 6202
     Lexington, Kentucky 40509
     (859) 368-8803
11
     brittany@hloky.com
12
     FOR OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:
13
     Mr. Benjamin Bellamy
     Mr. Jurgens van Zyl
     Assistant Attorney General
14
     Office for Rate Intervention
15
     700 Capital Avenue, Suite 20
     Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
16
     ALSO PRESENT:
17
     Ms. Candace Sacre, PSC Court Reporter
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (Hearing commenced at 9:09 a.m.) 2 MS. SACRE: You're on, Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We are on the record in 4 Case Number 2023-00092, the Electronic 2022 5 Integrated Resource Planning Report of Kentucky 6 Power Company. My name is -- I'm Kent Chandler. I'm 8 chairman of the Kentucky Public Service Commission, 9 will be presiding over the hearing today. Joining 10 me are Vice Chair Angie Hatton and Commissioner Mary 11 Pat Regan. 12 I'd ask everyone in the hearing room to please either turn off your cell phones or set them 13 14 to silent mode. 15 The purpose of today's hearing is to take evidence in this matter. Before we get started 16 17 we'll get start we'll have an entry of appearance of 18 counsel. For the Applicant, Kentucky Power. 19 MS. GLASS: Good morning. Katie Glass of 20 Stites & Harbison for Kentucky Power Company. Also 2.1 appearing with me is Kent Gish of Stites & Harbison. 22 And appearing pro hac vice is Hector Garcia-Santana, 23 in-house counsel with American Electric Power

Thank you,

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right.

24

25

Service Corporation.

```
8
 1
     Ms. Glass.
 2
           And for the Kentucky Office of the
 3
     Attorney General?
           MR. MIKE WEST: Mike West for the AG's
 4
     office.
 5
 6
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:
                               Okay, Mr. West.
           For the Kentucky Industrial Utility
 8
     Customers?
 9
           MR. KURTZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
                                                   Mike
10
     Kurtz, Jody Kyler Cohn for KIUC.
11
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you, Mr. Kurtz.
           And for the -- is it Joint Intervenors?
12
13
           MR. GARY: Yes, Your Honor. Byron Gary on
     behalf of the Joint Intervenors. Appearing with me
14
15
     today is Thomas Cmar, Melissa Legge, and Hema
     Lochan.
16
17
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:
                               Thank you.
18
           And anyone here from LS Power?
19
           MS. KOENIG: Brittany Koenig for LS Power
20
     Development.
2.1
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:
                               Thank you, Ms. Koenig.
22
           Is that all the parties?
23
           All right. I reviewed the record. It appears
24
     that on -- what's that?
           VICE CHAIR HATTON: (Indiscernible).
25
```

2.1

CHAIR CHANDLER: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry. For Commission Staff. Excuse me.

MR. BELLAMY: Yes. Ben Bellamy for the Commission Staff with Jurgens van Zyl, and we have John Rogness with us.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you.

Public notice -- proof of public notice has been filed into the record indicating through affidavit of the publication date that ran between -- it appears here May the 24th until May the 30th. So public notice has been provided, and proof of such has been filed.

It's my understanding there are a couple of outstanding confidential petitions. Are there any other pending outstanding motions or anything that we need to take up before we get started? Great.

Pursuant to the public notice that was provided, we put on our website, consistent with that notice, a link for folks to -- or information for the public to indicate whether they had interest in providing public comment in today's hearing virtually.

As of 9:00 o'clock, no one had indicated their interest in providing that public comment virtually. Anybody who is interested who is

2.1

watching this or views this hearing prior to the submission of this case can provide public comment anytime prior to the case being closed. We just ask that you provide your name, your address, and the case number when you provide public comment, which in this matter is 2023-00092. You can provide public comment via our website, psc@ky.gov. You can email them to the Commission at psc.comment@ky.gov, and then you can also mail them to the Public Service Commission at 211 Sower, S-O-W-E-R, Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

Given that no one has indicated their interest in providing virtual public comments, is there anyone here from the public that would like to provide in-person comments?

Seeing no one from the public, we will move on.

Ms. Glass, we'll take up our administrative items.

MS. GLASS: Yes, Your Honor. So we filed our witness list last week. Generally that's the -that's our intention, to call our witnesses in that order today, you know, subject to anything changing, with one exception. We intend to call Mr. Gary Spitznogle first. Mr. Spitznogle is recovering from

2.1

a medical procedure that prevents him for being able to sit for very long periods of time, so we'd like to try and get him in and out of here as quickly as we can. I just wanted to let the Commission know and the Intervenors know.

We will also be asking that Mr. Spitznogle be excused at the conclusion of his testimony, but we can make that request at that time.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That'll fine. All right.

That'll be -- we'll take Mr. Spitznogle and then get started with Mr. West; is that right?

MS. GLASS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Is there anything else that we need to take up before we get started?

Okay. Ms. Glass, please call your first witness.

MS. GLASS: Sure. Kentucky Power calls Gary Spitznogle. He'll be presented by Mr. Gish.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Spitznogle, please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give is true and correct under penalty of perjury?

THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please have a seat.

Please state your name and address for the record.

12 1 THE WITNESS: Good morning. My name is Gary 2 Spitznogle. I'm at 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, 3 Ohio. 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gish. 5 MR. GISH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. GARY D. SPITZNOGLE, called by Kentucky Power 6 Company, having been first duly sworn, testified as 8 follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Gish: 10 Mr. Spitznogle, can you please state your 11 12 title for the record? 13 Yes. I'm a vice president of environmental Α. services for American Electric Power Service 14 15 Corporation. And, Mr. Spitznogle, did you support the 16 Q. 17 preparation of the integrated resource planning 18 report subject to this case? 19 Yes, I did. Α. 20 And did you provide responses to data requests 2.1 in this case? 22 Α. I did, yes. 23 And knowing what you knew at the time of your 24 responses or at the -- when preparing the report,

would you -- would you prepare the same report or

13 1 provide the same responses? 2 I would, yes. 3 MR. GISH: Mr. Chairman, the witness is 4 available for cross-examination. 5 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. First, Mr. West. MR. MIKE WEST: We don't have any questions 6 for this witness, Your Honor. 8 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Mr. Kurtz? 9 MR. KURTZ: No questions, Your Honor. 10 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gary? 11 MR. GARY: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 By Mr. Gary: Good morning, Mr. Spitznogle. 14 15 Good morning. Α. 16 Q. It's nice to meet you. My name is Byron 17 Gary, attorney here in Kentucky for the Joint Intervenors. With me here at the table is Mr. Cmar. 18 19 He's just going to be helping, possibly sharing 20 some -- some exhibits or things that we'd like to 2.1 talk about. 22 First, I just want to start by saying if I 23 ask anything that's unclear, feel free to let me 24 know. I'll try to clear that up or reask it in a 25 different way.

- I also understand, just heard, you know, you
- 2 | had a medical procedure recently. If I go too long
- 3 or you need a break, you know, let -- feel free to
- 4 | interrupt me. It's, you know, at the Chair's
- 5 discretion of course, but -- but no hesitation
- 6 interrupting me, if -- if you need anything.
- 7 A. I appreciate that. Thank you. We should be
- 8 fine.
- 9 Q. I hope you're recovering all right.
- 10 A. I am. Yes. Thank you.
- 11 Q. Good. Good.
- 12 My first questions just deal with American
- 13 | Electric Power and your role. You work for American
- 14 | Electric Power Service Corporation; is that right?
- 15 A. I do, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you were just introduced as the
- 17 | vice president of environmental services for AEPSC;
- 18 | is that right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. Could you explain what your job entails
- 21 broadly?
- 22 A. Yes. So my and -- I and my team support the
- 23 environmental compliance activities for the entire
- 24 service corporation, including Kentucky Power. So
- 25 we advise on new rules and regulations, we provide

- 1 training on those, and we support all activities in
- 2 the rulemaking process.
- 3 Q. Okay. And you're in charge of that team?
- 4 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Who do you report to?
- 6 A. I report to Chris Beam, Executive Vice
- 7 President of Energy Services.
- 8 Q. Okay. And so you're employed by AEPSC, which
- 9 is a subsidiary of AEP Company, Inc., right, and AEP
- 10 owns Kentucky Power as well?
- 11 A. That is correct, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. But you're not employed directly by
- 13 Kentucky Power?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. So your role is broadly to evaluate
- 16 environmental regulations and their applicability to
- 17 those other companies, though, those sort of sister
- 18 | companies?
- 19 A. Yes, that's right.
- 20 Q. Okay. And did you assist in the preparation
- 21 of the IRP?
- 22 A. I did from an environmental standpoint, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. So just those environmental pieces?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. But you reviewed the entire IRP?

- 1 A. To some extent, but -- but my focus is on
- 2 providing guidance on the rules and the applicability
- 3 of those rules.
- 4 Q. Okay. So you're generally familiar with what
- 5 else is in the IRP, then?
- 6 A. In general, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you sponsored certain responses to
- 8 requests for information. Did you assist in
- 9 preparing or reviewing responses sponsored by
- 10 | anybody else?
- 11 A. Potentially, but not generally.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. My focus was on environmental responses.
- 14 Q. So maybe other specific ones, but not all of
- 15 | them broadly?
- 16 A. Absolutely. That's true.
- 17 Q. Okay. And did you review the comments from
- 18 | the intervening parties in this proceeding?
- 19 A. Some of them, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And did you participate in the
- 21 preparation of the response to those comments at all?
- 22 A. To the extent it affected environmental
- 23 | analysis, yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. So part of your responsibilities
- 25 | include keeping track of environmental regulations,

- in particular, for relevance to this case, as they affect Kentucky Power Company's generating assets?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

24

- Q. Okay. And you follow announcements from EPA about proposed and final rulemakings and things like that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay. So I assume you're familiar with the announcements made by EPA on April 25th of some new final environmental regulations relating to electric generating units?
- 12 A. Yes, I am.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
- 14 MR. GISH: Mr. Chairman?
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yes.
- 16 MR. GISH: I want to object to asking 17 questions about environmental regulations that were not in effect at the time the IRP itself was 18 19 prepared. I understand Mr. Spitznogle is an expert 20 in these -- in these regulations, but to the extent 2.1 that they affect the -- whether or not the IRP 22 itself was prepared in accordance with the Kentucky 23 regulations, what happens a year later is irrelevant.
 - CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Oh, I'm sorry. I want to be clear, Mr. Gish. I want to be -- are you taking

2.1

the position that this hearing is about the -exclusively about the compliance of the
regulation -- of the integrated resource plan with
the regulation?

MR. GISH: About the applicability of the -- of the -- that the integrated resource plan complies with the rules.

I don't -- I just want to be on the record that the -- how the rules have changed since then should not affect how -- whether or not the rules -- the app -- the state -- let me try this again in a less jumbled way.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay.

MR. GISH: The rules as they are now could not have been foreseen for whether or not the integrated resource plan properly evaluated the environmental regulations in effect at the time that the integrated resource plan was prepared.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah, I'm -- I just want to be -- I think I -- I have an appreciation for your objection, but it seems like you're more -- your objection is more about how we -- what we apply the evidence to or in what way we apply the evidence that's from this cross-examination, not that it's not necessarily relevant to the proceeding. Is that

accurate?

2.1

I just want -- I think your -- just so I can rule on it correctly, I take your objection to be not that the questions are asked and answered but that the Commission take the evidence from the responses as indicative of compliance with the regulation.

MR. GISH: That's right. The regulation -the IRP was prepared at a point in time when the
regulations were in effect.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Which is a fact.

MR. GISH: Yeah. And questions about regulations that have changed since then have no bearing on whether or not the regulations that were in effect at the time of the IRP are correct.

That's it.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. So I just -- so

I'm going to overrule the objection. And I think

the -- I think the reason I asked the question,

Mr. Gish, I think the best place for that would be

in subsequent briefing or comments that are filed,

how the -- how you would ask the Commission to -- to

what this evidence is relevant to --

MR. GISH: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: -- may be something you'd

- like to address in subsequent comments. I think that would be helpful.
- 3 MR. GISH: Understood.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. Thank you.
- 5 MR. GARY: Thank you for clearing that up.
 - Q. And to be clear, April 25th of this year was after the IRP and all of the responses to data requests, right?
- 9 A. That's correct.

6

8

- 10 Q. So these rules weren't applicable at the
- 11 | time, but the -- all four of those, as far as I'm
- 12 aware, are still applicable as of right now?
 - A. As of right now they're applicable, yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. So specifically turning to the new
- 15 greenhouse gas regulations under Section 111 of the
- 16 | Clean Air Act, you reviewed those, I assume, right?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And just for ease of reference, if
- 19 | it's amenable to the Commission and opposing
- 20 | counsel, I'd like to refer to a table the EPA
- 21 published in reference to this. You may or may not
- 22 be familiar with it, but we'll show that up on the
- 23 | screen here.
- 24 All right. All right.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Would you like Mr. Rhodes

21 1 to turn on the --2 MR. GARY: Yes, we are ready to --3 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: -- sharing feature? 4 MR. GARY: -- share that. 5 Q. All right. Are you familiar with this table? Does this look familiar at all? 6 Yes. Α. 8 Q. Okay. So you've reviewed this before? 9 Α. I have, yes. 10 Okay. And generally what this contains, it's 11 tabled [sic] BSER At-A-Glance. Can you say what 12 BSER is? 13 That's best system of --Α. Emissions? If I told you it was best system 14 0. 15 of emission reduction, would that --16 Α. Yes. Yes. 17 Okay. Sounds right? 18 And generally it has, at the top, two sort of 19 categories. There is Existing Steam Generators and 20 then New and Reconstructed Stationary Combustion 2.1 Turbines; is that right? 22 That's correct. 23 Okay. And below that it contains what the 24 standards that were promulgated in the rule are, 25 right?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Okay. And as far as you're aware, these
- 3 | are -- are consistent with what was promulgated in
- 4 | that final rule, right?
- 5 **A**. It is.
- 6 Q. Okay. I just find this table much easier
- 7 than going back and forth throughout that entire
- 8 | rulemaking, so I hope we can go through this just
- 9 really quickly.
- 10 There are requirements for existing steam
- 11 generators, in particular for coal units that
- 12 require -- have different requirements for long-term,
- 13 | medium-term units. For long-term, those units have
- 14 to install carbon -- well, they have been to be
- 15 | compliant with carbon capture and sequestration; is
- 16 | that right?
- 17 A. Depending on the disposition plan of the
- 18 | unit, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. For the long-term units specifically --
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. -- that they plan to operate into 2039 or
- 22 beyond?
- 23 A. Beyond 2039.
- 24 Q. Okay. And then on the other side there are
- 25 requirements for new and reconstructed stationary

- 1 | combustion turbines, right? On the -- the right
- 2 half of this table?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. Okay. And at the top it has Phase I and
- 5 | Phase II that are generally sort of along a
- 6 timeline, right?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And then below that it has the
- 9 different subcategories of stationary combustion
- 10 turbines. It has the low load, and those are those
- 11 that operate at a capacity factor of less than 20
- 12 | percent; is that right?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. And then intermediate load between 20
- 15 and 40 percent?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And for those two subcategories, they
- 18 | have Phase I standards of 160 pounds of CO2 per
- 19 | million Btu and 1,170 pounds of CO2 per megawatt
- 20 | hour respectively, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. But there's no Phase II standards for
- 23 those?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. It's just for the base load subcategory

- 1 at the bottom that have a capacity factor of greater
- 2 | than 40 percent that there are requirements, right?
 - A. Right.

- 4 Q. Okay. And for the base load subcategory,
- 5 | they have an initial standard of 800 pounds per
- 6 megawatt hour, which is again lower, but then even
- 7 | lower once 2032 hits, right? Generally they have to
- 8 | comply with that 90 percent carbon capture that's
- 9 described?
- 10 A. That's correct, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Just wanted to lay those out, make
- 12 | sure we're on the same page.
- Okay. I'm going to stop sharing so I can
- 14 bring back up my notes.
- In the IRP, are you familiar with the
- 16 | preferred plan that was selected, just generally, in
- 17 | the IRP?
- 18 A. In general, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And in the IRP, a new gas combustion
- 20 | turbine that would come online as early as 2029 was
- 21 | selected, correct?
- 22 **A**. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And do you recall in the IRP, it's --
- 24 or, sorry, in a response that you may not have
- 25 | prepared, but in a response it was stated that

- 1 | Kentucky Power would plan for that new gas plant to
- 2 | operate at a long-term capacity factor of around 30
- 3 | percent. Does that sound familiar? I can refer to
- 4 | this -- it's in response Joint Intervenor 122.
- 5 A. Okay. I don't -- I don't recall those
- 6 details.
- 7 Q. Okay. So you didn't look at the long-term
- 8 | capacity factor of that plant?
- 9 A. I'm sure I did, I just don't recall --
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. -- what the numbers are.
- 12 Q. Does that sound about right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. But -- you're not familiar with it,
- 15 but generally capacity factors don't stay the same
- 16 | every year, do they?
- 17 A. They do not, no.
- 18 Q. Okay. So it can vary significantly from year
- 19 to year for many resources.
- 20 And do you have available to you your
- 21 responses to data requests with you?
- 22 A. I don't -- I don't have them with me.
- 23 Q. You don't have anything with you up there?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. Okay.

26 1 MR. GARY: If I were to refer to a response, 2 would counsel be able to provide him with it? 3 MR. GISH: Yes. 4 MR. GARY: Specifically Staff 231. 5 MS. GLASS: May I approach the witness? 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: You may. MR. GISH: Staff 231? 8 MR. GARY: Yes. 9 And I don't think this is one you responded 0. Could you take just a minute and review the 10 11 response there, though? 12 So I don't intend to ask you about whether 13 that information is factually correct, just about 14 the information in the table specifically. 15 Α. Okay. 16 Q. So for the new gas CT chosen by the model, 17 the capacity factor shown varies from as low as 26 18 percent but up to as high as 58 percent; is that 19 right? 20 Α. I don't know. That's not a --2.1 That's -- sorry. Is that what the table 0. 22 states, is -- as the response of the Company? 23 MS. GLASS: Are you referring to an 24 attachment to that response?

MR. GARY: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought it was

1 included in -- I'm sorry.

- 2 Q. Attachment 1 to the response.
- 3 A. There's a table here.
- 4 Q. I thought it was included directly in the
- 5 body of the text.
- 6 A. Yes, I've got the table.
- 7 Q. You've got the table now?
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. I thought it was directly with
- 10 the response. It must be the next page.
- 11 So generally the -- the combustion turbine
- 12 that was selected, the modeling picked it to run at
- 13 | between 26 and 58 percent?
- 14 A. Yes. That is correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. But to comply with the EPA rule, it
- 16 | would have to either accept an operating limit on
- 17 that capacity factor of 40 percent or it would have
- 18 to implement CCS achieving 90 percent carbon
- 19 | capture --
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. -- correct? Okay.
- 22 Turning to -- to the rule itself, you assisted
- 23 in -- and actually I think you signed the comments
- 24 on behalf of AEP on that rule. Do you recall that?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Okay. And I'm actually going to pull those up just really quickly.
- MR. GARY: If Mr. Rhodes is listening, could he share my screen again?
- 5 Thank you very much.
- Q. Do these appear to be those comments? I'm not sure how easy it is to see up there.
- 8 A. Yeah, I can be --
- 9 Q. I can zoom in more or less, if needed.
- 10 A. Yeah, you can zoom out a little bit.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 A. That looks like the cover page to the response.
- 14 Q. Okay. And that's your signature down there?
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. And just generally, you submitted
- comments on a number of aspects of the rules, and
- 18 | specifically with regard to carbon capture, I think
- 19 the Company stated that CCS is a promising
- 20 technology, but significant development challenges
- 21 remain that will require years to resolve?
- 22 **A. Yes.**
- 23 Q. Okay. And the -- the intent of that is that
- 24 | you don't believe that CCS would be achievable on a
- 25 | timeline of the rule, right?

- A. That is true.
- Q. Okay. And among those challenges, there were
- 3 | sort of logistic challenges with carbon capture at
- 4 | plants, right?
- 5 A. Yes. There's physical and other challenges,
- 6 yes.

- 7 Q. And aside from those, just the -- the --
- 8 | after it's captured, what to do with it is also
- 9 another potential issue?
- 10 | A. It is, yes.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 MR. GARY: Oh, yes, I'm sorry. I believe
- 13 this is JI-2, the second thing that I shared here,
- 14 just to mark.
- MR. GISH: You're saying the comments are
- 16 | Joint Intervenors 2?
- MR. GARY: Yes, this would be JI-2. We can
- 18 discuss moving to admit later, but just for ease of
- 19 reference, this would be JI-2.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Let me be clear, Mr. Gary.
- 21 | JI-1 was the --
- MR. GARY: The BSER at a Glance.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: The fact sheet or
- 24 | whatever they provided?
- MR. GARY: Yes.

30 1 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And then those that you're sharing are AEP's comments? 2 3 MR. GARY: These are AEP's comments on the 4 rulemaking. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And Mr. Gish and 5 6 Ms. Glass have copies of these? MR. GARY: Yes. Those were sent out this 8 morning. Did you -- were you able to receive those? 9 MS. GLASS: We did receive them, although we 10 will need copies for the court reporter. Will you 11 be providing those? 12 MR. GARY: I can -- I can include you. think I have your email address now as well. I can 13 14 send those as soon as I'm done here. 15 MS. GLASS: I can do that as well. I just didn't know if you had paper copies. 16 17 MR. GARY: No, sorry. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. Let's --18 19 let's convey this one by electronic means, because I 20 think it's 726 pages. 2.1 MR. GARY: That's generally why I chose 22 electronic for a few of these. 23 I'm not going to go through all of your

comments, but generally there are significant

hurdles to achieving carbon capture, right?

24

- A. There are, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Another issue, I just wanted to briefly
 mention that the running of a carbon capture system
- 5 also takes significant energy to run, correct?
- A. It does, on the order of 25 to 30 percent of the unit's generating electricity.
- 8 Q. Okay. Generally the parasitic load, I
- 9 think --

- 10 **A**. Yes.
- 11 | Q. -- it's often called?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to another rulemaking.
- 14 Do you also follow developments around the -- the
- 15 National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the
- 16 NAAQS?
- 17 **A**. I do.
- 18 Q. Okay. EPA reviews and updates those
- 19 periodically every five years, and generally each
- 20 time those standards tend to go down or stay the
- 21 same, in your experience?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And after NAAQS is adopted, there are
- 24 a few things that have to happen: EPA has to
- 25 designate areas attainment or nonattainment?

- A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And then states also have to submit an
- 3 | infrastructure SIP or an iSIP. Are you familiar
- 4 | with those?

- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And part of that iSIP is showing that
- 7 the state doesn't contribute to nonattainment or
- 8 interfere with maintenance or contribute to
- 9 | significant deterioration downwind, right?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. The interstate transport portion of the iSIP
- 12 generally. Okay.
- So EPA has submitted a number of those, and
- 14 in the past, are you familiar with EPA having found
- 15 | Kentucky's submittals deficient with regard to
- 16 | interstate transport?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And the next step after that is EPA instates
- 19 a federal implementation plan, or a FIP, right?
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. Okay. And for interstate transport, they
- 22 have done that a number of times now. I'm sure you
- 23 | probably -- I don't know. How long have you been in
- 24 | your position or generally evaluating environmental
- 25 regulations?

- A. About five years.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 **A.** Yes.

- 4 | Q. Prior to that, have you -- prior to that
- 5 | five-year period, have you also followed the
- 6 previous developments around this?
 - A. At times.
- 8 Q. Okay. So generally, would you say you're
- 9 familiar with the four-step process that EPA uses
- 10 | for those interstate transport FIPs?
- 11 A. In general. That's not what I focus on every
- 12 **day**.
- 13 Q. Yeah. I'm not going to ask you too many
- 14 technical details. I plan to move on just to sort
- of the effect of it pretty quickly, but generally
- 16 | they have used that same four-step process each time
- 17 | they have updated the interstate transport SIP?
- 18 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so we've been through the
- 20 | Cross-State Air Pollution Rule, the CSAPR update,
- 21 the revised CSAPR update, and now the Good Neighbor
- 22 | Plan, and you're generally familiar with all of
- 23 those?
- $24 \mid A$. I am, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. So the -- the current Good Neighbor

- 1 Plan is undergoing litigation. I'm sure you have
- 2 | followed that the SIP disapproval for Kentucky was
- 3 | stayed by the Sixth Circuit?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. But the -- the rule itself is
- 6 undergoing separate litigation in the D.C. circuit,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. To your knowledge, that rule has not been
- 10 | stayed by a court at this point, right?
- 11 A. I believe that's true, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. But EPA separately stayed that -- the
- 13 Good Neighbor Plan, as did the states where the SIP
- 14 disapproval was stayed, including Kentucky?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Sorry. This -- this gets really
- 17 confusing, so I'm walking it a little bit slowly.
- 18 I'm sorry. I'm going to try and speed it up just a
- 19 | little bit.
- Just a couple of things from that EPA stay.
- 21 When EPA stayed the Good Neighbor Plan as to
- 22 Kentucky and other states, it also reinstated the
- 23 | previous allowance system in effect in each state,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. That's my understanding, yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. So for Kentucky, that would be the --
- 2 | even more confusingly called the new plan under the
- 3 | Good Neighbor Plan Group 3, but the previous plan
- 4 | was also Group 3, correct?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. But Kentucky is under the old Group 3
- 7 | allowance system at this point, right?
 - A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Okay. And before that came into place, under
- 10 | the revised CSAPR updates was Group 2 under the
- 11 | CSAPR update that would have been in effect up till
- 12 | 2021, I believe. Does that sound right?
- 13 A. That sounds right, yes.
- 14 | Q. Okay.
- 15 A. It's --
- 16 Q. It might be 2022. I'll get to it in a
- 17 second. I have it in my notes here. But looking
- 18 | just at Group 2 first, are you generally familiar
- 19 with the allocations that were made under that
- 20 annually?
- 21 And I have those available as well. I'm
- 22 sorry to keep switching back and forth on my screen.
- 23 | Maybe I can save a little time and do Group 2 and
- 24 Group 3 really quickly at the same time.
- 25 MR. GARY: This would be JI-3, whenever

- 1 Mr. Rhodes is able to share my screen, and I will zoom in quite a bit here.
- 3 Q. Does this spreadsheet look familiar? Is this
- 4 | something you might have reviewed titled --
- 5 A. I have not reviewed it, but I believe I've 6 seen it.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. Titled Unit Level Allocations and Underlying
 10 Data for the CSAPR for the 2008 oz.xls.
- So this contains the allocations for 2017 and
- 12 | 2018 and beyond under Group 2. And just scrolling
- down to Kentucky, I think, conveniently, Big Sandy
- 14 is actually the first facility listed under
- 15 Kentucky; is that right, looking at rows 842 and
- 16 843?
- 17 | A. Yes, I see them.
- 18 Q. Okay. And at the time of these allocations,
- 19 there were allocations for BSU1. That's Big Sandy
- 20 Unit 1, right? That would be the -- the converted
- 21 gas portion of the -- the facility, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And there were also allocations for
- 24 BSU2. That's the former coal unit that has since
- 25 | shut down, I think?

- A. Yes. It's been -- it's been retired.
- 2 Q. Okay. And for BSU1, the allocations were
- 3 287 tons per ozone season, and BSU2, they were 758
- 4 | tons per ozone season?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. And once Unit 2 shut down, essentially all of
- 7 | those allowances would have gone to -- to Big Sandy
- 8 | generally, right? So Big Sandy would have had
- 9 somewhere on the order of a thousand allowances
- 10 | annually?
- 11 A. I'm not sure of that.
- 12 Q. Subject to check --
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 | Q. -- adding -- yeah.
- 15 A. Subject to check. I believe that's how it
- 16 works.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And then just really quickly, since
- 18 | I'm already sharing, show -- this is the Group 3
- 19 allowances. Does this also look familiar?
- 20 A. It does.
- 21 | O. Okay. And at the bottom there's a sheet
- 22 | titled Final Merged RCU Allocations. That would be
- 23 revised CSAPR update allocations, right?
- 24 A. Yes, I believe.
- 25 Q. And scrolling down to Kentucky again, at

Row 304, Big Sandy is the first unit. You actually got a slight bump for BSU1 in 2021, but then it was back down in 2022 and beyond, from 373 to - down to 270 -- 273 allowances for the ozone seasons.

And this, being the RCU, would be the allowance allocation that is currently in effect pursuant to that EPA stay, right?

- A. Subject to check again, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

14

- 10 A. I believe that's correct.
- 11 Q. Sorry.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gary?
- 13 MR. GARY: Yeah.
 - CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Before you pull that out of your computer, do you plan on sharing any more?
- MR. GARY: I believe I have one or two more.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So Mr. Rhodes has
 18 requested that you just leave it in your computer
- 19 and tell him when to turn it off.
- MR. GARY: Okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And he'll stop that.
- 22 Every time you unplug it, it resets itself, and it
- 23 takes longer to put up the next document.
- MR. GARY: Okay. If he could stop sharing so
- 25 I could go back to my notes for a minute, that would

- 1 be great. Thank you.
- 2 Q. So you also -- you don't have your responses
- 3 | to data requests in front of you; is that right?
- 4 A. I thought I did, but I don't.
- 5 Q. Okay. Maybe, then, it would be easier to do
- 6 this.
- 7 MR. GARY: All right. Mr. Rhodes, if you
- 8 | could share my screen again. Thank you very much.
- 9 Q. You were one of two people who responded to
- 10 Joint Intervenor 1-20, which asked about allowances
- 11 and use, right? Do you recall that question?
- 12 **A. Yes.**
- 13 Q. Okay. The other was Mr. Haratym? Is that
- 14 | how you say his name?
- 15 A. Haratym.
- 16 | Q. Haratym.
- MR. GISH: We can provide him with a copy.
- 18 MR. GARY: Okay. If you have that
- 19 | spreadsheet, that would be great. Yeah, that works.
- MS. GLASS: 1-20, Attachment 1?
- MR. GARY: Attachment 1, yes.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 23 MR. GARY: That's -- that's fantastic. I can
- 24 | see my notes again. Mr. Rhodes, you can turn it
- 25 back off. Thank you.

- Q. So this attachment, would this have been something that you prepared or Mr. Haratym -- or Haratym? I'm sorry.
- 4 A. I don't know who put this together. It's
 5 very possible somebody on my team generated this.
- 6 Q. Okay. You'd be able to answer questions
 7 about it, though?
- 8 A. In general.
- 9 Q. Generally?
- 10 **A**. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And generally it looks like, just running through what's there, there are a few different columns, but the one I'm interested in, Column K, ozone season NOx emissions.
 - So these would be the sum, essentially, of those ozone season allowances under Group 2 and Group 3 that you used, correct -- or that Big Sandy used, and I think also Mitchell and Rockport are in here, but specifically referring to Big Sandy at the top?
- 21 A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Okay. So if we summed those five months in that box, those would be the total ozone season allowances used that would be comparable to -- to those spreadsheets that we went through a second

- 1 | ago?
- 2 A. They look to be.
- 3 Q. Okay. So, just really quickly, for 2017 it
- 4 | looks like the sum would be around 180 allowances;
- 5 | 2018, 325; but 2019 and 2020, it looks like over
- 6 | 500 allowances? I don't expect you to do the math
- 7 | quickly in your head --
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- but subject to check --
- 10 A. No, that looks right. That looks right.
- 11 Q. -- on the order of -- okay.
- 12 And so there is also a purchase price listed
- 13 over there that's -- that's consistently listed as
- 14 zero. I assume for those years that's because, as
- 15 we went through before, Big Sandy had not just the
- 16 270 whatever allocations for Unit 1, but it had the
- 17 full set of allocations for Unit 1 and 2 during
- 18 | those first few years --
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- up to 2020? Okay. Because 519 allowances
- 21 | wouldn't have been covered by the 278 or whatever
- 22 | that were allocated?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. And then in 2021, the total would have
- 25 been comparable to the Group 3. That spreadsheet

1 that I just showed a minute ago for Group 3 was for

- 2 | 2020 and then 2021 and beyond, correct?
- 3 A. Yeah, I believe so.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. 2021.
- 6 Q. But in -- or 2021 and then 2022 and beyond.
- 7 | Sorry. Okay.
- 8 In 2021 Big Sandy used 235 tons and 175, just
- 9 referring to Attachment 1, that you're reviewing
- 10 | there again, and all of this data is reported to EPA
- 11 on a regular basis, right?
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. Okay. And some of it is made publicly
- 14 | available through Clean Air Markets Program Data.
- 15 Are you familiar with the CAMPD data webpage
- 16 | generally?
- 17 A. Yes, I am.
- 18 | Q. Okay. So just really quickly, and hopefully
- 19 this may be the last time that I have to share my
- 20 screen, but in one second I am going to pull up --
- 21 here it is.
- MR. GARY: All right. Mr. Rhodes, if you
- 23 | could share one more time. Thank you very much.
- 24 Q. This is -- generally does this format look
- 25 | familiar? Have you downloaded data from CAMPD

43 1 before? 2 I have not. Α. 3 0. Okay. 4 But I know my -- some of my team works with 5 that regularly. Okay. And you're familiar with sort of 6 what's included in that when you download it? 8 Α. Generally. 9 Okay. So, just scrolling over a little bit, 0. 10 the facility downloaded here is Big Sandy, and then in Column F, the years are 2017 through 2023, 11 12 correct? 13 Α. Yes. Okay. And scrolling over a little further, 14 0. 15 Column O is NOx mass short tons, right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. 18 MR. GISH: Byron, can I ask which of the 19 documents you forwarded this --20 MR. GARY: Yes. I'm sorry. This one is 2.1 labeled Ozone -- Ozone Emissions 6938, yadda, yadda. 22 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I think Mr. Gary should 23 have to read the whole title. 24 MR. GISH: It's at your discretion,

Mr. Chairman.

44 1 And going through those, these generally, 2 those NOx mass short tons line up through 2022, at 3 least, with what was in Attachment 1 there, right, 4 that --5 I mean, I didn't do the math, but they look 6 like similar magnitudes. About the same magnitude --Q. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. -- right? And then in 2023, it looks like Big Sandy 10 Unit 1 emitted 400 tons of NOx? 11 12 Yes. Okay. And the -- the next row. Or next 13 column. I'm sorry. I still always mix those two 14 15 Is the -- it says NOx rates in pounds per 16 million Btu. Do you see that? 17 Α. I do.

- 18 Okay. And that stays relatively consistent, Q.
- 19 right?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- It's between .12 and .15 or so --2.1 Q.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- -- pounds per million Btu for Big Sandy? 23 Q.
- 24 So what changes really is how much that unit
- is being operated, right? 25

- 1 A. How much and whether it's full-load or
- 2 | low-load operation. It's -- it's not a consistent
- 3 | emission rate, so --
- 4 | Q. So if it's at a lower load, generally would
- 5 the NOx emissions be consistently higher or lower?
- 6 A. It depends on the unit.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. I think, in general, they're more efficient
- 9 and operate more efficiently at higher load.
- 10 Q. Okay. So if it's operating at a lower load,
- 11 it's more likely to have higher emissions --
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. -- because it's not operating as a
- 14 efficiently?
- 15 A. Higher emission rate, right.
- 16 Q. Higher emission rate, sorry. Not total
- 17 | emissions, but the emissions rate would generally be
- 18 | higher?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. And it looks like, of course,
- 21 unsurprisingly, the highest heat input in Column Q,
- 22 in million Btu, appears to be in the years with the
- 23 | highest emissions, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. All right. Hopefully that is the last

46 1 screen share I have to go through here. 2 MR. GARY: Mr. Rhodes, you can take that back 3 down. 4 MS. GLASS: May I approach to grab the iPad back? 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: You may. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 So do you still have the response to Staff 9 231 with you, the -- that table of capacity factors? 10 I do, yes. Α. Okay. And in that table, it looks like 11 Q. 12 Big Sandy was selected to dispatch at as high as 72 13 percent capacity factor; is that right? 14 In '23 it was 72 percent. Α. 15 Q. Okay. MS. GLASS: In 2024, it was 79 percent. 16 17 MR. GARY: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah. So in 70 --18 in '24 it's 79 percent. 19 0. Generally, for the next five years or so, 20 it's operating, with the exception of 2028, at about 2.1 a 60 or -- a 50 or 60 percent capacity factor or 22 greater, right? 23 Yes. It's much higher before 2028. 24 Okay. So, returning to the Group 2 and Q. 25 Group 3 allowances that we just went through,

- 1 | Group 2, Big Sandy, had significantly more
- 2 | allowances each year than Group 3; is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. But your emissions haven't gone down
- 5 at all, right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. And the capacity factor hasn't really
- 8 | changed too much. In fact, it looks like it's going
- 9 to go up slightly over the next few years. Does
- 10 | that sound right?
- 11 A. That's what it looks like.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- MR. GARY: I'm just looking ahead to see what
- 14 else I might -- I realize I have one other thing to
- 15 | share, and I think this might genuinely be the last
- 16 thing, so I want to make sure that I get through
- 17 everything at once. I apologize.
- 18 All right. Mr. Rhodes, if you could again,
- and I'll try to make it through the last couple of
- 20 | things here.
- 21 This is what I'd mark as -- I think I'm on
- $22 \mid JI-5 \text{ now.}$
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah. So let's go back
- 24 for a second.
- MR. GARY: Sure.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So this is JI-5, and I
need you to -- I get the naming, right, but, again,
we're talking about different -- different emissions
constituents, for lack of a better term. So JI-5
is -- is a document, I see it says Allowance
Compliance 82657b25. That's how it starts, at
least.

For the record, can you identify what the document --

MR. GARY: Yes.

8

9

10

14

24

25

11 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: -- purports to provide 12 allowance compliance numbers for?

13 MR. GARY: Yes.

you're aware -- so it provides emissions
information; it also provides allowance information
in that database, correct?

So, returning to CAMPD, Mr. Spitznogle,

- 18 A. Right. That's right.
- 19 Q. And that's available for download for 20 individual units.

And on the -- the first column here, if you
can see the program code, does that look familiar to
you?

- A. I'm not familiar with the codes.
- Q. Okay. So it says CSOSG2, and then starting

- 1 on Row 6, CSOSG3. Would it make sense to you that
- 2 those are cross-state ozone season Group 2 and ozone
- 3 season Group 3?
- 4 A. The acronym fits, so --
- 5 Q. Okay. And -- well, next to that, the year is
- 6 2017 through 2022, so that would fit with Group 2
- 7 ending in 2020 and Group 3 starting in 2021?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So it would make sense that this would
- 10 be allowances under Group 2 and Group 3?
- 11 A. It does.
- 12 Q. Okay. And those would be NOx emissions
- 13 | allowances, right?
- 14 A. Those are NOx.
- 15 Q. Okay. Which were the same as the emissions
- 16 | that we were just talking about both from your
- 17 Attachment 1 and the CAMPD data, right?
- 18 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- MR. GARY: Does that help clear that up,
- 21 Chair?
- 22 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Well, it does on that
- 23 one. So I guess -- I need to make sure I identify
- 24 | it for my records so that we can go back and see.
- MR. GARY: Yes.

50 1 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: You want to mark JI-5 as 2 being the cross-state ozone allowances. 3 MR. GARY: Allowances, yes. 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. And JI-4 was the --5 MR. GARY: Ozone season emissions for Big 6 Sandy. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: The CSO emissions. 8 then I want to make sure I understand. You identified 3 as what? 9 MR. GARY: I believe that would be the 10 Group 3 allowance allocations. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. MR. GARY: And then JI-2 would be the Group 2 13 14 allowance allocations. 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Well, then we're on 6. MR. GARY: We're on 6? 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Just so that you're aware. MR. GARY: 1 would've been -- we are on 6 18 19 after this? 20 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: No, this is 6. 2.1 MR. GARY: This is 6? 22 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: JI-1 was the best systems 2.3 of emissions reduction fact sheet or at-a-glance. JI-2 were Mr. Spitznogle's signed comments on 24 behalf of American Electric Power. 25

51 1 MR. GARY: I see. 2 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: JI-3 are the Group 2 3 allowance allocations. 4 JI-4 are the Group 3 allowance allocations. JI-5 are the CSO emissions. 5 And JI-6 are the CSO allowances. 6 7 MR. GARY: Are the allowances. Sorry. 8 skipped the AEP comments in my notes. Thank you. 9 Okay. So this would be JI-6. Apologize --0. 10 apologies for the confusion. 11 And then, scrolling over, it looks like there 12 are total allowances held in accounts, and -- sorry. Column H, actually, is what I meant to look at. 13 14 compliance year allowances allocated, do you see 15 that column? 16 Α. I do, yes. 17 Okay. And under those columns marked 18 Group 2 -- the row. Sorry. Rows 2 through 5, it 19 looks like the allocations were 1,045, right? 20 Α. Each year, yes. 2.1 And that -- and that generally lines up with Q. 22 what was allocated under the Group 2 JI-3 spreadsheet that we looked at, right? 23 24 Α. Yes, that's right. 25 Q. Okay. Pardon me. And then, so under

- 1 Group 3, the emissions allocations went down to 379,
- 2 and now -- now annually Big Sandy is allocated 279
- 3 | allowances; is that right?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. Okay. And looking at Column J, emissions,
- 6 | short tons, generally that lines up with both the
- 7 Attachment 1 to your response, JI-120, as well as
- 8 | the JI-5 ozone season emissions, right?
- 9 A. It looks that way.
- 10 Q. Okay. And then those are equivalent to the
- 11 | allowances deducted each year, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And then there's allowances carried over,
- 14 right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. So that would be what's left over, the
- 17 allocation minus the -- or rather the allowances
- 18 held at the trading deadline minus the emissions
- 19 results in the allowances left over?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And those are carried over to the next year?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. Okay. And in the previous spreadsheet, the
- 24 ozone season emissions, we saw that emissions in
- 25 | 2023 were 400 tons, right?

- A. I believe that --
- 2 Q. Does that sound familiar?
- 3 A. Yeah, that sounds right. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. And so this year, you -- or last year
- 5 | you also would have been allocated 200 and --
- 6 | Big Sandy would also have been allocated 279
- 7 | allowances, correct?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. Okay. And 279 plus 63 would be 200 -- or 340
- 10 | something, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.

- 12 Q. Okay. So Big Sandy wouldn't have had enough
- 13 allowances just based on what was allocated and left
- 14 over for last year, right?
- 15 **A**. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Are you aware of whether allowances had to be
- 17 | purchased or how those might have been obtained for
- 18 | Big Sandy?
- 19 A. I don't know what -- what they did to
- 20 | overcome that shortfall.
- 21 Q. Okay. But that was overcome some way, likely
- 22 through a purchase or a trade on the market?
- 23 A. That would be the typical way, yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. And then, looking at that capacity
- 25 | factor again for 2024 and beyond, it looks like the

- 1 capacity factor is at least as much or greater than
- 2 | what was historically the capacity factor, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. So emissions are likely to be at or above
- 5 that 400 tons per ozone season going forward, right?
- 6 A. That's what it looks like.
- 7 Q. So allocations, if -- if the model is correct
- 8 | in selecting Big Sandy for that sort of dispatch,
- 9 allowances are likely to have to be purchased going
- 10 | forward for the next several years, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And turning back to the Good Neighbor
- 13 Plan where I started, finally, that set new
- 14 requirements, correct, for reaching compliance
- downwind with the 2015 ozone standard, which is
- 16 lower, right?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. Okay. And generally, those allowances, over
- 19 time, will continue to decrease, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with how EPA
- 22 determines what allowances would be allocated,
- 23 | either on a state level or unit level?
- 24 | A. Yeah, I'm not --
- 25 | Q. In the generalist sense?

- A. Generally.
- 2 Q. Without getting to the specific numbers so I
- 3 | don't have to keep bringing up other things,
- 4 | generally, it determined what a reasonable control
- 5 level for units would be, right?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 Q. And for uncontrolled coal units, it
- 8 determined that selective catalytic reduction should
- 9 be added by 2026 or 2027, right?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. And the same for uncontrolled gas units,
- 12 right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 | Q. Okay.
- 15 A. I don't know what the technology options are,
- 16 | but in the gas.
- 17 Q. So, yeah, it doesn't -- yeah, it doesn't
- 18 | specifically require installation of a specific
- 19 | control, though, right?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. So it just determines statewide these are the
- 22 | controls that would get us to the emissions level
- 23 | that would meet the need, right?
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 Q. And then it allocates this decreasing pool

56 amongst the existing units, right? 1 2 Correct. Α. 3 And -- and the pool is decreasing, so the 0. allocations to each individual unit within each 4 5 state would also decrease, right? 6 That's right. Α. Q. Okay. 8 MR. GARY: I think that is all I have to 9 share on my screen. I know I said that before, but I mean it this time. 10 11 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We're still at 6? 12 MR. GARY: We are still at 6. 13 Returning to the response to Joint 14 Intervenors 120. Do you have that in front of you? 15 MS. GLASS: Is that the spreadsheet? 16 MR. GARY: Not the spreadsheet this time, 17 actually, just the actual response. 18 MS. GLASS: I need to provide him with that. 19 MR. GARY: That's okay. 20 And while you're pulling that up, the other 2.1 spreadsheet attached to that as well, Attachment 2, 22 if you don't mind, when you have a moment. 23 start with just the answers, though. 24 MS. GLASS: This is Joint Intervenors 120? 25 MR. GARY: Yes.

- 1 Q. Do you have that in front of you now?
- 2 **A**. I do.
- Q. Okay. So in that response, in Part A you were asked about the application of --
- 5 MR. GARY: Thank you very much.
- Q. -- the new FIP. In this case that would be the Good Neighbor Plan, right, if it were to come into effect? You stated that -- and just to be clear, Part A, this is that one that I think both you and Mr. Haratym were indicated as witnesses for.
 - In Part A it states that the rule does not appear to restrict Kentucky Power generating asset availability or -- based on economic dispatch, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. But you're already having to purchase
- 16 allowances or trade for allowances based on the
- 17 | dispatch right now, right?
- 18 A. It looks -- from what we went through,
- 19 | it's -- yes.

11

12

13

- 20 Q. Okay. And the dispatch of that unit is
- 21 projected to go up or stay the same, at least in the
- 22 | short term, right?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. And the allowances are going to
- 25 | continue to go down, right?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Okay. So the purchases, the amount, anyway,
- 3 of purchases would have to go up, right?
- 4 A. That's -- yes, that's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. And if you have that spreadsheet now
- 6 too, that was in response to B.
- 7 MR. GARY: I don't need the spreadsheet.
- 8 It's okay. Thanks.
- 9 MR. GISH: Do you have the spreadsheet?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I do, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. That was Attachment 2. And these are
- 12 | the NOx costs for emissions, so I don't -- was this
- 13 | something that you would have prepared for input
- 14 into the model --
- 15 A. It is not.
- 16 Q. -- for your team? You're not?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 | Q. Okay.
- 19 A. My team might have been consulted on this --
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. -- but I didn't have any role in preparing
- 22 this.
- 23 Q. Okay. But just generally looking at that
- 24 really quickly, the price of emissions over time,
- 25 | not -- not that -- the total cost to the company,

- but the price per allowance in that, would you say
 it goes up, goes down over time?
- A. I don't -- I don't know. I don't -- are you pointing at a specific column in the spreadsheet?
- 5 Q. Yes. Let me pull that up.
- 6 MR. GARY: I don't have to pull it up on the screen this time. I have it.
- 8 Q. So looking at the average annual price per 9 short ton, the last column, Column D, generally, in 10 2023, it starts at \$71 per short ton, right?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And then from there it goes down, 69,
- 13 65. Generally, over time, at least out till 2029,
- 14 it looks like those prices were forecast to go down
- 15 | for the modeling?
- A. It looks like it was up in '29 and '30, then back down.
- Q. Yeah. So generally starting at a high of 71 and then going down, varying a little bit, but then going down?
- 21 A. Yes, I agree.
- Q. Okay. We just went over the need at at least
 Big Sandy is going to go up, the number of
 allowances is going to go down. Generally, when the
- 25 availability of a good such as allowances goes down,

1 | would the price to be expected to go down or up?

- A. I'm not an economist. I can't --
- 3 Q. Okay.

- 4 A. -- comment on price trend.
- 5 Q. That's all right.
- 6 MR. GARY: And then do you have the 120 up?
 7 Thanks.
- 8 Q. In response to JI-120D, the Company was
- 9 asked, I believe, whether, as a result of the Good
- 10 | Neighbor Plan, additional control measures or
- 11 equipment will be needed, and you responded that
- 12 | Kentucky Power does not anticipate additional
- 13 pollution control measures or equipment.
- 14 A. Yes, I see that.
- 15 Q. Okay. Would that still be the case, based on
- 16 what we just went over, the decreasing number of
- 17 | allowances, the continued, at least, or increased
- 18 | use of the Big Sandy unit?
- 19 A. Well, again, that gets into economics and
- 20 what -- and what -- what those emission costs do to
- 21 the cost to operate the unit and that's not my --
- 22 Q. So either you have to install controls or
- 23 purchase an increasing number of allowances, right?
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 Q. Okay. That's a -- you're not an economist,

```
but generally are you aware whether that is an evaluation that AEP or Kentucky Power have -- have done?
```

- A. I don't know what analyses were done.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. GARY: Your Honor, we might request post-hearing whether any evaluation of the effects of the Good Neighbor Plan have been done now that it's final.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That would be fine.

MR. GARY: Thank you.

MR. GISH: Can I ask you to repeat that?

MR. GARY: Whether any evaluation of the either need for allowances or the need to install control equipment economically is more favorable at Big Sandy.

MR. GISH: Okay.

MR. GARY: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor.

I would move to admit JI-1 through 6 as we went over, JI-1 being the BSER table; 2 being AEP's comments; 3 and 4 being the Group 2 and Group 3 allocations; and then 5 and 6 being the emissions and allowances from Clean Air Markets Program Data.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gish?

```
62
 1
           MR. GISH:
                     No objection.
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. So moved.
 2
 3
     We'll admit JI-1 through 6.
 4
           (JI Exhibit 1 thorough JI Exhibit 6 admitted.)
 5
           MR. GARY: Thank you.
 6
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. -- have we gone to
     you yet, Mr. Kurtz?
 8
           MR. KURTZ: Yeah, I had no question.
 9
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Koenig, any questions
     for this witness?
10
11
           MS. KOENIG: We have no questions for this
12
     witness.
13
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Staff?
14
           MR. VAN ZYL: Just very few.
15
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
16
     By Mr. van Zyl:
17
           Good morning, Mr. Spitznogle.
     Q.
18
           Spitznogle.
     Α.
19
     Ο.
           Spitznogle.
20
     Α.
           Yes.
2.1
           I apologize.
     Q.
22
     Α.
           That's okay. Good morning.
23
           Very brief questions. Far less exciting in
24
     nature than what we've just been discussing.
25
           I really just want to have an understanding a
```

- 1 | little bit of what your role is with the -- with the
- 2 | IRP process. So you advise, generally speaking, on
- 3 | all the environmental regulations that the team
- 4 | considers and then implements in the IRP, and just
- 5 | more generally speaking, for AEP?
- 6 A. That's correct. We discuss the rules and the
- 7 interpretation of those rules and how they would
- 8 apply.
- 9 Q. So when you do that, what are the kind of
- 10 things that you look for? Do you -- when you advise
- 11 them, specifically, say, for this IRP, do you only
- 12 advise them on regulations currently in effect? Do
- 13 | you -- how far ahead are you looking, right? So you
- 14 started this process -- let me -- let me start this
- 15 back.
- 16 When did you start advising on the IRP
- 17 process for this application, or for this -- for
- 18 | this filing?
- 19 A. I don't remember when those conversations
- 20 started.
- 21 | O. Sometime in 2022?
- 22 A. I don't -- subject to check, that sounds
- 23 reasonable.
- 24 | Q. And when you were -- when you-all were having
- 25 | those discussions, what were the regulations,

- 1 broadly speaking, that you were concerned about that
- 2 you thought would have an impact on the long-term
- 3 planning?

24

- 4 It would have -- it would have been the 5 regulations in place at the time.
- 6 So when you say "the regulations in place at the time," would you have thought to consider the Good Neighbor Plan at all during that period?
- 9 Α. I don't recall when the Good Neighbor Plan 10 was originally proposed, so --
- 11 So, I mean, broadly speaking, and we'll Q. 12 subject to check this, but the comment period for it was open till summer of 2022, and then the final 13 rule was implemented March 15 of 2023? 14
- 15 Α. That's right.
- 16 Q. And so I guess all I'm trying to -- and 17 there's no gotcha here. I'm just trying to 18 understand. When you were looking, that rule wasn't 19 in place yet, but when you were doing the IRP and 20 you were looking at that, was the team aware of the 2.1 Good Neighbor Plan? Was it considering it in its 22 mind -- you know, were you advising that it consider 23 it in its modeling?
 - Α. So the -- I know we've -- we probably discussed that it was proposed language. It wasn't

final.

2.1

- Q. Uh-huh.
- A. So there was no certainty on the outcome of that rulemaking. So we gave that guidance, that these are proposed -- this is a proposed rule.
- Q. So how does the proposed -- how does your discussions regarding proposed rules function for your long-term planning, right? I mean, you certainly have some leeway. You don't wait for the rule to be finalized before you look at it for the first time and go, "All right. What do we do here?"

So what is that -- can you just walk me through what that planning process looks like, just so I have some appreciation of it?

A. Sure. So we certainly provide guidance on the rules that are on the books at the time. When something is proposed, obviously people hear about it, they look into it and ask questions.

So we help interpret the -- the proposed language, but because it's proposed and not final, and the fact that in the rulemaking process between proposed and final there is generally significant changes in that language and the requirements, we don't advise proposed language to be considered in this type of activity.

- 1 Q. So when does that -- when does a rule become
- 2 | actionable for you, sort of as an organizational
- 3 | matter? So like when -- when do you start taking
- 4 action based on what you think the rule is or will
- 5 be or --
- 6 A. We take action when it's in the -- in a
- 7 | federal regu --
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. -- the federal regs.
- 10 Q. And so at that time -- I mean, I'm assuming
- 11 just in your general role as being the environmental
- 12 person, you look much farther ahead, right, than
- 13 | what you just advise the team to do, right? You're
- 14 | constantly looking at what is in the comment section
- or what's coming down the pipeline from EPA or state
- 16 regulations?
- 17 A. Yes, that's -- I mean, as soon as that
- 18 process begins at the EPA level, we engage in
- 19 commenting and the opportunities to sit with EPA
- 20 staff and explain our perspective on things.
- So to that extent, we're -- we're involved
- 22 | from the first we -- we hear of a pending new rule.
- 23 Q. And organizationally, how does that
- 24 | information -- how do those meetings with the EPA
- 25 | filter back to whoever's doing your modeling or

2.1

whoever's sort of doing your operations at AEP, or in this case Kentucky Power?

How long does it take for you guys to sort of filter that information back to them?

- A. I'm not sure I understand what you're asking.
- Q. Oh, I'm just trying to get an understanding for -- you know, you said you're involved from the first week that the EPA is announcing it. You sit down and you talk with them.

All I'm trying to understand is like -- so for Good Neighbor Plan here, right, just to be very specific, when would the team at Kentucky Power have been aware of its likely impact or anything of that, or likeness to be finalized? How far in advance of the final rule are they made aware of that?

- A. Typically once a rule is proposed, so there's -- that's usually a year before it's final.
- Q. Uh-huh.
 - A. At that point we begin briefing operating company leadership on what's been proposed, what our reaction to that is, and how we're going to comment on it, and we seek guidance from opco leadership and others around the company as to their perspectives on what that would look like and how we ought to comment on it.

- 1 Q. I appreciate that. And again, nothing, you
- 2 | know, strange, I just wanted to understand --
 - A. Yeah.

3

9

4 | Q. -- when we're looking at this.

Do you also help file like permits and other
things with EPA when you guys are doing -- when
you're proposing to build something new? Are you a
part of that process?

- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And again, coming from a place of ignorance,
- 11 can you walk me through what the permitting process
- 12 looks like for say the two CTs proposed here, what
- 13 | the -- kind of steps you have to take are and what
- 14 | the timeline for that is?
- So you-all proposed two new CTs in this IRP,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. From your perspective, from the environmental
- 19 perspective, can you walk me through the permitting
- 20 process a little bit, just from a high level, and
- 21 | sort of the timeline involved?
- 22 A. Yeah. I don't get deep into the nuts and
- 23 | bolts of that process. I --
- 24 | Q. Okay.
- 25 A. So our team is consulted on when -- when a --

2.1

when, say, Kentucky Power is looking at potentially building something, a project team is formed, and we assign one of -- an environmental expert to that team to consult on what the time frame would be if -- if such a project was to be moved forward.

So they get involved at the beginning of that planning process, and -- and then when it -- once the project gets clarity that it's -- it's likely to go forward, then they begin the process working with the state or the federal agencies on -- on permit -- starting a permit process.

So I think it really varies depending on what -- which permit it is, air permit or -- or others.

Q. So for a new source, I mean, they would need quite a few permits, right? They would need the air permit, they would need the environmental pro -- the thing for the land use, and they would need some of the other waste permits, right?

So there's like three or four sections; is that right?

- A. Yes. There would be surface water discharge, stormwater. There's a number of permits that would be involved.
- Q. Each of those is individual, and each is

- their own process?
- 2 A. Yes. And they're all on different time
- 3 | frames from -- from the initiation to -- to
- 4 | acquiring the permits.
- 5 Q. Have you ever been part of the team that has
- 6 | done something like that from start to finish?
 - A. I personally have not.
- 8 Q. Okay. So you wouldn't be able to tell me,
- 9 based on your experience, how long of a process that
- 10 | is?

- 11 A. No. Like I said, it's -- each type of permit
- 12 is kind of -- has a different time horizon.
- MR. VAN ZYL: I actually think that is, after
- 14 | everything else that's been done, all the questions
- 15 I have currently.
- 16 CHAIR CHANDLER: No questions?
- 17 Ms. Regan, any questions?
- 18 COMMISSIONER REGAN: No
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Any redirect, Counsel?
- 20 MR. GISH: No, not at this time.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you very much,
- 22 Mr. Spitznogle.
- MS. GLASS: Your Honor, we'd like to ask that
- 24 | Mr. Spitznogle be excused from the hearing.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That will be fine. Thank

```
71
1
     you.
2
           THE WITNESS:
                         Thank you.
3
           CHAIR CHANDLER: We will take a recess.
4
     will come back at -- we'll do almost 20 minutes.
     We'll come back at our normal time of 10:45.
5
           (Recess from 10:24 a.m. to 10:53 a.m.)
6
           MS. SACRE: Okay. You're on, Chairman.
8
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We're back on the record
     in Case Number 2023-00092.
9
10
           Ms. Glass, would you like to call your next
11
     witness?
12
           MS. GLASS: Yes. Kentucky Power calls Brian
13
     West.
14
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. West, please raise
15
     your right hand. Do you swear or affirm the
16
     testimony you are about to give is true and correct
17
     under penalty of perjury?
           THE WITNESS: I do.
18
19
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please have a seat.
20
     Please state your name and address for the record.
2.1
           THE WITNESS: My name is Brian West.
22
     address is 1645 Winchester Avenue, Ashland, Kentucky
     40112.
2.3
24
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Glass?
25
```

72 1 BRIAN K. WEST, called by Kentucky Power 2 Company, having been first duly sworn, testified as 3 follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 By Ms. Glass: 6 Mr. West, can you state your business position and your employer, please. 8 Yes. I am employed by Kentucky Power as the 9 vice president of regulatory and finance. 10 And did you sponsor responses to data Q. 11 requests and portions of Kentucky Power's IRP report 12 in this case? 13 Α. Yes. Do you have any corrections to that 14 15 information? 16 Α. I do not. 17 Based on the information you knew at the 18 time, if you were asked those same questions today, would the information you provided be the same? 19 20 Α. Yes. 2.1 MS. GLASS: The witness is available for 22 cross-examination. 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. Mr. West? 24 MR. MIKE WEST: Yes, sir. * 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. West:

- 3 | Q. Hi, Mr. West. How are you doing?
- 4 A. Good. How are you?
- 5 Q. I want to start out talking about the
- 6 resources in the proposed plan. The proposed plan
- 7 calls for 480 megawatts of CT gas in '29; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. That's my recollection, yes.
- 10 | Q. So we're approaching, I guess, four and a
- 11 | half years from 2029. Can you just give me an idea
- 12 of the timeline that the Company is going to go
- 13 through and when it'll start taking initial steps
- 14 | towards construction of those assets or planning of
- 15 those assets?
- 16 A. Sure. It's a process. It takes a while. I
- 17 believe in discovery we were estimating somewhere
- 18 around six years, and that was when we, you know,
- 19 began the IRP process in 2022 to bring an asset like
- 20 | that online.
- I would expect that the timeline would -- as
- 22 Mr. Spitznogle had talked about, there's permitting
- 23 that has to be done. You know, there's things with
- 24 | PJM that have to be done.
- 25 I'm not familiar with all of those timelines.

- 1 Mr. Spitznogle said a lot of those permits have
- 2 different time horizons, I believe. And I'm not
- 3 | sure about how long the PJM process would take. So
- 4 | it's difficult to give you a definitive timeline at
- 5 | this time.
- 6 Q. Okay. You mentioned six years. Are you
- 7 | saying that it's a six-year period from the first
- 8 | step being taken until the plant coming online?
- 9 A. In general, that's the best estimate that we
- 10 | had at the time.
- 11 Q. Have any of those steps been taken at this
- 12 time?
- 13 A. At this time, no.
- 14 Q. So given that we're four and a half years
- 15 from 2029, should we assume that the 2029 projection
- 16 | for bringing those resources online is outdated at
- 17 this point?
- 18 A. I'm not sure I would say it's outdated because
- 19 the -- the options to self -- or the, you know,
- 20 opportunities to purchase an existing asset also
- 21 exist.
- 22 | Q. Okay.
- 23 A. And that could bring an asset online sooner
- 24 | than that.
- 25 | Q. Let's talk about peak demand just briefly, a

- 1 | couple questions. I believe it's in an exhibit to
- 2 | the IRP report, but is it -- ballpark, your summer
- 3 | peak demand is, can I say a thousand megawatts, and
- 4 your winter is 1,200 to 1,300? Is that roughly
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. I think that's roughly correct, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And your IRP plan for nine percent
- 8 reserve margin on a summer peak; is that correct?
- 9 A. I'm not confident about that. Any planning
- 10 questions would be probably -- or would be better
- 11 directed to Witness Soller or Witness Haratym to
- 12 verify that.
- 13 Q. So are you aware that the IRP report was
- 14 planning to meet your PJM capacity requirements, or
- 15 | are you not aware of that?
- 16 A. Yes, I am aware of that.
- 17 Q. Okay. And your PJM capacity requirements
- 18 | relate to your summer peak or your winter peak or
- 19 both?
- 20 A. The summer peak, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. So if you plan to meet your summer
- 22 | peak, would that leave you uncovered for a portion
- 23 of your winter peak?
- 24 A. It does not. In this IRP we did a winter
- 25 | sensitivity analysis, and our contractual

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

relationship with PJM is a resource to us, just like Big Sandy, Mitchell, other contractual bilateral contracts that we have.

PJM is a resource. It's a contractual resource that we use to serve our customers and provide safe and adequate capacity.

- Q. Are you familiar with the RFPs that were issued -- I don't have the date on the tip of my tongue, but somewhat recently by the Company?
- 10 A. I'm aware of those. They were issued in 11 September of 2023.
- Q. Okay. And would I be recalling those
 correctly to say that they solicited 875 megawatts
 of resources in the summer and 1,300 megawatts in
 the winter?
- 16 A. That sounds roughly correct.
 - Q. Okay. So I guess my question is: Are those solicitations consistent with the plan in the IRP, or are you trying to do something different to meet that winter peak through that solicitation?
 - A. I think they're consistent with the plan in the IRP. There is a -- as most people, I think, are aware, there's a possibility of a winter requirement in PJM, so it was a prudent thing to do to issue an RFP in that manner to see what resources would be

- 1 available.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- A. There is no require -- winter requirement at this time, though.
- 5 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the -- I think
- 6 it was six or seven portfolios that were modeled in
- 7 | the IRP?
- 8 A. Generally, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Are you aware that only one of those
- 10 portfolios included a combined cycle unit?
- 11 A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
- 12 Q. And I'm not going to make you read this.
- 13 I'll just read it to you and you can confirm if you
- 14 think this is correct, but the IRP states, (Reading)
- 15 In this portfolio, a CC was assumed to be built in
- 16 | 2029 in place of the CT from the reference portfolio
- and optimization was performed around that
- 18 | assumption.
- Does that language sound consistent with
- 20 what's in the IRP at page 159 --
- 21 A. It does.
- 22 Q. -- subject to check?
- A. Or is your -- when you say page 159, are you
- 24 meaning the page number at the bottom or at the top?
- 25 Q. It's the PDF.

- A. The PDF page. Okay.
- 2 Q. On my -- on mine the headers are kind of
- 3 | obscured by some of the language, so --
- 4 A. I -- yeah, on mine too.
- 5 Q. -- I use the PDF.
- 6 MR. GISH: But it's the --
- 7 | Q. It's under Figure 74, Annual Resource
- 8 Additions in CC Portfolio.
- 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. And it's the second sentence after that
- 11 | figure, (Reading) In this portfolio a CC was assumed
- 12 to be built.
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 O. So --
- 15 A. I see that.
- 16 Q. My question is just: Do you know what that
- 17 optimization entailed?
- 18 A. Again, those modeling questions need to be
- 19 | directed to Witness Soller --
- 20 Q. Soller?
- 21 A. -- and Witness Haratym.
- 22 Q. Do you have any information about whether
- 23 | combined cycle plants are generally less expensive
- 24 than CT units on a levelized cost basis?
- 25 A. I don't have that information off the top of

my head, no.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Different line of questions. The proposed plan called for 700 megawatts of wind, all of which will be transmitted from outside Kentucky, correct?
- A. I believe that is correct, yes.
 - Q. And if you're looking for reference within the document, I think it's on PDF page 169. It kind of summarizes the preferred plan.
 - But -- and then it also included 800 megawatts of solar, I believe 25 percent of which will be transmitted from -- and I want to clear this up. I believe -- my notes said outside of Kentucky. That leaves 600 megawatts of solar.

Will that be in service territory or just somewhere within Kentucky generally? Do you have any information about that?

A. I do not, and the IRP is -- it -- when they look at resources, they look at generic resources that are, I believe, in the PJM footprint. They don't necessarily -- you know, it's not location-specific analysis.

So we made assumptions that a certain percentage would be located in Kentucky, perhaps in our service territory, perhaps not, but then a

- certain percentage in the PJM footprint.
- 2 Q. Does that mainly have to do with suitability
- 3 of terrain for the placement of those resources,
- 4 | things like that? That's why you assumed that the
- 5 | wind and --

- 6 A. As far as solar goes, and I think everyone
- 7 knows, it's tough to find flat land in our service
- 8 | territory --
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 **A**. -- for that.
- 11 Q. Okay. And so that -- that was kind of my
- 12 | question is: I think I had in my notes at some
- point that 600 of the 800 megawatts of solar would
- 14 be within Kentucky, but then I thought elsewhere I
- 15 | saw that it said within the service territory, so I
- 16 | just wanted to clear that up, that the 600 megawatts
- of solar might be placed elsewhere in Kentucky in
- 18 | places perhaps more suitable on a terrain basis
- 19 | than -- than the service territory?
- 20 A. That -- that's certainly possible. You don't
- 21 really know what's available until you, you know, do
- 22 an RFP.
- 23 Q. So, just a very broad question: Would it not
- 24 be more efficient for Eastern Kentuckians to meet
- 25 | their energy needs by producing their own energy

2.1

- within their own service territory?
- A. Could -- could you ask that one more time, please?
- Q. Would it not be more efficient for Eastern

 Kentuckians to meet their own energy needs by

 producing their own energy within their own service

 territory?
 - A. Not necessarily. The -- the way that Kentucky Power serves its customers with owned resources -- a mixture of owned resources, contracted-for resources, and our contract PJM provides us with access to PJM's diverse resource portfolio, which is both geographically diverse as well as resource-type diverse. And that provides us a lot of flexibility, a lot of opportunity, a lot of access to that generation that an owned resource wouldn't necessarily have.
 - Q. Would you agree that a comparison between the cost of using owned resources and other resources such as those that you were just referencing could only be fully analyzed if you accounted for the transmission costs associated with getting those electrons from where they were generated to where they were needed?
 - A. I think it would probably be better to direct

- that question to Witness Vaughan.
- 2 Q. So, just to close that loop, do you have any
- 3 knowledge about whether transmission costs were
- 4 | included in the models for selecting these 700
- 5 | megawatts of wind and 800 megawatts of solar?
- A. I believe there was something in here about transmission and distribution costs that were
- 8 assumed to be -- I forget the exact language, and I
- 9 don't know -- I don't have a page number reference.
- 10 They weren't specifically included in any of
- 11 the analysis that I'm aware of. Again, I think it
- 12 goes back to the IRP looks at generic resources and
- 13 not location-specific, and if you get into
- 14 location-specific, then is when you have to start
- 15 looking at transmission costs and things.
- 16 Q. So --

- 17 A. That could be -- that could be verified,
- again, by Witness Soller or Haratym.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did Kentucky Power consider whether --
- 20 | well, let me take a step back.
- 21 Are you familiar -- did you read the Attorney
- 22 General's comment in this case?
- 23 A. Yes. It's been some time ago, but I did read
- 24 | it.
- 25 Q. Are you familiar with the references that we

made to resource adequacy concerns expressed by PJM leadership at times, such as before the Kentucky Legislature?

A. I remember reading that.

- Q. Given those resource adequacy concerns that have been articulated by various individuals, did Kentucky Power give any consideration to whether the utility being self-sufficient when it comes to energy generation and kind of being -- not totally siloed, but more siloed off would be appropriate or not?
- A. Well, I think what the Company does is look at all options. If -- if a self-build makes sense, we would look at that. If purchasing an asset makes sense, we would look at that. It's not restricted to only PPAs, for instance, or bilateral contracts. We look at everything, and if it makes sense and it's reasonable cost for our customers, then it's something that we would look at and bring to the Commission for consideration.

21 MR. MIKE WEST: That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz?

MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Kurtz:

- 3 Q. Good morning, Mr. West.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. You would agree that since the IRP was filed
- 6 in March of 2023, there have been a lot of
- 7 | significant developments in the industry? For
- 8 | example, the new -- the new final CO2 rules, for
- 9 example?
- 10 A. I certainly agree there's been a lot of
- 11 changes.
- 12 Q. Okay. The IRP did not model the capacity
- 13 | factor limitations in the final CO2 rule on new
- 14 | natural gas plants, did it, because it -- you
- 15 | couldn't have because it didn't exist.
- 16 A. That would be my assumption, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. The IRP assumed between a 10 and a 43
- 18 | dollar per megawatt hour CO2 tax or penalty or cost,
- 19 | did it not?
- 20 A. I'm not familiar with the specific numbers.
- 21 Again, Witness Soller or Witness Haratym would know
- 22 **that.**
- 23 Q. Okay. But are you aware that there was a CO2
- 24 | cost modeled in every scenario?
- 25 A. I believe that there was a portfolio that was

- a no-carbon portfolio, but I think that there was a
- 2 carbon burden included in all the others.
- 3 Q. In your preferred plan?
- 4 A. Subject to check.
- 5 | Q. Okay. And we know now that EPA did not
- 6 | propose any kind of CO2 costs, instead they proposed
- 7 | these capacity factor limitations on new gas
- 8 generation, correct?
- 9 A. I believe that's correct. That may have been
- a good question for Witness Spitznogle.
- 11 Q. Okay. One last thing in terms of the major
- 12 changes, probably more than this. ELCC, are you
- 13 | familiar with that?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. That's the effective load carrying
- 16 | capability. It's sort of the capacity value PJM
- 17 | puts on different resources?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. Since the IRP was filed, the ELCC for
- 20 | solar in PJM has gone way, way down. Are you aware
- 21 of that?
- 22 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 23 Q. That means you have to have more megawatts of
- 24 | solar to get the same capacity value?
- 25 A. That would be my understanding, yes.

- 1 Q. The 700 megawatts of out-of-state wind, do
- 2 | you know how -- do you know that the IRP assumes a
- 3 cost of about \$2,100 a kW for wind?
- 4 A. I don't know the exact number.
- 5 Q. Okay. Assume that. So the capital cost of
- 6 this out-of-state investment would be approximately
- 7 \$1.47 billion, correct?
- 8 A. I don't know that for certain.
- 9 Q. 700,000 times -- times 2,100.
- 10 A. Are you assuming that we would build it, when
- 11 | you say the capital cost?
- 12 | Q. Well, somebody would build it, whether it's
- 13 AEP or a third party, but it would cost approximately
- 14 | \$1.4 billion, whether it -- you have to spend it or
- 15 | somebody else.
- 16 A. Well, that could be, but the RFPs that were
- 17 issued were for PPAs, not building wind.
- 18 | Q. If -- do you know the effective property tax
- 19 | rate that Kentucky Power used in its last rate case?
- 20 | A. No, sir; I don't.
- 21 Q. It was approximately 0.66 percent. Just
- 22 assume that. So if you -- if you spent -- if
- 23 | Kentucky Power spent \$1.4 billion in Lawrence County
- 24 at the existing Big Sandy 1 site, local government
- 25 | would get approximately \$9.7 billion in --

- 1 | 9.7 million annually in tax revenue on a -- on a
- 2 \$1.4 billion investment.
- 3 A. Assuming your math is correct, sir.
- 4 | Q. And local government will get zero tax money
- 5 | if the wind is built out of state. You know that,
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. I would assume it would be a lesser number,
- 8 yes.
- 9 0. It would be zero if it's built out of state.
- 10 A. I assume so, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And if something is built out of
- 12 | state, there won't be any local construction jobs,
- 13 | would there?
- 14 A. No, there would not be if it's built out of
- 15 state. Yes.
- 16 | Q. There would be no local permanent jobs if
- 17 it's built out of state?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Mr. West asked you this. The -- the IRP
- 20 assumed no transmission expense because, as you
- 21 explained, it's a generic modeling, not
- 22 | location-specific; is that correct?
- 23 A. That's my understanding. Again, Witness
- 24 | Soller or Haratym can verify that.
- 25 Q. Do you know that at your Big Sandy site, the

- 1 existing site in Lawrence County -- what's the name
- 2 of the city? I forget now.
- 3 A. Louisa?
- 4 Q. Louisa.
- 5 A. Louisa.
- 6 Q. Do you know that there's transmission already
- 7 at the site?
- 8 A. There is, but there -- it's my understanding
- 9 that we don't have the interconnection any longer at
- 10 | that site.
- 11 Q. But there's transmission there because it --
- 12 | there was transmission that served the 800 --
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. -- megawatt Big Sandy 2 plant that was retired
- 15 | about a decade ago, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct. There's transmission there.
- 17 Q. And there's gas pipeline capacity already
- 18 | going to that site?
- 19 A. Yes, there's a gas line.
- 20 | Q. Is it one or two gas lines?
- 21 A. There's one.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you know who the supplier is?
- 23 **A.** I do not.
- 24 Q. Okay. Just real quick, let me hand you --
- 25 | these are all -- I guess we can just mark it real

- 1 quickly as KIUC Exhibit 1.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: This is just an excerpt
- 3 from the IRP?
- 4 MR. KURTZ: Yeah. So if we could just have
- 5 | it marked for convenience.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MS. SACRE: Mr. Kurtz?
- 8 MR. KURTZ: Oh, sorry.
- 9 MS. SACRE: You always leave me out.
- 10 Q. Okay. This is -- this is the rate -- part of
- 11 | the rate aspect of the plan. This is --
- MS. GLASS: Mr. Kurtz, can I just ask that
- 13 | you applied this yellow highlighting, it's not meant
- 14 to indicate confidential information is my
- 15 understanding.
- 16 MR. KURTZ: Correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. This just discusses the preferred plan
- 18 | which you discussed with Mr. West, but let's go to
- 19 the very end where you rank -- where you rank these
- 20 plans.
- Do you have that? It's page 4 of KIUC
- 22 Exhibit 1, which is page 176 of the IRP.
- 23 **A**. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. Am I reading this correct that CC
- 25 | portfolio is combined cycle?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And compared to your proposed plan,
- 3 | the combined cycle is slightly less expensive on a
- 4 present value basis, correct?
- 5 A. And where are you looking for that, sir?
- 6 Q. Long-term 15-year CPW. It's highlighted.
- 7 The combined cycle case versus the preferred plan,
- 8 64.6 versus 64.8, slightly -- slightly less
- 9 expensive, basically the same. Is that how I -- is
- 10 | that the right way to read this?
- 11 A. I believe that is, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. It's also slightly -- well, less
- 13 risky, cost risk, 56.8 versus 58.3, correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. The final, this is the local impacts,
- 16 | the capex installed inside service territory, the
- 17 | combined cycle would be one -- 1.528 billion versus
- 18 | 1.355 billion for the preferred plan, correct? And
- 19 | that's really just --
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. -- showing the capital costs of the combined
- 22 cycle is more than the capital costs of a peaking
- 23 unit because everything else in the two plans were
- 24 | exactly the same, right?
- 25 A. I believe that's correct, yes.

91 1 Q. Okay. MR. KURTZ: One more handout. 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mark this as 2? 4 MR. KURTZ: Yes, sir. 5 MS. SACRE: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: This is the excerpt 7 starting on page 180, Mr. Kurtz? 8 MR. KURTZ: Yes. 9 Okay. This is -- this is the rate impacts of 0. 10 the preferred plan; is that correct? 11 Yes, it appears to be. Α. 12 Tell me, on page 2 of KIUC Exhibit 2, how 13 should I read this? The nominal dollar per kilowatt hour, in 2023 it's -- it's 16.5 cents a kilowatt 14 15 hour. What does that represent? 16 Α. I believe it represents the approximate rate 17 impact of implementing the plan as it is presented 18 in the IRP. The -- the --19 0. 20 I would really like for Witness Soller and 2.1 Witness Haratym, who developed this table, to weigh 22 in on that, though. I think that you should ask 23 them for sure. 24 Q. Okay. Well, let me -- a couple just basic: Do you know if this is a residential number or an 25

- 1 | average across all your customers?
- 2 A. I do not.
- 3 Q. Okay. And the footnote says it doesn't
- 4 | include increases in transmission or distribution
- 5 | that may occur over the years. So -- okay. I'll
- 6 ask them.
- A. Yes, please.
- 8 Q. Let me -- let me ask you this: Do
- 9 | you know how Mitchell was modeled in this?
- 10 A. It -- it was assumed that Mitchell would no
- 11 longer be available to serve Kentucky Power
- 12 customers after 2028 is my understanding.
- 13 Q. Is it -- is it 12-31-28 or June 1, 2028,
- 14 | which is the PJM planning year?
- 15 A. It's my understanding that we would have --
- 16 the difficulty with that is that you'd have the
- energy until the end of 2028, but because the PJM
- 18 | planning year begins on June 1st of the year and
- 19 goes to May 31st of the following year, spanning
- 20 past the end of December, you have to have something
- 21 in place beginning on 1-1 of '29.
- 22 And again, Witness Soller and Witness Haratym
- 23 | would be able to explain exactly how they modeled
- 24 that.
- 25 | Q. Okay.

93 1 MR. KURTZ: I do want to -- I just have one 2 more exhibit and one more set of questions around 3 how Mitchell was modeled. 4 There you go, the yellow. MS. GLASS: You need one for Mr. West. 5 6 MR. KURTZ: Oh. All right. The most 7 important guy. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 MS. GLASS: And again, you applied this 10 yellow highlighting, Mr. Kurtz? 11 MR. KURTZ: Right. 12 So this says that the replacement capacity was modeled to begin June 1, '28, but 13 14 then -- but you testified that Kentucky Power would have the energy available to it until the end of 15 the -- until the end of 2028? 16 17 I believe that's correct. Α. 18 Q. Okay. 19 But I'm not the witness for this question. Α. 20 Q. Okay. That's helpful. 2.1 Let me ask you to turn to page 3 of this 22 exhibit. The -- this is the dollar amount of 23 capacity purchases. In 2028 it's 15,688,000. 24 you know if that is -- that's just for the

seven-month period June 1 of 2028 till 12-31-28?

- A. I do not know if that's the period or not.
- 2 Q. Do you know if the modeling assumed that all
- 3 | the Mitchell costs were going to come out of rates
- 4 and be replaced with this capacity purchase cost
- 5 instead?

- 6 A. I do not know how that was modeled.
- 7 | Q. Well, you would agree that it would -- well,
- 8 | let me ask you: Would it be reasonable to charge
- 9 customers for the capacity purchased as well as
- 10 | continue charging them for Mitchell?
- 11 A. Well, I -- for purposes of this IRP, it was
- 12 assumed that, as I said, Mitchell would be no longer
- 13 serving customers after 2028, and I don't think we
- should confuse that with what happens to the
- ownership of Mitchell after 2028. That's a separate
- 16 | matter.
- 17 Q. Kentucky Power will still retain ownership
- 18 unless and until it's sold or transferred, correct?
- 19 A. That -- that's correct. But like I said,
- 20 | that's not modeled in this IRP.
- 21 Q. Let me ask you: This is a data response that
- 22 | Staff asked you in sort of a companion case, the
- 23 | adequate service investigation, and talking about
- 24 | the remaining net book cost.
- 25 MR. GISH: Mr. Chairman, we would object to

reference to the investigation case as a companion case to this one. It's an entirely separate matter.

MR. KURTZ: I'll rephrase.

MR. GISH: Thank you.

Q. To the entirely separate noncompanion case. But do you know how the remaining net book

cost of Mitchell was modeled in the rate impacts?

In other words --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

A. In the IRP?

- 10 Q. Yeah. Well, we have these rates impacts
- 11 showing what the rates on consumers will be, and
- 12 I'll ask the other witnesses how they did that. But
- 13 do you know if this rate impact, KIUC Exhibit 2,
- 14 assumed that consumers would continue paying for the
- 15 | fixed costs of Mitchell after 2028 when they're not
- 16 getting any of the capacity or energy from it?
- 17 A. Again, I -- I did not do the modeling, I
- don't know how that was modeled, and the ownership
- 19 of Mitchell is a completely separate matter.
- 20 Q. So if Kentucky Power continues to own it,
- 21 | would it be your expectation that you would seek to
- 22 recover the remaining net book costs even if you
- 23 | were getting -- even if consumers were getting no
- 24 energy or capacity from the power plant?
- 25 A. That hasn't been determined yet, but something

```
1
     has to be done with the net book value, yes.
2
           MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Mr. West. No further
3
     questions.
4
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gary? Mr. Cmar?
5
           I'm sorry. Before we move on to you,
6
     Mr. Cmar, would you like to move to introduce these
     exhibits, Mr. Kurtz?
8
           MR. KURTZ: Yes, sir. I move to introduce
9
     KIUC 1 -- 1, 2, 3.
10
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Glass?
11
           MS. GLASS: Well, Mr. Kurtz did not ask any
     questions about pages 7, 8, or 9 of this exhibit,
12
     nor do we know what it is or where it came from.
13
14
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Which exhibit, I'm sorry,
15
     the third one or the --
16
           MS. GLASS: KIUC 3.
17
           MR. KURTZ: Well, I will say this: 7, 8, and
     9 all came from this case. 9 is the -- where the
18
19
     reference KIUC 1-20 -- K -- AG/KIUC 1-23, and the
20
     remaining net book value of Mitchell at current of
2.1
     518 million, that was also from this case.
22
           MR. GISH: From -- you mean from --
```

McLendon-Kogut Reporting Service, LLC

MR. GISH: This case in the IRP? The IRP

MR. KURTZ: I don't have a reference to it.

I mean, obviously it's the Company's work product.

23

24

```
97
1
     case?
2
           MR. KURTZ: Yes, the IRP case.
           MS. GLASS: I'm not sure that's correct for
3
4
     number 7 -- for page 7, but I don't know.
           MR. GISH: Is it the -- is it Attachment 1 to
5
     the --
6
           MR. KURTZ: Mr. Chairman, it's clearly a
8
     Kentucky Power document. I actually don't --
9
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I take it, Ms. Glass,
10
     that 7 is an attachment to the data request that's
11
     4.
12
           MS. GLASS: I think that --
13
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: It says, (Reading) Please
     see KPSC 3 2 Attachment 1 for the net book value.
14
15
           That's the initial Response a.
16
           MR. KURTZ: Right.
17
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: They seem to all be the
18
     same. 4, 5, 6, and 7 seem to all be the same thing.
19
           MS. GLASS: I think that sounds logical. I'd
20
     just like to confirm that if I can.
2.1
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That would be fine.
22
     we'll come back to this --
23
           MS. GLASS: Okay.
24
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: -- and give you an
25
     opportunity to make sure.
```

```
98
 1
           MS. GLASS:
                       Thank you.
                                    And then --
 2
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So I'll -- any objection
 3
     to 1 and 2?
 4
           MR. GISH: Just with the note that the
 5
     highlighted yellow information is for highlighting,
 6
     not for confidentiality.
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah. At this point I've
 8
     gotten used to the difference between normal
 9
     highlighting and Mr. Kurtz's hand highwriting --
10
     highlighting.
11
           MR. GISH:
                     By agreement letter or something.
12
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. So no -- so
13
     moved for KIUC 1 and 2, and we'll come back to
14
     Mr. -- come back to 3, Mr. Kurtz.
15
           (KIUC Exhibit 1 and KIUC Exhibit 2 admitted.)
           CHAIR CHANDLER: Mr. Cmar.
16
17
           MR. CMAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
     By Mr. Cmar:
20
     Q.
           Good morning, Mr. West.
2.1
           Good morning.
     Α.
22
     Q.
           Good to see you again.
23
           You had mentioned earlier the all-source RFP
24
     that was issued in September 2023, correct?
25
     Α.
           Yes.
```

- 1 Q. And that was posted on Kentucky Power's
- 2 | website; is that right?
- 3 A. I think so, yes.
- 4 Q. And there was a schedule posted on the website
- 5 | with a timeline for the RFP process, correct?
- 6 A. I think so. I don't recall specifically.
- 7 Q. Do you recall that that schedule said that
- 8 | there would be initial resource selection by
- 9 January 31, 2024?
- 10 A. It may have said that, yes.
- 11 Q. Now, I don't -- without revealing anything
- 12 | that you would consider confidential, are you able to
- 13 say whether that stage of the process has occurred?
- 14 A. I think in general, analysis is continuing,
- 15 | is ongoing.
- 16 | Q. And that would be analysis of the responses
- 17 to the RFP?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And then also as part of that schedule, it
- 20 said that definitive agreements were supposed to be
- 21 executed by June 1. Do you remember that?
- 22 A. That sounds correct.
- 23 Q. Again, without revealing anything you would
- 24 | consider confidential, are you able to say if that
- 25 | part of the process has occurred?

- A. At this point I can just say that analysis is ongoing.
- Q. Has Kentucky Power made any decisions yet, based on the responses to the RFP, as to whether to move forward with any of the -- the responses?
 - A. That would be confidential information.
 - Q. Are you able to share whether any regulatory filings --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I'm sorry.

MR. CMAR: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

11 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel?

MS. GLASS: That information is commercially sensitive. We're going through the process now. He's indicated it's confidential, not that he can't respond.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Right.

MS. GLASS: If we wanted to go into confidential session --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That's why I wanted -- I wanted to have an appreciation. That's why I was confused of, you know, asking them what decision you make is certainly confidential. Whether a decision has been made, that's what I was sort of making sure that you-all -- you-all assert that whether a decision has been made is confidential.

```
1
           MR. GISH:
                      Whether final documents have been
2
     executed and the nature of the negotiations, but --
3
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: See, that's -- see,
4
     that's why I'm asking.
5
           MR. GISH: But that's an easy whether --
6
           CHAIR CHANDLER: That's why I'm asking.
     So --
8
           MR. GISH: Yeah, because of the -- yeah.
9
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So you-all would like to
     move into confidential session?
10
11
           MS. GLASS: If Mr. Cmar requires details in
12
     an answer more than what Mr. West has responded,
13
     then yes, we do need to go into confidential session.
14
           MR. CMAR: I may seek to do that, Your Honor,
15
     but I might want to ask just a few more questions in
16
     the public session first.
17
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That'll be fine.
18
           MR. CMAR: Thank you.
19
           Are you able to share whether any regulatory
     0.
20
     filings are planned at this time based on the RFP
2.1
     responses?
22
           Assuming -- I mean, we would plan to make a
23
     regulatory filing assuming that we contract with a
```

particular resource, but I don't have timing for

24

25

that right now.

- 1 Q. Can I ask you to turn to -- do you have your
- 2 data responses that you sponsored with you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Could I ask you to turn to the response to
- 5 Joint Intervenors 2-13?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And you see there that this response relates
- 8 to whether there's been any specific plans or
- 9 documents developed to construct a new gas resource,
- 10 | including at the Big Sandy site. Do you see that?
- 11 | A. I do.
- 12 Q. Are you able to say whether that's still true
- 13 today?
- 14 A. The Company, as I stated, looks at all
- 15 resources, all types, whatever we determine is most
- 16 reasonable and best for our customers. As far as
- 17 any analysis regarding the Big Sandy site, that's
- 18 privileged information and I cannot get into that.
- 19 Q. Is the -- is the data response, the public --
- 20 this is a public data response. Is it still accurate
- 21 today?
- 22 A. At the time it was written, it was accurate.
- 23 Q. Is it still accurate today?
- 24 A. As I said, sir, that's privileged information
- 25 | and I can't get into it.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I'm sorry, Counsel. Do you want to clarify the -- I don't -- I don't know that the wit -- so here -- and I only ask this -- MS. GLASS: He can.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: -- because I don't think the witness' -- I don't know that a lay witness is able to assert privilege, technical privilege. So I just want to make sure that -- that you-all -- that you're on the same page as your witness about the concern being the confidential status of the response, not necessarily asserting a certain privilege.

MS. GLASS: I'll let Mr. Garcia-Santana address that.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Yeah. Can I sit down for a second, just to make sure that --

MS. GLASS: Sure.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: -- I've got a good information set.

Yeah. The witness is instructed not to reveal the content of privileged information that may be related to the subject matter of the -- the question.

The fact that information or a request has been done would be the type of information that

2.1

would show up in a privilege log, but I don't think that the witness is at liberty to be specific about whether that would relate specifically to the answer to the question.

I think that that's the -- the point where the witness is finding that the information that is being requested he cannot answer without revealing confidential information.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Well, that's why I want to be distinct. Confidential information or privileged information?

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Well, at the request of counsel, sir, the witness cannot disclose the contents of the communications, and I think that the -- the problem here is that he cannot be specific about the answer without revealing the content of the communications with counsel.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. So --

VICE CHAIR HATTON: So it's attorney-client communications --

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Correct.

VICE CHAIR HATTON: -- that you're asserting?

CHAIR CHANDLER: Okay. So I --

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: So to the extent that he can answer the question without referring to

2.1

confidential information, then -- or privileged information.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah, and that's what I want to be -- so there's a question. So the data request -- basically the question, as I understand it, from counsel is, is -- are the answers to the response with passage of time still accurate, not as it relates to then, but as of today.

And the initial question is: Is Kentucky

Power developing, and then -- then there are two

follow-up questions with A and B, of which I take it

that you're asserting privilege as it relates to

A and B, not the initial question, or are you

asserting privilege as to all three questions?

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: No. To anything that's

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Cmar?

specific to -- to actual plans.

MR. CMAR: Thank you, Your Honor. I think, just to streamline the process, maybe we could go -- if we could go into confidential session now and just ask a couple questions to see if --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That'll be fine.

- MR. CMAR: -- how that goes.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Move into confidential
- 3 session, Candace.

- 4 MS. SACRE: Yes, sir.
- 5 CHAIR CHANDLER: Let us know when we are.
- 6 (Confidential testimony heard from 11:40 a.m.
- 7 to 11:51 a.m.)
- 8 MS. SACRE: We're on normal, Chairman.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Cmar?
- 10 MR. CMAR: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 Q. I hope -- I have some more questions that are
- 12 | appropriate for the public session, and hopefully we
- 13 | won't be -- need to go into confidential information.
- 14 A. Sure.
- 15 Q. But if at any point confidential information
- 16 is implicated, please don't hesitate to let us know.
- I'd like to refer you to Kentucky Power's
- 18 response to Joint Intervenors Request Number 2-34.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And this response shows Kentucky Power's
- 21 | capacity purchases through PJM delivery year
- 22 | '25-'26; is that correct?
- 23 **A**. Yes.
- 24 Q. And this response is dated -- well, it's --
- 25 on the page here it says dated July 24th. I believe

- 1 | that was the date the request was made. I believe
- 2 the response was provided September 8th, 2023. Does
- 3 | that sound correct?
- 4 A. That sounds correct, subject to check.
- 5 Q. Since September 2023, has Kentucky Power
- 6 entered into any other contracts for capacity
- 7 purchases?
- 8 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 9 Q. Does the Company anticipate needing to make
- 10 any capacity purchases for PJM delivery year
- 11 | '26-'27?
- 12 A. I haven't seen that analysis yet. I'm trying
- 13 to think when that might be available, but I don't
- 14 | believe I've seen the analysis yet.
- 15 | Q. Do you know when -- relative to a PJM
- 16 delivery year, by when would the Company need to be
- 17 | going through that process of determining whether
- 18 | capacity purchases need to be made and -- and making
- 19 | those purchases?
- 20 A. Well, those -- those dates kind of move
- 21 around a lot with PJM anymore with various things
- 22 being delayed. Witnesses Pearce and Vaughan may be
- able to provide more detail around that timeline.
- 24 | But I think in general, if -- if you have a -- you
- 25 know, you have to do something by a certain date,

- that the analysis needs to be, you know, looked at several months in advance to, you know, fully -- fully analyze it and vet it through Kentucky Power and decide.
- That -- that's my general recollection of the timeline.
- Q. But this is not something that's on your radar currently?
- A. Like I said, I don't believe I've seen any -- any analysis related to the next delivery year.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- Could you -- Mr. Kurtz helpfully passed out
 as part of --
- MR. CMAR: Is this -- Mike, is this KIUC 1?
- MR. KURTZ: No, I think what you're looking
- 16 | at is --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- 17 MR. CMAR: Oh.
- 18 MR. KURTZ: Sorry. Yes. Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. Could you refer to KIUC 1, page 2?
- 20 MR. GISH: This is page 174?
- 21 MR. CMAR: Page 174 of 1182 of the IRP, yes.
- 22 **A. Yes.**
- 23 Q. There's two figures there, Figures 80 and 81,
- 24 and these -- these figures show Kentucky Power's
- 25 | capacity and energy positions under the preferred

- plan in the IRP; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes, that's what they appear to show.
- 3 Q. And for the year 2026 they show Kentucky
- 4 | Power adding a hundred megawatts of new wind
- 5 resources; is that correct?
- 6 A. I believe so, yes.
- 7 Q. Do you know if that's the Company's current
- 8 | plan is to add a hundred megawatts of new wind in
- 9 2026?

- 10 A. Well, it's part of the preferred plan, as you
- 11 pointed out, but, you know, as I said, the IRP is
- 12 done at a point in time with all of the best
- 13 information that is available at that time and the
- 14 | best analysis.
- 15 Where the rubber actually meets the road is
- 16 when the Company does an RFP and finds out what
- 17 resources are actually available, how do they -- how
- 18 do they score in the analysis that's done, are they
- 19 | the most reasonable cost and economic for the
- 20 customer.
- 21 So these resources were selected as part of
- 22 the modeling. Witnesses Soller and Haratym could
- 23 talk about the modeling at length. But when it
- 24 comes to what's available, that's what you get with
- 25 | your RFP analysis and what's -- what we're going

through now.

1

2

So does that help?

- 3 Q. Yes. So is it fair to say that -- that this
- 4 | 100-megawatt capacity need will be filled based on
- 5 the responses to the 2023 RFP?
- 6 A. I would say so, yes.
- 7 | Q. Back to Figure 80. It shows for the year
- 8 | 2027 250 -- a 250-megawatt solar addition, and then
- 9 it says 100/100. Do you see that? The wind.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Could you clarify, what does the 100/100
- 12 mean?
- 13 A. I believe what that means -- and again,
- 14 Witness Soller could answer or verify this for me.
- 15 But where it says after wind T1 and T2, I think
- 16 | that's like Tranche 1 and Tranche 2, if I understand
- 17 | that correctly, if I'm remembering that correctly.
- 18 | Q. So is that 200 total, 100 from each tranche?
- 19 A. I believe so, yes.
- 20 Q. With respect to those capacity needs for
- 21 | 2027, would your answers be the same as for 2026,
- 22 | that it's something that's part of the review
- 23 process for the RFP?
- 24 | A. Part of the review process, yes.
- 25 Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about the

- 1 Inflation Reduction Act. Are you familiar with the
- 2 | Energy Community Tax Credit Bonus in the energy --
- 3 | that was enacted in the Inflation Reduction Act?
- 4 A. I'm really not close to that. I know that
- 5 some of that analysis was prepared in the IRP.
- 6 Again, Witness Soller or Witness Haratym could
- 7 | probably provide more detail around that.
- 8 Q. Are you aware, broadly speaking, that this
- 9 tax credit bonus, it was a bonus for both the
- 10 | production tax credit and the investment tax credit?
- 11 A. I think so, but again, I'm not the -- I'm not
- 12 | the expert witness on that.
- 13 Q. Is there someone here today who could speak
- 14 | to what analysis the Company has done since the IRP
- 15 to evaluate these tax credit bonuses?
- 16 A. I'm honestly not sure which witness. We can
- 17 | take that under advisement, see if we can get a --
- 18 | an answer for you.
- 19 Q. Are you aware of whether the U.S. Department
- 20 of Energy has developed maps of which communities
- 21 | might be considered energy communities for purposes
- 22 of the tax credit bonus?
- 23 A. I remember hearing something about that, but
- 24 I'm not aware of or have seen anything, just hearing
- 25 | about it.

- Q. Do you know if anyone at Kentucky Power has looked into this issue?
 - A. I do not know.

3

14

15

16

- Q. And so you wouldn't have a sense of what percentage of Kentucky Power's service territory would be considered an energy community by the
- 7 Department of Energy?
- 8 A. I would not know.
- 9 Q. I have one last topic for you. Do you know
 10 the anticipated timing of Kentucky Power's next IRP?
- A. Well, typically the Commission will put
 something in in the order at the end of the IRP that
 says when they expect us to file the next one.
 - But in general, since we filed in March of '23, it should be about three years after that, so -- 'cause they're on a three-year cycle, so March of '26.
- 18 Q. Has Kentucky Power begun any work towards its 19 next IRP?
- A. Not to my knowledge. Normally the process
 would start -- I mean, if -- if we're assuming March
 of 2026, normally you'd start nine, ten months prior
 to that with, you know, initial discussions
 regarding assumptions to use in the IRP, that kind
 of thing. That's how we've typically done them.

113 1 0. Did you say nine to ten months? 2 Yeah, roughly. Roughly. Α. 3 MR. CMAR: I think that's all the questions I 4 have. Thank you, Mr. West. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Koenig, any 7 questions? Do you-all have --8 9 MR. VAN ZYL: We have some. 10 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah. All right. 11 let's take a recess for lunch. We'll come back 12 at -- come back at 12:55 and Staff can do your cross-examination. So we'll be in recess until 13 12:55. 14 15 (Recess from 12:03 p.m. to 1:06 p.m.) CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please have a seat. 16 17 Back on the record in Case Number 2023-00922. 18 Mr. West, you're still under oath. Counsel, would 19 you like to go --20 MS. GLASS: Your Honor? 2.1 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: -- begin 22 cross-examination. MS. GLASS: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to 23 state for the record that we were able to review 24

KIUC Exhibit 3 and confirm that page 7 of that

exhibit is the attachment that corresponds to the previous data request in the packet and that the last two pages are from the record of this case, so we have no objection.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Any other position on that? All right. So we'll admit KIUC 3 as well. All right. Thank you, Ms. Glass.

MS. GLASS: You're welcome.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. VAN ZYL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 11 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. West.
 - A. Good afternoon.
 - Q. Again, this should be relatively brief and far less exciting than what we've had so far. I just have a couple questions that I want to through clarification, just so that I understand them.

In your discussion with I believe Mr. West from the Attorney General's office, you were saying that Kentucky Power is going -- or the intention in the IRP is for Kentucky Power to stop receiving energy from the Mitchell plant in 2028.

Is that the end of the calendar year that you're going to stop -- that Kentucky Power is going to have like an divestiture in the energy is receives from the Michigan plant or is that in June?

- As for the energy portion, it's my understanding that it would be the end of the year.
- The end of the year, okay. So you're going Q. to receive all of the benefits that you have of it through the end of the calendar year; it's just
- 6 because you're planning because of how PJM is that that's different?
- 8 Α. Yes, yes.
- 9 So based on what was stated in the IRP, the 0. 10 proposed -- the preferred plan proposes to build
- 12 That's what's in the preferred plan, yes.

MGCTs in service as of 2029, correct?

- 13 Could you tell me, is that just by the end of Q. the year 2029 when it's proposing to have that
- 15 built?

1

2

3

4

5

11

- 16 Α. Witness Soller could verify this, but I was 17 thinking that there was a discovery response that's 18 -- that answered this question that like all new 19 resources were assumed to be like on 1/1 of a given 20 year.
- 2.1 Q. Okay.
- 22 I -- and, again, I'm going from a bad memory, 23 but that's my recollection, that there was a 24 discovery response similar to that.
- 25 Q. And there might be, and my ability to forget

- 1 things is great, so we'll find that. But the
- 2 assumption is just for our conversation today, 1/1
- 3 of 2029 is --
- 4 | A. I --
- $5 \mid Q$. -- is the sort of --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- the expectation subject to a lot of other
- 8 things?
- 9 A. Subject to check, I -- I believe that's
- 10 correct.
- 11 Q. And so it's fair to say that you don't expect
- 12 | there to be a gap between when you're receiving
- 13 energy from Mitchell ends, when the divestiture
- 14 happens and when the new resources are brought
- 15 online?
- 16 A. No. I don't expect there to be a gap. Now,
- whether it's from a self-built CT as in preferred
- 18 plan or something else comes up. It's, you know,
- 19 purchasing an existing asset. It's a contract.
- 20 | There would be no gap.
- 21 Q. And okay. No, I just wanted to clarify that.
- 22 | Thank you so much.
- 23 And we're going to go back to this, and I
- 24 | know you've answered that it's -- in testimony
- 25 | throughout today that it's difficult to provide a

- 1 | timeline, right --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | O. -- for a lot of different reasons? But I do
- 4 | believe it was either in your conversation with
- 5 Mr. Cmar or Mr. Kurtz that you did suggest, as far
- 6 as at least the MGCTs are concerned, about a
- 7 | six-year timeline to bring those online; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Well, that was the assumption at the time
- 10 when we were putting the IRP together.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 A. That was the best estimate we had at the
- 13 | time, yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And are you still operating generally
- 15 | speaking on that position that six years is about
- 16 right?
- 17 A. I haven't heard any -- I'm trying to think.
- 18 | I think in general six years is -- is a reasonable
- 19 | estimate.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. It could be a little longer. It just depends
- 22 on a number of factors; right?
- 23 Q. Right, and we've had that discussion. As a
- 24 | follow-up to that, just in the event that there is a
- 25 | significant delay, let's say six months or a year is

2.1

a significant delay, does the company prepare any contingency plans for what to do because, again, assuming now Mitchell goes off.

So we're assuming for the purposes of this question that Mitchell is gone at the end of 2028. You expect an in-service date of 1/1/29, but something happens, you don't have access to whatever, to build that, and it doesn't go in service until 1/1/2030.

Do you have a contingency plan in place for providing that capacity or providing that energy between 1/1/29 and 2030?

A. Well, I wouldn't say that there is a, you know, hard and fast contingency plan right now for six years from now or five or six years from now, but the fact that we're a member of PJM, and they are a valuable resource to providing low-cost service to our commerce, I think that speaks a lot for a contingency plan that's currently in place.

As far as the capacity goes, that -- you know, it could wind up being a bilateral contract that we would enter into at some point.

Q. As far as the bilateral contracts are concerned, what is the lead time for that? When, generally speaking, do you have to prepare to look

- 1 for available capacity or look for available energy
- 2 to enter into such an agreement for a period of a
- 3 year or six months, let's say?
- 4 A. The ones that we had done, you know, in the
- 5 | very recent past I'm wanting to say, you know, it
- 6 was at least two months and perhaps four.
 - $0 \mid Q$. Okay. But a relatively short time frame?
- 8 A. It's a -- it's a short period of time, yes,
- 9 relatively.
- 10 | Q. Is there anybody available today who has
- 11 experience -- if it's not you, who has experience
- 12 discussing sort of the particulars of environmental
- 13 permitting or of just getting, you know -- start to
- 14 | finish the process for building something like an
- 15 | MGCT or procuring the solar?
- 16 A. Well, our first witness, Mr. Spitznogle, was
- 17 | the environmental witness.
- 18 | Q. Right. And then he informed us that he
- 19 | hasn't done that process. I'm just wondering if
- 20 there's somebody more familiar perhaps with the
- 21 particulars.
- 22 A. I'm not aware --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 | A. -- if there is.
- 25 | Q. And you do not have experience in --

A. No, I do not.

2.1

Q. Could you just -- and this is from a very high level organizational standpoint. What is the process once -- so we know that from your testimony that you have issued an -- you have issued RFPs already, you know, and that you've presumably received some of them and are in the process of reviewing them. I don't think that's controversial.

Can you tell us from an organizational standpoint what happens when the RFP comes through your door right when you receive it? Is there a team responsible for collating that information and making a determination as to recommendations for that?

MS. GLASS: And, Mr. Van Zyl, you're just saying generally --

MR. VAN ZYL: Generally.

MS. GLASS: -- in the IRP process?

MR. VAN ZYL: Yeah. I just want to know -yes, I just want to know how the organization
approaches this; right?

A. Yeah.

MS. GLASS: Thank you.

Q. I just want to understand -- I don't need to know anything about anything that we have discussed

already.

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- A. Yeah.
- Q. I'm just trying to get an understanding of how Kentucky Power and AEP process this.
- A. Yeah. So there's a team at the AEP Service

 Corp. I believe their department is like commercial

 operations that this is what they do, this is what

 they specialize in.

So they worked with myself and Company

President Wiseman. I remember having -- you know,

like we had calls every Friday morning for a long

period of time where we would, you know, discuss

different options and putting the RFPs together and

making -- making decisions about, you know,

different scoring metrics and things like that.

So there's definitely a group, and we worked with them, contract -- or not contracted with them but contacted them to seek their, you know, expertise on doing this because this -- like I said, this is what they do. They're experts at it.

- Q. Who ultimately directs that review process; right? Is it -- is it you at Kentucky Power, or is it AEP, that team at AEP that ultimately directs the recommendation?
- A. They would typically -- and when I say

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

122

"they," that commercial operations group would, after they have gathered a lot of information from myself and Company President Wiseman, they would typically put different analyses together or like PowerPoint decks together, and we would go through them.

And then Kentucky Power would be the one making the final decision on what to include or whatever the decision was, but we would -- we would certainly -- myself and Ms. Wiseman would, you know, take their expertise into consideration and their recommendations into consideration and then make our final decision.

- Q. And in making that final decision is largely speaking just a business decision, right, based on all the information and analyses you received?
- A. That's right. That's right.
- Q. And speaking hypothetically, very
 hypothetically, what is the -- you receive an RFP,
 or you receive a proposal based on the RFP that you
 have. At what point --
- 22 A. Well, a quick --
- 23 Q. Oh sorry.
- 24 A. Candace? Yeah.
- MR. VAN ZYL: Yeah, the mics are having a

123 1 moment. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: It's not the mics. 2 3 the whole system. 4 THE WITNESS: It's red again. 5 MR. VAN ZYL: Well, we will hold off for just 6 a second. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So we are just -- off the 8 record now. 9 (Off the record) 10 All right. Back on the CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: 11 record. 12 Counsel, would you like to ask your question 13 again? 14 MR. VAN ZYL: Thank you, Chairman. 15 Q. Just before -- I was just asking. 16 Hypothetically speaking when your team does review, 17 right, from the time when the RFP comes through the 18 doors -- or the proposal comes through the doors 19 until it is ready for a recommendation, do you have 20 a general timeline as to what amount of time that 2.1 takes to get to that point of a recommendation? 22 Well, this is the first time I've been 23 through it --24 Q. Okay.

-- with the commercial operation's group, and

25

Α.

- 1 | I know they had put together a timeline that
- 2 Mr. Cmar had said was posted on our website, but as
- 3 | I explained, things happen that can cause the
- 4 | timeline to stretch, and it's difficult to give you
- 5 a general idea of how long it takes. I'm sorry I
- 6 can't be more specific than that.
- 7 Q. No, and I'm not looking for like a month or
- 8 | day or, you know, I think I'm just trying to have an
- 9 understanding of how long, you know, the lead way is
- 10 before that and the decision is made and you still
- 11 have to build it and get permits and all that;
- 12 right?
- 13 | A. Well --
- 14 Q. I'm just trying to have an understanding of
- 15 | the full process here.
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 | Q. So let me just ask it perhaps that way and
- 18 | just give the game away and just?
- 19 A. Well, I believe the bids were originally due
- in November of '23, and somewhere in the June time
- 21 | frame, so that would be, you know, six months
- 22 | roughly, a little more, a little less after they
- 23 were in for that analysis period to take place for,
- 24 you know, contracts to be negotiated.
- 25 Q. Sure.

- 1 A. And then it takes a little bit of time, a
- 2 month or two at the most maybe to put together a
- 3 | filing to be submitted to the commission or review.
- 4 | So in general I --
- 5 Q. Yeah.
- 6 A. That's roughly --
- 7 \mid Q. No, I appreciate that.
- 8 A. -- how long.
- 9 Q. Thank you for explaining that. I just am
- 10 | ignorant as to that process. I just wanted some
- 11 | education.
- Moving away from that and turning to
- 13 | Big Sandy specifically, I know you were not the
- 14 | modeling individual, right, but the original plan as
- 15 | I understand from the IRP is that Big Sandy was
- 16 | expected to retire in 2031, correct?
- So do you have some understanding of that?
- 18 A. Yeah, the assumption in the IRP was 2031, but
- 19 it -- it's my understanding that there's like a
- 20 misunderstanding with that; that 2031 was based on
- 21 | -- I'm trying to remember -- I think it was the
- 22 | 15-year recovery period of the gas line or something
- 23 | like that --
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. -- that -- that caused us to use this number

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

126

of 2031. That may not be exactly right, but it -it was something like that. But I believe in -that it's actually being depreciated through 2036.

So using that, 2036, through its depreciation life, you're really only looking at a four-year extension instead of ten.

Q. I see. And so that's actually very helpful. I appreciate that.

Sort of a non sequitur question but based on that, was the model -- do you have any information as to whether the model was allowed to retire at, I guess, then, before the 2036 period, or, like, was it a manual input to extend it to 2041 or was it something that was an economic decision that the model spit out?

- A. My recollection was that it was an economic decision, the model selected it.
- Q. And I supposed that was based on the environmental regulations in place; that was, like, the 2015 max and all that?
- 21 A. At the time, yes.
- Q. Okay. Regarding the -- and I apologize if this is in the record. And just direct me generally, you know, if you believe it's in the record.

Regarding the solar and wind that Kentucky

Power is proposing, like the 700 of the wind and 800

of solar that they are proposing to get, is that -is the company considering both, like, self-owned

solar and wind or is it just PPAs? What is -- what
is the company evaluating when it wants to do that?

- A. Well, as I may have mentioned, the RFPs that were put out in September of last year were for PPAs only.
- 10 Q. Okay.

- 11 A. At this time.
- Q. Okay. Is the company -- generally speaking, is there any consideration for self-own or any consideration for building that capacity at the time?
 - A. I think that there's always consideration for it. The -- it's something that based on the company's financial position at the time, that the decision was made to just go for PPAs only. But certainly the company would like to own in some -- in some respect, whether it's a self-build or it's a purchase of an existing asset, at some point in the future.
 - So it's something that, you know, we look at to see if it makes sense at the time, if it makes

- sense for our customers. It's something we always look at.
- 3 Q. Right. And the reason -- part of the reason
- 4 | I ask that is the preferred plan also includes a 50
- 5 | megawatt-hour battery, and I was wondering if part
- 6 of that is to be paired with an intermittent
- 7 resource like solar and wind, or if the battery as a
- 8 standalone was just added on?
- 9 A. Witness Soller can verify this, but I think
- 10 | it was a standalone. I don't think it was paired
- 11 | with anything.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- MR. VAN ZYL: Mr. Chairperson, I believe
- 14 that's all the questions. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Vice chairperson.
- 16 VICE CHAIR HATTON: Thank you.
- 17 EXAMINATION
- 18 BY VICE CHAIR HATTON:
- 19 | O. Hello.
- 20 **A.** Hi.
- 21 Q. Can you hear me okay?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. So this IRP was filed a little over a year
- 24 ago, I know some things have changed, lots of things
- 25 have changed about regulations. The three-year

- 1 action plan that was included in the IRP, as far as
- 2 | you know, is that still being followed or still a
- 3 | general plan?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Page 183.
- 6 A. Page 183.
- 7 Q. They're pretty general.
- 8 A. Yes, I would say in respect to Number 1 that
- 9 that's something that we -- a priority for this
- 10 company, economic development.
- 11 Q. And I'll just go ahead and ask a question
- 12 about that one, then --
- 13 **A.** Yeah.
- 14 | Q. -- Number 1.
- So when KIUC's counsel and Attorney General's
- 16 Office counsel were asking about whether Kentucky
- 17 Power has plans to build new generation within the
- 18 | service area, it seems like that would go along with
- 19 | goal Number 1 there as well, that it would create
- 20 | economic development. Do you see it that way?
- 21 A. It could, you know. I -- my traditional, you
- 22 know, definition of economic development would be
- 23 to, you know, bring new industries into our service
- 24 territory that would have, you know, jobs, tax base,
- 25 | construction jobs that would be long standing,

- 1 right. But I'm not saying that, you know, that
- 2 | couldn't fall in there, sure.
- 3 Q. Yeah. I mean, it would create some jobs;
- 4 right?
- 5 A. It would. It would. That's fair.
- 6 Q. And then Number 2 there, initiate all source
- 7 | for RFPs --
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- to add cost-effective market capacity.
- 10 Any particular emphasis on seeking RFPs or choosing
- 11 RFPs that are in the service region?
- 12 A. Well, the RFPs that were issued in September
- of last year were for -- they were all source RFPs.
- 14 So there was thermal, renewable, and storage. And
- 15 they were for resources that were in Kentucky or if
- 16 | not in Kentucky, in our service territory somewhere
- 17 in Kentucky, or they were in the PJM footprint in
- 18 | general.
- So to the extent that those resources
- 20 existed, we were seeking any and all.
- 21 Q. And since it's been over a year, how far
- 22 | along are you in that process?
- 23 A. Well, it's been over a year since we
- 24 | submitted the IRP, but we submitted the RFP --
- 25 Q. In September.

- A. -- in September of last year.
- And as I've discussed here earlier that it's a process and, you know, a number of things have changed and perhaps extended the timeline a little bit. But it's something that we're considered -- or continuing to vigorously work on.
- Q. Okay. And then same thing with Number 5
 there, the refining cost estimates and developing
 plans to extend the life of Big Sandy. That's
- 11 A. That's correct.

ongoing --

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 12 Q. -- that goal hasn't changed?
- A. That's correct. We're continuing to look at that and discuss what improvements might be needed.
- 15 Q. So I noticed in a ton of the public comments
- 16 that were submitted that people were asking for
- expanded opportunities to have rooftop solar or
- 18 various DERs. Is that something that the company is
- 19 listening to?
- 20 A. Sure. I think that customers currently have
- 21 plenty of opportunities for rooftop solar based on
- 22 our net metering service tariff.
- 23 | Q. And just --
- 24 A. I apologize, what was the other one you
- 25 mentioned?

- 1 O. DERs distributed.
- 2 A. Just in general DERs -- are you asking if we
- 3 | look at that like --
- 4 | Q. Well --
- 5 A. -- distributed generation?
- 6 Q. It looks like your customers are asking for
- 7 | it, and I just wondered if the company is giving
- 8 that some weight.
- 9 A. In what respect are they asking for it? Do
- 10 | they -- I guess I don't understand what --
- 11 Q. That's okay. I can get --
- 12 A. -- what they means exactly, when they're
- 13 asking for it.
- 14 Q. I can give some specifics.
- One of the customers who submitted a public
- 16 comment was saying that the company is free to
- 17 | continue its net metering even after the 1 percent
- 18 cap is met and that there's nothing to stop you from
- 19 doing that. You're just not required to offer it
- 20 past the 1 percent.
- Is that something the company has considered?
- 22 A. I'm trying to think. I thought that it was
- 23 in the law that it was at 1 percent.
- 24 Q. And you're not required to after that?
- 25 A. Not required to after that. I haven't been

2

3

13

- in any recent discussions. We're so far below that 1 percent that it would be quite a few years before that discussion would need to happen.
- Q. And then just lots of more general comments
 where your customers are asking if the company would
 consider doing the sort of company-financed seller
 programs where the company would pay for
 installation of solar on rooftops and then take the
 cost back off or charge the cost back in future
 billing.
- Is that something Kentucky Power is considering?
 - A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- VICE CHAIR HATTON: That's all the questions

 I have.
- 16 EXAMINATION
- 17 BY COMMISSIONER REGAN:
- 18 | Q. Good afternoon.
- 19 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. One question, and I don't know if you can
 answer this. If it's confidential and you can't, I
 understand. When you look at the RFPs and the
 responses coming back in, is a -- resources that is
 located in Kentucky, does that have a higher
- weighting than someone out of state?

134 1 MS. GLASS: I don't know if that is 2 confidential or not. I'd like to -- I just want to 3 pause and make sure --4 COMMISSIONER REGAN: Yeah. No, that's fine. MS. GLASS: -- it's not. 5 6 MR. GISH: The criteria that goes into 7 evaluating the bids internally would be 8 confidential, I believe. 9 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Correct. 10 COMMISSIONER REGAN: Yeah, okay. 11 MR. GISH: So to the extent that is part of a 12 confidential -- we can go into confidential session to discuss that --13 14 COMMISSIONER REGAN: No, that's okay. 15 MR. GISH: -- or we can -- and we'd be happy 16 to, I mean, to make sure your question is answered, 17 but that specifically --18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Candace, if you wouldn't 19 mind, let's go into the confidential session. 20 THE CLERK: Okay. You're in private, 2.1 Chairman. 22 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Are we good? 23 THE CLERK: I said you're in private, Chairman. 24

(Confidential testimony heard from 1:34 p.m.

to 1:35 p.m.)

1

4

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

THE CLERK: All right. You're on public,

Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We're back on the public record.

I'll give Mr. Vaughn an opportunity to get back to his viewing room.

EXAMINATION

- BY CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:
- Q. Did you provide input or participate in the company's responses to intervenor's comments in this case?
 - A. The company's responses to the intervenor comments, yes, I would have reviewed them.
 - Q. Okay. There's -- one of those comments, and let me know if this sounds familiar to you, and if not, we'll make sure you get a copy of them, but one of the statements on page 2 of those comments says, quote, Contrary to the AG's arguments, the RFP -- this is referring to that September RFP -- but the RFP will evaluate all the resource proposals it receives on a reasonable and nondiscriminatory basis.
 - Does that sound about right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Okay.
- 2 A. Yeah.

- 3 Q. Further on on the same page comments say,
- 4 | Kentucky Power also agrees with the Joint
- 5 | Intervenors's comment indicating that Kentucky
- 6 Power's initiation of an all-source RFP competitive
- 7 procurement process is a positive development.
- 8 It goes on to say, Furthermore, the
- 9 | all-source RFP is publically available to all
- 10 potential resource providers, including Louisville
- 11 Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities, LG&E/KU,
- 12 and East Kentucky Power Cooperative, EKPC, to bid
- any resources into the RFP, making many of the
- 14 | criticisms from the AG and KIUC moot.
- Do you remember -- do those comments sound
- 16 familiar?
- 17 A. Yes, I'm looking at them right here.
- 18 Q. Great. Are you generally aware of the
- 19 | integrated resource planning regulation?
- 20 A. Generally, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And are you involved in ensuring that
- 22 a proposed Integrated Resource Plan meets those
- 23 regulatory requirements?
- 24 A. Yes. I mean, myself and the IRP group that
- 25 works on it, I mean, we, you know, strive to make

2.1

sure that we have each part of it addressed.

Q. Okay. The integrated resource planing regulation, or 807 KAR 5-058, Section 8, which is the resource assessment acquisition plan requires that, A utility describe and discuss all options considered for inclusion in the plan, including assessment of nonutility generation, including generating capacity provided by cogeneration, technologies relying on renewable resources and other nonutility sources.

And then separately, there's a requirement that you engage with other utilities or at least have discussions with other utilities.

Are you generally aware of that requirement?

- A. I am generally aware of it.
- Q. Okay. Is the -- is the -- in furtherance of the requirement that you engage or have conversations with or whatever exact term that the regulation is, in furtherance of that requirement, what actions did Kentucky Power take to engage with other utilities in the state?
- A. I'm not aware of any discussions that I was personally involved in. I'm not saying that any didn't happen, but I don't believe that I was involved in any that I can recall. I understand

what the regulation says, but in going back to the way that an IRP is conducted and the analysis is done, the resources are modeled as generic.

And you -- in my mind, if I -- if I
hypothetically would contact another utility and be
like, Hey, you know, are you interested in co-owning
a plant, they might say yes, and that co-ownership
agreement could take the form of many different, you
know -- yeah, we want to co-own it, but we only want
10 percent or, yeah, we told you 10 percent when you
started working on the IRP but now we want 25.

So I don't really see a lot of value in trying to insert things into the model that are highly speculative based on a few conversations with a few people.

- Q. Okay. So I just want to make sure I have an appreciation for your response. Is it -- is it your position that that provision or requirement of the regulation has outlived its usefulness?
- A. I'm not sure I would go that far. I just feel that the -- as I was talking about with Mitchell, that -- that's kind of a separate matter. It's like, what are we -- you know, what about the ownership of Mitchell after 2028. It really doesn't have any bearing on how the IRP was -- was conducted

2.1

and modeled, and I don't think that, you know, individual ownership pieces and parts of different resources would have any impact on the modeling, either.

MS. GLASS: And, Your Honor, I just want to address like the legal aspects of your question as far as what's contained in the regulation. Part C of Section 8, Subsection 2 says that, Expansion of generating facilities including assessment of economic opportunity for coordination with other utilities in constructing and operating new units.

I think earlier you were discussing more -forgive me if I'm not, you know, repeating this
correctly, but it sounded like you were implying
there is an obligation for the company to discuss
and coordinate with other utilities in the
preparation of the IRP, and I don't believe that
that requirement is within the regulation as it's
currently written.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That's fine for you-all to brief, but the question is: Has there been any assessment of economic opportunities for coordination with other utilities in constructing and operating new units?

A. Not to my knowledge.

- Q. You've been -- I mean, the IRP's a thousand
 pages, and I've tried to read absolutely -- over a
 thousand pages. I've tried to read absolutely every
 bit of it I could in anticipation -- well,
- 5 throughout this case.

Are you aware of a provision in this regulation that discusses and describes all options for expansion of generating facilities including the assessment of economic opportunities in coordination with other utilities?

- 11 A. Which one is that, do you know? Is that --
- 12 Q. What, what the regulation is?
- 13 **A.** Yeah.

6

7

8

9

- 14 | O. It's Section 8-2 (c).
- And you-all have a table of contents that
 seems to purports the different areas of the -- of
 the IRP that would indicate compliance with that;
 right?
- 19 A. Yeah, Section 5.2.1.
- 20 Q. Yeah, and then there -- do you have the IRP in front of you?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- Q. Do you mind to go to that section? I think
 that section is -- or best I have it is page 87 of
 1,182, and it's called "Natural gas combined cycle."

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

17

- Q. So could you please take a look at that and if you could point me to where in that section the utility described and discussed all options considered for inclusion of the plan, including expansion of generating facilities including assessment of economic opportunities for coordination with other utilities in constructing and operating new units?
- 10 A. I don't see where it's addressed.
- Q. Okay. And so in the comments -- the
 responses to the intervenor's comments, what I read
 a second ago to you and you said reflected the
 version you had in front of you, specifically
 you-all note that the RFP's public. If other
 utilities want to respond, they can respond.
 - Is that -- is that a fair characterization of what the response is?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. To the -- are you aware of any further communication -- strike that.
- In conducting this Integrated Resource

 Plan -- well, so take it a step back.
- This RFP was issued after the Integrated
 Resource Plan was filed; correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And the fact I believe was issued at the very end of the discovery of this case; right?
- 4 A. That -- yeah, that sounds about right.
- 5 Q. So even insofar as the idea is, Look, if we
- 6 put a public RFP out, if some other utility in the
- 7 | state might want to respond, that wouldn't
- 8 | necessarily -- you would agree that given the timing
- 9 of that, that could not be evidence of an argument
- 10 | that the utility actively engaged other -- that
- 11 | Kentucky Power actively engaged other utilities in
- 12 doing things jointly? Would you agree, just by
- 13 virtue of the fact that it -- the IRP came after the
- 14 RFP?
- 15 A. I would agree with that, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And are you aware of any other section
- 17 | in the RFP other than 5.2.1 that would purport to
- 18 | indicate evidence of compliance with Section 8 2(c)
- 19 | we were referring to earlier?
- 20 A. I apologize, but I can't point to anything
- 21 right now.
- 22 Q. Okay. Help me out here, Mr. West. You
- 23 participate in one form, either formally or
- 24 | informally, in effectively all the cases that
- 25 | Kentucky Power has in front of the Public Service

- 1 | Commission; right?
- 2 A. That's correct --
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. -- in some way or form.
- 5 Q. Given the issuance of -- the timing of the
- 6 | issuance of the RFP relative to the rate case and
- 7 | this case, are you aware of in any case whether or
- 8 | not Kentucky Power has been asked to provide the
- 9 responses to the RFP?
- 10 A. Yes, I believe in the investigation case --
- 11 Q. That's the --
- 12 **A.** -- 2021-370.
- 13 Q. And that's the wholly unrelated case that
- 14 Mr. Kurtz was asking you about earlier; correct?
- 15 **A**. Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you-all provided those in that
- 17 | case; right?
- 18 A. Yes, we did, in discovery, sir.
- 19 MS. GLASS: On a confidential basis.
- 20 Q. Page 4 of those responses to intervenor's
- 21 comments, about halfway down the first paragraph,
- 22 | let me know when you have that.
- 23 **A.** Okay.
- 24 | Q. Okay. There's a sentence five lines down
- 25 | that starts with "apart," the line and the sentence

starts with "apart"?

A. Mm-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Q. It says, Apart from the fact that the IRP process is forward looking, KIUC's accusations about the present state of Kentucky Power Service to its customers and about the resources the company uses to provide that service are simply not true.

The company through a combination of owned and contracted resources, including its contract-based membership in PJM Interconnection, LLC, PJM, has the power supply resources necessary to serve its customers' present and anticipated needs much more than adequately and with a high degree of reliability.

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. Okay. I want to make sure I have an appreciation for what the assertion is there. I understand -- let's just skip past "owned." I think I adequately understand what owned is referring to there in that it's the 50 percent undivided interest in Mitchell and Big Sandy 1; correct?
- 23 A. Mm-hmm, yes.
- Q. The contracted resources, this would have been filed at the Commission in -- November the 3rd

of 2023.

- 2 **A.** Okay.
- 3 Q. Okay. When it says "contracted," since this
- 4 | was filed in November of 2023, that would not
- 5 | include the unit power agreement with Rockport,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 Q. Okay. In November of 2023, did you-all have
- 9 any formally contracted-for resources?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what resources were those?
- 12 A. Through the power coordination agreement, we
- would have had, oh, there was a discovery response
- 14 Mr. Cmar, I think, was asking about that listed
- 15 them, one of the JI responses. The level of
- 16 | capacity.
- But for this -- and I'll refer to it as the
- 18 stub period. After the Rockport EPA expired until
- 19 the end of that current PJM delivery year, what I'm
- 20 calling the stub year, say '22-'23 and then '23-'24,
- 21 | we were obtaining capacity from the power
- 22 coordination agreement, meaning our affiliates. And
- 23 | then after that for two periods, two years, we have
- 24 | bilateral contracts with third parties.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 MS. GLASS: Just for the record, that
- 2 response is Joint Intervenor's 2-34 that he's
- 3 referencing.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay.
- 5 Q. So how much energy are -- is associated with
- 6 the capacity under the power coordination agreement?
- 7 A. It's for capacity only.
- 8 Q. Okay. That's different than the bilateral
- 9 | contracts?
- 10 A. No. It's not.
- 11 | Q. Okay.
- 12 A. They're capacity only as well.
- 13 Q. So you're only buying the capacity product as
- 14 defined by PJM, correct?
- 15 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And then you're buying energy through
- 17 | the day ahead or realtime markets in PJM; is that
- 18 | right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 | Q. Okay.
- 21 A. I mean, that's how this company has satisfied
- 22 | its customers' requirements for decades, whether it
- 23 was part of PJM or it was part of a different power
- 24 pool, and it has benefitted the customers greatly in
- 25 | that period of time.

- Q. Okay. So how -- how are those power plants that you've bought capacity from serving customers' needs?
- 4 They are unit-specific resources that we have Α. 5 contracted for that are submitted into PJM to 6 satisfy the PJM summer-peaking requirements, and they serve to support the entire PJM footprint that 8 way. And I guess the beauty of it is that PJM is 9 summer-peaking, a company is winter-peaking, we only 10 have to supply enough capacity for that summer peak, 11 and we have access to the full resources of PJM to 12 satisfy our winter peak without paying for 13 additional capacity. And that's what has benefitted 14 customers for decades.
- Q. Okay. So you are buying the capacity but you don't have access to the energy from those very specific units, correct?
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 | Q. Okay.
- 20 A. But we have it through the PJM energy market.
- Q. When the sentence says, "contract-based membership in PJM," do you know what contract that's referring to?
- A. Well, I don't know that I'd say I've seen it,
 but it's always been described to me as we have a --

- you know, we have a contractual relationship with
- 2 | PJM as a member of PJM.
- 3 Q. Okay. So insofar it's like a membership
- 4 | agreement, that's what it's referring to?
- 5 | A. I --

- 6 Q. Let me --
- 7 A. I assume so that --
- 8 Q. Let me withdrew that.
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 | Q. Let me just refuse any -- let me just ensure
- 11 | that there's no miscommunication.
- So I'd like to ask in a post-hearing data
- 13 request specific to the intent that it is used in
- 14 | that response, when it says "contract-based
- 15 membership," what contract or contracts that is
- 16 | specifically referring to.
- 17 **A.** Okay.
- 18 | Q. You've participated in at least one, I think
- 19 | maybe two, Kentucky Power rate cases, correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 **A. Two.**
- 23 Q. And in each of those cases as part of the
- 24 revenue requirement, Kentucky Power has sought, to
- 25 | some degree, the cost recovery for executive

- 1 | compensation, correct?
- 2 **A**. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. Are you aware that -- and that's for
- 4 | both at the AEP level and the allocated -- or both
- 5 at the Kentucky Power level and the allocated
- 6 | compensation from the AEP parent company, correct?
- 7 **A. Yes.**
- 8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that the AEP executive
- 9 | compensation methodology includes provisions related
- 10 to the type of capacity built and owned by AEP and
- 11 its affiliates?
- 12 A. I am not aware of that.
- 13 Q. So it would be a surprise to you to know that
- 14 | 10 percent of the executive compensation at AEP,
- 15 from 2023 to 2025, is based off the megawatts of
- 16 | carbon-free emitting generation?
- 17 A. As I've said, I'm not aware of how their
- 18 | compensation is calculated.
- 19 Q. Well, I'm not asking you how they're -- I'm
- 20 asking are you aware --
- 21 A. I am not --
- 22 Q. -- that that is a factor in how their
- 23 | compensation is calculated?
- 24 A. I am not aware.
- 25 Q. Okay. So is there anybody that you're aware

- 1 of that is here today that would have an
- 2 | appreciation for the degree to which the executive
- 3 | compensation considerations are taken into account
- 4 | in doing Integrated Resource Plan insofar as those
- 5 | -- that methodology is based in part on a megawatt
- 6 basis of a type of generation?
- 7 A. Well, I think I can answer that. I'm not
- 8 | sure what discovery response it was. I believe it
- 9 was KIUC's question that we answered that that had
- 10 no bearing. Whether it existed or not, it had no
- 11 bearing on this IRP. And in no discussion that I
- 12 have ever had did an executive at AEP get on the
- phone and say, you need to do this because I need to
- 14 get paid. That never happened.
- 15 | Q. That you're aware of?
- 16 A. That I'm aware of.
- 17 | Q. I'm saying, are you speaking for yourself --
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- in that regard?
- 20 A. Yeah. In every call that I was on, it never
- 21 happened.
- 22 Q. So does that happen with -- for instance, on
- 23 | the other side, just so I have an appreciation
- 24 there, there are three weights: Earnings per share,
- 25 | total shareholder return of AEP versus a peer group,

and carbon-free generation capacity additions.

You in your current position, in finance -on the finance side, have you had -- have you had
conversations about Kentucky Power's contribution to
EPS, for instance, and your-all's individual
earnings between quarters and years?

A. Oh, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. So that part, at least on that side, insofar as it is one of the things that goes into executive compensation, you do have -- you have had conversations about earnings and earnings per share?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. How about the total shareholder return? Is

 16 that something that in your daily job your

 17 implicated or your work is implicated insofar as

 18 it's a consideration for the executive compensation
- 19 to AEP?
- A. No, it's not. It's really around, you know,
 performance month to month, quarter to quarter,
 what's our current earnings per share, those kind of
 things.
- Q. Okay. So -- just so I have an appreciation.
- 25 Insofar as those are the three things that are taken

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

152

into account, it's only EPS that you think are implicated by your-all's individual decision making and the directions that you get from AEP?

A. That's all that I've been involved in.

Q. Okay. And then finally on Mitchell, and I know that -- well, I took it from one of your responses that you preference is that somebody else can answer it better.

But if you-all can't use -- if your position is that you can't use -- and I'm taking a lot of things at face value, I'm just skipping past it for the -- just trying to be considerate of time here. If the concern is or the position is that you-all can't use Mitchell as a capacity, I'm doing air quotes here because capacity doesn't keep the lights on, energy does, but capacity for that 2028-2029 delivery year because you-all are under the -- and, again, I don't want to retread stuff that doesn't really relate to this, but the presumption that you're, quote, out of Mitchell at December 31 of 2028, okay, just taking that at face value. If that is your-all's position, that it can't be used for your-all's benefit as a capacity resource because you only have it for the first seven months of the delivery year, does that by definition mean that no

- one gets the benefit of that capacity? Because even
- 2 | if somebody gets it for the past -- for the last
- 3 | five months of that delivery year, January,
- 4 | February, March, May, that since they don't have it
- 5 for the first seven, that's a waste of 750, 800
- 6 | megawatts of capacity for that delivery year?
- 7 A. So it is my understanding that no one should
- 8 have the value after '28 of the capacity, is that --
- 9 was that part of your question?
- 10 | Q. I'm not -- what I'm trying to find out is
- 11 does anybody get the value of Mitchell, the
- 12 undivided half interest of Mitchell, as a capacity
- 13 resource in 2028.
- So I understand from your responses that
- 15 you-all feel like you can't use it as your capacity;
- 16 you're going to have to procure your need --
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 | Q. -- for the capacity for the whole year --
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. -- because you only have it for the first
- 21 seven months.
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. Well, somebody else is going to have it --
- 24 | again, taking everything at face value.
- 25 A. Right.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- 1 Ο. I don't necessarily agree with it, but taking 2 everything at face value, someone else is going to 3 have it but only for the last five months. 4 can't use it for capacity, because they don't have 5 it for the first seven; you-all can't, apparently, because you don't have it for the last five. Does 6 that mean that in the AEP zone, there is going to be 8 a capacity resource that exists at 700-something 9 megawatts in which no one gets to use for that delivery year? 10
 - A. I don't -- I don't think that would be accurate because to the extent that -- and I'm just thinking logically here. To the extent that we would need to have something after '28 and the other part-owner of Mitchell needs to have something before '28, those two things could easily happen and the resource could continue.
 - Q. Yeah, I'm not -- it's not about the resource continuing. It's about the inclusion of that in somebody's FRR plan, in the FRR plan.
 - A. Yeah, I'm saying that I think that we could include it in our FRR plan.
- Q. Well, I find that to be distinguishable from
 the responses that you've previously given, that you
 can't count on it for that 28-29 delivery year, and

- 1 | that you're going to have to -- well, let me -- one
- 2 | second, Mr. West.
- 3 | A. Sure.
- 4 Q. KIUC 3, would you look at that, which is
- 5 the --
- 6 A. 1-3 or --
- 7 Q. AG KIUC -- well, I'm sorry it's KIUC 3 here.
- 8 | A. Oh.
- 9 Q. It's their exhibit. It's the packet of data
- 10 request responses you were handed earlier by
- 11 | Mr. Kurtz --
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. -- that starts off with AG KIUC 1-43.
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Let me know when you get there?
- 16 A. Yes, I have it.
- 17 Q. So the question is, Kentucky Power's
- 18 | entitlement to Mitchell capacity runs through at
- 19 least December 31, 2028. Did the modeling assume
- 20 | that replacement capacity for Mitchell would be
- 21 | needed beginning January 1, 2029? Please explain
- 22 the response. The modeling assumed the replacement
- 23 capacity would be needed for the PJM planning year
- 24 | 2028-2029 that begins June 1, 2028.
- 25 Wouldn't that response indicate that Mitchell

- 1 | was not used by the company as a capacity resource
- 2 | in that June 1, 2028, to May 31st, 2029, delivery
- 3 year?
- 4 A. That's what it seems to indicate.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. I -- I would -- Witness Soller could probably
- 7 explain exactly how that modeling was done. That
- 8 | was my understanding, how I was responding earlier.
- 9 Q. What do you mean that's your understanding?
- 10 You were trying to respond consistent with --
- 11 | A. Well --
- 12 | Q. -- Mr. Haratym?
- 13 A. Yes. I was trying to respond consistent with
- 14 | that, yes.
- 15 | Q. So you think the company does not get any
- 16 | value of Mitchell as a capacity resource from
- 17 June 1, 2028, to January -- to December 31, 2028?
- 18 A. Well, I guess what I'm -- what I'm thinking,
- 19 I'm not sure exactly how it was modeled, I
- 20 understand what this says.
- 21 What I -- what my understanding was is that
- 22 even if we had the capacity through the end of 2028,
- okay, let's just say we do, that don't get it
- 24 through the entire PJM planning year. All I'm
- 25 | saying is there's a gap there that we have to fill

somehow. That's all I know.

- 2 Q. So that may be true. On the next page,
- 3 | KPSC 1-39, response A, explain -- or question A,
- 4 | Explain why significant amounts of capacity
- 5 purchased 450 megawatts are required in 2028.
- 6 Response: Because the Mitchell plant capacity would
- 7 | not be available for the entirety of the '28-29 PJM
- 8 delivery year, it was excluded from the portfolio
- 9 for that PJM planning year.
- 10 And then on the next page, you'll see
- 11 Item 51, Attachment one, page of one, this is the
- 12 capacity purchases, and you see that in 2028,
- 13 there's capacity purchase of \$15.668 million.
- 14 A. Yeah, I see.
- 15 | Q. Okay.

- 16 A. I see that.
- 17 Q. So, again, if you -- if the company's
- 18 position is that you-all are modeling it -- that's
- 19 just what we're talking about right now -- modeling
- 20 | it for being wholly unavailable as a capacity
- 21 resource for the company in that year, then that
- 22 | would mean that that's not being used by the company
- as a capacity resource and should be available,
- 24 assuming it's operating, to someone else as a
- 25 | capacity resource.

And what I'm asking is: If it's available to someone else as a capacity resource, do you believe that that should be taken into account in offsetting the capacity purchase that you-all are modeling?

- A. If that is the case, then, yes, I would agree --
- 7 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 8 A. -- that there should be some value to that.
- 9 Q. If somebody else is getting your-all's first
 10 seven months, they shouldn't be getting it for free,
 11 would you agree?
- 12 A. I agree with you, yes.
- Q. Or maybe you-all should be able to buy
 somebody else's, whoever it's going to -- and just
 again, I'm taking a lot at face value here, but I'm
 just talking about the distinction between real life
 and what was modeled.
- 18 | A. Yeah.

- 19 Q. You-all should be able to buy in the last
 20 five months for something cheaper than full capacity
 21 for a whole delivery year. Would you agree that
 22 might be reasonable?
- A. It might be reasonable. It's hard to tell that far out, but it might be reasonable, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Would you agree that given the

- 1 | assumption is that Mitchell is not just going to
- 2 disappear come December the 1st, 2028, that there
- 3 | may be more reasonable ways to consider it in
- 4 | modeling what the company's options are as it
- 5 relates to that '28-29 delivery year?
- 6 A. There may be some other options, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And do you know whether Mr. -- is it
- 8 | Haratym?
- 9 A. Haratym.
- 10 Q. Haratym.
- 11 A. Haratym.
- 12 Q. It's like a 50/50. It was either --
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Mr. Haratym doesn't work for Kentucky Power;
- 15 correct? He's not an employee of Kentucky Power?
- 16 A. He's not. He's a consultant.
- 17 Q. And he's not an employee of AEP or any of its
- 18 | affiliate, correct?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. He's somebody on behalf of Kentucky Power
- 21 that was hired from Charles River Associates; right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And did you give direction to him in what to

- 1 do with Mitchell in that '28-29 delivery year?
- 2 A. Not directly.
- 3 Q. Okay.

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- A. I mean, I'm sure I was in conversations

 around it, just don't remember those specifically.
- 6 My main contact was Witness Soller throughout this
 7 whole IRP process.
 - So I'm sure I had discussions, you know, with the IRP team, including Witness Soller, and then that information was ultimately provided to CRA for their modeling.
- Q. Okay. Final question, and then I'll go back
 here -- final line of questioning -- I'm never going
 to limit myself, but the final line here.

To the questions asked you earlier, just taken at face value, assume that I'm not lying to you on this, final, and assume that 10 percent of AEP's executive compensation is tied to increasing the megawatts of zero or carbon-free emitting resources, just assume that, was that taken into consideration in creating the scoring rubric in evaluating the RFP?

- A. No, it was not.
- Q. Did you directly create the scoring rubric to evaluate the RFP?

- 1 A. I didn't directly create it, but once it was
- 2 drafted, myself and Company President Wiseman had
- 3 reviewed it, and that's not on there, to my
- 4 knowledge, never was.
- 5 Q. Okay. Who did create that scoring rubric
- 6 | that you're aware of?
- 7 A. It would have been in our commercial
- 8 operations group that is working with us on that
- 9 whole RFP process. I don't have a name specifically
- 10 | who developed it.
- 11 Q. Was Mr. Vaughn involved in that?
- 12 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 13 Q. Okay. And to be clear, finally, you've been
- 14 referring to the RFP, a RFP, but you clarified
- 15 earlier, I believe, there are at least three
- 16 | separate requests for proposals, correct?
- 17 A. That's right, there were three of them.
- 18 | There was --
- 19 Q. A thermal, a wind and solar and a battery,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yeah, thermal, renewable and storage.
- 22 Q. Right. And I do -- actually I want to
- 23 | clarify something.
- 24 The RFPs indicate -- and if you have a copy
- 25 | of them, that's fine. I can bring it up if you need

to, but they say -- and I've just got this from your
website. But they require -- all three of them
require, quote, Projects must be interconnected to

PJM.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Does that sound right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Does that indicate that they -- they must be interconnected to PJM as like a -- they will be interconnected to PJM, or is your anticipation that they have to be in order to be accepted as a conforming response?
- A. I think Witness Pearce could answer

 definitively, but it was my recollection that they

 had to have a cued position, that they could not be

 like a speculative project.
- 16 Q. And you believe Mr. Pearce?
 - A. I believe Mr. Pearce.
 - Q. And the reason I ask is that they do require to have a cue position, but what is not clear is whether or not they already have -- whether the must be interconnected refers to will be, shall be eventually, or whether it's a prerequisite they already have to be interconnected.
 - Does that make sense?
- 25 A. It does make sense. I mean, I think it was

- they needed to have their application for the cued positions submitted. Again, Dr. Pearce would be able to answer that.
- Q. Okay. And then just ask you, and you can kick it to Mr. Pearce, they also have to have a completed SIS, a system impact study, that remains active in the PJM cue. Does that sound right?
 - A. Yes, that sounds right.
- 9 Q. And so would that indicate to you that they
 10 could still be a -- they could still be a possible
 11 resource but not necessarily a connected and
 12 operating resource?
- 13 A. I'm not sure about that.
- 14 Q. I'm trying to ask it this way, Mr. West.
- 15 | A. Okay.

1

2

3

8

- Of those three, all of them have almost 16 Q. 17 identical language in this regard. Was it the 18 intent to include it this way in the RFP so that 19 only interconnected, you know, with a signed 20 interconnection agreement resources met the 2.1 threshold for being included, or could resources 22 that were not yet built or not yet completely built 23 and connected compete in the resources or compete in the RFPs? 24
 - A. I honestly don't know the answer to that.

Q. Okay.

1

- 2 A. I don't want to waste your time . I think
- 3 Dr. Pearce would be able to answer that.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Glass?
- 5 MS. GLASS: I do have just have a couple of
- 6 redirect questions.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 8 BY MS. GLASS:
- 9 Q. So, Mr. West, when the Chairman was
- 10 | questioning you about the IRP regulation and
- 11 specifically Section 8-2(c) of that regulation, do
- 12 | you recall your discussion?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Would you agree that Mr. Soller would be the
- 15 best witness to discuss how the IRP took that
- 16 particular section of the IRP regulation into
- 17 account in how it complied with that regulation?
- 18 A. I would agree with that, yes.
- 19 Q. And Mr. Soller is the resources planning
- 20 manager of APSE and had direct involvement, heavy
- 21 direct involvement, in the preparation of the IRP;
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. And as far as the discussion of how
- 25 | Mitchell is reflected in Kentucky Power's FRR plan,

- would you agree that Mr. Vaughn or Dr. Pearce would 1 be the better witnesses to discuss that information? 2
 - Α. They can certainly clarify and correct anything that I have misstated.
- 5 Q. Okay. I mean, would you agree that they have 6 more knowledge on those subjects than --
 - Yes, they do. Α.

3

4

- 8 -- perhaps you do? Q.
- 9 Α. Yes, they do.
- And finally, going all the way back to when 10
- Mr. Kurtz was cross-examining you, he was 11
- 12 cross-examining, I think, generally about the kind
- of readiness of the Big Sandy site for a generation 13
- being cited there just generically, and he talked 14
- 15 about a transmission already being there, a gas line
- 16 already being there.
- 17 And you had stated that we don't have 18 interconnection there. And I was wondering if you 19 could clarify what you meant by that?
- 20 Α. Sure. We would -- we would have to file 2.1

another application for interconnection with PJM.

- 22 And then is it your understanding that
- 23 currently that would be a multi-year process?
- 24 Α. Yes, a multi-year process.
- MS. GLASS: That's all I have. Thank you. 25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

166

```
CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Anything else for this witness? Thank you very much, Mr. West.
```

MS. GLASS: May Mr. West be excused from the hearing?

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Is there a particularly -- of all the -- to be just -- and I'm not being mean to Mr. West, but of all the witnesses, I think that Mr. West, being the person on the ground, for lack of a better term, I think I'd like Mr. West to stay unless there's a compelling reason for him to be excused.

MS. GLASS: No, there's not.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. VAN ZYL: First time for everything, Mr. Chairman.

16 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Would you like to call
17 your next witness?

MS. GLASS: Yes. Kentucky Power calls Reid Newman. He'll be presented by Mr. Gish.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is true and correct under penalty of perjury?

THE WITNESS: I do.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. Please state

167 1 your name and address for the record and have a 2 seat. 3 THE WITNESS: My name is Reid Newman. 4 business address is One Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio. 5 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gish. 6 MR. GISH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 REID NEWMAN, called by Kentucky Power 9 Company, having been first being duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GISH: 12 13 Mr. Newman, could you please state your job 14 title for the record? 15 Α. Senior economist for AEP Service Corporation. 16 0. Thank you. 17 And were you involved in the preparation of 18 the Integrated Resource Plan report? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And did you provide responses to data 2.1 requests in this matter? 22 Α. I did. 23 And based on the information that you knew at 24 the time, would you answer the same questions the 25 same way?

A. I would.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

MR. GISH: Mr. Chairman, the witness is available for cross-examination.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. West?

MR. WEST: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz?

MR. KURTZ: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gary, Mr. Cmar,

please.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY MS. LOCHAN:

- 12 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Newman. My name is Hema
- 13 Lochan. I represent joint intervenors. I'll be
- 14 asking you a few questions. If at any point I need
- 15 to speak up or talk slower, let me know.
- 16 One thing I wanted to clarify in your
- 17 discovery responses, some discovery responses have
- 18 | Glenn Newman. I'm just verifying, that is you?
- 19 A. It's still me, yes.
- 20 Q. Great.
- 21 What was your role in the IRP process?
- 22 A. My role was twofold. I participated heavily
- 23 in the short-term portion of the load forecasting
- 24 process that we document, and then also overseeing
- 25 discovery responses and the completion of the

- section that we cover for the load forecast.
- 2 | Q. And when did you develop the load forecast?
- 3 A. The load forecast was developed in the first
- 4 quarter of 2022.
- 5 Q. And were you involved throughout the whole
- 6 process?

- A. Yes, I was.
- 8 Q. Thank you so much. I just have a few
- 9 follow-up questions about the load forecast.
- 10 To your knowledge, the company did not
- 11 include an analysis of the IRA or Integrated
- 12 Resource -- sorry, not integrated resource, the
- 13 Inflation Reductions Act in regards to
- 14 electrification in its load forecasting, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 | Q. And the same goes for DER?
- 17 A. The same goes for DER?
- 18 | Q. As in the company did not include an analysis
- 19 of the IRA in regards to the DER in its load
- 20 | forecasting?
- 21 A. So we do implicitly incorporate a lot of DER
- 22 activity and capture that within our modeling
- 23 process, so I would say DER is included.
- 24 Q. But the IRA wasn't included?
- 25 A. Correct, yes.

- 1 Q. And were you able to listen in on the
- 2 | previous conversation with Mr. West?
 - A. I did, yes.
- 4 Q. Mr. West mentioned that the next IRP might be
- 5 | filed -- or he thinks it'll be filed in 2026. Do
- 6 | you agree with that timing?
- 7 A. I wouldn't -- I would have to take his word
- 8 | for that.

- 9 Q. For the next IRP, do you know if the company
- 10 has plans to consider the IRA in its electrification
- 11 assumptions for its load forecast?
- 12 A. Yes. Yes, those will be incorporated and
- 13 considered.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Just a few more questions.
- The company did not adjust the load forecast
- 16 | for DSM programs, correct?
- 17 A. The company did not adjust the load forecast
- 18 for new company-sponsored DSM programs. We do
- 19 capture the effects of ongoing DSM within our
- 20 | modeling methodologies.
- 21 | Q. Are you aware that since the filing of this
- 22 | IRP, the company has filed an application with this
- 23 | Commission proposing new DSM programs?
- 24 A. I am somewhat aware of that, yes.
- 25 Q. To your knowledge, do you know if the company

- 1 | will be including these programs in its next IRP
- 2 filing?
- 3 A. As of right now, I do not know the level at
- 4 which those will be incorporated. But we work
- 5 closely with Kentucky Power in developing their load
- 6 | forecast, and that will certainly be part of the
- 7 discussions that we have with them as to -- as to
- 8 | which programs we will put in there.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 MS. LOCHAN: Those are my questions.
- 11 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Koenig, any
- 12 questions? Mr. Bellamy?
- MR. BELLAMY: We just have a couple
- 14 | questions.
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. BELLAMY:
- 17 Q. I'm just looking at page -- do you have the
- 18 | IRP report with you?
- 19 **A**. I do.
- 20 Q. You may not even need to reference it.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. But I was looking at page 43 through 45 which
- 23 discussed the various load forecast scenarios.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 | Q. I think you talked -- well, I guess first,

- 1 | I'll let you find it.
- 2 **A.** Okay.
- 3 Q. It starts on page 43 there with the load
- 4 | forecast scenarios. Is that a section that you
- 5 | worked on, I'm assuming?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So you're familiar with that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. On -- I believe it's page 44, there's kind of
- 10 | a chart and there's six load forecast scenarios. I
- 11 might be miscounting. There's actually seven, I
- 12 quess.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So there's the energy requirements base, high
- 15 | economic forecast, low economic forecast, energy
- 16 efficiencies to 2022, energy efficiencies extended,
- 17 no DSM, and then there's the weather extreme
- 18 | forecast; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And then if you turn to page 45, the last
- 21 paragraph before the next section, it starts out
- 22 | with all of these. It says: All of these
- 23 | alternative scenarios fall within the boundaries of
- 24 | the company's low and high economic scenarios. The
- 25 | company's expectations are that any reasonable

2.1

low.

scenario developed will fall within this range of forecasts.

Are you familiar with how the load forecast was then taken forward into the production cost model, or I'm sorry, into the capacity expansion modeling, the Aurora model?

- A. No, that would -- that would be a better question for Witnesses Soller and --
- 9 Q. Okay. So you don't know if these load
 10 forecasts -- you kind of had three and say, you
 11 know, it could be within this range. You had the
 12 base, the high, and then the low and then the other
 13 four kind of all were in between the high and the
 - A. I do know that the high and low economic forecast, which is sort of the bound as you've stated all of those scenarios, is taken into consideration in those models and kind of used as high and low options for that modeling, but that's probably about as far as I can go with that.
 - Q. Okay. So there were five scenarios, I believe, that were modeled as part of the capacity expansion step. Would you be able to say where those high and low economic forecasts were included in each of those scenarios -- or which scenario --

2.1

you know, which load forecast was included in which scenario?

- A. I wouldn't be comfortable trying to address that. I'd like to...
- 5 Q. Who would be the proper witness to answer 6 that?
 - A. I would -- I would probably ask Witness Soller.
 - Q. Okay. Just one quick follow-up.

I'm looking at page 36 of your -- or of the IRP report. I'm honestly -- I was going to look at page 43 also. Page 36 talks about manufacturing energy sales at the top, and the second-to-the-last sentence of that section, it says: Based on information from customer service engineers, there may be load added or subtracted from the model results to reflect plant openings, closures, or load adjustments.

And then again, on page 43, there's a section talking about large customer changes, and it sort of indicates something similar there.

I guess my question is, could you just kind of describe that process where you're getting information from the customer service engineers regarding large customers or large manufacturers and

2.1

how you're incorporating that into the load forecast?

A. Sure. The customer service engineers at the various operating companies prepare reports for us on a quarterly basis that would help to inform us or give us an idea of the projects that they have sort of in the queue, if you will.

And then when we go to present the initial load forecast, once we've completed that with Kentucky Power, at that time we meet with those individuals and Kentucky Power, and we make an agreement on which large loads we have not already incorporated just through the underlying economic data that we incorporate in our models.

And to the extent that we have large loads that are above and beyond what we feel like the model has captured, we risk adjust those loads in most occasions and incorporate those into the load forecast.

Traditionally, those have been large industrial loads. However, those have recently started to take on the form of commercial loads and crypto currency and data warehouse-type customers.

Q. Okay. Just as a follow-up, you said you kind of get together and agree. I'm assuming you get

- 1 | together and talk about what's already included.
- 2 How do you make the determination as to whether or
- 3 | not a particular new large load customer, you know,
- 4 | is already captured in the general -- the more
- 5 general economic forecasting?
- 6 A. Yeah. In the case of Kentucky Power, you
- 7 know, the general economic data is not great, and
- 8 our forecasts tend to show that in the lack of load
- 9 growth. So when we came upon a large commercial
- 10 customer, we felt like that that was certainly
- 11 outside the bounds of what our model was capturing.
- 12 So in this case, we felt like that that was a
- 13 customer that we needed to capture. And in talking
- 14 with Kentucky Power and their customer service
- 15 engineers, at that time they were comfortable enough
- 16 with the discussions with that customer to put them
- 17 | into the load forecast.
- 18 So it's sort of that approach where we have
- 19 to think about, what does the economic model show
- 20 | the load behaving like, and then assess whether
- 21 these new loads are above and beyond what that
- 22 | economic growth is capturing.
- 23 Q. And the customer service engineers, you said
- 24 | that they prepare these reports. How
- 25 | forward-looking are they? How far out are these

reports looking?

2.1

- A. Generally, they will go out two to three years on occasion. But for the most part, it's probably shorter than that.
- Q. So they might be approached by large customers, you know, through I guess whatever mechanism you have at Kentucky Power for customers to approach those engineers, and it would typically be, I guess, two to three years out or less, and so they'll start talking to get an idea of when they might come and what their load might be.

And then you mentioned kind of a risk analysis -- or I can't remember the term you used, but I guess you're potentially laying the probability that that load won't come and then potentially downgrading the load? Is that --

A. That's -- that's correct. So just to be clear, these loads that we're adding to the load forecasts are loads that we have a -- that are pretty far down the line as far as negotiations. We have letters of agreement, we have assurances that these customers intend to connect and take power.

We don't include speculative load or loads that are early on in the process, but there are, just based on our historical observations and

dealings with these load additions, we found that these loads often don't come in exactly like they claim they're going to come in, or they come in on a different time frame than they say they are. And so we found it prudent to risk adjust these loads before incorporating them at their -- at their stated levels.

Q. And I understand why you would be hesitant to include new large loads that -- in situations where there aren't some assurance, but I know in some other cases, and, you know, I don't want to bring in every case, but I am interested in kind of your perspective on this. You know, you're doing these load forecasts, you know, trying to do planning out 10 or 15 or 20 years, depending on, you know, how you're doing it. Is there any mechanism that you've seen other utilities or load-forecasting experts use to kind of capture the potential for that new large customer, you know, five or ten years down the road?

And the answer may be no, but I was just curious what your thoughts were on that, and if you'd seen anything done to try to capture that.

A. Yeah. I don't -- I know -- I know we are being forced to think about loads further down the line now, particularly with the nature of these

2.1

datacenter loads and things that are coming on. And I know different utility companies address these new loads differently.

I think that for the most part we do all think of these new loads, you know, in terms of the uncertainty around them and try to incorporate the level of certainty to some degree. And I think it — in the few talks I've had, it's a very similar process of considering what risks are around that load.

I think the outlook's getting longer, but it's also new, these types of loads, and so we're continually developing and thinking about new ways to think about how these loads are going to come on and what the industry looks like for them and how they're going to make their decisions connect or expand.

Q. I was just curious if there was any way -- I recognize it would be hard if you -- you could never predict, you know, in eight years if some customer showed up that, you know, needs 300 megawatts, you know, it would be kind of hard to predict that.

And I would just --

- A. Right.
- Q. And I guess the answer is that you're really

- 1 only looking at the ones that are more of a sure 2 thing at this point and kind of projecting them out 3 in the near future?
- Right. As we move along and we start to Α. incorporate these new loads into our history, and we start to evaluate how these new loads relate to the economic data and the data that we're trying to 8 relate to -- in our load forecast, I believe we will begin to capture some of -- some of the differences 10 in how load is growing now compared to the economy 11 as opposed to before.
- 12 Q. Thank you.

4

5

6

- 13 MR. BELLAMY: I don't have any other 14 questions.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you.
- 16 Any redirect for this witness, Mr. Gish?
- 17 MR. GISH: No, sir.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. You may step down.
- 19 MR. GISH: May he be excused?
- 20 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: You may be excused.
- 2.1 MR. GISH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Would you like to call
- 23 your next witness?
- 24 MS. GLASS: Yes. Kentucky Power calls
- 25 Jeffrey Huber.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is true and correct under penalty of perjury?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Please have a seat.

Please state your name and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Jeff Huber. My business address is 1850 Parkway Place, Marietta, Georgia.

13 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel?

MS. GLASS: Thank you.

JEFFREY HUBER, called by Kentucky Power

16 Company, having been first being duly sworn,

testified as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MS. GLASS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

- 20 Q. Mr. Huber, can you please state your employer
- 21 and your business position?
- 22 A. My employer is GDS Associates, and I'm a
- 23 | principal at the firm.
- 24 Q. Okay. Did you sponsor responses to data
- 25 requests and portions of Kentucky Power's IRP

report?

1

5

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. And do you have any corrections to that
- 4 information?
 - A. No.
- 6 Q. Based on the information you knew at the
- 7 time, if you were asked those same questions today,
- 8 | would the information you provided be the same?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 MS. GLASS: The witness is available for
- 11 cross-examination.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. West?
- MR. WEST: No questions.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz?
- MR. KURTZ: No questions, Your Honor.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Lochan, Mr. Cmar?
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MS. LOCHAN:
- 19 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Huber. My name is Hema
- 20 Lochan, again, with the joint intervenors. I just
- 21 | have a few questions for you.
- 22 Well, first and foremost, good afternoon.
- 23 What was your role in the preparation of the IRP?
- 24 A. So my firm was tasked with providing the
- 25 | energy efficiency inputs that would into the IRP

modeling.

2.1

- Q. And could you expand a little bit more how you worked with the energy efficiency -- how you used energy efficiency inputs?
- A. Sure. We did a benchmarking analysis. Given timing of the needs of the IRP, we decided that was going to be the most efficient approach. We are conducting -- or we did conduct a market potential study subsequent to that, but for the IRP, it relied on a benchmark analysis of publically available data that other utilities filed to the EIA, as well as two other market potential studies that were done in the region.
 - Q. And the purpose of the benchmarking study was to look at energy efficiency measures to determine potential energy savings from these efficiency programs?
 - A. That's right. We wanted to look at what other utilities that have had programs for several years, what they have been able to achieve so that those could be reasonable inputs into the IRP.
- Q. And then you used those inputs to identify, I believe you called them bundles of energy efficiency resources to be fed into the model?
- 25 A. Yeah. The bundling was important because the

- 1 IRP model needs the savings at an 8760 level, so we
- 2 | needed to rely on those other studies that we had
- 3 done to give us some insight as to what might be in
- 4 -- make -- consist those bundles so we could apply
- 5 | load shapes and get an 8760 output, 8760 being an
- 6 | hourly output for the year.
- 7 Q. Thank you. The benchmarking analysis did not
- 8 look at potential savings from demand response
- 9 programs?
- 10 A. It did not. We were not tasked with doing
- 11 that.
- 12 | Q. And the reason for that was because you were
- 13 | not tasked for it?
- 14 A. It wasn't part of our scope.
- 15 | Q. So just to tie that up, the benchmark
- 16 | analysis does not speak to whether demand response
- 17 | programs could increase energy savings?
- 18 A. Could increase -- generally, demand response
- 19 doesn't impact energy savings, but it doesn't impact
- 20 | capacity savings -- or demand savings.
- 21 Q. Thank you. I have a few more questions about
- 22 | the bundles that we just discussed. These bundles
- 23 | contain different service lines?
- 24 A. Like, sectors? Customer sectors? Correct.
- 25 | They do residential, commercial, and then a specific

- bundle for, you know, qualified.
- 2 Q. Did you look at the cost of -- the cost over
- 3 | service life of these different energy efficiency
- 4 measures?

- 5 A. We provided -- usually the cost for
- 6 efficiency programs is incurred on a first-year
- 7 basis, but there are lifetime savings associated
- 8 | with those savings, and we provided the full
- 9 lifetime impacts to the IRP team.
- 10 Q. Could you explain what the -- what you meant
- 11 | by "full lifetime impacts"?
- 12 A. If you install a measure in one year, it has
- a useful life. So let's say it has a useful life of
- 14 ten years, those savings will continue on for ten
- 15 | years. And if you have a next-year programs, you
- 16 get new installations and those savings occur. So
- 17 they stack, they become cumulative at some point.
- 18 And we gave the full lifetime accumulative impacts.
- 19 Q. And just for -- just for my understanding,
- 20 | those -- all of those lifetime impacts were included
- 21 | in the IRP analysis?
- 22 A. It is my understanding. Witness Soller can
- 23 attest to that. I didn't want to be the only one
- 24 | not to kick something to Witness Soller.
- 25 Q. Sounds good.

1 MS. LOCHAN: Those are my questions. Thank

2 you.

4

5

8

9

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. VAN ZYL:

Q. I think I may actually just have one or two questions for you, which I think may be a record.

On page 83 of the IRP, you talked about the

10 benchmarking exercise you did and the potential

11 studies. He is telling me -- are those potential

12 studies, as pertaining to Kentucky Power,

individually or sort of more generally based on

14 other inputs from the market -- or other inputs from

15 AEP or other service groups?

- 16 A. The potential studies that are referenced
- 17 | here --
- 18 | Q. Yeah.
- 19 A. -- are not Kentucky Power specific --
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. -- market potential studies. The Kentucky
- 22 Power study was not done in time for this IRP, so we
- 23 | had to leverage studies done by GDS in neighboring
- 24 jurisdictions.
- 25 Q. And were those neighboring jurisdictions, can

you be slightly more specifically just to --

- A. Another AEP company in a neighboring state.
- Q. Oh, okay. I see.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

24

MR. VAN ZYL: I think that is actually the only thing I wanted to clarify. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Any redirect for this witness?

MS. GLASS: No, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you very much.

MS. GLASS: May Mr. Huber be excused?

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: He may.

MS. GLASS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Let's go ahead and get started on Mr. Soller.

MS. GLASS: I saw you looking at the clock.

Does that mean we should wait to request a break?

17 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: My preference is to break

at three if we can. Would you all like to take a

19 break now?

20 MR. GISH: I don't. I just thought

21 Mr. Soller, as we've picked up on, has received a

22 | lot of referrals and is going to maybe be here for a

23 | while, but that's not up to us.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah, let's go ahead and

25 | get started with Mr. Soller.

MS. GLASS Okay.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

19

22

23

25

2 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And then if we can take a 3 break at three, that will be fine.

MS. GLASS: Sure. Kentucky Power calls Gregory Soller, and he'll be presented by Mr. Garcia-Santana.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is true and correct under penalty of perjury?

THE WITNESS: I do.

12 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please state your name
13 and address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Gregory Soller, address is 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio.

16 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Garcia-Santana,
17 please, at your convenience.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Take your time.

20 GREGORY SOLLER, called by Kentucky Power

21 Company, having been first being duly sworn,

testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. GARCIA-SANTANA:

Q. Mr. Soller, what's your employment, and in

- 1 | what capacity were you involved in this case?
- 2 A. I'm a resource planning manager performing
- 3 | IRPs. I was working on behalf of Kentucky Power for
- 4 | their IRP -- '22 IRP.
- 5 Q. Okay. And your job title and employer?
- 6 A. Resource planning manager with the
- 7 AEP Service Corp. working for Kentucky Power.
- 8 Q. Okay. And in that capacity, did you provide
- 9 | services to Kentucky Power to prepare this IRP that
- 10 | was submitted in this dates?
- 11 A. Correct, for the 2022 IRP, I was working for
- 12 | Kentucky Power for their IRP.
- 13 Q. And did you also provide responses to
- 14 | discovery requests?
- 15 **A.** I did.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you have any corrections to either
- 17 | the discovery responses or to information in the IRP
- 18 as it was submitted at the time that it was
- 19 | submitted?
- 20 **A.** No.
- 21 Q. If I were to ask you the same questions
- 22 today, are you going -- to reflect the information
- 23 in the IRP today, would your answers be
- 24 | substantially the same?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Your Honor, the witness
- 2 is ready for cross-examination.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. West?
- 4 MR. WEST: No questions.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz?
- 6 MR. KURTZ: Thank you.
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. KURTZ:
- 9 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Soller.
- 10 A. Good afternoon.
- 11 Q. Just to confirm, you did not include any
- 12 transmission expense for the wind or solar resources
- in this case, other than a \$19 per KW month
- 14 | interconnection fee?
- 15 A. Yeah. That's what I was going to refer to,
- 16 | yes, sir, just the \$19 per KW month. We didn't --
- 17 | no other expenses were assumed.
- 18 | Q. Actually I think it's \$19 per KW year.
- 19 A. Yeah. I'd have to look, but we're
- 20 referencing the similar without giving the precise
- 21 | number if we'll tolerate that, please.
- 22 Q. So it's a very small number, for resources
- $23 \mid \$2,100 \text{ for KW, wind and solar}$?
- 24 A. It wasn't a high number as far as an adder
- 25 | for sure.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, there is electric transmission
- 2 | service already at the Big Sandy site.
- 3 A. I -- I -- I think so. I have to believe so.
- 4 | I mean, we've got a unit there that's operating.
- 5 Q. And there was an 800 megawatt coal unit
- 6 there, Big Sandy, too, that was retired about a
- 7 decade ago?
- 8 A. It was roughly a decade or so ago, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And also there's gas transmission there?
- 10 A. As I understand, we've got a gas service
- 11 | there, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you -- I want to -- can you --
- MR. KURTZ: Can counsel give you KIUC
- 14 Exhibit 2?
- MS. GLASS: Yes. Exhibit 2, okay.
- 16 A. Exhibit 2, okay.
- 17 Q. Okay. This is the approximate rate impacts
- 18 of the preferred plan?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you assist in the preparation of this
- 21 | table 23?
- 22 **A.** I did.
- 23 Q. Okay. At the end of 2028, the -- we know
- 24 | that energy from Mitchell ends; correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. Now, beginning 1/1/29, what did you do
- 2 | with respect to the Mitchell let's just say
- 3 depreciation expenses currently in base rates? Did
- 4 | you back that out, or is that -- or was that still
- 5 included?
- 6 A. I believe that the remaining depreciation for
- 7 the Mitchell remained in the -- in the financial
- 8 | analysis for that purpose.
- 9 Q. So this assumes that Kentucky Power will
- 10 | continue to collect depreciation expense on Mitchell
- 11 beginning or -- continue even through 2029, 2030,
- 12 | 2031, even when the plant is no longer providing
- 13 | service to Kentucky?
- 14 A. For our financial modeling, yes, sir. That's
- 15 what we assumed for the financial analysis.
- 16 Q. What about fixed O&M including labor?
- 17 A. The fixed O&M including labor, I'm trying to
- 18 | visualize the workbook we had as far as the cost. I
- 19 know we removed all the ongoing capital and other
- 20 things. Those were excluded for sure.
- I cannot specifically recall all of the
- 22 expenses that were assumed from 20- -- I'll say
- 23 | January of '29 on. I just can't recall the specific
- 24 detail.
- 25 Q. All right. Just one last -- do you recall if

- 1 | property taxes, West Virginia property taxes were
- 2 | backed out or excluded?
- A. I cannot specifically recall off of that workbook on the stand here today.
- 5 Q. Okay. Do you have --
- 6 MR. KURTZ: Can counsel provide KIUC Hearing
- 7 Exhibit 3?
- 8 MS. GLASS: Yes.
- 9 Q. If you can turn to page 3 of that exhibit.
- 10 **A**. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Do you see the 2028 capacity purchase,
- 12 \$15.668 million?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Over what period of time does that cover?
- 15 A. That -- for the purposes of our IRP planning,
- 16 those are -- the year column is representative of a
- 17 PJM planning year, so that would have run from the
- 18 June of 2028 to May 31st of 2029, the '28-29
- 19 | planning year.
- 20 Q. Okay. So from June of 2028 to December of
- 21 28, you did not remove any Mitchell fixed costs from
- 22 the prior exhibit?
- 23 A. I -- I don't believe we would have removed
- 24 | the fixed costs through the end of '28.
- 25 Q. Okay. So if -- so to the extent that the

- 1 | company sought to recover a portion of the
- 2 | 15.668 million, at the same time it was already --
- 3 | it was still recovering Mitchell cost and base
- 4 rates, would that be a double recovery in your
- 5 opinion?
- 6 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Objection, Your Honor.
- 7 It's outside the scope of the IRP.
- 8 MR. KURTZ: Well, I just wanted -- if it was
- 9 included in the bill impacts, that would be KIUC
- 10 | Exhibit 2, and this is their document, KIUC
- 11 Exhibit 3.
- 12 It's a big number, Your Honor. It's -- it's
- 13 | a large number, and I think it's good to know how
- 14 | they modeled it.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: If he knows, I think it's
- 16 relevant to the table that was presented in the IRP.
- MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Overruled. Thank you.
- 19 A. Okay. So if I could clarify just to make
- 20 sure, were you asking in my opinion if I think that
- 21 | was a double bill?
- 22 Q. Let me -- no. Let's take it this way.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. For modeling purposes, did you include a
- 25 | portion of the 2028 capacity purchased and the

- 1 Mitchell fixed costs that are currently in base 2 rates?
- A. Yeah. For modeling purposes, we would have assumed all of these costs because they would have had to have incur for the entire PJM planning year.

 And that -- I think these are -- would be a proxy based on the amount of market capacity that we purchased and we had to cover the full year.

If there was additional capacity that was covered in the fixed cost of Mitchell through the end of '28, you know, our perspective when we modeled was we wouldn't have been able to claim that capacity in the PJM planning year because it didn't cover the full planning year. So that would go outside of the capacity obligations that we would have had to claim, so that would have been the conflict for us.

Q. Okay.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. KURTZ: Your Honor, I would like to have another exhibit and mark it as KIUC Exhibit 4.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Identify this as KIUC Exhibit 4. This is a number of however many pages. These are resources accreditations, Mr. Kurtz?

MR. KURTZ: ELCC.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay.

- Q. Are you familiar with the term ELCC?
- 2 **A**. Yes.

1

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 3 Q. Okay. Did your scope of work include
- 4 | modeling for that?
 - A. Yes, sir, it did.
- Q. Okay. On page 1 of KIUC Exhibit 4, the footnote says -- your footnote from this AEP document, it reflects the ELCC results from PJM's report delivery year 2024-2025.

10 What is the ELCC?

- A. ELCC is effective load carrying capability, and it's a way for PJM to -- I'll try to be general on this -- reflect how much of a particular resource would contribute to their peak load obligations or peak loads to serve.
- So in the context of renewables, which is what this -- your exhibit is representing, the challenge for PJM is to absorb all the renewables, these intermittent resources, solar and wind that aren't able to produce 24 hours a day but recognize that they do add some capacity value to the overall market.
- Well, now they have to help through their own loss of load studies analysis identify an effective load carrying capability, which is the effective

- accredited capacity that would count towards their total capacity needs.
- 3 Q. Okay. So a generation resource that is more
- 4 reliable like nuclear would have a higher ELCC than
- 5 | something like solar?
- 6 A. Something that is -- is more controllable and
- 7 dispatched certainly would have a higher ELCC, yes,
- 8 sir.
- 9 Q. Okay. Would you turn to page 2 of this.
- 10 This is simply the PJM document from which you made
- 11 the chart?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Page 3 is the most recent for the
- 14 | 2025-2026 ELCC?
- 15 **A**. Yes, sir.
- 16 O. You're familiar with this new ELCC?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay. So fixed tilt solar went from
- 19 | 33 percent to 9 percent; is that correct?
- 20 A. In '25, yes, 33 -- two pages.
- 21 Q. That's a 72 percent reduction?
- 22 A. If that's the math of the difference between
- 23 | 9 and 33, I'll --
- 24 | Q. So --
- 25 A. -- submit.

- Q. -- what PJM is saying now is if you bid into their capacity market's 100 megawatts of fixed tilt solar, you only get credit for 9 megawatts?
 - A. For the fixed tilt for sure. And like you said, even show here, you know, the tracking, the single access tracking is at 14 percent; right?
 - Q. Right. And so fixed -- the solar tracking went from 50 percent ELCC to 14 percent, which is, again, a 72 percent reduction?
- 10 **A**. Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. So PJM is sending a price signal that these solar products don't provide that much capacity value?
 - A. I think that's -- yes, I'll give you the idea that they are adjusting how they're looking at the market value of these resources.

So in addition to these class readings, they're also looking at their -- their reserve margin association. So there's also a relative reduction on the associated forecast pool reserve that these would also be associated with.

Q. And with these ELCCs, you may not even want to bid solar into the capacity auctions because then you're subject to capacity performance penalties, and you're getting so little capacity. That's an

199 1 aside, but... 2 I -- I don't know -- I don't think I'm 3 comfortable --4 I'll withdraw that. Ο. 5 -- projecting. Okay. Let's look at the bottom of page 3. 6 Q. You see gas combined cycle is 79 percent? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 So PJM considers gas combined cycle a fairly Ο. 10 reliable generation resource? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 Okay. And then below that is a gas combustion turbine at 62 percent? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Which is less than 79? Q. 16 Α. Less than 79. 17 Okay. Okay. You answered some discovery 18 about modeling. 19 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz, you're -- I 20 have a -- I'd like to know after eight and a half 2.1 years, I have a feeling when you're about to get on 22 a roll. 23 MR. KURTZ: Oh. 24 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Let's -- I'm sorry to

interrupt you mid question.

- MR. KURTZ: No, that's fine.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Let's take a short
- 3 recess. We'll take about 15 minutes, and we'll come
- 4 back at 3:15.

- 5 MR. GARCIA SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 6 (Off the record)
- 7 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Go back on the record.
- 8 Mr. Kurtz?
- 9 MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 10 Your Honor, at the break I handed out a
- 11 document that would be marked KIUC 5, if we could.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Hang on one
- 13 second, Mr. Kurtz, so we have it on the audio
- 14 record.
- The KIUC 5 will be the six-page document with
- 16 | the first one being KPSC 1-38?
- 17 MR. KURTZ: Yes, sir.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. Please
- 19 continue.
- 20 Q. Okay. Mr. Soller, a little background first.
- 21 The preferred plan has 500 megawatts of wind coming
- 22 on before the gas CT. If you have KIUC
- 23 | Hearing Exhibit 1, could you turn to that, please?
- 24 A. KIUC Hearing Exhibit --
- 25 Q. Counsel will have to give it to you.

- 1 A. I have to make sure I understand which one
- 2 | Hearing Exhibit 1 is. I apologize.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 MS. GLASS: I have it. You have it. It
- 5 starts with the 7.5 preferred plan. Do you have
- 6 that one?
- 7 A. Do I have that? I don't know that I have
- 8 | that one.
- 9 MS. GLASS: Okay.
- 10 A. Okay. Thank you.
- 11 Q. Okay. Will you turn to page 2 of that
- 12 hearing exhibit?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. You have 500 megawatts of wind coming
- online prior to the 480 megawatt CT; correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And you also have solar coming online
- 18 | 700 megawatts before the gas CT; correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, the characteristics of solar --
- 21 let's start with wind. This is page 95 of the IRP.
- 22 **A.** Okay.
- 23 Q. I'm just going to explain that the capital
- 24 costs of wind in 2026 on page 95 of the IRP is just
- 25 | over \$2,000 a KW; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And then the capital cost of utility scale
- 3 | solar is on page 96 of the IRP, just under \$2,000 a
- 4 KW?

- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. But the good news is they produced
- 7 | free energy essentially. I mean, there's no fuel
- 8 | cost associated with them?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 | Q. And they get the heavy federal subsidies, at
- 11 | least through like 2024?
- 12 A. They get a 10-year series of PTCs.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, on the -- on page 87, this is a
- 14 description of combined cycle technology, and on
- 15 | page 88 of the IRP, it has a capital cost it looks
- 16 | like just over \$1700 of KW in 2029, the single
- 17 | shaft, 41 megawatt unit?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. But it's got a low heat rate, 6,431?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. So it converts gas to energy very
- 22 efficiently, creating a low-cost energy?
- 23 A. For -- for a gas resource, yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Okay. And then the -- under what's called
- 25 | the peaking alternatives, of course, would be a

- simple cycle CT, which is just the front end of a combined cycle --
 - A. Yes.

3

22

- 4 Q. -- without the heat recovery steam generator?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. So it has a lower capital cost but a worse higher heat rate, so it's less expensive to put in service, but it produces more expensive energy?
- 9 A. That's a fair characterization of it, yep.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, the IRP -- now I'm looking at
- 11 KIUC 5. You included a -- the 418 megawatt combined
- 12 | cycle in your analysis?
- A. We ran a portfolio with that specifically, yes, I signed, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, this exhibit, KIUC 5, the first witness is Mr. Haratym, but I'm not going to -- he
- 17 used the word that the combined cycle portfolio
- 18 included a single 418 megawatt resource forced in
- 19 2029 to replace the 480 megawatt CT.
- You essentially say the same thing in your
- 21 response on page 2, that the combined cycle resource

was forced in -- as a resource in 2029, and then on

- page four of this, this is, again, a response from
- you where you used the phrase in your response to A,
- 25 The combined cycle resource was swapped for a CT

resource.

2.1

So from that forced in or swapped,
essentially what you did was you kept everything the
same except you replaced the peaking CT with the
higher capital cost, lower fuel cost, combined
cycle; correct?

- A. In the combined cycle portfolio you're asking --
- 9 O. Yes.
 - A. -- specifically; right?

Correct. We -- we -- for all the portfolios prior to our other stakeholder meeting, they were all what we would call optimized, fully optimized. We didn't start with any assumptions of resources in there.

But from the stakeholder meeting, there was interest to understand that because all of our portfolios selected the CT as part of the least costly optimized plan.

So to test that, we said we would -- we went back and rather than leaving nothing forced in, we assumed a starting point of a combined cycle in 2029. We saw all the plans were accepting a gas resource in '29. That was common. That was a common theme in all of our optimization analysis, is

gas resources was preferred in '29 when it was first available.

So because we didn't have anything economically selected on its own, we forced it in in '29 and then re-optimized the remaining balance of that portfolio with the remaining resources available.

- Q. When you forced it in in 2029, you already had 500 megawatts of wind and 700 megawatts of solar, the free energy resources, so to speak, so was it a surprise to you that the high capital cost, low energy cost combined cycle did not perform well when you already had a lot of high capital cost, low energy cost resources?
- A. I'm not sure I follow the question.
- Q. Well, you had -- when you just swapped out
 the combined cycle for the CT, you left in all of
 the renewable resources?
- 19 **A.** No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 20 Q. You did not?
- A. For -- for the optimization run, for the
 combined cycle portfolio, we did not. Actually what
 we did is we would have -- we would have started
 from zero, just like we would have started from zero
 for the reference case. The reference case

optimized by selecting the CT but also all of those other renewables.

We started over except for we forced in -- we started at zero plus the combined cycle, and then we let the model select the balance of resources for that portfolio.

- Q. Okay. Well, I misunderstood, then.
- 8 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

- 9 Q. So you started with a combined cycle, and then you let the model select after that?
- 11 A. The rest of it.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when you did that, when you did that in
- 15 | the scoring, which is KIUC 1, the last page we
- 16 looked at, the combined cycle resource portfolio was
- just slightly less expensive, it still was slightly
- 18 less expensive than the preferred plan. This is
- 19 64.6 versus 64.8.
- Even though you didn't select it, it was slightly cheaper?
- 22 A. That's correct. When we looked at the entire
- 23 | scorecard because, you know, there was a -- one of
- 24 the things we didn't do when we did the scorecard
- 25 and we tried to -- we wanted to try to impress this

2.1

with our stakeholders is we didn't really overvalue, we didn't weight any of these metrics, one as higher than the other, if you will.

So we wanted to look at the full spectrum of metrics. Cost is important. It's important to the rate payers, it's important to Kentucky Power, so you're correct is there is a very slight benefit in terms of the cost for the combined cycle.

In the -- in the terms of the other metrics, when you start looking at the market exposures and the resource diversity, the dispatchability, those things, those start to add to our interpretation of the results.

So that's -- that's what was leading to some of this.

- Q. I have not seen this, but is there in the record in the combined cycle case when you started fresh with the combined cycle at the bottom and then built up from that, what were the renewables? What renewable resources were chosen by the model when you -- when you did that?
- A. You can -- that's actually I believe in a document. I'll see if I can find it for us. Page 159 of 1182 is a picture, a visual of that, and I think the table of resources selected is in the

appendix.

- 2 | Q. I don't have it in front of me. Can you just
- 3 read those --
- 4 A. Certainly.
- 5 Q. -- off, what kind of wind and what kind of
- 6 | solar --
 - A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. -- did the model select?
- 9 A. So I'll read it from -- it's in the appendix,
- 10 page 122 of 1182 for reference.
- 11 So the combined cycle started off at
- 12 | 418 megawatts in '29, and then the rest of the
- optimization, we ended up with through '26 through
- 14 28, we got five megawatts of wind, 3- --
- 15 700 megawatts of solar in -- by 2028. And then
- 16 | there was -- we had a chunk of capacity purchased to
- 17 | fill the -- fill the need in '28.
- 18 Q. Okay. So compared to the preferred plan,
- 19 there's 200 megawatts less wind and 100 megawatts
- 20 less solar?
- 21 A. Correct. I think in the preferred plan --
- 22 | well, let's see. The preferred plan should have the
- 23 | same amount of solar and wind as the combined cycle.
- 24 | Q. I thought -- wait a minute.
- 25 A. I'm looking at -- the reference case or the

preferred plan?

- 2 Q. The preferred plan.
- A. The preferred plan should have 500 megawatts of wind through '28, 700 megawatts of solar.
- 5 Q. That's the combined cycle case; correct?
- A. And -- and ultimately it's the preferred plan as well.
- 8 Q. But the preferred plan had 700 megawatts of 9 wind and 800 megawatts of solar?
- 10 A. Oh, 7- when you go out to 2030 and '31, we get the additional 200 megawatts in the preferred plan. I was saying prior to -- through 2028.
- 13 Q. So --
- 14 A. I'm sorry. I misunderstood.
- Q. Okay. So it's the same amount of wind and solar, it just pushes out the wind and solar further into the future? Because your preferred plan has
- 18 all the wind and solar going into service --
- A. If you'll -- maybe -- I feel like I maybe -- we might be crossing a couple things.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- A. So maybe if I can return, and I think you included it in your Exhibit 5, a detailed response to KPSC 145.
- 25 Q. Okay.

2.1

A. And I'm hoping that -- that might be a bit better to articulate. The preferred plan really is the combined cycle portfolio, but I -- I -- I'd suggested what it includes is the combustion turbine resource as opposed to the combined cycle resources.

But it includes the other optimized resources of renewables and storage, even capacity purchases to that point, and we did that for a couple of different reasons because of what the modeling suggested to us.

So the short summary of this, to be concise here, is that the preferred plan should have the same number of renewable resources as the combined cycle portfolio.

- Q. Okay. So the combined cycle portfolio is just a small amount less expensive than the preferred plan or the CT, which -- correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And none of this really has any bearing now because it doesn't model the capacity factor limitations in the proposed CO2 rule. Your modeling includes a CO2 cost between 10 and I think like \$43 of megawatts, which the AEP did not do, it included solar at the old ELCC, not the new ELCC, or the more strenuous --

A. Well --

- 2 Q. Regarding --
- 3 A. Certainly -- certainly changes have happened
- 4 | since we did this IRP --
- 5 Q. Yeah.
- 6 A. -- for sure.
- 7 Q. Yeah.
- 8 A. But I -- you know, I don't know how changes
- 9 | would affect with these -- with these changes, if
- 10 it's directionally different than what the IRP did.
- 11 | Especially, you know, we made our best attempt given
- 12 | what we knew, right, with the carbon trying to
- 13 recognize that there's some pressures.
- 14 Q. And there's always going to be changes from
- 15 when you start to when you have a hearing like this,
- 16 so that's unavoidable. If the commission were to
- 17 tell AEP through an order that when you come back
- 18 | for CPCM or whatever, please don't come back with
- 19 out-of-state wind. You could put that as a
- 20 | constraint in your modeling?
- 21 A. With?
- 22 | Q. And just --
- 23 A. Did you ask, Please come back with no
- 24 out-of-state wind, is that what you --
- 25 | Q. No out-of-state wind. You could model that?

- For the purposes of this analysis, we Α. effectively did, right?
- 0. No, no. I mean --
- 4 We -- for the IRP. Α.
- 5 Q. No, no. When you come back for a CPCM --
- 6 Oh. Α.

1

2

3

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- -- to do something in light of where Mitchell 0. 8 is or any other thing. You could model a scenario 9 that has a constraint, no out-of-state wind, just exclude that as a resource.
- 11 Hypothetically, you know, anything can be Α. 12 modeled certainly, you know. We even modeled a no 13 wind solution or scenario or portfolio in this IRP; 14 right?

And what we saw on that was the model loaded up a lot more solar, still selected the CT.

That I don't understand. The capital cost --LG&E and KU have come in with their proposal -different system, I understand -- where the combined cycle is the preferred resource because the additional capital cost is worth it because you get so much lower cost energy.

So that's what I don't get when you load up on so much renewables, free energy, but -- then you want to balance it out with the peaking gas unit.

2.1

A. I'll offer some of the insights we saw during the analysis. You know, one of the pieces of feedback we got from the very first stakeholder meeting was we wanted -- we were encouraged to do an all-of-the-above approach. We didn't -- don't -- let's not exclude things that are reasonable for us to consider to serve our needs and our customers.

So we did do that. Included in that were the combined cycle alternatives, the combustion turbines, the very smaller RICE units, arrows, the solar and the wind, with all their tax credits, but also the ability to lean on our PJM resource, more for the -- to -- we have for energy; right?

But there's -- there's an opportunity as well for some -- some capacity purchase to meet our obligations. So we looked at -- we tried to look at all of that.

We also put some boundaries on how much we wanted to rely on a capacity purchase. We didn't want to let the model just run away with that, so we put some boundaries on the capacity purchase.

And what we found was that even with the energy -- with the tax credits on that solar, along with all the other resources available became that part of that solution over even the combined cycle

- in that context.
- 2 Q. What is the reliability situation in PJM?
- 3 | What is PJM predicting for reliability going forward
- 4 | with that system?
- 5 A. For which system?
- 6 Q. PJM.

0.

1

9

- 7 A. I don't actually know specifically the
- numbers, so I would be troubled to even guess.
- something where you've got huge growth and demand

What is that report, the 3-R report or

- 11 from data centers in AI, and then you've got the
- 12 dispatchable resources being retired.
- 2,000 megawatts were announced yesterday in
- 14 | Illinois. Did you see that?
- 15 A. I missed that recent announcement, no, sir,
- 16 | but thank you.
- 17 Q. But the dispatchable resources are not being
- 18 replaced with dispatchable resources, and PJM is
- 19 | predicting reliability problems. You're aware of
- 20 that?
- 21 A. I think all of the RTOs have a -- have a
- 22 heightened sense for the reliability for sure. PJM
- 23 | is not immune to that.
- 24 Q. I don't know how much this would weigh on
- 25 anybody's decision, but do you think PJM would

2

9

10

1 1

- welcome Kentucky Power building a combined cycle versus building more of the renewables?
- A. I don't know that I could project that. PJM

 currently has been able to serve its energy. I

 don't -- we don't see a lot of current immediate

 pressures from an energy flow yet that I'm aware of,

 but I can't speak specifically to how they would

 view, you know, our CT versus a CC; right?
 - Q. Yep. That's a fair point. Okay. Well, thank you, Mr. Soller. Thank you for your information.
- MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Chairman.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz, as it relates
 14 to KIUC 4 and 5.
- MR. KURTZ: I move their admission.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel?
- MS. GLASS: No objection.
- 18 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: We have no objection.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. So moved.
- 20 KIUC 4, the resource accreditation
 21 documentation, and then KIUC 5, the data requests
 22 and documents starting at KPSC 1-38 in this case.
- Ms. Koenig, any questions?
- I'll go to that next, but any questions for this witness? Okay.

Counsel?

2 MS. LEGGE: Thank you. Thank you,

3 Your Honor.

1

4

6

8

9

CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. LEGGE:

- Q. Hi. I'm Melissa Legge. I represent joint intervenors in this proceeding. I have a couple questions for you, but first I would like to follow up just on Mr. Kurtz's line of questions.
- He was just asking about KIUC Exhibit 4. I assume you still have that handy?
- 12 **A.** Mm-hmm.
- Q. Okay. So on page 3 of that exhibit, we're looking at the ELCC class ratings for the 2025-26
- 15 | base residual auction?
- 16 **A**. Yes.
- 17 Q. And down at the bottom of the list, you'll
- 18 see some resources. There's a gas combined cycle
- 19 listed there, gas combustion turbine, and those have
- 20 a 20 -- or excuse me, a 79 percent ELCC and a
- 21 62 percent ELCC.
- 22 If you turn to page 2, those resources are
- 23 not listed on the ELCC chart, the gas combustion
- 24 | turbine and the gas CC.
- 25 A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. And is that because before the 2025-26 base residual auction year that PJM did not use ELCC for those resources, to your knowledge?
- A. To my knowledge, yeah, they didn't apply a thermal -- an ELCC to the thermal resources and at the time that we did this IRP and what page 2 was representing. So, you know, they're making a transition like I commented. So, you know, in addition to the shifts of ELCCs and that would now include the thermal, there's also a shift in the associated reserve margin obligations.
- Q. Do you know before this most recent year where they did start applying ELCC for those resources, PJM would have factored in certain assumptions about the capacity performance of that class of resources in UCAP; right?

A. Correct.

Q. And so there's a capacity factor assumption that's baked into the UCAP.

Do you know -- I, you know, during the break I was trying to find it in the IRP report or in the discovery responses, and I couldn't track it down, but do you happen to know what the capacity factor assumptions for a CC and a CT would have been for PJM in this same 2024-25 year?

- 1 A. Yeah. Minor correction. It wouldn't have
- been a capacity factor. That's a different metric;
- 3 right?
- 4 Q. Right.
- 5 A. So the accredited capacity for the
- 6 | combined -- the gas resources, I can't -- I don't
- 7 know off the top of my head. I believe it would be
- 8 up in the -- probably the mid to upper 90th
- 9 percentile, though, in the relative terms.
- 10 Q. So those have -- assumptions have also moved
- 11 downward --
- 12 A. They did.
- 13 | Q. -- in the year --
- 14 A. They did.
- 15 Q. -- the past year?
- Okay. Now, we've heard from -- a little bit
- 17 | from Mr. West, and you shared earlier about your
- 18 | role in the preparation of this IRP, so I'm just
- 19 | wanting to follow up. Mr. West said that you had
- 20 heavy direct involvement in the preparation of the
- 21 | IRP; is that accurate?
- 22 A. Yes. I worked very closely with Mr. West
- 23 | throughout this whole process.
- 24 | Q. And as between you and Mr. Haratym, what were
- 25 | your respective roles?

2.1

A. So Kentucky Power, it was -- their IRP was supported by not only myself but Mr. Haratym from Charles River. Charles River was a consultant we brought in to support our modeling efforts for this particular IRP. So essentially Mr. Haratym and I worked very closely together. As I worked to provide the inputs of the analysis, Mr. Haratym would be involved with all of our planning meetings with Kentucky Power to make sure that we were all in sync in terms of, you know, what are the objectives, what are these metrics, how we're going to calculate them.

And then when it came time for the analysis, after we'd gotten all of that reconciled, you know, about concurrence with Kentucky Power's insights, our team would go back and actually do the analysis with the computers and the Aurora modeling and all.

And that's where I worked very closely with Mr. Haratym, looking at the initial analysis, making sure things made sense. And then as we'd go through that and interpret that, then he and I would go back in and schedule time and work with Kentucky Power to interpret that with them, help them understand what the modeling is telling us.

Q. So if I have this correctly, as far as the

2.1

inputs into the Aurora modeling, that was largely a determination by you and your team within AEP Service Co.?

A. No. I'd have to characterize that -- the -there are inputs in terms of, you know, what are -what are capital costs, what are the ongoing
capitals, what's our fixed O&M for existing
resources, what would be our limits. All those
conversations have to occur very tightly with
Kentucky Power. I would never do that. I can't do
that on my own. It's -- it's just not in my realm
to make those decisions.

I need Kentucky Power in that conversation, I need to be able to explain to them what the information is, and then they can give me the feedback with regards to, this makes sense, I understand it, I need to understand more. We'll get alignment on that, and that's the information that I could then turn over. But I'll need Kentucky Power's approval before I can do any of that.

Q. Okay. So in terms of, you know, the list of supplies side, resource, additions, new ones, not the existing resources, that would have been a -- your team would have come up with a list, gotten approval from Kentucky Power and then --

A. Correct.

1

6

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- 2 | Q. -- sent it over to Mr. Haratym --
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 | Q. -- for filing?
- 5 A. That's right.
 - Q. That's all on that. Thank you.

Very briefly, I do want to follow up. Were you listening earlier when my colleague, Ms. Lochan, was asking questions of Mr. Huber about the costs of the energy efficiency --

- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. -- bundles?

And I believe Mr. Huber stated that the cost of those bundles were modeled the year that they were spent?

- 16 A. That's correct, first year.
 - Q. The first year that they were spent. And then the bundles contain energy efficiency measures with service lives that last for a number of years.

And is it accurate that the -- and Mr. Huber, I believe, also stated that he provided that information to your team for inputting into the model.

Is it true that the savings were accounted for in the modeling from those efficiency bundles

only to the end of the model period, only to the end of the IRP period?

- A. No. It's --
- 4 Q. No?

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 5 A. -- it's not true.
- 6 Q. Okay.
 - A. So Witness Huber provided us a set of bundled energy efficiency measures. So he had all that, it was coordinated through his expertise. And it provided a series of different bundles with different lives of them, and those could be selected at different points throughout the period.

If they were selected, then that -- the entire savings, the energy savings, if it was a 10-year life, a 15- or 18-year life, that entire 18-year life of savings was actually captured within our modeling. What we represented in the IRP was a finite period relative to the rules for the 20-year window, but the full life of any resource, including the energy efficiency measures, but also even the combustion turbines or even new solar or wind that would have selected late in the period, that would have also been financially evaluated through the life of that -- the full life of that resource in the financial modeling.

2.1

Witness Haratym can provide more detail how that actually was done, but that was actually captured, the full savings, the full benefit, over the entire life relative to the associated costs.

- Q. But the costs, were they leveled over the full year of service life of those efficiency measures realized?
- A. So effectively I would say that they were leveled, but let me explain that, if you can.

So because we had the whole series of savings, but when any -- so if it was -- I'm going to say, for an example, there was a bundle that was a 15-year life and it had an amount of savings every -- every one of those 15 years of energy savings.

We've captured all of those energy savings. But in terms of the cap- -- or it's not a capital cost, it would have been an O&M cost that we realized, we would have assigned that O&M cost at year one, but it would have run through the financial model to be applicable to the total energy savings for the full 15-year life.

So in that effect, it essentially is levelized, if you will, because it's -- that's -- that cost is attributed to the total amount of savings over the full 15 years.

2.1

- Q. Even if it extends beyond the IRP planning period?
- A. Because it did extend over the life, it was not -- not in the matter -- I would say it was not an even if. I would say because the way we did the financial analysis on this, the -- all of the savings for the energy efficiency was accounted for for the associated cost for that 15 years, even when the 15 years extended beyond 2037, you know, which is what we represented in the IRP.

So Witness Haratym can provide more detail how that was accounted for within the financial modeling and the financial analysis, but we did capture the full series of savings that Witness Huber provided us for the associated cost of that bundle.

Q. Okay. At this point I have some questions about the modeling of the renewable resources in the IRP.

Now, just turning to the IRP page 94 -- I'll get back into my computer here. So turning to Section 5.4.1 about the modeling of the wind resource, the second paragraph states that the wind is made available in a configuration of 100 megawatts. So I take that to mean just in units of

100 --

1

2

- A. Correct.
- 3 Q. -- for purpose of the modeling.

4 And that there are two pricing tiers. The

5 | Tier 1 pricing, as I understand it, that's the

6 lowest cost wind resources, and then there's Tier 2,

which has higher cost assumptions. And it says here

8 | that the maximum capacity -- annual capacity

9 addition is 100 megawatts for the lower cost Tier 1

10 | sites, and 300 megawatts for Tier 2 sites. And then

11 | the cumulative maximum is 1200 megawatts.

12 And do I understand that that's for both Tier

- 13 | 1 and Tier 2?
- 14 A. The cumulative, yes.
- 15 Q. The cumulative. Over -- and also cumulative
- 16 in terms of over the entire IRP modeling period?
- 17 A. Through 2037, yes.
- 18 Q. And then for solar, similarly there was a
- 19 Tier 1 and a Tier 2 for the solar resources and in a
- 20 configuration of 50 megawatts, but then the maximum
- 21 annual capacity for Tier 1 is 150 megawatts and for
- 22 | Tier 2, it's 300 megawatts on an annual basis?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. And cumulatively, 1800 megawatts. And,
- 25 again, that refers to both --

- A. Combined.
- 2 | Q. -- Tier 1 and Tier 2 combined?
- 3 A. Tier 1 and 2 combined, and it would be
- 4 cumulative.

- 5 Q. And also cumulative over the whole course of
- 6 the IRP period?
- 7 A. You have that correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. So in terms of the modeling runs, we
- 9 were talking earlier about the different portfolios,
- 10 and Exhibit E summarizes the results of the modeling
- 11 | for the different portfolios; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. And some of those modeling runs do actually
- 14 hit the maximums we were just discussing; is that
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. I think so. I think wind, wind in particular
- 17 reached some of its peaks. I don't know if we
- 18 actually reached all the peaks on the solar, right?
- 19 Q. I think -- well, let's look at the high --
- 20 the REHC portfolio in Exhibit 1.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Which I believe is on page 219.
- 23 A. Yeah, I've got it.
- 24 Q. Or that's where the exhibit starts.
- 25 And the HC -- that's the high cost --

-

- $1 \mid A$. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- portfolio?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 | Q. And if you look down at the total under
- 5 | wind --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- it does reach that 1200 megawatts number?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. And then if you go on the next page to the --
- 10 **A.** ECR.
- 11 Q. -- ECR portfolio, that's the Enhanced Carbon
- 12 | Regulation portfolio?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And, again, you see 1200 megawatts for wind?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And some of the annual additions to -- let's
- 17 look at 2028. If you look at the solar column, that
- 18 | says 150/300?
- 19 A. Oh, I see. In '28 for the ECR portfolio?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. That's what it says?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- 24 Q. And those were the annual maximums we were
- 25 | just discussing for the solar.

Sorry, I'm a bit hampered by the lack of a stapler in our hotel office.

So when you were working on the IRP, did you review comments from Commission Staff on the previous IRP?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

Q. You did.

And if we turn now to page 113 of the IRP, I know we're starting in the middle of things, but this page comes from Section 5 response to Commission Staff comments. I'll give you a minute to get there.

- 13 A. And that's 113 from the top numbering?
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. Okay. Yep.
- 16 Q. So this appears to be from the response to
- 17 Staff comments. Let's look at Number 8 at the
- 18 bottom. So this bold text refers to the text of the
- 19 Staff comment; is that correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it says: Kentucky Power should model
 scenarios of different renewable constraints and no
 constraints on the size or addition?
- 24 **A.** Mm-hmm.
- 25 Q. But as we were just discussing there, there

- were constraints in the model on the size and addition of renewables; is that correct?
- A. We did apply constraints on the renewables.
- 4 Q. Okay. And in the response on the next page,
- 5 | the last sentence, The company maintains the
- 6 benefits of running a model without constraints
- 7 | would not provide any further insights, that's
- 8 correct?

1

2

- 9 A. We had that comment. Were not generally 10 demonstrated as binding in the model.
- 11 Q. The -- the -- and by not generally
- 12 demonstrating, that refers to the fact that in some
- of the scenarios they did not hit the constraints?
- 14 A. I mean, we could use the word "some" or
- 15 | "most." I mean, like you pointed out, there were
- 16 two scenarios that reached the cumulative maximum on
- 17 the wind, there was -- was it one or two where we
- 18 | had one annual period where it hit the constraints
- on the different solars, and I don't know if that we
- 20 | hit any -- well, the constraints on the wind as
- 21 | well, potentially.
- 22 So I think there were -- we had some points
- of binding, I'll call it, and certainly on the
- 24 annual level. The wind was susceptible to reaching
- 25 its cumulative max in several of these portfolios,

- but not in all of these.
- 2 | Q. In those two portfolios, you don't know what
- 3 | the model would have selected if those constraints
- 4 | were not in place?
- 5 A. I couldn't tell you. You're correct on that
- 6 one,

- 7 | Q. Okay.
- 8 A. I don't know -- I think those were the ones
- 9 where we maxed out on the total amount of wind
- 10 | available; right?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 **A.** Yeah.
- 13 Q. And the solar in some years.
- 14 A. It -- there was a couple -- there was a
- 15 | couple years on solar, yep.
- 16 Q. I have some questions now about the cost
- 17 assumptions used for the new generation resources.
- 18 **A.** Okay.
- 19 Q. Those should also go to you, I'm quessing?
- 20 A. Sure, yeah.
- 21 Q. So on page 124 of the IRP, there's a figure
- 22 45.
- 23 **A.** Okay.
- 24 Q. 124 numbered at the top?
- 25 **A.** Mm-hmm.

- Q. And this is the IRP scenario assumption matrix. I understand it summarizes the -- the assumptions that vary in each of the portfolios?
 - A. Let me make sure we're talking at the right levels here to be -- there's a couple of sections here I want to make sure we're clear on it.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

A. In Section 6, as we go through, which is -is a big part of what we're talking about on the
scenario inputs, a lot of this is going to be on the
regional analysis first. And we -- you know,
Charles River did a regional analysis in the PJM to
understand different ELCC contributions, energy
pricing and all this. And then we also used that
regional analysis when we do the specific Kentucky
Power portfolio modeling as well.

But by and large, these would be the variables that would change, both in the scenario, but also the relative Kentucky Power portfolio.

Q. So in terms of -- well, let's just compare the reference and the reference high-cost scenario in this chart. The base line assumptions for load, natural gas and carbon are all the same between those two -- those two portfolios?

A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And then what is different between those two
- 2 | portfolios is slower decline under the column, New
- 3 Resource Cost?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 | Q. And a slower decline means that the cost of
- 6 building new resources declined more slowly over
- 7 | time in that specific scenario?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. Were differing cost assumption used for all
- 10 new generation resources in that scenario?
- 11 A. No. For that scenario, if you go back to
- 12 page 123, we'll describe it, we used the -- the --
- 13 | is it the -- I can't remember the name of the
- 14 | specific curve, the NREL curve for the capital cost
- 15 reductions, we applied that really for solar, wind,
- 16 and storage only. We left the other resources as
- 17 part of the base cost curve.
- 18 Q. And on that, you know, now that we're on page
- 19 | 123, in that paragraph, it says, this is because
- 20 short-term shocks to the supply chain are not fully
- 21 resolved over the forecast period.
- So as you're saying, the resources that were
- 23 | subject to this were solar, wind, and storage?
- 24 A. Mm-hmm.
- 25 | Q. But not the gas resources?

A. Correct.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. And not the Big Sandy extension-related costs, those did not vary in this scenario?
- A. We -- no, we didn't adjust the Big Sandy

 cost. I can talk more about that if you need.
- Q. Are costs for new gas resources also subject to shocks to the supply chain?
 - A. I think -- yes. I would answer yes. The cost to the gas resources are subject to it. Our premise for this particular one was what we have been experiencing in the market in various jurisdictions. Although we didn't have specific gas costs, what we were seeing was much higher escalations on the renewables than what maybe an inflationary index might go.

So I think there were other market pressures beyond just inflation indices that were being imposed on -- that we were realizing on the renewables. We weren't sure about that with the thermals yet.

Q. Have you looked into -- well, let me back up for a second.

Would, say, the cost of steel and the cost of cement and concrete and the cost of construction equipment, would those be costs that are relevant

2.1

for the new gas construction cost inputs?

A. Certainly. And as -- for that example specifically, our base inflation index was a -- we used a producer price index, which essentially covers those different material costs increases that are different -- usually a little bit higher, certainly different than maybe a consumer price index.

So, you know, the producer price index, which would be our normal inflationary index, is what our base assumption was. But then when you apply that but then you add the learning curves, we call them learning curves, but that's essentially what the technology cost declines in a real-dollar basis would be, we got that -- we were informed through that -- through NREL on those different curves between a moderate and a conservative and an aggressive type of a curve.

So, yes, to answer your question, the -- the steel -- the commodity components of the resources would certainly play a part into all of the different resources.

Q. And have you looked at whether those types of inputs have been subject to inflationary pressure greater than the average inflationary pressure?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

235

A. At the time we didn't have any information to suggest that, you know, that a different PPI index should be applied to solar versus wind versus the thermals, from a PPI perspective, we didn't have any insight to differentiate across those resources.

The concern on our side was really things that were outside of a PPI reference, right. what are the other market pressures? And that's really what was driving us to ask the question in this particular sensitivity on the reference in the high cost, because we were experiencing pressures in the market, you know, I'm going to call them market pressures, around the renewables, and the competition for those renewables from all different parties, we wanted to understand the sensitivity of if these renewable costs didn't come in at the rates we were expecting, and, in fact, they didn't decline like some of the benchmark costs were suggesting, what would that portfolio look like, that was the premise for what we wanted to learn from that sensitivity from Kentucky Power.

- Q. But you didn't run a similar high-cost scenario for the gas resources?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 Q. Were you here earlier -- this is on a

- 1 | different topic.
- 2 Were you here earlier when my colleague,
- 3 Mr. Cmar, was asking Mr. West about the energy
- 4 | community tax credit bonus?
- 5 A. I recall that discussion.
- 6 Q. And you're familiar with the energy community
- 7 | tax credit bonus? I think he referred some
- 8 | questions about it to you.
- 9 A. Yeah. I'm happy to take questions around it.
- 10 I know that there are tax credit bonuses out there
- 11 at different levels. I may not know the specifics,
- 12 but I'm willing to entertain the dialog here.
- 13 Q. And is it your understanding that the energy
- 14 | community tax credit bonus is eligible for projects
- 15 | that are located in communities that qualify as an
- 16 | energy community?
- 17 A. Right. As part of the IRA, the Inflation
- 18 Reduction Act, they have different tax credit
- 19 bonuses depending on, you know, different
- 20 thresholds, different qualifications of a project
- 21 and where it's located and, you know, how it's being
- 22 | built and who's building it and various components,
- 23 **yes**.
- 24 Q. And some of the relevant criteria for
- 25 determination of energy communities is coal closure,

- 1 | if there's a coal mine or a coal plant closure in
- 2 | that census tract or the neighboring census tract;
- 3 is that your understanding?
- 4 A. I think so. I'm going to have to defer more
- 5 | to you. I don't want to necessarily be the expert
- 6 to say that as yes or no specifically though.
- 7 Q. But generally speaking --
- 8 A. But something like that, yes.
- 9 Q. Yeah. And there's also a metric -- we don't
- 10 | have to get so specific, but there's also a metric
- 11 | related to unemployment rates in that community; is
- 12 | that correct?
- 13 **A.** Okay.
- 14 Q. Okay. You'll -- subject to check.
- 15 **A.** Yeah.
- 16 Q. And Mr. West was saying that the energy
- 17 | community tax credit bonus was not modeled in the
- 18 | IRP; that's correct?
- 19 A. That's correct. We didn't assume an energy
- 20 | tax credit -- energy community tax credit. We did
- 21 | include the -- the -- the production tax credits,
- 22 | you know, assuming -- with some other -- I'm trying
- 23 to remember the terminology.
- But we did include production tax credits.
- 25 We just didn't include several of those community

- bonuses in -- in the generic resource assumptions.
- 2 | Q. And the -- specifically the energy community
- 3 | bonus, it's up to 10 percent on top of the
- 4 | production tax credits?

- 5 A. I think that's right, yes.
- 6 Q. Since then, you know, as part of your role in
- 7 | -- at AP Service Co, have you looked into how much
- 8 of Kentucky Power's service territory might qualify
- 9 for the energy community bonus?
- 10 A. I don't have -- I haven't looked into it
- 11 | specifically, no. I would suspect that there are
- 12 areas that are certainly eligible if -- if the sites
- 13 | were supportive of them.
- 14 Q. Would you say that's relevant to the resource
- 15 planning, whether or not a resource might be
- 16 | eligible for that bonus?
- 17 A. I would -- it would -- it would be
- 18 challenging for me to agree to that in terms of an
- 19 | IRP, and the reason it's challenging for me to agree
- 20 to that comment is because we really can't assume a
- 21 | specific location.
- 22 And I think if I did assume that specific
- 23 | location and it didn't materialize, then I -- then I
- 24 serve the risk of misrepresenting what costs could
- 25 be because if the RFP that would go out didn't

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Hearing Transcript

actually receive resources in those energy communities that were ready to be developed to take advantage of those extra bonuses, but yet I have an IRP that was rooted in some of those assumptions, then that starts to misrepresent what potentially is reasonable.

Whereas in what we did was more of a generic assumption, let's -- let's make sure we account for some tax credits that some -- you know, useful tax credits that are eligible for nearly all types of projects.

And then should those types of projects come in, then those further support what we identify in the IRP, and it further benefits rate payers, both in terms of cost because if we can get even lower cost to rate payers for those resources.

But if it can be located within their community, even better because now we have potential resources and clean -- clean power being generated for Kentucky Power, but we didn't do that as part of the IRP because we needed to be much more generic in nature.

If you were able to assess, for example, that a large percentage of the Kentucky Power footprint would be eligible for a bonus, would it -- say in

2.1

the next IRP, would it be relevant to consider?

A. It would be a factor to consider, but I -- it would have to be meshed up against what kind of projects are actually being considered in the communities as well.

We looked at -- when we started working with Kentucky Power and we started looking at the limits we talked about earlier, one of the things we had to do was look at what projects are actually being considered and we used the PJM cue, how much -- what type of projects and how many projects are going into that cue, and that informed us.

We saw that there were -- there was an abundance of solar when we did this in the cue. There was not a high level of wind, although there was wind in the cue for PJM.

When you further parse down for the states and you start to look at how much solar is in that cue of the -- of the abundance in PJM, Kentucky still had more solar resources than wind. I'm not sure that Kentucky actually had wind in the cue, for instance.

So for us to assume, for instance, if you had an energy community bonus, but there's no wind in the cue, how -- 10 percent of zero is still zero;

right?

2.1

So if I had a 10 percent community bonus on a portion of solar, I would have to potentially -- maybe I could put a third tier in where we say maybe a portion of that could be located, but then you have to start looking at terrain, you have to start looking at practicality of the sighting.

So there's other factors than just the incentive bonuses that have to go into that decision, and that adds the complication for assumptions in an IRP.

- Q. And just to clarify one thing I heard you say: So the PTC and the ITC, both wind and solar are -- I think there are different credits, but both wind and solar are eligible for the energy community bonus?
- A. Both wind and solar, yes, as I understand it.
- Q. Okay. Now I have some questions about how distributed energy resources were modeled in the IRP, and distributed energy resources were not modeled as part of the economic selection of supply side resources?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. How long have you been with AP just out of curiosity?

- A. I think I'm around 19 years with AP.
- 2 Q. A long time. So were you involved at all in
- 3 | Kentucky Power Company's 2020 rate case in this
- 4 Commission?

- 5 A. I was not, no.
- 6 Q. You were not? Have you reviewed the order
- 7 | from that -- from that case concerning net metering
- 8 tariffs?
- 9 A. I did not review the order on that one
- 10 | directly, no.
- 11 Q. I do want to pull up that order because I do
- 12 | think there's some -- there's a relevant passage to
- 13 | the modeling of the supply side of DERs and supply
- 14 | side resources. And my computer has gone to sleep
- 15 here.
- 16 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: I'm sorry, Your Honor,
- 17 but I would like to highlight the objection that the
- 18 witness just indicated that he's not familiar with
- 19 that subject matter, so I think that lacks
- 20 foundation.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I understand. I would
- 22 | like to defer ruling on your objection until she
- 23 asks the specific questions, in which if you have a
- 24 | further objection, I'll take it under consideration,
- 25 Mr. Garcia-Santana.

- MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 | Q. And I think my colleague has put this up on
- 3 | the screen, and as I indicated, this is an order
- 4 | from Case Number 2020-00174 from this Commission.
- 5 | It's the -- related to the Kentucky Power general
- 6 rate case.

1

A. Okay.

- 8 Q. And this order is from May 2021, and as you
- 9 can see here, the first line here refers to the
- 10 tariff, NMS II.
- So this order resolved the issues related to
- 12 | the NMS II tariff. Do you know what NMS II tariff
- 13 refers to?
- 14 A. I don't. I don't know, so...
- 15 Q. You don't know what NMS tariff is? Is there
- 16 | someone -- well, the testimony I think is relevant
- 17 to the modeling.
- 18 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: I'm sorry, Your Honor,
- 19 | what testimony?
- 20 Q. Sorry, excuse me. I misspoke.
- 21 The order -- the findings of the Commission
- 22 I'd like to highlight are relevant to the modeling
- 23 of supply side resources.
- 24 So if it's okay, I think I will just turn to
- 25 | that position, and I won't ask you any questions

- 1 about that specific tariff, which you've said you
- 2 | are not familiar with, but my understanding is that
- 3 | this is the company's net metering tariff. They
- 4 refer to it as NMS II.
- 5 **A.** Okay.
- 6 Q. Now, if we could page 21 of the order, and
- 7 under the heading Principles for Compensation for
- 8 | Eligible Customer-Generators. Are you familiar with
- 9 the term customer-generators?
- 10 A. I can infer, maybe customer-sided generation,
- 11 meaning customer-owned and customer-installed
- 12 generation.
- 13 Q. Customers that -- yes --
- 14 A. That would install their own generation?
- 15 Q. -- take service under the company's net
- 16 | metering tariff?
- 17 **A.** Okay.
- 18 | Q. And if you go about halfway down this next
- 19 paragraph under that heading, the sentence that
- 20 begins after footnote 67, While the Commission
- 21 declined in the January 13, 2021, order to adopt a
- 22 recommendation for a separate proceeding to
- 23 determine a NEM rate methodology, the Commission
- 24 | concludes that many of the best practices supported
- 25 by the intervenors are reasonable and should be

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

16

17

24

245

incorporated into NMS II for the reasons set forth below.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Yeah. And, Your Honor, I would like to again highlight that there's no context for the witness that has indicated already that he's not familiar with the order and is not familiar with the case.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I don't --

MS. LEGGE: I --

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: So the reference is --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah, I guess -- I guess

12 what I'm asking from counsel is if we could get to

13 the actual question of the witness, that may be

helpful to determine the relevancy related to

15 Mr. Garcia-Santana's objection.

MS. LEGGE: Certainly, Your Honor.

Q. Let's go right there. These principles are

18 as follows: Bullet point 1: Evaluate eligible

19 generating facilities as a utility system or a

20 supply side resource. Because eligible customer

21 generators and their eligible generating

22 facilities -- on to the next page -- can meet power

23 system needs, they should be compared with other

energy resources using consistent methods, processes

25 and assumptions.

2.1

Would you say generally speaking that the IRA -- or that the IRP compares energy resources that on their -- excuse me -- that compares energy resources according to their availability to meet system needs for the capacity obligation into the future?

A. Yeah, that's part of what the IRP does.

We're going to compare different resources, supply and demand side, and we did that. We looked at energy efficiency, as the example, for the demand side that has an energy savings that we've -- we've been able to get forecasted values from, understand what is a reasonable estimate to assume, and we incorporate that.

And I think what Witness Reid would have commented on is for the purpose of -- I'm going to call it customer sided, meaning a distributed generated resource, we'll realize those benefits as the customers would install that, and their load is modified accordingly because when Reid's looking at his load forecast, he's going to recognize some downward pressure hopefully if they're installing customer generation and have the effect on that.

So we'll see that as a -- as implicit load forecast, and then the load forecast inherently

done in this IRP?

2.1

- would come down, and then that's what we would use as our fundamental input to our IRP.
- Q. Yeah. I do that you understand, but as a -in terms of the principle of evaluating
 customer-sided DERs as a supply side resource as
 referred to in this Commission order, that was not
- A. We didn't -- we didn't specifically have a customer-side DER in this because we didn't have any forecast or potential out there. I don't know how they estimate whether it's 10 megawatts, 100 megawatts, 1,000 megawatts, nor do I know how much the cost of those megawatts would be for us to model at this point.

So I'm still -- we're still looking to figure that out. You know, if we could figure something out on how to properly forecast it so that it has, you know, something valuable for us, then we wouldn't necessarily be opposed, but I don't know what that forecast would look like.

- Q. Did you review the energy futures group report that was attached to joint intervenors' comments in this proceeding?
- **A.** I did.
- 25 Q. You did. And did you review their

recommendation on this point? Do you recall them?

A. Yeah. I can't remember all of the details exactly. I know there were several references, and they brought up some comparisons to I think some Vermont and Rhode Island growths of some sort, if I recall, for DERs maybe growth.

And I would, you know -- there were some concerns I had with that, although in a general sense, I think their recommendations, I didn't have a major problem to continue to look at and consider.

There wasn't anything yet there that I could see that I would have been available to adjust what we did for this IRP.

- Q. Did you -- did you recall their discussion of the example from the Northern Indiana Public Service Company, also known as NIPSCO?
- A. There was a couple references I think on NIPSCO on that. Can you help me which -- or where at exactly?
- Q. Yeah. Well, I can give you a page number if that's helpful, but that -- the discussion was in reference to NIPSCO modeling DER supply side bundles specifically for their impact on distribution costs, different distribution investments. Do you recall that?

- A. Yeah, I -- not the specific detail, but I do recall that there was a discussion in there for me to continue looking at.
- Q. And your consultant, Mr. Haratym, in this case is from Charles River Associates?
- 6 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

- O. Is that correct?
- 8 **A**. Yes.
- 9 Q. Does he look to -- well, actually that's
 10 probably a better question for him. I'll save that
 11 question for him. Okay.
- That's all my questions there. The last topic I did want to address is --
- 14 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Let's go ahead and take a short recess, and we'll come back.
- 16 MS. LEGGE: Sure.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We'll do a 10-minute 18 recess and we'll come back at 4:45.
- MR. KURTZ: I was just going to say, the expectation is this finishes tonight?
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yes. I don't -- maybe

 22 it's just a roomful of attorneys, but I feel like we

 23 all work better with a deadline in front of us, and

 24 so by saying we're not leaving until we're done, it

 25 usually ends up being more efficient that way,

1 Mr. Kurtz.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

24

25

All right. We will be in recess until 4:45.

(Off the record)

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We're back on the record in Case Number 2023-00092. Counsel.

MS. LEGGE: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q. I have just one last topic I wanted to address, but I'm wondering if it's for you or for Mr. Haratym. I want to ask some questions about the input workbook for the modeling and the Aurora outputs as related to the production tax credit and the investment tax credit.
 - Is that something that --
- 14 A. I can attempt.
- 15 | Q. Okay.
- 16 A. But I can pass to Mr. Haratym.
- Q. Okay. Sure. We'll start there. And I'm going to ask a couple questions -- I think we will need to go into a confidential session for this, so I just want to ask a couple questions first just to make sure.
 - So we were -- gosh, I'm trying to remember who was talking about the PTC and ITC report. An earlier witness was discussing the PTC and ITC, and if I refer to them as PTC and ITC, you'll know I'm

- referring to the production tax credit and investment tax credit?
 - A. Yes.

3

8

9

Q. Now, I do want to turn to that energy futures group report that was attached to the joint intervenor comments that I mentioned earlier.

Do you happen to have that with you?

- A. I don't have the copy with me. I do have some recollection of it.
- 10 | Q. Okay.
- 11 A. So -- but we can talk specifically --
- Q. We'll -- I want to get into the confidential version, so I don't want to put it up on the screen, but would you be able to provide the confidential version of that report so that we --
- 16 MS. GLASS: I don't have it.
- MS. LEGGE: You don't have it.
- 18 MS. GLASS: No.
- 19 MS. LEGGE: Okay.
- Q. So maybe we will need to put it up in a
 minute, but I want to ask some questions about the
 -- about how those tax incentives are modeled, and
 the company provided the parties -- provided the
 parties in discovery a copy of that input workbook I
- 25 was just referencing.

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Is that correct?
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. And I believe that was Joint Intervenor's
- $5 \mid 1-62$, Attachment 1.
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. And that input workbook shows the application
- 8 of something called a tax gross-up for the PTC and
- 9 ITC --
- 10 **A.** Right.
- 11 | Q. -- is that correct?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. And could you explain what a tax gross-up is?
- 14 A. Broadly it's -- it's ensuring the full amount
- of the tax credit is recognized, you know. Tax
- 16 credits come back to the company as a revenue. In
- order to realize the full amount, we need to gross
- 18 | it up by our tax amount, our tax rate.
- So we essentially multiply, I believe, the
- 20 base tax credit by we call it a gross-up factor.
- 21 It's just derived from, you know, various state tax
- 22 | rates to get the amount that we need to account for
- 23 in our modeling.
- 24 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure, before
- 25 | we go into confidential, I'm sticking to --

- A. And I might be able to save you a little
- 2 confidential with me.
- 3 Q. Yeah.
- A. That -- because I think that discussion more specifically, especially with regards to the exhibit you referenced, the 162 --
- 7 O. Yes.
- 8 A. -- is going to be something that Witness
- 9 | Haratym will be better suited to talk directly to
- 10 because those are going to come straight from the
- 11 Aurora model and what Charles River had to do to
- 12 transform inputs into a model input.
- 13 Q. Okay. Great. I will save the rest of my
- 14 | questions for him --
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 | Q. -- in that case.
- 17 A. All right.
- 18 Q. Thank you very much.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I've seen it before,
- 20 | Counsel, some really claims that somebody else can
- 21 answer that. I think -- oh, well.
- Ms. Koenig already indicated she didn't have
- 23 any questions.
- 24 Staff, questions for this witness?
- MR. BELLAMY: Yes, we do.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BELLAMY:

2.1

Q. I just want to talk just generally about the initial selection of the supply side resources that were discussed in the IRP and how those resources were chosen, just the process that was involved and who was involved.

So I guess were you -- were you involved in the process of choosing the supply side resources that would be modeled?

- A. I was -- I was involved in the overall process, yes.
- Q. Okay. How did it work? How did it start out, and then how did you get from, you know, where you started to the point where you had the list of resources with the various constraints that you were going to include in the model?
- A. Yeah. The core of our screening exercise would be rooted with EIA's assessment. EIA does a pretty thorough assessment on screening on different technologies and types of resources. It's rooted in AEO's outlook, Sargent & Lundy does a lot of the detailed work behind all of the different -- a whole series of different types of resources.
 - And it covers, you know, not only nuclear but

various types of gas resources, solar, wind, and -- and many other additional types.

2.1

We'll start from there, and then we'll start to look at it in terms of what the costs are. We'll do some forward-looking projections, try to screen out -- screen it in terms of what -- what do these resources start to look like for eligible tax credits.

So we can start to distill down types of resources that are going to be potentially selected, you know, some estimates. Some of the larger resources that may not fit into the screening -- maybe the first level of screening such as a coal plant where there's a large, you know, 8-, \$9,000 just base capital cost, and then you've got some other costs to build new coal plants and all.

Those might actually -- those would be an example of we would look at those, but then ultimately we would distill that out and maybe not carry that forward into the actual offering into the model.

Other resources like the combined cycles, we have -- there's options in there with combined cycle with a carbon sequestration option on it. There are other resources in there for different nuclear types

of alternatives.

2.1

We want to bring in a mix of resources that gives us different sizes of block -- we'll call them block sizes, but different sizes. So different gas resources can be as small as 20 megawatts. You can go as high as the 2-by-1 combined cycle. It could be over 1,000 megawatts.

We've got the different solar, the wind. Now storage has started to come into the picture, and now we're getting to even -- for this IRP, we only had a four-hour storage, for instance, and I think we're evolving to start adding more hours of storage.

So we're going to look at all that, look at different tax credits, start to get a sense of where they line up in terms of cost and performance, and then build that up as a series of resources for the model to select from.

- Q. All right. And who is "we"? Is it just particularly your group or are there multiple groups involved?
- A. There's multiple groups. We use -- we have -- the service corporation also has an engineering organization where I get a lot of technical input and support. They start to provide

2.1

me further insights to different performance, different heat rates, different -- different detailed engineering analysis into that that help into the analysis.

So as we leverage their expertise into the different technology types, we look at EIA's information. Charles River, in the case of this IRP, also offered additional insights into other third-party resources that offered information for us to consider when we started looking at some of the longer duration storage, for instance.

We would bring all that together, and then we want to talk to Kentucky Power. And this goes back to an early conversation I had, is I really can't ask Charles River in this process to initiate any modeling until I've got a real review and concurrence with Kentucky Power so they understand the potential for different resource selections that could come out of this model and why we're suggesting them and where the value is and what's the give and take of each of the resources. They all have a purpose and the values component to them.

So we'll do some screening and we'll talk that over with Kentucky Power, and then that's how that decision is made and then we proceed to the

modeling.

2.1

- Q. And before you take it to Kentucky Power, do you-all have specific screening criteria or is it more like an ad hoc screening where you're kind of removing some things for qualitative reasons and other things because they're duplicative? How is that process?
- A. It'll be -- primarily it'll be -- there's a cost base on it, and there's a type base that we're trying to make sure we can cover in the model. We want the model to have a diverse set. We don't want it to only have gas, we don't want it to only have nuclear, we don't want it to only have renewals. We need a diverse set of resources.

So we are looking for a -- what I will call a more typical type of a resource that is cost effective, that is representative of what we are most likely going to be able to find in the market to transact on.

So that's going to be a foundational -- maybe that's a qualitative perspective of what we think is going to -- we can transact on.

- Q. Would you ask specific, like, written criteria or --
- A. I don't have a specific written criteria for

this.

1

- Q. Okay.
- 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 | Q. And when you take it to Kentucky Power, what
- 5 | is that like? You take them, I guess, more
- 6 resources than were ultimately placed in the IRP and
- 7 discuss those resources with them, and then there's
- 8 | more, I guess, selection or culling of the list at
- 9 that point?
- 10 A. Yeah. The list, it -- by the time we would
- 11 take it to Kentucky Power, there will be some -- you
- 12 know, for instance, we may actually present to them
- 13 a coal option, a coal with carbon sequestration
- 14 option. For Kentucky Power, we may talk actively on
- 15 that and suggest, you know, that that is something
- 16 we may screen out of the model input because of both
- 17 | size and complexity and does it fit within the
- 18 portfolio and where we want it to go at this point.
- 19 So we might talk with Kentucky Power in that context
- 20 | for the different types of resources.
- 21 For some of the gas resources, as an example,
- 22 different -- there's many different configurations
- 23 of combined cycles we can consider. All right? We
- 24 can consider two and three combustion turbines with
- 25 different steam to heat rate -- heat recovery

2.1

generators. You can consider the one-by-one like we did here with Kentucky Power because of the sizing component of this. The aeroderivatives, there's a slew of different alternatives in aeroderivatives. We need to find something that is representative of the broad market.

So we aren't going to try to be vendor-specific, but we want to try to look at different resources that are representative, both in terms of size and cost and performance. And that's where we use our engineering team to help us cull down maybe three or four different aeroderivatives and just say, this particular aeroderivative is representative, and we can work with that one.

And then we'll represent that -- we'll present that and talk with Kentucky Power specifically, as an example.

- Q. And who makes the ultimate decision regarding what resources are included in the modeling?
- A. It still has to come back to Kentucky Power.

 Kentucky Power is relying on me to advise, much like we do in the analysis, to advise and represent and to provide them some suggestions. But ultimately, the decision has to come from Kentucky Power to concur for us to proceed.

Q. Thank you.

2.1

Just with respect to a couple specific resources, I know the natural gas combined cycle, they were limited to a 1,083-megawatt unit and a 418-megawatt unit. I know as you mentioned there's various sizes. You know, there's a recent -- I think LG&E's was about 600 megawatts.

Was there a particular reason why you limited it to the 1,083 and the 418 as opposed to kind of considering a broader range of sizes?

A. I think the primary reason for this particular IRP was, that was well supported in terms of public information. That was vetted and validated in terms of cost and performance as a base set of assumptions.

There are other configurations that could be considered. You know, they used an H class combustion turbine, for instance, on the combined cycles, which is the more advanced, larger-sized combustion turbines. There are other combustion turbines similar to the combustion turbines we selected for this IRP, which we call the F class, which are a little bit smaller. Maybe not quite as efficient as the H class, but they also offer more modularity, and they also have the flexibility to

2.1

get converted to a combined cycle configuration as well.

So that may come in instead of a thousand megawatts, if it's 2-by-1, where you have two combustion turbines and a heat recovery -- a HRSG, we'll call it, generator, that two and -- 2-on-1 system for an F class may come in in a 7- to 800-megawatt range as opposed to a thousand-megawatt for a H class.

So we didn't include that. We still felt like in this IRP we had the larger one, we had the 418 as a good indicative case -- or resource to select for Kentucky Power's needs.

- Q. Would it add significant time to the modeling runs to, say, add a 600-megawatt natural gas combined cycle and an 800-megawatt natural gas combined cycle and kind of just see, you know, if the model selects, you know, those various sizes, how much time would that add to the modeling run?
- A. If we're only adding one resource or two, you know, a couple resources, it likely doesn't impact the modeling, and I think Witness Haratym could verify that. I think it's when we get into adding dozens of resources we begin to get concerned.

 That's when you can get into multiple days for the

run because the model's really got to go through those permeations to make those decisions.

So, you know, we need to try to keep that down to a manageable size, and that's -- that's a motivation for us to do a prescreen before we put everything into the model.

Q. Thank you.

2.1

With respect to the simple cycle combustion turbines, there was a constraint of 720 megawatts, I guess, total that the model was allowed to select. What was the, I guess, thinking behind placing that constraint on the model?

A. Broadly speaking, when we looked at the capacity needs assessments, the company didn't need — it was going to have to rebuild its portfolio out over into the future to the tune of about a thousand megawatts as Big Sandy would run its course and so forth. We wanted to make sure a diverse portfolio, we didn't want to necessarily have a portfolio that was single fuel, single type. There are — there is, we believe, benefit in diversity.

We didn't find the 720 to be binding. My instinct is if the model had run up against that -- that limit, we might have had some additional conversations with Kentucky Power to test that a bit

further.

- 2 | Q. I just wanted you to take a look. Do you
- 3 | have the IRP in front of you?
- 4 | A. I do.
- 5 Q. I was looking at page 90 of the IRP, and it
- 6 is the section that's talking about the simple cycle
- 7 combustion turbines.
- 8 **A.** Okay.
- 9 Q. I was looking at Table 6, which is at the top
- 10 of page 90, and the VOM -- and that's the variable
- 11 operation maintenance cost --
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 | Q. -- is that correct?
- 14 That cost of -- is that \$0.62 per megawatt --
- 15 or per megawatt hour?
- 16 A. That's -- yeah, for the variable O&M, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what -- there's a footnote there,
- 18 | and it says: Start cost of 79 megawatt as applied
- 19 | additional to VOM for natural gas combustion
- 20 turbine.
- 21 What is that referring to?
- 22 A. Yeah. To clarify, VOM, that's variable O&M.
- 23 The simple cycle combustion turbine, that is going
- 24 to most likely be dispatched economically with more
- 25 | frequent starts and stops, which impacts the cost of

this. So there's a base variable O&M associated with -- it's -- I don't think it's necessarily fuel, it's other consumables, I'll call it, but then there also needs to be a recognition of the start-up costs each time this combustion turbine starts up. And we don't want to deny that. We need to recognize that as part of the whole economic selection of different resources.

So in the model, we apply an assumption of \$79 per megawatt for each time the unit is actually started up so that we capture that cost as well. As compared to something like a combined cycle that probably doesn't cycle much, it's going to stay on and run more. You're not going to see that kind of frequency start-up costs, so you won't have that kind of a factor on the combined cycle.

- Q. I want to look at Table 7, which is on the next page, page 91, and the variable operation and maintenance expense for -- I apologize. That's the aeroderivative.
- 21 A. Yeah.
 - Q. I wanted to go the natural gas combined cycle, which is on page 88.
- **A.** Yeah.
 - Q. And the variable operation and maintenance

expense for that is -- for the single shaft is 267 per megawatt hour?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. I guess I was curious why the variable O&M for the simple cycle was so low. Because the natural gas combined cycle is more efficient; correct?
 - A. It would be more efficient on an MMBTu, yes.
 - Q. So would you typically expect that the variable costs for the natural gas -- or for the simple cycle combustion turbine would be higher than the variable costs for the natural gas combined cycle?
 - A. I can't think through the details of all the components on -- behind the variable O&M on this for the combined cycle. What I can say is that the variable -- the combined cycle still is going to incur some costs as you bring it up online. There will be a, you know, higher amount of -- well, on a per-megawatt-hour basis, I'm trying to think through all the details of what's behind the variable O&M, and I can't come up with the details on it.

I know that when we -- on the combustion turbine, we extract out those start-up costs, which if you were to try to make some assumption of number

2.1

of starts and embed that into a variable O&M, you're going to see a much higher -- \$4, most likely, in the 4 or \$5 range is my best guess, initial guess.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Just so I have an appreciation for what you're saying, so you have start-up costs. Start-up costs are just incurred once regardless of the number of hours it runs; right?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Then you have variable O&M. And do you intend on conveying those as being variable based on the number of starts, variable based on the number of hours, or a combination of the two depending on the unit's operation?

THE WITNESS: For the simple cycle, it would not be variable based on the number of starts. The value we had is the -- the 64, \$0.63 is not variable based on the number of starts, it's based on the energy production; right? So now we've got a larger start-up cost that gets imbedded when it gets called on for economic dispatch, so that's 79 times 240, I'll say. So that's a big slug right off the bat. And then you've got this \$0.63 per megawatt hour of variable expenses, costs associated with the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

268

production from that combustion turbine.

Comparatively on the combined cycle, we wouldn't -- we aren't really -- we aren't imposing a start-up cost because the combined cycle is going to most likely get up and run and stay running more consistently and more stable.

So there's really not a start-up cost to incur to recognize, but we've got this variable cost that's a little bit higher.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So insofar as there are recurring capital costs based on the number of starts, that's reflected in the start-up cost?

THE WITNESS: You said recurring capital cost, I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah. So the --

THE WITNESS: It's not a capital cost.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Well, so that's what I'm trying to ask about.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So as a general matter, steam units have recurring capital overhauls on -- like, a coal plant might do eight-year cycle.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

understanding?

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Is that your

McLendon-Kogut Reporting Serv

2.1

THE WITNESS: There's -- yes. And the gas plants will have turbine overhauls and, yes.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And that's what I want to ask about, is that turbine overhauls are not necessarily a reflection of the number of years that have been past but are a reflection of how the asset has actually been utilized. The number of starts, the run time, the throttling, whatever it is, it's basically -- it's far more about, with the CT, the operation of the facility than it is the time that's passed since the last time you looked at, would you agree?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it would be more on the run hours.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And that -- well, that's what I'm trying to have an appreciation for, is where in the modeling is that reflection of the recurring capital costs of an overhaul based on run hours? If it's not in VOM, which it's not O&M, so it's not VOM; right?

THE WITNESS: That's what I'm -- I'm not precisely clear where we were going to be encompassing the additional costs related to those gas turbine overhauls, those maintenance costs that have to be incurred at the -- on a run-hour basis,

right.

2.1

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Are you sure that it's not in the start-up costs?

THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not entirely sure it's not. I don't think it is, but I'm not entirely sure.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. So if it's not in the start-up costs, it's not in the VOM, because it's not an O&M expense, where would you have included it?

THE WITNESS: Well, that's the question, is it -- I'd have to go back in and look at the work paper to find out if they've embedded that, even though they -- the turbine overhaul may have been considered maybe a capital maintenance project, you know, decide -- to figure out if they included that, you know, those types of costs, if that levelized out into part of the variable O&M or not, I don't know --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: -- when I'm sitting here talking to you now.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. So separately -- and I'll let Mr. Bellamy take over here in a second.

Separately with the combined cycle, when you

2.1

model those, the CTs, there's a turbine involved that; right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And there's also a steam cycle?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Does the steam portion, is that recurring based on the number of years, the overhaul?

THE WITNESS: Does the overhaul -- what is the cycle of the overhaul for the steam generator versus the turbine -- the gas turbine?

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah. I guess what I'm asking is, are both of them included separately insofar as there's consideration to the ramping of the unit on an economic basis and the ramping's impact on -- the same thing we talked about a second ago, the impact that has on a turbine and its need for recurring capital maintenance.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- I am very uncertain how to articulate the details of what's in the variable O&M, just to be expressly direct on that.

I know there's a good narrative that I can refer back to, Sargent & Lundy's discussion on what's baked into this and going back and looking at

2.1

some other resources, but as I'm sitting here talking to you, I can't give you a great definition.

I don't know if Witness Haratym can give you a better backup for that, unfortunately.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. So my last question on this is, the operating of facilities once chosen by -- like, you-all put in, these are the, please go and see how this thing operates and what its cost is over a 15-year time frame; is that right?

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: It's my understanding from the testimony here that you-all permit the units to be dispatched economically as if -- as if it's just an energy resource in the PJ market; is that right?

THE WITNESS: I mean, we let the model economically dispatch it to the -- to the Kentucky Power load.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Well, that's what I want to make sure I have an appreciation for.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Is it being run as if it's part of the PJM universe or is it being run as if it's part of exclusively the Kentucky Power

universe?

2.1

THE WITNESS: No. It would be -- it would essentially be run as part of the PJM universe. So as part of the economic dispatch, it's also looking at what is the market energy cost versus our own, you know, maybe that self-schedule -- or not schedule but the combustion turbine or the gas -- the specific gas resource. You know, if the market energy is more favorable for rate payers, then the model would go to the market energy for those hours.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah, but I think about it -- as a Kentucky Power system, for instance, if you had 800 megawatts of solar and it got to be 8:30, 9 o'clock at night this time of year, you would have less production in solar and you would need to ramp up, necessarily, units to meet that; right?

THE WITNESS: You would have to -- yes.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: But that would require your units that can ramp to ramp at that exact time. But if it's part of -- if you're -- instead of doing it on a standalone utility basis, if you're doing it as the PJM universe, you would still need the ramping once 800 megawatts of solar and the whole scheme of 155,000 megawatts -- the whole 155,000

2.1

megawatts of PJM, if the 800 megawatts of solar stopped production, there will need to be a corresponding amount of megawatts or megawatt ramping, correct?

THE WITNESS: There would need to be a corresponding amount of megawatts to fill the 800 that dropped. Whether or not it comes from the combustion turbine --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: -- or the market, I don't know that answer.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And that's what I want to make sure. The fact that you do it in a PJM universe means that that is very different than if it was dispatched on a standalone Kentucky Power basis, correct?

THE WITNESS: It would be different because we have that other potential for low-cost energy for --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: There are other economic alternatives other than the fictional system you've created for Kentucky Power?

THE WITNESS: Other than the system that -- a hard resource that Kentucky Power might own, yes.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay.

THE WITNESS: There's other alternatives as

- 1 part of PJM, yeah.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Bellamy.
- 3 BY MR. BELLAMY:
- 4 Q. And I might follow up with the next witness
- 5 about that question.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. But if he's not able to answer, I think I
- 8 | will probably do just a post-hearing --
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 Q. -- data request and kind of ask for an
- 11 explanation of the difference in what's in both of
- 12 those columns.
- 13 | A. Sure.
- 14 Q. I'll give you a chance to look at it.
- Looking at page 144 of the IRP, and I was
- 16 | looking at Figure 66, which is sort of just a table,
- 17 kind of a flowchart showing how the modeling was
- 18 | conducted. And I just wanted to point you to that
- 19 for reference because I just had some questions
- 20 about that -- the process.
- 21 So you've got the Aurora portfolio module
- 22 here on the left, that left cylinder. And my
- 23 understanding is that that's effectively referring
- 24 to the capacity expansion modeling or what you refer
- 25 to as the optimization or capacity optimization; is

- 1 | that correct?
- A. Yeah. I can say I can talk generally to this
 and Witness Haratym can add --
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. -- additional details, just to make sure 6 we've managed.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- A. But, yes, to your -- what your question was
 is that Aurora model would do the original capacity
 expansion and identify those different portfolios of
 resources, yes.
- Q. And there was kind of some discussion of reference portfolios or, you know, the five portfolios. But it started out as five scenarios;
- 15 | is that correct?
- 16 Yes, there's two components. We do a 17 regional analysis that Witness Haratym can further 18 explain. And then once we understand that regional 19 analysis, we want to move that in more towards what 20 I'll call the local analysis, the Kentucky Power, 2.1 where we assess it relative to the Kentucky Power 22 loads. And that's more what this image would be 23 representing.
- 24 Q. Okay. So I actually did have that question.
- 25 | I wasn't clear if the regional analysis and the

- 1 Kentucky Power specific optimization were done
- 2 | together, but they were done separately?
 - A. They were done separately, actually.
- Q. And Mr. Haratym would have more -- be able to explain the PJM optimization?
- A. Yes. He can provide you more details as far as the -- how the PJM market was modeled and the relative resource mix in the PJM that's behind the associated energy capacity prices.
- 10 Q. Okay. So at this point, you've already got
- 11 | that -- the PJM optimization complete --
- 12 **A.** Yes.

- 13 Q. -- and that's an input at this point?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And so you've got the five scenarios: The
- 16 reference case, the reference high cost, the clean
- 17 energy technology advancement, enhancement carbon
- 18 regulation, and then no carbon regulation?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And those kind of start out as the scenarios
- 21 that you're going to put into the Aurora capacity
- 22 optimization model, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then you've run that, and then that spits
- 25 out the five portfolios that go by the same name?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. The reference case portfolio --
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. -- and so on.

And then I think those were the five you started with, and then you had two more that were run at the request of stakeholders that were sort of discussed earlier, was the natural gas combined cycle where you locked that in and then ran the capacity optimization?

11 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 12 Q. And then you had the no wind and then ran it 13 at capacity optimization; is that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And with respect to the natural gas combined cycle, you explained that to Mr. Kurtz, where you locked in the natural gas combined cycle and then let the model fill in everything else. Was it effectively the same with the wind -- or, wait, let me go back.

With the -- with the natural gas combined cycle run, was it essentially the reference case with natural gas combined cycle locked in?

- A. That's exactly what it was, yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. Okay.

A. Yes, sir.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

wind?

- Q. And the wind was the reference case with -- where you basically prohibited it from selecting
 - A. Yeah. We -- we did not allow the wind to be an option for selection in the model. Like we talked earlier, could we add more resources for the optimization model to select. We could, right? But at the same time, we could also remove them.

And in this case we removed wind to see what the optimization would look like if we didn't have wind as an alternative.

Q. Okay. There's a couple questions here about PJM. I'll skip those and save them for Mr. Haratym.

With respect to the optimization or the capacity optimization that was done on this first step of the Aurora model, can you just kind of give me an explanation in general terms what it was solving for?

- A. Well, I'll do my -- I'll give you a discussion, and then Witness Haratym can verify with me and back me up on this or -- or adjust anything I might tell you.
- Q. Sure.
- 25 A. So I'll put it that way. So the -- when we

offer -- we have a set number of -- we have our resources. We know what the cost -- the V O&M and F O&M, and we'll have some assumed capacity factors and some other analysis on there.

And the model is going to try to look at all the different permutations, be able to forecast out over the full life of these resources simultaneously, so that's -- that's really part of the benefit of these complex molds. It can have we call it perfect -- perfect foresight, so it can add all these different permutations together to solve for a least-cost portfolio.

So our objective -- we call it an objective function, and really it's the mathematical analysis that's going on within the computer to solve the mix of different resources that actually can meet the capacity obligations, meet any energy constraints that we have and any other boundaries we'll call them that we put in and apply within the model.

So taking into account or subject to any resource limits, any -- any dispatch limits, any other items we want -- we want or identify as needed in the model.

So that's what the model is trying to solve for at a least-cost basis selecting solar, wind,

- different gas resources, storage, taking into account the federal tax credits, taking into account the marginal value of any energy and coming up with a portfolio of resources.
- Q. And that's basically what I understood. I understand there were other constraints placed on it and it had to obviously meet those conditions, but ultimately it's solving for, I guess, least cost --
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 10 Q. -- based on the constraints that were placed on the various resources in the model itself?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. The question that I have, and you kind of alluded to this, you said it looked at the cost of the resources over the life of the resources.
 - Is it solving for the least cost within the planning period?
 - A. No. It's solving it with the assumption of each resource can run for its full life from the moment that it would be added, so if a resource gets added in 2034, so if -- well, I'll use the gas resources.

A new gas resource -- the combustion turbines would get added in 2029, and in this particular plan, that gas resource is going to be looked out

- 1 for 30 years, it's a 30-year resource, so it's not
- 2 | looking at -- so it's -- it's trying to calculate
- 3 the least cost, assuming that it's going to run for
- 4 | the full 30 years.
- 5 | Q. And I understand that. Like when it's --
- 6 when it's -- it's basically looking at the revenue
- 7 requirement effect of each resource; is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. I think that's a good characterization.
- 10 Q. And so when it's looking at a particular --
- 11 like a gas resource and you're talking about a
- 12 | 30-year resource, you know, it's going to include
- depreciation based on a 30-year useful life which
- 14 | would, you know, essentially divide the capital cost
- 15 by 30 and then each year you have that, and then --
- 16 is that correct?
- 17 A. It is. It also has other components put into
- 18 | it for, you know -- we would call it a carrying
- 19 charge. It's a -- it's a value we put in, so it
- 20 | includes the depreciation, it includes rates of
- 21 return, taxes and other things in it.
- 22 Q. Yeah. And I understand that.
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 | Q. It has the carrying charge and I was kind of
- 25 getting to that. The carrying charge for a -- for

- 1 a -- for a high capital cost asset, you know, in the
- 2 | beginning of its life, it's going to be more
- 3 | expensive but as it goes on in its life, it will get
- 4 | cheaper; is that correct? Because of the carrying
- 5 cost and because the balance of the rate base
- 6 associated with that asset is decreasing over the
- 7 | life of the asset?
- 8 A. From a cost-to-service perspective, you're
- 9 right. There is a declining -- I think you're --
- 10 | would be -- would you say that's the declining rate
- 11 base as it depreciates -- is that what -- I don't
- 12 know if that's what you're referring to, but --
- 13 | Q. Yes.
- 14 A. -- in our modeling, I don't think we -- we do
- 15 that entirely. It would be more of a simplified
- 16 depreciation assumption, so our carrying charge is
- 17 going to be a fixed value that -- that would go for
- 18 | the life.
- So your depreciation component of a carrying
- 20 charge would be, you know -- call it a straight line
- 21 depreciation, you know.
- 22 Q. Oh --
- 23 A. -- when divided by the number of years of a
- 24 | life.
- 25 Q. And I understand the depreciation will be

2.1

straight line, but the -- you're saying that the carrying cost, like the cost of capital each year is not decreasing based on the decreasing net plan service associated with that asset?

A. In the optim- -- in the initial optimization,

I don't think we have the -- I'll say the

reassessment of year two or year three, what's the

current, you know, capital basis to depreciate from.

I don't think it's incorporating that into the whole

mix.

The carrying charge would be more of a simplifying component, factor that we apply for each of the different resources, so each resource is going to have a different carrying charge, right, based on its life.

So a longer life resource is -- its carrying charge rate is going to be likely lower than something that's going to have a shorter life but a cost, so your rate would be a higher rate, even though maybe your number -- your base number that it would be applied by is smaller.

- Q. So are you averaging the carrying cost over the life of the asset to get a flat carrying cost to use for the modeling?
- A. Yeah. We have a single carrying charge rate

- that we apply to each resource type for each
 resource. I want to be careful about saying average
 and make sure because we just use a single -- we use
 a single value.
- 5 Q. Do you mean a percentage --
- 6 A. A percentage, a percentage.
 - Q. -- that you're applying?
- A. A percentage that's applied that incorporates
 the -- the depreciation over the life and then the
 return and taxes and some other things in it.
- Q. And is that single value being applied to the same capital costs each year so that that carrying cost would be the same each year --
- 14 | A. Yes.

17

18

19

- 15 Q. -- in the model?
- 16 A. As I understand it, yes.
 - CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So I want to clarify: I know that counsel used the average, but is levelized a more accurate description since you take issue with the idea of average? No, I --
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. No, I -- I didn't mean
 to laugh at you. It's -- when you look at -- we
 would end up doing a levelized number once we looked
 at the whole series of cost over the 30 years, for
 instance.

2.1

So each year we've got your costs, and then we would look at it at the -- you know, what's the sum total after 30 years and what the associated energy and levelized -- that's how we get to our levelized number.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So is your total nominal -- you take your total nominal costs -- THE WITNESS: Yep.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: -- throughout the entire time period. You've got your initial upfront costs, and then you've got your costs in between; right? Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: The cost in between, let me -let's break down parts for me if you don't mind.

The total nominal is -- if it's, I don't know,
\$1,000 per KW times -- if I use that number, times a
carrying charge, and there's your -- there's a
capital -- capital component of the total cost we
have to incorporate.

We also have fixed O&M that we have to incur every year, right? And then the variable cost, and we would do that over the life, so your carrying charge -- your capital cost would be the same for the full 30 years each year, so -- because it's a fixed value.

- CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Your return and -- your return of and return on is levelized over the entirety of the period?
- THE WITNESS: I think so. I think -- I think that's right.
- 6 BY MR. BELLAMY:

1

2

- Q. Would Mr. Haratym have more details on this?
- 8 A. I think Mr. Haratym can add more depth to -9 to how that -- how all that was represented and the
 10 associated carrying charges as well.
- Q. So I'll ask him, and then to the extent that
 we need a follow-up --
- 13 A. Follow-up.
- 14 Q. -- I might do a post-hearing --
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 Q. -- so thank you for that. I do have a couple
- 17 more. I'm almost finished. At the resource
- 18 optimization step, so this first Aurora step, if --
- 19 | did -- the model counted for the possibility that
- 20 Kentucky Power wouldn't have sufficient native
- 21 generation or, you know -- own generation or
- generation under contract to serve their load. And
- 23 in that instance it would -- it would go to the
- 24 market to purchase the energy needed; is that
- 25 | correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

25

288

A. I want to make sure, there's two -- I think there were two questions.

So the optimization is going to be looking first for the capacity obligation and then -- and for the capacity obligation, we have -- we've got a -- we've got to bring resources to the -- to our -- to meet our PJM obligations.

And that could mean firm resources, and in this case and especially in the early years, it could mean contracted resources in some context.

Then in addition to that, we're also trying to make sure we can solve to -- to serve our energy requirements as well, and to serve that energy, that's where the economic dispatch happens, so the model's economically dispatching Kentucky Power's own resources or supplying it from the PJM set of resources that were -- that the Kentucky Power rate payers can be served from to meet its loads as well.

- Q. And that's all taking place in this first part, the first cylinder here on figure 66, correct?
- A. Um --
- Q. And it's selecting the portfolio along with the market purchases that would be the least cost, is that --
 - A. Yes, I think so, and I think -- I'm going to

- 1 have to ask Mr. Haratym to give a little more
- 2 | detail --
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. -- as far as how much is done during the
- 5 | capacity optimization verse -- and then we would
- 6 transition over to the financial analysis --
- 7 | Q. Okay.
- 8 A. -- for the rest of it. He -- I'll need to
- 9 have him explain a bit more, you know, resolution --
- 10 | Q. Okay.
- 11 A. -- to that, to the details.
- 12 Q. And I've got more questions. If any of these
- 13 | questions should go to him --
- 14 | A. Sure.
- 15 | Q. -- please just tell me.
- But the question I had with respect to the
- 17 | modeling of the energy purchases, if -- you know, if
- 18 | you had solar and the model knows that your winter
- 19 | load is going to be X and it's going to the market
- 20 to make market purchases, does that -- during this
- 21 Aurora resource optimization step, is the model
- 22 adjusting market energy prices based on projected
- 23 demand and resource availability within PJM?
- 24 A. I don't know if I can answer that entirely.
- 25 Q. If you want to defer to Mr. Haratym, that's

fine.

- 2 A. I might need to defer to Mr. Haratym on this
- because of, you know, all of the wheels that are
- 4 getting meshed within Aurora, that's where I'm
- 5 starting to get a little uncomfortable how much is
- 6 actually happening in the Aurora model, so I
- apologize, but I appreciate your tolerance.
- 8 Q. Oh, it's fine. I'm just trying -- I'm just
- 9 trying to understand --
- 10 **A.** Yeah.
- 11 Q. -- how the model is working, and so I'll ask
- 12 | him that question.
- Just -- at this point, I just want to assume
- 14 | we're beyond that first Aurora resource
- 15 optimization --
- 16 **A.** Okay.
- 17 Q. -- step, and we now have the portfolios for
- 18 | the various scenarios, and at that point we're
- 19 moving to the financial model and also applying
- 20 the -- this -- the assessment criteria.
- 21 And do you have -- it was KIUC Exhibit 1?
- 22 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. I was just going to point to this just as a
- 24 quick reference. And the fourth page on that is a
- 25 | table. It's from page 76 of your IRP.

A. Okay.

- 2 Q. But it's just the table showing the
- 3 | evaluation and the performance of the various
- 4 | portfolios --
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. -- you know, with the assessment criteria.
- 7 And I'm really looking at the first two, the
- 8 | short-term five-year cost and the long-term
- 9 five-year CPW.
- 10 **A.** Mm-hmm.
- 11 Q. And those costs are essentially coming from
- 12 | the revenue requirement calculations in that
- 13 | financial module step here, the second cylinder on
- 14 | figure 66, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. So number 1, I think I know the answer
- 17 to this because I'm now looking at the set. Those
- 18 | are both done on the reference case?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. I hadn't seen that earlier. And
- 21 | that --
- 22 THE CLERK: Chairman, I just lost my screen.
- 23 It just went out.
- 24 (Off the record)
- 25 Q. With respect to the 5-year cost calculation

2.1

and the 15-year calculation, my understanding is those are done more like traditional revenue requirement calculations showing the expected revenue requirement effect of each portfolio in each year and then manipulating those numbers in some way, which you're doing in each year.

So the first year you have the full capital costs, you know, with the carrying costs and the depreciation. The next year the capital costs are slowly declining; is that correct?

- A. I think that's true, and Witness Haratym can speak more to the specific work papers behind these, but I believe what you're describing is accurate.
- Q. Okay. And with the 15-year if, you know, for a -- for a large -- or for a unit that, you know, does have a high capital costs, you know, that's going in 2030, and you're going out 15 years from 2023, that would only have about seven or eight years to depreciate, you know, by the end of this analysis; is that correct?
- A. If, in fact, it was only -- if we were truncating the full depreciation at -- or truncating the depreciation at the end of the planning horizon in the IRP, I don't believe that's actually what happened in this revenue requirement.

So I believe that the financial analysis -- I believe the financial analysis encompasses the full life of the resource and the full depreciation, but I think Witness Haratym will be able to talk more specifically about the work papers behind these values.

- Q. Okay. Because my impression -- my understanding was that it was -- they just did the revenue requirement calculation each year for 15 years and then stopped at that point --
- 11 A. Yeah, I don't --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

- 12 Q. -- and then kind of added those values up,
 13 but you're saying you think it went out further?
 - A. I think they assessed the full depreciation of the resource into the -- the -- the total CPW here. Even though we're talking about a 15-year CPW, I think we're still assessing the full value of the -- of the -- or the full depreciation of each resource.
- 20 Q. I'll ask Mr. --
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. -- Haratym that.
- 23 This question was sort of asked of another
 24 witness to some extent, and I think I know the
 25 answer, but, you know, with respect to -- going back

- 1 to like looking at resources, in this case there
- 2 | was -- you know, you-all didn't reach out to, you
- 3 know, any other utilities in Kentucky Power's
- 4 | service area or adjacent to it regarding, you know,
- 5 | potentially, you know, going together on any
- 6 particular resource; is that correct?
- 7 A. We didn't have a specific dialogue with any
- 8 other utility partner to prescribe a particular
- 9 resource, if that's -- if that was -- was that your
- 10 question?
- 11 Q. Yeah. Did you kind of investigate either
- 12 | with those utilities or through just your own
- 13 | investigation the possibility of, you know,
- 14 partnering with them regarding a particular
- 15 resource?
- 16 A. We didn't do anything direct because we just
- 17 don't know where those might actually be, both in
- 18 | terms of location and potential, so -- and I think
- 19 it got referred to earlier, like you said, you know,
- 20 to establish that, one of the options was, in fact,
- 21 | you know, using that one-by-one as a proxy for a
- 22 | shared ownership for, say, maybe a 1,000-megawatt
- 23 combined cycle where Kentucky Power doesn't
- 24 necessarily need 1,000 megawatts to meets its
- 25 | capacity obligation on a combined cycle, but the

2.1

one-by-one can serve as a proxy for that if you did a shared ownership, you know, 50 percent partnership on that -- that size of a unit, for instance.

So that's what we did. That was the assumption we made for the purposes of this IRP, but we didn't have anything -- a specific partner that we had engaged on.

- Q. Do you, as part of either planning for this IRP or other IRPs for Kentucky Power, review the IRPs and/or IRP reports for other utilities in Kentucky?
- A. Occasionally we do. I haven't read them specifically ahead of this particular IRP.
- Q. And I guess would it surprise you that several other, you know, I guess smaller utilities in Kentucky -- I don't want to say smaller but utilities that might have less of a need, you know, have indicated in their IRPs that, you know, they might have some interest in partnerships with other entities?
- A. You had asked me, would it surprise me. At this point, I don't -- with our industry and the transformation that we're going through in our industry, it's hard to say, you know -- suggest that anything doesn't surprise me anymore, what we get to

recognize now.

- Q. With respect to -- you know, one of the costs that you talked about was the carrying cost, and
- 4 obviously within Kentucky Power or any investor in a
- 5 utility you're going to have the debt cost and the
- 6 cost of equity, you know, together in that carrying
- 7 cost, and for a large resource, that can be
- 8 | relatively significant, would you agree with that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And obviously -- well, are you familiar with
- 11 | the financing opportunities available to electric
- 12 | gen -- sorry -- electric generation and transmission
- 13 | cooperatives?
- 14 A. I'm not familiar with them --
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. -- in any detail no, sir.
- 17 | Q. Are you familiar with the fact that they
- 18 | typically do have lower financing costs?
- 19 A. Not directly. If that's what you're telling
- 20 me, then I'd have to -- you know.
- 21 Q. Do you know where East Kentucky Power is
- 22 | located in relation to Kentucky Power Service
- 23 territory?
- 24 A. Specifically I can't visualize the map of
- 25 | their -- their territory, no.

- 1 Q. And I'm almost finished. I was going to page
- 2 240 of the IRP, and there's a table on that page --
 - A. Okay.

- 4 Q. -- that I had just a couple quick questions
- 5 about. There's a column, and it just says energy
- 6 | surplus. It's the fourth column from the right.
- A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And it's positive in some and then negative
- 9 in others.
- 10 **A**. Yes.
- 11 Q. And this was the preferred plan in the
- 12 reference scenario. I guess I was wondering, would
- it be possible to break that energy surplus out by
- 14 month based on the way that this table was produced
- 15 to determine, you know, when it occurred and whether
- 16 it was occurring in winter versus summer and how
- 17 | they were netting out?
- 18 A. Yeah, I don't -- I'd have to look at the work
- 19 paper behind this. Witness Haratym might be able to
- offer more details. He helped compile -- his team
- 21 helped compile this, so I don't know in terms of the
- 22 complexity associated with breaking it out by month
- 23 to understand where the --
- 24 Q. I'll ask him --
- 25 **A.** Okay.

- 1 Q. -- and then to the extent that it's possible,
- 2 | I'll do a post-hearing data request for that.
 - A. Okay.

- 4 | Q. And just one more series of quick questions.
- 5 When -- the IRP at page 40, and this had to do with
- 6 the load. I don't know if you heard that earlier,
- 7 | you know -- there's -- around page 43 or 45, there's
- 8 | a discussion of seven load scenarios, and then
- 9 there's discussion of the high, the low, and then
- 10 the base?
- $11 \mid A.$ Mm-hmm.
- 12 Q. And an indication that the load would be in
- 13 between the high and the low. Do you know where the
- 14 | high and the low or if the high and the low load
- 15 | scenarios as well as the base load scenario, where
- 16 | they tie in to the five scenarios that you-all ran?
- 17 A. Yes. The reference case and everything with
- 18 the reference case would have been the base.
- 19 Q. Okay. That's what I --
- 20 A. That makes reasonable sense. Then we have
- 21 | the -- there's the -- the enhanced carbon
- 22 regulation, and the CETA, we call it, the clean
- 23 | energy technology, would -- would use the other --
- 24 the high and the low loads as the -- as the
- 25 | measures.

- Q. So which one used -- do you know which one used which?
- A. Let's see. I think -- I believe at the -
 the enhanced carbon regulation actually had the

 higher loads, the high load, and then the clean air

 technology had the low loads I believe is what we

 modeled under.
 - Q. That was all the questions I had.
- 9 **A.** Okay.

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 10 Q. Thank you very much.
- 11 EXAMINATION
- 12 BY CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:
 - Q. Are you the best person to ask about the -and that was a loaded question because every witness
 you asked that says, no, somebody else is definitely
 the best person to ask that.

But are you the best person to discuss the determination or consideration of, quote, rate stability in choosing the best plan to achieve Kentucky Power's IRP objectives?

There were four categories that were used on a scorecard for the preferred plan results. Do you remember that?

A. Yeah, but we actually only had three. I think in the IRP I think I found an error where we

- 1 | had -- the narrative said there were four, but, in
- 2 | fact, we had three rate stability metrics in the --
- 3 Q. Oh yeah. No, there were three rate stability
- 4 metrics --
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 0. -- but there are four metrics overall.
- 7 | Customer affordability, rate stability --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- maintaining reliability and load impact
- 10 and sustainability?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. So for rate stability, scenario
- 13 range was the high minus the low, the delta of the
- 14 | two bookends; right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. The second was cost risk. It says RR,
- 17 | that's revenue requirement?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Revenue requirement increase in
- 20 reference case, 95th minus 50th percentile?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Walk me through that for a moment.
- 23 A. Yeah. I'll start walking you through it, and
- 24 | if we need details, then Mr. Haratym can maybe back
- 25 **up**.

But that is really the stochastics, the -- we did a stochastics analysis. Charles River did a simulation where there were 250 different iterations, where they changed different variables, gas, market prices, I think solar and wind curves, just -- and through that simulation, came out with different portfolio costs. And this is the result analysis between the median cost of all those 250 runs and the 95th percentile of those runs. This is the associated cost risk, what we could potentially realize.

- Q. Great. The next one is market exposure?
- 13 **A.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

- 14 Q. And it's described as net sales as percentage
 15 of portfolio load scenario average?
- 16 **A**. Yes.
- 17 Q. So that is the reference of 2037, a summer
- 18 | and a winter percentage; is that right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Okay. The scenario reference are the 250
- 21 | scenarios you were just discussing?
- 22 A. In the market exposure, it's not the 250, no,
- 23 | sir.
- 24 Q. Okay. What universe of scenarios does the
- 25 | market exposure represent?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

302

A. So Charles River ended up, they ran -- I belive they dispatched it under each portfolio against each scenario set of conditions. So the reference case would have been dispatched under reference, the base, been under a ECR future and under a future you know, regional position where clean energy technology was the case.

So we have those five different futures that we would dispatch the portfolios. And then we're looking at the average of the -- how much we're leaning on the sales relative to portfolio load. So that's the net sales as the portfolio load, the average across all five of those dispatches.

- Q. Okay. A portfolio in -- which results in a positive percentage is one in which the utility is selling more energy than it's consuming; right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And the negative numbers are a portfolio in which the utility is consuming -- is a net purchaser of electricity?
- 21 A. It could be a net purchaser.
- Q. And that's relative to -- it's not relative to anything, it's just relative to its own internal demand; right?
 - A. It's relative to its internal demand, yes.

- Q. Okay. So if it's 30 percent winter, then it's selling 30 percent more energy than it's consuming in winter in 2037?
 - A. On an average basis, yes, sir.
 - Q. On an average basis across those scenarios?
- 6 A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Just so I have an appreciation, I think I understand the scenario range, I think I have an appreciation for the cost of risk.

Given the scenario range and the cost of risk, what's the purported benefit of looking at the market exposure?

A. So our -- our position is that we want to be able to rely on PJM as a resource, but we don't want to over rely on PJM as a resource. There is -- there's upside and downside for an overreliance, but it does serve our customers well from being able to rely on them to serve energy.

So we're trying to balance and mitigate risk, if you will, from an overreliance on the market, both in terms of purchases but also in terms of sales. Sales could be misrepresenting to the context of maybe we're recognizing too much energy value margin from an overabundance of sales into the market that may not be able to absorb it, or maybe

2.1

the prices aren't where we modeled them to materialize.

So we want to mitigate some of that risk in the portfolio, so that's why we're -- it's important for us to stay focused on this. We want to rely on the market, but we don't want to have -- you know,

I'll call it -- again, this becomes subjective -- but an overreliance on the market.

Q. Yeah. Well, I -- you know, I -- so do you-all have a rule of thumb in this regard as it relates to market exposure for what is an unreasonable risk both ways, either the revenue requirement is reflective of far too many -- far too much excess sales, you turn into a competitive generator, effectively, or the other side of your -- I'm trying to use your terms here, you're too dependent on purchases, net purchases.

Do you-all have a rule of thumb of what may be a reasonable collar there for what may exceed the risk tolerances of Kentucky Power or other AEP entities that do this?

A. Yeah, I -- for this -- for this IRP, we look to keep it within a 30 percent net sales of our purchases. We were looking to trying to keep our modeling within that 30 percent range.

So that was a -- that was a number, and I don't know if it's fully substantiated yet, admittedly, but we needed to have a number here and know that we want to model some flexibility. Again, this is also some balance of, we don't want a model to be too constrained, but we don't want to just let it run free.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. So we use 30 percent net in this analysis.
 We continue to look at it.
 - So just so I have an appreciation here, would Q. you-all put that constraint on it to say, every dollar in excess of 30 percent that's reflected in net sales at 33 percent, that incremental 3 percent should not be a credit back to the revenue requirement. Is that you're saying, that you would consider that and make sure that -- like, if your preferred portfolio is the cheapest but it's at 33 percent for both summer and winter, okay, would it be reasonable to, then, if 30 percent is your risk tolerance to say, what's the revenue requirement relative to the other options if we carve off that extra 3 percent of revenues from off-system sales to get within our risk tolerance? Is that what --

- A. No, I don't --
- 2 Q. Would that not be reasonable?
- 3 A. I don't think we would have done it that way,
- 4 | you know, for the purpose of an IRP. I think if we
- 5 | didn't want it to go beyond 30 percent, we would
- 6 have to make sure it didn't go beyond 30 percent so
- 7 | we get a result in an IRP.
- 8 Is it reasonable, though, is I don't know if
- 9 I can -- I don't know how to answer that --
- 10 | Q. Okay.

- 11 A. -- whether that's reasonable.
- 12 Q. So let me ask. Do you know what Kentucky
- 13 | Power's market exposure is today?
- 14 A. I don't know the number off -- right now.
- 15 I'd have to look at the FERC forms.
- 16 Q. But that's something that should be readily
- 17 | available based on the FERC Form 1; right?
- 18 A. I think so.
- 19 Q. It's just a -- it's just a subtraction and
- 20 | division problem; right?
- 21 A. I think -- I think it's a FERC Form 1 set of
- 22 information.
- 23 Q. Okay. And then this -- the Mitchell capacity
- 24 | mid-year situation, are you the best person to talk
- 25 | to about that, the questions I had for Mr. -- I

- 1 guess a continuation of the questions I asked
- 2 Mr. West as it relates as to how it was modeled?
- 3 A. The mid-year, yeah. I think Mr. -- maybe.
- 4 Maybe we can -- ask the question --
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. -- and I'll see if I can help you.
- 7 | Q. Okay. So you-all -- the model made Kentucky
- 8 | Power buy enough capacity to replace Mitchell in
- 9 | 2028 -- the 2028-2029 delivery year; right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. But let's just assume somebody --
- 12 let's just assume Mitchell stayed part of the AEP
- 13 zone. If Kentucky Power didn't get to use that and
- 14 instead had to purchase the entirety of that year
- 15 | but stayed in the AEP zone --
- 16 **A.** Yeah.
- 17 | Q. -- did the model assume nobody got that
- 18 | 700-plus megawatts of Mitchell, or they just got the
- 19 | first seven months free?
- 20 A. Well, the model didn't make any assumptions
- 21 as far as who got that other 700 megawatts. We
- 22 didn't make any assumptions in the modeling, right.
- 23 Q. Well, it's someone other than Kentucky Power
- 24 | had the other 700 megawatts. That's what the model
- 25 represented, that Kentucky Power did not get any of

- 1 | those megawatts in the '28-'29 delivery year; right?
- 2 **A**. We --
- 3 Q. Right?
- 4 A. We did agree -- yes. We agree that the model
- 5 did not assign those 700 megawatts to Kentucky
- 6 Power.
- 7 Q. And so what I'm trying to ask is, it's not a
- 8 | modeling problem as much as it is a -- this is --
- 9 let me withdraw that.
- 10 This is a worst-case scenario for the cost of
- 11 | the replacement capacity in '28 and '29 for Kentucky
- 12 Power customers in that the IRP assumes no value for
- 13 that capacity for the first seven months of
- 14 | delivery, would you agree?
- 15 A. I'd agree to that, yes.
- 16 Q. All right. So directionally, it's -- it
- 17 | should at least be better than, assuming all else
- 18 | equal, a \$15 million cost for capacity that year?
- 19 A. I mean, directionally, I think so.
- 20 Q. Yeah.
- 21 A. I think in terms of how it actually gets
- 22 accounted for, I think Witness Vaughn could talk a
- 23 | little better on that one.
- 24 Q. I think Witness Vaughn is the right person,
- 25 | but it's is it your understanding that -- well, no.

A. Yeah.

1

3

8

- 2 Q. I'll withdrew that.
 - A. Okay.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel?
- 5 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Yeah, Your Honor. Thank
- 6 you. Much appreciated. Just a few redirect
- 7 questions.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 9 BY MR. GARCIA-SANTANA:
- 10 | Q. Let me go back to questions that you were
- 11 | asked a while ago, Mr. Soller. Let's -- let's start
- 12 | with the value of transmission costs as they are
- 13 | representing in the modeling.
- Do you recall being asked by KIUC counsel
- 15 about whether the model took into consideration any
- 16 particular transmission costs for resources being
- 17 modeled?
- 18 | A. I do.
- 19 Q. Okay. And if I recall correctly, you
- 20 explained that the resources are generic, and that
- 21 there is a value associated with the resources that
- 22 | it's counted for. And I wanted to find out, how is
- 23 | that value determined? I think that was \$79?
- 24 A. Which --
- 25 Q. How is that transmission cost determined?

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

310

- The transmission cost that we included was based on a PJM report that Brattle had developed for a generic resource within their territory. that's -- that was the basis of what we used.
- Q. And now, is that appropriate for purposes of 6 the IRP modeling as opposed to the variation that you would have in a specific RFP?
 - For all things that -- the types of assumptions, yes, it's appropriate. We used a generic set of resources, and we don't have location-specific resources. So we don't know where these are, we don't know any other challenges.

So for the purposes of an IRP, we included some costs. We thought it was important. We think it was a reasonable assumption for the purposes of this IRP.

- Would you read your -- would it be your expectation that as to specific resources, not in the context of the IRP but in the context of the selection of specific resources, I mean, like in practical terms, would those costs show up in the cost of the resources all in? Would that be your expectation, if you know?
- Any other transmission costs, would they show Α. up in the all-in cost?

Q. Correct.

- A. I think there would be some costs that would show up, but I don't know that it would be so
- 4 material that it would change the direction of what 5 we concluded here.
- Q. Right. And for the IRP purposes, the purpose of the analysis is not actually to select any specific resources, but directionally, to figure out
- 9 what are the optimal resources to provide service to
- 10 Kentucky Power's customers; is that correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. I think that you were also asked a
- 13 | couple times about the collaboration with other
- 14 utilities. And I think that, again, you indicated
- 15 that the IRP analysis analyzes -- it evaluates
- generic resources. So does the IRP analysis exclude
- 17 resources that would be jointly owned?
- 18 A. I don't -- no, not directly. The IRP -- we
- 19 made an assessment and a reference, I guess, for
- 20 a -- I'll say a shared ownership type of resource.
- 21 I think that -- and most of that comes in terms of
- very large resources. So in that context, the
- combined cycles really fit in that role.
- So for this IRP, we let the one-by-one
- 25 combined cycle serve as a proxy as what it would

- cost to do a shared ownership and maybe a large
 combined cycle. I think if -- if, in fact,
 something were to come where it was a shared
 resource directionally, you know, maybe that
 benefits, you know -- works to a positive, you know,
 effect to the overall cost, but I think
 directionally, we still select the same type of
 resources and type in the amount of resources, which
- Q. Right. Regardless of whether they are jointly owned or they are owned only by Kentucky
 Power --

is what the optimization is first doing.

- 13 A. Regardless, yes.
- Q. -- am I understanding correctly? Okay.And there is one more thing. The IRP did not

include, other than the ownership of Mitchell, which is co-owned with another utility, but it's an affiliate, other the Mitchell, the model, you did

19 not have a hard assumption about any specific shared

20 resources?

- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. You were asked about the costs of
- 23 Mitchell as they are reflected in the IRP. And just
- 24 to understand correctly, the IRP modeling
- 25 | necessarily doesn't reflect how those costs would be

- 1 treated in reality but it's simply for the purposes
- 2 of making a determination of the needs of Kentucky
- 3 | Power. Am I understanding that correctly?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Is there another witness that could
- 6 address, on a practical basis, how those costs would
- 7 be treated? So not within the context of the IRPs
- 8 | but in practice.
- 9 A. In practice, yeah, Witness Vaughn would be
- 10 able to elaborate much more clearly on that, that
- 11 topic.
- 12 Q. Okay. And you were asked about ELCC -- I'm
- 13 sorry, ELCC changes in PJM after the assumptions of
- 14 | the IRP essentially were determined and the IRP was
- 15 performed. Do you recall that line of questioning?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And if I recall correctly, counsel for the
- 18 | joint intervenors asked you, and you clarified, that
- 19 those changes in capacity values did not -- were not
- 20 constrained to only wind resources or any particular
- 21 one type of resource, but it also applied to
- 22 | lowering shift in the value accredited to, for
- 23 example, gas generation.
- 24 Did I read that accurately?
- 25 A. That's correct.

2.1

Q. Okay. Does the IRP take into consideration those fluctuations? Is that something that is reflected in the analysis so that fluctuations like the ones that actually occurred would be taken into consideration in selecting the multiple plans?

A. I think -- yes, I think they do. I think the IRP does do that, you know. The fact that PJM is changing their ELCC methodology and their obligation methodology, that definition, you know, as it stands today, is different than what it was back in 2022 when we did the analysis.

But everything shifted both on the supplies and the demand side, as well as the resource side, down from where we were in 2022. So directionally, I don't expect a significant or material change to the resources that got selected in the IRP because it was -- it was I'll call it a tide effect. Everything was rise and lower so it shifted down. Maybe not in the same percentages, but in general, it would be the same.

Q. Okay. And just to clarify, would that be similar to the effect that in the IRP, the high carbon -- the high -- intermittent control cost scenario would be relative, for example, to changes in the environmental rule? Is that something that

2.1

- the IRP is built to account for because we cannot predict the future?
- A. In similar context, the -- our ECR case that had a higher carbon burden was there to account for the unknown rules promulgation that we anticipate, we just don't know what they are. So we wanted to capture that.

So where we're heading with these current EPA rules, we've got the ECR case to offer as some insight and proxy to inform us for our decision making in some of the risks.

- Q. So for the purposes of the selection of the proposed plan, this is actually what the IRP does. It accounts for things that are unknown in the future, looks at multiple scenarios, and then tries to find the set of resources that are the lowest cost to provide reliable service to customers; is that correct?
- A. Yes. And especially as we look at all the different portfolios and the risk around each of those different portfolios and scenarios.
- MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

 That's it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Is there anything else 25 for this witness?

```
1
           MR. BELLAMY: No, sir.
2
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:
                               Thank you very much.
3
           MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: We request that -- Your
4
     Honor, can he be excused?
5
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: This witness, Mr. Soller,
     can be excused.
6
           MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.
8
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We'll take a short
9
     recess. So what I plan on doing is we'll take a
10
     short one now, we'll come back, we'll go for a short
11
     period of time. Then we'll take, I don't know, 30,
12
     40 minutes for dinner, we'll come back and we'll
     keep going. But at this time of evening, we'll take
13
14
     much shorter breaks but more often just because it's
15
     already been a long day.
16
           MR. CMAR: Your Honor, can I ask, do you have
17
```

any anticipation of when we might take dinner break, just so we can plan to order in?

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah, probably about 7:15.

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. CMAR: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. We'll be in recess until -- we'll come back at 6:20.

(Off the record)

VICE CHAIR HATTON: Thank you. We're back on the record in Case Number 2023-00092, Electronic 2022 Integrated Resource Planning Report of Kentucky Power Company.

Counsel, you may call your next witness.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. GLASS: Thank you. Kentucky Power calls
Thomas Haratym. He'll be presented by
Mr. Garcia-Santana.

VICE CHAIR HATTON: Mr. Haratym, will you raise your right hand, please. Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give is true and correct under penalty of perjury?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

VICE CHAIR HATTON: Please state your name and address for the record and then be seated.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Thomas Haratym.

Business address is 401 Beach Street, Toronto,

Ontario, Canada.

VICE CHAIR HATTON: Please be seated.

Counsel.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 * * *

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- 1 THOMAS HARATYM, called by Kentucky Power
- 2 | Company, having been first being duly sworn,
- 3 testified as follows:
 - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 | BY MR. GARCIA-SANTANA:
- 6 Q. Mr. Haratym, by whom are you employed and in
- 7 | what capacity?

- 8 A. Charles River Associates, CRA International,
- 9 and my title is associate principal there.
- 10 Q. And in that capacity, did you provide
- 11 services to Kentucky Power in association with this
- 12 Integrated Resource Plan?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 14 | O. And what were those services?
- 15 A. So there's a few different modules. We
- 16 | helped them prepare the assumptions for the IRP, we
- 17 | did some modeling, report preparation and a
- 18 stakeholders' report. So I'd say probably those are
- 19 | the four key modules.
- 20 Q. And did you have a participation in the
- 21 preparation of the IRP, either yourself or under
- 22 | your supervision?
- 23 A. Yes. Yeah -- so...
- 24 | Okay, I'm sorry.
- 25 Q. Yeah. And did you also provide discovery

- 1 responses that were prepared either by you or under
- 2 | your supervision?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And either as to the IRP or as to the
- 5 discovery responses, if I were to ask you the same
- 6 questions today or you were to prepare the same
- 7 | content, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes.

- 9 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Your Honor, the witness
- 10 is ready for cross-examination.
- 11 VICE CHAIR HATTON: Okay. Who wants to go,
- 12 is it Mr. West?
- 13 MR. WEST: Yes.
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. WEST:
- 16 Q. Hi, how are you? Do you have the IRP
- 17 | available to you?
- 18 **A**. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Okay. Can you turn to page 133.
- 20 A. My page numbers might be different than what
- 21 | you have, so do you have a section number maybe?
- 22 Q. It's 6.5.2, and it's page 133 of 1182. It's
- 23 | ELCC results.
- 24 A. Yes, 6.5.2. Okay. Yep. Got it.
- 25 Q. Okay. Just read the second paragraph there.

2.1

A. Sure. Under the reference, reference high cost, and ECR scenarios, solar ELCC values decline from the current 54 percent value to levels near 26 percent by 2037, falling over time in line with the increments of new solar added in each case.

Less solar is added in the NCR driven by lower commodity and energy prices, hence ELCC declines to around 28 percent value by 2037.

While the NCR scenario represents an upper bound, the CETA case sets the lower bound at 23 percent. Under the CETA scenario, capital costs are lower for renewable resources, leading to more and earlier additions. Similar to solar, storage ELCC values vary across scenarios, ranging from 66 to 80 percent by 2037.

For wind, ELCC varies -- sorry. Yeah, ELCC varies the least with a uniform level of 11 percent across scenarios for onshore, and a narrow range of 22 to 25 percent for offshore wind by 2037. The resulting solar, storage and wind summer ELCC values are summarized in figure 54 through 57.

Q. I know we've already talked to -- others have already talked a little bit about ELCC, but I want to dig in here a little bit more.

The 54 percent current value, is that -- what

- is that number? Is that a PJM number or is that
 fixed tilt, or is that a tracking? Do you have any
 specifics on that?
- A. Yes. I believe that's -- tracking as of the time of this IRP was the PJM -- PJM guidance as of the latest option at that point in time.
- Q. Okay. And are you familiar with what's happened to those ELCC values since the IRP was filed?
- 10 A. I believe the latest guidance is a decline for solar.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- MR. WEST: Approach the witness.
- 14 VICE CHAIR HATTON: Yes.
- 15 | Have you seen, this, Counsel?
- 16 Would you like to have this marked,
- 17 Mr. West?
- 18 MR. WEST: Yes, as AG 1.
- MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Your Honor, in response to this question, no, we have not received this document in evidence.
- VICE CHAIR HATTON: Okay. We'll wait to see
 if he moves to admit it, if you have any objections
 when he begins questions.
- 25 Q. Have you seen this document before?

- 1 A. I'm sure I have come across it on the web at
- 2 | some point but --
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. -- not recently.
- 5 Q. All right. Can you turn to page 5. And
- 6 table 3 talks about some of the changes that we were
- 7 | just referencing regarding the ELCC class values for
- 8 | solar. Can you see that?
- 9 A. Yep.
- 10 Q. And the -- go on to page 8. This is a
- 11 graphical representation of some of the same data
- 12 and a little bit of additional data. The IRPs said
- 13 that solar ELCCs were projected to decline to levels
- 14 | near 26 percent by 2037; correct?
- 15 A. I'll just confirm that here. Yeah, that's
- 16 | right, yeah.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 **A.** 26 percent.
- 19 Q. And what is this graph showing those values
- 20 to do?
- 21 A. They're declining to where the graph ends at
- 22 | **2033** at **17** percent.
- 23 Q. Okay. So you would agree that 17 percent is
- 24 | substantially lower than the 27 percent that ELCC
- 25 | valued for 2037 that was referenced in the IRP;

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A. It's lower, correct.
- Q. And I understand that those dates don't line up exactly.
- Does this effectively mean that by PJM's analysis, you would need to install more solar in the 2030s to achieve the same level of service?
- 8 A. I'm not sure -- when you say more, what is
 9 that relative to? I'm not following that question.
- 10 Q. Relative to the assumptions made in the IRP I quess?
- 12 A. Okay. So what's the --
- 13 Q. The assumptions in the IRP --
- 14 \mid A. -- this IRP to get to a --
- 15 Q. -- was that you have a 27 percent capacity
- value whereas this is projecting a lower capacity
- 17 value.
- 18 A. Yeah, I would say -- I would use the word
- 19 implying. I think the PJM numbers perhaps are
- 20 implying higher storage value, but also the
- 21 methodology which they used might be slightly
- 22 different.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Could be various factors.
- 25 Q. Okay. And so you would agree that this curve

- is a declining curve over time; right?
- 2 A. Right.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. What -- what does that imply to you? What do you draw from that?
- A. That solar saturation in general or

 penetration in the market as a portion of all

 supplies increasing, and hence the peak where solar

 in earlier years, when there's not enough solar, it

 was adding more contribution to the peak, meaning it

 was more aligned to the peak.

But as more solar is added, then the peak starts slightly shifting over time, and since all solar pretty much comes on at a similar time of day, then hence less of that output is targeted at the new -- let's call it the quote, unquote, new peak, as that shows.

- Q. So is it related to the fact that it's intermittent in nature?
- A. I think it's related to the fact that it is rather concentrated in its output of time of day in that respect, yeah.
- Q. And is the same true for traditional
 dispatchable resources like coal and natural gas and
 nuclear? Would they also have a declining ELCC
 curve like this?

2.1

A. Well -- well, until very recently there really was no ELCC, you know -- again, in quotes, guidance for thermal resources because those -- they're supposed to dispatch when they're called on.

So they were really de-rated in the past based on forced outage rates. Recently PJM has recognized that maybe at certain times of the year, those resources have some issues in terms of, you know, dispatch and being available.

So they've started applying ELCC. However, I think the concept is a bit different where the solar and the wind, they're not really controllable, so hence, it's -- this is a probabilistic type of approach where PJM says, look, I don't know, we can't tell the solar or the wind to be there, so we'll de-rate at some probabilistic level where we think it will contribute to peak, but with a gas turbine, theoretically you call it, and you tell it to starts, and it starts.

So I think those concepts are a little bit different.

- Q. They're distinguishable because the traditional resources, as long as you have fuel, which is a controllable condition, they show up?
- A. Yeah, and the plant's in good condition,

yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

Q. Yeah. Okay. I have something else to hand out here.

This is AG 2, please.

VICE CHAIR HATTON: Thank you.

Q. I recognize that this is a fairly new document. It's a presentation from just June 4th, but it relates to the same concepts, ELCC updates for classes, and it's published by PJM regularly.

Are you aware that they routinely publish preliminary ELCC values as required in FERC docket?

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. So, again, let's just -- if you'll flip to page 5 of this document. It's, again, showing ELCC values over a period of time out to 2034 - 2035. This table includes values in addition to solar and wind, and it talks about gas combined cycle, gas combustion turbine, coal and nuclear.

Do you see that?

A. Yep.

Q. Okay. So what is -- what are these preliminary ELCC class ratings showing for tracking solar?

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Your Honor, and if I may, I was letting it go until the question was

2

3

4

9

10

11

25

prepared.

- specific about the content of the document, but there's no foundation about whether the witness is familiar with this particular document or how it was
- MR. WEST: My question of him was, was he

 aware that FERC routinely publishes preliminary ELCC

 data, and he responded that he was, and so that was

 the basis that I was asking him questions about it.
 - CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yeah. I'm going to overrule. This is the -- this is Patricio's slide deck, so it's one of those things,
- Mr. Garcia-Santana. I appreciate it, but I'm going to overrule the objection.
- MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.
- Q. So if you can just take a look at -- can you just summarize what's going on with tracking solar over the period referenced in the chart?
- 18 A. Yeah, it looks like it's declining over time.
- 19 | O. From what value to what value?
- A. Yeah, from -- in '26-27, they have it here at 11 percent, down to 4 percent in '34-35.
- Q. So a 4 percent value in 2034-35 is substantially different than the 26 percent in 2037 that was referenced in the IRP; correct?
 - A. That's different.

2.1

- Q. All right. And if you look at the nuclear, coal, gas combined cycle and combustion turbine line items there and the values listed for those, I think some reference was made earlier that the ELCCs for those have been declining over time. Do you find that to be the case looking at the values listed there?
- A. Overall, the ones you mentioned closer to the bottom of the table look fairly, I'd say, stable over time. Some fluctuation but fairly stable.
- Q. So one last question: If Kentucky Power was proposing to install 700 megawatts of solar but that now they would need to install some multiple of that, maybe nine or ten times as much to procure the same amount of capacity value in PJM, would that dramatically affect the cost feasibility of that plan?
 - A. The cost of that plan? Yes, however, if I could add, I believe the wind values are actually now more robust than -- you know, over some of the horizons, so...
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. WEST: That's all I have for this
 witness, Chairman. I would ask that these two
 documents be admitted as evidence in the record.

		329
1	CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Garcia-Santana?	
2	MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Your Honor, these are	
3	documents are provided by PJM publically, so I don't	
4	know if the Commission would be inclined to admit	
5	them on some other basis than the knowledge of	
6	Mr. Haratym, but my understanding is that he did not	
7	provide a foundation for their admission.	
8	MR. WEST: I believe that I did provide a	
9	foundation, and given that these are documents that	
10	are routinely relied on in the space, I believe he	
11	said that with regard to the first document, he	
12	had reviewed it before, but not recently.	
13	And with regard to the second document, he	
14	was aware that this information was routinely	
15	published.	
16	CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. I'm going to	
17	admit AG 1 and 2 noting your objection,	
18	Mr. Garcia-Santana.	
19	MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.	
20	MR. WEST: Thank you, Your Honor.	
21	(AG Exhibit 1 and AG Exhibit 2 admitted)	
22	CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz?	
23	MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.	

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. KURTZ:

- 3 | Q. Can you have counsel give to you KIUC
- 4 Exhibit 1, please.
- 5 MS. GLASS: Sure.
- 6 Q. Do you have that -- is it Mr. Haratym?
- 7 A. Yes, Haratym is fine. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you. Will you turn to page 4 of
- 9 this -- the scorecard that we've been looking at?
- 10 **A**. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. I want to ask you about the no wind
- 12 portfolio compared to the preferred plan.
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. The short-term cost of the no0wind portfolio
- is less than the preferred plan; is that correct?
- 16 A. Sir, was that short-term or long-term?
- 17 Q. Short-term is the first column.
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 | Q. Okay.
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And the next column, the no wind portfolio is
- 22 less expensive than the preferred plan over the
- 23 | 15-year term, correct?
- 24 A. It looks to me like the preferred plan has a
- 25 | lower long-term CPW, unless I'm mistaken.

- 1 Q. The preferred plan is higher, 64.8 versus
- 2 | 68 -- oh, you're right. 64.8 versus 68.4, you're
- 3 | correct. On the cost risk is -- the no wind
- 4 | portfolio has a lower risk?
- 5 A. Yeah, the cost risk column is lower for the
- 6 no wind; correct.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let's go to the very end, the local
- 8 | impact. Am I reading this correctly that the no
- 9 | wind portfolio would invest 2,088,000,000 in
- 10 | Kentucky versus the preferred plan would invest
- 11 | 1.355 billion?
- 12 A. Yeah. Yeah, that's right. Those numbers are
- 13 correct. Yep.
- 14 Q. Okay. So the no wind portfolio would invest
- 15 | 733 million additional dollars in Kentucky versus
- 16 | the preferred plan?
- 17 A. Correct, yeah, that's how I would read it.
- 18 | Q. Okay. So what accounts for the \$733 million
- 19 of additional investment in Kentucky?
- 20 A. Yeah. So in -- in the no wind portfolio,
- 21 more install capacity has to be deployed, so since
- 22 | there's more -- since we're not allowed or this
- 23 | portfolio -- the no wind portfolio does not allow
- 24 | wind. Therefore, the optimization model relies more
- 25 | heavily on solar, which has a lower UCAP value.

- 1 Hence, the total install capacity is higher. Hence,
- 2 the Capex is higher, and that's why we see that
- 3 | difference.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. I mean, amongst other drivers, but I'd say
- 6 that's a key one.
- 7 Q. Okay. Does that mean more construction jobs
- 8 | in Kentucky, more permanent jobs, and more ongoing
- 9 property taxes compared to the preferred plan?
- 10 A. I would say directionally that's fair, but
- 11 | it's difficult for me to opine on that just, you
- 12 know, offhand, yeah.
- 13 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 14 A. Yeah.
- MR. KURTZ: No further questions.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gary? Ms. Legge?
- MS. LEGGE: I do have a few questions.
- 18 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MS. LEGGE:
- 20 Q. So first off, I do want to briefly ask about
- 21 AG Exhibit 2 we that were just discussing, the
- 22 | preliminary ELCC class ratings for period 2026 to
- 23 | 2027, and I want to turn to page 6 -- actually slide
- 24 No. 6.
- We've been talking a lot about the

- 1 | assumptions related to the solar and fossil
- 2 resources. You said just very briefly wind is more
- 3 robust in these figures as compared to the
- 4 assumptions that were in the IRP.
- 5 So do you -- I think I recall from page 133,
- 6 | it's 11 percent was the assumption, or roughly
- 7 | around 11 percent; is that accurate?
- 8 A. Yeah. I'm just looking at it here now.
- 9 Q. For onshore wind? I think offshore wind, we
- 10 can leave out for now, but for onshore wind.
- 11 A. Yeah. I mean, onshore it started at around
- 12 | 15 but declined to, yeah, around 11 or so, yeah, it
- 13 | looks like it.
- 14 | Q. And now PJM is looking at about 35 percent
- 15 and declining to 15?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. And Mr. West also asked you a question about
- 18 | fossil resources, and I think the question was along
- 19 the lines of, as long the fuel's there, the resource
- 20 | shows up. Do you recall that?
- 21 A. Yes, I recall that.
- 22 | Q. And I believe -- I am not a court reporter
- 23 but I believe the response was something along the
- 24 | lines of, yeah, as long as the plant is in good
- 25 | condition?

- A. Well, yeah, the plant can have fuel, but it still has to fire up, yeah.
- Q. Right. So fuel availability is not the only 4 cause of a forced outage?
- 5 A. Yeah, yeah, definitely. That's, yeah, one of.
 - Q. Okay. I think that's -- be done with ELCC for everyone's relief, but I do want to return to another favorite topic, which is levelized costs.

Were you here earlier when Mr. Soller was talking about the levelized costs of the energy efficiency bundles?

A. Yes, I was.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And as I understood it from what Mr. Soller said, the costs are modeled as spent in year one but the model takes into account the full life, service life of the efficiency measure even if it extends beyond the IRP window; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's right.
- Q. Can you tell us where in the -- we were
 looking for it in the discovery files. Can you tell
 us where in the files that is -- we can find that
 information?
- A. Do you mean the spreadsheet format, the financials, or --

- Q. Well, yeah.
- 2 A. -- or just the text?
- 3 Q. Where is it depicted, noted that those full
- 4 | service -- the energy efficiency savings over the
- 5 | full service life of the measures is considered in
- 6 | the model?

- 7 A. Yeah. Offhand I don't have a specific
- 8 reference for you.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. So I would have to look through the text and
- 11 perhaps the discovery as well to see if we did
- 12 mention that. I believe we did mention it
- somewhere, but like I said, offhand I can't point to
- 14 | something specific, yeah.
- 15 Q. And would you be amendable to a post-hearing
- 16 | data request?
- 17 A. I'd have to check with my attorneys, yeah.
- MS. GLASS: Yes, we would be.
- 19 MS. LEGGE: And my apologies, in this
- 20 | Commission, do I also have to ask you, Commissioner?
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Oh, yeah, I'm sorry. So
- 22 as a matter of practice, we -- I'm just going to be
- 23 | straightforward here.
- 24 If you ask a some question and the witness
- 25 | doesn't know it, you just say, I'm going to ask it

in a post-hearing data request.

2.1

And at the end of the hearing, we will have a discussion about the post-hearing process, and we'll set a date by which post-hearing discovery should be sought and responded to.

And the fact that you asked it on -- while here at the hearing basically gave an indication to the company to go ahead and start preparing the responses, which is why I just want to be clear, Mr. Gish, you know, asked earlier, can you say it again.

It's not that he -- Mr. Gish is going to get that data request in written form, but ordinarily they go ahead and get started on getting that together.

So just the fact that you asked it, you'll have an opportunity to seek it formally in written form.

MS. LEGGE: All right. I appreciate that. Thank you, Chairman.

Q. Okay. I think that's all my questions about that. Last -- hopefully last topic, which we just started to get into with Mr. Soller related to the production tax credit and investment tax credit. Do you recall?

- A. Yep.
- 2 Q. And I would also like to refer you to the
- 3 energy futures group report that was attached to
- 4 | joint intervenors' comments. Did you review that
- 5 report?

- 6 A. I saw at it one point in time, but I don't
- 7 | have it with me. I can't recall it that well, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. But you did review it in the course of
- 9 | your work on this proceeding?
- 10 A. Yeah. It might have been, you know, quite a
- 11 long time ago, but I think I did at some point,
- 12 yeah.
- 13 Q. Okay. And as we were discussing earlier, the
- 14 input workbook reflects the application of something
- 15 | called a tax gross-up?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And, Mr. Soller explained what that was.
- 18 MS. LEGGE: I would like -- I think this is
- 19 where we would need to move to a confidential
- 20 session.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And do you-all plan on
- 22 sharing?
- 23 MR. GARY: I will share it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So while we're switching,
- 25 | I want to make sure that there's not a problem doing

338 1 that while we're on the confidential session, so... 2 MS. LEGGE: Okay. 3 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Candace, do you want to 4 go ahead and move us onto confidential session? 5 MR. GARY: Do you want me to go ahead and plug in so you can check? 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Dinner and a show here, 8 folks. One second, counsel. Don't move on from 9 that page. 10 MR. GARY: Yeah, nothing confidential up yet. 11 (Confidential testimony heard from 6:56 p.m. 12 to 7:03 p.m.) 13 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel? 14 MS. LEGGE: I have no more questions on the 15 public record as well. 16 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And the document that you 17 just referred to is the report that you-all included 18 as part your report? 19 MS. LEGGE: Mm-hmm. 20 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Ms. Koenig, do you 2.1 have any questions for this witness? 22 MR. KOENIG: No, thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel?

MR. BELLAMY: Yes.

24

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. BELLAMY:
- 3 Q. Do you have a copy of the IRP in front of
- 4 you?

1

- A. Yes.
- 6 Q. I was going to see if you could maybe answer
- 7 this question. The -- on page 9 of the IRP, table
- 8 | 6, which is the cost for the simple cycle combustion
- 9 turbine.
- 10 A. Yeah. Let me just go to that. Yep. Well,
- 11 sorry, I have, yeah, table 6. I see it, yeah.
- 12 Q. Okay. I'm looking at the variable operation
- 13 and maintenance cost, which is the acronym VOM,
- 14 | there's \$0.62 per megawatt hour for the, I quess,
- 15 | natural gas combustion turbine is how it's listed
- 16 there.
- And then on the page 88, which is -- if you
- 18 | flip back one, you've got the variable operation and
- 19 | maintenance for the natural gas combined cycle, and
- 20 | it's 267 per megawatt hour.
- 21 Can you explain or do you have any
- 22 explanation for why the variable operation
- 23 | maintenance for the simple cycle combustion turbine
- 24 | would be lower than the natural gas combined cycle?
- 25 A. Yes. So first off, on this we took guidance

from the Sargent & Lundy EIA report in terms of how they differentiate the costs between these machines.

The VOM for the combined cycle machine is an all-in number. It includes all consumables, major maintenance, all rolled into one, whereas the VOM for the single cycle, the headline point or \$0.62 includes mostly consumables, and on top of that in addition, there is a start cost of offsetting \$79 per megawatt.

And that -- rolled into that is more of the major maintenance, overhauls and, you know, I think where that stems from is that -- well, first of all, the single cycle since it runs on lower efficiency is going to be dispatched more often or starting and stopping.

And a lot of the wear and tear on the machine is incurred at start and stop, similar to a car engine where you have a thermal expansion traction, that's occurring more often before the lubrication gets to the moving parts. So a lot of the -- so the point is a lot of these longer-term maintenance costs are based on how many times you start and stop the machine.

So, again, just to review, the CC includes it all rolled into one number, and it's not starting

- 1 | and stopping as much.
- 2 Q. Okay. And the simple cycle has the
- 3 | 79-megawatt hour taken out and is included
- 4 | elsewhere, I quess?
- 5 A. Yeah. So it's included -- in addition to
- 6 that, it's included in the modeling. Actually as a
- 7 -- as a separate line item, so it's being accounted
- 8 | actually during start to stops.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 10 **A.** Yep.
- 11 Q. Going to page 144 of the IRP again, this is
- 12 just a chart that kind of shows the flow of the
- 13 modeling.
- 14 A. Yeah. Could you give me the miniature number
- 15 | because --
- 16 | Q. It's page --
- 17 A. -- my page numbers are different.
- 18 | 0. -- 144.
- 19 A. Oh, my page numbers are different.
- 20 MR. GISH: Figure 66.
- 21 A. 66. Oh, okay. Got it. Yeah, the cylinder
- 22 diagram.
- 23 Q. Yeah. Yes. Yes. So it's figure 66. Do you
- 24 have that?
- 25 A. Yeah, got it.

- 1 Q. Okay. That first cylinder there, that's the
- 2 resource optimization modeling in which the
- 3 | Aurora model is selecting resources for the five
- 4 | scenarios that Kentucky Power put into the model; is
- 5 | that correct?

6

A. Correct.

- 7 Q. Okay. And there was a discussion -- there
- 8 | was an indication that the model -- you have all of
- 9 the constraints that are put in there obviously and
- 10 | in the model has to follow those constraints, but
- 11 ultimately it's solving for the lowest cost
- 12 | portfolio in the scenario -- within the scenario and
- 13 | meeting the various conditions; is that correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. How is it determining what is lowest cost?
- 16 It's running it over 15 years, but is it the cost
- 17 | within that 15 years, or is it a longer period of
- 18 | time?
- 19 A. Yeah. It's similar to the DSM discussion we
- 20 | had earlier. It's over the life of any given asset,
- 21 so I think the longest period we had from starting
- 22 an asset, let's say, in the last year of the -- of
- 23 the window, 2036-37, to the end of its life would
- 24 have been up to 2070, I believe.
- So it has a view, for every asset it's

looking at it, okay, if I'm going to make this -- if I'm going to buy this machine or buy this resource, what kind of value to re-payers would it provide over its entire life relative to all other options that I have. So it's taking that long-term view.

- Q. So is it comparing that resource over

 30 years against multiple different resources at any
 given time?
- A. Yes, because the -- whenever there's a shortfall in the model relative to peak demand to the obligation, then the model has to make a decision, what do I -- what do I -- how do I fill that shortfall, effectively.

And it could even exceed that shortfall a bit so that it defers buying another asset later on, so it's making that decision on that basis.

- Q. So is there load projected out within the model all the way out through the end of the life -- the longest life unit that's being selected?
- A. Well, the load is not. The load only goes out to 2037, but it's able to determine if -- which asset at any given -- any given point in time would be the best value for rate payers from that point on forward.

So it's not -- it's not trying to optimize

2.1

for let's say beyond 2037, but it is saying, okay, I'm -- I have a capacity shortfall, I have to make a decision today, what do I do, which asset will bring me more greater NPV, let's say, or greater net cost over the long run.

- Q. That's I guess what I'm a little bit confused about is how it's -- how it's doing that over that longer period if it's not projecting out load optimizing over that period.
- A. I mean, let me see if I can bring a different angle to that. Well, like I said, it's -- I mean, imagine there is no load. It's just a matter of, I need 10 -- I need whatever it is, 100 megawatts, and I have two options that'll give me 100 megawatts of UCAP, which one will have the lowest net cone or lower net cost.

I mean, I don't know if that clarifies it,
but in my mind, there doesn't really have to be a
load in that -- in that kind of situation as long as
you know what -- at that point in time what -- how
much capacity that you need.

Q. Okay. I might send a post-hearing data request just asking for a little clarification on that point, and to the extent that you're able to answer it --

A. Sure.

1

6

2 Q. -- we could try that.

With respect to the assessment of the various portfolios, kind of the second part of the analysis,

5 the long-term 15-year -- so customer portability,

long-term 15 years CPW reference case, that was --

is that just calculating the revenue requirement

8 effective of each portfolio over that -- in each

9 | year over that 15-year period and then essentially

10 determining which one would have the total overall

11 lowest revenue requirement effect and then on

12 average per year the lowest revenue requirement

- 13 effect?
- 14 A. Are you asking what is the -- what is the
- 15 CPW, the first column in the scorecard, what does
- 16 that represent or --
- 17 Q. The second one, the long-term.
- 18 A. Oh, yeah, sorry. The long-term, yeah.
- 19 That's the -- yeah, that's the all-in cost to the
- 20 portfolio for the 15-year period, so, yeah, it only
- 21 takes the first 15 years.
- 22 Q. Okay. So that is only looking at first
- 23 | 15 years, then?
- 24 | A. Yes, yeah.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And that's the same with the

- 1 | short-term, it's looking just at -- it's -- the
- 2 | initial step in the short-term is calculating the
- 3 revenue requirement effect of each portfolio for the
- 4 | first five years; is that correct?
- 5 A. Yes, right.
- 6 Q. And then determining how it's escalating over
- 7 | each year?
- 8 A. Right, yeah.
- 9 Q. Okay. With respect to the resource
- 10 optimization step, again, in the Aurora model, the
- 11 | model is able to select between building a resource
- 12 that would be able to produce energy and making
- 13 | short-term energy purchases from the market; is that
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. Well, the model is trying to achieve
- 16 resource -- or resource adequacy from a capacity
- 17 standpoint. Energy can be thought of as a secondary
- 18 | consideration or -- well, within the bounds that we
- 19 set in terms of the net sales and purchases, which
- 20 | Witness Soller mentioned was 30 percent, plus or
- 21 minus.
- So really the primary objective is capacity
- 23 | adequacy at the lowest cost.
- 24 Q. Yeah. It's solving for capacity, but -- or
- 25 | it has to meet the capacity requirements that you

2.1

- input in, but it's solving for lowest cost, and in order to achieve that lowest cost, it will check to see if building a natural gas combined cycle unit, for instance, is lower than, you know, building other resources and relying in part on market purchases; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah. So from that perspective, the energy is taken into account in that it -- the model understands or knows the market price in AEP zone, and if there's any energy being produced by the resource, it can sell to the market at that price.

And then if the portfolio is short on energy, then it would have to purchase at that price. So that is taken into account.

Q. And my question about that is, when the model's applying that, with respect to the PJM price that's being reflected in the model at any given point, is it -- is it taking into account -- like is it an hourly price? Is it, you know, a daily price? I guess you shook your head. Is it an hourly

price?

- A. Yeah, hourly over the entire horizon.
- Q. Okay. And is that hourly price changing every hour based on the expected load within all of PJM and the projected resource availability within

PJM?

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Thank you. Just one more quick question.
- 6 There was a discussion of kind of a two-part
- 7 | analysis with respect to -- first I guess the figure
- 8 | 66 is the two-part analysis, but before you get to
- 9 | figure 66, there was -- you were projecting, I
- 10 guess, resource decisions within PJM.
- 11 And my first question on that has already
- 12 been answered. That was all done before you do the
- 13 | Kentucky Power resource -- resource optimization in
- 14 | Aurora; correct?
- 15 A. That's right. That's an earlier step, an
- 16 | earlier module where we saw all the PJM come up with
- 17 a price in AEP zone and then provide that price
- 18 | to -- to the optimization or the local -- what's
- 19 called a local KP optimization model.
- 20 Q. Just a last question on this. Was the -- the
- 21 resources that were available within PJM when you
- 22 ran the optimization for PJM, was all of PJM limited
- 23 to the same resources that Kentucky Power was
- 24 | limited to when it -- when the Kentucky Power
- 25 | resource optimization was run?

A. Yes.

1

2 Q. Thank you very much.

3 EXAMINATION

- 4 BY CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:
- Q. I have just a few, so we'll try to get them in before we break here.
- ELCC, let's just go back to this. Do you

 still have the documents that Mr. West gave you on

 behalf of the AG's office?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Big change between page 4 of what they ran in
- 12 | -- for the -- as indicative for the '24-25 year
- 13 verse indicative starting the '26-27 planning
- 14 | period; right?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 | Q. So there's not -- apparently this is a very
- 17 | fun stakeholder meeting on June 4th trying to get an
- 18 | idea of what's the underlying assumptions for this
- 19 | slide show here in the second item, AG 2, where like
- 20 | fixed tilt guess from 7 percent in the '26-27
- 21 delivery year down to 3 percent.
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yeah, fixed tilt.
- 24 Q. All right. Work with me here just for a
- 25 | second on some conceptual -- you have a good

- 1 | appreciation for ELCC as a -- as a concept; right?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. If -- if a model that produced ELCC, and the
- 4 only thing changed in that model was to weight
- 5 | winter risk days higher than the base model, and
- 6 those winter risk days were at 4:00 a.m. across
- 7 | winter mornings, would that, all else equal, reduce
- 8 | the ELCC for fixed tilt solar and tracking solar?
- 9 A. Well, if it was at 4:00 a.m., then I -- yeah.
- 10 It would be almost like effectively zero you could
- 11 | say; right?
- 12 | Q. Well, I --
- 13 | A. If it was at 4:00 a.m., but...
- 14 Q. Not put all the weight on winter.
- 15 **A.** Yeah.
- 16 Q. But if you just directionally increase the
- 17 | risk weighting of those winter days, that would tend
- 18 to reduce the ELCC for solar resources, correct?
- 19 A. Yeah, general terms, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And then opposite direction, if you
- 21 | were to increase the weight of risk, risky hours, if
- 22 you were to increase the weight of noon in the
- 23 | summer, all else equal, that would increase the
- 24 | ELCC --
- 25 A. Mm-hmm, agreed.

resources, gas resources?

351

- Q. -- right?
- A. Yeah.

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- 3 Okay. If the model takes into account risk Q. 4 to correlated outage based off unavailable fuel transportation, let's assume an ELCC model took that 5 6 into account, and you continued to add combined cycles and CTs to the exact same pipeline without 8 any additional capacity or redundancy in that 9 pipeline, all else equal directionally, what would 10 that result in for ELCCs for those current
 - A. Well, likely it would be lower for a fuel risk, yeah.
 - Q. Okay. Now, we're not -- we're not touching the supply side for a second; right? We have an ELCC. Let's say that customers tend to, all else equal, in the future start increasing their demand of power in the middle of the afternoon on hot days or on whatever days -- days in which there's sun out, and they start increasing -- shifting the kilowatt hours, megawatt hours, total in the year doesn't change, they just start shifting their usage, though, from other hours to those, let's say, noon hours in the summer.
 - All else equal, is that going to increase or

decrease the ELCC of solar?

2.1

- A. Well, if the load is increasing during those hours, it would increase the probability that the solar is getting to be serving that peak -- well, assuming that the peak is occurring in those hours, then --
- Q. Assuming that the increase in demand in those hours increases the likelihood of a loss of load probability?
- 10 A. Yeah. Yeah. I agree it would get higher,
 11 yeah.
 - Q. So I guess what I'm really asking for is how much weight or stock would you put into forecasting ELCCs over a 15-year period in conducting an Integrated Resource Plan for resources that cost in excess of a billion dollars given the number of variables that go into it, period -- question mark?
 - A. Yes. So let me think about that for a second, how to express that. So, yes, this is a -- the value that any resource can bring to the system from a peak adequacy standpoint can shift over time.

So I think, though, that the approach that we took is probably -- you know, it's fairly robust for the information we had at that point in time, and for the other scenarios, by the way, CRA actually

- forecasted the ELCC based on the total combination of reserves in PJM.
- So we went to extra lengths to -- to make sure that the ELCC that we're using actually reflects market realities in our model.
- 6 Q. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

- A. Now, things can change over time like, you know, we've seen PJM do here. However, this is -this is just guidance, so, yes, this is something that can shift.
- However, you know, in the same respect,
 energy prices can shift, gas prices can shift,
 carbon legislation can shift, like we see from the
 EPA, so a lot of things can change.
- Q. Capacity is not -- capacity is not consumed to keep lights on and heat warm and air-conditioning cool; correct?
- A. Well, at the very peak, but let's say in any given hour, it -- well, it's utilized. The capacity generated is utilized.
- 21 Q. It's utilized to produce --
- 22 A. In every hour.
- 23 Q. -- energy --
- 24 **A**. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- right?

A. Yes.

- Q. It's energy that we care about in each and every hour, correct, electricity?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. Not the ability to correct it -- or ability
- 6 to produce it, but the actual production of it,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. Yeah, I -- I would -- personally I would take
- 9 it from a bit of a different angle. The capacity to
- 10 produce the electricity is what enables the energy,
- 11 but I see your distinction but, yeah.
- 12 Q. I --
- 13 A. More or less agreed.
- 14 | Q. What I'm trying to make sure I have an
- 15 appreciation for is how much value the Commission
- 16 | should give an Integrated Resource Plan to the
- 17 | capacity accreditation to meet a systemwide resource
- 18 | adequacy construct verse the ability of those
- 19 | facilities to actually produce energy for the
- 20 utility that owns them and built them for the
- 21 benefit of their consumers?
- 22 A. I think at the snapshot in time they were
- 23 | producing the IRP, I think it's a pretty robust
- 24 analysis. However, yes, things can change, so if
- 25 we're -- you know, if we're saying what's going to

- 1 | happen 20 years out, I agree, there's a lot of risk,
- 2 but if we have to do an analysis today, I think the
- 3 | way we perform this is fairly robust.
- 4 Q. Yeah. Let me ask you --
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. -- from your perspective. Was -- are
- 7 | these -- okay. Was the Integrated Resource Plan
- 8 | conducted with an eye towards meeting Kentucky
- 9 | Power's portion of its required -- of its
- 10 requirements to PJM or separately to meet Kentucky
- 11 Power's obligation to serve native load?
- 12 A. I think it's both --
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 | A. -- because --
- 15 Q. And -- go ahead. You can explain.
- 16 A. So Kentucky Power's obligation is -- from
- 17 PJM's standpoint is to beat PJM, and the way the
- 18 relationship works is Kentucky Power brings the UCAP
- 19 that PJM asks for, for its peak, which is -- right
- 20 now it's targeted towards the summer.
- 21 And PJM, in return in that relationship,
- 22 | says, look, I'm -- the control room is going to
- 23 cover everything else and make sure that frequency
- 24 and voltage and power quality stays adequate for the
- 25 consumers and rate payers of Kentucky Power.

- So I believe -- I think it would be both in that respect.
- 3 Q. And what I'm trying to ask is, as it relates
- 4 | to things like ELCC capacity accreditation, specific
- 5 to that, is that an entirely meeting the PJM's
- 6 requirements consideration, or is that -- should
- 7 this be taken into account in both meeting the
- 8 | capacity requirements of PJM and meeting its own
- 9 | native retail customers' demand?
- 10 A. Well, I guess, yeah, we use it for both, so
- 11 | it's -- it's -- I think it's applicable to both.
- 12 Q. Well, you're using -- you're applying it to
- 13 | the resources you're using for the latter, but
- 14 | you're not running -- you're not running ELCCs on a
- 15 | LDA-specific basis, correct?
- 16 A. Yeah. ELCCs are -- from the report that
- we're looking at here, it's from PJM's global
- 18 | standpoint.

1

- 19 Q. Sure. There's not a locational aspect of
- 20 | ELCC; right?
- 21 A. Yes, yes. Putting it that way, yes, agreed.
- 22 | Q. Okay.
- 23 **A. Yeah.**
- 24 Q. But there's a locational aspect of -- there's
- 25 certainly a locational benefit to having generation

- 1 | within the LDA and specifically within the node,
- 2 | correct, or within -- within the zone -- not the
- 3 | zone -- within the footprint of Kentucky Power,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Well, sir, can you say it one more time, just 6 the beginning?
- 7 Q. Yeah. There's certainly a locational benefit 8 of having the generation within Kentucky Power's
- 9 territory, correct?
- 10 A. I don't fully agree with that.
- 11 | Q. If there's a -- let me ask it differently.
- 12 If there's a massive imbalance within a subzone or a
- 13 | small area within a zone between demand and load,
- 14 does that risk anomalous energy pricing outcomes?
- 15 A. If there are transmission constraints between
- 16 the sub area and the rest of system, yes. However,
- 17 in the case of Kentucky Power being part of
- 18 AEP zone, which is -- which is large and broader
- 19 Western PJM, then I think the transition system is
- 20 robust enough in that it can transmit power to the
- 21 | areas that -- that are needed.
- 22 Q. Including a retirement of the magnitude and
- 23 | the addition of the magnitude outside of that sub
- 24 | area of the type envisioned in the preferred plan?
- 25 | Let me withdraw that question.

Does the IRP -- the question, it was about transmissional, but does the IRP look at all as to whether or not the retirement of local generation to be replaced in large part by generation that's not local, for lack of a better term, that's not -any -- any price impact of that is not looked at in this Integrated Resource Plan. Would you agree?

- A. It is not a nodal analysis.
- 9 Q. Okay.

8

14

- 10 A. It's a zonal analysis.
- 11 Q. It's an ELCC-specific analysis?
- A. Yeah. It's not -- yeah, I call it a zone. I
 don't -- yeah, it's not LDA-specific let's call it.
- supply/demand balance conditions that are valued in

It's -- it's zone. It's AP that the pricing and the

- 16 the IRP, as they pertain to Kentucky Power are on
- 17 the AEP zone basis. That's the main granularity
- 18 that we're looking at.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 **A.** Yeah.
- 21 Q. All right.
- CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: We'll take a recess for dinner. Let's -- does anybody have an objection to 30 minutes? Okay. So we'll come back at 8.
- 25 (Off the record)

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Back on the record in Case Number 2023-00092.

Before I turn it back over to you,

Mr. Garcia-Santana, for your redirect, I do want to

note we should plan to be taking our next break in

about 50 minutes. The reason for that is we're that

going to reboot the system and stop the YouTube

feed, and then start a new one because it only goes

12 hours.

So we apparently --

VICE CHAIR HATTON: Once again.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: If you're ever like, hey, do I have a leg up on Google, in this single instance, we can go later than Google.

Mr. Garcia-Santana, do you have any redirect of this witness?

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: I don't, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Thank you very

19 much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: And can he --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: He may be excused.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Yep. All right. So at

this point we've gone through Witnesses West,

Newman, Huber, Soller, Haratym, phonetically right,

360 1 Haratym and Spitznogle. MS. GLASS: Correct. 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Ms. Glass? 4 MS. GLASS: Kentucky Power calls Stephen 5 Blankenship. 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony 8 you're about to give is true and correct under 9 penalty of perjury? 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please have a seat. 12 State your name and address for the record. 13 THE WITNESS: My name is Stephen Blankenship, 14 address 12333 Kevin Avenue, Ashland, Kentucky, 41102. 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Glass? 16 17 MS. GLASS: Thank you. 18 STEPHEN BLANKENSHIP, called by Kentucky Power 19 Company, having been first being duly sworn, 20 testified as follows: 2.1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. GLASS: 23 Can you please state your business position 24 and your employer? 25 Α. Yes. I'm the distribution regional support

- manager for Kentucky Power Company.
- 2 | Q. And did you sponsor data requests and
- 3 | portions of Kentucky Power's IRP report?
- 4 | A. Yes.

- 5 Q. Do you have any corrections to that
- 6 information?
 - A. No.
- 8 Q. Based on the information you knew at the time
- 9 at that time, if you were asked those same questions
- 10 today, would the information you provided be the
- 11 | same?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- MS. GLASS: The witness is available for
- 14 cross-examination.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. West?
- 16 MR. WEST: No questions.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz?
- 18 MR. KURTZ: No questions, Your Honor.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gary?
- 20 MR. GARY: No questions, Your Honor.
- 21 MR. KOENIG: No questions.
- MR. VAN ZYL: We don't have any questions.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you very much. You
- 24 may be excused.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

MS. GLASS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Would you like to call

3 your next witness?

4 MS. GLASS: We would. Kentucky Power calls

5 Kamran Ali.

1

2

6

8

9

10

12

14

24

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Ali, please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is true and correct under penalty of perjury?

THE WITNESS: I do.

11 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please have a seat.

State your name and address for the record.

13 THE WITNESS: Kamran Ali. The address is

8500 Smith's Mill Road, New Albany, Ohio 43054.

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Garcia-Santana?

17 KAMRAN ALI, called by Kentucky Power Company,

18 having been first being duly sworn, testified as

19 follows:

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. GARCIA-SANTANA:

22 Q. Mr. Ali, by whom are you employed and in what

23 | capacity?

A. I'm employed by American Electric Power

25 | Service Corporation as vice president of

- transmission and telecommunication planning.
- 2 Q. And in that capacity, do you provide services
- 3 to Kentucky Power?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.

- 5 Q. Okay. And specifically in this case, did you
- 6 provide input that was provided by you or under your
- 7 | supervision for the preparation of the Integrated
- 8 Resource Plan that was submitted in this case and
- 9 discovery responses that were provided in this case?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. Do you have any corrections to that evidence?
- 12 **A.** No, I don't.
- 13 Q. If I were to ask you the same questions
- 14 today, substantially your answers would be the same?
- 15 A. Yes, they would be.
- 16 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Your Honor, the witness
- 17 is ready for cross-examination. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. West?
- 19 MR. WEST: No questions.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz?
- 21 MR. KURTZ: A couple.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. KURTZ:
- 24 Q. Mr. Ali, good evening. The transmission
- 25 | system at the Big Sandy power plant, what voltage is

- it? Can you describe it, the condition it's in, that type of thing?
- A. Yes, absolutely. So the substation, the

 Big Sandy substation, it's 138 KV voltage station.

 It steps up to 345 and then 765, but that is a

 bigger substation.

You know, the condition of that station, it meets all the current standards and criteria as well as the internal guidelines at AP, yeah, so it meets all those requirements.

- Q. That plant, that facility used to have an 800-megawatt coal plant, and it retired about ten years ago?
- A. That is correct.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So can that site accommodate 800 megawatts of new gas generation, whether it be CT or combined cycle?
 - A. I really you cannot answer that without actually analyzing that because, you know, a lot has changed since then, and so we'll have to analyze the injection of an 800-megawatt plant to see if it's going to cause any kind of constraints on the grid, you know.

Any such project would have to go through a PJM interconnection process, you know, regardless of

2.1

whether -- what our findings are, there are issues or no issues.

For the FERC tariff, the -- you know, they will have to go through the PJM process, for PJM to be the final authority in the determination of any transmissional upgrades or local upgrades that may be required to connect it.

- Q. Okay. One last question. Would you describe the condition of the transmission system at the Big Sandy facility as in good condition?
- A. Yes. Like I mentioned earlier, you know, the assets there meet all standards, criteria, when it comes to the planning criteria.

In essence what that means is, you know, the analysis we do, you know, we look at the contingencies that NERC has stipulated in the NERC TPL standards, and that system meets that.

Now, you've got to remember that when we do that analysis, that plant is assumed retired in that analysis, so we haven't done any analysis where we have 800 megawatt of duration.

There is a possibility that when you do that, it may not meet the -- those standards, but we haven't done any such analysis this point.

MR. KURTZ: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gary?

MR. GARY: Just one question, following up on that question from Mr. Kurtz just now regarding the transmission system at Big Sandy and in that general area.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GARY:

2.1

- Q. Would it matter whether that 800 megawatts came from, say, a new combustion turbine, a combined cycle or, say, a renewable resource or a battery, or is it resource agnostic essentially is what I'm asking?
- A. It really does matter at the end of the day what type of resource you're going to connect to a transmission grid because the different types of resources have a different profile during different times of year; right?

So from a light load perspective, one resource may not create any constraints, but it may create constraints during peak conditions and vice versa.

So, yes, it does matter at the end of the day what type of resource you would connect to that.

Q. Okay. With regard to the Big Sandy transmission system specifically, would that be

- capable of hosting a 800-megawatt battery, for instance?
- A. Yeah. Like I said earlier, I haven't

 performed or my organization hasn't performed any

 analysis recently to confirm any such options, so I

 really cannot say yes or no to that answer without

 having done any analysis there.
 - Q. And the analysis would be essentially the same as the analysis for a CT -- I mean, not the results, but the analysis you would have to do would be essentially the same as for a CC or a CT?
 - A. Yeah. So let me clarify something before I answer it. So I think you're trying to compare a CC and CT with a battery storage system; right?
- 15 Q. Essentially.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. Yeah. So battery storage analysis is a little different in a sense because battery also needs to be charged, so it's not only just a generation injection, it's a load injection or consumption, I should say.

So in essence that analysis is slightly different, it's more involved. But, again, at the end of the day, we haven't really performed any such analysis where we do opine on the feasibility of that system being able to handle, whether it's a CC

or a PESS, portable energy storage system.

2 MR. GARY: Okay. No further questions.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Ms. Koenig?

MR. KOENIG: I don't have any.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Counsel?

MR. BELLAMY: No questions.

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:

- Q. Good afternoon -- good evening, Mr. Ali.
- 10 A. Good evening.

1

3

4

5

6

8

- 11 Q. You said you haven't -- you were asked a lot
- 12 of questions about, can the Big Sandy substation
- 13 | accommodate this or accommodate that, I think -- I
- 14 take it from your responses that it's just -- maybe,
- 15 but it hasn't been studied.
- 16 Is that a function of whether the actual
- 17 | substation can take it or whether the system will
- 18 | have violations if that type of facility connects to
- 19 | that substation? Is there a distinction between
- 20 | those two things?
- 21 A. Your Honor, my answer is for both. We have
- 22 | not analyzed it for -- at least my organization,
- 23 | myself, I'm not familiar with any analysis we have
- 24 | performed to see if physically we can accommodate a
- 25 | CC, CT, or battery energy storage system or from a

system performance perspective, from a capacity
availability perspective, so I'm not --

- Q. But those are two completely different analyses, would you agree? Whether the substation is in the shape and whatever that you could actually add that verse what the impact on the system is from that type of injection?
- A. That is correct.

2.1

Q. Okay. Ordinarily you would do the latter study when somebody puts in for -- into the cue, right? You would see what is the effect of this generator connecting and injecting their energy?

That's -- that's the type of study that's done as a result of somebody seeking to interconnect into the system as a resource, correct?

- A. Yes. Your Honor, let me clarify it so that I'm responsive there and responding to it -- accurately to your question.
- So I think your question is, would you do the physical feasibility whether a new resource can physically connect to the substation first versus the capacity analysis; is that your question?
- Q. No. What I'm asking is, you agree there are two -- at least two distinct studies that have to be done. The first is like, can you physically

connect, and the second is, what's the -- what violations may occur from me connecting on the broader system; correct?

A. That is correct.

2.1

Q. Okay. The second type of study is the type of study that like if a competitive generator showed up in your territory anywhere in the AEP zone and wanted to connect, the latter is the type of study you would do -- it would be the same type of study that's done or set of studies, frankly, that's done for that new interconnection request, regardless of whether it's owned by an AEP affiliate; right?

A. Not -- not entirely correct. We would still

-- we would still analyze how the physical

interconnection of that generator is going to take

place because that's the injection point; right?

So now it's a possibility that the developer may propose the new CC or CT or BESS is going to be right there on the substation. That's a more involved analysis because in this case, I'm assuming AEP has that, you know, station and the land around it, whereas it could be farther away from a substation.

And in that case it's only a genti (phonetic) coming into the substation and, again, either case,

2.1

we need to make sure that physically it's going to be able to connect to a certain spot on the grid.

So that analysis happens in parallel with the analysis as to when the injection happens, what capacity constraints are caused on the grid and what are the solutions to resolve those capacity constraints, if any.

- Q. Here's what I'm trying to make sure I have an appreciation for. Is AEP or Kentucky Power in a position to study on their own the feasibility or the impact of that type of interconnection, separate from and without necessarily seeking to enter the cue?
- A. Your Honor, maybe let me phrase this question so that maybe I can answer it more appropriately.

Are we in the ability to estimate exactly what it's going to take to interconnect that facility to the PJM grid? The answer is not really because you really need to know what other generation is connecting; right?

So you have to handle that as a cue because it's a possibility that a single generator may not create any concerns on the grid, but when there are two of them they may, so really that's why we need to rely on the PJM cue process to -- to exactly and

2.1

accurately estimate as to what the transmission upgrades may be if a facility is connected to the grid at some point.

Q. Yeah. That's why I was trying to make a distinction between those two types of studies, the one where the impact of a connection -- the impact that a connection has on the broader system verse the physical ability to actually connect to that facility.

About what I'm curious about is it doesn't sound unreasonable, what you're saying is given the idea of the cue is how everything interacts together, that study of the broader impact is probably going to be hard to do with any -- with any certainty as to saying, this is the impact or this may be the cost of it.

What I want to make -- what I want to ask about, though, is -- what I'm trying to ask is, is there a -- is there that still -- is there still that same lack of certainty with relation to, without necessarily entering the cue, studying the physical capability of attaching to that individual substation?

A. Yeah. The physical connection could be -- could be analyzed without entering the cue, but,

2.1

Your Honor, at the end of the day, if you're looking at the feasibility, and that feasibility is based on overall cost, right, of a product, then I think that's a very important characteristic that you need to understand as to how much is the network upgrade cost of that interconnection because that could be significant, you know, depending on what else is in the cue.

Q. Right. But I take from all the testimony in this case the idea is that as it relates to an IRP, you just sort of ignore transmission costs for the purposes of doing some of these things.

But insofar as you can reduce the risk of a transmission interconnection cost, there's a benefit to that of using what are effectively brownfield sites where the utility already owns interconnection equipment, correct?

A. Like I said, Your Honor, I mean, definitely there is maybe some cost saving if there is already existing substructure like a substation, but really you cannot take that and assume that a given project is more cost effective because the network upgrades required to interconnect that product may be a lot more than a greenfield interconnection station you need for another project somewhere else on the

system.

2.1

So, again, this --

- Q. And that's a directional aspect similar to what I was asking Mr. Haratym earlier, right -- Haratym, about the locational aspect of facilities and load and generation?
- A. That is correct. And, again, I think that is why, Your Honor, like if you look at our RFP process, the projects that bid into the RFP process, they are projects that already have at least an impact study completed.
- MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: It just perked my ear for confidential information, Your Honor, that's all.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Oh, okay. I'm understanding now. Thank you. I'm sorry, it's -- I'm not as quick as you are, Mr. Garcia-Santana.

Q. All right. So let's -- what I want to have -- a final question on this. Can -- when something enters the cue -- and I'm oversimplifying this for a second, but when somebody enters the cue and it's on your-all's system, on the AEP system, PJM depends on you-all to do a significant amount of the leg work on what the impact of that additional generation might be in connecting to your grid,

correct?

- 2 A. Your Honor, I won't call it significant.
- 3 | It's a bottom-up-and-top-down approach, so really we
- 4 | are analyzing the underlying sub transmission grid
- 5 and see if there is an impact to the underlying sub
- 6 transmission grid.
- And PJM is doing a top down where they're
- 8 | looking at the extra-high voltage and bulk electric
- 9 sytem, and then we kind of meet in the middle where
- 10 we see and tell you where the upgrades are needed.
- 11 Q. Yeah.
- 12 A. So it's a collaboration. And, again, PJM is
- 13 the transmission planner for the AEP zone, so they
- 14 are doing most of the analysis in that case.
- 15 Q. And if needs are identified, you-all
- 16 determine the appropriate solutions to meet their
- 17 | needs; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes we do.
- 19 Q. Do you-all have different methodologies that
- 20 you use to meet those needs if it's a nonaffiliated
- 21 generator verse if it's an affiliated generator?
- 22 A. No. We are agnostic to -- as to who owns the
- 23 | generator.
- 24 Q. Okay. And do you allow -- if it's an
- 25 | affiliated generator, do you allow that operating

- 1 | company to determine the upgrade that they would
- 2 | like to make, the type of upgrade, or do you give
- 3 | them options and say, which one of those do you
- 4 | want?
- 5 A. So Your Honor, can I just make -- repeat the
- 6 | questions --
- 7 Q. Sure.
- 8 A. -- you're asking? If it's a nonaffiliate,
- 9 | would we --
- 10 Q. It's an affiliate?
- 11 A. If it's an affiliate, we would -- we would
- 12 reask the operating company as to which upgrades
- 13 | they want to make.
- 14 Q. No, no, not which upgrades they want to make.
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Okay. I want to be very clear. The need's
- 17 | identified. Do you work with the operating company
- 18 on identifying the -- getting their input on what
- 19 their proposed or what their position is on that
- 20 | appropriate solution?
- 21 A. I mean, like I said, the solutions that we
- 22 | identify are purely technical solutions, so they
- 23 | will be more technical solutions and alternates,
- 24 just like we would do for nonaffiliates, and then we
- 25 | would work with PJM, first to see if those solutions

2.1

are going to work at the end of the day because PJM is the one performing the analysis.

And once that happens, then we would, at the end of the day, since the -- in this case, it depends. Like maybe the operating company is going to own the transmission solution. So of course, you've got to make sure that the financing and the budgeting piece are aligned.

So after that, once PJM has confirmed that the solutions are working and if there are more than one, that's when that discussion takes place.

- Q. Yeah, but so the solution could be reconductoring or the solution could be complete reconfiguration and rebuild a line; right? Those are two possible options given an identified need, correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And so if it's -- if it's Kentucky Power seeking to interconnect, and it's Kentucky Power's transmission system, they get a significant input, I would assume, on which one of those options they would like to go with, correct?
 - A. Your Honor, that wouldn't be any different for a nonaffiliate, so both solutions -- if both solutions are viable, we would put both solutions in

the impact study report for nonaffiliates also.

So you can look at any impact study or facility study reports that AEP has put together or groups, for that matter, and every viable solution that works, we put it up there.

And then at the end of the day, you know, in some solutions, they may only live for a few years before you like are reconductoring, whereas if you do a rebuild, that may give you 10, 15 years.

So at the end of the day, PJM works with the developer to determine the cost allocation to figure out if that solution is viable just for that project or it's going to be viable for the cue.

And, again, PJM does the cost allocation for all projects across the cue; right? It could be more than one generator paying for it. So at the end of the day, you know, the solutions that I give are the same whether it's affiliate or nonaffiliate.

- Q. And do you-all have the final call as to what solution to go with as long as it meets PJM's -- as long as it solves the identified problems of PJM?
- A. Your Honor, I would say, you know, in these cases, it's the generator that is paying for the solutions, whether it's an affiliate or nonaffiliate.

So they have lot of say in what solution they want to go with because at the end of the day, if that solution is not fully complete, it's them at the end of the day who are at risk of curtailment; right?

So we do work with our developers to say, look, here's a solution that's reconductoring, it's cheaper, but it can -- it has -- it can only get the loading to 98 percent, whereas any solution that is rebuild, it will get the loading down to 60 percent.

And so we do a lot of discussions with the developer and the owner who's impacted, whether it's an affiliate or nonaffiliate, to figure out which solution is the right solution.

- Q. Okay. I just want to be very quick, whose call is it at the very end? I mean, of course, they can withdraw and just choose not to build, but whose call is it as to the proposed solution, the final say?
- A. Yeah. I mean, we -- like I said, we work with PJM in determining what the right solution is.

 I mean, I don't think there is a hard call there because if a solution is cost-effective and cheap, we as the transmission owner cannot come in and say, look, we're going to impose a more expensive

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

380

solution on that unless we have good rationalization for that, right, unless there are other benefits.

And if there are other benefits that we can demonstrate, then we need to make sure that the cost differential is taken and put it in a supplemental bucket somewhere, and that does happen in some cases where, you know, you have a project that is reconductoring and it solves it, and we know that we really need to go and rebuild it because there's a load showing up.

In that case, we would work with the developer. We would look at the cost differential, and the cost differential will go into a separate bucket because we really can't charge developers more cost than is needed for them to address the constraints on the grid.

- Q. And that by definition is your call?
- 18 A. Yes, that is.
- 19 | Q. Every year in advance of -- I say every year.
- 20 This is going to be a dumb-sounding question. In
- 21 | advance of capacity options, insofar as they're
- 22 | actually conducted every year, there are certain
- 23 risk parameters that are studied, including
- 24 determining the CETO then the subsequent CTEL,
- 25 | correct?

A. That is correct.

- 2 Q. Okay. The CETO is not necessarily your --
- 3 | not necessarily within your purview, but the CTEL
- 4 | certainly is. Would you agree?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 0. It's a trans- -- it's a function of
- 7 transmission capability and available capacity,
- 8 | right, generation capacity?
- 9 A. Yes. So, Your Honor, the CETO is the
- 10 | capacity emergency transmission objective, that is
- 11 as to how much power needs to be imported in a given
- 12 LDA or a zone, and the CTEL is the capacity
- 13 | emergency transmission limit.
- 14 Q. Yeah. So with CTEL, that is a LDA or sub-LDA
- 15 determination, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Okay. So in any given year, if there is --
- 18 I'm oversimplifying this, but any given year that
- 19 there's a mismatch between the obligation, the
- 20 demand with an LDA or a sub-LDA, a subzone, and the
- 21 either generation available within the zone, given
- 22 the timing of the load, or the transfer limitation
- 23 | with transmission being able to bring generation in,
- 24 insofar as there's a threshold.
- 25 But -- insofar as there becomes a mismatch,

- 1 that is reflected in an increased capacity price for 2 that zone or LDA, correct?
- 3 Your Honor, unfortunately I don't think it's Α. 4 that simple.
- 5 Q. I know. Like I said, I was oversimplifying
- 6 it.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q.

That's fine.

- That's fine. Α.
- 8 Q. Go ahead.
- I mean, at the end of the day, it's not a I mean, the CTEL has to be 115 percent or less of the CETO for that to happen -- actually it's 130 percent or less of the CETO, but if it's less than 115 percent, then it becomes a reliability constraints, and typically the RTO would look -- you know, there's a load deliverability analysis that we PJM does, and they will try to address that before 17 that issue shows up.

And even if CTEL is 130 percent, let's say, in this case of CETO, that doesn't necessarily mean that there will be price separation. What it means is that the RTO would look at that LDA or sub-LDA separately to see if there is going to be a binding constraint at the end of the day, but that doesn't mean it's going to be a binding constraint; right?

2.1

- A. So that analysis is done. Now, for AP's case, Your Honor, our CETO is minus 2200 megawatts.
- Q. This is the question. So this is why I asked this, so I appreciate you anticipating.

My direct question is, at -- how close is the AEP zone -- the AEP zone from being able to not meet its obligation?

A. Your Honor, that's a very difficult question to answer because that has not been analyzed and partly because we are -- I think right now we are the only zone that has a negative CETO, meaning we have minus 2200 megawatt of CETO. So we have that negative CETO and then, of course, once a CETO gets positive, that's when you do the CTEL analysis.

So for AP, a CTEL analysis has never been done because we're negative already, right? So...

Q. Let me ask the question, a very direct question. If all of a sudden tomorrow you have 3,000 megawatts of demand at the appropriate time of year, right, because that's very important, I get.

But if you have 3300 or 4000 megawatts, whatever they are, well in excess of that negative amount, that study won't be conducted; correct?

A. Your Honor, maybe let me put it this way. I don't know if it's 2,000, 3,000 or 4,000 CETO is

2.1

going to drive a study because that -- like I said,
CETO is one number. You've got to look at the
relation between CETO and CTEL.

And we and PJM has never determined

AP's CTEL, that CTEL may be very high, but -- but I

think to simply answer your question, if there comes

a time down the road, which is something that I have

not anticipated or analyzed because of where we are

from a capacity perspective as a zone, if there

comes a time where, you know, let's say,

hypothetically that AP CTEL and CETO ratio is below

that threshold, then, yes, it would be studied as a

separate LDA, but we haven't seen that historically,

and we don't expect that in the near future.

Q. Well, that's why I want to ask about the expect that because we're expecting thousands of megawatts of retirement of generation across the AEP zone between now and 2028, ten of thousands maybe, but thousands of megawatts.

And within the AEP zone specifically, we're seeing thousands of megawatts of requests for new interconnections for demands for load, correct?

- A. Your Honor, that is correct.
- Q. Okay. So those two things combined, thousands or tens of thousands of megawatts of

2.1

retirements, much of which is in the zone -- or a significant amount will probably be in the AEP zone plus -- and thousands of megawatts of additional interconnection requests for demand between now and 2028 in the AEP zone, correct?

A. Yes, Your Honor, that is correct.

- Q. Okay. Given that those two things seem to be -- seem to go both ways in degrading that -- the calculation that you were referring to, when is the appropriate time to start looking at that in the context of an Integrated Resource Plan and necessarily taking into consideration the location of generation, not just within PJM, but within the specific zone?
- A. Yeah. So, Your Honor, there is a third parameter that goes into that equation, and that is how much generation is planning on interconnecting to the AEP zone, and so there is a lot of generation also that is planning on interconnecting to the AP grid. If you look at the PJM cue stacks, you know, recently, just in Ohio there's 35,000 megawatts of just solar; right?

So all of that goes into that equation. Like I mentioned earlier, PJM calculates that on a very regular basis, and we work very closely with their

planning organization to see if we're going to get anywhere close to that CETO CTEL limit.

And if we do, of course, we'll be doing analysis to see what is the right mitigation for that. Is transmission the right mitigation for that, or is that something that going forward, you know, needs to be considered in their analyses.

But at this point, like I said, we -- even with all the projections, you know, we -- we see ourself as a negative CETO LDA.

- Q. ELCC is not locational. We talked about that earlier -- I talked about that earlier with one of your witnesses, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, I heard that --
- 15 Q. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- 16 A. -- discussion.
- Q. And AEP -- the AEP zone is a winter-peaking zone or is it a summer-peaking zone?
- A. So, Your Honor, there are some companies
 within AEP that are summer-peaking, and then there
 are some companies within AEP that are
 winter-peaking.
- Q. Do you know what it is on a zonal -- Kentucky
 Power, for instance, is winter-peaking?
- 25 A. Yes, Kentucky is winter. Appalachian is

winter-peaking. Ohio is summer-peaking.

- You don't know what that peak is on the zonal -- with -- for the zone of which season?
- Yeah, Your Honor, I don't recall that. Α.
- Q. I ask this from the perspective of, if the CETO CTEL -- so you're aware of the DPo South issue 6 that occurred last year with the study parameters 8 and the anomalous results and the changing the rules of the capacity market?

Are you generally aware of that because --

No, I'm not. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 12 -- capacity was -- indicated they were going 13 to be there, but they actually weren't going to be there in time for delivery? 14
 - Α. Not -- not familiar with that.
 - Q. All right. Let me ask it this way: Insofar as the CETO CTEL, the oversimplified mismatch that I was discussing earlier; right? Insofar as that's a winter phenomena, okay? So an individual zone or subzone's LDA requirements are on a winter-peaking That's when their highest risk is, okay? basis.

Does the -- regardless of what the ELCC is systemwide, class ELCC, the addition of solar, regardless of how many thousands of megawatts it may be may not necessarily benefit that mismatch.

2.1

you agree? It may not alleviate that binding constraint?

A. Your Honor, that's what I think I would struggle in answering it because you really -- you've got to understand what that constraint is because many times the CETO constraint can be a riser or a transformer, which is a very small issue to fix versus, you know -- again, you're not going to see a 765 Kv line as a constraint because those lines carry a lot more -- they have a lot more capability than the terminal equipment of the substation; right?

So a lot of time, you know, those constraints are very easy to fix compared to a generation solution, which will be, of course, a lot more expensive; right?

So I think it really -- in my view it's hard to sit here and speculate on, you know -- unless you -- unless I know exactly, here is the constraint and here is what the generation mix in at that point within AEP zone where the load is exactly located. It may be very cost effective to just fix the transmission constraint overall.

Q. That's not taken into account at all in the Integrated Resource Plan, is that your

- understanding, or at least as it relates to your job
 as -- on the transmission side?
- A. Yes. As far as my job is concerned so far,
 no, for the reasons I mentioned earlier because, you
 know, we are at minus 2200 megawatt, and at least in
 our forecast, we don't see that changing in the near
 future.
- Q. Okay. The -- have you heard my questions
 earlier to Mr. West about the outstanding requests
 for production, that they exist?
- 11 A. To be honest with you, Your Honor, I was in and out, so...
- Q. Okay, that's okay. Are you generally aware
 that Kentucky Power issued three requests for
 proposals and -- RFPs in September for thermal, wind
 and solar, and then for batteries?
 - A. Yeah, generally aware of that.

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. I was reading to Mr. West earlier from the Kentucky Power website provisioning to those related to the interconnection of those facilities, like what the request is, like a -- for a -- to meet the requirements of the RFP.
 - And one of them, I'm just reading here from the thermal one, said that, the project must be interconnected to PJM. This is section 3.1. And

then, bidder must have a completed PJM system impact study which remains active in the PJM cue.

There are a number -- and as I remember maybe three, but there are a number of studies that have to be conducted, ordinarily conducted for a generator to fully interconnect into PJM, correct?

- A. Yes, Your Honor, that is correct.
- Q. Okay. One is a system impact study; right?
- 9 A. Your Honor, it is one of -- one of them.
- 10 | Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how far along the path the system impact study is?
 - A. Yes, Your Honor. Typically -- and, again, the process has now changed. As you know, the cluster process as it -- the FERC policy change there, but really typically the feasibility study is the first study that the RTO would perform.

You know, historically it has taken six months to complete that, and the next study is the impact study, and then the last one is the facility study.

- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. That's historically the designation of those cases.

2.1

- Q. So assuming -- just assume all three are the RFPs say this, which I believe they do, that would indicate a generator that has -- that is -- well, is it accurate to say that they're halfway through the cue if they at least have a system impact study, given that, for instance, feasibility study seems to take a very short amount of time and a facility study and a system impact study may necessarily take longer, is -- is a generator that has a system impact study where on average -- just order of magnitude kind of thing, where are they along in the cue interconnection process or the interconnection process?
 - A. Your Honor, this kind of -- it will be hard for me to speculate on that, to be honest with you. I have seen where generators can combine feasibility and impact studies where then they've opted for it, and RTO has allowed it where they've combined them into one study because the interconnection was very simple and small.

And I have seen it where impact studies have taken over a year to complete, so it's kind of hard for me to speculate, Your Honor.

Q. Yeah, and I'm sorry. This is -- what I'm asking is, once they have a, quote, completed PJM

2.1

system impact study, how far along after that's completed are they along in this process?

A. Yeah. I think maybe what I can -- what I can tell you is this, what is the value of that impact study for us; right?

The value of that impact study is that we know to a very good, you know, extent as to what are the transmission constraints that our generator may or may not be causing, and what are the fixes, transmission upgrades that are needed to address those constraints, and in most cases also the cost as well as, in some cases, the allocation of that as well.

So that's why the impact study is very important for us because we can look at it and have a good idea -- a reasonable idea, I should say, of what the transmission interconnection costs and the timeline may be.

- Q. Does a completed system impact study mean that the entity has already conducted, if PJM indicates it's necessary, affected system studies with other entities in the electrically connected area?
- A. Within PJM, that is correct.
- 25 | Q. Okay.

- A. But there is coordination that PJM also needs to do with neighbors like MISO in New York, so that may not be completely -- it may not be completed an impact study in some cases.
- Q. But I asked you for like the perspective of the LG&E and KU systems; right?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

- Q. Someone had conducted a system impact study
 to connect to, you know -- connect to the AEP
- 10 system, right, and they, quote, had a completed SIS,
- 11 a completed system impact study, does that
- 12 necessarily mean that they, because it's completed,
- 13 have already done an effective system study insofar
- 14 as PJM indicated it was necessary to do so?
- A. Okay. Your Honor, so a completed system

 impact study means PJM has looked at all the impact
- of a project within PJM, so that would include all
- 18 of the zones within PJM.
- 19 Q. Right. What I'm saying is, I've seen studies
- 20 that say, our models show that there may be problems
- 21 on the LKEE system, you should go get an affected
- 22 system study from them?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Is that done after -- is that done --
- 25 the ordering to go get an affected system study by

- somebody else, is that done in the context of an 2 SIS?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. So just because an SIS is done doesn't mean that that same entity might have already necessarily gone and sought and received back an affected system study from an affected system identified in the SIS?
 - A. Well, so Your Honor, that's what I'm getting at. If that affected system is outside PJM, your presumption is correct, that may not be completed.

But if that affected system is within PJM, then PJM would have coordinated the upgrade with all the RTOs in that -- in the --

- 14 Q. They treat all PJM as a single system?
- 15 **A.** Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to ask someone else about
 it, but I want to make sure I have an appreciation,
 do you have any input into the rubric or -- the
 rubric or scoring for request for proposals as it
 relates to related transmission costs?
- A. You're talking about request for proposals or you're talking about the IRP?
- 25 Q. I'm talking about -- I'm talking about

objective. So I was told earlier to ask, I think,

Mr. Pearce about rubric scoring.

A. Yeah, no.

2.1

Q. Like do they bring somebody in on the transmission side to say, this is the weight or consideration we should give to the risk or the cost or whatever it may be of related transmission of responses to RFPs?

MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: Yeah, if I can facilitate for the witness, you can refer to whether you have provided input for such a thing without disclosing confidential information or about it is.

Q. Yeah. And I'm not even asking about the specific rubrics. I'm saying is -- do you lend somebody -- have you personally participated, whatever, in creating rubrics or the -- is there a transmission-related portion of scoring rubrics across the AEP system or, frankly, the AEP system generally for responses to RFPs so that you can take into account the cost, the risk, whatever of related transmission from all of those individual responses?

A. Yeah. So, Your Honor, for the IRP portion, of course, that's more of a zonal analysis that I think the witnesses in the past mentioned also, so it's not models, so transmission is really not fully

represented.

2.1

So we, of course, don't have a lot of input into that process because more generic resources, but when you get into RFP and it's more specific resources, my team does provide, you know, transmission parameters that are either available or calculated.

Q. Okay. So there would arguably -- you would have -- you would have -- somebody would be on maybe the scoring team, or somebody would have helped put together the metrics that should be used in a rubric to score things, that -- that level of involvement or just like, if you have questions about what connecting a certain type of resource might look like, you can come and ask those questions?

What's the level of involvement generally of having someone from the transmission side of the business involved in RFP responses?

A. The level of involvement is really threefold.

There are three things that we are looking at.

Number 1, is, you know, what are the direct connect-to-network upgrades, and in most cases we are really relying on PJM for that because it's a publicly available system impact study that you can go through and look at what the upgrades mean. Of

course, it's easy for a transmission person to look through that and understand that is.

The second thing we're looking at is congestion because now that we know the location, are there any congestion profiles that we need to think about.

And then, you know, of course, in PJM, it doesn't matter as much as, but in other jurisdictions we would look at deliverability because in PJM, they do a generation delivery already as part of the impact study, but in some other regions they don't, so we look at that.

- 13 Q. They just do load deliverability?
- A. They actually -- in some regions they don't do either, so...
- 16 Q. Aren't we happy we live in PJM. All right.
- 17 | Thank you, Mr. Ali.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Any redirect of this
- 19 | witness?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

- 20 MR. GARCIA-SANTANA: No redirect.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you, Mr. Ali.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: You may be excused.
- 24 | Would you like to call your next witness?
- 25 MS. GLASS: Yes. Kentucky Power calls Kelly

- Pearce, and he'll be presented by Mr. Gish.
- 2 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Please raise your
- 3 | right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the
- 4 | testimony you're about to give is true and correct
- 5 under penalty of perjury?
- 6 THE WITNESS: I do.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please have a seat.
- 8 Mr. Gish.

- 9 MR. GISH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 10 KELLY PEARCE, called by Kentucky Power
- 11 | Company, having been first duly sworn, testified as
- 12 follows:
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. GISH:
- 15 Q. Dr. Pearce, could you please state your full
- 16 | title and your business address for the record. Or
- 17 | you already did your business address. Your full
- 18 | title for the record.
- 19 A. Managing director, Integrated Resource
- 20 | Planning and Strategy.
- 21 Q. Okay. And did you have -- were you involved
- 22 in the preparation of the Integrated Resource
- 23 Planning report that's the subject of this case?
- 24 **A.** I was.
- 25 Q. Okay. And because I know people will ask,

399 1 are you involved -- or were people under your 2 direction involved in the review of responses to 3 Kentucky Power's September 2023 request for 4 proposal? 5 Α. Yes, we are. 6 Q. Okay. MR. GISH: Mr. Chairman, the witness is 8 available for cross-examination. 9 THE COURT: Mr. West? 10 MR. WEST: No questions. 11 MR. KURTZ: No questions, Your Honor. 12 MR. CMAR: I have a couple, Your Honor. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. And I'm not 15 limiting you, I'm just -- but I mentioned earlier, 16 we need to take a break before -- a short break, 17 before 5 o'clock --18 VICE CHAIR HATTON: 19 MS. GLASS: It's 5 o'clock somewhere, Your 20 Honor. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I don't know why -- I 2.1 22 don't know where I'm getting 5 from. This is water 2.3 in here.

Do you believe you'll have your cross-examination done before 9, or should we take

24

- 1 | that short break now so that we can reset our
- 2 system?
- I don't want to limit you. That's why I want
- 4 to --
- 5 MR. CMAR: I'm guessing 5 to 10 minutes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. So if we get to
- 7 | five till, we'll just go ahead and take a break.
- 8 MR. CMAR: Okay.
- 9 BY MR. CMAR:
- 10 Q. Good evening, Mr. Pearce.
- 11 A. Good evening.
- 12 Q. I just have a couple of questions for you
- 13 that came up earlier in the discussion with
- 14 Mr. West. Were you here for that cross-examination?
- 15 A. I was listening, yes.
- 16 | Q. Okay. Great. One question I had related to
- 17 | the PJM -- procurement of capacity for PJM delivery
- 18 | year '26-'27. Do you remember that discussion? I
- 19 | was asking Mr. West what the timing would be on when
- 20 | Kentucky Power would need to make those decisions
- 21 about whether to purchase capacity for that PJM
- 22 delivery year.
- 23 A. Sure. The '26-'27 -- you know, PJM's had
- 24 | some delays. The base residual auction right now I
- 25 | believe is scheduled for early December for '26-'27.

2.1

If Kentucky were to need some additional capacity, it would have to make its -- first off, it would have to make its FRR election a couple of months before that, so say early October. So if it needed some capacity, it would need to purchase that by early October of this year.

- Q. And I'm sorry, what did you say would happen in December?
- A. In December is when they -- PJM, I think, is currently scheduled to hold their '26-'27 base residual auction.
- Q. And if Kentucky Power decided to do bilateral contracts, would that be the same time frame?
- A. Well, they have to make -- if they make their FRR election, per PJM rules, you're required to make that a couple of months before the base residual auction. So I think it would be prudent for Kentucky to go ahead and have any bilateral contracts, if it needed any additional capacity, lined up.

So I thought your question was, when would they need to go acquire that bilateral capacity.

And I think it would be, you know, around the time that they're going ahead and submitting to PJM that they're going to go FRR and not participate in the

base residual auction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

Q. Understood. Thank you.

And then I had one other line of question which relates to the confidential questioning earlier.

MR. CMAR: So I think, Your Honor, we should probably go ahead and go into a confidential session.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Candace.

THE CLERK: We're in private, Chairman.

11 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: One second, Mr. Cmar.

MR. CMAR: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 (Confidential testimony heard from 8:47 p.m.

14 to 9:05 p.m.)

15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Are we on the public

16 session?

17 THE CLERK: We are now.

18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Mr. Cmar, any

19 | questions?

20 MR. CMAR: We have no further questions.

21 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Thank you.

22 Mr. Koenig?

MR. KOENIG: We have no questions for the

24 witness.

25

MR. VAN ZYL: No questions.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Good evening, Mr. Pierce.

THE WITNESS: Good evening.

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:

- Q. Are you familiar with the company's September 2023 -- 2023 RFPs?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

- 8 Q. I've asked earlier -- there's a question --
- 9 | there's a statement in those that says, all three of
- 10 | them, I believe -- and I'm just reading off the
- 11 | Kentucky Power website so if you've got a better
- 12 version of them than I do, I'd be happy to see it.
- 13 But it says, project must be interconnected to PJM.
- 14 And I'm reading that from the thermal RFP, wind and
- 15 | solar it says, projects must be interconnected to
- 16 PJM. Storage one says projects must be
- 17 | interconnected to PJM, although the storage and the
- 18 | wind and solar indicate they can be connected to the
- 19 distribution system as well.
- 20 But then they all three go on to say that
- 21 | they all have to have at least a system impact study
- 22 | that remains active in the PJM queue. Is this -- do
- 23 you read this portion of the RFP, based on your
- 24 | familiarity with it, to mean that they already have
- 25 | to be interconnected in the sense that they need an

- executed ISA or that that's their intention to be interconnected to PJM?
- A. I read that that -- the part about it must be interconnected to PJM I think is in reference to they must be interconnected to PJM as opposed to interconnected to MISO or something like that.
 - Q. Okay.
- 8 A. So -- but they must have a system impact 9 study as you heard --
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. -- Company Witness Ali describe.
- 12 Q. Okay. I just want to make sure I'm clear.
- 13 **A.** Okay.
- Q. Do you believe that that should be -- or do
 you read that to be a requirement, that a project
 responding to the RFPs must have an ISA at the time
- 17 | when they respond?
- 18 A. I don't recall those specific words in there.
- 19 They have to have a completed system impact study.
- 20 And there's some other provisions, they have to have
- 21 site control, there's some other things that are
- 22 required in there.
- 23 Q. Yeah, I even looked up the -- you-all
- 24 | provided some helpful recurring Qs and As based off
- 25 of what have people sought.

Okay. I want to ask it a different way, just so I'm -- regardless of whether they have an SIS, which I guess is a -- withdraw that.

Is the RFP -- do you-all indicate that the RFP is for resources that are already interconnected to PJM at the time they apply?

- A. Okay. Your Honor, you're going to have to help me a little bit. When you say "already interconnected," do you mean, like, already achieved their commercial operation date, because I believe the answer is no.
- 12 Q. Yeah. So -- okay.
- **A.** Yeah.

2.1

- Q. That's my -- so it says it as if not must be planning to interconnect to PJM, not -- I want to make it very clear. This is not for already connected generators with a signed interconnection agreement that already have -- that have already -- way past the SIS point are connected to PJM, or is it just supposed to be they're supposed to be connected to PJM as opposed to -- eventually as opposed to a different transmission operator?
- A. You're -- yes, Your Honor. The regulated infrastructure development team actually develops the RFPs.

Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. But as I said a minute ago, I read that language to mean that -- it could have been, perhaps, a little bit better worded, but that it is -- they're referencing there that meaning, you know, which RTO we're talking about. And in this case, PJM.
- Q. And so it's a --
 - A. It does not -- I don't believe it has to be a project that has actually already signed the services agreement and certainly not reached its commercial operation date.

And I think some of the other words in there, just, again, like, you know, must have site control, I mean, you know, clearly it would be you're already in service. You've achieved COD, commercial operation date, if it was expected to already be in service. So it is just you have to have been through at least the system impact study on your project.

But we put that level of detail, you know, discussing with the group that actually runs the RFPs, again, we help the evaluation. I think it's a pretty good metric, you know. We want to be careful that we allow projects that are under development.

- On the other hand, we can't -- you know, it's not good for customers for us to rely on projects that are just kind of an idea in somebody's mind yet as well.
- Q. Okay. And that's the idea behind the site control in the SIS; is that right? Far enough along in the gueue that they're not going to take forever.

Financing -- I think one of the requirements was a financing plan. Do you remember that?

- A. It's been a while since I've reviewed it,
 but --
- 12 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

- 13 A. -- I wouldn't disagree that's in there.
- 14 Q. Okay. Mid-year capacity, Mitchell situation.
- 15 You agree it would be unreasonable for no one to get
- 16 the benefit of capacity -- never mind. I'm going to
- 17 withdraw. I'm going to ask Mr. Vaughn that
- 18 question.
- 19 Scoring rubrics. As a general matter, are a
- 20 AEP -- AEP operating company the final call on what
- 21 a scoring rubric will be for an RFP or is there a
- general one that's used by operating companies
- 23 within the system?
- 24 A. The scoring rubric is really defined by
- 25 Kentucky Power. I mean, we can advise, but they

make the decision on that.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: So I'd like to ask, as a post-hearing data request, for the most recently used scoring rubric without any -- just literally the rubric.

Does that make sense? Not like --

MS. GLASS: A generic rubric not populated with any specific information to --

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Not -- with not scored -- not scoring projects, just the rubric itself.

MR. GISH: Yes, makes sense.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. So we'll ask for that in a post-hearing data request, the most recently used rubric.

MR. GISH: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Or scoring -- whatever you want to call it.

I don't want to get pedantic on it, but if you call it something different, we'll take it.

MR. GISH: I think they do.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay.

BY CHAIRMAN CHANDLER:

Q. Other than thermal resources, are all the RFP responses -- other than people who may have

- withdrawn, are all the RFP responses the same as the ones that were provided in Case Number 2021-370?
- A. I'm not sure.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Did you provide those by any chance in that case? Well, let me ask, let me ask.

RFP responses, okay, to the September ones.

Were they all due on the same day, do you know, to

the three different --

- A. I think they were all due around the same time frame, if not the same day.
- Q. Okay. And are they all being looked at separately or as a -- did they all come in three different ways -- because you wrote three different RFPs. Are they all in the same bucket, or is there a thermal bucket, a wind and solar bucket, and a battery bucket?
- A. Yes, Chairman, that's a great question.

The way that we usually do the evaluation is we will, if you will, look at each type of resource in its own bucket. It's kind of a -- what we sometimes call best in breed; right. We'll analyze the solars, the wind, the thermals.

But then to evaluate the best portfolio overall for Kentucky Power, then in the final step, we'll bring all of them together and basically sort

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

410

them based on the total ranking of all the projects.

Yeah. And so, for instance, if conceptually IRA passes, right, you're in the middle of a process in the past, right, but that's a good example. The IRA passes, the Inflation Reduction Act comes out, and you go, look, we've got these three buckets, we think battery's going to have been materially affected by that change, the wind and solar would, we don't think the thermal would. You-all would necessarily hold up the final evaluation of thermal, maybe go out and ask respondents to the wind, the solar, and the battery, hey, is your pricing different now with the passage of the Inflation Reduction Act, and then you'd just be -- you would just be holding up the third bucket of thermal waiting for your other two, figure out your best in breed for all three, and then you would move onto your portfolio.

Is that a reasonable explanation of the kind of distinction that's made between these best in breeds in different buckets?

A. Yes, Your Honor. And I think -- now, this time around, unfortunately, the Inflation Reduction Act was passed long enough ago that it really wasn't one.

This time what we're seeing, as I have mentioned, is with the -- with the new EPA rules. So while, you know, we do have some baskets of projects that aren't affected by it, we do have some.

And, yes, we have to understand, you know, how is that going to affect their relative ranking when we bring them all together, so we have to kind of put the others on hold for somewhat.

But with that said, we're certainly not expecting the impacts of the EPA to result in a thermal bid being more favorable, for example. So we can't say with confidence if we had, for example, a project not affected in such a way that it was pretty much at the top of the scoring and we're holding off the thermal but we understand time is of the essence, so we may not have to hold --

- Q. Well, let me --
- 19 A. -- that type of project.
- Q. When you say -- I just want to make sure I understand. Your response there is relative to the other two buckets?
- **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- MS. GLASS: I'd just like to remind --

412 1 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Oh, that's all. MS. GLASS: -- that we're not in confidential 2 3 session. 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: That's all. 5 MS. GLASS: But we're getting close. 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Do you have any redirect of this witness, Mr. Gish? 8 MR. GISH: I do not. 9 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Anything else for this witness? 10 11 All right. Thank you, Mr. Pearce. 12 MR. GISH: May Dr. Pearce be excused? 13 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: He may. 14 Would you like to call your last witness>. 15 MR. GISH: I am, or we are. 16 MS. GLASS: Yes. Kentucky Power calls Alex 17 Vaughn. He'll be presented by Mr. Gish. 18 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Vaughn, please raise 19 your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the 20 testimony you're about to give is true and correct 2.1 under penalty of perjury? 22 THE WITNESS: I do. 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Please have a seat. 24 State your name and business address for the 25 record.

413 1 THE WITNESS: My name is Alex Vaughn. business address is One Riverside Plaza in Columbus, 2 3 Ohio. 4 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gish. MR. GISH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 ALEX VAUGHN, called by Kentucky Power 6 Company, having been first being duly sworn, 8 testified as follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GISH: 10 11 Mr. Vaughn, would you please state your job 12 title? 13 I am the managing director of regulated 14 generation and fuel strategy for AEP Service 15 Corporation. And did you provide responses to data 16 Q. 17 requests in this case? 18 Α. I did. 19 Ο. And based on what you knew then, if I asked 20 you those same questions now, would you give the 2.1 same responses? 22 Yes, I would. MR. GISH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Vaughn is 23 available for cross-examination. 24

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. West?

414 1 MR. WEST: No questions. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Kurtz? 2 3 MR. KURTZ: No questions. 4 MR. GARY: No questions. 5 MR. BELLAMY: We have no questions. 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Good evening, Mr. Vaughn. THE WITNESS: Oh, good evening. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: 10 Yeah, almost. Like the troll under the 11 bridge, I'm here to keep you from crossing without 12 doing a little bit of work. 13 You've heard the conversation about the Mitchell capacity mid-year situation with the 14 15 '28-'29 delivery year? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Do you believe it would be unreasonable for 18 no one for -- well, okay. 19 So if -- just, again, taking a lot of things 20 at face value, but if Mitchell is not at all 2.1 retiring, let's just say it plans on operating into 22 infinity for the purpose of this question. All 23 right? 24 Α. Sure. 25 Q. But that it is going to be owned at a minimum

by operating companies in the AEP system, okay. It is going to be available for the entire -- the entirety of the '28 and -- '28 to '29 delivery year for the purposes of AEP's FRR plan.

A. Yes.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay, for all those.

Regardless of this whole who should we account for who gets the benefit of it, it is -- it would in that scenario count towards 700-plus megawatts as de-rated, for lack of a better term, by some future capacity accreditation, but it's going to have a megawatt value in the AEP's FRR plan, correct?

- A. Yeah, absolutely.
- 15 | Q. Okay.
 - A. And I imagine it would function just like it did when Kentucky Power's Rockport UPA ended mid-delivery year; right? They received -- while you can't have a partial year capacity resource in the market, this is -- this is kind of one of the other benefits of FRR in that control OF that unit from a capacity standpoint was still within the FRR plan, still within those companies, and Kentucky Power received financial credit for the UPA for part of the delivery year and then purchased for the rest

of it.

1

2

3

4

And I imagine something very similar would happen in the circumstance that you've articulated.

- Q. Like a prorated --
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. -- from June 1st to December 5th or whatever.
- A. If you needed to buy capacity, you're buying by 5 months rather than 12, the back half, not the
- 9 whole thing. Because in your -- in your
- 10 hypothetical, it's still in the family, you're just
- 11 | -- it's just accounting.
- 12 Q. Yeah. And insofar as the modeling here
- assumed a full capacity purchase at, let's call it,
- 14 in excess of \$15 million for the entirety of that
- 15 loss, that is a, all else equal, an outer bound of
- 16 that cost because -- well, you're not going to have
- 17 to buy the entirety of 700, you're probably going to
- 18 buy 5 -- 5/12ths of that amount?
- 19 A. Yeah, right. This is -- there's a difference
- 20 in the planning -- the planning analysis and what
- 21 would practically happen, I think heard it described
- 22 earlier as a worst-case assumption.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 | A. I think that's fair.
- 25 Q. There's the power coordination agreement --

A. Yes.

- 2 | Q. -- and then these bilateral contracts that
- 3 | Mr. West referred to. Do you remember that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. The bilateral contracts are
- 6 | exclusively for capacity?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. Has Kentucky Power entered into any
- 9 firm energy contracts over the past couple of years
- 10 or do you know whether the company intends on
- 11 entering into any firm energy contracts?
- 12 A. So we're in that process right now. So
- 13 | the -- nothing has changed from the plan we put out
- 14 in the last IRP and what we've been working on here
- 15 with the bilaterals of capacity to replace the UPA,
- 16 the market energy purchases, and then that was meant
- 17 to be a bridge to a long-term -- a long-term asset,
- 18 which is the RFP process we're currently conducting
- 19 now.
- 20 | So we are --
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. -- currently going through that process.
- 23 Q. Okay. Well, and the PCA is actually
- 24 | called -- or at least referred to in previous
- 25 instances as the bridge power coordination

- 1 | agreement. Do you remember that?
- 2 A. That was a different --
- 3 | Q. I'm saying but that -- it was, the idea was a
- 4 | bridge, right, a short --
- 5 A. To a different thing --
- 6 Q. Yeah, I get --
- 7 A. -- that different happen, yes.
- 8 Q. -- it's a different transaction?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. The idea is to get you from one place to the
- 11 other. So this is capacity, the power coordination
- 12 agreement, and the bilaterals are capacity.
- 13 A. To the -- go ahead, sorry.
- 14 Q. No. And what -- what I was asking is -- so
- 15 | two questions, what were you doing? I think you
- 16 | answered that one. But you-all have not purchased
- 17 any bilateral transactions that included energy
- 18 | since -- well, other than the Rockport UPA?
- 19 A. No. Since -- to fill the -- as we've
- 20 indicated, since the Rockport UPA ended, we've
- 21 purchased the bilaterals of UCAP so that Kentucky
- 22 Power can meet its -- its obligations, and we've --
- 23 | we've -- we've purchased low-cost market energy and
- 24 | that's -- customers have benefitted from that.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. You know, that was part of the plan. That's
- 2 what we're implementing, and now that's coming to an
- 3 end as we plan for the next long-term resource --
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. -- as we -- that we're working towards right
- 6 now.
- 7 Q. Or portfolio of resources?
- 8 A. Sure.
- 9 Q. Yeah, okay.
- 10 A. One more.
- 11 Q. Okay. So I'm not made fun of, if you don't
- 12 | call a rubric, what are you -- what is -- what do
- 13 | the AEP companies call the scoring guide for
- 14 | responses -- response -- score responses to RFPs?
- 15 A. Yeah. When you said that earlier, I couldn't
- 16 | think of what we actually -- I don't know. It's
- 17 | like a matrix, a rubric, whatever --
- 18 | Q. Okay.
- 19 A. -- whatever word you're into, but, yeah. It
- 20 | produces -- right? It produces the -- the price and
- 21 | non-price scoring that ultimately goes into the
- 22 | ranking of assets that Kentucky Power would use to
- 23 | inform its decision --
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 | A. -- on what it would choose.

- 1 Q. Based on your time with the companies and
- 2 | particularly involved in -- I think at one point, I
- 3 | don't know if you are anymore, at one point you were
- 4 | heavily involved in these sort of issuance or at
- 5 | least were -- well, what's your experience with RFPs
- 6 | while at AEP?
- 7 A. Yeah. So -- oh, gosh, I'm trying to think
- 8 here. So for the last three-plus years, my team has
- been directly responsible for the regulatory
- 10 approvals of the new assets that come out of all the
- 11 RFPs in our vertically integrated companies.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. So I've been involved in all of them.
- 14 | Q. Have you been involved in the creation of
- 15 | these matrices or rubrics before?
- 16 A. Yes, myself.
- 17 Q. Okay?
- 18 A. Myself and many other groups all have input;
- 19 | right? You want to have your subject matter experts
- 20 in the various areas, like Mr. Ali was discussing
- 21 | earlier, have inputs into what -- what criteria, you
- 22 know, the operating company should be considering.
- But, again, at the end of the day, one -- one
- 24 portion of the scoring may be more important to a
- 25 | certain operating company in a certain state versus

2.1

- another one, and so that's -- that's really what makes it through; right? We make recommendations, they make choices.
- Q. Yeah. And what I want to ask you about is, in your time at AEP and your involvement in these matrices or scoring guides, are you aware of any experience in which the inclusion of -- that the -- well, did you hear my questions earlier about the fact that -- well, did you hear my questions earlier about the degree by which executive compensation is based off of an increase in the megawatts of carbon-free emitting generation?
- A. Yeah, I did hear that.
 - Q. Okay. Assuming that that is a 10 percent weight of executive compensation at AEP, let's just assume that it is, have you had firsthand experience where that is taken into account in determining how to score, how to rate or what to apply for in terms of generating capacity?
 - A. I have never been in a discussion where anyone's compensation has been discussed as a driving factor for how a resource would be chosen, and I can also add that that goal has been changed and/or no longer exists.
 - So the new goal is related to ensuring

- 1 reliability through the energy transition, to the
- 2 | fleet transition, and so it's -- it's -- in terms of
- 3 | lower-emitting and non-emitting resources, so
- 4 | low-emitting is gas, and that is -- that -- you
- 5 know -- there isn't a resource type in the current
- 6 RFP that doesn't meet that long-term incentive
- 7 compensation goal.
- 8 Q. And that's been since March of this year it's
- 9 been amended?
- 10 A. I don't know when this year, but it's like
- 11 for '24 going forward, yeah.
- 12 Q. Okay. So if, for instance, I was getting my
- 13 information from the April 23, 2024, annual meeting
- 14 of shareholders, you've heard something since then
- 15 | that would indicate that you don't believe that that
- 16 | is now being chosen for that?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 | Q. Okay.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Mr. Gish, any redirect?
- 20 MR. GISH: Yeah. One real quick
- 21 | clarification question.
- 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. GISH:
- 24 Q. The chairman asked about a power coordination
- 25 agreement.

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And there was reference to the bridge power
- 3 | coordination agreement, the bridge power
- 4 | coordination agreement was something that was
- 5 | proposed as -- that would be implemented in the
- 6 event that the sale with Liberty was consumed,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. That's -- that's --
- 9 Q. Consummated, not consumed.
- 10 A. Consummated, yes. Yeah, that's correct,
- 11 right. I was referring -- when I said bridge, I was
- 12 referring to the short-term market purchase of
- 13 | capacity being a bridge or some transition to a
- 14 | longer-term --
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. -- asset, not the bridge power coordination
- 17 | agreement --
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 A. -- which does not exist.
- 20 Q. Right.
- 21 A. The currently FERC-approved power
- 22 coordination exists -- power coordination agreement
- 23 | still exists, yes.
- 24 MR. GISH: I have no further questions,
- 25 Mr. Chairman.

424 1 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Anything else for 2 Mr. Vaughn? 3 No, sir. MR. CMAR: 4 Thank you, Mr. Vaughn. CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: All right. So we will 7 need to set a post-hearing procedural schedule. We 8 will have a number of post-hearing data requests. 9 know Ms. Legge has a couple. Mr. Kurtz, Mr. West, I don't remember if you-all had any. 10 All right. Today is June the 12th. 11 12 you-all have your data requests out by Friday? 13 MR. VAN ZYL: We can make that work. 14 MR. BELLAMY: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Okay. All right. We'll enter a post-hearing procedural schedule that 16 17 sets the date for data requests to be issued by 18 June 14th, with the exception of Juneteenth next 19 Wednesday, no holidays between that, would 14 days 20 be sufficient for those responses? 2.1 MS. GLASS: I believe so, yes. 22 MR. GISH: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. Insofar as you

have individual data requests that you would like to ask for more time, I'd ask two things, that you

24

2.1

answer all of the other data requests and that you as early as possible seek an enlargement of time for those specific requests.

MR. GISH: Of course.

MS. GLASS: Understood.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: And the fun part about IRPs is that's the only thing that we have to actually agree on tonight because we will be setting the rest of the procedural schedule in accordance with -- we don't have dates for comments, reply to comments, post-hearing, already set, right.

MR. VAN ZYL: We don't have those set.

CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: Okay. So it will be a surprise for everybody. So we will set a -- in accordance with the IRP processes that we've had in other cases, we'll have a post-hearing procedural schedule following discovery where provides comments, final comments, Staff, that whole thing.

But we'll make sure there's plenty of time involved in those and hopefully be able to issue a final report in time for Mr. West and the folks at Kentucky Power to get started on their next Integrated Resource Plan. When one is done, the next one starts.

We have two outstanding, I believe, petitions

```
426
     for confidentiality.
 1
           Is there anything else that we need to take
 2
 3
     up?
          I think we have all for the pro hac vices for
 4
     the joint intervenors?
 5
           MR. GARY: Yes.
           CHAIRMAN CHANDLER: I think. All right.
 6
 7
     Thank you all very much. This hearing is adjourned.
           (Hearing concluded at 9:30 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				1490 127
A	368:13,24	30:25	225:9 228:23	adjust 170:15,17
a.m 7:1 71:6,6	account 150:3	acquire 401:22	229:2 288:11	175:17 178:5
106:6,7 350:6,9	152:1 158:3	acquiring 70:4	326:16 340:8	233:4 248:12
350:13	164:17 239:8	acquisition 137:4	341:5 357:23	279:22
	252:22 280:20	acronym 49:4	387:23	adjusting 198:15
ability 115:25	281:2,2 315:1,4	339:13	additional 60:10	289:22
213:12 354:5,5 354:18 371:16	334:16 347:8	Act 20:16 111:1,3	60:12 147:13	adjustments
	347:14,18	169:13 236:18	195:9 209:11	174:18
372:8	351:3,6 356:7	410:6,14,24	212:21 255:2	administrative
able 11:1 26:2	388:24 395:20	action 66:4,6	257:8 263:24	10:18
30:8 36:1 40:6	415:8 421:17	129:1	264:19 269:23	admission 215:15
70:8 92:23	accounted 81:21	actionable 66:2	276:5 322:12	329:7
99:12,24 100:7	221:24 224:7	actions 137:20	331:15,19	admit 29:18
101:19 102:12	224:12 308:22	active 163:7	351:8 374:24	61:20 62:3
103:7 107:23	341:7	390:2 403:22	385:3 401:1,19	114:6 321:23
113:24 158:13	accounting	actively 142:10	additions 78:8	329:4,17
158:19 163:3	416:11	142:11 259:14	151:1 178:1	admitted 62:4
164:3 170:1	accounts 51:12	activities 14:23	220:22 227:16	98:15 328:25
173:23 183:20	315:14 331:18	15:1	320:13	329:21
195:12 196:20			address 10:4	
215:4 220:14	accreditation	activity 65:25		admittedly 305:3
239:23 246:12	215:20 354:17	169:22	11:25 20:1	adopt 244:21
251:14 253:1	356:4 415:11	actual 56:17	30:13 71:20,22	adopted 31:23
258:18 275:7	accreditations	105:16 245:13	103:14 139:6	advance 67:14
277:4 280:6	195:23	255:20 354:6	167:1,4 174:3	108:2 380:19
293:4 297:19	accredited 197:1	368:16	179:2 181:8,11	380:21
303:14,17,25	218:5 313:22	ad 258:4	188:13,15	advanced 261:19
313:10 343:21	accumulative	add 109:8 130:9	249:13 250:8	advancement
344:24 346:11	185:18	196:21 207:12	313:6 317:16	277:17
346:12 367:25	accurate 19:1	234:12 262:14	317:18 360:12	advantage 239:3
371:2 381:23	102:20,22,23	262:15,19	360:14 362:12	advise 14:25 63:2
383:6 425:20	105:7 154:12	276:3 279:7	362:13 380:15	63:10,12 65:24
absolutely 16:16	218:21 221:20	280:10 287:8	382:16 392:10	66:13 260:21
140:2,3 364:3	285:19 292:13	328:19 351:6	398:16,17	260:22 407:25
415:14	333:7 391:4	369:6 421:23	412:24 413:2	advisement
absorb 196:18	accurately	added 55:9 128:8	addressed 137:1	111:17
303:25	313:24 369:18	174:16 281:20	141:10	advising 63:16
abundance	372:1	281:21,24	adds 241:10	64:22
240:14,19	accusations	293:12 320:5,6	adequacy 83:1,5	AEO's 254:22
accept 27:16	144:4	324:11	346:16,23	AEP 15:9,9 27:24
accepted 162:10	achievable 28:24	adder 190:24	352:21 354:18	51:8 61:2 63:5
accepting 204:23	achieve 183:20	adding 37:14	adequate 76:6	67:1 86:13
access 81:11,15	299:19 323:7	109:4 177:18	94:23 355:24	121:4,5,23,23
118:7 147:11	346:15 347:2	256:12 262:20	adequately	149:4,6,8,10,14
147:16 198:6	achieved 405:9	262:23 324:9	144:13,20	150:12,25
accommodate	406:16	addition 110:8	adjacent 294:4	151:19 152:3
364:15 368:13	achieving 27:18	198:17 217:9	adjourned 426:7	154:7 159:17
		l	1	
				

				1 age 420
167:15 186:15	317:12 360:7	388:1 407:15	340:4 345:19	alternates 376:23
187:2 189:7	362:7 398:3	425:8	all-of-the-above	alternative
196:7 210:23	412:19	agreed 350:25	213:5	172:23 279:12
211:17 220:2	affordability	354:13 356:21	all-source 98:23	alternatives
304:20 307:12	300:7	agreement 98:11	136:6,9	202:25 213:9
307:15 347:9	afternoon 114:11	119:2 138:8	alleviate 388:1	256:1 260:4
348:17 357:18	114:12 133:18	145:5,12,22	allocated 41:22	274:20,25
358:17 370:7	133:19 168:12	146:6 148:4	51:14,22 52:2	Alysheba 6:10
370:12,21	182:19,22	163:20 175:12	53:5,6,13 54:22	Ambient 31:15
371:9 374:22	190:9,10	177:21 405:18	149:4,5	amenable 20:19
375:13 378:3	351:18 368:9	406:11 416:25	allocates 55:25	amendable
383:6,6 384:17	AG 136:14 155:7	418:1,12	allocation 38:6	335:15
384:20 385:2,5	155:13 321:18	422:25 423:3,4	52:17 378:11	amended 422:9
385:18 386:17	326:4 329:17	423:17,22	378:14 392:12	American 5:11
386:17,20,21	329:21,21	agreements	allocations 35:19	7:23 12:14
388:21 393:9	332:21 349:19	99:20	36:9,11,18,19	14:12,13 50:25
395:18,18	AG's 8:4 135:19	agrees 136:4	36:23 37:2,22	362:24
407:20,20	349:9	ahead 47:13	37:23 41:16,17	amount 58:2
413:14 415:1	AG/KIUC 96:19	63:13 66:12	50:11,14 51:3,4	93:22 123:20
419:13 420:6	agencies 69:10	129:11 146:17	51:19 52:1 54:7	195:7 208:23
421:5,15	aggressive	187:13,24	56:4 61:23	209:15 210:16
AEP's 30:2,3	234:18	249:14 295:13	allow 279:5	223:13,24
61:21 160:18	agnostic 366:11	336:8,14 338:4	331:23 375:24	230:9 252:14
415:4,12	375:22	338:5 355:15	375:25 406:25	252:17,18,22
AEPSC 14:17	ago 41:1 42:1	382:8 400:7	allowance 34:23	266:19 274:3,6
15:8	82:23 88:15	401:18,24	35:7 38:6 48:5	312:8 328:15
aeroderivative	128:24 141:13	402:7 418:13	48:12,16 50:11	374:23 383:23
260:13 265:20	191:7,8 271:18	AI 214:11	50:14 51:3,4	385:2 391:7
aeroderivatives	309:11 337:11	air 20:16 31:15	59:1	416:18
260:3,4,12	364:13 406:2	33:20 42:14	allowances 37:7	amounts 157:4
affect 17:2,21	410:24	61:24 69:13,16	37:9,19 38:4	analyses 61:4
18:10 211:9	agree 59:21	152:14 299:5	39:10 40:16,24	122:4,16 369:4
328:16 411:7	81:18 84:5,10	air-conditioning	41:4,6,20 46:25	386:7
affidavit 9:9	94:7 142:8,12	353:16	47:2 49:10,13	analysis 16:23
affiliate 159:18	142:15 154:1	Albany 362:14	50:2,3 51:6,7	75:25 79:22
312:18 370:12	158:6,11,12,21	Alex 3:9 412:16	51:12,14 52:3	82:11 99:14,16
376:10,11	158:25 164:14	413:1,6	52:11,13,17,19	100:1 102:17
378:18,24	164:18 165:1,5	Ali 3:4 362:5,6	53:7,13,16 54:9	107:12,14
379:13	170:6 175:25	362:13,17,22	54:18,22 57:16	108:1,10
affiliated 375:21	238:18,19	363:24 368:9	57:16,24 59:24	109:14,18,25
375:25	269:12 296:8	397:17,21	59:25 60:17,23	111:5,14
affiliates 145:22	308:4,4,14,15	404:11 420:20	61:14,24	124:23 138:2
149:11	322:23 323:25	aligned 324:10	allowed 126:11	169:11,18
affirm 11:20	352:10 355:1	377:8	263:10 331:22	177:13 183:5
71:15 166:21	357:10 358:7	alignment 220:18	391:18	183:10 184:7
181:2 188:8	369:4,23 381:4	all-in 310:25	alluded 281:14	184:16 185:21

				1490 123
192:8,15	214:15	112:10 144:12	91:11 228:16	46:4 176:18
196:24 203:12	announcements	384:8	appendix 208:1,9	177:8 183:7
204:25 212:1	17:4,9	anticipating	applicability	213:5 321:13
213:2 219:7,13	announcing 67:8	383:4	15:16 16:2 18:5	325:14 352:22
219:16,19	annual 59:8 78:7	anticipation	applicable 20:10	375:3
224:6,13	225:8,21,22	140:4 162:9	20:12,13	approached
231:11,12,15	227:16,24	316:17	223:20 356:11	177:5
257:3,4 260:22	229:18,24	anybody 9:25	Applicant 7:18	approaches
276:17,19,20	422:13	16:10 119:10	application 57:4	120:21
276:25 280:4	annually 35:20	149:25 153:11	63:17 163:1	approaching
280:14 289:6	37:10 52:2 87:1	358:23	165:21 170:22	73:10
292:20 293:1,2	anomalous	anybody's	252:7 337:14	appropriate
301:2,8 305:9	357:14 387:8	214:25	applied 89:13	83:10 106:12
311:7,15,16	answer 40:6	anymore 107:21	93:9 232:15	310:5,9 375:16
314:3,11 323:6	101:12 104:3,7	295:25 420:3	235:3 264:18	376:20 383:19
345:4 348:7,8	104:16,25	anyone's 421:21	284:21 285:8	385:10
354:24 355:2	110:14 111:18	anytime 10:3	285:11 313:21	appropriately
358:8,10,11	133:21 150:7	anyume 10.3 anyway 58:2	apply 18:22,23	371:15
365:15,19,20	152:8 162:12	AP 238:7 241:24	63:8 184:4	approval 220:20
365:20,24	163:3,25 164:3	242:1 358:14	217:4 229:3	220:25
367:5,7,8,9,10	167:24 174:5	364:9 383:15	234:11 265:9	approvals 420:10
367:16,21,24	178:20 179:25	384:11 385:19	280:19 284:12	approximate
368:23 369:22	233:8 234:19	AP's 383:1 384:5	285:1 405:6	91:16 191:17
370:20 371:3,4	253:8 254:17	apart 143:25	421:18	approximately
375:14 377:2	275:7 289:24	144:1,3	applying 217:13	86:6,13,21,25
382:15 383:1	291:16 293:25	apologies 51:10	285:7 290:19	April 17:9 20:6
383:14,15	306:9 339:6	335:19	325:10 347:16	422:13
386:4 395:23	344:25 364:18	apologize 47:17	356:12	APSE 164:20
416:20	367:6,13	51:9 62:21	appreciate 14:7	area 129:18
analyze 108:3	368:21 371:15	126:22 131:24	68:1 125:7	294:4 357:13
364:20 370:14	371:18 383:9	142:20 201:2	126:8 290:7	357:16,24
409:21	384:6 405:11	265:19 290:7	327:12 336:19	366:5 392:23
analyzed 81:21	425:1	app 18:11	383:4	areas 31:25
368:22 372:25	answered 19:4	Appalachian	appreciated	140:16 238:12
383:9 384:8	115:18 116:24	386:25	309:6	357:21 420:20
analyzes 311:15	134:16 150:9	apparently 154:5	appreciation	arguably 396:8
analyzing 364:19	199:17 348:12	349:16 359:10	18:20 65:14	argument 142:9
375:4	418:16	appear 28:6	100:20 138:17	arguments
and/or 295:10	answering 388:4	57:12 109:2	144:18 150:2	135:19
421:24	answering 366. 1	appearance 7:17	150:23 151:24	arrows 213:10
Angie 7:10	105:6 110:21	Appearances 2:3	267:5 269:16	articulate 210:2
angle 344:11	189:23 319:7	5:1 6:1	272:21 303:7,9	271:21
354:9	363:14	appearing 7:21	305:11 350:1	articulated 83:6
announced	anticipate 60:12	7:22 8:14	354:15 371:9	416:3
214:13	107:9 315:5	appears 8:23	394:19	Ashland 71:22
announcement	anticipated	9:10 45:22	approach 26:5	360:14
			Triproduction	
	•	•	•	•

				Page 430
aside 29:7 199:1	104:22 105:12	159:21 181:22	266:25 281:18	249:22 335:17
asked 19:4,19	105:14	249:5 318:8	283:16 295:5	attributed
39:10 57:4 60:9	assertion 144:18	association	310:15 312:19	223:24
72:18 87:19	assess 176:20	198:19 318:11	333:6 416:22	auction 216:15
94:22 143:8	239:23 276:21	assume 17:8	assumptions	217:2 400:24
160:15 182:7	assessed 293:14	20:16 41:14	79:23 112:24	401:11,17
293:23 295:21	assessing 293:17	74:15 86:5,22	170:11 204:14	402:1
299:15 307:1	assessing 293.17 assessment 137:4	87:7,10 148:7	217:15,24	auctions 198:23
309:11,14	137:7 139:9,22	155:19 160:16	218:10 225:7	audio 200:13
311:12 312:22	140:9 141:7	160:17,20	230:17 231:3	Aurora 173:6
313:12,18	254:19,20	216:11 237:19	231:22 238:1	219:17 220:1
333:17 336:6	290:20 291:6	238:20,22	239:4 241:11	250:10 253:11
336:10,16	311:19 345:3	240:23 246:13	245:25 261:15	275:21 276:9
361:9 368:11		290:13 307:11	307:20,22	277:21 279:17
383:3 393:5	assessments 263:14	307:12,17	310:9 313:13	287:18 289:21
403:8 413:19	asset 57:12 73:19	351:5 373:21	318:16 323:10	290:4,6,14
422:24	74:20,23 83:14	377:21 391:1		
asking 11:6	116:19 127:22	421:16	323:13 333:1,4 349:18	342:3 346:10 348:14
17:16 67:5	269:6 283:1,6,7	assumed 77:15	assurance 178:10	authority 365:5
100:21 101:4,6	284:4,23	78:11 80:4 82:8	assurances	availability 57:13
123:15 129:16	342:20,22,25	84:17 87:20	177:21	59:25 246:4
131:16 132:2,6	343:15,22	92:10 94:2,12	at-a-glance 21:11	289:23 334:3
131.10 132.2,0	344:3 417:17	95:14 115:19	50:23	347:25 369:2
143:14 145:14	423:16	155:22 190:17	attached 56:21	available 13:4
149:19,20	assets 17:2 73:14	192:15,22		25:20 35:21
158:1 168:14	73:15 365:12	195:4 204:22	247:22 251:5 337:3	42:14 48:19
194:20 204:8 216:10 221:9	419:22 420:10	280:3 365:19 416:13	attaching 372:22	72:21 77:1 80:21 92:11
	assign 69:3 308:5		attachment	
236:3 245:12	assigned 223:18	assumes 86:2	26:24 27:2	93:15 107:13
271:14 327:8	assist 15:20 16:8	192:9 308:12	39:20,21 40:1 42:9 44:3 49:17	109:13,17,24
344:23 345:14	191:20	assuming 66:10	1	119:1,1,10
352:12 366:12	Assistant 5:20	86:10 87:3	52:7 56:21	136:9 157:7,23
369:23 374:4	6:14	101:22,23	58:11 97:5,10	158:1 168:3
376:8 391:25	assisted 27:22	112:21 118:3,4	97:14 114:1	182:10 183:10
395:13 400:19	associate 318:9 associated 81:22	157:24 172:5	157:11 252:5	205:2,7 213:24
418:14		175:25 237:22	attainment 31:25	224:24 230:10
asks 242:23 355:19	146:5 185:7	282:3 308:17	attempt 211:11	248:12 296:11
	198:20,21	352:5,7 370:20 391:1 421:14	250:14 attest 185:23	306:17 319:17
aspect 89:11	202:8 217:11			325:9 348:21
356:19,24 374:3.5	223:4 224:8,15 265:1 267:25	assumption 77:18 84:16	attorney 5:19 6:12,14 8:3	361:13 381:7 381:21 396:6
374:3,5	277:9 283:6	116:2 117:9	13:17 82:21	396:24 399:8
aspects 28:17 139:6	284:4 286:3	125:18 159:1	114:18 129:15	413:24 415:2
assert 100:24	287:10 297:22	217:18 231:1		Avenue 5:21 6:15
103:7	301:10 309:21	232:9 234:11	attorney-client 104:19	71:22 360:14
asserting 103:11	Associates	239:8 265:9	attorneys 5:20	
asserting 103:11	Associates	237.0 203.7	attorneys 5:20	average 59:8
	I	I	I	I

				Tage 431
92:1 234:25	165:10 192:4	based 53:13	385:25 387:21	126:2,24
285:2,18,20	200:4,7 204:21	57:13,16 60:15	baskets 411:3	128:13 134:8
301:15 302:10	211:17,18,23	66:4 70:9 72:17	bat 267:23	137:24 139:17
302:13 303:4,5	212:5 219:16	100:4 101:20	batteries 389:16	142:2 143:10
345:12 391:10	219:21 224:21	110:4 115:9	battery 128:5,7	150:8 158:2
averaging 284:22	232:11 233:21	122:15,20	161:19 366:10	161:15 162:16
aware 20:12 22:2	249:15,18	125:20 126:9	367:1,14,16,17	162:17 172:9
48:15 50:17	250:4 252:16	126:18 127:17	368:25 409:16	173:22 180:8
53:16 61:1	257:13 260:20	131:21 138:14	410:12	183:23 191:3
64:20 67:13,15	270:12 271:24	149:15 150:5	battery's 410:7	192:6 193:23
75:13,15,16	271:25 278:20	167:23 174:14	Beach 317:18	207:22 218:7
76:10,23 77:9	279:22 293:25	177:25 182:6	Beam 15:6	221:13,21
77:11 82:11	300:24 305:15	186:13 195:7	bearing 19:14	226:22 252:4
84:23 85:20	309:10 314:10	267:12,13,17	138:25 150:10	252:19 263:21
111:8,19,24	316:10,12,24	267:19,19	150:11 210:20	292:13,24
119:22 136:18	317:1 339:18	268:11 269:18	beat 355:17	293:1,2 299:3,6
137:14,15,22	349:7 358:24	271:8 281:10	beauty 147:8	321:4,10
140:6 141:20	359:1,3 394:6	282:13 284:3	began 73:19	328:19 329:8
142:16 143:7	416:8	284:15 289:22	beginning 69:6	329:10 333:22
149:3,8,12,17	backed 193:2	297:14 306:17	92:21 155:21	333:23 335:12
149:20,24,25	background	310:2 325:6	192:1,11 283:2	342:24 356:1
150:15,16	200:20	340:22 347:24	357:6	391:2 399:24
161:6 170:21	backup 272:4	351:4 353:1	begins 66:18	400:25 403:10
170:24 214:19	bad 115:22	361:8 373:2	92:18 155:24	404:14 405:10
215:6 326:10	baked 217:19	403:23 404:24	244:20 321:24	406:9 414:17
327:6 329:14	271:25	410:1 413:19	begun 112:18	422:15 424:21
387:6,10	balance 205:5	420:1 421:11	behalf 8:14 27:24	425:25
389:13,17	206:5 212:25	basic 91:24	50:25 159:20	belive 302:2
421:6	283:5 303:19	basically 90:9	189:3 349:9	Bellamy 2:14,20
	305:5 358:15	105:5 269:9	behaving 176:20	2:24 6:13 9:3,3
<u>B</u>	ballpark 75:2	279:3 281:5	believe 28:24	171:12,13,16
B 58:6 105:11,13	base 23:25 24:4	282:6 336:7	29:12 33:18	180:13 253:25
back 22:7 24:14	129:24 172:14	409:25	34:11 35:12	254:2 270:24
35:22 38:3,25	173:12 192:3	basis 42:11 78:24	36:5 37:15,24	275:2,3 287:6
39:25 46:2,5	194:3 195:1	80:18 90:4	38:10,16 42:3	316:1 338:24
47:23 49:24	216:15 217:1	135:23 143:19	50:10 53:1 60:9	339:2 368:6
54:12 59:17	231:22 232:17	150:6 175:5	73:17 74:2 75:1	414:5 424:14
63:15 66:25	234:3,11	185:7 225:22	79:6,11,13,20	Ben 9:3
67:4 71:4,5,8	252:20 255:15	234:14 266:20	82:6 84:25 85:9	benchmark
82:12,20 97:22	258:9,9 261:14	269:25 271:16	90:11,25 91:16	183:10 184:15
98:13,14 110:7	265:1 283:5,11	273:22 274:16	93:17 106:25	235:18
113:11,12,17	284:20 298:10	280:25 284:8	107:1,14 108:9	benchmarking
116:23 123:10	298:15,18	303:4,5 310:4	109:6 110:13	183:5,14 184:7
133:9,9,23	302:5 350:5	313:6 327:8	110:19 114:17	186:10
135:4,7 138:1	400:24 401:10	329:5 343:16	116:9 117:4	benefit 152:23
141:23 160:12	401:16 402:1	356:15 358:17	121:6 124:19	153:1 207:7
		l	l	l

				1490 102
223:3 263:21	bidder 390:1	68:20 125:1	416:15	brings 355:18
280:9 303:11	bids 124:19	131:5 140:4	boundaries	Brittany 6:9 8:19
354:21 356:25	134:7	183:2 210:1	172:23 213:18	brittany@hlok
357:7 373:14	big 36:13,19 37:7	218:16 228:1	213:21 280:18	6:11
387:25 407:16	37:8 38:1 40:17	234:6 261:23	bounds 176:11	broad 80:23
415:8	40:19 41:15	263:25 268:9	346:18	260:6
benefits 115:4	42:8 43:10	289:9 320:23	box 5:5 6:6 40:23	broader 261:10
229:6 239:14	44:10,23 46:12	320:24 322:12	Brattle 310:2	357:18 370:3
246:18 312:5	47:1 50:5 52:2	325:11,20	break 14:3	372:7,13
380:2,3 415:21	53:6,12,18 54:8	343:14 344:6	187:16,17,19	broadly 14:21
benefitted 146:24	59:23 60:18	354:9 405:8	188:3 200:10	15:15 16:15
147:13 418:24	61:16 76:2	406:4 414:12	217:20 286:14	64:1,11 111:8
Benjamin 6:13	86:24 87:25	Blankenship 3:3	297:13 316:17	252:14 263:13
BESS 370:18	88:14 102:10	360:5,13,18	349:6 359:5	brought 116:14
best 19:20 21:13	102:17 125:13	block 256:3,4	399:16,16	219:4 248:4
21:14 50:22	125:15 131:9	body 27:5	400:1,7	brownfield
74:9 102:16	144:22 165:13	Boehm 5:16	breaking 297:22	373:15
109:12,14	191:2,6 194:12	bold 228:18	breaks 316:14	BSER 21:11,12
117:12 140:24	231:9 233:2,4	bolts 68:23	breed 409:21	29:22 61:21
164:15 211:11	263:17 267:23	bonus 111:2,9,9	410:17	BSU1 36:19 37:2
244:24 267:3	349:11 363:25	111:22 236:4,7	breeds 410:21	38:2
299:13,16,17	364:4 365:10	236:14 237:17	Brian 2:7 71:12	BSU2 36:24 37:3
299:19 306:24	366:4,24	238:3,9,16	71:21 72:1	Btu 23:19 44:16
343:23 409:21	368:12	239:25 240:24	bridge 414:11	44:23 45:22
409:23 410:17	bigger 364:6	241:2,16	417:17,25	bucket 380:6,14
410:20	bilateral 76:2	bonuses 111:15	418:4 423:2,3	409:14,15,15
better 48:4 75:10	83:16 118:21	236:10,19	423:11,13,16	409:16,20
81:25 152:8	118:23 145:24	238:1 239:3	brief 62:23	410:15
165:2 166:9	146:8 401:12	241:9	114:13 139:21	buckets 410:7,21
173:7 210:2	401:18,22	book 94:24 95:6	briefing 19:21	411:22
239:18 249:10	417:2,5 418:17	95:22 96:1,20	67:19	budgeting 377:8
249:23 253:9	bilaterals 417:15	97:14	briefly 31:3	build 68:7 86:10
272:4 308:17	418:12,21	bookends 300:14	74:25 221:7	86:12 115:10
308:23 358:5	bill 194:9,21	books 65:16	332:20 333:2	118:8 124:11
403:11 406:4	billing 133:10	bottom 24:1	bring 24:14	129:17 255:16
415:10	billion 86:7,14,23	37:21 77:24	73:19 74:23	256:17 379:17
beyond 22:22,23	86:25 87:2	199:6 207:18	83:19 117:7	building 69:2
36:12 38:3 42:2	90:17,18	216:17 228:18	129:23 161:25	86:17 119:14
42:6 53:25	331:11 352:16	328:9	178:11 256:2	127:14 215:1,2
175:16 176:21	binding 229:10	bottom-up-and	257:12 266:18	232:6 236:22
224:1,9 233:17	229:23 263:22	375:3	288:6 344:3,10	346:11 347:3,4
290:14 306:5,6	382:22,24	bought 147:2	352:20 381:23	built 77:15 78:12
334:18 344:1	388:1	Boulevard 1:11	395:4 409:25	87:5,9,11,14,17
bid 136:12 198:1	bit 28:10 34:17	10:11	411:8	115:15 149:10
198:23 374:9	34:19 36:2 43:9	bound 173:16	bringing 55:3	163:22,22
411:12	59:19 63:1	320:10,10	74:16	207:19 236:22
	l	l	l	

				Page 433
215.1 254.20	202.0 205.0	207.25 412.16	172.5 22	222.2
315:1 354:20	293:9 385:9	397:25 412:16	173:5,22	332:2
bulk 375:8	calculations	CAMPD 42:15 42:25 48:14	184:20 189:1,8	capital 5:21 6:15
Bullet 245:18	291:12 292:3		193:11 194:25	86:5,11 90:21
bump 38:2	calendar 114:22	49:17	195:7,9,13,15	90:22 192:19
bundle 185:1	115:5	Canada 317:19	196:21 197:1,2	201:23 202:2
223:12 224:16	call 10:22,24	Candace 6:17	198:2,12,23,24	202:15 203:6
bundled 222:7	11:15 71:10	106:3 122:24	198:25 208:16	204:5 205:11
bundles 183:23	150:20 166:16	134:18 338:3	210:7,20	205:13 212:17
184:4,22,22	180:22 204:13	402:9	213:15,19,21	212:21 220:6
221:12,14,18	229:23 234:12	cap 132:18	217:15,18,23	223:16 232:14
221:25 222:10	235:12 246:17	cap-223:16	218:2,5 225:8,8	255:15 268:11
248:22 334:12	252:20 256:3	capability 85:16	225:21 246:5	268:13,16,21
bundling 183:25	258:15 261:22	196:11,25	263:14 275:24	269:18 270:15
burden 85:2	262:6 265:3	372:22 381:7	275:25 276:9	271:19 282:14
315:4	276:20 280:10	388:11	277:9,21	283:1 284:2,8
business 72:6	280:13,18	capable 367:1	278:10,13	285:12 286:18
122:15 167:4	282:18 283:20	capacity 23:11	279:16 280:3	286:18,23
181:11,21	298:22 304:7	24:1 25:2,8,15	280:17 288:4,5	292:7,9,16
317:18 360:23	314:17 317:5	26:17 27:17	289:5 294:25	320:11
396:18 398:16	324:15 325:18	46:9,13,21 47:7	306:23 307:8	capitals 220:7
398:17 412:24	358:12,13	53:24 54:1,2	308:11,13,18	capture 22:15
413:2	362:2 375:2	75:14,17 76:6	313:19 318:7	24:8 27:19
buy 158:13,19	378:19 379:16	84:12 85:7,16	318:10 323:15	28:18 29:3
307:8 343:2,2	379:18,22 380:17 397:24	85:24 88:17	323:16 328:15 331:21 332:1	30:25 31:4
416:7,17,18	407:20 408:18	93:13,23 94:4,9 95:16,24	344:2,21	169:22 170:19 176:13 178:18
buying 146:13,16 147:15 343:15	408:20 409:21	106:21 107:6	· · ·	178:22 180:9
416:7	412:14 416:13	107:10,18	346:16,22,24 346:25 351:8	224:14 265:11
Byron 6:3 8:13	419:12,13	107.10,18	353:15,15,19	315:7
13:16 43:18	called 12:6 31:11	110:20 118:11	354:9,17 356:4	captured 29:8
byron@kyrc.org	35:2 72:1 79:3	118:20 119:1	356:8 362:23	175:17 176:4
	140:25 167:8	127:14 130:9	363:2 369:1,22	222:16 223:3
6:7	181:15 183:23	137:8 145:16	371:5,6 380:21	223:15
C	188:20 202:24	145:21 146:6,7	381:7,8,10,12	capturing 176:11
c 2:1 3:1 139:7	252:8 267:21	146:12,13	382:1 384:9	176:22
140:14	318:1 325:4	147:2,10,13,15	387:9,12	car 340:17
calculate 219:11	337:15 348:19	149:10 151:1	400:17,21	carbon 22:14,15
282:2	360:18 362:17	152:14,15,16	401:1,5,19,22	24:8 27:18
calculated	398:10 413:6	152:23 153:1,6	407:14,16	28:18 29:3
149:18,23	417:24	153:8,12,15,18	414:14 415:11	30:25 31:4 85:2
396:7	calling 145:20	154:4,8 155:18	415:19,22	211:12 227:11
calculates 385:24	calls 11:17 71:12	155:20,23	416:7,13 417:6	231:23 255:24
calculating 345:7	73:7 121:11	156:1,16,22	417:15 418:11	259:13 277:17
346:2	166:18 180:24	157:4,6,12,13	418:12 421:19	277:18 298:21
calculation	188:4 317:7	157:20,23,25	423:13	299:4 314:23
291:25 292:1	360:4 362:4	158:2,4,20	capex 90:16	315:4 353:13
	300.1302.1	150.2, 1,20	capex 50.10	313.1333.13
	1	1	'	1

carbon-free	315:3,9 317:2	certainly 65:9,15	12:4,5 13:3,5,8	187:11,13,17
149:16 151:1	320:5,10 328:6	80:20 84:10	13:10 17:14,15	187:24 188:2,7
160:19 421:12	345:6 357:17	100:22 122:10	17:24 18:13,19	188:12,16,19
care 354:2	359:2 363:5,8,9	127:20 165:3	19:11,17,25	190:3,5 194:15
careful 285:2	370:20,24,25	171:6 176:10	20:4,25 21:3	194:18 195:21
406:24	373:10 375:14	197:7 208:4	26:6 29:20,23	195:25 199:19
carried 52:13,21	377:4 380:11	211:3,3 212:12	30:1,5,18 38:12	199:24 200:2,7
carry 255:20	382:19 383:2	229:23 234:2,7	38:14,17,21	200:12,18
388:10	398:23 406:6	234:21 238:12	43:22,25 46:6	215:12,13,16
carrying 85:15	409:2,5 413:17	245:16 356:25	47:23 48:1,11	215:19 242:21
196:11,25	cases 142:24	357:7 381:4	49:22 50:1,4,7	245:8,11
282:18,24,25	148:19,23	406:11 411:10	50:12,15,17,20	249:14,17,21
283:4,16,19	178:11 378:23	certainty 65:3	50:22 51:2	250:4 253:19
284:2,11,14,16	380:6 390:25	179:7 372:15	56:11 61:10,25	267:4,10
284:22,23,25	392:11,12	372:20	62:2,6,9,13	268:10,15,17
285:12 286:17	393:4 396:22	CETA 298:22	70:19,21,25	268:20,24
286:22 287:10	425:16	320:10,11	71:7,8,14,19,24	269:3,15 270:2
292:8 296:3,6	catalytic 55:8	CETO 380:24	72:23 83:22	270:7,20,23
carve 305:23	categories 21:19	381:2,9 382:11	89:2 91:3,6	271:4,7,13
case 1:4 7:4 10:2	299:21	382:12,19	94:25 96:4,10	272:5,12,20,23
10:3,5 12:18,21	cause 112:16	383:2,11,12,13	96:14 97:7,9,13	273:11,19
17:1 57:6 60:15	124:3 334:4	383:13,25	97:17,21,24	274:9,12,19,24
67:2 71:9 72:12	364:22	384:2,3,11	98:2,7,12 100:9	275:2 285:17
82:22 86:19	caused 125:25	386:2,10 387:6	100:11,16,19	286:6,9 287:1
90:7 94:22 95:1	371:5	387:17 388:6	101:3,9,17	291:22 299:12
95:2,5 96:18,21	causing 392:9	chain 232:20	103:1,5 104:9	309:4 315:24
96:25 97:1,2	CC 77:15 78:8,11	233:7	104:18 105:3	316:2,5,8,19,23
113:17 114:3	89:24 215:8	Chair 2:10 7:10	105:17,20,25	327:9 328:24
135:12 140:5	216:24 217:24	8:25 9:1 49:21	106:2,8,9 113:6	329:1,16,22
142:3 143:6,7,7	340:24 367:11	70:16 71:3	113:10,16,21	332:16 335:21
143:10,13,17	367:13,25	98:16 101:6	113:23 114:5	336:20 337:21
158:5 176:6,12	368:25 370:18	104:19,22,23	123:2,7,10,14	337:24 338:3,7
178:12 189:1	CCS 27:18 28:19	106:5 128:16	128:15 134:18	338:13,16,20
190:13 205:25	28:24	128:18 133:14	134:21,22,24	338:23 349:4
205:25 207:17	cell 7:13	187:5 317:1,10	135:3,4,9	358:22 359:1
208:25 209:5	cement 233:24	317:15,20	139:20 146:4	359:12,18,21
215:22 242:3,7	census 237:2,2	319:11 321:14	164:4,9 166:1,5	359:23 360:3,6
243:4,6 245:7	centers 214:11	321:22 326:5	166:13,15,16	360:11,16
249:5 250:5	cents 91:14	359:11 399:18	166:20,25	361:15,17,19
253:16 257:7	certain 16:7	Chair's 14:4	167:6,7 168:2,4	361:23 362:2,6
262:12 277:16	79:23 80:1 86:8	chairman 1:10	168:6,8 171:11	362:11,16
278:2,22 279:2	103:11 107:25	2:11,20,25 3:6	180:15,18,20	363:18,20
279:10 288:9	217:14 325:7	3:8,10 7:2,3,8	180:21,22	365:25 366:1
291:18 294:1	371:2 380:22	7:25 8:6,11,17	181:1,6,13	368:3,5,8
298:17,18	396:14 420:25	8:21 9:6 11:9	182:12,14,16	374:15 397:18
300:20 302:4,7	420:25	11:13,19,24	186:4 187:6,9	397:21,23
			·	

				Tage 433
398:2,7,9 399:7	71:3,8,14,19,24	273:11,19	350:4 364:20	38:8 41:9 63:22
399:14,21	72:23 83:22	274:9,12,19,24	390:15 417:13	64:12 77:22
400:6 402:9,10	89:2 91:3,6	275:2 285:17	421:23	85:4 107:4
402:11,15,18	96:4,10,14 97:9	286:6,9 287:1	changes 44:24	116:9 237:14
402:21 403:1,4	97:13,17,21,24	299:12 309:4	65:23 84:11	335:17 338:6
408:3,10,13,17	98:2,7,12,16	315:24 316:2,5	85:12 174:20	347:2
408:22,23	100:9,11,16,19	316:8,19,23	211:3,8,9,14	choices 421:3
409:17 412:1,4	101:3,6,9,17	327:9 329:1,16	313:13,19	choose 379:17
412:6,9,13,18	103:1,5 104:9	329:22 332:16	314:24 322:6	419:25
412:23 413:4,5	104:18,23	335:21 337:21	changing 10:23	choosing 130:10
413:23,25	105:3,17,20,25	337:24 338:3,7	314:8 347:23	254:9 299:19
414:2,6,9	106:2,5,9 113:6	338:13,16,20	387:8 389:6	chose 30:21
422:19,24	113:10,16,21	338:23 349:4	characteristic	chosen 26:16
423:25 424:1,4	114:5 123:2,7	358:22 359:1	373:4	207:20 254:6
424:6,15,23	123:10 128:15	359:12,18,21	characteristics	272:7 421:22
425:6,13 426:6	134:18,22	359:23 360:3,6	201:20	422:16
chairperson	135:4,9 139:20	360:11,16	characterization	Chris 15:6
128:13,15	146:4 164:4	361:15,17,19	141:17 203:9	chunk 208:16
challenge 196:18	166:1,5,13,16	361:23 362:2,6	282:9	Cincinnati 5:17
challenges 28:20	166:20,25	362:11,16	characterize	circuit 34:3,6
29:2,3,5 310:12	167:6 168:4,6,8	363:18,20	220:4	circumstance
challenging	171:11 180:15	366:1 368:3,5,8	charge 15:3 94:8	416:3
238:18,19	180:18,20,22	374:15 397:18	133:9 282:19	cited 165:14
chance 275:14	181:1,6,13	397:21,23	282:24,25	city 88:2
409:4	182:12,14,16	398:2,7 399:14	283:16,20	claim 178:3
Chandler 1:10	186:4 187:6,9	399:21 400:6	284:11,14,17	195:12,16
2:11,20,25 3:6	187:11,13,17	402:9,11,15,18	284:25 286:17	claims 253:20
3:8,10 7:3,7,25	187:24 188:2,7	402:21 403:1,4	286:23 380:14	clarification
8:6,11,17,21	188:12,16,19	408:3,10,13,17	charged 367:18	114:16 344:23
9:1,6 11:9,13	190:3,5 194:15	408:22,23	charges 287:10	422:21
11:19,24 12:4	194:18 195:21	412:1,4,6,9,13	charging 94:10	clarified 161:14
13:5,8,10 17:15	195:25 199:19	412:18,23	Charles 159:21	313:18
17:24 18:13,19	199:24 200:2,7	413:4,25 414:2	219:3,3 231:12	clarifies 344:17
19:11,17,25	200:12,18	414:6,9 422:19	249:5 253:11	clarify 103:2
20:4,25 21:3	215:13,16,19	424:1,4,6,15,23	257:7,15 301:2	110:11 116:21
26:6 29:20,23	242:21 245:8	425:6,13 426:6	302:1 318:8	161:23 165:3
30:1,5,18 38:12	245:11 249:14	change 231:18	chart 172:10	165:19 168:16
38:14,17,21	249:17,21	311:4 314:15	197:11 216:23	187:5 194:19
43:22 46:6	250:4 253:19	349:11 351:22	231:22 327:17	241:12 264:22
47:23 48:1,11	267:4,10	353:7,14	341:12	285:17 314:21
49:22 50:1,4,7	268:10,15,17	354:24 390:16	cheap 379:23	367:12 369:16
50:12,15,17,20	268:20,24	410:8	cheaper 158:20	clarity 69:8
50:22 51:2	269:3,15 270:2	changed 18:9	206:21 283:4	class 198:17
56:11 61:10,25	270:7,20,23	19:13 47:8	379:8	216:14 217:16
62:2,6,9,13	271:4,7,13	128:24,25	cheapest 305:18	261:17,22,24
70:16,19,21,25	272:5,12,20,23	131:4,12 301:4	check 37:12,15	262:7,9 322:7
			l	1

				Page 436
326:22 332:22	124:2 145:14	330:21 331:5	199:13 210:4	coming 66:15
387:23	168:8 182:16	345:15	213:9 216:19	68:10 74:8
classes 326:9	236:3 316:16	columns 40:13	216:23 222:21	133:23 179:1
clean 20:16 42:14	316:21 399:12	51:17 275:12	259:24 261:18	200:21 201:14
61:24 239:19	400:5,8,9 402:6	combination	261:20,20,21	201:17 281:3
239:19 277:16	402:11,12,18	144:8 267:14	262:5 263:8	291:11 370:25
298:22 299:5	402:20 424:3	353:1	264:7,19,23	419:2
302:7	co-own 138:9	combine 391:16	265:5 266:11	commenced 7:1
clear 13:24 17:25	co-own 138.9 co-owned 312:17	combined 77:10	266:23 268:1	comment 9:21,24
20:6 29:20	co-ownership	78:23 89:25	273:7 274:8	10:2,5,7 60:4
49:20 57:9	138:7	90:3,7,17,21	281:23 326:18	64:12 66:14
79:12 80:16	co-owning 138:6	140:25 199:7,9	328:2 339:8,15	67:21,25 82:22
161:13 162:19	CO2 23:18,19	202:14 203:2	339:23 366:9	132:16 136:5
177:18 231:6	84:8,13,18,23	202:14 203:2	come 24:20 57:7	228:19 229:9
269:22 276:25	·	203:11,17,21	71:4,5 94:3	238:20
336:9 376:16	85:6 210:21,22 coal 22:11 36:24	203:25 204:5,7	97:22 98:13,14	commented
404:12 405:16	55:7 191:5	204:22 203:12	113:11,12	217:8 246:16
clearing 20:5	236:25 237:1,1	205:17,22	159:2 177:11	
clearly 97:7	255:13,16	207:8,17,18	177:15 178:2,3	commenting 66:19
313:10 406:15	259:13,13	207.8,17,18	178:3 179:14	comments 10:13
CLERK 134:20	268:22 324:23	208.11,23	200:3 211:17	10:15 16:17,21
134:23 135:2	326:18 328:2	210:13,15	211:18,23	19:21 20:1
291:22 402:10	364:12	210.13,13	212:5,18	27:23 28:6,17
402:17	COD 406:16	213:25 215:1	220:24 235:16	29:15 30:2,3,24
clock 187:15	code 48:22	216:18 218:6	239:12 247:1	50:24 51:8
close 82:2 111:4	code 48.22 codes 48:24	226:1,2,3	249:15,18	61:22 131:15
383:5 386:2	codes 48.24 cogeneration	255:22,23	252:16 253:10	133:4 135:11
412:5	137:8	256:6 259:23	256:9 257:19	135:14,15,18
closed 10:3	Cohn 5:15 8:10	261:3,18 262:1	260:20,24	136:3,15
closed 10.3 closely 171:5	collaboration	262:16,17	262:3,7 266:22	141:11,12
218:22 219:6	311:13 375:12	265:12,16,22	312:3 316:10	143:21 228:4
219:18 385:25	collar 304:19	266:6,12,16,17	316:12,24	228:11,17
closer 328:8	collating 120:12	268:2,4 270:25	322:1 348:16	247:23 251:6
closure 236:25	colleague 221:8	278:8,15,17,21	358:24 379:24	337:4 425:10
237:1	236:2 243:2	278:23 294:23	396:15 409:12	425:11,18,18
closures 174:17	collect 192:10	294:25 311:23	420:10	commerce
cluster 390:16	Columbus 5:12	311:25 312:2	comes 83:8	118:18
Cmar 2:9 6:4	12:2 167:4	326:17 328:2	109:24 116:18	commercial
8:15 13:18 96:4	188:15 413:2	339:19,24	120:10 123:17	121:6 122:1
96:6 98:16,17	column 40:13	340:3 347:3	123:18 228:10	123:25 161:7
98:19 100:10	43:11,15 44:14	351:6 364:16	274:7 311:21	175:22 176:9
101:11,14,18	45:21 48:21	366:9 384:24	324:13 365:13	184:25 405:10
105:20,21	51:13,15 52:5	391:18	384:6,10 410:6	406:12,16
106:1,9,10	59:4,9,9 193:16	combustion	comfortable	commercially
108:14,17,21	227:17 232:2	21:20 23:1,9	174:3 176:15	100:12
113:3 117:5	297:5,6 330:17	24:19 27:11	199:3	commission 1:2
110.0 117.0			1,,,,,	
	•	•	•	•

				Page 43/
1:11 7:8 9:2,4	95:1	170:18	404:19	concerned 64:1
10:8,10 11:4	company 1:5 5:3	comparable	completely 95:19	117:6 118:24
19:5,22 20:19	7:6,20 12:7	40:24 41:25	163:22 369:3	262:24 389:3
83:20 112:11	15:9 26:22	Comparatively	393:3	concerning 242:7
125:3 143:1	28:19 58:25	268:2	completion	concerns 83:1,5
144:25 170:23	60:8 67:20,23	compare 231:20	168:25	248:8 371:23
211:16 228:4	72:2 73:12 76:9	246:8 367:13	complex 280:9	concise 210:11
228:11 242:4	83:12 102:14	compared 90:2	complexity	concluded 311:5
243:4,21	107:9,16	180:10 208:18	259:17 297:22	426:8
244:20,23	109:16 111:14	245:23 265:12	compliance	concludes 244:24
247:6 329:4	118:1 121:9	330:12 332:9	14:23 18:2 19:6	conclusion 11:7
335:20 354:15	122:3 127:4,6	333:3 388:14	48:6,12 51:14	concrete 233:24
Commissioner	127:12,20	compares 246:2	54:14 140:17	concur 260:25
2:11 7:10 70:18	129:10 131:18	246:3	142:18	concurrence
133:17 134:4	132:7,16,21	comparing 343:6	compliant 22:15	219:15 257:17
134:10,14	133:5,7 139:15	comparison	complication	condition 325:24
335:20	144:6,8 146:21	81:18	241:10	325:25 333:25
commodity	147:9 149:6	comparisons	complied 164:17	364:1,7 365:9
234:20 320:7	156:1,15	248:4	complies 18:6	365:10
common 204:24	157:21,22	compelling	comply 24:8	conditions 281:7
204:25	161:2 167:9	166:11	27:15	302:3 342:13
COMMONW	169:10,18	compensation	component	358:15 366:20
1:1	170:9,15,17,22	149:1,6,9,14,18	257:22 260:3	conduct 183:8
communication	170:25 181:16	149:23 150:3	283:19 284:12	conducted 138:2
141:21	187:2 188:21	151:10,18	286:18	138:25 275:18
communications	194:1 229:5	160:18 244:7	components	355:8 380:22
104:14,17,20	248:16 251:23	421:10,15,21	234:20 236:22	383:23 390:5,5
communities	252:16 263:14	422:7	266:15 276:16	392:20 393:8
111:20,21	317:4 318:2	compete 163:23	282:17	conducting
236:15,25	336:8 360:19	163:23	computer 38:15	141:22 183:8
239:2 240:5	361:1 362:17	competition	38:18 224:21	352:14 417:18
community	376:1,12,17	235:14	242:14 280:15	cone 344:15
111:2 112:6	377:5 398:11	competitive	computers	confidence
236:4,6,14,16	404:11 407:20	136:6 304:14	219:17	411:13
237:11,17,20	413:7 417:10	370:6	concentrated	confident 75:9
237:25 238:2,9	420:22,25	compile 297:20	324:20	confidential 9:14
239:18 240:24	company's 17:2	297:21	concept 325:11	89:14 99:12,24
241:2,15	96:24 109:7	complete 277:11	350:1	100:6,14,18,22
companies 15:17	127:18 135:11	377:13 379:3	concepts 325:20	100:25 101:10
15:18 175:4	135:13 157:17	390:20 391:22	326:8	101:13 103:10
179:2 386:19	159:4 172:24	completed 163:6	conceptual	104:8,10 105:1
386:21 407:22	172:25 242:3	175:9 374:11	349:25	105:23 106:2,6
415:1,23	244:3,15 403:5	390:1 391:25	conceptually	106:13,15
419:13 420:1	company-finan	392:2,19 393:3	410:2	133:21 134:2,8
420:11	133:6	393:10,11,12	concern 103:10	134:12,12,19
companion 94:22	company-spon	393:15 394:10	152:13 235:6	134:25 143:19

				1 age 430
250:19 251:12	connection 372:6	245:24	consumers 95:11	60:17 351:6
251:14 252:25	372:7,24	consistently	95:14,23	continues 95:20
253:2 337:19	connects 368:18	41:13 45:5	354:21 355:25	continues 95:20
338:1,4,10,11	conservative	268:6	consuming	131:6,13
374:13 395:12	234:17	constantly 66:14	302:16,19	154:19
402:4,7,13	consider 64:7,22	constituents 48:4	303:3	contract 81:11
412:2	82:19 99:12,24	constrained	Consummated	101:23 116:19
confidentiality	133:6 159:3	305:6 313:20	423:9,10	118:21 121:17
98:6 426:1	170:10 213:7	constraint	consumption	147:22 148:15
configuration	240:1,2 248:10	211:20 212:9	367:20	287:22
224:24 225:20	257:10 259:23	263:9,12	contact 138:5	contract-based
262:1	259:24 260:1	305:12 382:23	160:6	144:10 147:21
configurations	305:17	382:24 388:2,5	contacted 121:18	148:14
259:22 261:16	considerate	388:6,9,19,23	contain 184:23	contracted
confirm 77:13	152:12	constraints	221:18	121:17 144:9
97:20 113:25	consideration	228:22,23	contained 139:7	144:24 145:3
190:11 322:15	83:7,20 122:11	228:22,23	contained 139:7	144:24 143:3
367:5	122:12 127:13	229:1,3,6,13,18	21:23 36:11	
				contracted-for
confirmed 377:9	127:14,16	254:16 280:17	content 103:21	81:10 145:9
conflict 195:17	151:18 160:21	281:6,10 342:9	104:17 319:7	contracts 76:3
conforming	173:18 242:24	342:10 357:15	327:1	83:16 107:6
162:11	271:15 299:18	364:22 366:19	contents 104:14	118:23 124:24
confuse 94:14	309:15 314:1,5	366:20 371:5,7	140:15	145:24 146:9
confused 100:21	346:18 356:6	380:16 382:14	context 196:16	148:15 401:13
344:6	385:12 395:6	388:13 392:8	214:1 245:5	401:19 417:2,5
confusing 34:17	considerations	392:11	259:19 288:10	417:9,11
confusingly 35:2	150:3	construct 102:9	303:23 310:19	contractual
confusion 51:10	considered 65:24	354:18	310:19 311:22	75:25 76:2,4
congestion 397:4	111:21 112:6	constructing	313:7 315:3	148:1
397:5	131:5 132:21	139:11,23	385:11 394:1	Contrary 135:19
connect 177:22	137:6 141:5	141:8	contingencies	contribute 32:7,8
179:16 365:7	170:13 240:4	construction	365:16	196:14 325:17
366:14,23	240:10 261:17	73:14 87:12	contingency	contribution
369:21 370:1,8	270:15 335:5	129:25 233:24	118:2,10,14,19	151:4 324:9
371:2 372:8	386:7	234:1 332:7	continually	contributions
393:9,9	considering	consult 69:4	179:13	231:13
connect-to-net	64:21 127:4	consultant	continuation	control 55:4,19
396:22	133:12 179:9	159:16 219:3	307:1	60:10,13 61:15
connected 163:11	261:10 420:22	249:4	continue 54:19	314:23 355:22
163:23 372:2	considers 63:4	consulted 58:19	57:25 94:10	404:21 406:14
392:22 403:18	199:9	68:25	95:14 132:17	407:6 415:21
405:17,19,21	consist 184:4	consumables	154:17 185:14	controllable
connecting	consistent 9:18	265:3 340:4,7	192:10,11	197:6 325:12
369:12 370:2	22:3 44:18 45:2	consumed 353:15	200:19 248:10	325:24
371:20 374:25	76:18,21 77:19	423:6,9	249:3 305:10	controls 55:22
396:14	156:10,13	consumer 234:7	continued 3:1 6:1	60:22
-				

				Page 439
controversial	14:14,24	160-14 15 25	3/6./ 1/ 2/7.6	202:15 203:6
120:8	167:15 256:23	169:14,15,25 170:16 172:18	346:4,14 347:6 348:14 350:18	202:15 203:6
	362:25 413:15	170:16 172:18 172:19 177:17	348:14 350:18 353:17 354:3,5	204:5,5 205:11
convenience 89:5			353:1/354:3,5	
188:17	correct 11:22	181:3 184:24	1	207:5,8 210:22
conveniently	14:19 15:4,11	188:9 189:11	357:2,4,9 360:2	212:17,21,22
36:13	15:24 19:15 20:9 21:22	191:24,25	360:8 362:8	221:13 223:16
conversation		197:19 201:15	364:14 369:8	223:17,18,24
116:2 117:4	22:20 23:3,13	201:16,18,19	369:15 370:3,4	224:8,15 225:6
170:2 220:13	23:21,24 24:10	201:25 202:5,9	370:13 373:17	225:7,9 226:25
257:14 414:13	24:21 26:13	203:5 204:6,11	374:7 375:1	230:16 232:3,5
conversations	27:14,21 28:15	206:22 207:7	377:16,17,22	232:9,14,17
63:19 137:18	31:5 32:1,10	208:21 209:5	380:25 381:1,5	233:5,9,23,23
138:14 151:4	34:7 35:4 38:10	210:17,18	381:15 382:2	233:24 234:1
151:11 160:4	40:17 41:23	216:25 217:17	383:23 384:22	234:14 235:11
220:9 263:25	42:2 43:12	221:1,16 225:2	384:23 385:5,6	239:15,16
converted 36:20	45:19 47:6	226:7,11,15	386:13 390:6,7	247:13 255:15
262:1	48:17 49:18	227:13,15	392:24 394:10	256:16 258:9
converts 202:21	53:7 54:7,14	228:19 229:2,8	398:4 412:20	258:16 260:10
convey 30:19	56:2 57:14 58:4	230:5 232:4	415:13 423:7	261:14 264:11
conveying 267:11	59:11 63:6	233:1 237:12	423:10	264:14,18,25
cool 353:17	68:16,17 71:16	237:18,19	correction 218:1	265:11 267:21
Cooperative	73:8 75:5,6,8	241:23 249:7	corrections 72:14	268:4,7,8,12,14
136:12	76:16 77:14	252:2,11	182:3 189:16	268:16 272:9
cooperatives	79:5,6 85:8,9	264:13 266:7	361:5 363:11	273:5 277:16
296:13	86:7 87:3,6,18	267:9 274:4,16	correctly 19:3	280:2 281:8,14
coordinate	87:22 88:15,16	276:1,15	76:13 110:17	281:16 282:3
139:16	89:16,24 90:4	277:14,22,23	110:17 139:14	282:14 283:1,5
coordinated	90:13,18,25	278:1,3,13,14	219:25 309:19	284:2,2,19,22
222:9 394:12	91:10 93:17	281:12 282:8	312:14,24	284:23 285:13
coordination	94:18,19 97:3	282:16 283:4	313:3,17 331:8	285:24 286:13
139:10,23	98:24 99:5,18	286:12 287:25	correlated 351:4	286:18,21,23
140:9 141:8	99:22 104:21	288:20 291:14	corresponding	288:23 291:8
145:12,22	106:22 107:3,4	291:15 292:10	274:3,6	291:25 296:3,5
146:6 393:1	109:5 115:11	292:20 294:6	corresponds	296:6,7 300:16
416:25 417:25	116:10 117:8	300:15 301:19	114:1	301:8,10 303:9
418:11 422:24	125:16 131:11	302:17 307:10	cost 58:25 60:21	303:10 308:10
423:3,4,16,22	131:13 134:9	311:1,10,11	78:24 81:19	308:18 309:25
423:22	141:25 143:2	312:21 313:4	83:18 84:18,24	310:1,22,25
copies 30:6,10,16	143:14 144:22	313:25 315:18	86:3,5,11,13	312:1,6 314:23
copy 39:17	145:6 146:14	317:13 318:13	90:13 94:4,24	315:17 320:2
135:17 161:24	147:17 148:19	322:14 323:1,2	95:7 109:19	328:16,18
251:8,24 339:3	149:1,6 151:12	327:24 330:15	131:8 133:9,9	330:14 331:3,5
core 254:18	159:15,18,19	330:23 331:3,6	148:25 173:4	339:8,13 340:8
Corp 121:6 189:7	161:16,20	331:13,17	185:2,2,5	342:11,15,16
corporation 5:11	164:22,23	334:18 337:16	192:18 194:3	344:4,16
7:24 12:15	165:3 166:22	342:5,6,13,14	195:10 202:2,8	345:19 346:23
,			l j	
<u> </u>				

				Page 440
347:1,2 352:15	Council 6:5	388:15 395:23	281:2	201:15,18
372:16 373:3,6	counsel 7:18,23	396:2 397:1,7	criteria 134:6	203:1,19,25
372:10 373:3,0	20:20 26:2	425:4	236:24 258:3	204:4,18
378:11,14	70:19 100:11	court 6:17 30:10	258:24,25	205:17 206:1
380:4,12,13,15	103:1 104:13	34:10 333:22	290:20 291:6	210:17 212:16
388:22 392:11	104:17 105:6	399:9	364:8 365:12	215:8 217:24
395:6,20	113:18 123:12	cover 28:12	365:13 420:21	269:9 364:16
416:16	129:15,16	169:1 193:14	criticisms 136:14	367:9,11,14
cost-effective	181:13 186:4	195:8,14	cross-examinat	368:25 370:18
130:9 379:23	191:13 193:6	258:10 355:23	2:6,6,8,9,9,10	CTEL 380:24
cost-to-service	200:25 215:16	covered 41:21	2:14,14,16,17	381:3,12,14
283:8	216:1 245:12	195:10	2:19,19,20,23	382:10,18
costly 204:19	250:5 253:20	covers 234:5	2:23,24,24 3:5	383:14,15
costs 58:12 60:20	285:18 309:4	254:25	3:6 13:4,12	384:3,5,5,11
81:22 82:3,7,15	309:14 313:17	CPCM 211:18	18:24 62:15	386:2 387:6,17
85:6 90:21,22	317:5,21	212:5	72:22 73:1 84:1	CTs 68:12,15
94:3 95:15,22	321:15 330:3	CPW 90:6 291:9	98:18 113:13	271:1 351:7
193:21,24	338:8,13,23	293:15,17	113:22 114:9	cue 162:19 163:7
195:1,4 201:24	368:5	330:25 345:6	168:3,10	240:10,12,14
220:6 221:9	count 154:25	345:15	171:15 182:11	240:16,19,21
223:4,5 233:3,6	197:1 415:9	CRA 160:10	182:17 186:5	240:25 369:10
233:13,25	counted 287:19	318:8 352:25	190:2,7 216:4	371:13,21,25
234:5 235:16	309:22	CRC 1:21	254:1 319:10	372:12,21,25
235:18 238:24	County 86:23	create 129:19	319:14 330:1	373:8 374:20
248:23 255:4	88:1	130:3 160:24	332:18 339:1	374:21 378:13
255:16 265:4	couple 9:13	161:1,5 366:19	361:14 363:17	378:15 385:20
265:15 266:10	34:20 47:19	366:20 371:23	363:22 366:6	390:2 391:5,12
266:12,18,24	75:1 91:24	created 274:21	399:8,25	cued 162:14
267:6,6,25	105:24 114:15	creating 160:21	400:14 413:24	163:1
268:11 269:18	164:5 171:13	202:22 395:16	cross-examining	cull 260:11
269:23,24	209:20 210:8	creation 420:14	165:11,12	culling 259:8
270:3,8,17	216:7 230:14	credit 111:2,9,10	cross-state 33:20	cumulative
285:12 286:1,7	230:15 231:5	111:10,15,22	49:2 50:2	185:17 225:11
286:10,11	248:17 250:18	198:3 236:4,7	crossing 209:20	225:14,15,15
291:11 292:8,8	250:20 261:2	236:10,14,18	414:11	226:4,5 229:16
292:9,16 296:2	262:21 279:13	237:17,20,20	CRR 1:21	229:25
296:18 301:7	287:16 297:4	250:11,12	crypto 175:23	cumulatively
309:12,16	311:13 363:21	251:1,2 252:15	CSAPR 33:20,21	225:24
310:14,21,24	399:12 400:12	252:20 305:15	35:10,11 36:10	curiosity 241:25
311:2 312:22	401:3,16 417:9	336:24,24	37:23	curious 178:21
312:25 313:6	424:9	415:24	CSO 50:7 51:5,6	179:18 266:4
320:11 334:9	course 14:5 45:20	credits 213:11,23	CSOSG2 48:25	372:10
334:11,15	202:25 226:5	237:21,24	CSOSG3 49:1	currency 175:23
340:2,22	263:17 337:8	238:4 239:9,10	CT 26:16 73:7	current 33:25
373:11 392:17	377:6 379:16	241:14 252:16	77:16 78:24	96:20 109:7
394:22	383:13 386:3	255:8 256:15	116:17 200:22	145:19 151:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Tage 111
151:22 215:5	144:6 146:24	341:2 347:3	425:10	344:3 408:1
284:8 315:8	147:14 174:25	364:17 366:10	day 33:12 124:8	419:23
320:3,25	175:23 177:6,7	cycles 255:22	146:17 196:20	decisions 100:3
351:10 364:8	177:22 213:7	259:23 261:19	316:15 324:13	121:14 179:16
422:5	244:13 246:19	311:23 351:7	324:20 366:13	220:12 263:2
currently 38:6	303:17 308:12	cylinder 275:22	366:22 367:23	348:10 400:20
63:12 70:15	311:10 315:17	288:20 291:13	373:1 377:1,4	deck 327:11
108:8 118:19	351:16 407:2	341:21 342:1	378:6,10,17	decks 122:5
131:20 139:19	418:24	311.21 312.1	379:2,4 382:9	decline 232:2,5
165:23 192:3	customers'	D	382:23 409:7	235:17 320:2
195:1 215:4	144:12 146:22	D 2:5 12:6 59:9	409:10 420:23	321:10 322:13
401:10 417:18	147:2 356:9	D.C 34:6	days 262:25	declined 232:6
417:22 423:21	cycle 77:10 78:23	daily 151:16	350:5,6,17	244:21 333:12
curtailment	89:25 90:3,7,17	347:19	351:18,19,19	declines 234:14
379:4	90:22 112:16	data 12:20 20:7	424:19	320:8
curve 232:14,14	140:25 199:7,9	25:21 36:10	de-rate 325:16	declining 283:9
232:17 234:18	202:14 203:1,2	39:3 42:10,14	de-rated 325:5	283:10 292:10
323:25 324:1	202:14 203:1,2	42:15,25 49:17	415:10	322:21 324:1
323:25 324.1	203:12,17,21	61:24 72:10	deadline 52:18	324:24 327:18
curves 234:12,13	203:23 204:0,7	94:21 97:10	249:23	328:5 333:15
234:16 301:5	204.22 203.12	102:2,19,20	deal 14:12	decrease 54:19
customer 109:20	206:4,9,16	105:4 114:2	dealings 178:1	56:5 352:1
174:15,20,24	207:8,17,18	148:12 155:9	debt 296:5	decreasing 55:25
175:3 176:3,10	208:11,23	167:20 175:14	decade 88:15	56:3 60:16
176:13,14,16	208:11,23	175:23 176:7	191:7,8	283:6 284:3,3
176:23 178:19	210:14,15	180:7,7 181:24	decades 146:22	deducted 52:11
179:20 184:24	210:14,13	183:10 214:11	147:14	deep 68:22
245:20 246:17	213:25 215:1	215:21 275:10	December 92:20	deep 08.22 defer 237:4
246:23 300:7	216:18 255:23	298:2 322:11	152:20 155:19	242:22 289:25
345:5	256:6 261:3	322:12 327:7	156:17 159:2	290:2
customer-gene	262:1,16,17	335:16 336:1	193:20 400:25	defers 343:15
244:8,9	263:8 264:6,23	336:13 344:22	401:8,9 416:6	deficient 32:15
customer-insta	265:12,13,16	361:2 408:4,14	decide 108:4	defined 146:14
244:11	265:23 266:5,6	413:16 424:8	270:16	407:24
customer-owned	266:11,13,16	424:12,17,24	decided 183:6	definitely 121:16
244:11	266:17 267:16	425:1	401:12	299:15 334:5
customer-side	268:2,4,22	database 48:17	decision 100:21	373:18
247:9	270:25 271:5	datacenter 179:1	100:22,25	definition 129:22
customer-sided	271:11 278:9	date 9:9 76:8	122:8,9,13,14	152:25 272:2
244:10 247:5	278:16,17,22	107:1,25 118:6	122:15 124:10	314:9 380:17
customers 5:14	278:23 294:23	336:4 405:10	126:14,17	definitive 74:4
8:8 76:5 81:9	294:25 311:25	406:12,17	127:19 152:2	99:20
83:18 92:1,12	312:2 326:18	424:17	214:25 241:10	definitively
94:9,13 102:16	328:2 339:8,19	dated 106:24,25	257:25 260:18	162:13
128:1 131:20	339:23,24	dates 107:20	260:24 315:10	degrading 385:8
132:6,15 133:5	340:3,6,13	189:10 323:3	343:12,16	degree 144:14
152.0,15 155.5	5 10.5,0,15		3 13.12,10	augi ce i i ni i
	1	•	•	•

				Page 442
148:25 150:2	dependent	designation	310:2	236:20 241:14
179:7 421:10	304:17	390:24	developer 370:17	246:8 248:24
delay 117:25	depending 22:17	detail 107:23	378:11 379:12	254:20,23,24
118:1	69:12 178:15	111:7 192:24	380:12	255:25 256:3,4
delayed 107:22	236:19 267:14	223:1 224:11	developers 379:6	256:4,8,15
delays 400:24	373:7	249:1 289:2	380:14	257:1,2,2,2,6
deliverability	depends 45:6	296:16 406:21	developing	257:18 259:20
382:15 397:9	117:21 374:23	detailed 209:23	105:10 131:8	259:22,22,25
397:13	377:5	254:23 257:3	171:5 179:13	260:4,9,12
delivery 106:21	depicted 335:3	details 25:6	development 6:8	265:7 274:14
107:10,16	deployed 331:21	33:14 101:11	8:20 28:20	274:17 276:10
107.10,10	deproyed 331.21 depreciate 284:8	248:2 266:14	129:10,20,22	280:6,11,16
152:17,25	292:19	266:21,22	136:7 405:24	281:1 284:13
153:3,6 154:10		271:21 276:5	406:25	
154:25 156:2	depreciated 126:3	277:6 287:7	developments	284:14 301:3,4 301:7 302:8
157:8 158:21	depreciates	289:11 297:20	31:14 33:6 84:7	314:10 315:20
159:5 160:1	283:11	300:24		315:21 318:15
196:9 307:9	depreciation	deterioration	develops 405:24 diagram 341:22	319:20 323:22
308:1,14	126:4 192:3,6	32:9	dialog 236:12	319.20 323.22
349:21 387:14	192:10 282:13	determination	dialogue 294:7	327:23,25
397:10 400:17	282:20 283:16	120:13 176:2	difference 98:8	341:17,19
400:22 414:15		220:2 236:25	197:22 275:11	343:7 344:10
	283:19,21,25			
415:3,25	285:9 292:9,22	299:18 313:2	332:3 416:19	354:9 366:15
delta 300:13	292:23 293:3	365:5 381:15	differences 180:9	366:16,16
demand 74:25	293:14,18	determine	different 13:25	367:17,22
75:3 184:8,16	depth 287:8	102:15 183:15	22:12 23:9	369:3 375:19
184:18,20	DER 169:16,17	244:23 245:14	40:12 48:3,3	377:23 405:1
214:10 246:9	169:19,21,23	297:15 343:21	70:2,12 74:2	405:22 408:20
246:10 289:23	247:9 248:22	375:16 376:1	76:19 79:2	409:8,13,13
302:24,25	derived 252:21	378:11	85:17 115:7	410:13,21
314:13 343:10	DERs 131:18	determined 55:4	117:3 121:13	418:2,5,7,8
351:17 352:7	132:1,2 242:13	55:8 95:25	121:15 122:4	differential 380:5
356:9 357:13	247:5 248:6	309:23,25	138:8 139:2	380:12,13
381:20 383:19	describe 137:5	313:14 384:4	140:16 146:8	differentiate
385:4	174:23 232:12	determines 54:22	146:23 178:4	235:5 340:2
demands 384:22	364:1 365:8	55:21	179:2 184:23	differently 179:3
demonstrate	404:11	determining	185:3 210:9	357:11
380:4	described 24:9	107:17 342:15	211:10 212:19	differing 232:9
demonstrated	141:4 147:25	345:10 346:6	218:2 222:10	difficult 74:4
229:10	301:14 416:21	379:21 380:24	222:11,12	116:25 124:4
demonstrating	describes 140:7	421:17	226:9,11	332:11 383:8
229:12	describing	develop 169:2	228:22 229:19	difficulty 92:16
deny 265:6	292:13	developed 91:21	231:13 232:1	dig 320:24
department	description	102:9 111:20	234:5,6,7,16,22	dinner 316:12,17
111:19 112:7	202:14 285:19	161:10 169:3	235:2,14 236:1	338:7 358:23
121:6	designate 31:25	173:1 239:2	236:11,18,19	direct 2:5,8,13,16
	l	l		I

				Tage 443
2:18,22 3:3,5,8	251:24 318:25	dispatch 46:12	document 38:23	218:11 246:22
3:10 12:9 72:4	319:5 334:21	54:8 57:13,17	48:5,9 79:8	downwind 32:9
81:25 126:23	335:11 336:4	57:20 267:22	97:8 168:24	54:15
164:20,21	363:9 425:17	272:18 273:4	194:10 196:8	dozens 262:24
167:11 181:18	discretion 14:5	280:21 288:14	197:10 200:11	DPo 387:6
188:23 218:20	43:24	302:9 325:4,9	200:15 207:23	Dr 163:2 164:3
271:22 294:16	discuss 29:18	dispatchability	321:21,25	165:1 398:15
318:4 360:21	63:6 121:12	207:11	326:7,14 327:1	412:12
362:20 383:5	131:14 134:13	dispatchable	327:3 329:11	drafted 161:2
383:17 396:21	137:5 139:15	214:12,17,18	329:13 338:16	dramatically
398:13 413:9	164:15 165:2	324:23	documentation	328:16
directed 75:11	259:7 299:17	dispatched 197:7	215:21	draw 324:4
78:19	discussed 64:25	264:24 272:14	documents 43:19	drive 384:1
direction 159:25	89:18 120:25	274:15 302:2,4	101:1 102:9	driven 320:6
311:4 350:20	131:2 141:4	340:14	215:22 328:25	drivers 332:5
399:2	171:23 184:22	dispatches	329:3,9 349:8	driving 235:9
directional 374:3	254:5 278:8	302:13	doing 64:19	421:22
directionally	421:21	dispatching	66:25 67:1 68:6	dropped 274:7
211:10 308:16	discusses 89:17	288:15	73:3 121:19	DSM 170:16,18
308:19 311:8	140:7	disposition 22:17	132:19 133:6	170:19,23
312:4,7 314:14	discussing 62:24	distill 255:9,19	142:12 150:4	170:17,23
332:10 350:16	119:12 139:12	distinct 104:10	152:14 178:13	due 124:19 409:7
351:9	226:14 227:25	369:24	178:16 184:10	409:9
directions 152:3	228:25 250:24	distinction	273:21,22	duly 12:7 72:2
directly 15:12	301:21 332:21	158:16 354:11	285:23 292:6	167:9 181:16
27:4,9 160:2,24	337:13 387:18	368:19 372:5	312:9 316:9	188:21 318:2
161:1 242:10	406:22 420:20	410:20	337:25 344:7	360:19 362:18
253:9 296:19	discussion	distinguishable	373:12 375:7	398:11 413:7
311:18 420:9	114:17 117:23	154:23 325:22	375:12 375:7	dumb-sounding
director 398:19	133:3 150:11	distributed 132:1	414:12 418:15	380:20
413:13	164:12,24	132:5 241:19	dollar 84:18	duplicative 258:6
directs 121:21,23	236:5 248:14	241:20 246:17	91:13 93:22	duration 257:11
disagree 407:13	248:21 249:2	distribution 82:7	305:13	365:21
disappear 159:2	253:4 271:24	92:4 248:23,24	dollars 331:15	
disapproval 34:2	276:12 279:21	360:25 403:19	352:16	E
34:14	298:8,9 336:3	diverse 81:12,13	door 120:11	E 2:1 3:1 226:10
discharge 69:22	342:7,19 348:6	81:14 258:11	doors 123:18,18	ear 374:12
disclose 104:13	377:11 386:16	258:14 263:18	double 194:4,21	earlier 98:23
disclosing 395:12	400:13,18	diversity 207:11	downgrading	131:2 139:12
discovery 73:17	421:20	263:21	177:16	142:19 143:14
115:17,24	discussions 63:25	divestiture	download 43:7	155:10 156:8
142:3 143:18	65:7 112:23	114:24 116:13	48:19	160:15 161:15
145:13 150:8	133:1 137:13	divide 282:14	downloaded	218:17 221:8
168:17,17,25	137:22 160:8	divided 283:23	42:25 43:10	226:9 235:25
189:14,17	171:7 176:16	division 306:20	downside 303:16	236:2 240:8
199:17 217:22	379:11	docket 326:11	downward	250:24 251:6
_				
L				

				Tage 444
278:8 279:7	61:15 205:4	80:24 81:4	351:5,16 352:1	45:5,11,17,17
291:20 294:19	264:24 272:14	183:7 249:25	353:1,4 356:4	45:23 47:4 48:3
298:6 320:13	272:18 288:15	261:24 266:6,8	356:20 386:11	48:15 49:12,15
324:8 328:4	economics 60:19	efficiently 45:9	387:22,23	50:5,7,23 51:5
334:10 336:10	economist 60:2	45:14 202:22	ELCC-specific	52:1,5,8,18,24
337:13 342:20	60:25 167:15	efforts 219:4	358:11	52:24 54:4
348:15,16	economy 180:10	EIA 183:11	ELCCs 198:22	55:22 58:12,24
365:11 367:3	ECR 227:10,11	254:19 340:1	217:9 322:13	61:23
374:4 385:24	227:19 302:5	EIA's 254:19	328:4 351:10	emitted 44:11
386:12,12	315:3,9 320:2	257:6	352:14 356:14	emitting 149:16
387:18 389:4,9	education 125:11	eight 179:20	356:16	160:19 421:12
389:18 395:1	effect 17:18	199:20 292:18	election 401:3,15	emphasis 130:10
399:15 400:13	18:17 19:10,15	eight-year	electric 5:11 7:23	employed 15:8
402:5 403:8	33:15 34:23	268:22	12:14 14:13,14	15:12 72:8
416:22 419:15	35:11 38:6 57:8	either 7:13 27:16	17:10 50:25	318:6 362:22
420:21 421:8,9	63:12 223:22	54:23 60:22	136:11 191:1	362:24
early 24:20	246:23 282:7	61:14 117:4	296:11,12	employee 159:15
177:24 257:14	292:4 312:6	139:4 142:23	362:24 375:8	159:17
288:9 400:25	314:17,22	159:12 189:16	electrically	employer 72:7
401:4,6 425:2	345:11,13	294:11 295:8	392:22	181:20,22
earnings 150:24	346:3 369:11	304:12 318:21	electricity 31:7	189:5 360:24
151:6,11,11,22	effective 85:15	319:1,4 370:25	302:20 354:3	
ease 20:18 29:18	86:18 196:11	381:21 396:6	354:10	employment 188:25
easier 22:6 39:5	196:24,25	397:15	electrification	enables 354:10
	258:17 345:8	EKPC 136:12	169:14 170:10	enacted 111:3
easily 154:16 East 5:16 136:12	373:22 388:22	elaborate 313:10	electronic 1:4 7:4	
296:21	393:13	ELCC 85:12,19	30:19,22 317:2	encompasses 293:2
Eastern 80:24		195:24 196:1,8	electrons 81:23	
81:4	effectively 142:24 212:2	196:10,11	eligible 236:14	encompassing 269:23
easy 28:7 101:5	223:8 275:23	190.10,11	238:12,16	
388:14 397:1	278:19 304:15	198:8 210:24	239:10,25	encouraged 213:4
economic 57:13	323:5 343:13	210:24 216:14	241:15 244:8	ended 208:13
109:19 126:14	350:10 373:15	216:20,21,23	245:18,20,21	302:1 415:17
126:16 129:10		217:2,5,13	255:7	418:20
120:10 129:10	effects 61:7 170:19	231:13 313:12	else's 158:14	ends 116:13
139:10,22	efficiencies	313:13 314:8	email 10:8 30:13	191:24 249:25
140:9 141:7	172:16,16	319:23 320:2,7	embed 267:1	322:21
172:15,15,24	efficiency 182:25	320:13,16,16	embed 207.1	energy 15:7 31:5
172:15,15,24	183:3,4,15,16	320:13,10,10	270:13	80:25,25 81:5,6
175:13 176:5,7	183:23 185:3,6	320.20,23	emergency	83:9 92:17
176:19,22	221:10,18,25	324:24 325:2	381:10,13	93:15 95:16,24
180:7 241:21	222:8,20 223:6	325:10 326:8	emission 21:15	108:25 111:2,2
265:7 267:22	224:7 246:10	326:11,15,22	45:3,15,16	111:20,21
271:16 273:4	334:12,17	327:6 332:22	60:20	111.20,21
274:19 288:14	335:4 340:13	334:7 349:7	emissions 21:14	114:24 115:1
economically	efficient 45:8	350:1,3,8,18,24	40:14 43:21	116:13 118:11
Conomically	cincient 43.0	330.1,3,6,16,24	70.17 73.21	110.13 110.11
	I	ı	I	I

				Page 443
119:1 146:5,16	368:25 369:12	289:24 356:5	388:11	368:10 400:10
147:16,20	417:9,11,16	370:13	equity 296:6	400:11 403:1,2
152:16 172:14	418:17,23	entirety 157:7	equivalent 52:10	414:6,7
172:15,16	422:1	287:3 307:14	error 299:25	event 117:24
174:13 182:25	engage 66:18	415:3 416:14	escalating 346:6	423:6
183:3,4,15,16	137:12,17,20	416:17	escalations	eventually
183:23 184:17	engaged 142:10	entities 295:20	233:14	162:22 405:21
184:19 185:3	142:11 295:7	304:21 392:22	especially 211:11	everybody
191:24 202:7	engine 340:18	entitlement	253:5 288:9	425:14
202:21,22	engineering	155:18	315:19	everyone's 334:8
203:8 205:10	256:24 257:3	entity 392:20	essence 365:14	evidence 7:16
205:12,14	260:11	394:5	367:21 411:17	18:23,23 19:5
212:22,24	engineers 174:15	entry 7:17	essentially 37:6	19:23 142:9,18
213:13,23	174:24 175:3	environmental	40:15 202:7	321:21 328:25
215:4,6 221:10	176:15,23	12:13 14:17,23	203:20 204:3	363:11
221:18 222:8	177:8	15:16,22,23	219:5 223:22	evolving 256:12
222:14,20	enhanced 227:11	16:13,22,25	234:4,13	exact 82:8 86:4
223:14,15,20	298:21 299:4	17:10,17 18:17	252:19 273:3	137:18 273:20
224:7 231:13	enhancement	32:24 63:3	278:22 282:14	351:7
236:3,6,13,16	277:17	66:11 68:18	291:11 313:14	exactly 90:24
236:25 237:16	enlargement	69:3,17 119:12	345:9 366:11	92:23 126:1
237:19,20	425:2	119:17 126:19	367:8,11,15	132:12 156:7
238:2,9 239:1	ensure 148:10	314:25	establish 294:20	156:19 178:2
240:24 241:15	ensuring 136:21	envisioned	estimate 74:9	248:3,19
241:19,20	252:14 421:25	357:24	117:12,19	278:24 323:4
245:24 246:2,3	entailed 78:17	EPA 17:4,9 20:20	246:13 247:11	371:16,25
246:10,11	entails 14:20	27:15 31:18,24	371:16 372:1	388:19,21
247:21 251:4	enter 118:22	32:13,14,18	estimates 131:8	Examination 2:5
267:20 272:15	119:2 371:12	33:9 34:12,20	255:11	2:8,10,11,11,12
273:5,9,10	424:16	34:21 38:7	estimating 73:17	2:13,16,18,20
274:18 277:9	entered 107:6	42:10 54:21	evaluate 15:15	2:21,22,25 3:3
277:17 280:17	417:8	66:15,18,19,24	111:15 135:21	3:5,6,8,8,10,10
281:3 286:4	entering 372:21	67:8 68:6 85:5	160:25 180:6	3:11 12:9 72:4
287:24 288:12	372:25 417:11	145:18 315:8	245:18 409:23	128:17 133:16
288:13 289:17	enters 374:20,21	353:14 411:2	evaluated 18:16	135:8 164:7
289:22 297:5	entertain 236:12	411:11	222:23	167:11 181:18
297:13 298:23	entire 14:23	EPS 151:5 152:1	evaluates 311:15	188:23 299:11
302:7,16 303:2	15:25 22:7	equal 308:18	evaluating 32:24	309:8 318:4
303:18,23	147:7 156:24	350:7,23 351:9	127:6 134:7	349:3 360:21
320:7 334:11	195:5 206:22	351:17,25	160:22 247:4	362:20 368:7
335:4 337:3	222:14,15	416:15	evaluation 61:2,7	398:13 403:3
346:12,13,17	223:4 225:16	equation 385:16	61:13 291:3	413:9 414:8
347:7,10,12	286:9 343:4	385:23	406:23 409:18	422:22
353:12,23	347:22 415:2	equipment 60:11	410:11	example 84:8,9
354:2,10,19	entirely 95:2,5	60:13 61:15	evening 316:13	223:12 234:2
357:14 368:1	270:4,6 283:15	233:25 373:17	363:24 368:9	239:23 246:10
L				

				Page 446
248:15 255:18	155:9 191:14	ovnostations	ovnloining 125:0	245:10.22
259:21 260:17		expectations 172:25	explaining 125:9 explanation	245:19,22 272:6 354:19
	191:15,16			
313:23 314:24	193:7,9,22	expected 60:1	275:11 279:18	374:5 389:20
410:5 411:12	194:10,11	125:16 292:3	339:22 410:19	facility 36:14,21
411:13	195:20,20,22	347:24 406:17	exposure 301:12	43:10 269:10
exceed 304:19	196:6,17	expecting 235:17	301:22,25	364:11 365:10
343:14	200:23,24	384:16 411:11	303:12 304:11	368:18 371:18
exception 10:24	201:2,12	expense 87:20	306:13	372:2,9 378:3
46:20 424:18	203:15 209:23	190:12 192:10	exposures 207:10	390:21 391:7
excerpt 89:2 91:6	216:10,13	265:19 266:1	express 352:19	fact 19:11 29:23
excess 304:14	226:10,20,24	270:9	expressed 83:1	47:8 50:23
305:13 352:16	253:5 290:21	expenses 190:17	expressly 271:22	65:21 103:24
383:22 416:14	329:21,21	192:3,22	extend 126:13	118:16 142:2
exciting 62:23	330:4 332:21	267:25	131:9 224:3	142:13 144:3
114:14	exhibits 4:1	expensive 78:23	extended 131:4	229:12 235:17
exclude 212:10	13:20 96:7	90:3,9 203:7,8	172:16 224:9	274:13 292:21
213:6 311:16	exist 74:21 84:15	206:17,18	extends 224:1	294:20 296:17
excluded 157:8	389:10 423:19	210:16 283:3	334:17	300:2 312:2
192:20 193:2	existed 130:20	330:22 379:25	extension 126:6	314:7 324:17
exclusively 18:2	150:10	388:16	extension-relat	324:19 336:6
272:25 417:6	existing 21:19	experience 31:21	233:2	336:16 421:9
excuse 9:2 216:20	22:10 56:1	70:9 119:11,11	extent 16:1,22	factor 23:11 24:1
243:20 246:3	74:20 86:24	119:25 420:5	17:20 66:21	25:2,8 26:17
excused 11:7	88:1 116:19	421:7,16	104:24 130:19	27:17 46:13,21
70:24 166:3,11	127:22 220:7	experiencing	134:11 154:12	47:7 53:25 54:1
180:19,20	220:23 373:20	233:11 235:11	154:13 175:15	54:2 84:13 85:7
187:10 316:4,6	exists 154:8	expert 17:19 69:3	193:25 287:11	149:22 210:21
359:21 361:24	421:24 423:22	111:12 237:5	293:24 298:1	217:18,23
397:23 412:12	423:23	expertise 121:19	344:24 392:7	218:2 240:2
executed 99:21	expand 179:17	122:11 222:9	extra 239:3	252:20 265:16
101:2 404:1	183:2	257:5	305:23 353:3	284:12 421:22
executive 15:6	expanded 131:17	experts 121:20	extra-high 375:8	factored 217:14
148:25 149:8	expanded 131.17 expansion 139:8	178:17 420:19	extract 266:24	factors 25:15
149:14 150:2	140:8 141:6	expired 145:18	extract 200:24 extreme 172:17	46:9 117:22
150:12 151:10	173:5,23	explain 14:20	eye 355:8	241:8 280:3
151:18 160:18	275:24 276:10	66:20 92:23	Cyc 333.6	323:24
421:10,15	340:18	155:21 156:7	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	factually 26:13
exercise 186:10	expect 41:6 73:21	157:3,4 185:10	F 43:11 261:22	fair 110:3 116:11
254:18	112:13 116:11	201:23 220:14	262:7 280:2	130:5 141:17
			face 152:11,21	
exhibit 4:3,3,4,4	116:16 118:6	223:9 252:13 276:18 277:5	153:24 154:2	203:9 215:9 332:10 416:24
4:5,5,6,7 62:4,4	266:9 314:15		158:15 160:16	
75:1 89:1,22	384:14,16	289:9 339:21	414:20	fairly 199:9
91:12 93:2,22	expectation	355:15	facilitate 395:10	326:6 328:9,10
95:13 96:12,14	95:21 116:7	explained 87:21	facilities 139:9	352:23 355:3
98:15,15	249:20 310:18	124:3 278:16	140:8 141:6	fall 130:2 172:23
113:25 114:1	310:23	309:20 337:17	140.0 141.0	173:1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				1490 117
falling 320:4	370:22	165:20	291:13 293:1,2	67:8 72:2 74:7
familiar 16:4	fast 118:14	filed 9:8,12 10:20	415:24	101:16 119:16
17:8 20:22 21:5	favorable 61:15	19:21 84:5	financially	123:22 143:21
21:6 24:15 25:3	273:9 411:12	85:19 112:14	222:23	152:24 153:5
25:14 32:3,14	favorite 334:9	128:23 141:25	financials 334:25	153:20 154:5
33:9,22 35:18	feasibility 328:16	144:25 145:4	financing 296:11	158:9 166:14
36:3 37:19	367:24 369:20	170:5,5,22	296:18 377:7	167:9 169:3
42:15,25 43:6	371:10 373:2,2	183:11 321:9	407:8,9	171:25 181:16
48:22,24 53:2	390:17 391:6	files 334:21,22	find 22:6 80:7	182:22 188:21
54:21 73:25	391:16	filing 63:18	116:1 153:10	200:16,20
76:7 77:5 82:21	feature 21:3	101:23 125:3	154:23 172:1	203:15 205:1
82:25 84:20	February 153:4	170:21 171:2	207:23 217:21	213:3 216:8
85:13 111:1	fed 183:24	221:4	258:18 260:5	221:16,17
119:20 135:16	federal 32:19	filings 100:8	263:22 270:13	231:11 243:9
136:16 172:7	66:7,9 69:10	101:20	309:22 315:16	250:20 255:13
173:3 196:1	202:10 281:2	fill 156:25 208:17	328:5 334:22	279:16 287:18
197:16 236:6	fee 190:14	208:17 274:6	finding 104:6	288:4,19,20
242:18 244:2,8	feed 359:8	278:18 343:12	findings 243:21	290:14 291:7
245:6,7 296:10	feedback 213:3	418:19	365:1	292:7 307:19
296:14,17	220:16	filled 110:4	finds 109:16	308:13 312:9
321:7 327:3	feel 13:23 14:3	filter 66:25 67:4	fine 11:9 14:8	318:2 329:11
368:23 387:15	138:21 153:15	final 17:5,10 22:4	61:10 70:25	330:17 332:20
403:5	175:16 209:19	37:22 61:9	97:21 101:17	339:25 340:12
familiarity	249:22	64:13 65:1,20	105:25 134:4	342:1 345:15
403:24	feeling 199:21	65:22 67:15,17	139:20 161:25	345:21,22
family 416:10	felt 176:10,12	84:8,13 90:15	188:3 200:1	346:4 348:7,11
fantastic 39:23	262:10	101:1 122:8,13	290:1,8 330:7	360:19 362:18
far 20:11 22:2	FERC 306:15,17	122:14 160:12	382:7,25	369:21,25
62:23 63:13	306:21 326:11	160:13,14,17	finish 70:6	376:25 390:18
67:14 80:6	327:6 365:3	365:5 374:19	119:14	398:11 401:2
102:16 114:14	390:16	378:19 379:18	finished 287:17	413:7
114:14 117:5	FERC-approved	407:20 409:24	297:1	first-year 185:6
118:20,23	423:21	410:10 425:18	finishes 249:20	firsthand 421:16
129:1 130:21	fictional 274:20	425:21	finite 222:18	fit 49:6 255:12
133:1 138:20	figure 78:7,11	finalized 65:10	FIP 32:19 57:6	259:17 311:23
139:7 158:24	110:7 230:21	67:14	FIPs 33:10	fits 49:4
164:24 173:20	247:15,16	finally 54:13	fire 334:2	five 31:19 33:1
176:25 177:20	270:16 275:16	152:5 161:13	firm 181:23	40:22 46:19
177:20 190:24	288:20 291:14	165:10	182:24 288:8	118:15 143:24
192:18 219:25	311:8 320:21	finance 72:9	417:9,11	153:3 154:3,6
269:9 277:6	341:20,23	151:2,3	first 10:25 11:15	158:20 173:21
289:4 304:13	348:7,9 378:11	financial 127:18	12:7 13:5,22	178:19 208:14
304:13 307:21	379:13 410:16	192:7,14,15	14:12 35:18	276:13,14
389:3,3 390:12	figures 108:23,23	222:25 223:19	36:14 38:1	277:15,25
392:1 407:6	108:24 333:3	224:6,12,13	41:18 48:21	278:5 298:16
farther 66:12	file 68:5 112:13	289:6 290:19	65:11 66:22	302:8,13 342:3
-				

				Page 448
346:4 400:7	425:17	format 02.0 00.2	frame 69:4 119:7	286:24 292:7
five-year 33:5	follows 12:8 72:3	forget 82:8 88:2 115:25	124:21 178:4	292:22 293:2,3
291:8,9	167:10 181:17	forgive 139:13	272:9 401:13	293:14,17,18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	188:22 245:18	form 138:8	409:10	334:16 335:3,5
fix 388:8,14,22			frames 70:3	398:15,17
fixed 95:15	318:3 360:20 362:19 398:12	142:23 143:4 175:22 306:17	Frankfort 1:11	416:13
192:16,17				
193:21,24	413:8	306:21 336:13 336:18	5:5,22 6:6,15 10:11	full-load 45:1
195:1,10	footnote 92:3		- *	fully 81:21 108:2
197:18 198:2,4	196:7,7 244:20	formally 142:23 145:9 336:17	frankly 370:10 395:18	108:3 204:13
198:7 220:7	264:17			232:20 305:2
283:17 286:20	footprint 79:20	format 42:24	free 13:23 14:3	357:10 379:3
286:25 321:2	80:1 130:17	334:24	132:16 158:10	390:6 395:25
349:20,23	147:7 239:24	formed 69:2	202:7 205:10	fun 349:17
350:8	357:3	former 36:24	212:24 305:7	419:11 425:6
fixes 392:9	forced 178:24	forms 306:15	307:19	function 65:7
flat 80:7 284:23	203:18,22	forth 22:7 35:22	frequency 265:15	280:14 368:16
fleet 422:2	204:2,21 205:4	245:1 263:18	355:23	381:6 415:16
flexibility 81:14	205:8 206:3	forward 54:5,10	frequent 264:25	fundamental
261:25 305:4	325:6 334:4	69:5,9 100:5	fresh 207:18	247:2
flip 326:14	forecast 59:14	144:4 173:4	Friday 121:11	further 43:14
339:18	169:1,2,3,9	214:3 255:20	424:12	61:18 96:2
Floor 5:12	170:11,15,17	343:24 386:6	front 39:3 56:14	136:3 141:20
flow 215:6	171:6,23 172:4	422:11	57:1 140:21	178:24 209:16
341:12	172:10,15,15	forward-looking	141:14 142:25	229:7 239:13
flowchart 275:17	172:18 173:3	176:25 255:5	203:1 208:2	239:14 240:17
fluctuation	173:16 174:1	forwarded 43:19	249:23 264:3	242:24 257:1
328:10	175:2,9,19	fossil 333:1,18	339:3	264:1 276:17
fluctuations	176:17 180:8	found 32:14	FRR 154:20,20	293:13 332:15
314:2,3	198:20 232:21	178:1,5 213:22	154:22 164:25	368:2 402:20
focus 16:1,13	246:21,25,25	299:25	401:3,15,25	423:24
33:11	247:10,17,20	foundation	415:4,12,21,22	furtherance
focused 304:5	280:6 389:6	242:20 327:2	fuel 202:7 204:5	137:16,19
folks 9:19 338:8	forecasted	329:7,9	263:20 265:2	Furthermore
425:21	246:12 353:1	foundational	325:23 334:1,3	136:8
follow 17:4 31:14	forecasting	258:20	351:4,12	future 127:23
205:15 216:8	168:23 169:14	four 20:11 69:20	413:14	133:9 180:3
218:19 221:7	169:20 176:5	73:10 74:14	fuel's 333:19	209:17 246:6
275:4 342:10	352:13	119:6 173:13	full 41:17 124:15	263:16 302:5,6
follow-up 105:11	forecasts 173:2	203:23 260:12	147:11 158:20	315:2,15
117:24 169:9	173:10,24	299:21 300:1,6	185:8,11,18	351:17 384:14
174:9 175:24	176:8 177:19	318:19	195:8,14 207:4	389:7 415:11
287:12,13	178:14	four-hour 256:11	222:19,24	futures 247:21
followed 33:5	foremost 182:22	four-step 33:9,16	223:3,3,6,20,25	251:4 302:8
34:2 129:2	foreseen 18:15	four-year 126:5	224:14 252:14	337:3
following 92:19	foresight 280:10	fourth 290:24	252:17 280:7	
323:9 366:2	forever 407:7	297:6	281:19 282:4	G
<u> </u>				

				Tage 447
game 124:18	46:17 47:13,25	325:17 326:17	59:18,24 61:1	421:12
game 124.18 gap 116:12,16,20	48:10,13 49:20	326:18 328:2	63:2,5 65:22	generator 203:4
156:25	49:25 50:3,5,10	339:15,19,24	77:8 78:23	262:6 271:11
GARCIA 200:5	50:13,16,18,21	347:3 351:11	79:16 117:14	304:15 369:12
Garcia-Santana	51:1,7 56:8,12	353:12 364:16	118:25 120:16	370:6,15
2:18,21,22 3:5	56:16,19,25	422:4	120:17 126:24	371:22 375:21
5:11 7:22	57:5 58:7 59:6	gathered 122:2	127:12 136:18	375:21,23,25
103:13,15,18	60:6 61:6,11,13	GDS 181:22	136:20 137:14	378:16,23
104:12,21,24	61:18 62:5 96:4	186:23	137:15 165:12	390:6 391:3,9
105:15,19	168:8 332:16	gen 296:12	177:2 184:18	392:8
134:9 188:6,16	337:23 338:5	general 5:19,20	186:13 229:9	generators 21:19
188:18,24	338:10 361:19	6:12,14 8:3	229:11 237:7	22:11 245:21
190:1 194:6,17	361:20 366:1,2	16:6 24:18	246:1 254:3	260:1 391:16
215:18 242:16	366:7 368:2	33:11 40:8 45:8	276:2 387:10	405:17
242:25 243:1	414:4 426:5	66:11 74:9	389:13,17	generic 79:19
243:18 245:3	gas 20:15 24:19	99:14 107:24	395:19 396:16	82:12 87:21
245:10 309:5,9	25:1 26:16	108:5 112:14	generated 40:5	138:3 238:1
315:22 316:3,7	36:21 55:11,16	117:18 123:20	81:23 239:19	239:7,21
316:22 317:6,9	73:7 84:14 85:7	124:5 125:4	246:18 353:20	309:20 310:3
317:22 318:5	88:17,19,20	129:3,7 130:18	generating 17:2	310:10 311:16
319:9 321:19	102:9 125:22	132:2 133:4	17:11 31:7	396:3 408:8
326:24 327:12	136:11 140:25	176:4,5,7	57:12 137:8	generically
327:14 329:1,2	165:15 191:9	196:12 243:5	139:9 140:8	165:14
329:18,19	191:10 199:7,9	248:8 268:20	141:6 245:19	genti 370:24
359:4,15,17,20	199:12 200:22	279:18 314:19	245:21 421:19	genuinely 47:15
359:22 362:15	201:18 202:21	324:5 350:19	generation 81:16	geographically
362:16,21	202:23 204:23	366:4 407:19	83:9 85:8	81:13
363:16 374:12	205:1 212:25	407:22	129:17 132:5	Georgia 181:12
374:17 395:9	216:18,19,23	General's 82:22	137:7 149:16	getting 55:2
397:20	216:24 218:6	114:18 129:15	150:6 151:1	81:22 95:16,23
Garcia-Santan	231:23 232:25	generalist 54:25	165:13 197:3	95:23 119:13
245:15	233:6,9,12	generally 10:21	199:10 230:17	158:9,10
Gary 2:5,6 3:6	234:1 235:23	16:4,11 21:10	232:10 244:10	174:23 179:11
6:3 8:13,13	255:1 256:4	21:18 23:5 24:7	244:12,14	198:25 256:10
10:24 11:17	258:12 259:21	24:16 25:15	246:23 287:21	282:25 290:4
12:1,6 13:10,11	261:3 262:15	27:11 28:16	287:21,22	336:14 352:4
13:13,17 20:5	262:16 264:19	30:21,24 31:8	296:12 313:23	376:18 394:8
21:2,4 26:1,4,8	265:22 266:6	31:19 32:12,24	356:25 357:8	399:22 412:5
26:25 28:3	266:10,12	33:8,15,22	358:3,4 364:16	422:12
29:12,17,20,22	269:1,24	35:18 37:8 40:9	367:19 371:20	Gish 2:5,13 3:8
29:25 30:3,7,12	271:12 273:7,8	40:11 42:16,24	374:6,25 381:8	3:10,11 5:7
30:17,21 35:25	278:8,15,17,21	43:8 44:1 45:4	381:21,23	7:21 11:18 12:4
38:12,13,16,20	278:23 281:1	45:17 46:19	384:17 385:13	12:5,10 13:3
38:24 39:7,18	281:21,23,25	51:21 52:6	385:17,18	17:14,16,25
39:21,23 42:22	282:11 301:5	54:18 55:1,4	388:14,20	18:5,14 19:8,12
43:20,22 46:2	313:23 324:23	58:23 59:9,13	397:10 413:14	19:20,24 20:3
	I	I	l	I

1				1490 100
26:3,7 29:15	115:19 142:8	251:18 317:7	306:5,6 309:10	154:2,7 155:1
30:5 39:17	143:5 154:24	330:5 335:18	316:10 319:11	154:2,7 155:1
43:18,24 58:9	158:25 183:5	360:2,3,4,16,17	322:10 326:25	160:13 165:10
61:12,17,25	211:11 303:10	360:22 361:13	331:7 336:8,14	174:11 178:3
62:1 70:20 78:6	329:9 342:20	362:1,4 397:25	338:4,5 339:10	179:14,16
94:25 95:4	343:8,22,22	399:19 408:8	349:7 352:17	183:7 187:22
96:22,25 97:5	347:17 352:16	411:25 412:2,5	355:15 359:14	189:22 190:15
98:4,11 101:1,5	353:19 372:11	412:16 424:21	364:24 365:4	201:23 203:16
101:8 108:20	373:21 377:15	425:5	377:22 378:20	209:18 211:14
134:6,11,15	381:11,17,18	Glenn 168:18	379:2 380:9,13	214:3 219:11
166:19 167:6,7	381:21 385:7	global 356:17	382:8 385:8	223:11 231:10
167:12 168:2	391:6	go 14:2 22:8	393:21,25	235:12 237:4
180:16,17,19	gives 256:3	30:23 31:20	396:25 400:7	240:11 246:8
180:21 187:20	giving 132:7	38:25 46:1 47:9	401:18,22,25	246:16,21
336:10,12	190:20	47:23 49:24	402:7,7 403:20	249:19 250:18
341:20 398:1,8	Glance 29:22	57:21,25 58:3	410:6,11	253:8,10
398:9,14 399:7	Glass 2:8,12,16	59:14,23,24	418:13	254:17 255:10
408:12,16,21	3:3 5:3 7:19,19	60:1 65:11 69:9	goal 129:19	254.17 253.10 256:14 258:18
412:7,8,12,15	8:1 10:18,20	73:12 89:18	131:12 421:23	258:20,22
412:17 413:4,5	11:12,15,17	93:4 100:17	421:25 422:7	260:7 263:15
413:10,23	26:5,23 30:6,9	101:13 105:22	goes 59:2,2,12,25	264:23 265:13
422:19,20,23	30:15 39:20	105:23 106:13	80:6 82:12	265:14 266:17
423:24 424:22	46:4,16 56:15	113:19 116:23	92:19 106:1	267:2 268:4
425:4	56:18,24 70:23	118:8 122:5	118:3,20 134:6	269:22 271:25
	· ·	127:19 129:11	136:8 151:9	277:21 280:5
give 11:21 71:16 73:11 74:4 83:7	71:10,12,24 72:5,21 89:12	129:18 134:12	169:16,17	280:15 281:25
	93:5,9 96:10,11	134:19 138:20	257:13 283:3	
97:24 124:4,18 132:14 135:6	96:16 97:3,9,12	140:23 160:12	343:20 359:8	282:3,12 283:2 283:17 284:14
159:25 166:22	97:19,23 98:1	173:20 175:8	385:16,23	284:17,18
175:6 181:3	100:12,17	177:2 187:13	419:21	288:3,25
184:3 188:9	100.12,17	187:24 195:14	going 13:19	289:19,19
191:13 198:14	103:13,17	200:7 209:10	19:18 22:7	290:23 292:17
200:25 220:15	113:20,23	215:24 219:16	24:13 28:1	290.23 292.17 292:17
228:11 248:20	113.20,23	219:20,21	30:23 33:13	294:5 295:23
257:21 272:2,3	120:18,23	227:9 230:19	34:18 42:20	296:5 297:1
275:14 279:17	134:1,5 139:5	231:8 232:11	44:1 47:8 54:5	316:13 327:9
279:20 289:1	143:19 146:1	233:15 238:25	54:9 57:24	327:12,16
317:12 330:3	164:4,5,8	241:9 244:18	59:19,20,23,24	329:16 335:22
341:14 344:14	165:25 166:3	245:17 249:14	67:21 73:12	335:25 336:12
354:16 360:8	166:12,18	250:19 252:25	77:12 88:18	339:6 340:14
362:8 376:2	180:24 181:14	256:6 259:18	94:3 100:13	341:11 343:1,2
378:9,17 395:6	181:19 182:10	263:1 265:22	107:17 109:25	351:25 354:25
398:4 412:20	187:8,10,12,15	270:12 272:8	114:19,23,23	351:25 354:25
413:20	188:1,4 191:15	273:10 277:25	115:3,22	364:22 366:14
given 10:12	193:8 201:4,9	278:20 283:17	116:23 138:1	370:15,18
74:14 83:5	215:17 251:16	287:23 289:13	153:16,23	370:13,16
/ 1.17 03.3	213.1/231.10	207.23 207.13	155.10,25	3/1.1,1/
	1	1	'	•

372:14 377:1,5	gotten 98:8	123:25 136:24	H	39:13,15,16
378:13 379:25	219:14 220:24	150:25 161:8	H 5:11 51:13	40:2,3 57:10
380:20 382:22	government	247:21 251:5	261:17,24	75:11 78:21
382:24 384:1	86:24 87:4	256:20 337:3	262:9	82:18 84:21
386:1,6 387:12	grab 46:4	406:22	hac 7:22 426:3	87:24 91:21
387:13 388:8	granularity	groups 186:15	half 23:2 73:11	92:22 109:22
394:18 401:24	358:17	256:20,22	74:14 153:12	111:6 156:12
401:25 405:7	graph 322:19,21	378:4 420:18	199:20 416:8	159:8,9,10,11
407:7,16,17	graphical 322:11	growing 180:10	halfway 143:21	159:14 203:16
410:7 411:7	great 9:16 39:1	growth 176:9,22	244:18 391:4	218:24 219:2,5
414:25 415:2	39:19 116:1	214:10 248:6	hampered 228:1	219:7,19 221:2
415:11 416:16	136:18 168:20	growths 248:5	hand 11:20 71:15	223:1 224:11
416:17 417:22	176:7 253:13	guess 49:23	88:24 98:9	249:4 250:9,16
422:11	272:2 301:12	64:16 73:10	166:21 181:2	253:9 262:22
good 7:19 8:9	400:16 409:17	76:17 88:25	188:8 317:11	272:3 276:3,17
12:1 13:14,15	greater 24:1	126:12 132:10	326:2 360:7	277:4 279:14
14:11,11 33:21	46:22 54:1	147:8 156:18	362:7 398:3	279:21 287:7,8
33:25 34:13,21	234:25 344:4,4	171:25 172:12	407:1 412:19	289:1,25 290:2
35:3 54:12 57:7	greatly 146:24	174:22 177:6,9	handed 155:10	292:11 293:4
59:25 60:9 61:8	greenfield 373:24	177:14 179:25	200:10	293:22 297:19
62:17,22 64:8,9	greenhouse	214:8 245:11	handle 367:25	300:24 317:8
64:21 67:11	20:15	245:11 254:8	371:21	317:10,17
73:4 84:3,4	Gregory 2:18	259:5,8 263:10	handout 91:2	318:1,6 329:6
85:10 98:20,21	188:5,14,20	263:11 266:4	handy 216:11	330:6,7 359:25
98:22 103:18	grid 364:22	267:3,3 271:13	Hang 200:12	360:1 374:4,5
114:11,12	366:15 371:2,5	281:8 295:14	happen 31:24	Harbison 5:4,8
133:18,19	371:18,23	295:15 297:12	124:3 133:3	7:20,21
134:22 168:12	372:3 374:25	307:1 311:19	137:24 150:22	hard 118:14
182:19,22	375:4,6 380:16	323:11 339:14	154:16 217:23	158:23 179:19
185:25 190:9	385:20	341:4 344:6	251:7 355:1	179:22 274:23
190:10 194:13	gross 252:17	347:20 348:7	380:6 382:11	295:24 312:19
202:6 262:12	gross-up 252:8	348:10 349:20	401:7 416:3,21	372:14 379:22
271:23 282:9	252:13,20	352:12 356:10	418:7	388:17 391:14
325:25 333:24	337:15	405:3	happened 150:14	391:22
349:25 363:24	ground 166:9	guessing 230:19	150:21 211:3	Hatton 2:10 7:10
365:10 368:9,9	group 35:3,4,6	400:5	292:25 321:8	8:25 104:19,22
368:10 380:1	35:10,18,23,24	guidance 16:2	happening 290:6	128:16,18
392:7,16	36:12 37:18	65:4,15 67:22	happens 17:23	133:14 317:1
400:10,11	40:16,17 41:25	321:5,10 325:3	94:14 116:14	317:10,15,20
403:1,2 406:24	42:1 46:24,25	339:25 353:9	118:7 120:10	319:11 321:14
407:2 410:4	47:1,2 49:2,3,6	guide 419:13	288:14 371:3,4	321:22 326:5
414:6,7	49:7,10,10	guidelines 364:9	377:3	359:11 399:18
Google 359:13,14	50:11,13 51:2,4	guides 421:6	happy 134:15	Hayes 6:9
gosh 250:22 420:7	51:18,22 52:1	guy 93:7	236:9 397:16	HC 226:25
	61:22,22	guys 67:3 68:6	403:12	he'll 11:18 38:21
gotcha 64:17	121:16 122:1		Haratym 2:22	166:19 188:5
	1	l	I	I

				Page 432
317:8 398:1	248:18 257:3	225:7 233:13	113:20 139:5	328:21
412:17	260:11 307:6	234:6 266:11	182:15 187:8	hosting 367:1
head 41:7 79:1	405:8 406:23	266:19 267:2	188:18 190:1	hot 351:18
218:7 347:20	helped 297:20,21	268:9 284:19	194:6,12,17	hotel 228:2
headers 78:2	318:16 396:10	299:5 315:4	195:19 200:5,9	hour 23:20 24:6
	helpful 20:2	323:20 331:1	200:10 216:3	84:18 91:14,15
heading 244:7,19 315:8	93:20 126:7		242:16 243:1	264:15 266:2
headline 340:6	245:14 248:21	332:1,2 350:5 352:10	243:18 245:3	
	404:24			267:24 339:14
hear 65:17 66:22		highest 45:21,23 387:21	245:16 250:6 309:5 315:22	339:20 341:3 347:24 353:19
128:21 421:8,9	helpfully 108:12			
421:13	helping 13:19	highlight 242:17	316:4,7,16,22	353:22 354:3
heard 14:1 106:6	Hema 6:4 8:15	243:22 245:4	317:6,22 319:9	hourly 184:6
117:17 134:25	168:12 182:19	highlighted 90:6	321:19 326:24	347:19,20,22
218:16 241:12	hesitant 178:8	98:5	327:14 329:2	347:23
298:6 338:11	hesitate 106:16	highlighting	329:19,20,23	hours 196:20
386:14 389:8	hesitation 14:5	89:13 93:10	359:17,22	256:12 267:7
402:13 404:9	hey 138:6 359:12	98:5,9,10	361:18,20	267:13 269:14
414:13 416:21	410:13	highly 138:14	362:15 363:16	269:19 273:10
422:14	hgarcia1@aep	highwriting 98:9	368:21 369:16	350:21 351:21
hearing 1:9 7:1,9	5:13	hired 159:21	371:14 373:1	351:21,23,24
7:12,15 9:21	Hi 73:3 128:20	historical 177:25	373:18 374:8	352:3,5,8 359:9
10:1 18:1 70:24	216:6 319:16	historically 54:2	374:13 375:2	HRSG 262:5
111:23,24	high 26:18 46:12	384:13 390:19	376:5 377:23	Huber 2:15
166:4 193:6	59:18 68:20	390:24	378:22 381:9	180:25 181:10
200:23,24	120:3 144:13	history 180:5	382:3 383:2,8	181:15,20
201:2,12	172:14,24	hit 226:14 229:13	383:24 384:23	182:19 187:10
211:15 336:2,7	173:12,13,15	229:18,20	385:6,15	221:9,13,20
426:7,8	173:19,24	hits 24:7	386:19 387:4	222:7 224:15
heat 45:21	190:24 205:11	hoc 258:4	388:3 389:11	359:25
202:19 203:4,7	205:13 226:19	hold 123:5	390:7,9,14	huge 214:10
257:2 259:25	226:25 235:11	401:10 410:10	391:14,23	hundred 109:4,8
259:25 262:5	240:15 256:6	411:9,17	393:15 394:8	hurdles 30:25
353:16	277:16 283:1	holding 410:15	395:22 397:22	hypothetical
heavily 168:22	292:16 298:9	411:16	399:11,12,20	416:10
331:25 420:4	298:13,14,14	holidays 424:19	402:6,12 405:7	hypothetically
heavy 164:20	298:24 299:5	Honaker 6:9	405:23 410:22	122:18,19
202:10 218:20	300:13 314:22	honest 389:11	hope 14:9 22:8	123:16 138:5
Hector 5:11 7:22	314:23 320:1	391:15	106:11	212:11 384:11
heightened	384:5	honestly 111:16	hopefully 42:18	
214:22	high-cost 231:21	163:25 174:11	45:25 106:12	I
held 51:12 52:18	235:22	Honor 8:9,13	246:22 336:22	idea 73:11 124:5
Hello 128:19	higher 45:5,9,11	10:20 13:7,9,11	425:20	142:5 175:6
help 49:20 65:19	45:15,16,18	61:6,19 70:23	hoping 210:1	177:10 198:14
68:5 110:2	46:23 133:24	83:23 98:17	horizon 70:12	285:20 349:18
142:22 175:5	197:4,7 203:7	101:14 105:19	292:23 347:22	372:12 373:10
196:23 219:23	204:5 207:2	105:21 106:10	horizons 74:2	392:16,16
170.23 217.23	202 207.2	100.21 100.10		
<u>'</u>	•	•	•	•

				1490 100
407:3,5 418:3	393:16 396:24	inclined 329:4	incorporate	indicated 9:23
418:10	397:11 403:21	include 16:25	169:21 175:14	10:12 57:10
identical 163:17	404:8,19	30:12 92:4	175:18 179:6	100:14 242:18
identified 50:9	406:19	122:8 145:5	180:5 246:14	243:3 245:5
375:15 376:17	impacted 379:12	154:22 163:18	286:19	253:22 295:18
377:15 378:21	impacts 90:15	169:11,18	incorporated	311:14 387:12
394:7	91:9 95:7,10	177:23 178:9	170:12 171:4	393:14 418:20
identify 48:8	185:9,11,18,20	190:11 194:24	175:13 245:1	indicates 174:21
49:23 183:22	191:17 194:9	196:3 217:10	incorporates	392:21
195:21 196:24	264:25 411:11	237:21,24,25	285:8	indicating 9:8
239:13 276:10	implement 27:18	254:17 262:10	incorporating	136:5
280:22 376:22	implementation	282:12 312:16	175:1 178:6	indication 298:12
identifying	32:19	393:17	284:9	336:7 342:8
376:18	implemented	included 27:1,4	increase 184:17	indicative 19:6
ignorance 68:10	64:14 423:5	43:7 77:10	184:18 300:19	262:12 349:12
ignorant 125:10	implementing	79:10 82:4,10	350:16,21,22	349:13
ignore 373:11	91:17 419:2	85:2 129:1	350:23 351:25	indices 233:17
II 23:5,22 243:10	implements 63:4	163:21 169:23	352:3,7 421:11	Indiscernible
243:12,12	implicated	169:24 173:24	increased 60:17	8:25
244:4 245:1	106:16 151:17	174:1 176:1	382:1	individual 48:20
Illinois 214:14	151:17 152:2	185:20 192:5	increases 92:4	56:4 69:25
image 276:22	implicit 246:24	194:9 203:11	234:5 352:8	125:14 139:2
imagine 344:12	implicitly 169:21	203:18 209:23	increasing 60:23	151:5 152:2
415:16 416:2	imply 324:3	210:24 213:8	160:18 324:7	372:22 387:19
imbalance	implying 139:14	260:19 270:10	351:17,20	395:21 424:24
357:12	323:19,20	270:16 271:14	352:2	individually
imbedded 267:21	important 93:7	310:1,13	incremental	186:13
immediate 215:5	183:25 207:5,5	338:17 341:3,5	305:14	individuals 83:6
immune 214:23	207:6 304:4	341:6 418:17	increments 320:5	175:11
impact 64:2	310:14 373:4	includes 128:4	incur 195:5	industrial 5:14
67:13 91:17	383:20 392:15	149:9 210:4,6	266:18 268:8	8:7 175:21
95:13 139:3	420:24	210:22 282:20	286:20	industries 129:23
163:6 184:19	imported 381:11	282:20 326:16	incurred 185:6	industry 84:7
184:19 248:23	impose 379:25	340:4,7,24	267:6 269:25	179:15 295:22
262:21 271:17	imposed 233:18	including 14:24	340:17	295:24
271:18 300:9	imposing 268:3	34:14 102:10	index 233:15	infer 244:10
331:8 358:6	impress 206:25	136:10 137:6,7	234:3,4,8,9,10	infinity 414:22
369:6 371:11	impression 293:7	139:9 140:8	235:2	inflation 111:1,3
372:6,6,13,15	improvements	141:5,6 144:9	Indiana 248:15	169:13 233:17
374:11,24	131:14	160:9 171:1	indicate 9:20	234:3 236:17
375:5 378:1,2	in-house 7:23	192:16,17	89:14 140:17	410:5,14,23
390:1,8,13,21	in-person 10:15	222:19 357:22	142:18 155:25	inflationary
391:5,8,10,17	in-service 118:6	380:23	156:4 161:24	233:15 234:10
391:21 392:1,4	incentive 241:9	inclusion 137:6	162:7 163:9	234:24,25
392:6,14,19	422:6	141:5 154:19	391:3 403:18	inform 175:5
393:4,8,11,16	incentives 251:22	421:7	405:4 422:15	315:10 419:23
3,3,1,0,11,10	111001101, 05 20 1.22	.21.7	100.1.122.13	313.10 119.23
	'	•	•	'

136:6 injecting 369:12 installation 55:18 369:14 371:17 373:23 377:19 390:6 405:15 interconnecte 367:4 72:15,17 72:19 78:22,25 79:17 89:14 258:13 126:13 135:10 247:2 102:18,24 250:10 251:24 256:25 259:16 259:12 261:18 103:25 104:6,8 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 122:16 126:10 165:22 137:4 15 122:16 126:10 165:22 137:4 15 183:4,21,22 174:24 182:4,6 182:8 189:17 174:25 183:42 251:10 234:1 220:15,18 221:22 235:1 221:22 235:1 233:10 235:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 374:13 395:12 374:13 395:12 374:13 395:12 375:13 375:14 375:14 395:17 375:16 313:18 375:2 440:22 375:5 315:10 374:13 395:12 374:13 395:12 375:13 318:0 375:14 395:14 375:2 44:25 313:18 375:2 44:25 313:10 375:14 395:14 375:2 44:25 313:18 375:2 44:25 315:10 375:14 336:5 375:2 6:14
142:24 injecting 369:12 injecting 369:12 injection 364:21 367:19,19 369:6405:15 373:23 377:19 373:6,21 32:10 32:10 371:4 163:20 389:25 33:17 163:23 33:17 163:23 33:17 163:23 33:17 163:23 404:2,4 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 373:19 373:14 273:12 285:25 385:17,19 385:17,19 385:17,19 373:11 141:12 373:12 285:25 373:12 285:25 373:12 285:25 373:12 285:25 373:12 395:23 373:13 373:14 373:23 373:14 373:23 373:14 373:23 373:14 373:23 373:14 373:23 373:14 373:23 373:11 373:11 141:12 373:15 373:11 373:11 141:12 373:15 373:11 373:14 373:11 373:15
information 9:19 injection 364:21 133:8 373:23 377:19 interrupting 14:6 16:8 26:13,14 48:16,16 66:24 367:19,19 390:6 405:15 390:6 405:15 interstate 32:11 67:4 72:15,17 72:19 78:22,25 79:17 89:14 371:4 installed 90:16 162:3,8,9,21,23 33:17 79:17 89:14 58:13 126:13 installing 246:22 163:19 389:25 intervening 16:18 98:5 100:6,12 135:10 247:2 150:22 151:5 403:25 404:2,4 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 103:19,21,24 250:10 251:24 240:22,23 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnecting 385:17,19 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 133:0 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 132:18 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 18
16:8 26:13,14
48:16,16 66:24 369:7 370:16 185:16 interconnected 32:16,21 33:10 67:4 72:15,17 371:4 installed 90:16 162:3,8,9,21,23 33:17 72:19 78:22,25 input 45:21 installing 246:22 163:19 389:25 intervening 79:17 89:14 58:13 126:13 135:10 247:2 150:22 151:5 403:25 404:2,4 intervenor 25:4 102:18,24 250:10 251:24 250:12 25:7 240:22,23 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 103:19,21,24 252:7 253:12 256:11 257:11 interconnecting 251:6 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnecting 251:6 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 12:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 38:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 17:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24
67:4 72:15,17 371:4 installed 90:16 162:3,8,9,21,23 33:17 72:19 78:22,25 input 45:21 installing 246:22 163:19 389:25 intervening 79:17 89:14 58:13 126:13 135:10 247:2 150:22 151:5 403:25 404:2,4 403:13,15,17 intervenor 25:4 98:5 100:6,12 250:10 251:24 240:22,23 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 103:19,21,24 256:25 259:16 259:12 261:18 385:17,19 interconnecting 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 38:11 14:12 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 364:25 370:11 38:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instates 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1
72:19 78:22,25 input 45:21 installing 246:22 163:19 389:25 intervening 79:17 89:14 58:13 126:13 135:10 247:2 150:22 151:5 403:13,15,17 16:18 98:5 100:6,12 102:18,24 250:10 251:24 240:22,23 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 103:19,21,24 256:25 259:16 256:25 259:16 259:12 261:18 385:17,19 interconnecting 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 385:17,19 intervenor's 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 135:11 141:12 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 3:12,14 11:5 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instances 417:25 399:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11
79:17 89:14 58:13 126:13 instance 83:16 403:13,15,17 16:18 98:5 100:6,12 135:10 247:2 150:22 151:5 403:25 404:2,4 intervenor 25:4 102:18,24 250:10 251:24 240:22,23 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 103:19,21,24 252:7 253:12 256:11 257:11 interconnecting 385:17,19 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 135:11 141:12 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 12:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 1nputs 182:25 422:12 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instance 817:25 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 384:22 392:17 405:17 244:25 313:18
98:5 100:6,12 135:10 247:2 150:22 151:5 403:25 404:2,4 intervenor 25:4 102:18,24 250:10 251:24 240:22,23 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 103:19,21,24 252:7 253:12 256:11 257:11 interconnecting 251:6 103:25 104:6,8 256:25 259:16 259:12 261:18 385:17,19 intervenor's 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 135:11 141:12 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instances 417:25 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 <t< td=""></t<>
102:18,24 250:10 251:24 240:22,23 404:5,6 405:5,9 39:10 135:13 103:19,21,24 252:7 253:12 256:11 257:11 interconnecting 251:6 103:25 104:6,8 256:25 259:16 259:12 261:18 385:17,19 intervenor's 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 135:11 141:12 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instaces 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:28 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 257:7,9 261:13 inputting 221:22 7:5 12:17 18:3 384:22 intervenors'
103:19,21,24 252:7 253:12 256:11 257:11 interconnecting 251:6 103:25 104:6,8 256:25 259:16 259:12 261:18 385:17,19 intervenor's 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 135:11 141:12 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instances 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 392:17 405:17 244:25 313:18 257:7,9 261:13 inputting 221:22 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Int
103:25 104:6,8 256:25 259:16 259:12 261:18 385:17,19 intervenor's 104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 135:11 141:12 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instances 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:2 15:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 392:17 405:17 244:25 31:18 220:15,18 234:24 253:12 103:20 384:22 interconnections 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's <
104:10,11 277:13 337:14 273:12 285:25 interconnection 135:11 141:12 105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instates 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 384:22 244:25 313:18 220:15,18 234:24 253:12 7:5 12:17 18:3 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's
105:1,2 106:13 347:1 363:6 287:23 295:3 88:9 144:10 143:20 146:2 106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instates 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 7:5 12:17 18:3 384:22 247:22 337:4 306:22 329:14 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 3
106:15 109:13 376:18 377:20 347:4 359:14 163:20 165:18 252:4 120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instances 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 insight 184:3 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
120:12 122:2 394:20 395:11 367:2 386:24 165:21 190:14 intervenors 6:3 122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instates 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
122:16 126:10 396:2 420:18 391:6 410:2 364:25 370:11 8:12,14 11:5 160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instates 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
160:10 165:2 inputs 182:25 422:12 370:15 371:11 13:18 29:16 167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instates 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 instructed 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 220:15,18 234:24 253:12 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
167:23 174:15 183:4,21,22 instances 417:25 373:6,14,16,24 56:14,24 102:5 174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instances 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 instructed 392:17 405:17 244:25 313:18 220:15,18 234:24 253:12 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
174:24 182:4,6 186:14,14 instates 32:18 385:4 389:20 106:18 168:13 182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 instructed 392:17 405:17 244:25 313:18 220:15,18 234:24 253:12 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
182:8 189:17 219:7 220:1,5 instinct 263:23 391:12,12,19 182:20 216:7 189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 instructed 392:17 405:17 244:25 313:18 220:15,18 234:24 253:12 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
189:22 215:11 231:10 234:1 instructed 392:17 405:17 244:25 313:18 220:15,18 234:24 253:12 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
220:15,18 234:24 253:12 103:20 interconnections 426:4 221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 inputting 221:22 7:5 12:17 18:3 interest 9:20,24 247:22 337:4 306:22 329:14 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
221:22 235:1 420:21 integrated 1:4 384:22 intervenors' 257:7,9 261:13 inputting 221:22 7:5 12:17 18:3 interest 9:20,24 247:22 337:4 306:22 329:14 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
257:7,9 261:13 inputting 221:22 7:5 12:17 18:3 interest 9:20,24 247:22 337:4 306:22 329:14 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
306:22 329:14 insert 138:13 18:6,16,18 10:13 144:21 Intervenors's 334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
334:23 352:24 inside 90:16 136:19,22 153:12 204:17 136:5 361:6,8,10 insight 184:3 137:2 141:22 295:19 Intervention 374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
374:13 395:12 235:5 315:10 141:24 150:4 interested 9:25 5:21 6:14
408:9 422:13 insights 213:1 167:18 169:11 40:13 138:6 introduce 96:6,8
informed 119:18 219:15 229:7 169:12 317:3 178:12 introduced 14:16
234:15 240:12 257:1,8 318:12 352:15 interfere 32:8 invest 331:9,10
infrastructure insofar 142:5 354:16 355:7 intermediate 331:14
32:3 405:24
inherently 151:9,17,25 385:11 388:25 intermittent 294:11
246:25 268:10 271:15 398:19,22 128:6 196:19 investigation
initial 24:5 73:13 373:13 380:21 420:11 425:23 314:23 324:18 94:23 95:1
97:15 99:8 381:24,25 intend 10:24 internal 302:23 143:10 294:13
105:9,13 387:16,18 26:12 177:22 302:25 364:9 investment 86:6
112:23 175:8 393:13 416:12 267:11 internally 134:7 87:2 111:10
219:19 254:4 424:23 intends 417:10 International 250:12 251:2
267:3 284:5 install 22:14 intent 28:23 318:8 331:19 336:24
286:10 346:2 60:22 61:14 148:13 163:18 interpret 65:19 investments
initiate 130:6 185:12 244:14 intention 10:22 219:21,23 248:24
257:15 246:19 323:6 114:19 404:1 interpretation investor 296:4
initiation 70:3 328:12,13 interacts 372:12 63:7 207:12 involved 66:21

67:7 68:21 69:6	128:23 129:1	308:12 310:6	252:9	56:13,24 102:5
69:24 136:21	130:24 136:24	310:13,16,19	item 157:11	106:18 136:4
137:23,25	138:2,11,25	311:6,15,16,18	341:7 349:19	146:2 168:13
152:4 161:11	139:17 140:17	311:24 312:15	items 10:19	182:20 216:6
167:17 169:5	140:20 142:13	312:23,24	280:22 328:3	247:22 251:5
189:1 219:8	144:3 150:11	313:14,14	iterations 301:4	252:4 313:18
242:2 254:6,7,8	160:7,9 164:10	314:1,7,16,22		337:4 426:4
254:11 256:21	164:15,16,21	315:1,13	J	jointly 142:12
271:1 367:22	168:21 170:4,9	318:16,21	J 1:21 5:7,20	311:17 312:11
370:20 396:18	170:22 171:1	319:4,16 321:5	52:5	Jr 5:7
398:21 399:1,2	171:18 174:11	321:8 322:25	January 99:9	July 106:25
420:2,4,13,14	181:25 182:23	323:10,13,14	153:3 155:21	jumbled 18:12
425:20	182:25 183:6,9	327:24 333:4	156:17 192:23	June 1:9 92:13
involvement	183:21 184:1	334:18 339:3,7	244:21	92:18 93:13,25
164:20,21	185:9,21 186:9	341:11 354:23	Jeff 181:10	99:21 114:25
218:20 396:12	186:22 189:4,4	358:1,2,16	Jeffrey 2:15	124:20 155:24
396:16,19	189:9,11,12,17	361:3 373:10	180:25 181:15	156:2,17
421:5	189:23 193:15	394:24 395:22	JESSICA 1:21	193:18,20
iPad 46:4	194:7,16	417:14 425:15	JI 4:3,3,4,4,5,5	326:7 349:17
IRA 169:11,19	201:21,24	IRP's 140:1	62:4,4 145:15	416:6 424:11
169:24 170:10	202:3,15	IRPs 189:3 295:9	JI-1 29:21 50:22	424:18
236:17 246:2	203:10 211:4	295:10,18	61:20,21 62:3	Juneteenth
410:3,5	211:10 212:4	313:7 322:12	JI-120 52:7	424:18
IRP 15:21,25	212:13 217:6	425:7	JI-120D 60:8	Jurgens 6:13 9:4
16:5 17:18,21	217:21 218:18	irrelevant 17:23	JI-2 29:13,17,19	jurisdictions
19:9,15 20:7	218:21 219:1,5	ISA 404:1,16	50:13,24	186:24,25
24:15,17,19,23	222:2,17 224:1	iSIP 32:3,6,11	JI-3 35:25 51:2	233:12 397:9
63:2,4,11,16	224:10,19,20	Island 248:5	51:22	
64:19 68:15	225:16 226:6	issuance 143:5,6	JI-4 50:4 51:4	K
72:11 73:19	228:3,5,8	420:4	JI-5 47:22 48:1,4	K 2:7 40:13 72:1
75:2,7,13,24	230:21 231:1	issue 29:9 31:3	50:1 51:5 52:8	96:19
76:18,22 77:7	237:18 238:19	76:24 112:2	JI-6 51:6,9	Kamran 3:4
77:14,20 79:18	239:4,14,21	285:19 382:17	jkylercohn@b	362:5,13,17
82:12 84:5,12	240:1 241:11	387:6 388:7	5:18	KAR 137:3
84:17 85:19	241:20 246:2,7	425:20	job 14:20 151:16	Katie 5:3 7:19
86:2 87:19 89:3	247:2,7 248:13	issued 76:8,10	167:13 189:5	keep 35:22 55:3
89:22 91:18	254:5 256:10	86:17 98:24	389:1,3 413:11	152:15 263:3
94:11,20 95:9	257:8 259:6	120:5,5 130:12	jobs 87:12,16	304:23,24
96:25,25 97:2	261:12,22	141:24 142:2	129:24,25	316:13 353:16
108:21 109:1	262:11 264:3,5	389:14 424:17	130:3 332:7,8	414:11
109:11 111:5	275:15 290:25	issues 243:11	Jody 5:15 8:10	keeping 16:25
111:14 112:10	292:24 295:5,9	325:8 365:1,2	John 9:5	Kelly 3:7 397:25
112:12,19,24	295:10,13	it'll 73:13 170:5	Joining 7:9	398:10
114:20 115:9	297:2 298:5	258:8,8	joint 6:3 8:12,14	Kenneth 5:7
117:10 120:18	299:20,25	ITC 241:13	13:17 25:4	Kent 1:10 7:7,21
125:15,18	304:22 306:4,7	250:23,24,25	29:16 39:10	Kentuckians
,				

				1490 100
80:24 81:5	186:19,21	386:25 389:14	391:11,14,22	100:21 103:2,6
Kentucky 1:1,5	188:4,20 189:3	389:19 397:25	407:3 409:20	106:5,16
1:10,12,23 5:3	189:7,9,12	398:10 399:3	410:19 411:8	107:15,25
5:5,9,14,22 6:5	192:9,13 207:6	400:20 401:1	415:20	108:1,2 109:7
6:6,10,15 7:5,8	215:1 219:1,9	401:12,18	KIUC 4:6,7 8:10	109:11 111:4
7:18,20 8:2,7	219:15,22	403:11 407:25	89:1,21 91:12	112:1,3,8,9,23
10:11 11:17	220:10,13,19	409:24 412:16	95:13 96:9,16	116:18,24
12:6 13:17	220:25 228:21	413:6 415:17	96:19 98:13,15	118:14,21
14:24 15:10,13	231:15,19	415:23 417:8	98:15 108:14	119:4,5,13
17:2,22 25:1	235:21 238:8	418:21 419:22	108:19 113:25	120:4,6,19,20
34:2,14,22 35:1	239:20,24	425:22	114:6 136:14	120:25 121:10
35:6 36:13,15	240:7,19,21	Kentucky's	155:4,7,7,13	121:12,14,18
37:25 57:12	242:3 243:5	32:15	191:13 193:6	122:10 124:1,8
60:12 61:2 67:2	257:13,17,24	kept 204:3	194:9,10	124:9,21,24
67:12 69:1	258:2 259:4,11	Kevin 360:14	195:20,21	125:13 126:24
71:12,22 72:1,8	259:14,19	key 318:19 332:6	196:6 200:11	127:24 128:24
72:11 79:4,13	260:2,16,20,21	kgish@stites.c	200:15,22,24	129:2,21,22,23
79:16,24 80:14	260:24 262:13	5:10	203:11,15	129:24 130:1
80:17 81:8	263:25 272:18	kglass@stites.c	206:15 215:14	131:3 133:20
82:19 83:2,7	272:25 273:12	5:6	215:20,21	134:1 135:16
86:19,23 92:11	274:15,21,23	kick 163:5	216:10 290:21	136:25 138:6,9
93:14 94:17	276:20,21	185:24	309:14 330:3	138:23 139:1
95:20 97:8 99:1	277:1 287:20	kilowatt 91:13,14	KIUC's 129:15	139:13 140:11
100:3 105:9	288:15,17	351:21	144:4 150:9	143:22 147:22
106:17,20	294:3,23 295:9	kind 63:9 68:13	knew 12:23	147:24 148:1
107:5 108:3,24	295:11,16	70:12 78:2 79:8	72:17 167:23	149:13 151:20
109:3 112:1,5	296:4,21,22	80:11 83:9 85:6	182:6 211:12	152:6 155:15
112:10,18	299:20 304:20	107:20 112:24	361:8 413:19	157:1 159:7
114:19,20,23	306:12 307:7	138:22 151:22	know 10:23 11:4	160:8 163:19
121:4,22 122:7	307:13,23,25	165:12 172:9	11:5 13:24 14:1	163:25 168:15
127:1 129:16	308:5,11	173:10,13,18	14:3,4 26:20	170:9,25 171:3
130:15,16,17	311:10 312:11	174:22 175:24	30:16 32:23	173:9,11,15
133:11,24	313:2 317:3,7	177:12 178:12	40:4 43:4 53:19	174:1 176:3,7
136:4,5,11,12	318:1,11	178:18 179:22	55:15 56:9 59:3	177:6 178:10
137:20 142:11	328:11 331:10	180:2 208:5,5	61:4 64:22,24	178:11,13,14
142:25 143:8	331:15,19	240:3 258:4	67:7 68:2 73:18	178:15,19,23
144:5 148:19	332:8 342:4	261:9 262:17	73:23 74:19	178:23 179:2,5
148:24 149:5	348:13,23,24	265:14,16	78:16 79:21	179:20,21,22
151:4 155:17	355:8,10,16,18	275:10,17	80:21,21 82:9	185:1 191:23
159:14,15,20	355:25 357:3,8	276:12 277:20	84:21 85:5 86:2	192:19 194:13
164:25 166:18	357:17 358:16	279:17 281:13	86:2,4,8,18	195:11 198:5
167:8 171:5	360:4,14,18	282:24 293:12	87:5,25 88:6,22	199:2,20 201:7
175:10,11	361:1,3 362:4	294:11 341:12	91:25 92:9	206:23 211:8,8
176:6,14 177:7	362:17 363:3	343:3 344:19	93:24 94:1,2,6	211:11 212:11
180:24 181:15	371:9 377:18	345:4 348:6	95:6,13,18	212:12 213:2
181:25 186:12	377:19 386:23	364:22 375:9	96:13 97:4	214:7,24 215:3
		1		

				Tage 437
217:12,20,20	306:4,8,9,12,14	known 248:16	399:11 414:2,3	law 6:9 132:23
217:23 218:7	310:11,12,23	knows 80:7	424:9	Lawrence 86:23
219:10,14	311:3 312:4,5,5	194:15 289:18	Kurtz's 98:9	88:1
220:5,21 224:9	314:7,9 315:6	347:9	216:9	lay 24:11 103:6
226:17 228:9	316:11 320:22	Koenig 6:9 8:19	Kv 364:4 388:9	laying 177:14
229:19 230:2,8	325:2,9,14	8:19,21 62:9,11	kW 86:3 190:13	LDA 357:1
231:11 232:18	328:20 329:4	113:6 171:11	190:16,18,23	381:12,14,20
234:9 235:2,12	332:12 335:25	215:23 253:22	201:25 202:4	382:2,21
236:10,11,19	336:10 337:10	338:20,22	202:16 286:16	384:13 386:10
236:21 237:22	340:11 344:17	361:21 368:3,4	Kyler 5:15 8:10	387:20
238:6 239:9	344:20 347:4	402:22,23		LDA-specific
243:12,14,15	347:19 352:23	KOGUT 1:21	L	356:15 358:13
247:10,12,16	353:8,11	KP 348:19	L 5:15	lead 118:24
247:18,19	354:25 364:7	KPSC 97:14	labeled 43:21	124:9
248:3,7 250:25	364:19,23,25	157:3 200:16	labor 192:16,17	leadership 67:20
252:15,21	365:3,11,14,15	209:24 215:22	lack 48:4 166:9	67:22 83:2
254:14,25	370:21 371:19	KU 212:18 393:6	176:8 228:1	leading 207:14
255:11,14	373:7 378:6,17	Kurtz 2:9,19,23	358:5 372:20	320:12
259:12,15	378:22 380:7,8	3:5 5:15,16 8:9	415:10	lean 213:12
261:3,5,6,17	382:5,15	8:10,11 13:8,9	lacks 242:19	leaning 302:11
262:17,18,21	383:25 384:10	62:7,8 83:22,23	land 69:18 80:7	learn 235:20
263:3 266:19	385:20 386:7,9	84:2 89:4,7,8	370:21	learning 234:12
266:23 270:16	386:23 387:2	89:12,16 91:2,4	language 64:25	234:13
270:17,19	388:8,13,18,19	91:7,8 93:1,6	65:20,23,24	least-cost 280:12
271:23 272:3	389:5 390:12	93:10,11 95:3	77:19 78:3 82:8	280:25
273:6,8 274:10	390:15,19	96:2,7,8,11,17	163:17 406:3	leave 38:18 75:22
276:13 280:2	392:7,7 393:9	96:23 97:2,7,16	large 174:20,25	89:9 333:10
282:12,14,18	396:5,21 397:4	98:14 108:12	174:25 175:12	leaves 79:14
283:1,12,20,21	397:7 398:25	108:15,18	175:15,20	leaving 204:21
284:8 285:18	399:21,22	117:5 143:14	176:3,9 177:5	249:24
286:2,15	400:23 401:23	155:11 165:11	178:9,18	leeway 65:9
287:21 289:9	406:5,14,15,21	168:6,7 182:14	194:13 231:17	left 52:16,19
289:17,24	406:24 407:1	182:15 190:5,6	239:24 255:14	53:13 205:17
290:3 291:6,16	409:7 411:3,6	190:8 191:13	292:15 296:7	232:16 275:22
292:8,14,15,16	417:10 419:1	193:6 194:8	311:22 312:1	275:22
292:19 293:24	419:16 420:3	195:19,23,24	357:18 358:4	leg 359:13 374:24
293:25 294:2,3	420:22 422:5	199:19,23	largely 122:14	legal 139:6
294:4,5,13,17	422:10 424:9	200:1,8,9,13,17	220:1	Legge 2:19,24
294:19,21	knowing 12:23	215:12,13,15	larger 255:11	6:5 8:15 216:2
295:2,15,17,18	knowledge 34:9	249:19 250:1	262:11 267:20	216:5,6 245:9
295:24 296:2,6	82:3 107:8	278:16 329:22	larger-sized	245:16 249:16
296:20,21	112:20 133:13	329:23 330:2	261:19	250:6 251:17
297:15,21	139:25 161:4	332:15 361:17	late 222:22	251:19 332:16
298:6,7,13	161:12 165:6	361:18 363:20	latest 321:6,10	332:17,19
299:1 302:6	169:10 170:25	363:21,23	laugh 285:22	335:19 336:19
304:6,9 305:2,4	217:3,4 329:5	365:25 366:3	LAURA 1:21	337:18 338:2
	l	l		

				Page 438
338:14,19	323:7 325:16	likelihood 352:8	literally 408:5	231:22 246:19
424:9	396:12,16,19	likeness 67:14	litigation 34:1,6	246:21,24,25
legislation	406:21	limit 27:16	little 28:10 34:17	272:19 287:22
353:13	leveled 223:5,9	160:14 263:24	34:19 35:23	289:19 298:6,8
Legislature 83:3	levelized 78:24	381:13 386:2	43:9,14 59:19	298:12,14,15
lend 395:14	223:23 270:17	400:3	63:1 68:20	299:5 300:9
length 109:23	285:18,23	limitation 381:22	117:21 124:22	301:15 302:11
lengths 353:3	286:4,5 287:2	limitation 381.22	124:22 125:1	302:12 343:17
lesser 87:7	334:9,11	85:7 210:21	128:23 131:4	343:20,20
let's 30:18,19	levels 178:7	limited 261:4,8	183:2 198:25	344:8,12,19
47:23 74:25	231:5 236:11	348:22,24	200:20 218:16	347:24 352:2,8
89:18 113:11	320:3 322:13	limiting 399:15	234:6 253:1	355:11 357:13
117:25 119:3	leverage 186:23	limits 220:8	261:23 268:9	366:18 367:19
134:19 144:19	257:5	240:7 280:21	289:1 290:5	374:6 380:10
156:23 185:13	Lexington 5:9	280:21	308:23 320:23	381:22 382:15
187:13,24	6:10	line 44:2 79:2	320:24 322:12	384:22 388:21
192:2 194:22	LG&E 212:18	88:19 125:22	325:20 344:6	397:13
199:6,24 200:2	393:6	143:25 160:13	344:23 367:17	load-forecasting
201:21 208:22	LG&E's 261:7	160:14 165:15	405:8 406:4	178:17
213:6 226:19	LG&E/KU	177:20 178:25	414:12	loaded 212:15
227:16 228:17	136:11	216:9 231:22	live 378:7 397:16	299:14
231:20 239:8,8	liberty 104:2	243:9 256:16	lives 221:19	loading 379:9,10
245:17 249:14	423:6	283:20 284:1	222:11	loads 175:12,15
286:14 299:3	life 126:5 131:9	313:15 320:4	LKEE 393:21	175:17,21,22
307:11,12	158:16 185:3	323:3 328:2	lkogut@mclen	176:21 177:18
309:11,11	185:13,13	341:7 377:14	1:24	177:19,23
324:15 326:13	222:15,15,16	388:9 402:3	LLC 1:22 6:8	178:2,5,9,24
331:7 342:22	222:19,24,24	lined 401:20	144:11	179:1,3,5,12,14
344:1,4 349:7	223:4,6,13,21	lines 51:21 52:6	load 23:10,14,25	180:5,6 196:15
351:5,16,23	224:3 280:7	88:20 143:24	24:4 31:8 45:4	276:22 288:18
353:18 358:13	281:15,19	184:23 333:19	45:9,10 85:15	298:24 299:5,6
358:23 374:18	282:13 283:2,3	333:24 388:10	168:23 169:1,2	local 86:24 87:4
382:18 384:10	283:7,18,24	link 9:19	169:3,9,14,19	87:12,16 90:15
414:21 416:13	284:15,16,18	list 10:21 216:17	170:11,15,17	276:20 331:7
421:15	284:23 285:9	220:21,24	171:5,23 172:3	348:18,19
letter 98:11	286:22 293:3	254:15 259:8	172:10 173:3,9	358:3,5 365:6
letters 177:21	334:16,17	259:10	174:1,16,17	located 79:24
letting 326:25	335:5 342:20	listed 36:14	175:1,9,18	133:24 236:15
level 36:9 54:23	342:23 343:4	41:12,13	176:3,8,17,20	236:21 239:17
54:23 55:5,22	343:18,19	145:14 216:19	177:11,15,16	241:5 296:22
66:18 68:20	lifetime 185:7,9	216:23 328:3,6	177:18,23	388:21
120:3 145:15	185:11,18,20	339:15	178:1,14	location 238:21
149:4,5 171:3	light 212:7	listen 170:1	179:10 180:8	238:23 294:18
179:7 184:1	366:18	listening 28:3	180:10 184:5	385:12 397:4
229:24 240:15	lights 152:15	131:19 221:8	196:11,14,24	location-specific
255:13 320:17	353:16	400:15	196:25 212:23	79:22 82:13,14
	I	1	l	l

				Tage 437
87:22 310:11	look 21:6 25:7	213:16 233:21	51:11,19 52:9	202:22 274:18
locational 356:19	36:3 37:19 41:2	234:23 238:7	53:25 54:6	418:23
356:24,25	42:24 44:5	238:10 240:6	57:18 59:14,16	low-emitting
357:7 374:5	48:22 51:13	246:9 263:13	65:13 68:12	422:4
386:11	63:10 65:10,18	269:11 281:14	82:12 102:14	low-load 45:2
Lochan 2:14,16	66:12 67:24	281:25 285:23	132:6 179:15	lower 24:6,7 45:4
6:4 8:16 168:11	79:19,19 83:12	358:6 393:16	202:15 315:15	45:5,10 54:16
168:13 171:10	83:14,15,17,19	404:23 409:11	327:18 330:24	203:6 204:5
182:16,18,20	118:25 119:1	looking 35:17	333:13	212:22 225:9
186:1 221:8	127:24 128:2	36:15 47:13	loop 82:2	239:15 284:17
locked 278:9,17	131:13 132:3	52:5 53:24	loss 196:24 352:8	296:18 314:18
278:23	133:22 141:2	58:23 59:8	416:15	320:7,10,12
log 104:1	142:5 155:4	63:13 64:18,20	lost 291:22	322:24 323:2
logical 97:19	174:11 183:15	66:14 68:4 69:1	lot 74:1 81:14,15	323:16 330:25
logically 154:13	183:18 184:8	79:7 82:15 90:5	81:15 84:6,10	331:4,5,25
logistic 29:3	185:2 190:19	108:15 124:7	107:21 116:7	339:24 340:13
long 11:2 14:2	199:6 207:4	126:5 136:17	117:3 118:18	344:16 347:4
32:23 67:3 70:9	213:16 226:19	144:4 171:17	122:2 138:12	351:12
74:3 121:11	227:4,17,17	171:22 174:10	152:10 158:15	lower-emitting
124:5,9 125:8	228:17 235:19	177:1 180:1	169:21 187:22	422:3
129:25 241:24	240:9,18	187:15 198:15	205:13 212:16	lowering 313:22
242:2 316:15	247:20 248:10	198:18 203:10	215:5 231:10	lowest 225:6
325:23 333:19	249:9 255:4,7	207:10 208:25	254:22 256:24	315:16 342:11
333:24 337:11	255:18 256:14	216:14 219:19	332:25 340:16	342:15 344:15
344:5,19	256:14 257:6	240:7 241:6,7	340:20,21	345:11,12
378:20,21	260:8 264:2	246:20 247:15	353:14 355:1	346:23 347:1,2
410:24	265:17 270:12	249:3 257:10	364:19 368:11	Lowry 5:16
long-term 22:12	275:14 279:11	258:15 264:5,9	373:23 379:1	LS 6:8 8:18,19
22:13,19 25:2,7	280:5 285:22	271:25 273:4	379:11 385:18	lubrication
64:2 65:8 90:6	286:2 297:18	275:15,16	388:10,10,13	340:19
291:8 330:16	304:22 305:10	282:2,6,10	388:15 396:2	lunch 113:11
330:25 343:5	306:15 315:19	288:3 291:7,17	414:19	Lundy 254:22
345:5,6,17,18	325:14 327:15	294:1 302:10	lots 128:24 133:4	340:1
417:17,17	328:1,9 335:10	303:11 304:24	Louisa 88:3,4,5	Lundy's 271:24
419:3 422:6	355:22 358:2	328:6 330:9	Louisville 1:23	lying 160:16
longer 38:23 88:9	365:15 374:8	333:8,14	136:10	
92:11 94:12	378:2 379:7,25	334:21 339:12	low 23:10 26:17	<u>M</u>
117:21 179:11	380:12 382:14	343:1 345:22	172:15,24	M 5:3
192:12 257:11	382:21 384:2	346:1 356:17	173:12,14,15	machine 340:3
284:16 342:17	385:20 392:15	358:18 373:1	173:19,24	340:16,23
344:8 391:9	396:14,25	375:8 385:10	202:19 205:12	343:2
421:24	397:1,9,12	396:20 397:3	205:13 266:5	machines 340:2
longer-term	409:19 410:6	looks 28:12 40:11	298:9,13,14,14	magnitude 44:7
340:21 423:14	looked 51:23	41:4,5,10,10	298:24 299:6	357:22,23
longest 342:21	108:1 112:2	44:10 45:20	300:13	391:11
343:19	206:16,22	46:11 47:8,11	low-cost 118:17	magnitudes 44:6
	l	<u> </u>	l	l

				Page 460
mail 10:9	198:19 217:11	87:3 197:22	288:10 308:19	280:17 281:7
	303:24		310:20 323:5	
main 5:4,8 160:6 358:17		mathematical 280:14	310:20 323:5	288:7,18 346:25 354:17
	marginal 281:3		1	
maintaining	Marietta 181:11	matrices 420:15	334:24 344:10	355:10 365:12
300:9	mark 29:14	421:6	344:11,17	365:23 375:9
maintains 229:5	47:21 50:1	matrix 231:2	367:9 373:18	375:16,20
maintenance	88:25 91:3	419:17	376:21 379:16	383:6 389:21
32:8 264:11	195:20 352:17	matter 1:3 7:16	379:20,22	418:22 422:6
265:19,25	marked 51:17	10:6 66:3 94:16	382:9,10,19,24	meeting 204:12
269:24 270:15	89:5 200:11	95:2,19 103:22	392:19 393:12	204:16 213:4
271:19 339:13	321:16	138:22 167:21	394:4 396:25	342:13 349:17
339:19,23	market 53:22	224:4 242:19	403:24 405:9	355:8 356:5,7,8
340:5,11,21	130:9 147:20	268:20 335:22	406:3,15	422:13
major 85:11	183:8,12	344:12 366:8	407:25	meetings 66:24
248:10 340:4	186:14,21	366:13,22	meaning 77:24	219:8
340:11	195:7 196:22	378:4 397:8	145:22 244:11	meets 109:15
making 100:23	198:16 207:10	407:19 420:19	246:17 324:9	136:22 294:24
107:18 120:13	233:11,16	Matters 2:4	383:11 406:5	364:8,9 365:17
121:14,14	235:8,12,12	max 126:20	means 30:19	378:20
122:8,14	258:18 260:6	229:25	85:23 110:13	megawatt 23:19
136:13 152:2	272:15 273:5,8	maxed 230:9	132:12 232:5	24:6 84:18
217:7 219:19	273:10 274:10	maximum 225:8	274:14 365:14	88:14 150:5
313:2 315:11	277:7 287:24	225:11,20	382:20 393:16	191:5 201:15
343:16 346:12	288:23 289:19	229:16	meant 51:13	202:17 203:11
manageable	289:20,22	maximums	89:13 165:19	203:18,19
263:4	301:5,12,22,25	226:14 227:24	185:10 417:16	264:14,15,18
managed 276:6	303:12,20,25	McLendon-Ko	measure 185:12	265:10 266:2
manager 164:20	304:6,8,11	1:22	334:17	267:24 274:3
189:2,6 361:1	306:13 324:6	mean 44:5 56:10	measures 60:10	339:14,20
managing 398:19	346:13 347:5,9	64:11 65:8	60:13 183:15	340:9 351:21
413:13	347:11 353:5	66:10,17 69:15	185:4 221:18	365:21 383:12
manipulating	387:9 415:20	96:22,24	222:8,20 223:7	389:5 415:12
292:5	417:16 418:23	101:22 110:12	298:25 335:5	megawatt-hour
manner 76:25	423:12	112:21 130:3	mechanism	128:5
manual 126:13	market's 198:2	134:16 136:24	177:7 178:16	megawatts 73:7
manufacturers	markets 42:14	136:25 140:1	median 301:8	75:3 76:13,14
174:25	61:24 146:17	146:21 152:25	medical 11:1	79:3,11,14
manufacturing	Mary 7:10	154:7 156:9	14:2	80:13,16 82:5,5
174:12	mass 43:15 44:2	157:22 160:4	medium-term	85:23 86:1
map 296:24	massive 357:12	162:25 165:5	22:13	109:4,8 149:15
maps 111:20	material 234:5	166:7 187:16	meet 13:16 55:23	153:6 154:9
March 64:14	311:4 314:15	191:4 202:7	75:14,21 76:19	157:5 160:19
84:6 112:14,16	materialize	212:3 224:25	80:24 81:5	179:21 198:2,3
112:21 153:4	238:23 304:2	229:14,15	175:10 213:15	200:21 201:14
422:8	materially 410:8	272:17 285:5	245:22 246:4	201:18 205:9,9
margin 75:8	math 41:6 44:5	285:21 288:8	273:16 280:16	208:12,14,15
·				

				Tage 401
208:19,19	163:20	331:15,18	76:2 92:9,10	176:19 183:24
209:3,4,8,9,11	metering 131:22	416:14	93:3 94:3,10,12	184:1 206:5,10
210:23 214:13	132:17 242:7	mind 56:22 64:22	94:15 95:7,15	207:20 208:8
224:25 225:9	244:3,16	134:19 138:4	95:19 96:20	210:20 211:25
225:10,11,20	methodologies	140:23 286:14	114:21 116:13	212:8,15
225:21,22,24	170:20 375:19	344:18 407:3	118:3,5 138:22	213:20 221:23
227:7,14	methodology	407:16	138:24 144:22	222:1 223:19
247:11,12,12	149:9 150:5	mine 78:2,4	152:5,14,20	228:21 229:1,6
247:13 256:5,7	244:23 314:8,9	237:1	153:11,12	229:10 230:3
261:7 262:4	323:21	miniature 341:14	154:15 155:18	247:13 253:11
263:9,17	methods 245:24	minimum 414:25	155:20,25	253:12 254:17
273:13,24,25	metric 218:2	Minor 218:1	156:16 157:6	255:21 256:18
274:1,1,3,6	237:9,10	minus 52:17,18	159:1 160:1	257:19 258:10
294:24 307:18	406:24	300:13,20	164:25 191:24	258:11 259:16
307:21,24	metrics 121:15	346:21 383:2	192:2,7,10	262:18 263:6
308:1,5 328:12	207:2,5,9	383:12 389:5	193:21 194:3	263:10,12,23
344:13,14	219:11 300:2,4	minute 26:10	195:1,10 212:7	265:9 271:1
364:15 366:8	300:6 396:11	38:25 42:1	306:23 307:8	272:17 273:10
383:2,19,21	MGCT 119:15	208:24 228:11	307:12,18	276:9 277:22
384:17,19,21	MGCTs 115:11	251:21 406:2	312:16,18,23	278:18 279:6,8
384:25 385:3	117:6	minutes 71:4	407:14 414:14	279:17 280:5
385:21 387:24	Michael 5:15,20	200:3 316:12	414:20	280:19,23,24
415:10 421:11	michael.west@	358:24 359:6	mitigate 303:19	281:11 285:15
Melissa 6:5 8:15	5:23	400:5	304:3	287:19 289:18
216:6	Michigan 114:25	miscommunica	mitigation 386:4	289:21 290:6
member 118:16	mics 122:25	148:11	386:5	290:11,19
148:2	123:2	miscounting	mix 44:14 256:2	305:4,5 307:7
membership	mid 199:25 218:8	172:11	277:8 280:15	307:17,20,24
144:10 147:22	mid-delivery	mismatch 381:19	284:10 388:20	308:4 309:15
148:3,15	415:18	381:25 382:10	mixture 81:10	312:18 331:24
memory 115:22	mid-year 306:24	387:17,25	mkurtz@bklla	334:16 335:6
mention 31:4	307:3 407:14	MISO 393:2	5:18	342:3,4,8,10
335:12,12	414:14	404:6	Mm-hmm 144:2	343:10,11,18
mentioned 74:6	middle 228:9	misrepresent	144:23 216:12	346:10,11,15
98:23 127:7	351:18 375:9	239:5	228:24 230:25	347:8,17
131:25 170:4	410:3	misrepresenting	232:24 272:11	348:19 350:3,4
177:12 251:6	Mike 8:4,4,9 13:6	238:24 303:22	291:10 298:11	350:5 351:3,5
261:5 328:8	72:24 83:21	missed 214:15	338:19 350:25	353:5
346:20 365:11	108:14	misspoke 243:20	MMBTu 266:8	model's 263:1
385:24 389:4	Mill 362:14	misstated 165:4	mode 7:14	288:15 347:16
395:24 399:15	Miller 1:22	mistaken 330:25	model 26:16 54:7	modeled 77:6
411:2	million 23:19	misunderstand	58:14 84:12	84:24 92:9,23
Merged 37:22	44:16,23 45:22	125:20	126:10,11,15	93:3,13 94:6,20
meshed 240:3	87:1 96:21	misunderstood	126:17 138:13	95:7,18 138:3
290:4	157:13 193:12	206:7 209:14	173:5,6 174:16	139:1 156:19
met 132:18	194:2 308:18	Mitchell 40:18	175:17 176:11	158:17 173:22
				l

				1490 102
194:14 195:12	models 82:4	338:4,8 410:18	278:23 324:23	260:5 263:3,14
212:12,12	173:18 175:14	moved 62:2 69:5	339:15,19,24	265:6 271:18
221:14 237:17	393:20 395:25	98:13 215:19	347:3	273:16,23
241:19,21	moderate 234:17	218:10	nature 62:24	274:2,5 287:12
251:22 254:10	modified 246:20	moves 321:23	101:2 178:25	289:8 290:2
277:7 299:7	modularity	moving 29:18	239:22 324:18	294:24 295:17
304:1 307:2	261:25	125:12 290:19	NCR 320:6,9	300:24 323:6
309:17 334:15	module 275:21	340:20	near 180:3 320:3	328:13 337:19
modeling 27:12	291:13 348:16	multi-year	322:14 384:14	344:13,13,21
59:15 64:23	modules 318:15	165:23,24	389:6	371:1,19,24
66:25 78:18	318:19	multiple 256:20	nearly 239:10	373:4,25
87:21 94:2	molds 280:9	256:22 262:25	necessarily 18:25	377:15 380:4,9
95:17 109:22	moment 56:22	314:5 315:15	79:21 81:8,17	397:5 399:16
109:23 125:14	123:1 281:20	328:13 343:7	103:11 142:8	400:20 401:1,5
139:3 155:19	300:22	multiply 252:19	154:1 163:11	401:22 403:25
155:22 156:7	money 87:4	MYERS 1:21	237:5 247:19	424:7 426:2
157:18,19	month 124:7		263:19 265:2	need's 376:16
158:4 159:4	125:2 151:21	N	269:5 273:16	needed 28:9
160:11 169:22	151:21 190:13	N 2:1,1 3:1,1	294:24 312:25	60:11 81:24
170:20 173:6	190:16 297:14	NAAQS 31:16,23	371:12 372:21	131:14 155:21
173:19 183:1	297:22	name 7:7 10:4	381:2,3 382:19	155:23 163:1
192:14 194:24	months 40:22	11:25 12:1	385:12 387:25	176:13 184:2
195:3 196:4	108:2 112:22	13:16 39:14	391:8 393:12	239:21 280:22
199:18 210:9	113:1 117:25	71:20,21 88:1	394:5 410:10	287:24 305:3
210:22 211:20	119:3,6 124:21	161:9 167:1,3	necessary 144:11	357:21 375:10
219:4,17,24	152:24 153:3	168:12 181:8	392:21 393:14	380:15 392:10
220:1 221:25	153:21 154:3	181:10 182:19	need 9:16 11:14	401:4,19 416:7
222:17,25	158:10,20	188:12,14	14:3,6 30:10	needing 107:9
224:13,18,22	307:19 308:13	232:13 277:25	48:2 49:23	needs 80:25 81:5
225:3,16 226:8	390:20 401:3	317:15 360:12	55:23 56:18	108:1 110:20
226:10,13	401:16 416:8	360:13 362:12	58:7 59:22	144:13 147:3
231:16 242:13	moot 136:14	412:24 413:1	61:14,14 69:15	154:15 179:21
243:17,22	morning 7:19 8:9	naming 48:2	69:16,17,18	183:6 184:1
248:22 250:10	12:1 13:14,15	narrative 271:23	78:18 93:5	197:2 213:7
252:23 257:16	30:8 62:17,22	300:1	101:13 106:13	245:23 246:5
258:1 260:19	84:3,4 98:20,21	narrow 320:18	107:16,18	262:13 263:14
262:14,19,22	121:11	National 31:15	110:4 120:24	265:4 313:2
269:17 275:17	mornings 350:7	native 287:20	133:3 150:13	367:18 375:15
275:24 283:14	motions 9:15	355:11 356:9	150:13 153:16	375:17,20
284:24 289:17	motivation 263:5	natural 84:14	154:14 161:25	381:11 386:7
304:25 307:22	move 10:16 33:14	140:25 231:23	168:14 171:20	393:1
308:8 309:13	61:20 96:5,6,8	261:3 262:15	208:17 220:13	negative 297:8
310:6 312:24	100:5 101:10	262:16 264:19	220:14,17,19	302:18 383:11
318:17 341:6	106:2 107:20	265:22 266:6	233:5 250:19	383:13,16,22
341:13 342:2	180:4 215:15	266:10,12	251:20 252:17	386:10
416:12	276:19 337:19	278:8,15,17,21	252:22 258:14	negotiated
	l			l

				1490 100
124:24	176:3,21 178:9	379:13	59:23 60:16,23	273:14 399:17
negotiations	178:18 179:2,5	nonaffiliated	69:23 71:9	399:19
101:2 177:20	179:12,13	375:20	77:24 82:9 86:4	O&M 192:16,17
Neighbor 33:21	180:5,6 185:16	nonaffiliates	87:7 91:25 97:4	220:7 223:17
33:25 34:13,21	197:16 210:24	376:24 378:1	106:18 113:17	223:18 264:16
35:3 54:12 57:7	220:22 222:21	nonattainment	117:22 125:25	264:22 265:1
60:10 61:8 64:8	230:17 232:2,6	31:25 32:7	129:8,14,19	266:4,15,21
64:9,21 67:11	232:10 233:6	noncompanion	130:6 131:3,7	267:1,11
neighboring	234:1 255:16	95:5	190:21,22,24	269:19 270:9
186:23,25	281:23 320:5	nondiscriminat	194:12,13	270:18 271:22
187:2 237:2	324:15,15	135:22	195:22 210:13	280:2,3 286:20
neighbors 393:2	326:6 359:8	nonutility 137:7	221:19 227:7	oath 113:18
Nelson 1:22	362:14 364:16	137:10	228:17 243:4	object 17:16
NEM 244:23	366:9 369:20	noon 350:22	248:20 250:5	94:25
NERC 365:16,16	370:11,18	351:24	266:25 267:7	objection 18:21
net 94:24 95:6,22	384:21 393:2	normal 71:5 98:8	267:12,13,17	18:22 19:3,18
96:1,20 97:14	411:2 420:10	106:8 234:10	267:19 268:11	62:1 98:2 114:4
131:22 132:17	421:25	normally 112:20	269:5,7 271:8	194:6 215:17
242:7 244:3,15	Newman 2:13	112:22	280:1 283:23	215:18 242:17
284:3 301:14	166:19 167:3,8	Northern 248:15	284:20,20	242:22,24
302:12,19,21	167:13 168:12	note 98:4 141:15	285:23 286:5	245:15 327:13
304:17,23	168:18 359:25	359:5	286:16 291:16	329:17 358:23
305:9,14 344:4	news 202:6	noted 335:3	305:1,3 306:14	objections
344:15,16	next-year 185:15	notes 24:14 35:17	317:2 319:21	321:23
346:19	nice 13:16	38:25 39:24	321:1,1 340:4	objective 280:13
netting 297:17	night 273:14	51:8 79:13	340:25 341:14	280:13 346:22
network 373:5	nine 75:7 112:22	80:12	352:16 359:2	381:10 395:1
373:22	113:1 328:14	notice 9:7,7,11,17	384:2 390:3,4	objectives 219:10
never 150:14,20	NIPSCO 248:16	9:19	396:21 409:2	299:20
160:13 161:4	248:18,22	noticed 131:15	424:8	obligation 139:15
179:19 220:10	NMS 243:10,12	noting 329:17	numbered	246:5 288:4,5
383:15 384:4	243:12,15	November	230:24	294:25 314:8
407:16 421:20	244:4 245:1	124:20 144:25	numbering	343:11 355:11
new 14:25 17:9	no-carbon 85:1	145:4,8	228:13	355:16 381:19
20:14 21:20	no0wind 330:14	NO x 40:14 43:15	numbers 25:11	383:7
22:25 24:19	nodal 358:8	44:2,11,15 45:5	48:12 55:2	obligations
25:1 26:16 35:2	node 357:1	49:12,14 58:12	84:20 214:8	195:15 196:14
54:13 57:6	nominal 91:13	NPV 344:4	292:5 302:18	213:16 217:11
66:22 68:7,15	286:7,7,15	NREL 232:14	319:20 323:19	280:17 288:7
69:15 84:8,8,13	non 126:9	234:16	331:12 341:17	418:22
85:7 102:9	non-emitting	nuclear 197:4	341:19	obscured 78:3
109:4,8 115:18	422:3	254:25 255:25	nuts 68:22	observations
116:14 129:17	non-price 419:21	258:13 324:24		177:25
129:23 139:11	nonaffiliate	326:18 328:1	0	obtained 53:17
139:24 141:9	376:8 377:24	number 7:4 10:5	O 2:1 3:1 43:15	obtaining 145:21
170:18,23	378:18,25	28:17 32:13,22	o'clock 9:23	obviously 65:17
<u> </u>				

				Page 464
296:4,10 342:9	414:7 420:7	56:7,19 57:3,15	152:5,21 156:5	251:10,19
occasion 177:3	Ohio 5:12,17	57:20,24 58:2,5	156:23 157:15	252:24 253:13
Occasionally	12:3 167:5	58:8,11,18,20	158:7,25 159:7	253:15 254:13
295:12	188:15 362:14	58:23 59:12,22	159:23 160:3	259:2 264:8,17
occasions 175:18	385:21 387:1	60:3,15,25 61:5	160:12 161:5	267:10 268:19
occur 92:5	413:3	61:17 62:22	161:13 163:4	270:2,7,20,23
185:16 220:9	okay 8:6 11:13	66:8 68:24 70:8	163:15 164:1	271:7 272:5,22
370:2	11:15 13:5,8	71:7 74:6,22	164:24 165:5	274:24 275:6,9
occurred 99:13	14:16,20 15:3,8	75:7,17,21	166:13 171:21	276:4,7,24
99:25 297:15	15:12,15,20,23	76:12,17 77:2,5	171:24 172:2,7	277:10 278:25
314:4 387:7	15:25 16:4,7,12	77:9 78:1 79:2	173:9,21 174:9	279:13 287:15
occurring 297:16	16:17,20,24	80:9,11 82:19	175:24 180:18	289:3,7,10
340:19 352:5	17:4,8,13 18:13	84:12,17,23	181:6,24 186:3	290:16 291:1
October 401:4,6	19:17,24 20:14	85:5,11,15,19	186:20 187:3	291:16,20
off-system	20:18 21:8,10	86:5 87:11	188:1 189:5,8	292:14 293:7
305:24	21:17,23 22:2,6	88:22,24 89:10	189:16 191:1	296:15 297:3
offer 132:19	22:19,24 23:4,8	89:17,24 90:2	191:12,15,16	297:25 298:3
213:1 261:24	23:14,17,22,25	90:12,15 91:1,9	191:17,23	298:19 299:9
280:1 297:20	24:4,11,13,19	91:24 92:3,5,25	192:1 193:5,20	300:5,16,19
315:9	24:23 25:5,7,10	93:12,18,20	193:25 194:19	301:20,24
offered 257:8,9	25:14,18,25	97:23 100:10	194:23 195:18	302:14 303:1
offering 255:20	26:15 27:15,21	104:18,23	195:25 196:3,6	305:8,19
offhand 332:12	28:1,11,14,16	105:17 114:5	197:3,9,13,18	306:10,23
335:7,13	28:23 29:2,11	115:3,21	199:6,12,17,17	307:5,7,11
office 5:19,21 6:9	31:2,8,13,18,23	116:21 117:11	200:12,20	309:3,19
6:12,14 8:2,5	32:6,12,21 33:2	117:14,20	201:3,9,10,11	311:12 312:14
114:18 129:16	33:8,19,25 34:5	119:7,23	201:14,17,20	312:22 313:5
228:2 349:9	34:12,16 35:1,9	123:24 125:24	201:22 202:6	313:12 314:1
offsetting 158:3	35:14 36:7,18	126:22 127:10	202:13,24	314:21 316:21
340:8	36:23 37:2,17	127:12 128:12	203:10,15	318:24 319:11
offshore 320:19	37:21 38:9,20	128:21 131:7	206:7,8,12	319:19,24,25
333:9	38:24 39:5,13	132:11 134:10	208:18 209:15	321:7,12,22
oh 9:1 17:24	39:18 40:6,22	134:14,20	209:21,25	322:3,17,23
26:25 29:12	41:3,11,20,24	135:15 136:1	210:15,19	323:12,23,25
46:17 67:6 89:8	42:4,7,13,18	136:21 137:2	215:9,25	326:2,13,21
93:6 108:17	43:3,6,9,14,17	137:16 138:16	216:13 218:16	328:22 330:8
122:23 145:13	44:13,18 45:7	141:11 142:16	220:21 222:6	330:11,19
151:7 155:8	45:10,20,25	142:22 143:3	224:17 226:8	331:7,14,18
187:3 199:23	46:11,15,24	143:16,23,24	226:21 228:15	332:4,7 334:7
209:10 212:6	47:4,7,12 48:25	144:17 145:2,3	229:4 230:7,18	335:9 336:21
227:19 253:21	49:5,9,12,15,19	145:8,25 146:4	230:23 231:7	337:8,13 338:2
283:22 290:8	50:4,12 51:9,17	146:8,11,16,20	237:13,14	338:20 339:12
300:3 331:2	51:25 52:5,10	147:1,15,19	241:18 243:7	341:2,9,21
335:21 341:19	52:23 53:4,9,12	148:3,9,17,21	243:24 244:5	342:1,7 343:1
341:21 345:18	53:21,24 54:12	149:3,8,25	244:17 249:11	344:1,22
374:15 412:1	54:18,21 55:14	151:8,13,24	250:15,17	345:22,25
	l			

				1 4 9 6 1 0 0
346:9 347:23	285:23 359:11	339:18,22	optimize 343:25	out-of-state 86:1
348:3 350:20	377:3,9 383:13	405:10 406:12	optimized 204:13	86:6 211:19,24
351:3,14 355:7	391:25	406:17	204:13,19	211:25 212:9
355:13 356:22	one-by-one 260:1	operation's	206:1 210:6	outage 325:6
358:9,19,24	294:21 295:1	123:25	optimizing 344:9	334:4 351:4
359:18 360:3	311:24	operations 67:1	option 255:24	outcome 65:3
363:5 365:8	ones 16:14 119:4	121:7 122:1	259:13,14	outcomes 357:14
366:24 368:2	180:1 220:22	161:8	279:6 321:6	outdated 74:16
369:9 370:5	230:8 314:4	operator 405:22	options 55:15	74:18
374:15 375:24	328:8 409:2,6	opine 332:11	74:19 83:13	outer 416:15
376:16 379:15	ongoing 99:15	367:24	121:13 137:5	outlived 138:19
381:2,17	100:2 131:10	opinion 194:5,20	140:7 141:4	outlook 254:22
384:24 385:7	170:19 192:19	opportunities	159:4,6 173:19	outlook's 179:11
387:19,21	220:6 332:8	66:19 74:20	255:23 294:20	output 184:5,6
389:8,13,13,18	online 24:20	131:17,21	305:22 343:4	324:14,20
390:8,10,23	73:20 74:8,16	139:22 140:9	344:14 367:5	outputs 250:11
392:25 393:15	74:23 116:15	141:7 296:11	376:3 377:15	outside 79:4,13
393:24 394:18	117:7 201:15	opportunity	377:21 380:21	176:11 194:7
396:8 398:2,21	201:17 266:18	81:15 97:25	order 10:23 31:6	195:15 235:7
398:25 399:6	onshore 320:18	135:6 139:10	37:9 41:11	357:23 394:9
400:6,8,16	333:9,10,11	213:14 336:17	112:12 162:10	outstanding 9:14
402:9,18 404:7	Ontario 317:19	opposed 180:11	211:17 242:6,9	9:15 389:9
404:12,13	opco 67:22	210:5 247:19	242:11 243:3,8	425:25
405:1,7,12	open 64:13	261:9 262:8	243:11,21	overabundance
406:1 407:5,12	openings 174:17	310:6 404:5	244:6,21 245:6	303:24
407:14 408:2	operate 22:21	405:21,22	247:6 252:17	overall 196:21
408:16,17,22	23:11 25:2 45:9	opposing 20:19	316:18 347:2	254:11 300:6
409:6,11	60:21	opposite 350:20	391:10	312:6 328:8
411:24 414:18	operated 44:25	opted 391:17	ordering 393:25	345:10 373:3
415:1,6,15	operates 272:8	optim- 284:5	ordinarily	388:23 409:24
416:23 417:5,8	operating 27:16	optimal 311:9	336:13 369:9	overcome 53:20
417:21,23	45:10,13 46:20	optimization	390:5	53:21
418:25 419:4,9	67:19 117:14	77:17 78:17	organization	overhaul 269:18
419:11,18,24	139:11,24	204:25 205:21	120:20 256:24	270:14 271:9
420:12,17	141:9 157:24	208:13 275:25	367:4 368:22	271:10,11
421:14 422:12	163:12 175:4	275:25 277:1,5	386:1	overhauls 268:21
422:18 424:15	191:4 272:6	277:11,22	organizational	269:2,4,24
424:15,23	375:25 376:12	278:10,13	66:2 120:3,9	340:11
425:13	376:17 377:5	279:8,11,15,16	organizationally	overreliance
old 35:6 210:24	407:20,22	284:5 287:18	66:23	303:16,20
once 24:7 37:6	414:21 415:1	288:3 289:5,21	original 125:14	304:8
47:17 67:16	420:22,25	290:15 312:9	276:9	overrule 19:18
69:7 120:4	operation 45:2	331:24 342:2	originally 64:10	327:10,13
161:1 175:9	264:11 265:18	346:10 348:13	124:19	Overruled
267:7 272:7	265:25 267:15	348:18,19,22	ought 67:24	194:18
273:24 276:18	269:10 339:12	348:25	ourself 386:10	overseeing
	I	I	1	I

		_		
168:24	106:25 108:19	paragraph	part-owner	242:12 410:13
oversimplified	108:20,21	143:21 172:21	154:15	passed 108:12
387:17	113:25 129:5,6	224:23 232:19	partial 415:19	269:11 410:24
oversimplifying	135:18 136:3	244:19 319:25	participate 16:20	passes 410:3,5
374:20 381:18	140:24 143:20	parallel 371:3	135:10 142:23	Pat 7:11
382:5	157:2,10,11	parameter	401:25	path 390:12
overvalue 207:1	171:17,22	385:16	participated	Patricio's 327:10
owned 81:9,10,16	172:3,9,20	parameters	148:18 168:22	pause 134:3
81:19 144:8,19	174:10,12,12	380:23 387:7	395:15	pay 133:7
144:20 149:10	174:19 186:9	396:6	participation	payers 207:6
311:17 312:11	193:9 196:6	parasitic 31:8	318:20	239:14,16
312:11 370:12	197:9,13 199:6	Pardon 51:25	particular 17:1	273:9 288:18
414:25	201:11,21,24	parent 149:6	22:11 101:24	343:23 355:25
owner 379:12,24	202:3,13,15	Parkway 1:22	130:10 164:16	paying 95:14
ownership 94:15	203:21,23	181:11	176:3 196:13	147:12 378:16
94:17 95:18	206:15 207:23	parse 240:17	219:5 226:16	378:23
138:24 139:2	208:10 216:13	part 16:24 32:6	233:10 235:10	PCA 417:23
294:22 295:2	216:22 217:6	57:3,9,11 68:8	260:13 261:8	PDF 77:25 78:1,5
311:20 312:1	224:20 226:22	70:5 89:10	261:12 281:24	79:8
312:16	227:9 228:8,10	99:19,25	282:10 294:6,8	peak 74:25 75:3
owns 15:10	229:4 230:21	108:13 109:10	294:14 295:13	75:8,18,18,20
354:20 373:16	232:12,18	109:21 110:22	309:16 313:20	75:22,23 76:20
375:22	244:6 245:22	110:24 128:3,5	327:3	147:10,12
oz.xls 36:10	248:20 264:5	134:11 137:1	particularly	196:14,15
ozone 37:3,4 38:4	264:10 265:18	139:7 146:23	166:6 178:25	324:7,9,10,11
40:14,16,23	265:18,23	146:23 148:23	256:20 420:2	324:15 325:17
43:21,21 49:2,2	275:15 290:24	150:5 151:8	particulars	343:10 352:4,5
50:2,5 52:8,24	290:25 297:1,2	153:9 171:6	119:12,21	352:21 353:18
54:5,15	298:5,7 319:19	173:22 177:3	parties 8:22	355:19 366:20
	319:20,22	179:4 184:14	16:18 145:24	387:2
P	322:5,10	204:18 213:25	235:15 251:23	peaking 90:22
p.m 113:15,15	326:14 330:8	231:9 232:17	251:24	202:25 204:4
134:25 135:1	332:23 333:5	234:21 236:17	partly 383:10	212:25
338:11,12	338:9 339:7,17	238:6 239:20	partner 294:8	peaks 226:17,18
402:13,14	341:11,16,17	241:21 246:7	295:6	Pearce 3:7
426:8	341:19 349:11	265:7 270:18	partnering	107:22 162:12
P.O 5:5 6:6	pages 30:20	272:24,25	294:14	162:16,17
packet 114:2	96:12 114:3	273:3,4,21	partnership	163:2,5 164:3
155:9	140:2,3 195:22	275:1 280:8	295:2	165:1 395:2
page 2:2 24:12	197:20	288:20 295:8	partnerships	398:1,10,15
27:10 28:12	paid 150:14	307:12 338:18	295:19	400:10 412:11
77:20,23,24	paired 128:6,10	345:4 347:5	parts 139:2	412:12
78:1 79:8 82:9	paper 30:16	357:17 358:4	286:14 340:20	pedantic 408:19
89:21,22 91:7	270:13 297:19	397:11 404:3	party 86:13	peer 150:25
91:12 93:21	papers 292:12	415:24 419:1	pass 250:16	penalties 198:24
97:4 103:9	293:5	425:6	passage 105:7	penalty 11:22
			1	-

				1496 107
166:23 181:4	379:10 382:10	31:19	186:12	156:24 157:7,9
188:10 317:13	382:12,13,18	periods 11:2	PESS 368:1	162:4,8,9 163:7
360:9 362:9	421:14	145:23	petitions 9:14	165:21 193:17
398:5 412:21	percentage 79:24	perjury 11:22	425:25	195:5,13
pending 9:15	80:1 112:5	71:17 166:23	Phase 23:4,5,18	196:12,18
66:22	239:24 285:5,6	181:4 188:10	23:22	197:10 198:1
penetration	285:6,8 301:14	317:13 360:9	phenomena	198:11 199:9
324:6	301:18 302:15	362:9 398:5	387:19	213:12 214:2,3
people 39:9	percentages	412:21	phone 150:13	214:6,18,22,25
65:17 76:22	314:19	perked 374:12	phones 7:13	215:3 217:2,14
131:16 138:15	percentile 218:9	permanent 87:16	phonetic 370:24	217:25 231:12
398:25 399:1	300:20 301:9	332:8	phonetically	240:10,16,19
404:25 408:25	perfect 280:10,10	permeations	359:25	272:24 273:3
per-megawatt	perform 205:12	263:2	phrase 203:24	273:23 274:1
266:20	355:3 390:18	permit 69:10,11	371:14	274:13 275:1
percent 23:12,15	performance	69:13,13,17	physical 29:5	277:5,7,8,11
24:2,8 25:3	151:21 198:24	70:11 272:13	369:20 370:14	279:14 288:7
26:18,18 27:13	217:15 256:16	permits 68:5	372:8,22,24	288:16 289:23
27:17,18 31:6	257:1 260:10	69:16,19,23	physically 368:24	303:14,15
46:13,14,16,18	261:14 291:3	70:4 74:1	369:21,25	310:2 313:13
46:21 75:7	369:1	124:11	371:1	314:7 321:1,5,5
79:11 86:21	performed 77:17	permitting 68:11	picked 27:12	323:19 325:6
132:17,20,23	313:15 367:4,4	68:19 73:22	187:21	325:14 326:9
133:2 138:10	367:23 368:24	119:13	picture 207:24	328:15 329:3
138:10 144:21	performing	permutations	256:9	333:14 347:16
149:14 160:17	189:2 377:2	280:6,11	piece 377:8	347:25 348:1
197:19,19,21	period 33:5 64:8	person 66:12	pieces 15:23	348:10,16,21
198:6,8,8,9	64:12 74:7	166:8 299:13	139:2 213:2	348:22,22
199:7,13	93:25 94:1	299:16,17	Pierce 403:1	353:2,8 355:10
216:20,21	119:2,8 121:12	306:24 308:24	pipeline 66:15	355:17,19,21
238:3 240:25	124:23 125:22	397:1	88:17 351:7,9	356:8 357:19
241:2 295:2	126:12 145:18	personally 70:7	PJ 272:15	364:25 365:4,4
303:1,2 304:23	146:25 193:14	137:23 354:8	PJM 73:24 74:3	371:18,25
304:25 305:9	222:1,2,12,18	395:15	75:14,17 76:1,4	374:23 375:7
305:13,14,14	222:22 224:2	perspective	76:24 79:20	375:12 376:25
305:19,20,23	225:16 226:6	66:20 68:18,19	80:1 81:11 83:1	377:1,9 378:10
306:5,6 320:3,4	229:18 232:21	178:13 195:11	85:16,20 92:14	378:14,21
320:8,11,15,17	281:17 286:10	235:4 258:21	92:17 106:21	379:21 382:16
320:19,25	287:3 316:11	283:8 347:7	107:10,15,21	384:4 385:13
322:14,18,22	326:15 327:17	355:6 366:18	115:6 118:16	385:20,24
322:23,24	332:22 342:17	369:1,2 384:9	130:17 144:10	389:25 390:1,2
323:15 327:21	342:21 344:8,9	387:5 393:5	144:11 145:19	390:6 391:25
327:21,22,23	345:9,20	perspectives	146:14,17,23	392:20,24
333:6,7,14	349:14 352:14	67:23	147:5,6,7,8,11	393:1,14,16,17
346:20 349:20	352:17	pertain 358:16	147:20,22	393:18 394:9
349:21 379:9	periodically	pertaining	148:2,2 155:23	394:11,12,14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

396:23 397:7 397:10,16	129:1,3 136:22	202.22.205.0	217 17 20	I
	127.195 150.22	292:23 295:8	317:15,20	points 222:12
	137:4,6 141:5	317:3 349:13	326:4 330:4	229:22
400:17,17,21	141:23,25	363:1 365:13	360:6,11,23	policy 390:16
401:9,15,24	150:4 154:20	385:17,19	362:6,11 398:2	pollution 33:20
403:13,16,17	154:20,22	386:1 398:20	398:7,15	60:13
403:22 404:2,4	164:25 167:18	398:23 405:15	412:18,23	pool 55:25 56:3
404:5 405:6,15	191:18 200:21	416:20,20	413:11	146:24 198:20
405:19,21	201:5 204:19	plans 89:20	plenty 131:21	populated 408:8
406:7	206:18 208:18	90:23 102:8	425:19	portability 345:5
PJM's 81:12	208:21,22	105:16 118:2	PLLC 5:4,8 6:9	portable 368:1
196:8 323:5	209:1,2,3,6,8	129:17 131:9	plug 338:6	portfolio 77:15
355:17 356:5	209:12,17	170:10 204:23	plus 53:9 206:4	77:16 78:8,11
356:17 378:20	210:2,12,17	314:5 414:21	346:20 385:3	81:12 84:25
400:23	281:25 284:3	plant 25:1,8 74:8	point 19:9 34:10	85:1 89:25
place 19:20 35:9	297:11 299:19	88:14 95:24	35:7 67:19	157:8 203:13
64:5,6,19 68:10	299:22 315:13	114:21,25	74:17 80:13	203:17 204:7
77:16 92:21	316:9,18	138:7 157:6	98:7 100:1	205:6,22 206:6
118:10,19	318:12 328:17	174:17 192:12	104:5 106:15	206:16 210:3
124:23 126:19	328:18 330:12	237:1 255:14	109:12 118:22	210:14,15
181:11 230:4	330:15,22,24	268:22 333:24	122:21 123:21	212:13 226:20
288:19 370:16	331:1,10,16	334:1 363:25	127:22 141:3	227:2,11,12,19
377:11 418:10	332:9 337:21	364:11,12,21	142:20 168:14	231:16,19
placed 80:17	352:15 354:16	365:19	180:2 185:17	235:19 259:18
259:6 281:6,10	355:7 357:24	plant's 325:25	204:22 210:8	263:15,18,19
placement 80:3	358:7 359:5	plants 29:4 78:23	215:9 224:17	275:21 278:2
places 80:18	363:8 385:11	84:14 147:1	245:18 247:14	280:12 281:4
placing 263:11	388:25 407:9	255:16 269:2	248:1 254:15	288:22 292:4
plan 18:3,6,16,18	415:4,12,23	play 234:21	259:9,18	301:7,15 302:2
22:17,21 24:16	417:13 419:1,3	Plaza 5:12 12:2	275:18 277:10	302:11,12,14
25:1 32:19	425:23	167:4 188:15	277:13 290:13	302:18 304:4
	laning 137:2	413:2	290:18,23	305:18 330:12
1 1	lanned 101:20	please 7:13 11:15	293:10 295:22	330:14,21
1	lanner 375:13	11:19,24,25	321:6 322:2	331:4,9,14,20
	lanning 1:4 7:5	12:11 71:14,19	335:13 337:6	331:23,23
60:10 61:8 64:8	12:17 64:3 65:8	71:20 72:7 81:3	337:11 340:6	342:12 345:8
64:9,21 67:11	65:13 69:7	92:7 97:13	340:21 343:22	345:20 346:3
73:6,6 75:7,21	73:14 75:9,14	106:16 113:16	343:23 344:20	347:12 409:23
76:18,21 79:3,9	92:14,18 115:6	141:2 155:21	344:24 347:18	410:18 419:7
85:3 89:11,17	136:19 155:23	166:20,25	352:24 359:24	portfolios 77:6
90:2,7,18 91:10	156:24 157:9	167:13 168:9	365:24 370:16	77:10 204:11
91:17 101:22	164:19 178:14	181:1,6,8,20	372:3 386:8	204:18 226:9
109:1,8,10	189:2,6 193:15	188:7,12,17	388:20 405:19	226:11 229:25
115:10,12	193:17,19	190:21 200:18	420:2,3	230:2 231:3,24
116:18 118:10	195:5,13,14	200:23 211:18	pointed 109:11	232:2 276:10
118:14,19	219:8 224:1	211:23 272:8	229:15	276:13,14
125:14 128:4	238:15 281:17	289:15 317:11	pointing 59:4	277:25 290:17
			l	I

				Page 469
291:4 302:9	425:16	149:5 159:14	363:3,25 371:9	nradict 170:20 22
315:20,21		159:15,20	377:18 381:11	predict 179:20,22 315:2
345:4	posted 99:1,4 124:2	166:18 167:8	386:24 389:14	predicting 214:3
portion 32:11	potential 29:9	171:5 175:10	389:19 397:25	214:19
36:21 75:22	136:10 178:18	171.3 173.10	398:10 400:20	
115:1 168:23	183:8,12,16	176:14 177:7	401:12 403:11	preference 152:7 187:17
194:1,25 241:3	184:8 186:10	170.14 177.7	407:25 409:24	preferred 24:16
241:5 271:8	186:11,16,21	181:15 186:12	412:16 413:6	79:9 85:3 89:17
324:6 355:9	239:18 247:10	186:19,22	415:24 416:25	90:7,18 91:10
395:17,22	257:18 274:18	188:4,20 189:3	417:8,25	108:25 109:10
403:23 420:24	294:18	189:7,9,12	418:11,22	115:10,12
portions 72:11	potentially 16:11	192:9 207:6	419:22 422:24	116:17 128:4
181:25 361:3	69:1 177:14,16	215:1 219:1,9	423:2,3,16,21	191:18 200:21
position 18:1	229:21 239:5	219:22 220:10	423:22 425:22	201:5 205:1
32:24 72:7	241:3 255:10	220:13,25	Power's 72:11	206:18 208:18
114:5 117:15	294:5 301:10	228:21 231:16	99:1 106:17,20	208:21,22
127:18 138:18	pounds 23:18,19	231:19 235:21	108:24 112:5	208:21,22
151:2 152:9,13	24:5 44:15,23	239:19,20,24	112:10 136:6	209:11,17
151.2 152.9,15	power 1:5 5:3,11	240:7 242:3	151:4 155:17	210:2,12,17
162:14,19	6:8 7:6,18,20	243:5 245:22	164:25 181:25	210.2,12,17
181:21 243:25	7:23 8:18,19	257:13,17,24	219:15 220:20	299:22 305:18
302:6 303:13	11:17 12:6,14	258:2 259:4,11	238:8 262:13	330:12,15,22
360:23 371:10	14:13,14,24	259:14,19	288:15 294:3	330:24 331:1
376:19	15:10,13 17:2	260:2,16,20,21	299:20 306:13	331:10,16
positions 108:25	25:1 50:25	260:24 263:25	311:10 355:9	332:9 357:24
163:2	57:12 60:12	272:19,25	355:11,16	preliminary 2:4
positive 136:7	61:2 67:2,12	273:12 274:15	357:8 361:3	326:11,22
297:8 302:15	69:1 71:12 72:1	274:21,23	377:19 399:3	327:6 332:22
312:5 383:14	72:8 81:9 82:19	276:20,21	415:17	premise 233:10
possibility 76:23	83:7 86:19,23	277:1 287:20	PowerPoint	235:20
287:19 294:13	92:11 93:14	288:17 294:23	122:5	preparation
365:22 370:17	94:17 95:20,24	295:9 296:4,21	PPAs 83:16	12:17 15:20
371:22	97:8 100:3	296:22 304:20	86:17 127:5,8	16:21 139:17
possible 40:5	105:10 107:5	307:8,13,23,25	127:19	164:21 167:17
80:20 163:10	108:3 109:4	308:6,12	PPI 235:2,4,7	182:23 191:20
297:13 298:1	112:1,18	312:12 313:3	practical 310:21	218:18,20
377:15 425:2	114:19,20,23	317:4,7 318:1	313:6	318:17,21
possibly 13:19	121:4,22 122:7	318:11 328:11	practicality	363:7 398:22
post-hearing	127:2 129:17	342:4 348:13	241:7	prepare 12:25
61:7 148:12	133:11 136:4	348:23,24	practically	118:1,25 175:4
275:8 287:14	136:12 137:20	351:18 355:18	416:21	176:24 189:9
298:2 335:15	142:11,25	355:24,25	practice 313:8,9	318:16 319:6
336:1,3,4	143:8 144:5,11	357:3,17,20	335:22	prepared 17:19
344:22 408:4	145:5,12,21	358:16 360:4	practices 244:24	17:22 18:18
408:14 424:7,8	146:6,23 147:1	360:18 361:1	precise 190:20	19:9 24:25 40:2
424:16 425:11	148:19,24	362:4,17,24	precisely 269:22	58:13 111:5
			_ *	

Г				
319:1 327:4	59:1,8 60:1,4	111:7 156:6	179:9 218:23	170:16,18,23
preparing 12:24	198:11 234:4,7	173:20 174:7	254:6,9,12	171:1,8 183:17
16:9 58:21	234:9 347:9,11	177:4 218:8	257:15 258:7	183:19 184:9
336:8	347:13,16,19	249:10 265:13	275:20 336:3	184:17 185:6
prerequisite	347:19,21,23	275:8 316:19	364:25 365:4	185:15
162:22	348:17,17	318:18 352:23	371:25 374:9,9	prohibited 279:3
prescreen 263:5	358:6 382:1,20	372:14 385:2	390:15,16	project 69:2,5,8
prescribe 294:8	419:20	402:7 416:17	391:12,13	162:15 215:3
present 6:16 90:4	prices 59:14	problem 104:15	392:2 396:3	236:20 270:15
144:5,12 175:8	277:9 289:22	248:10 306:20	410:4 417:12	364:24 373:21
259:12 260:16	301:5 304:1	308:8 337:25	417:18,22	373:25 378:12
presentation	320:7 353:12	problems 214:19	processes 245:24	380:7 389:24
326:7	353:12	378:21 393:20	425:15	393:17 403:13
presented 11:18	pricing 225:4,5	procedural 424:7	procure 153:16	404:15 406:10
91:17 166:19	231:14 357:14	424:16 425:9	328:14	406:20 411:14
188:5 194:16	358:14 410:13	425:16	procurement	411:19
317:8 398:1	primarily 258:8	procedure 11:1	136:7 400:17	projected 57:21
412:17	primary 261:11	14:2	procuring 119:15	289:22 322:13
president 12:13	346:22	proceed 257:25	produce 196:20	343:17 347:25
14:17 15:7 72:9	principal 181:23	260:25	346:12 353:21	projecting 180:2
121:10 122:3	318:9	proceeding 16:18	354:6,10,19	199:5 323:16
161:2 362:25	principle 247:4	18:25 216:7	produced 202:6	344:8 348:9
presiding 7:9	principles 244:7	244:22 247:23	297:14 347:10	projection 74:15
pressure 234:24	245:17	337:9	350:3	projections 255:5
234:25 246:22	prior 10:1,3 33:4	process 15:2 33:9	producer 234:4,9	386:9
pressures 211:13	33:4 112:22	33:16 63:2,14	produces 203:8	projects 162:3
215:6 233:16	193:22 201:15	63:17 65:13,21	419:20,20	175:6 236:14
235:8,11,13	204:12 209:12	66:18 68:8,11	producing 80:25	239:11,12
presumably	priority 129:9	68:20,23 69:7,9	81:6 354:23	240:4,9,11,11
120:6	private 134:20,23	69:11 70:1,9	product 96:24	374:9,10
presumption	402:10	73:16,19 74:3	146:13 373:3	378:15 403:15
152:19 394:10	privilege 103:7,7	99:5,13,25	373:23	403:16 406:25
pretty 33:15	103:12 104:1	100:13 105:22	production	407:2 408:11
129:7 177:20	105:12,14	107:17 110:23	111:10 173:4	410:1 411:4
254:20 324:13	privileged 102:18	110:24 112:20	237:21,24	promising 28:19
354:23 406:24	102:24 103:21	119:14,19	238:4 250:11	promulgated
411:15	104:11 105:1	120:4,7,18	251:1 267:20	21:24 22:3
prevents 11:1	pro 7:22 69:17	121:4,21	268:1 273:15	promulgation
previous 33:6	426:3	124:15 125:10	274:2 336:24	315:5
34:23 35:3	probabilistic	130:22 131:3	354:6 389:10	proof 9:7,12
52:23 114:2	325:13,16	136:7 144:4	products 198:12	proper 174:5
170:2 228:5	probability	160:7 161:9	profile 366:16	properly 18:16
417:24	177:15 352:3,9	165:23,24	profiles 397:5	247:17
previously	probably 32:23	168:21,24	program 42:14	property 86:18
154:24	64:24 75:10	169:6,23	48:22 61:24	193:1,1 332:9
price 41:12 58:24	81:25 85:12	174:23 177:24	programs 133:7	proposal 122:20

123:18 212:18	143:16 160:10	338:15 402:15	303:11	qualifications
399:4	182:8 185:5,8	publically 136:9	purports 48:11	236:20
proposals 135:21	221:21 222:7	183:10 329:3	140:16	qualified 185:1
161:16 389:15	222:10 224:15	publication 9:9	purpose 7:15	qualify 236:15
394:21,23	251:23,23	publicly 42:13	183:14 192:8	238:8
propose 85:6	329:3 361:10	396:24	225:3 246:16	qualitative 258:5
370:18	363:6,9 395:11	publish 326:10	257:22 306:4	258:21
proposed 17:5	404:24 409:2	published 20:21	311:6 414:22	quality 31:15
64:10,25 65:5,5	providers 136:10	326:9 329:15	purposes 94:11	355:24
65:6,7,17,19,20	provides 48:15	publishes 327:6	111:21 118:4	quarter 151:21
65:22,24 67:16	48:16 81:11,14	pull 28:1 38:14	193:15 194:24	151:21 169:4
67:20 68:12,15	425:17	42:20 59:5,6	195:3 212:1	quarterly 175:5
73:6,6 79:3	providing 9:21	242:11	295:5 310:5,13	quarters 151:6
85:6 90:2	9:24 10:13 16:2	pulling 56:20	310:15 311:6	question 19:19
115:10 136:22	30:11 118:11	purchase 41:12	313:1 315:12	39:11 62:8
210:21 315:13	118:11,17	53:22 57:15	373:12 415:4	76:17 78:16
376:19 379:18	182:24 192:12	60:23 74:20	pursuant 9:17	80:12,23 82:1
423:5	provision 138:18	94:4 127:22	38:7	85:10 93:19
proposes 115:10	140:6	157:13 158:4	purview 381:3	103:23 104:4
proposing 68:7	provisioning	193:11 213:15	pushes 209:16	104:25 105:4,5
115:14 127:2,3	389:19	213:19,21	put 9:18 38:23	105:9,13
170:23 328:12	provisions 149:9	287:24 307:14	40:4 112:11	115:18 118:5
prorated 416:4	404:20	347:13 400:21	122:4 124:1	123:12 126:9
provide 10:2,4,5	proxy 195:6	401:5 416:13	125:2 127:8	129:11 133:20
10:6,15 12:20	294:21 295:1	423:12	142:6 171:8	134:16 139:6
13:1 14:25 26:2	311:25 315:10	purchased 53:17	176:16 203:7	139:21 150:9
39:17 48:11	prudent 76:24	54:9 94:9 157:5	211:19 213:18	153:9 155:17
56:18 65:15	178:5 401:17	194:25 195:8	213:21 241:4	157:3 160:12
76:6 107:23	PSC 6:17	208:16 415:25	243:2 251:13	173:8 174:22
111:7 116:25	psc.comment@	418:16,21,23	251:20 263:5	199:25 205:15
135:10 143:8	10:8	purchaser	272:7 277:21	234:19 235:9
144:7 167:20	psc@ky.gov 10:7	302:19,21	279:25 280:19	245:13 249:10
189:8,13 193:6	PTC 241:13	purchases 58:2,3	282:17,19	249:11 270:11
198:12 219:7	250:23,24,25	93:23 106:21	305:12 342:4,9	272:6 275:5
223:1 224:11	252:8	107:7,10,18,19	350:14 352:13	276:8,24
229:7 251:14	PTCs 202:12	157:12 210:7	377:25 378:3,5	281:13 289:16
256:25 260:23	public 1:2,10 7:8	288:23 289:17	380:5 383:24	290:12 293:23
277:6 311:9	9:7,7,11,17,20	289:20 303:21	396:10 406:21	294:10 299:14
315:17 318:10	9:21,24 10:2,5	304:17,17,24	411:9 417:13	307:4 321:20
318:25 329:7,8	10:7,9,13,14,16	346:13,19	puts 85:17	323:9 326:25
343:3 348:17	101:16 102:19	347:6 417:16	369:10	327:5 328:11
363:2,6 396:5	102:20 106:12	purchasing 83:14	putting 117:10	333:17,18
409:4 413:16	131:15 132:15	116:19	121:13 356:21	335:24 339:7
provided 9:11,18	135:2,4 141:15	purely 376:22		347:15 348:5
29:24 72:19	142:6,25	purport 142:17	Q	348:11,20
107:2 137:8	248:15 261:13	purported	Qs 404:24	352:17 357:25
	l	l		

				1490 172
358:1 365:8	288:2 289:12	raise 11:20 71:14	252:22 257:2	realities 353:5
366:2,3 369:18	289:13 297:4	166:20 181:1	282:20 325:6	reality 313:1
369:19,22	298:4 299:8	188:7 317:11	ratings 216:14	realize 47:14
371:14 374:19	306:25 307:1	360:6 362:6	326:22 332:22	246:18 252:17
380:20 383:3,5	309:7,10 319:6	398:2 412:18	ratio 384:11	301:11
383:8,17,18	321:24 327:8	ramp 273:16,20	rationalization	realized 223:7,17
384:6 400:16	332:15,17	273:20	380:1	realizing 233:18
401:21 402:3	336:21 338:14	ramping 271:15	RCU 37:22 38:5	really 22:9 28:2
403:8 407:18	338:21 361:9	273:24 274:4	re-optimized	34:16 35:24
409:17 414:22	361:16,18,20	ramping's	205:5	37:17 41:3
422:21	361:21,22	271:16	re-payers 343:3	42:18 44:24
questioning	363:13,19	ran 9:9 203:13	reach 227:7	47:7 58:24
160:13 164:10	368:2,6,12	278:9,12	294:2	62:25 69:12
313:15 402:4	376:6 389:8	298:16 302:1	reached 226:17	80:21 90:19
questions 13:6,9	396:13,15	348:22 349:11	226:18 229:16	91:20 111:4
14:12 17:17	399:10,11	range 173:1,11	406:11	126:5 138:12
19:4,12 40:6	400:12 402:19	261:10 262:8	reaching 54:14	138:24 151:20
61:19 62:9,11	402:20,23,25	267:3 300:13	229:24	152:19 179:25
62:23 65:18	413:20 414:1,3	303:8,10	reaction 67:21	207:1 210:2,19
70:14,16,17	414:4,5 418:15	304:25 320:18	read 43:23 77:12	232:15 235:6,9
72:18 75:1,10	421:8,9 423:24	ranging 320:14	77:13 82:21,23	238:20 253:20
78:18 79:2 93:2	queue 175:7	rank 89:19,19	90:10 91:13	257:14 263:1
96:3,12 101:15	403:22 407:7	ranking 410:1	140:2,3 141:12	268:3,7 280:8
105:11,14,24	quick 88:24	411:7 419:22	208:3,9 295:12	280:14 291:7
106:11 110:25	122:22 174:9	rate 5:21 6:14	310:17 313:24	301:1 311:23
113:3,7 114:15	290:24 297:4	45:3,15,16,17	319:25 331:17	325:2,5,12
128:14 133:14	298:4 348:5	86:19,19 89:10	403:23 404:3	344:18 346:22
160:15 164:6	374:17 379:15	89:11 91:9,16	404:15 406:2	352:12 364:18
167:24 168:5,7	422:20	95:7,13 143:6	readily 306:16	366:13 367:6
168:14 169:9	quickly 11:3 22:9	148:19 191:17	readiness 165:13	367:23 371:18
170:14 171:10	28:2 33:15	202:19 203:7	reading 77:14	371:19,24
171:12,14	35:24 37:17	207:6 239:14	78:11 83:4	373:20 375:3
180:14 182:7	41:3,7 42:18	239:16 242:3	89:24 97:13	380:9,14 388:4
182:13,15,21	58:24 89:1	243:6 244:23	331:8 389:18	388:17 390:17
184:21 186:1,8	quite 36:2 69:16	252:18 259:25	389:23 403:10	395:25 396:19
189:21 190:4	133:2 261:23	273:9 283:5,10	403:14	396:23 407:24
215:23,24	337:10	284:17,19,19	readings 198:17	410:24 421:1
216:8,9 221:9	quote 135:19	284:25 288:17	ready 21:2	realm 220:11
224:17 230:16	152:20 162:3	299:18 300:2,3	123:19 190:2	realtime 146:17
236:8,9 241:18	299:18 324:15	300:7,12	239:2 319:10	reask 13:24
242:23 243:25	391:25 393:10	343:23 355:25	363:17	376:12
249:12 250:9	quotes 152:15	421:18	real 88:24,25	reason 19:19
250:18,20	325:2	rates 44:15 94:3	158:16 257:16	128:3,3 162:18
251:21 253:14	R	95:10,11 192:3	422:20	166:11 184:12
253:23,24	radar 108:8	194:4 195:2	real-dollar	238:19 261:8
275:19 279:13	1 auai 100.0	235:16 237:11	234:14	261:11 359:6
	I	l	I	I

				Tage 473
reasonable 55:4	receives 114:25	123:8,9,11	redundancy	40:19 42:9
63:23 83:18	135:22	126:23,25	351:8	104:25 135:20
94:8 102:16	receiving 114:20	135:5 146:1	refer 20:20 25:3	142:19 144:20
109:19 117:18	116:12	167:1,14 181:9	26:1 106:17	147:23 148:4
135:22 158:22	recess 71:3,6	186:8 188:13	108:19 145:17	148:16 161:14
158:23,24	113:11,13,15	200:6,7,14	190:15 244:4	251:1 264:21
159:3 172:25	200:3 249:15	207:17 250:3,4	250:25 271:24	275:23 283:12
183:21 213:6	249:18 250:2	291:24 316:25	275:24 337:2	385:9 423:11
239:6 244:25	316:9,24	317:2,16	395:10	423:12
246:13 298:20	358:22	328:25 338:15	reference 20:18	refers 162:21
304:19 305:20	recognition	358:25 359:1	20:21 29:19	225:25 228:18
306:2,8,11	265:4	360:12 362:12	77:16 79:7 82:9	229:12 243:9
310:15 392:16	recognize 179:19	398:16,18	95:1 96:19,23	243:13
410:19	196:20 211:13	412:25	171:20 205:25	refining 131:8
reasons 117:3	246:21 265:6	records 49:24	205:25 208:10	reflect 174:17
210:9 245:1	268:8 296:1	recover 95:22	208:25 231:21	189:22 196:13
258:5 389:4	326:6	194:1	231:21 235:7	312:25
reassessment	recognized	recovering 10:25	235:10 245:10	reflected 141:13
284:7	252:15 325:7	14:9 194:3	248:22 275:19	164:25 268:12
reboot 359:7	recognizing	recovery 125:22	276:13 277:16	305:13 312:23
rebuild 263:15	303:23	148:25 194:4	277:16 278:2	314:3 347:17
377:14 378:9	recollection 73:9	203:4 259:25	278:22 279:2	382:1
379:10 380:9	108:5 115:23	262:5	290:24 291:18	reflection 269:5,6
recall 24:23 25:5	126:16 162:13	recurring 268:11	297:12 298:17	269:17
25:9 27:24	251:9	268:13,21	298:18 300:20	reflective 304:13
39:11 64:9 99:6	recommendation	269:18 271:8	301:17,20	reflects 196:8
99:7 137:25	121:24 123:19	271:19 404:24	302:4,5 311:19	337:14 353:5
164:12 192:21	123:21 244:22	red 123:4	320:1,1 328:4	refuse 148:10
192:23,25	248:1	redirect 2:12,21	335:8 345:6	Regan 2:11 7:11
193:3 236:5	recommendati	3:11 70:19	404:4 423:2	70:17,18
248:1,6,14,24	120:13 122:12	164:6,7 180:16	referenced	133:17 134:4
249:2 309:14	248:9 421:2	187:6 309:6,8	186:16 253:6	134:10,14
309:19 313:15	reconciled	359:4,15	322:25 327:17	regard 28:18
313:17 333:5	219:14	397:18,20	327:24	32:15 150:19
333:20,21	reconductoring	412:6 422:19	references 82:25	163:17 304:10
336:25 337:7	377:13 378:8	422:22	248:3,17	329:11,13
387:4 404:18	379:7 380:8	reduce 350:7,18	referencing	366:24
recalling 76:12	reconfiguration	373:13	81:20 146:3	regarding 65:7
receive 30:8,9	377:14	reduction 21:15	190:20 251:25	102:17 112:24
115:4 120:11	reconstructed	50:23 55:8	322:7 406:5	126:22 127:1
122:19,20	21:20 22:25	111:1,3 197:21	referrals 187:22	174:25 211:2
239:1	record 7:3 8:23	198:9,20	referred 236:7	260:18 294:4
received 120:7	9:8 11:25 12:12	236:18 410:5	247:6 294:19	294:14 322:7
122:16 187:21	18:8 48:8 71:8	410:14,23	338:17 417:3	366:3
321:20 394:6	71:20 113:17	reductions	417:24	regardless 267:7
415:18,24	113:24 114:3	169:13 232:15	referring 26:23	312:10,13
		l	I	l

				Page 4/4
364:25 370:11	Reid 2:13 166:18	relevant 18:25	removed 192:19	reported 42:10
387:22,24	167:3,8 246:15	19:23 194:16	193:23 279:10	reported 42.10
405:2 415:7	Reid's 246:20	233:25 236:24	removing 258:5	30:10 333:22
regards 169:13	reinstated 34:22	238:14 240:1	renewable	Reporting 1:22
169:19 220:16	relate 75:18	242:12 243:16	130:14 137:9	reports 175:4
253:5	104:3 152:19	243:22	161:21 205:18	176:24 177:1
region 130:11	180:6,8	reliability 144:14	207:20 210:13	295:10 378:3
183:13	related 103:22	214:2,3,19,22	224:18 228:22	represent 91:15
regional 231:11	108:10 149:9	300:9 382:13	235:16 320:12	168:13 216:6
231:12,15	237:11 243:5	422:1	366:10	260:15,22
276:17,18,25	243:11 245:14	reliable 197:4	renewables	301:25 345:16
302:6 360:25	250:11 269:23	199:10 315:17	196:16,18	representation
regions 397:12	324:17,19	relied 183:9	206:2 207:19	322:11
397:14	333:1 336:23	329:10	210:7 212:24	representative
regs 66:9	389:20 394:22	relief 334:8	215:2 229:2,3	193:16 258:17
regu 66:7	395:7,20	relies 331:24	233:14,19	260:5,9,14
regular 42:11	400:16 421:25	rely 184:2 213:19	235:13,14	represented
385:25	relates 102:7	303:14,15,18	renewals 258:13	222:17 224:10
regularly 43:5	105:8,12 159:5	304:5 371:25	repeat 61:12	287:9 307:25
326:9	215:13 304:11	407:2	376:5	396:1
regulated 405:23	307:2 326:8	relying 137:9	repeating 139:13	representing
413:13	356:3 373:10	260:21 347:5	rephrase 95:3	196:17 217:7
regulation 18:3,4	389:1 394:22	396:23	replace 203:19	276:23 309:13
19:7,8 136:19	402:4	remain 28:21	307:8 417:15	represents 91:16
137:3,19 138:1	relating 17:10	remained 192:7	replaced 94:4	320:9
138:19 139:7	relation 296:22	remaining 94:24	204:4 214:18	request 11:8 61:6
139:18 140:7	372:20 384:3	95:6,22 96:20	358:4	97:10 103:24
140:12 164:10	relationship 76:1	192:6 205:5,6	replacement	104:12 105:5
164:11,16,17	148:1 355:18	remains 163:6	93:12 155:20	106:18 107:1
227:12 277:18	355:21	390:2 403:22	155:22 308:11	114:2 148:13
277:18 298:22	relative 107:15	remember 63:19	reply 425:10	155:10 187:16
299:4	143:6 198:19	83:4 99:21	report 1:4 7:5	275:10 278:7
regulations 14:25	218:9 222:18	111:23 121:10	12:18,24,25	298:2 316:3
15:16 16:25	223:4 231:19	125:21 136:15	15:5,6 72:11	335:16 336:1
17:10,17,20,23	276:21 277:8	160:5 177:13	75:2,13 167:18	336:13 344:23
18:17 19:10,13	302:11,22,22	232:13 237:23	171:18 174:11	370:11 389:21
19:14 20:15	302:23,25	248:2 250:22	182:1 196:9	394:21,23
32:25 63:3,12	305:22 314:24	299:23 365:18	214:9,9 217:21	399:3 408:4,14
63:25 64:5,6	323:9,10 343:4	390:3 400:18	247:22 250:23	requested 38:18
66:16 126:19	343:10 411:7	407:9 417:3	251:5,15 310:2	104:7
128:25	411:21	418:1 424:10	317:3 318:17	requests 12:20
regulatory 72:9	relatively 44:18	remembering	318:18 337:3,5	16:8 20:8 25:21
100:7 101:19	114:13 119:7,9	110:17	338:17,18	39:3 72:11
101:23 136:23	296:8	remind 411:25	340:1 356:16	161:16 167:21
420:9	relevance 17:1	remove 193:21	361:3 378:1	181:25 189:14
REHC 226:20	relevancy 245:14	279:9	398:23 425:21	215:21 361:2

				Page 475
384:21 385:4	184:25	281:19,20,23	139:3 144:6,9	294:1 309:16
389:9,14	residual 216:15	281:19,20,23	144:11,24	309:20,21
,	217:2 400:24	281:23 282:1,7		/
413:17 424:8		,	145:9,11 147:4	310:10,11,18
424:12,17,24	401:11,16	284:13,16	147:11 160:20	310:20,22
425:1,3	402:1	285:1,2 287:17	163:20,21,23	311:8,9,16,17
require 22:12	resolution 289:9	289:21,23	164:19 183:24	311:22 312:8,8
28:21 55:18	resolve 28:21	290:14 293:3	190:12,22	312:20 313:20
77:3 162:2,3,18	371:6	293:15,19	195:23 196:19	314:16 315:16
273:19	resolved 232:21	294:6,9,15	198:16 204:14	320:12 324:23
required 132:19	243:11	296:7 303:14	205:1,6,10,14	325:3,8,23
132:24,25	resource 1:4 7:5	303:15 310:3	205:18 206:5	333:2,18 342:3
157:5 326:11	12:17 18:3,6,16	311:20 312:4	207:20,25	343:7 347:5
355:9 365:7	18:18 76:1,4,5	313:21 314:13	210:5,6,13	348:21,23
373:23 401:15	78:7 81:12,16	317:3 318:12	213:24 214:12	350:18 351:11
404:22	83:1,5 99:8	333:19 342:2	214:17,18	351:11 352:15
requirement	101:24 102:9	343:2,6 346:9	216:18,22	356:13 366:16
76:23 77:3	118:17 128:7	346:11,16,16	217:3,5,14,16	396:3,5 405:5
137:11,14,17	135:21 136:10	347:11,25	218:6 220:8,23	408:24 419:7
137:19 138:18	136:19,22	348:10,13,13	224:18 225:6	422:3
139:18 148:24	137:2,4 141:22	348:25 352:15	225:19 230:17	respect 110:20
282:7 291:12	141:25 150:4	352:20 354:16	232:6,10,16,22	127:21 129:8
292:3,4,25	152:23 153:13	354:17 355:7	232:25 233:6,9	132:9 192:2
293:9 300:17	154:8,17,18	358:7 363:8	234:20,22	261:2 263:8
300:19 304:13	156:1,16	366:10,11,14	235:5,23 239:1	278:15 279:15
305:16,22	157:21,23,25	366:19,23	239:16,19	289:16 291:25
345:7,11,12	158:2 163:11	369:15,20	240:20 241:19	293:25 296:2
346:3 404:15	163:12 167:18	385:11 388:25	241:20,22	324:21 345:3
requirements	169:12,12	396:14 398:19	242:14 243:23	346:9 347:16
22:10,12,25	189:2,6 196:13	398:22 409:19	245:24 246:2,4	348:7 353:11
24:2 54:14	197:3 199:10	415:19 419:3	246:8 254:4,5,9	356:2
65:23 75:14,17	202:23 203:18	421:22 422:5	254:16,21,24	respective 218:25
136:23 146:22	203:21,22,25	425:23	255:1,7,10,12	respectively
147:6 172:14	204:1,24	resource-type	255:22,25	23:20
288:13 346:25	206:16 207:11	81:13	256:2,5,17	respond 100:15
355:10 356:6,8	210:5 212:10	resources 6:5	257:9,21	141:16,16
364:10 387:20	212:20 213:12	25:19 73:6	258:14 259:6,7	142:7 156:10
389:22 407:8	215:20 220:22	74:16 76:14,25	259:20,21	156:13 404:17
requires 101:11	222:19,24	79:19,19 80:3	260:9,19 261:3	responded 26:9
137:4	224:23 232:3	81:9,10,10,19	262:21,24	39:9 60:11
reserve 75:8	238:1,14,15	81:19 82:12	265:8 272:1	101:12 327:7
	245:20 246:18	85:17 102:15	276:11 279:7	336:5
198:18,20 217:11	247:5 257:18			
		109:5,17,21	280:2,7,16	respondents 410:12
reserves 353:2	258:16 262:12	115:19 116:14	281:1,4,11,15	-
reset 400:1	262:20 272:15	130:15,19	281:15,22	responding 156:8
resets 38:22	273:8 274:23	133:23 136:13	284:13 288:6,8	369:17 404:16
residential 91:25	277:8 280:21	137:9 138:3	288:10,16,17	response 16:21
				l

				Tage 470
24:24,25 25:4	424:20	revealing 99:11	161:14,14	42:22 43:15
26:1,11,22,24	responsibilities	99:23 104:7,16	163:18 238:25	44:3,9,19,25
27:2,10 28:13	16:24	revenue 87:1	310:7 374:8,9	45:15,23,25
46:8 52:7 56:13	responsible	148:24 252:16	389:22 396:4	46:13,22 47:2,5
56:17 57:3 58:6	120:12 420:9	282:6 291:12	396:18 403:14	47:10,18 48:2
60:8 94:21	responsive	292:2,4,25	403:23 405:4,5	48:18,18 49:13
97:15 102:4,7	369:17	293:9 300:17	407:21 408:24	49:17 51:19,23
102:19,20	rest 206:11	300:19 304:12	409:1,6 417:18	51:24 52:3,4,8
103:11 105:7	208:12 253:13	305:15,21	422:6	52:11,14,22,25
106:18,20,24	289:8 357:16	345:7,11,12	RFP's 141:15	53:3,8,10,14
100:18,20,24	415:25 425:9	346:3	RFPs 76:7 86:16	54:2,5,10,16,17
115:24 138:17	restrict 57:12	revenues 305:23	120:5 121:13	54:19 55:5,9,10
141:18 145:13	restricted 83:15	review 16:17	127:7 130:7,10	55:12,19,20,23
146:2 148:14	result 60:9 301:7	26:10 110:22	130:11,12,13	55:24 56:1,5,6
150:8 155:22	306:7 351:10	110:24 113:24	133:22 161:24	57:7,13,17,17
155:25 157:3,6	369:14 411:11	121:21 123:16	163:24 389:15	57:22,25 58:3
162:11 184:8	resulting 320:20	125:3 228:4	391:2 395:8,19	59:10 60:5,23
184:16,18	results 52:19	242:9 247:21	403:6 404:16	60:24 62:2
203:21,23,24	174:17 196:8	247:25 257:16	405:25 406:23	63:13 64:15
209:23 228:10	207:13 226:10	295:9 337:4,8	409:14 419:14	65:8,11 66:12
228:16 229:4	299:22 302:14	340:24 399:2	420:5,11	66:13 67:11
321:19 333:23	319:23 367:10	reviewed 8:23	Rhode 248:5	69:16,19,21
411:21 419:14	387:8	15:25 20:16	Rhodes 20:25	71:15 72:23
responses 12:20	retail 356:9	21:8 36:4,5	28:3 36:1 38:17	90:10,24 93:6
12:24 13:1 16:7	retain 94:17	135:14 161:3	39:7,24 42:22	93:11 97:16
16:9,13 19:6	retire 125:16	242:6 329:12	46:2 47:18	98:12 99:2
20:7 25:21 39:2	126:11	407:10	RICE 213:10	100:16 101:25
72:10 99:16	retired 37:1	reviewing 16:9	right 7:25 8:23	109:1 113:10
100:4,5 101:21	88:14 191:6	42:9 120:8	11:9,11,20 14:9	114:6,7 117:1
102:2 110:5	214:12 364:12	reviews 31:18	14:14,18 15:9	117:16,22,23
133:23 135:11	365:19	revised 33:21	15:19 19:8 20:4	118:14 119:18
135:13 141:12	retirement	35:10 37:23	20:8,12,13,16	120:11,21
143:9,20	357:22 358:3	RFP 76:25 80:22	20:24,24 21:5	121:22 122:15
145:15 152:7	384:17	98:23 99:5,17	21:17,21,25	122:17,17
153:14 154:24	retirements	100:4 101:20	22:4,16 23:1,1	123:10,17
155:10 167:20	385:1	109:16,25	23:6,12,20 24:2	124:12 125:14
168:17,17,25	retiring 414:21	110:5,23	24:3,7 25:12	126:1 128:3
181:24 189:13	retread 152:18	120:10 122:19	26:19 28:25	130:1,4 135:2
189:17 217:22	return 150:25	122:20 123:17	29:4 30:18,25	135:24 136:17
319:1,5 336:9	151:15 209:22	130:24 135:19	32:9,19,20 34:8	140:18 142:3,4
363:9 368:14	282:21 285:10	135:20,21	34:10,24 35:5,7	142:21 143:1
395:8,19,21	287:1,2,2 334:8	136:6,9,13	35:12,13 36:15	143:17 145:7
396:18 399:2	355:21	141:24 142:6	36:20,21 37:8	146:18 153:17
408:25 409:1,6	returning 46:24	142:14,17	37:23 38:7 39:3	153:19,22,25
413:16,21	48:14 56:13	143:6,9 160:22	39:7,11 41:10	157:19 159:21
419:14,14	reveal 103:21	160:25 161:9	41:10 42:11,12	161:17,22
1	10,001103.21	100.25 101.7	11.10 12.11,12	101.11,22
	•	<u>'</u>		

				Tage 477
162:5 163:7,8	351:15 353:25	350:17,21	roomful 249:22	rules 14:25 16:2
166:20,25	354:4 355:19	351:3,13 355:1	rooted 239:4	16:3 18:7,9,10
171:3 179:24	356:20 358:21	357:14 373:13	254:19,21	18:14 20:10
180:4 181:1	359:23,25	379:4 380:23	roughly 75:4,6	28:17 63:6,7
183:18 188:7	360:6 362:7	387:21 395:6	76:16 113:2,2	65:7,16 84:8
192:25 198:6,7	366:17 367:14	395:20	124:22 125:6	222:18 315:5,9
200:18 202:18	369:11 370:12	risks 179:9	191:8 333:6	387:8 401:15
202:20 204:10	370:16,19	315:11	routinely 326:10	411:2
211:12 212:2	371:20 373:3,9	risky 90:13	327:6 329:10	ruling 242:22
212:14 213:13	374:4,18	350:21	329:14	run 27:12 31:5
215:8,19	375:17 376:15	River 159:21	row 38:1 44:13	193:17 205:21
217:16 218:3,4	377:14 378:15	219:3,3 231:12	49:1 51:18	213:20 223:19
221:3,5 226:18	379:5,14,21	249:5 253:11	rows 36:15 51:18	235:22 262:19
230:10 231:4	380:2 381:8	257:7,15 301:2	RPR 1:21	263:1,17,23
232:8 235:7	382:24 383:10	302:1 318:8	RR 300:16	265:14 268:5
236:17 238:5	383:16,20	Riverside 5:12	RTO 382:14,21	269:8,14,18
241:1 245:17	385:22 386:4,5	12:2 167:4	390:18 391:18	272:23,24
250:2 252:3,6	387:16,18	188:15 413:2	406:6	273:3 277:24
252:10,12	388:12,16	RMR 1:21	RTOs 214:21	278:7,22
253:17 256:19	390:8 392:5	road 109:15	394:13	281:19 282:3
259:23 267:8	393:6,10,19,23	178:19 362:14	rubber 109:15	305:7 344:5
267:20,23	397:16 398:3	384:7	rubric 160:21,24	348:25
269:20 270:1	399:14 400:24	robust 328:20	161:5 394:20	run-hour 269:25
271:2 272:10	404:10 407:6	333:3 352:23	394:21 395:2	running 31:4
272:16 273:17	408:13 409:21	354:23 355:3	396:11 407:21	40:11 229:6
279:8 282:23	410:3,4 412:11	357:20	407:24 408:5,6	268:5 342:16
283:9 284:14	412:19 414:23	Rockport 40:18	408:8,11,15	356:14,14
286:11,21	415:18 416:19	145:5,18	419:12,17	runs 155:18
287:5 291:5	417:12 418:4	415:17 418:18	rubrics 395:14	226:8,13
297:6 300:12	419:5,20	418:20	395:16,17	262:15 267:7
300:14 301:18	420:19 421:2	Rogness 9:5	407:19 420:15	301:9,9 340:13
302:16,24	423:11,15,18	role 14:13 15:15	rule 19:3 21:24	406:22
306:14,17,20	423:20 424:6	58:21 63:1	22:4 27:15,22	100.22
307:9,22 308:1	424:11,15	66:11 168:21	27:24 28:25	S
308:3,16,24	425:11 426:6	168:22 182:23	33:20 34:5,9	S 2:1 3:1
311:6 312:10	rise 314:18	218:18 238:6	57:11 64:14,18	S-O-W-E-R
316:23 317:11	riser 388:7	311:23	65:5,10 66:1,4	10:10
322:5,16 324:1	risk 90:13 175:17	roles 218:25	66:22 67:15,16	Sacre 6:17 7:2
324:2 328:1	177:12 178:5	roll 199:22	84:13 210:21	71:7 89:7,9
329:16 331:2	238:24 300:16	rolled 340:5,10	304:10,18	91:5 106:4,8
331:12 333:16	301:10 303:9	340:25	314:25	safe 76:6
334:3,19	303:11,19	rooftop 131:17	rulemaking 15:2	sale 423:6
336:19 346:5,8	304:3,12,20	131:21	22:8 30:4 31:13	sales 174:13
348:15 349:14	305:21,24	rooftops 133:8	65:4,21	301:14 302:11
349:15,24	315:20 331:3,4	room 7:12 135:7	rulemakings	302:12 303:22
350:1,11 351:1	331:5 350:5,6	355:22	17:5	303:22,24
	221.2 220.2,0			
	•	•	•	•

				Page 4/8
204.14.22	240:13 337:6	200.10.214.24	50.7.242.2	142.10 164.11
304:14,23		308:10 314:24	59:7 243:3	142:18 164:11
305:14,24 346:19	348:16	320:9,11	251:13 255:5,6 259:16 291:22	164:16 169:1
	saying 13:22	342:12,12		172:4,21
Sandy 36:13,19	29:15 74:7	415:9	screening 254:18	174:14,19
37:7,8 38:1	114:18 120:16	scenarios 171:23	254:20 255:12	224:22 228:10
40:17,19 41:15	130:1 132:16	172:4,10,23,24	255:13 257:23	231:8 264:6
42:8 43:10	137:23 150:17 154:21 156:25	173:17,21,25	258:3,4	319:21 389:25
44:10,23 46:12 47:1 50:6 52:2	198:1 209:12	228:22 229:13	scrolling 36:12	sections 69:20
		229:16 276:14	37:25 43:9,14	231:5
53:6,12,18 54:8	232:22 237:16	277:15,20	51:11	sectors 184:24,24
59:23 60:18	249:24 267:5	290:18 298:8	season 37:3,4	see 28:7 36:17
61:16 76:2	284:1 285:2	298:15,16	40:14,16,23	39:24 40:21
86:24 87:25	293:13 305:16	301:21,24	49:2,3 50:5	44:16 47:13
88:14 102:10	344:1 354:25	303:5 315:15	52:8,24 54:5	48:5,22 49:24
102:17 125:13	372:11,15	315:21 320:2	387:3	51:1,14 60:14
125:15 131:9	393:19 395:14	320:14,18	seasons 38:4	76:25 78:15
144:22 165:13	418:3	342:4 352:25	seat 11:24 71:19	97:14 98:22
191:2,6 233:2,4	says 44:15 48:5	schedule 99:4,7	113:16 167:2	101:3,3 102:7
263:17 363:25	48:25 92:3	99:19 219:22	181:7 360:11	102:10 105:24
364:4 365:10	93:12 97:13	273:7 424:7,16	362:11 398:7	110:9 111:17
366:4,24	106:25 110:9	425:9,17	412:23	126:7 127:25
368:12	110:15 112:13	scheduled 400:25	seated 317:16,20	129:20 138:12
SANTANA	135:18 138:1	401:10	second 29:13	141:10 144:15
200:5	139:8 144:3	scheme 273:25	35:17 40:25	157:10,12,14
Sargent 254:22	145:3 147:21	scope 184:14	42:20 47:24	157:16 187:3
271:24 340:1	148:14 156:20	194:7 196:3	78:10 103:16	193:11 199:7
satisfied 146:21	172:22 174:14	score 109:18	123:6 141:13	207:23 208:22
satisfy 147:6,12	196:7 225:7	396:12 419:14	155:2 200:13	214:14 215:5
saturation 324:5	227:18,22	421:18	224:23 233:22	216:18 227:14
save 35:23	228:21 232:19	scorecard 206:23	270:24 271:17	227:19 243:9
249:10 253:1	264:18 297:5	206:24 299:22	291:13 300:16	246:24 248:12
253:13 279:14	299:15 300:16	330:9 345:15	319:25 329:13	262:17 265:14
saving 373:19	325:14 355:22	scored 408:10	338:8 345:4,17	267:2 272:8
savings 183:16	403:9,13,15,16	scoring 121:15	349:19,25	279:10 299:3
184:1,8,17,19	405:14	160:21,24	351:15 352:19	307:6 321:22
184:20,20	scale 202:2	161:5 206:15	370:1,5 374:21	322:8 326:19
185:7,8,14,16	scenario 84:24	394:21 395:2	397:3 402:11	332:2 335:11
221:24 222:14	173:1,25 174:2	395:17 396:10	second-to-the-l	339:6,11
222:14,16	212:8,13 231:1	407:19,21,24	174:13	344:10 347:3
223:3,11,13,14	231:10,18,21	408:5,11,17	secondary	349:22 353:13
223:15,20,25	232:7,10,11	411:15 419:13	346:17	354:11 364:21
224:7,14	233:3 235:23	419:21 420:24	section 20:15	368:24 369:11
246:11 335:4	297:12 298:15	421:6	66:14 137:3	375:5,10
saw 52:24 80:15	300:12 301:15	screen 20:23 28:4	139:8 140:14	376:25 382:22
187:15 204:23	301:20 302:3	35:22 36:1 39:8	140:19,23,24	386:1,4,9 388:9
212:15 213:1	303:8,10	42:20 46:1 56:9	141:3 142:16	389:6 403:12
	I	I .	l	I

				Page 4/9
seeing 10:16	self 74:19	382:22 409:12	185:3 186:15	292:18 298:8
233:13 384:21	self-build 83:13	separation	189:7 191:2,10	307:19 308:13
411:1	127:21	382:20	192:13 203:8	seven-month
seek 67:22 95:21	self-built 116:17	September 76:11	209:18 220:3	93:25
101:14 121:18	self-own 127:13	98:24 107:2,5	209.18 220.3	Seventh 5:16
336:17 425:2	self-owned 127:4	127:8 130:12	238:7,8 244:15	shaft 202:17
seeking 130:10	self-schedule	130:25 131:1	248:15 256:23	266:1
130:20 369:14	273:6	135:20 389:15	284:4 294:4	shape 369:5
371:12 377:19	self-sufficient	399:3 403:6	296:22 311:9	shapes 184:5
seen 36:6 107:12	83:8	409:6	315:17 323:7	share 21:4 28:4
107:14 108:9	sell 347:11		334:16 335:4,5	36:1 39:8 42:19
111:24 147:24	seller 133:6	sequestration 22:15 255:24	362:25 406:16	42:23 46:1
		259:13	406:18 413:14	
178:17,22	selling 302:16 303:2		services 12:14	47:15 56:9
207:16 253:19		sequitur 126:9		100:7 101:19 150:24 151:11
291:20 321:15 321:25 353:8	send 30:14 344:22	series 202:12 222:10 223:10	14:17 15:7 189:9 318:11	150:24 151:11
384:13 391:16 391:21 393:19	sending 198:11 Senior 167:15	224:14 254:24	318:14 363:2	shared 29:13
		256:17 285:24	406:11	218:17 294:22
select 206:5,10	sense 49:1,9	298:4	serving 94:13 147:2 352:4	295:2 311:20
206:20 208:8	54:25 83:13,15	serve 76:5 92:11		312:1,3,19
256:18 262:13	83:17 112:4	144:12 147:7	session 100:18	shareholder
263:10 279:8	127:25 128:1	196:15 213:7	101:10,13,16	150:25 151:15
311:7 312:7	162:24,25	215:4 238:24	105:23 106:3	shareholders
346:11	214:22 219:20	287:22 288:12	106:12 134:12	422:14
selected 24:16,21	220:16 248:9	288:13 295:1	134:19 250:19	sharing 13:19
27:12 46:12	256:15 298:20	303:17,18	337:20 338:1,4	21:3 24:13 30:2
109:21 126:17	367:17 403:25	311:25 355:11	402:8,16 412:3	37:18 38:15,24
204:18 205:4	408:7,12	served 88:12	set 7:13 41:17	337:22
207:25 212:16	sensitive 100:13	288:18	54:13 93:2	sheet 29:23 37:21
222:11,13,22	sensitivity 75:25	serves 81:9	103:19 222:7	50:23
230:3 255:10	235:10,15,21	service 1:2,11,22	245:1 258:11	shift 217:10
261:22 314:16	sent 30:7 221:2	5:11 7:8,24	258:14 261:15	313:22 352:21
343:19	sentence 78:10	10:10 12:14	280:1 288:16	353:10,12,12
selecting 54:8	143:24,25	14:14,24 79:15	291:17 302:3	353:13
82:4 206:1	147:21 174:14	79:25 80:7,15	306:21 310:10	shifted 314:12,18
279:3 280:25	229:5 244:19	80:19 81:1,6	315:16 336:4	shifting 324:12
288:22 314:5	separate 34:6	90:16 94:23	346:19 370:10	351:20,22
342:3	94:15 95:2,5,19	112:5 115:11	424:7 425:11	shifts 217:9
selection 99:8	138:22 161:16	118:9,18 121:5	425:12,14	shocks 232:20
241:21 254:4	244:22 341:7	129:18,23	sets 320:10	233:7
259:8 265:7	371:11 380:13	130:11,16	424:17	shook 347:20
279:6 310:20	384:13	131:22 142:25	setting 425:8	short 43:15 44:2
315:12	separately 34:12	144:5,7 167:15	seven 77:6	52:6 57:22 59:9
selections 257:18	137:11 270:23	174:15,24	152:24 153:5	59:10 119:7,8
selective 55:8	270:25 271:14	175:3 176:14	153:21 154:5	200:2 210:11
selects 262:18	277:2,3 355:10	176:23 184:23	158:10 172:11	249:15 316:8
	I	I	I	1

				Page 480
316:10,10	314:13 351:15	301:6	364:15 404:21	small 190:22
347:12 391:7	389:2 395:5		406:14 407:5	210:16 256:5
399:16 400:1	396:17	simultaneously 280:8	sites 225:10,10	357:13 388:7
418:4	sided 246:17		238:12 373:16	391:20
-		single 198:6 202:16 203:18		smaller 213:10
short-term 168:23 232:20	sighting 241:7		sitting 270:21 272:1	261:23 284:21
	signal 198:11	263:20,20	· ·	
291:8 330:14	signature 28:14	266:1 284:25	situation 214:2	295:15,16 Smithle 262:14
330:16,17	signed 27:23	285:3,4,11	306:24 344:19	Smith's 362:14
346:1,2,13	50:24 163:19	340:6,13	407:14 414:14	snapshot 354:22
423:12	203:14 405:17	359:13 371:22	situations 178:9	solar 79:11,14
shorter 177:4	406:10	394:14	six 73:18 74:6	80:6,13,17 82:5
284:18 316:14	significant 28:20	SIP 32:3 33:17	77:6 117:15,18	85:20,24 110:8
shortfall 53:20	30:24 31:5 32:9	34:2,13	117:25 118:15	119:15 127:1,3
343:10,13,14	65:22 84:7	sir 72:24 85:18	118:15 119:3	127:5 128:7
344:2	117:25 118:1	86:20 87:3 90:5	124:21 172:10	131:17,21
show 20:22 37:18	157:4 262:14	91:4 96:8	390:19	133:8 161:19
104:1 108:24	296:8 314:15	102:24 104:13	six-page 200:15	190:12,23
109:2,3 176:8	373:7 374:23	106:4 143:18	six-year 74:7	196:19 197:5
176:19 198:5	375:2 377:20	172:8 180:17	117:7	197:18 198:3,7
310:21,24	385:2	190:16 191:8	Sixth 34:3	198:12,23
311:3 325:24	significantly	191:11 192:14	size 228:23 229:1	201:17,20
338:7 349:19	25:18 47:1	193:10,13	259:17 260:10	202:3 205:10
393:20	silent 7:14	196:5 197:8,15	263:4 295:3	208:6,15,20,23
showed 42:1	siloed 83:10,10	197:17 199:8	sizes 256:3,4,4	209:4,9,16,16
179:21 370:6	similar 44:6	199:11 200:17	261:6,10	209:18 210:24
showing 32:6	115:24 174:21	202:23 203:14	262:18	212:16 213:11
90:21 95:11	179:8 190:20	206:13 214:15	sizing 260:2	213:23 222:21
275:17 291:2	235:22 261:21	259:3 271:3	skip 144:19	225:18,19
292:3 322:19	314:22 315:3	278:24 279:1	279:14	226:18 227:17
326:15,22	320:13 324:13	296:16 301:23	skipped 51:8	227:25 230:13
380:10	340:17 342:19	303:4 316:1	skipping 152:11	230:15 232:15
shown 26:17	374:3 416:2	330:16 332:13	sleep 242:14	232:23 235:3
shows 106:20	similarly 225:18	357:5 393:7	slew 260:4	240:14,18,20
110:7 252:7	simple 203:1	403:7 417:7	slide 327:10	241:3,13,15,17
324:16 333:20	263:8 264:6,23	424:3	332:23 349:19	255:1 256:8
341:12 382:17	266:5,11	SIS 163:6 393:10	slight 38:2 207:7	273:13,15,24
shut 36:25 37:6	267:16 339:8	394:2,4,7 405:2	slightly 47:9 90:3	274:1 280:25
sic 21:11	339:23 341:2	405:19 407:6	90:8,8,12 187:1	289:18 301:5
side 22:24 150:23	382:4 391:20	sister 15:17	206:17,17,21	320:2,5,6,13,20
151:3,8 220:22	simplified 283:15	sit 11:2 66:19	323:21 324:12	321:11 322:8
235:6 241:22	simplifying	67:8 103:15	367:21	322:13 323:6
242:13,14	284:12	388:18	slower 168:15	324:5,7,8,11,13
243:23 245:20	simply 144:7	site 86:24 87:25	232:2,5	325:11,15
246:9,11 247:5	197:10 313:1	88:1,7,10,18	slowly 34:17	326:17,23
248:22 254:4,9	384:6	102:10,17	232:6 292:10	327:16 328:12
304:15 314:13	simulation 301:3	165:13 191:2	slug 267:23	331:25 333:1

				Page 401
350:8,8,18	solve 280:11,15	418:13	122:15,18	295:13 296:24
352:1,4 385:22	280:24 288:12	sort 15:17 21:18	123:16 127:12	357:1 363:5
387:23 389:16	solves 378:21	23:5 29:3 33:14	150:17 237:7	366:25 384:20
403:15,18	380:8	43:6 54:8 66:2	246:1 263:13	specifics 132:14
409:15 410:9	solving 279:19	67:1,3 68:21	speaks 118:18	236:11 321:3
410:12	281:8,16,18	85:16 94:22	specialize 121:8	spectrum 207:4
solars 229:19	342:11 346:24	100:23 116:5	specific 16:14	speculate 388:18
409:22	347:1	119:12 126:9	55:2,18 59:4	391:15,23
sold 94:18	somebody 40:5	133:6 173:16	67:12 84:20	speculative
solicitation 76:20	86:12,15	174:20 175:6	102:8 104:2,16	138:14 162:15
solicitation 70.20	119:20 152:7	176:18 186:13	105:16 124:6	177:23
76:18	153:2,23 158:9	248:5 275:16	147:17 148:13	speed 34:18
solicited 76:13	158:14 159:20	278:7 293:23	184:25 186:19	speed 3 1.16 spend 86:14
Soller 2:18 75:11	253:20 299:15	373:11 409:25	192:23 231:15	spent 86:22,23
78:19,20 82:18	307:11 369:10	420:4	232:7,14	221:15,17
84:21 87:24	369:14 374:21	sought 148:24	233:12 237:10	334:15
91:20 92:22	394:1 395:4,15	194:1 336:5	238:21,22	spit 126:15
109:22 110:14	396:9,10	394:6 404:25	242:23 244:1	spits 277:24
111:6 115:16	somebody's	sound 25:3,12	249:1 258:3,23	Spitznogle 2:5
128:9 156:6	154:20 407:3	35:12 47:10	258:25 261:2	10:25,25 11:6
160:6,9 164:14	somewhat 76:9	53:2 77:19	273:8 277:1	11:10,18,19
164:19 173:8	170:24 411:9	107:3 135:24	292:12 294:7	12:2,6,11,16
174:8 185:22	soon 30:14 66:17	136:15 162:5	295:6 310:7,18	13:14 17:19
185:24 187:14	sooner 74:23	163:7 372:11	310:20 311:8	48:14 62:17,18
187:21,25	sorry 9:1 17:24	sounded 139:14	312:19 327:1	62:19 70:22,24
188:5,14,20,25	24:24 26:21,25	sounds 21:17	335:7,14 356:4	73:22 74:1
190:9 200:20	27:1,9 29:12	35:13 53:3	385:14 395:14	85:10 119:16
215:10 309:11	30:17 34:16,18	63:22 76:16	396:4 404:18	360:1
316:5 334:10	35:22 38:11	97:19 99:22	408:9 425:3	Spitznogle's
334:14 336:23	40:3 42:7 43:20	107:4 135:16	specifically 20:14	50:24
337:17 346:20	44:14 45:16	142:4 163:8	22:19 26:4,14	sponsor 72:10
359:25	46:17 51:7,12	185:25	28:18 40:19	181:24 361:2
solution 212:13	51:18 89:8 96:5	source 69:15	55:18 63:11	sponsored 16:7,9
213:25 376:20	96:14 100:9	130:6,13	82:10 99:6	102:2
377:6,12,13	103:1 108:18	sources 137:10	104:3 125:13	spot 371:2
378:4,12,20	122:23 124:5	South 387:6	134:17 141:14	spreadsheet 36:3
379:1,3,7,9,14	155:7 169:12	Sower 1:11 10:10	148:16 160:5	39:19 41:25
379:14,18,21	173:5 199:24	space 329:10	161:9 164:11	51:22 52:23
379:23 380:1	209:14 228:1	spanning 92:19	187:1 192:21	56:15,16,21
388:15	242:16 243:18	speak 111:13	193:3 203:13	58:5,7,9 59:4
solutions 371:6	243:20 268:14	168:15 184:16	204:10 214:7	334:24
375:16 376:21	296:12 313:13	205:10 215:7	215:7 234:3	spreadsheets
376:22,23,25	318:24 320:16	292:12	237:6 238:2,11	40:25
377:10,24,25	335:21 339:11	speaking 63:2,5	247:8 248:23	stability 299:19
377:25 378:7	345:18 374:16	64:1,11 111:8	251:11 253:5	300:2,3,7,12
378:17,24	391:24 401:7	117:15 118:25	260:17 293:5	stable 268:6
	I	l	l	l

				Page 462
328:9,10	254:13 255:3,3	34:23 54:23	259:25 268:21	69:23
stack 185:17	254.13 255.5,5	56:5 66:15	271:4,7,11	straight 253:10
stacks 385:20	256:15,25	69:10 71:20	steel 233:23	283:20 284:1
staff 9:2,4 26:4,7	264:18 277:20	72:6 87:5,9,12	234:20	straightforward
46:8 62:13	300:23 309:11	87:15,17	stems 340:12	335:23
66:20 94:22	336:8 340:8,17	113:24 133:25	stems 340.12 step 32:18 74:8	strange 68:2
113:12 228:4	340:22 341:8	137:21 142:7	82:20 141:23	strategy 398:20
228:11,17,19	351:17,20,22	144:5 166:25	173:23 180:18	413:14
253:24 425:18	359:8 385:10	167:13 181:8	279:17 287:18	streamline
stage 99:13	start-up 265:4,15	181:20 187:2	287:18 289:21	105:22
stage 99.19 stakeholder	266:24 267:6,6	188:12 252:21	290:17 291:13	Street 5:4,8,16
204:12,16	267:21 268:4,7	317:15 360:12	346:2,10	317:18
213:3 349:17	268:12 270:3,8	360:23 362:12	348:15 409:24	strenuous 210:25
stakeholders	started 7:16 9:16	398:15 412:24	Stephen 3:3	stretch 124:4
207:1 278:7	11:11,14 54:13	413:11 420:25	360:4,13,18	strike 141:21
stakeholders'	63:14,20	stated 24:25	steps 68:13 73:13	strive 136:25
318:18	138:11 175:22	28:19 57:8	74:11 364:5	struggle 388:4
stand 193:4	187:14,25	102:14 115:9	sticking 252:25	struggic 366.4 stub 145:18,20
stand 193.4 standalone 128:8	205:23,24	165:17 173:17	stipulated 365:16	studied 368:15
128:10 273:22	206:3,4,9	178:7 221:13	Stites 5:4,8 7:20	380:23 384:12
274:15	207:17 208:11	221:21	7:21	studies 183:12
standard 24:5	240:6,7 254:15	statement 403:9	stochastics 301:1	184:2 186:11
54:15	256:9 257:10	statements	301:2	186:12,16,21
standards 21:24	265:11 276:14	135:18	stock 352:13	186:23 196:24
23:18,22 31:15	278:6 325:10	states 26:22 32:2	stop 24:13 38:21	369:24 370:10
31:20 364:8	333:11 336:14	34:13,22 57:11	38:24 114:20	372:5 390:4
365:12,17,23	336:23 425:22	77:14 224:23	114:23 132:18	391:17,21
standing 129:25	starting 48:25	240:17	340:17,22	392:21 393:19
standpoint 15:22	49:7 59:18	statewide 55:21	359:7	study 163:6
120:3,10	69:11 91:7	station 364:4,7	stopped 274:2	183:9,14
346:17 352:21	204:22 215:22	370:21 373:24	293:10	186:22 369:10
355:17 356:18	228:9 290:5	stationary 21:20	stopping 340:15	369:13 370:5,6
415:22	340:14,25	22:25 23:9	341:1	370:8,9 371:10
stands 314:9	342:21 349:13	status 103:10	stops 264:25	372:13 374:11
stapler 228:2	starts 48:6 59:10	stay 25:15 31:20	341:8	378:1,2,3
start 7:17 13:22	143:25 144:1	34:20 38:7	storage 130:14	383:23 384:1
56:23 63:14,16	155:13 172:3	57:21 166:10	161:21 210:7	387:7 390:2,8
66:3 70:6 73:5	172:21 201:5	265:13 268:5	232:16,23	390:13,17,18
73:13 82:14	226:24 239:5	304:5	256:9,11,13	390:20,21,22
112:21,22	264:25 265:5	stayed 34:3,10,12	257:11 281:1	391:5,6,8,8,10
119:13 177:10	267:1,12,17,19	34:14,21	320:13,20	391:19 392:1,5
180:4,6 201:21	268:12 269:7	307:12,15	323:20 367:14	392:6,14,19
204:14 207:10	324:12 325:19	stays 44:18	367:16 368:1	393:4,8,11,13
207:12 211:15	325:19 425:24	355:24	368:25 403:16	393:16,22,25
217:13 240:18	state 11:25 12:11	steam 21:19	403:17	394:7 396:24
241:6,6 250:17	18:11 32:7	22:10 203:4	stormwater	397:11 403:21
1				

404:9,19	327:23 363:14	summer 64:13	50:8 65:15 67:5	surprise 149:13
406:19	substantiated	75:2,8,18,20,21	73:16 74:3,18	205:11 295:14
studying 372:21	305:2	76:14 147:10	91:23 97:3,25	295:21,25
stuff 152:18	substation 364:3	297:16 301:17	100:23 103:8	425:14
sub 357:16,23	364:4,6 368:12	305:19 320:20	103:16,17	susceptible
375:4,5	368:17,19	350:23 351:24	106:14 111:16	229:24
sub-LDA 381:14	369:4,21	355:20	124:25 130:2	suspect 238:11
381:20 382:21	370:19,23,25	summer-peaking	131:20 134:3	sustainability
subcategories	372:23 373:20	147:6,9 386:18	134:16 135:17	300:10
23:9,17	388:12	386:20 387:1	137:1 138:16	swapped 203:25
subcategory	substructure	sun 351:19	138:20 144:17	204:2 205:16
23:25 24:4	373:20	supervision	150:8 155:3	swear 11:20
subject 10:23	subtracted	318:22 319:2	156:19 160:4,8	71:15 166:21
12:18 37:12,15	174:16	363:7	163:13 165:20	181:2 188:8
38:8 41:9 63:22	subtraction	supplemental	175:3 180:1	317:11 360:7
64:12 77:22	306:19	380:5	183:5 188:4	362:7 398:3
85:4 103:22	subzone 357:12	supplier 88:22	190:25 192:20	412:19
107:4 116:7,9	381:20	supplies 220:22	194:20 198:4	switching 35:22
198:24 232:23	subzone's 387:20	314:12 324:7	201:1 205:15	337:24
233:6,9 234:24	sudden 383:18	supply 144:11	211:6 214:22	sworn 12:7 72:2
237:14 242:19	sufficient 287:20	147:10 232:20	219:9,20	167:9 181:16
280:20 398:23	424:20	233:7 241:21	230:20 231:4,6	188:21 318:2
420:19	suggest 117:5	242:13,13	233:19 239:8	360:19 362:18
subjective 304:7	235:2 259:15	243:23 245:20	240:21 249:16	398:11 413:7
subjects 165:6	295:24	246:8 247:5	250:17,21	sync 219:10
submission 10:2	suggested 210:4	248:22 254:4,9	252:24 258:10	system 21:13,14
submit 32:2	210:10	351:15	263:18 270:2,5	31:4 34:23 35:7
197:25	suggesting	supply/demand	270:6 272:21	123:3 163:6
submittals 32:15	235:18 257:20	358:15	274:13 275:13	212:19 214:4,5
submitted 28:16	suggestions	supplying 288:16	276:5 279:24	245:19,23
32:13 125:3	260:23	support 12:16	285:3 288:1,12	246:5 262:7
130:24,24	suitability 80:2	14:22 15:1	289:14 305:17	273:12 274:20
131:16 132:15	suitable 80:18	147:7 219:4	306:6 320:1	274:22 352:20
147:5 163:2	Suite 1:22 5:8,16	239:13 256:25	322:1 323:8	357:16,19
189:10,18,19	5:21 6:10,15	360:25	330:5 337:25	359:7 363:25
363:8	suited 253:9	supported 219:2	345:1 353:4	365:9,17 366:4
submitting	sum 40:15 41:4	244:24 261:12	354:14 355:23	366:25 367:14
401:24	286:3	supportive	356:19 371:1,8	367:25 368:1
Subsection 139:8	summarize	238:13	376:7 377:7	368:17,25
subsequent 19:21	327:16	supposed 99:20	380:4 394:19	369:1,6,15
20:1 183:9	summarized	126:18 325:4	400:23 404:12	370:3 372:7
380:24	320:21	405:20,20	409:3 411:20	374:1,22,22
subsidiary 15:9	summarizes 79:9	sure 11:17 24:12	414:24 419:8	377:20 390:1,8
subsidies 202:10	226:10 231:2	25:9 28:7 32:22	425:19	390:12 391:5,8
substantially	summary 210:11	34:1 37:11	surface 69:22	391:9 392:1,19
189:24 322:24	summed 40:22	47:16,25 49:23	surplus 297:6,13	392:21 393:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Tage 404
393:10,11,13	188:19 194:22	271:17 279:7	337:15	tend 31:20 176:8
393:15,21,22	200:2,3 224:25	296:3 320:22	taxes 193:1,1	350:17 351:16
393:25 394:6,7	236:9 239:2	320:23 386:11	282:21 285:10	tens 384:25
394:9,11,14	242:24 244:15	386:12	332:9	term 48:4 57:22
395:18,18	249:14 257:21	talking 48:3	team 14:22 15:3	137:18 166:9
396:24 400:2	258:2 259:4,5	49:16 73:5	40:5 43:4 58:16	177:13 196:1
403:19,21	259:11 264:2	94:23 138:21	58:19 63:3	244:9 330:23
404:8,19	270:24 285:19	157:19 158:16	64:20 66:13	358:5 415:10
406:19 407:23	286:7 314:1	174:20 176:13	67:12 68:25	terminal 388:11
415:1	316:8,9,11,13	177:10 226:9	69:2,4 70:5	terminology
systems 50:22	316:17 327:15	231:4,9 250:23	120:12 121:5	237:23
393:6	354:8 358:22	264:6 270:22	121:23 123:16	terms 85:11
systemwide	368:14,17	272:2 282:11	160:9 185:9	179:5 207:8,9
354:17 387:23	370:15 371:17	293:16 332:25	219:16 220:2	218:9 219:10
sytem 375:9	373:9,21 391:7	334:11 394:23	220:24 221:22	220:5,21
	391:8 395:19	394:24,25,25	260:11 297:20	223:16 225:16
T	399:16,25	406:6	396:5,10	226:8 231:20
T 2:1,1 3:1,1	400:7 407:7	talks 174:12	405:24 420:8	238:18 239:15
T1 110:15	408:20 426:2	179:8 322:6	tear 340:16	247:4 255:4,6
T2 110:15	taken 74:8,11	326:17	technical 33:14	256:16 260:10
table 13:18 20:20	150:3 151:25	targeted 324:14	103:7 256:25	261:12,14
21:5 22:6 23:2	158:3 160:16	355:20	376:22,23	279:18 294:18
26:14,21 27:3,6	160:20 173:4	tariff 131:22	technologies	297:21 303:21
27:7 46:9,11	173:17 314:4	243:10,12,12	137:9 254:21	303:21 304:16
61:21 91:21	341:3 347:8,14	243:15 244:1,3	technology 28:20	308:21 310:21
140:15 191:21	356:7 380:5	244:16 365:3	55:15 202:14	311:21 325:8
194:16 207:25	388:24 390:19	tariffs 242:8	234:14 257:6	340:1 346:19
264:9 265:17	391:22 421:17	tasked 182:24	277:17 298:23	350:19 421:18
275:16 290:25	takes 31:5 38:23	184:10,13	299:6 302:7	422:2
291:2 297:2,14	73:16 123:21	tax 84:18 86:18	telecommunica	terrain 80:3,18
322:6 326:16	124:5 125:1	87:1,4 111:2,9	363:1	241:6
328:9 339:7,11	334:16 345:21	111:10,10,15	tell 38:19 70:8	territory 79:15
tabled 21:11	351:3 377:11	111:22 129:24	91:12 115:13	79:25 80:8,15
take 7:15 9:16	talk 13:21 67:9	213:11,23	120:9 158:23	80:19 81:1,7
10:18 11:10,14	74:25 109:23	236:4,7,10,14	211:17 230:5	90:16 112:5
19:3,5 26:10	168:15 176:1	236:18 237:17	279:23 289:15	129:24 130:16
46:2 66:6 67:3	233:5 251:11	237:20,20,21	325:15,18	238:8 296:23
68:13 71:3 74:3	253:9 254:3	237:24 238:4	334:20,21	296:25 310:3
82:20 97:9	257:13,23	239:9,9 250:11	375:10 392:4	357:9 370:7
105:11 111:17	259:14,19	250:12 251:1,2	telling 186:11	test 204:20
113:11 122:11	260:16 276:2	251:22 252:8	219:24 296:19	263:25
124:23 133:8	293:4 306:24	252:13,15,15	ten 112:22 113:1	testified 12:7
137:20 138:8	308:22	252:18,18,20	126:6 178:19	72:2 93:14
141:2,23 170:7	talked 73:22	252:21 255:7	185:14,14	167:10 181:17
175:22 177:22	165:14 171:25	256:15 281:2	328:14 364:12	188:22 318:3
187:18 188:2	186:9 240:8	336:24,24	384:18	360:20 362:18
		l		l

398:11 413:8	214:16 215:10	97:18 112:25	75:6 76:21,22	256:11 258:21
testimony 2:5,7	215:10,12	131:7 168:16	77:5,14 79:8	261:7,11
2:13,15,18,22	216:2,2 221:6	180:2 187:5	80:6,12 81:25	262:22,23
3:3,4,7,9 11:7	243:1 250:6	203:20 212:8	82:11 83:12	265:2 266:14
11:21 71:16	253:18 261:1	241:12 271:17	85:1 91:22	266:20 270:5
106:6 116:24	263:7 287:16	272:8 312:15	94:13 97:12,19	273:11 275:7
120:4 134:25	299:10 309:5	350:4 364:2	99:3,6,14 103:5	278:5 282:9
166:21 181:2	315:22 316:2,7	391:11 395:11	104:1,5,14	283:9,14 284:6
188:8 243:16	316:21,22	397:3 416:9	105:21 107:13	284:9 287:4,4,4
243:19 272:13	317:1,6,7,22	418:5 425:7,18	107:24 108:15	287:8 288:1,25
317:12 338:11	326:5 327:14	things 13:20 17:5	110:15 111:11	288:25 291:16
360:7 362:8	328:22 329:19	31:24 34:20	113:3 117:17	292:11 293:4
373:9 398:4	329:20,23	47:20 55:3	117:18 118:18	293:13,14,17
402:13 412:20	330:8 332:13	63:10 66:20	120:8 124:8	293:24 294:18
text 27:5 228:18	336:20 338:22	68:6 73:23 80:4	125:21 127:16	299:3,25,25
228:18 335:2	341:9 348:5	82:15 107:21	128:9,10	301:5 303:7,8
335:10	349:2 359:18	116:1,8 121:15	131:20 132:22	306:3,4,18,21
thank 7:25 8:11	359:22 360:17	124:3 128:24	139:1,12	306:21 307:3
8:17,21 9:6	361:23,25	128:24 131:3	140:23 144:19	308:19,21,22
12:5 13:11 14:7	362:1,15	138:13 142:12	145:14 148:18	308:24 309:23
14:10 20:4,5	363:17 365:25	151:9,23,25	150:7 152:1	310:14 311:2
28:5 31:2 39:1	374:16 397:17	152:11 154:16	154:11,21	311:12,14,21
39:8,22,25	397:21,22	179:1 192:20	156:15 162:12	312:2,6 314:6,6
42:23 46:7 51:8	398:9 399:13	206:24 207:12	162:25 164:2	314:6 323:19
57:5 61:11 62:5	402:2,12,21	209:20 213:6	165:12 166:8,9	324:19 325:11
70:21,25 71:2	412:11 413:5	219:20 228:9	171:25 176:19	325:17,20
83:23 89:6 91:5	424:4,5 426:7	235:6 240:8	178:24 179:4,5	328:3 333:5,9
93:8 95:4 96:2	Thanks 58:8 60:7	258:5,6 282:21	179:7,11,14	333:18 334:7
98:1,17 101:18	186:3	285:10 310:8	186:7,8 187:4	336:21 337:11
105:17,19,21	theme 204:25	315:14 327:11	190:18 191:3	337:18 340:11
106:10 108:11	theoretically	353:7,14	194:13,15,20	342:21 352:18
113:4,5 114:7	325:18	354:24 356:4	195:6 198:14	352:22 354:22
116:22 120:23	thermal 130:14	368:20 373:12	199:2 207:25	354:23 355:2
123:14 125:9	161:19,21	384:24 385:7	208:21 209:22	355:12 356:1
128:14,16	217:5,5,10	396:12,20	210:22 214:21	356:11 357:19
165:25 166:2	325:3 340:18	404:21 414:19	214:25 226:16	367:13 368:13
166:13 167:7	389:15,24	424:25	226:16,19	369:19 373:3
167:16 169:8	403:14 408:24	think 18:20 19:2	229:22 230:8	374:7 379:22
170:14 171:9	409:15 410:9	19:18,19,20	233:8,16 236:7	382:3 383:10
180:12,15,21	410:11,16	20:1 26:9 27:23	237:4 238:5,22	384:6 388:3,17
181:14 184:7	411:12,16	28:18 30:13,20	241:14 242:1	392:3 395:1,24
184:21 186:1	thermals 233:20	31:9 36:13,25	242:12,19	397:6 401:9,17
187:5,9,12	235:4 409:22	40:18 43:22	243:2,16,24	401:23 402:6
188:18 190:6	thing 29:13 47:14	45:8 47:15,21	246:15 248:4,9	404:4 406:13
194:17,18	47:16 69:18	56:8 57:9 66:4	248:17 250:18	406:23 407:8
200:5,9 201:10	76:24 85:11	69:12 70:13	253:4,21	408:21 409:9
-				

				- 9
410:7,9,22	396:20 403:9	109:13 117:9	73:12,21 74:4	ton 59:9,10
416:21,24	403:20 409:8	117:13 118:24	99:5 107:23	131:15
418:15 419:16	409:12,13	119:7,8 121:12	108:6 117:1,7	tongue 76:9
420:2,7 426:3,6	410:7,17	123:17,20,22	123:20 124:1,4	tonight 249:20
thinking 115:17	three-plus 420:8	124:20 125:1	131:4 392:18	425:8
154:13 156:18	three-year	126:21 127:11	timelines 73:25	tons 37:3,4 42:8
179:13 263:11	112:16 128:25	127:15,18,25	times 32:22 33:7	43:15 44:2,11
thinks 170:5	threefold 396:19	146:25 152:12	83:2 86:9,9	52:6,25 54:5
third 86:13 96:15	threshold 163:21	164:2 166:14	267:22 286:16	top 21:18 23:4
145:24 241:4	381:24 384:12	167:24 175:10	286:16 311:13	40:20 77:24
385:15 410:15	thresholds	176:15 178:4	325:7 328:14	78:25 174:13
third-party	236:20	182:7 186:22	340:22 366:17	218:7 228:13
257:9	throttling 269:8	188:19 189:18	388:6	230:24 238:3
Thom 6:4	thumb 304:10,18	193:14 194:2	timing 101:24	264:9 340:7
Thomas 2:22 6:4	tide 314:17	217:6 219:13	112:10 142:8	375:7 411:15
8:15 317:8,17	tie 184:15 298:16	219:22 232:7	143:5 170:6	topic 112:9 236:1
318:1	tied 160:18	235:1 242:2	183:6 381:22	249:13 250:7
thorough 62:4	tier 225:5,6,9,10	259:10 262:14	400:19	313:11 334:9
254:20	225:12,13,19	262:19 265:5	tip 76:8	336:22
thought 26:25	225:19,21,22	265:10 269:8	title 12:12 43:23	Toronto 317:18
27:4,9 39:4	226:2,2,3 241:4	269:10,11	167:14 189:5	total 40:23 41:24
64:2,7 80:14	tiers 225:4	272:9 273:14	318:9 398:16	45:16 51:12
132:22 187:20	tightly 220:9	273:20 279:9	398:18 413:12	58:25 110:18
208:24 310:14	till 35:11 59:13	286:10 316:11	titled 36:4,9	150:25 151:15
346:17 401:21	64:13 93:25	316:13 320:4	37:22	197:2 223:20
thoughts 178:21	400:7	321:5,6 324:1	today 7:9 8:15	223:24 227:4
thousand 37:9	tilt 197:18 198:2	324:12,13,20	10:23 72:18	230:9 263:10
75:3 140:1,3	198:4 321:2	326:15 327:18	102:13,21,23	286:3,6,7,15,18
262:3 263:16	349:20,23	328:5,10 337:6	105:8 111:13	293:15 332:1
thousand-meg	350:8	337:11 342:18	116:2,25	345:10 351:21
262:8	time 11:2,8 12:23	343:8,22	119:10 150:1	353:1 410:1
thousands	17:18 18:17	344:20 352:21	182:7 189:22	totally 83:9
384:16,18,19	19:9,15 20:11	352:24 353:7	189:23 193:4	touching 351:14
384:21,25,25	31:20 33:16	354:22 357:5	306:13 314:10	tough 80:7
385:3 387:24	35:23,24 36:18	361:8,9 383:19	319:6 344:3	TPL 365:17
three 69:20	38:22 42:19,23	384:7,10	355:2 361:10	track 16:25
105:14 112:15	54:19 56:10,16	385:10 387:14	363:14 424:11	217:22
150:24 151:25	58:24 59:2,7,13	388:13 391:7	today's 7:15 9:21	tracking 198:5,6
161:15,17	64:5,7 65:11,16	401:13,23	told 21:14 138:10	198:7 321:2,4
162:2 163:16	66:10 69:4 70:2	404:16 405:6	395:1	326:22 327:16
173:10 177:2,9	70:12,20 71:5	409:10 410:23	tolerance 290:7	350:8
187:18 188:3	72:18 74:2,5,10	411:1,16 420:1	305:21,24	tract 237:2,2
259:24 260:12	74:12,13 77:4	421:5 424:25	tolerances	traction 340:18
284:7 299:24	81:2 82:23	425:2,19,21	304:20	trade 53:22 57:16
300:2,3 389:14	101:20 102:22	timeline 23:6	tolerate 190:21	trading 52:18
390:4 391:1	105:7 109:12	28:25 68:14,21	tomorrow 383:18	traditional
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 467
129:21 292:2	395:5,7,21,25	107:12 117:17	193:9 197:9	285:1 311:20
324:22 325:23	396:6,17 397:1	121:3 124:8,14	200:23 201:11	312:7,8 313:21
Traditionally	405:22	125:21 132:22	216:22 220:19	325:13 357:24
175:20	transmission-r	138:13 152:12	228:8 243:24	364:2 366:14
training 15:1	395:17	153:10 156:10	251:4 304:14	366:23 368:18
tranche 110:16	transmissional	156:13 163:14	319:19 322:5	369:7,13 370:5
110:16,18	358:2 365:6	174:3 178:14	330:8 332:23	370:5,8,9
· /			359:3	/ /
trans- 381:6	transmit 357:20	180:7 192:17		371:11 376:2
transact 258:19	transmitted 79:4	211:12 217:21	turning 20:14	396:14 409:19
258:22	79:12	237:22 250:22	27:22 54:12	411:19 422:5
transaction	transport 32:11	258:10 266:20	125:12 224:20	types 102:15
418:8	32:16,21 33:10	268:18 269:16	224:21	179:12 234:23
transactions	33:17	280:24 282:2	two 21:18 23:17	239:10,12
418:17	transportation	288:11 290:8,9	38:16 39:9	254:21,24
Transcript 1:9	351:5	303:19 304:16	44:14 68:12,15	255:1,2,9,25
transfer 381:22	treat 394:14	304:24 308:7	88:20 90:23	257:6 259:20
transferred	treated 313:1,7	343:25 346:15	105:10 108:23	270:17 310:8
94:18	trend 60:4	349:17 354:14	114:3 119:6	366:15 372:5
transform	tried 140:2,3	356:3 367:13	125:2 145:23	typical 53:23
253:12	206:25 213:16	371:8 372:4,18	145:23 148:19	258:16
transformation	tries 315:15	420:7	148:22 154:16	typically 67:16
295:23	troll 414:10	tune 263:16	177:2,9 183:12	112:11,25
transformer	troubled 214:8	turbine 24:20	186:7 197:20	121:25 122:4
388:7	true 11:21 16:16	27:11 199:13	225:4 229:16	177:8 266:9
transition 217:8	29:1 34:11	210:4 216:19	229:17 230:2	296:18 382:14
289:6 357:19	71:16 102:12	216:24 261:18	231:24,24	390:14,17
422:1,2 423:13	144:7 157:2	264:20,23	232:1 259:24	
transmission	166:22 181:3	265:5 266:11	262:4,6,20	U
81:22 82:3,7,15	188:9 221:24	266:24 268:1	267:14 276:16	U.S 111:19
87:20 88:6,11	222:5 292:11	269:2,4,24	278:6 284:7	UCAP 217:16,19
88:12,16 92:4	317:12 324:22	270:14 271:1	288:1,2 291:7	331:25 344:15
165:15 190:12	360:8 362:8	271:12,12,18	300:14 328:24	355:18 418:21
191:1,9 296:12	398:4 412:20	273:7 274:8	344:14 368:20	Uh-huh 65:2
309:12,16,25	truncating	325:18 326:18	369:3,24,24	67:18 290:22
310:1,24	292:22,22	328:2 339:9,15	371:24 372:5	ultimate 260:18
357:15 363:1	try 11:3 13:24	339:23 366:9	377:15 384:24	ultimately
363:24 365:9	18:11 34:18	turbines 21:21	385:7 410:16	121:21,23
366:4,15,25	47:19 178:22	23:1,10 213:10	411:22 418:15	160:10 209:6
372:1 373:11	179:6 196:12	222:21 259:24	424:25 425:25	255:19 259:6
373:14 375:4,6	206:25 255:5	261:20,21,21	two-part 348:6,8	260:23 281:8
375:13 377:6	260:7,8 263:3	262:5 263:9	twofold 168:22	342:11 419:21
377:20 379:24	266:25 280:5	264:7 281:23	type 65:25 70:11	Um 288:21
381:7,10,13,23	345:2 349:5	turn 7:13 21:1	103:25 149:10	unavailable
386:5 388:23	382:16	31:13 38:19	150:6 234:18	157:20 351:4
389:2 392:8,10	trying 64:16,17	39:24 93:21	240:11 258:9	unavoidable
392:17 394:22	67:6,10 76:19	102:1,4 172:20	258:16 263:20	211:16
372.11 377.22	07.0,10 70.17	102.1,7 1/2.20	250.10 205.20	
	'	'	'	·

				1 age 400
uncertainty	125:17,19	139:11,24	281:21 284:24	154:2 156:16
179:6	146:15 153:7	141:9 147:17	285:3,3 286:16	158:8,15
unclear 13:23	156:8,9,21	213:10 224:25	298:23 304:16	160:16 196:21
uncomfortable	165:22 185:19	268:21 272:14	305:9 307:13	198:13,16
290:5	185:22 236:13	273:16,20	323:18 356:10	257:20 267:18
uncontrolled	237:3 244:2	universe 272:24	375:20 419:22	281:3 282:19
55:7,11	268:25 272:12	273:1,3,23	useful 185:13,13	283:17 285:4
uncovered 75:22	275:23 292:1	274:14 301:24	239:9 282:13	285:11 286:25
undergoing 34:1	293:8 308:25	unknown 315:5	usefulness	293:17 303:24
34:6	312:14 313:3	315:14	138:19	308:12 309:12
underlying 36:9	329:6 374:16	unplug 38:22	uses 33:9 144:6	309:21,23
175:13 349:18	389:1	unquote 324:15	usually 67:17	313:22 320:3,8
375:4,5	understands	unreasonable	185:5 234:6	320:25 323:16
understand 14:1	347:9	304:12 372:11	249:25 409:18	323:17,20
17:19 50:8	understood 20:3	407:15 414:17	utilities 136:11	327:19,19,22
64:18 67:5,10	281:5 334:14	unrelated 143:13	137:12,13,21	328:15 331:25
68:2 105:5	402:2 425:5	unsurprisingly	139:11,16,23	343:3,23
110:16 114:16	undivided 144:21	45:21	140:10 141:8	352:20 354:15
120:24 125:15	153:12	UPA 415:17,24	141:16 142:11	392:4,6 414:20
132:10 133:22	unemployment	417:15 418:18	178:17 183:11	415:12
137:25 144:19	237:11	418:20	183:19 294:3	valued 322:25
144:20 153:14	unfortunately	update 33:20,21	294:12 295:10	358:15
156:20 178:8	272:4 382:3	35:11 37:23	295:15,17	values 246:12
191:10 201:1	410:23	updated 33:17	311:14	257:22 293:6
204:17 212:17	uniform 320:17	updates 31:18	utility 5:14 8:7	293:12 313:19
212:19 219:23	unit 22:18 36:9	35:10 326:8	83:8 137:5	320:2,14,20
220:17,17	36:20,24 37:6	upfront 286:10	138:5 141:4	321:8 322:7,19
225:5,12 231:2	38:1 41:16,17	upgrade 373:5	142:6,10 179:2	326:11,15,16
231:13 235:15	44:11,24 45:6	376:1,2 394:12	202:2 245:19	328:3,6,19
241:17 242:21	54:23 56:4	upgrades 365:6,6	273:22 294:8	van 2:6,10,17
246:12 247:3	57:20 60:18,21	372:2 373:22	296:5 302:15	6:13 9:4 62:14
257:17 276:18	77:10 90:23	375:10 376:12	302:19 312:17	62:16 70:13
281:6 282:5,22	145:5 191:4,5	376:14 392:10	354:20 373:16	113:9 114:10
283:25 285:16	202:17 212:25	396:22,25	utilized 269:7	120:15,17,19
290:9 297:23	261:4,5 265:10	upper 218:8	353:19,20,21	122:25 123:5
303:8 312:24	271:16 292:15	320:9	T 7	123:14 128:13
323:3 373:5	295:3 343:19	upside 303:16	V	166:14 186:6
388:5 397:2	347:3 415:21	usage 351:23	V 280:2	187:4 361:22
411:6,16,21	unit's 31:7	use 39:11 60:18	validated 261:14	402:25 424:13
understanding	267:14	69:18 76:5 78:5	valuable 118:17	425:12
9:13 34:25	unit-specific	112:24 125:25	247:18	variable 264:10
62:25 67:6	147:4	152:9,10,14	value 85:16,24	264:16,22
85:22,25 87:23	units 17:11 22:11	153:15 154:4,9	90:4 96:1,20	265:1,18,25
88:8 89:15	22:13,13,19	178:17 217:2	97:14 138:12	266:4,10,12,15
92:12,15 115:2	48:20 55:5,7,11	229:14 247:1	152:11,21	266:17,21
121:3 124:9,14	56:1 78:24	256:22 260:11	153:8,11,24	267:1,11,12,13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Tage 407
267:17,18,25	375:21	375:8	280:22 285:2	waste 69:19
268:8 270:18	version 141:14	VOM 264:10,19	285:17 288:1	153:5 164:2
271:22 286:21	251:13,15	264:22 269:19	289:25 290:13	watching 10:1
339:12,18,22	403:12	269:20 270:8	295:16 303:13	water 69:22
variables 231:18	versus 90:7,8,13	339:13 340:3,5	303:14 304:3,5	399:22
301:4 352:17	90:17 150:25		304:6 305:4,5,6	way 6:10 13:25
variation 310:6	206:19 215:2,8	W	306:5 320:23	18:12,23 52:9
varies 26:17	235:3,3 271:12	wait 65:9 187:16	330:11 332:20	53:21,23 81:8
69:12 320:16	273:5 297:16	208:24 278:19	332:23 334:8	85:20,20 90:10
320:17	331:1,2,10,15	321:22	336:9 337:25	124:9,17
various 83:6	369:21 388:8	waiting 410:16	338:3,5 359:4	129:20 138:2
107:21 131:18	420:25	walk 65:12 68:11	372:17,17	143:4 147:8
171:23 175:4	vertically 420:11	68:19 300:22	374:18 376:4	163:14,18
233:11 236:22	vet 108:3	walking 34:17	376:13,14,16	165:10 167:25
252:21 254:16	vetted 261:13	300:23	379:2,15	179:18 194:22
255:1 261:6	viable 377:25	want 13:22 17:16	384:15 394:19	196:12 224:5
262:18 281:11	378:4,12,13	17:24,25 18:8	400:3,3 404:12	249:25 279:25
290:18 291:3	vice 2:10 7:10,22	18:19 19:2	405:1,15	292:6 297:14
323:24 342:13	8:25 12:13	47:16 50:1,8	406:24 408:18	306:3 343:18
345:3 420:20	14:17 15:6 72:9	62:25 73:5	408:19 411:20	352:25 355:3
vary 25:18 231:3	104:19,22	79:12 93:1	420:19 421:4	355:17 356:21
233:3 320:14	128:15,16,18	101:15 103:2,8	wanted 11:4	383:24 387:16
varying 59:19	133:14 317:1	104:9 105:4	24:11 31:3 68:2	405:1,19
Vaughan 82:1	317:10,15,20	114:15 120:19	80:16 100:17	409:18 411:14
107:22	319:11 321:14	120:20,24	100:19,20	ways 159:3
Vaughn 3:9	321:22 326:5	134:2 138:9,9	113:23 116:21	179:13 304:12
135:6 161:11	359:11 362:25	138:11,16	125:10 168:16	385:8 409:13
165:1 308:22	366:20 399:18	139:5 141:16	183:18 187:5	we'll 7:17,17
308:24 313:9	vices 426:3	142:7 144:17	194:8 206:25	10:18 11:10
407:17 412:17	view 215:8	152:18 161:22	207:4 213:4,19	20:22 62:3
412:18 413:1,6	342:25 343:5	164:2 178:11	235:15,20	64:11 71:4,5
413:11,23	388:17	185:23 191:12	250:7 263:18	97:22 98:13
414:6 424:2,4	viewing 135:7	198:22 212:25	264:2 265:22	113:11,13
vendor-specific	views 10:1	213:20 221:7	275:18 309:22	114:6 116:1
260:8	vigorously 131:6	231:6 237:5	315:6 370:8	135:17 190:21
verified 82:17	violations 368:18	242:11 249:13	wanting 119:5	200:3,3 220:17
verify 75:12	370:2	250:9,20 251:4	218:19	232:12 246:18
87:24 110:14	Virginia 193:1	251:12,13,21	wants 127:6	246:24 249:15
115:16 128:9	virtual 10:13	252:24 254:3	319:11	249:17,18
262:23 279:21	virtually 9:22,25	256:2 257:13	warehouse-type	250:17 251:12
verifying 168:18	virtue 142:13	258:11,11,12	175:23	255:3,3,4 256:3
Vermont 248:5	visual 207:24	258:13 259:18	warm 353:16	257:23,23
versa 366:21	visualize 192:18	260:8 263:19	wasn't 64:18,25	260:15,15
verse 289:5	296:24	265:6,17 269:3	169:24 184:14	262:6 280:3,18
349:13 354:18	voltage 355:24	272:20 274:12	190:24 248:11	316:8,9,10,10
369:6 372:7	363:25 364:4	276:19 280:22	276:25 410:24	316:11,12,12
	l			

				1 4 9 6 1 7 0
316:13,23,24	417:12,18	197:18 198:8	Winchester	334:18 342:23
321:22 325:16	419:2,5	204:20 291:23	71:22	winter 75:4,18,23
336:3 349:5	we've 33:19	293:13 353:3	wind 79:3 80:5	75:24 76:15,20
358:22,24	62:24 64:24	weren't 20:10	82:5 86:1,3,17	76:23 77:3
364:20 386:3	112:25 114:14	82:10 233:19	87:5 109:4,8	147:12 289:18
400:7 408:13	117:23 175:9	387:13	110:9,15	297:16 301:18
408:20 409:21	187:21 191:4	West 2:7,8,23 5:4	118:21 127:1,2	303:1,3 305:19
409:25 424:16	191:10 218:16	5:8,20 8:4,4,6	127:5 128:7	350:5,6,7,14,17
425:16,19	223:15 246:11	11:11 13:5,6	161:19 190:12	386:25 387:19
we're 24:12 48:3	246:11 256:8	71:13,14,21	190:23 196:19	winter-peaking
50:15,16 56:11	267:20 268:8	72:1,6,23,24	200:21 201:14	147:9 386:17
66:21,21 67:21	276:6 286:1	73:2,3 83:21	201:21,24	386:22,24
68:4 71:8 73:10	288:5,6 315:9	84:3 87:19	205:9 208:5,14	387:1,20
74:14 100:13	320:22 330:9	89:18 93:5 96:2	208:19,23	Wiseman 121:10
106:8 109:25	332:25 353:8	98:20 101:12	209:4,9,15,16	122:3,10 161:2
112:21 116:23	359:24 410:6	113:4,18	209:18 211:19	wit 103:3
118:4,16 131:5	417:14 418:19	114:11,17	211:24,25	withdraw 199:4
131:13 133:1	418:20,22,23	142:22 155:2	212:9,13	308:9 357:25
135:4 157:19	418:23 425:15	163:14 164:9	213:11 222:21	379:17 405:3
177:18 179:12	wear 340:16	166:2,3,7,8,10	224:22,23	407:17
180:7 190:19	weather 172:17	168:4,5 170:2,4	225:6 226:16	withdrawn 409:1
216:13 219:11	web 322:1	182:12,13	226:16 227:5	withdrew 148:8
228:9 231:4,6,9	webpage 42:15	190:3,4 193:1	227:14 229:17	309:2
232:18 246:8	website 9:18 10:7	218:17,19,22	229:20,24	witness 10:21
247:15 249:24	99:2,4 124:2	236:3 237:16	230:9 232:15	11:16,23 12:1
249:24 250:4	162:2 389:19	307:2 319:12	232:23 235:3	13:3,7 26:5
256:10,12,14	403:11	319:13,15	240:15,16,20	39:22 46:7
257:19 258:9	Wednesday	321:13,17,18	240:21,24	58:10 62:10,12
262:20 288:11	424:19	327:5 328:23	241:13,15,17	71:2,11,18,21
290:14,18	week 10:21 67:8	329:8,20	255:1 256:8	72:21 75:11,11
293:16,17	weigh 91:21	333:17 349:8	278:12,19	78:19,21 82:1
295:23 302:9	214:24	359:24 361:15	279:2,4,5,10,12	82:18 84:21,21
302:10 303:19	weight 132:8	361:16 363:18	280:25 301:5	85:10 87:23
303:23 304:4	207:2 350:4,14	363:19 389:9	313:20 320:16	89:6 91:20,21
315:8 317:1	350:21,22	389:18 399:9	320:19,20	92:22,22 93:8
331:22 337:24	352:13 395:5	399:10 400:14	325:12,15	93:19 103:6,9
338:1 351:14	421:15	400:19 413:25	326:17 328:19	103:20 104:2,6
351:14 353:4	weighting 133:25	414:1 417:3	330:11,21	104:13 110:14
354:25,25	350:17	424:9 425:21	331:3,6,9,14,20	111:6,6,12,16
356:17 358:18	weights 150:24	Western 357:19	331:23,24	113:5 115:16
359:6 379:25	welcome 114:8	wheels 290:3	333:2,9,9,10	119:16,17
383:16 384:16	215:1	whoever's 66:25	389:15 403:14	123:4 128:9
384:20 386:1	went 40:25 41:15	67:1	403:18 409:15	156:6 160:6,9
397:3 402:10	46:25 52:1	wholly 143:13	409:22 410:8	162:12 164:15
406:6 411:1,10	57:18 59:22	157:20	410:12	166:2,17,24
411:15 412:2,5	60:16 61:21	willing 236:12	window 222:19	167:3 168:2
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

				Tage 471
174:5,7 180:16	424:5	417:14 419:5	113:2,10	324:21 325:25
180:23 181:5	witness' 103:6	works 37:16	120:19,22	326:1,2,12
181:10 182:10	witnesses 10:22	39:19 43:4	121:2,5 122:24	327:9,18,20
185:22,24	57:10 95:12	136:25 312:5	122:25 124:16	330:7 331:5,12
186:3 187:7	107:22 109:22	355:18 378:5	125:5,18	331:12,17,20
188:11,14	165:2 166:8	378:10	129:13 130:3	332:12,14
190:1 203:16	173:8 359:24	worse 203:6	134:4,10 136:2	333:8,11,12,12
215:25 222:7	386:13 395:24	worst-case	138:9,10	333:24 334:1,2
223:1 224:11	wondered 132:7	308:10 416:22	140:13,19,20	334:5,5,5 335:1
224:14 242:18	wondering	worth 212:21	142:4 150:20	335:7,14,17,21
245:5,13	119:19 128:5	would've 50:18	154:18,21	337:10,12
246:15 250:24	165:18 250:8	wouldn't 41:21	157:14 158:18	338:10 339:10
253:8,24	297:12	53:12 70:8	161:21 176:6	339:11,11
262:22 267:9	word 170:7	81:16 112:4	178:23 183:25	341:5,14,21,23
267:16 268:13	203:17 229:14	118:13 134:18	186:18 187:24	341:25 342:19
268:16,19,23	323:18 419:19	142:7 155:25	190:15,19	345:18,18,19
269:1,13,21	worded 406:4	170:7 174:3	195:3 208:7	345:20,24
270:4,11,21	words 95:8	195:12 218:1	211:5,7 217:4	346:8,24 347:7
271:3,6,10,20	404:18 406:13	247:19 268:3	218:1 226:12	347:22 349:23
271.3,0,10,20	work 14:13 96:24	287:20 377:23	226:23 230:12	350:2,9,15,19
273:2,18 274:5	112:18 131:6	407:13	230:20 236:9	351:2,13
273.2,18 274.3	151:17 159:14	written 102:22	237:9,15 245:3	351.2,13
274:10,17,22	171:4 196:3	139:19 258:23	245:11 246:7	353:6 354:8,11
274:23 273:4 276:3,17	219:22 249:23	258:25 336:13	247:3 248:2,20	355:4,5 356:10
279:21 285:21	254:13,23	336:17	247.3 248.2,20 249:1 253:3	356:16,23
	260:14 270:12	wrote 409:13	254:18 259:10	357:7 358:12
286:8,13 287:4	292:12 293:5			
292:11 293:4 293:24 297:19	297:18 337:9	www.mclendo 1:24	264:16,22 265:21,24	358:12,13,20 364:9 367:3,12
293:24 297:19 299:14 308:22	349:24 374:24	1:24		367:16 372:4
	376:17,25	X	268:15,23 269:13 271:13	372:24 375:11
308:24 313:5,9		$X = \frac{12}{X}$		
315:25 316:5	377:1 379:6,20 380:11 385:25		271:20 273:11 274:9 275:1	377:12 379:20 381:14 385:15
317:5,14,17 319:9 321:13	380:11 385:25 414:12 424:13	Y	274:9 275:1 276:2 279:5	386:15 387:4
		yadda 43:21,21		389:17 391:24
327:2 328:24 335:24 338:21	workbook 192:18 193:4	yeah 9:1 18:19	282:22 284:25 285:21 290:10	392:3 395:3,9
346:20 359:16	250:10 251:24	19:12 28:8,10	293:11,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	250:10 251:24 252:7 337:14	33:13 37:14	293:11,21 294:11 297:18	395:13,22 404:23 405:12
360:10,13	252:/ 33/:14 worked 121:9,16	38:13 39:19	294:11 297:18 299:24 300:3	
361:13,25 362:3,10,13	172:5 183:3	42:3 46:17	300:23 304:9	405:13 410:2 414:10 415:14
362:3,10,13		47:23 53:3	304:22 307:3	414:10 413:14
397:19,22,24	218:22 219:6,6 219:18	54:24 55:17,17	304:22 307:3	418:6,9 419:9
398:6 399:7	working 69:9	59:18 62:8 68:3	307:16 308:20	419:15,19
402:24 403:2	138:11 161:8	68:22 78:4 89:4	316:19 317:17	420:7 421:4,13
402:24 403:2	189:3,7,11	95:10 98:7	318:23,25	420:7 421:4,13
412:10,14,22	228:3 240:6	101:8,8 103:15	320:16 322:15	423:10
412:10,14,22	290:11 377:10	103:20 105:3	320:16 322:13	year 17:23 20:6
713.1 414./	490.11 3//.10		344.10 343.18	year 17.23 20.0
<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	l	1

				1490 132
25:16,18,19	414:15 415:3	103:8 113:8	zoom 28:9,10	321:18 329:17
47:2 49:5 51:14	415:18,19,25	139:20 140:15	36:2	329:21 330:4
51:20 52:11,21	422:8,10	141:15 143:16	Zyl 2:6,10,17	396:21
53:4,4,14 67:17	years 28:21 31:19	145:8 152:9,13	6:13 9:4 62:14	1-1 92:21
92:14,18,18,19	33:1 41:14,18	152:17 153:15	62:16 70:13	1-20 39:10,20
106:21 107:10	43:11 45:22	154:5 157:18	113:9 114:10	96:19
107:16 108:10	46:19 47:9	158:4,13,19	120:15,17,19	1-23 96:19
109:3 110:7	54:10 73:11,18	258:3 272:7,13	122:25 123:5	1-3 155:6
114:22 115:2,3	74:6,14 92:5	294:2 298:16	123:14 128:13	1-38 200:16
115:5,14,20	112:15 117:15	304:10,18	166:14 186:6	215:22
117:25 119:3	117:18 118:15	305:12 307:7	187:4 361:22	1-39 157:3
127:8 128:23	118:15 133:2	337:21 338:17	402:25 424:13	1-43 155:13
130:13,21,23	145:23 151:6	374:23 375:15	425:12	1-62 252:5
131:1 145:19	177:3,9 178:15	375:19 378:19		1,000 247:12
145:20 152:17	178:19 179:20	404:23 405:4	0	256:7 286:16
152:25 153:3,6	183:20 185:14	410:10 418:16	0.62 264:14	294:24
153:18 154:10	185:15 199:21	424:10,12	339:14 340:6	1,000-megawatt
154:25 155:23	221:19 223:14	your-all's 151:5	0.63 267:18,24	294:22
156:3,24 157:8	223:25 224:8,9	152:2,22,23	0.66 86:21	1,045 51:19
157:9,21	230:13,15	158:9 374:22		1,083 261:9
158:21 159:5	242:1 269:5	YouTube 359:7	1	1,083-megawatt
160:1 184:6	271:9 282:1,4		1 4:3,6 5:12 12:2	261:4
185:12 190:18	283:23 285:24	Z	27:2 36:20	1,170 23:19
193:16,17,19	286:3,24 288:9	zero 41:14 87:4,9	39:20,21 41:16	1,182 140:25
195:5,8,13,14	292:17,19	160:19 205:24	41:17 42:9 44:3	1,200 75:4
196:9 217:2,12	293:10 324:8	205:24 206:4	44:11 49:17	1,300 75:4 76:14
217:25 218:13	342:16,17	240:25,25	50:18 52:7 62:4	1.355 90:18
218:15 221:14	343:7 345:6,21	350:10	86:24 89:1,22	331:11
221:16,17	345:23 346:4	zonal 358:10	92:13 93:13,25	1.4 86:14,23 87:2
223:6,18	355:1 364:13	386:23 387:2	96:9,9 97:5,14	1.47 86:7
273:14 282:15	378:7,9 417:9	395:23	98:3,13,15	1.528 90:17
284:2,7,7	420:8	zone 154:7	99:21 108:14	1/1 115:19 116:2
285:12,13	yellow 89:13 93:4	307:13,15	108:19 110:16	1/1/2030 118:9
286:1,21,24	93:10 98:5	347:9 348:17	129:8,14,19	1/1/29 118:6,12
292:5,6,7,9	yep 203:9 215:9	357:2,3,13,18	132:17,20,23	192:1
293:9 307:9,14	228:15 230:15	358:12,14,17	133:2 144:22	1:06 113:15
308:1,18 325:7	286:8 319:24	370:7 375:13	155:21,24	1:34 134:25
334:15 342:22	322:9 326:20	381:12,21	156:2,17	1:35 135:1
345:9,12 346:7	331:13 337:1	382:2 383:6,6	188:15 196:6	10 84:17 138:10
349:12,21	339:10 341:10	383:11 384:9	200:23 201:2	138:10 149:14
351:21 366:17	359:23	384:18,20	206:15 225:5,9	160:17 178:15
380:19,19,22	yesterday 214:13	385:1,2,5,14,18	225:13,19,21	210:22 238:3
381:17,18	York 393:2	386:17,18,18	226:2,3,20	240:25 241:2
383:20 387:7	you-all 63:24	387:3,19	245:18 252:5	247:11 344:13
391:22 400:18	68:15 100:24	388:21	290:21 291:16	378:9 400:5
400:22 401:6	100:24 101:9	zones 393:18	306:17,21	421:14
-				

				Tage 473
10-minute	138 364:4	176 89:22	2-by-1 256:6	84:6 91:14
249:17	14 198:6,8 424:19	1795 6:10	262:4	98:24 107:2,5
10-year 202:12	144 275:15	18-year 222:15	2-on-1 262:6	110:5 145:1,4,8
222:15	341:11,18	222:16	2(c) 142:18	149:15 292:18
10:24 71:6	145 209:24	180 41:4 91:7	2,000 201:25	399:3 403:6,6
10:45 71:5	145 209.24 14th 424:18	1800 225:24	202:3 214:13	2023-00092 1:4
10:43 71:5 10:53 71:6	15 44:21 64:14	181 2:16	383:25	7:4 10:6 71:9
100 110:18 198:2	178:15 200:3	182 2:16	2,088,000,000	250:5 317:2
208:19 224:24	223:14,25	183 129:5,6	331:9	359:2
225:1,9 247:11	224:8,9 292:17	1850 181:11	2,100 86:3,9	2023-00922
344:13,14	293:9 308:18	186 2:17	190:23	113:17
100-megawatt	333:12,15	188 2:18	20 5:21 6:15	2024 1:9 46:16
110:4	342:16,17	19 190:13,16,18	23:11,14 71:4	53:25 99:9
100/100 110:9,11	345:6,21,23	242:1	178:15 216:20	202:11 422:13
1070 6:6	378:9 416:14	190 2:19	256:5 355:1	202. 11 422.13 2024-2025 196:9
11 320:17 327:21	15-222:15		20- 192:22	
		1st 92:18 159:2		2024-25 217:25
333:6,7,12	15-year 90:6	416:6	20-year 222:18	2025 149:15
11:40 106:6	125:22 223:13	2	200 53:5,9 110:18	2025-2026
11:51 106:7	223:21 272:9	2 4:3,7 29:16	208:19 209:11	197:14
111 20:15	292:1,14	35:10,18,23	2008 36:10	2025-26 216:14
113 228:8,13	293:16 330:23	36:12 37:6	2015 54:15	217:1
114 2:10	345:5,9,20	40:16 41:17	126:20	2026 55:9 109:3,9
115 382:10,13	352:14	46:24 47:1 49:2	2017 36:11 41:3	110:21 112:22
1182 108:21	15,688,000 93:23	49:6,10 50:13	43:11 49:6	170:5 201:24
207:24 208:10	15.668 157:13	51:2,18,18,22	2018 36:12 41:5	332:22
319:22	193:12 194:2	56:21 58:11	2019 41:5	2027 55:9 110:8
12 1:9 2:5 44:21	150 225:21	61:21,22 88:14	2020 41:5,20 42:2	110:21 332:23
359:9 416:8	150/300 227:18	•	49:7 242:3	2028 46:20,23
12-31-28 92:13	1510 5:16	91:3,12,12 95:13 96:9	2020-00174	92:12,13,17
93:25	155,000 273:25		243:4	93:16,23,25
12:03 113:15	273:25	97:14 98:3,13	2021 35:12 38:2	94:13,15 95:15
12:55 113:12,14	159 77:20,23	98:15 108:19	41:24 42:2,5,6	114:21 118:5
120 56:14,24 60:6	207:24	110:16 130:6	42:8 49:7 243:8	138:24 152:21
1200 225:11	16.5 91:14	135:18 139:8	244:21	153:13 155:19
227:7,14	160 23:18	191:14,15,16	2021-370 143:12	155:24 156:2
122 25:4 208:10	162 253:6	194:10 197:9	409:2	156:17,17,22
123 232:12,19	164 2:12	201:11 203:21	2022 1:4 7:4	157:5,12 159:2
12333 360:14	1645 71:22	216:22 217:6	35:16 38:3 42:6	191:23 193:11
124 230:21,24	167 2:13	225:6,10,13,19	44:2 49:6 63:21	193:18,20
128 2:10	168 2:14	225:22 226:2,3	64:13 73:19	194:25 208:15
12th 424:11	169 79:8	326:4 329:17	169:4 172:16	209:12 227:17
13 2:6 244:21	17 322:22,23	329:21 332:21	189:11 314:10	307:9 384:18
130 382:12,18	1700 202:16	349:19	314:14 317:3	385:5
133 2:11 319:19	171 2:14	2-13 102:5	2023 43:11 44:10	2028-2029
319:22 333:5	174 108:20,21	2-34 106:18	52:25 59:10	152:16 155:24
135 2:11	175 42:8	146:2	64:14 76:11	307:9

				1490 131
2029 24:20 59:13	124:20 191:21	28-29 154:25	225:10,22	4:00 350:6,9,13
73:11 74:15,15	320:10 422:13	157:7 159:5	304 38:1	4:45 249:18
77:16 115:11	23-'24 145:20	160:1 193:18	309 2:21	250:2
115:14 116:3	2300 5:8	287 37:3	30th 9:11	40 23:15 24:2
155:21 156:2	231 26:4,7 46:9	29 59:16 73:7	31 99:9 152:20	27:17 298:5
192:11 193:18	235 42:8	92:21 192:23	155:19 156:17	316:12
202:16 203:19	24 46:18 196:20	204:24 205:1,5	209:10	400 44:11 52:25
203:22 204:23	422:11	208:12 308:11	318 2:22	54:5
205:8 281:24	24-25 349:12	415:3	319 2:23	4000 383:21
2030 118:12	240 267:22 297:2	299 2:20	31st 92:19 156:2	401 317:18
192:11 209:10	24th 9:10 106:25	29th 5:12	193:18	40112 71:23
292:17	25 31:6 79:11		325 41:5	40223 1:23
2030s 323:7	138:11 197:20	3	33 197:19,20,23	403 3:8
2031 125:16,18	320:19	3 4:4 35:3,4,6,24	305:14,19	40507-1758 5:9
125:20 126:1	25-'26 106:22	37:18 40:17	330 2:23	40509 6:10
192:12	250 5:8 110:8	41:25 42:1	3300 383:21	40601 5:22 6:15
2032 24:7	301:3,8,20,22	46:25 47:2 49:3	332 2:24	10:11
2033 322:22	250-megawatt	49:7,10 50:9,11	339 2:24	40602 6:6
2034 281:21	110:8	51:4 52:1 61:22	34-35 327:21	40602-0615 1:12
326:16	2525 1:22	61:22 93:21	340 53:9	40602-0634 5:5
2034-35 327:22	254 2:20	96:9,16 97:14	345 364:5	41 202:17
2035 326:16	25th 17:9 20:6	98:14 113:25	349 2:25	41102 360:15
2036 126:3,4,12	26 26:17 27:13	114:6 155:4,7	35 333:14	413 3:10
2036-37 342:23	112:17 208:13	193:7,9 194:11	35,000 385:21	414 3:10
2037 224:9	320:4 322:14	197:13 199:6	36 5:16 174:10,12	418 203:11,18
225:17 301:17	322:18 327:23	216:13 305:14	360 3:3	208:12 261:9
303:3 320:4,8	26-'27 107:11	305:23 322:6	362 3:5	262:12
320:15,19	400:18,23,25	349:21	363 3:5	418-megawatt
322:14,25	401:10	3- 208:14	366 3:6	261:5
327:23 343:21	26-27 327:20	3-R 214:9	368 3:6	421 5:4
344:1	349:13,20	3,000 383:19,25	368-8803 6:11	421-2255 5:17
2039 22:21,23	267 266:1 339:20	3.1 389:25	373 38:3	422 3:11
204 1:22	27 322:24 323:15	3:15 200:4	379 52:1	43 84:17 171:22
2041 126:13	270 38:4 41:16	30 25:2 31:6	398 3:8	172:3 174:12
2070 342:24	273 38:4	59:16 282:1,4	3rd 144:25	174:19 210:23
21 244:6	278 41:21	282:15 285:24		298:7
211 1:11 10:10	279 52:2 53:6,9	286:3,24 303:1	4	43054 362:14
216 2:19	28 93:13 153:8	303:2 304:23	4 4:4 61:22 89:21	43215 5:12
219 226:22	154:14,16	304:25 305:9	97:11,18	44 172:9
22 189:4 320:19	193:21,24	305:13,20	143:20 195:20	45 171:22 172:20
22-'23 145:20	195:11 208:14	306:5,6 316:11	195:22 196:6	230:22 298:7
2200 383:2,12	208:17 209:4	343:7 346:20	215:14,20	450 157:5
389:5	227:19 308:11	358:24	216:10 267:2,3	45202 5:17
223-3477 5:6	320:8 415:3,3	30-year 282:1,12	327:21,22	480 73:7 201:15
226-2300 5:9	28-'29 308:1	282:13	330:8 349:11	203:19
23 46:14 112:15	414:15	300 179:21	4,000 383:25	4th 326:7 349:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

5	264:9 332:23	700 5:21 6:15	80:13 82:5	301:9
5 2:3 4:5 51:18	332:24 339:8	79:3 82:4 86:1	88:12 127:2	96 202:3
	339:11	127:2 201:18	153:5 191:5	98 2:9 4:6,7
61:23 97:18	6,431 202:19	205:9 208:15	209:9 273:13	379:9
131:7 200:11	6.5.2 319:22,24	209:4,8 307:21	273:24 274:1,6	317.7
200:15 203:11	6:20 316:24	307:24 308:5	364:15 365:21	
203:15 209:23	6:56 338:11	328:12 416:17	366:8	
215:14,21	60 46:21,21	700-plus 307:18	800-megawatt	
228:10 267:3	379:10	415:9	262:8,16	
322:5 326:14	600 79:14 80:13	700-something	364:12,21	
399:17,19,22	80:16 261:7	154:8	367:1	
400:5 416:8,18	600-megawatt	700,000 86:9	807 137:3	
5-058 137:3	262:15	71 59:10,18	81 108:23	
5-year 291:25	614 5:13	716-3410 5:13	82657b25 48:6	
5.2.1 140:19	1			
142:17	62 2:6 4:3,3,4,4,5	72 2:8 46:12,14	83 186:9	
5.4.1 224:22	4:5 199:13	197:21 198:9	84 2:9	
5/12ths 416:18	216:21	720 263:9,22	842 36:15	
50 46:21 128:4	6202 6:10	726 30:20	843 36:16	
144:21 198:8	63 53:9	73 2:8	8500 362:14	
225:20 295:2	634 5:5	733 331:15,18	859 5:9 6:11	
359:6	64 267:18	74 78:7	87 140:24 202:13	
50/50 159:12	64.6 90:8 206:19	750 153:5	875 76:13	
500 41:6 200:21	64.8 90:8 206:19	758 37:3	875-2428 6:7	
201:14 205:9	331:1,2	76 290:25	8760 184:1,5,5	
209:3	65 59:13	765 364:5 388:9	88 202:15 265:23	
502 1:23 5:6,22	66 275:16 288:20	79 46:16,18 199:7	339:17	
6:7	291:14 320:14	199:15,16	8th 107:2	
50th 300:20	341:20,21,23	216:20 264:18	9	
51 157:11	348:8,9	265:10 267:22		
513 5:17	67 244:20	309:23 340:8	9 96:12,18,18	
518 96:21	68 331:2	79-megawatt	197:19,23	
519 41:20	68.4 331:2	341:3	198:3 273:14	
54 320:3,21,25	69 59:12	8	339:7 399:25	
56.8 90:13	6938 43:21		9,000 255:14	
57 320:21	696-5300 5:22	8 96:12,17 137:3	9.7 86:25 87:1	
58 26:18 27:13	7	139:8 142:18	9:00 9:23	
58.3 90:13		228:17 322:10	9:05 402:14	
585-5634 1:23	7 2:4 96:12,17	358:24	9:09 7:1	
5th 416:6	97:4,4,10,18	8- 255:14	9:30 426:8	
	113:25 265:17	8-2 140:14	90 24:8 27:18	
6	349:20	8-2(c) 164:11	264:5,10	
6 4:5 49:1 50:15	7- 209:10 262:7	8:30 273:14	90th 218:8	
50:16,18,20,21	7.5 201:5	8:47 402:13	91 265:18	
56:11,12 61:20	7:03 338:12	80 108:23 110:7	94 224:20	
61:23 62:3,4	7:15 316:20	320:15	95 201:21,24	
97:18 231:8	70 46:17	800 24:5 79:10	95th 300:20	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>